A global compact on migration

Placing human rights at the heart of migration management

SUMMARY

The global flow of refugees and migrants poses challenges, opportunities and obligations for countries around the world. At the very heart of the debate on migration management is how to ensure that the different interests and needs are addressed within a strong human rights framework. The United Nations (UN) is investigating the issue in great depth, and one of the main outcomes of the UN General Assembly in 2016 was a declaration demanding greater international cooperation on managing migration. This declaration was widely endorsed, including by the European Union (EU). As a result, two global compacts have been adopted in 2018, for refugees and for other migrants; this briefing will focus on the latter. According to the International Organization for Migration (IOM), the UN migration agency in charge of the global compact for safe, orderly and regular migration, these compacts ‘provide the opportunity to move ahead in strengthening the norms, principles, rules and decision-making processes that will allow for more effective international cooperation in responding to what is a defining issue’. Providing continued institutional support to address these issues and implement the outcomes of the global compacts will be a challenge.

This an updated version of a briefing from December 2017, jointly authored by Joanna Apap, Daniela Adorna Diaz and Gonzalo Urbina Trevino.

See also our infographic, Migration flows to the EU.
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Issue

In his State of the Union speech on 13 September 2017, in Strasbourg, European Commission President Jean-Claude Juncker said: ‘The global flow of refugees and migrants is one that poses challenges, opportunities and obligations for countries around the world, which the UN itself has been investigating in some depth’. At the very heart of the debate on opportunities and challenges in migration management is how to ensure that the different interests and needs are addressed within a strong human rights framework.

Article 3 of the Treaty on European Union (TEU) binds the EU, as a non-state actor, to align itself with United Nations norms in its role in promoting and protecting human rights through all its actions, bearing the most vulnerable particularly in mind, while also addressing Realpolitik concerns. A common concern to both UN and EU is respect for the human rights of migrants, asylum-seekers and refugees. How can security concerns be addressed while developing a proactive immigration policy to tackle, on the one hand the needs of third-country nationals while on the other, addressing Europe’s demographic concerns – as Europe is aging and young workers are needed to pay for older retired workers’ pensions. How can the commitments made on 19 September 2016 in the UN’s Declaration on Refugees and Migrants be implemented effectively. Of special concern is the vulnerable situation of unaccompanied and separated child migrants. Such concerns raise questions, such as how to strike the right balance between liberty and security.

A key agreement was reached on 19 September 2016 in New York, during the 71st regular session of the UN General Assembly (UNGA 71). One of the main outcomes of the General Assembly in 2016 was a declaration demanding greater international cooperation on managing migration, which was widely endorsed, including by the EU. The global migration compact (GCM) was adopted by a vast majority of UN Member States on 10 December 2018, at a conference in Marrakech, Morocco.

Refugee movements and migration are at the centre of global attention as a result of record levels of displacement, human suffering, the socio-economic impact on host communities, and the complex political ramifications in many countries. An unprecedented mass movement to the EU of asylum-seekers and migrants of all ages began in 2014, reached a peak in 2015, and then continued in 2016 and into 2017. According to the United Nations Refugee Agency (UNHCR) and the International Organization for Migration (IOM), human mobility has never been as high. A coordinated and effective protective response is essential, and that requires both a gender and child-sensitive dimension. Over 258 million migrants around the world are living outside their country of birth. This figure is expected to grow for a number of reasons including population growth, increasing connectivity, trade, rising inequality, demographic imbalances and climate change. Migration provides immense opportunity and benefits – for the migrants, host communities and communities of origin. However, when poorly regulated it can create significant challenges. These challenges include reception capacity and support for migrants in host countries overwhelmed by the unexpected arrival of large numbers of people, and the deaths of migrants undertaking dangerous journeys.

Migration is a macro-critical policy issue. According to the UNDP, with a zero-migration scenario, in the developed world, by 2025, only New Zealand and Ireland will still have growth in their working age population, as all other countries are experiencing severe decline. In other cases, such as Australia, France, and the United States, population growth is expected to stagnate. One way, among others, to counterbalance decline or stagnation in population growth is to open legal channels to migration, and this calls for a global solution. There is also an urgent need to replace irregular migratory flows, which have caused so much suffering and extreme human rights violations, with legal channels for migration.
Aim of the global compact on migration

On 19 September 2016, the UN General Assembly tackled these issues for the first time, at a high-level plenary meeting on addressing large movements of refugees and migrants. The high-level meeting culminated in the adoption of the New York Declaration for Refugees and Migrants, which launched a process of intergovernmental negotiations, aimed at the adoption of a global compact for safe, orderly and regular migration in 2018. The theme of the 72nd UNGA (UNGA 72) general debate, which began on 19 September 2017, was ‘focusing on people: striving for peace and a decent life for all on a sustainable planet’. Within the framework of the UNGA, the UNHCR and the Office of the UN Special Representative for International Migration hosted an event on the anniversary of the New York Declaration on 20 September 2017.

The Global Compact on Migration is the first-ever UN global agreement on a common approach to international migration in all its dimensions. It is grounded in values of state sovereignty, responsibility-sharing, non-discrimination, and human rights, and recognises that a cooperative approach is needed to optimise the overall benefits of migration, while addressing its risks and challenges for individuals and communities in countries of origin, transit and destination.

The global compact on migration (GCM) is of a non-legally binding nature. In 2017, the United States, which initially under the Obama administration supported the GCM in 2016, decided to withdraw its commitment to the GCM, as the Trump administration prefers to apply a more protectionist version of the principle of sovereignty, particularly regarding border management. The current US administration felt that the GCM would be incompatible with US sovereignty. Similar fears were echoed also by other states that did not in the end endorse the GCM in Marrakech. These countries include Austria, Australia, Bulgaria, Chile, Croatia, the Czech Republic, Dominican Republic, Estonia, Hungary, Italy, Israel, Latvia, Poland, Slovakia and Switzerland (Switzerland delayed its support until after a vote in parliament).

The global compact comprises 23 objectives for better management of migration at local, national, regional and global levels. These objectives are listed in paragraph 16 of the GCM. The compact:

- aims to mitigate the adverse drivers and structural factors that hinder people from building and maintaining sustainable livelihoods in their countries of origin;
- intends to reduce the risks and vulnerabilities migrants face at different stages of migration by respecting, protecting and fulfilling their human rights and providing them with care and assistance;
- seeks to address the legitimate concerns of states and communities, while recognising that societies are undergoing demographic, economic, social and environmental changes at different scales that may have implications for and result from migration;
- strives to create conditions conducive to enabling all migrants to enrich our societies through their human, economic and social capacities, and thus facilitate their contributions to sustainable development at local, national, regional and global levels.

What does the global compact mean for signatory countries?

1. **Exchange of information**
   a. Much of the compact deals with the sharing of information between the different stakeholders, including states, NGOs, migrants and citizens of destination countries. The different nations, for instance, agreed to collect and share data on international migration to assess key migration trends, harmonise their methodologies and develop evidence-based policies. They also commit to strengthen their efforts to provide, make available and disseminate accurate, timely and transparent information about regular migration such as country-specific immigration laws and policies, visa requirements and fees.
b. Migrants, the compact says, should also be told about their rights about assistance and should be provided upon arrival at their destination with the information they need to integrate as swiftly as possible in their new society such as how to obtain work and residents permits. Under the compact, countries also agreed to collect and use data on the effects and benefits of migration, as well as the contributions of migrants and diasporas to sustainable development – the aim being to reduce discrimination against migrants.

2 Reducing departures
A number of objectives listed in the compact aim to curb the number of departures, with countries agreeing, for instance, to invest in programmes to minimise the adverse drivers and structural factors that compel people to leave their countries. Such programmes include those that aim to eradicate poverty, minimise the effects of climate change, empower girls and improve education, food security and rule of law. Enabling a faster, safer and cheaper transfer of remittances is also one of the main objectives to help reduce migration. The money that migrants send back home is seen as a big boost to the sustainable development of countries.

3 Reduce vulnerabilities of migrants during transit
The different stakeholders also agreed to cooperate to reduce vulnerabilities as migrants travel from their country of origin to their destination. This includes strengthening the transnational response to smuggling of migrants, taking legislative or other measures to eradicate trafficking in people, and managing borders in a coordinated manner by promoting bilateral and regional cooperation. Finally, the compact demands that nations cooperate through joint search and rescue operations to save lives and prevent migrant deaths or injuries.

4 Ensuring host nations treat migrants with dignity
Every country – whether those crossed as part of the journey or the destination – also agreed to treat migrants in a dignified way by limiting the use of immigration detention and ensuring that migrants can access basic services easily. Lastly, the signatories agreed to facilitate fair and ethical recruitment policies to ensure decent work by, for instance, developing bilateral, regional and multilateral labour mobility agreements.

The two-year period of negotiations that led up to the adoption of the GCM, i.e. from the latter part of 2016 and continuing into December 2018 – represented a crucial phase on the path towards a multilateral migration regime. This was a process of ‘internationalising’ migration policy, so as to move toward something potentially more robust and consequential. The resulting global regime will not constrain state behaviour; any new norms or institutions will not replace national-level controls on immigration. But, given favourable circumstances, the new regime could stimulate the progressive adoption of more humane, rights-based policies and practices, enhance international cooperation, and – over time – lead to growing appreciation and acceptance of global human mobility as a set of challenges to be met and opportunities to embrace, rather than as a source of threat and instability.

Process towards a global migration compact
A work plan outlined those ‘relevant processes, mechanisms and initiatives in the field of migration’ specifically called for in UNGA resolution A/71/280. This work plan covered the entire preparatory process in its three phases leading to the adoption of the global compact.
In January 2017, the UN began international negotiations for a global compact on migration, as called for in the New York Declaration of 19 September 2016. The process to develop this global compact for migration began in April 2017. A set of documents, known as zero draft(s), regarding the modalities for the intergovernmental negotiations of the GCM were produced and circulated. The final text was agreed upon 18 months into the process, on 13 July 2018. The GCM built on the commitments made in New York and thereafter so that future migration, like other areas of international relations, is guided by a set of common principles and approaches. Guidelines were produced on the treatment of migrants in vulnerable situations, which are particularly important for the increasing number of unaccompanied children on the move. Completion of the GCM involved the following three phases.

Phase I of the process covered the period from April 2017 to November 2017 and focused on consultations. During this consultation phase, member states had an opportunity to provide their input and share views on developing a global compact for safe, orderly and regular migration, in six informal thematic meetings on facilitating safe, orderly and regular migration held in New York, Geneva and Vienna. The 72nd UNGA in turn received the relevant background documents ahead of each informal thematic session. Other fora for input and sharing of views included other relevant processes, mechanisms and initiatives in the field of migration, such as the regional consultative processes, the Global Forum on Migration and Development and the IOM International Dialogue on Migration. In addition, the first two of four multi-stakeholder hearings were held during Phase I. The consultations undertaken during Phase I fed into the preparatory stocktaking meeting under Phase II.

Phase II of the process covered the period from November 2017 to January 2018 and took into consideration the consultations undertaken during Phase I. The outputs and/or summaries of each event under Phase I were shared with member states for their consideration under Phase II, with a view to the preparatory stocktaking meeting which took place in Mexico on 4 to 6 December 2017. The three-day meeting reviewed and analysed the data and recommendations gathered during the consultation phase. During Phase II, the Secretary-General’s report, as input to the draft of the global compact, and the intergovernmental negotiations was released. The preparatory stocktaking meeting and ensuing reports fed into the intergovernmental negotiations in Phase III. Phase III of the process was the final phase leading to adoption of the GCM. Phase III included the release of the draft of the global compact ahead of the intergovernmental negotiations which took place for several days each month from February through to July 2018. This phase also included the final two multi-stakeholder consultations.

Role of the International Organization for Migration

On 25 July 2016, the UN adopted a resolution on an Agreement to make the International Organization for Migration (IOM), until then only a related organisation, the UN migration agency. ‘The Agreement outlines a closer relationship between IOM and the UN to strengthen cooperation and enhance their ability to fulfil their respective mandates in the interest of migrants and Member States. Through the Agreement, the UN recognises IOM as an indispensable actor in the field of human mobility. This includes protection of migrants and displaced people in migration-affected communities, as well as in areas of refugee resettlement and voluntary returns, and incorporates migration in country development plans’. The IOM, which is tasked with servicing the negotiations on the GCM together with the UN Secretariat – will therefore be a key player in the ongoing debate on migration governance. According to the IOM, the global compacts on migration and on refugees ‘provide the opportunity to move ahead in strengthening the norms, principles, rules and decision-making processes that will allow for more effective international cooperation in responding to what is a defining issue’ today. Providing continued institutional support to address these issues and implement the outcomes of the global compacts will be a challenge.

The entry of IOM into the UN system is promising, but by no means sufficient. A principal obstacle to the IOM assuming this role as the global leader on migration is its financing mechanism. Its ‘projectised’ funding model has meant that IOM has necessarily had to focus on its operational programmes, with few resources available for policy-related work. Improving global migration governance, however, may require a stream of funds not tied to operations, which would allow the IOM to enhance its role in protecting the rights and safety of migrants and in assisting states and
other entities to develop and implement policies that contribute to safe, orderly and regular movements of people worldwide.

In this regard, UN Special Rapporteur on the Human Rights of Migrants, Professor François Crépeau, recommended that the IOM also take on responsibility for ensuring implementation of the International Convention of 18 December 1990 on the Protection of the Rights of all Migrant Workers and Members of their Families (ICRMW), much as UNHCR has responsibility for the Refugee Convention, as this would further reinforce and bind the UN member states to the commitments made in New York in 2016 which, in turn, will be reflected in the GCM. The ICRMW, which is also a UN convention, contains 93 clauses and is the longest human rights convention. In accordance with other human rights conventions, contracting parties are obliged to guarantee the human rights of working migrants listed in this convention, without discrimination. Since its entry into force on 1 July 2003, 49 states have ratified the convention. The countries that have ratified are primarily countries of origin of migrants. No migrant-receiving state in western Europe or North America has ratified the convention. Other important receiving countries, such as Australia, Persian Gulf Arab States, India, and South Africa have not ratified the convention.

Human rights at the heart of the global migration compact

The global compact offers a significant opportunity to improve governance on migration, to address the challenges associated with modern migration movements, and to strengthen the contribution of migrants and migration to sustainable development. The New York Declaration contains bold commitments both to address current issues and to prepare the world for future challenges. The declaration calls for the protection of all migrants, regardless of their migratory status, and calls for particular attention to be paid to migrants in vulnerable situations, including women at risk, children, persons with disabilities, persons who are discriminated against on any basis, victims of violence, and victims of trafficking. The declaration, in full compliance with the Convention on the Rights of the Child and its Optional Protocols, prioritises the best interest of the child in future migration policy, with particular attention paid to the situation of unaccompanied children and those separated from their families. The declaration calls for an end to the practice of detaining children for the purposes of determining their migration status. Among other things, the declaration also calls for stronger recognition of the positive contributions made by migrants to economic and social development in their host countries and strongly condemns xenophobia against refugees and migrants, and supports a global campaign to counter it. The commitments endorsed in the declaration also call for mainstreaming of the gender perspective in migration policy.

As two academic researchers, Elspeth Guild and Stefanie Grant, explain: 'The first of the commitments set out regarding migrants is the protection of the safety, dignity, human rights and fundamental freedoms of all migrants irrespective of their migratory status ... The second commitment of the Declaration is to safeguard the rights of, protect the interests of, and assist migrant communities abroad, including through consular protection, assistance and cooperation in accordance with international law ... The Compact is to build on existing bilateral, regional and global cooperation and partnership mechanisms that facilitate migration in accordance with the 2030 Development Agenda. Cooperation with countries of origin, transit and destination is planned, including regional consultative processes, international organisations (with specific reference to the Red Cross and Crescent Movement), regional economic organisations, local government authorities, the private sector, labour unions, civil society and migrant and diaspora groups. Specific emphasis is placed on the role of local authorities'.

Returning migrants to their country of origin needs to be consistent with international human rights law and the refugee law principle of non-refoulement. To this end, the GCM will, in the same vein as the 2016 declaration, call for existing readmission agreements to be fully implemented. As stated in the 2016 declaration (point 42), ‘everyone has the right to leave any country, including his or her own, and to return to his or her country ... States must readmit their returning nationals and ensure
that they are duly received without undue delay, following confirmation of their nationalities in accordance with national legislation'.

**EU priorities for the global migration compact**

The EU has positioned itself more concretely as a global actor in migration. To this end it aimed to play a strong role in the preparation of the GCM, and continued in the same vein, with the support of almost all Member States. The EU supports the UN in this endeavour, in the context of promoting effective multilateralism. When it came to the final stages running up to the adoption of the GCM in Marrakech on 10 December 2018 though, 11 EU MemberStates refrained from endorsing the GCM. Under the European Commission's 2017 annual work programme, the Directorate-General for International Cooperation and Development (DG DEVCO) had earmarked €1.7 million in support of the migration compact process. The main objectives are to help improve the consultation and participation of developing countries, civil society and affected communities; support learning from related pilot projects and research on migration; as well as to help monitor progress. The EU priorities, detailed in its 'EU input to the UN Secretary-General's report on the Global Compact for Safe, Orderly and Regular Migration', presented to the 72nd UNGA in September 2017 were six-fold and were widely endorsed in the final text of the compact:

1. **Upholding the human rights of all migrants and protecting migrants in vulnerable situations**
   
The EU recalls the purposes and principles of the UN Charter and of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the core international human rights treaties. It calls upon states to fully protect the human rights of all migrants, regardless of their status; all persons are rights-holders. To this end human rights must be at the centre of all policies addressing large movements of migrants, to fully observe the fundamental values of respect for human dignity, freedom, democracy, equality, the rule of law, and the respect for fundamental rights as well as laws and regulations of their host countries. The EU calls for particular attention to be paid to addressing the specific needs of migrants in vulnerable situations and to ensure that the best interests of children are a primary consideration.

2. **Addressing the drivers of migration, including climate change impacts, natural disasters and man-made crises**
   
   This would address the drivers of migration, in line with the commitments under the 2030 Agenda to leave no one behind and the UN Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), to promote more coherent approaches, including on the nexus of humanitarian assistance and development cooperation.

3. **Connecting migration with development, including remittances and the portability of earned benefits**
   
   In response to protectionist discourse, the EU considers that it is important to recognise the positive contribution of migrants for inclusive growth and sustainable development, as stated in the 2030 Agenda, and at the same time its complex challenges for host societies; to acknowledge that well-governed regular migration can contribute, through coherent and comprehensive responses, to sustainable development for countries of origin, transit and destination, including by supporting the development of countries of origin through social and financial remittances.

4. **Promoting international governance of migration, including through effective cooperation on return, readmission, integration and reintegration**
   
   Key to reinforcing the principles of solidarity and shared responsibility in managing large movements of migrants, and promoting and protecting human rights of all migrants, comprehensive migration policies and strategies at national level need to address migration in a holistic way. The institutional, administrative and technical capacities of governments and other stakeholders alike should be strengthened, for effective implementation of migration strategies at national level. In addition the EU calls for better global, regional and bilateral migration management and closer cooperation among countries of origin, transit and destination, including effective cooperation on return and readmission.
5. Addressing irregular migration, including trafficking in human beings and smuggling of migrants, and promoting border management

The EU proposes reinforcement of national and international measures to combat trafficking in human beings and smuggling of migrants, acknowledging their multi-faceted dimension, as well as more widespread recognition that migrant smuggling, often facilitated by organised crime networks, can further exacerbate the drivers of migration and foster irregular migration. While acknowledging that states have sovereign rights and responsibilities to manage and control their borders, this needs, however, to be in full compliance with the applicable obligations under international law, including international human rights, international refugee law, respect for the principle of non-refoulement, and the prohibition of collective expulsion. Bilateral and regional dialogues and (operational) cooperation with relevant partners, in particular between countries of origin, transit and destination is to be promoted as widely as possible.

6. Promoting regular pathways

In compliance with the commitments made in the 2030 Agenda and ILO general principles and operational guidelines, the EU calls for employment creation, labour mobility at all skills levels, circular migration, family reunification and education-related opportunities to be enabled, while recognising national competences, and the situation and needs of the labour market in the host countries. The reinforcement of the capacities of host societies to foster mobility schemes for students and researchers, as well as highly skilled migrants should also be further developed.

EU external migration policy instruments

The concept of such a compact is not new to the EU, although within the context of the GCM, it is transposing its own experience to a global scale. The global approach to migration and mobility (GAMM) – launched in 2005 and renewed in 2011 – is the overarching framework for EU external migration and asylum policy. The framework defines how the EU conducts its policy dialogues and cooperation with non-EU countries, based on clearly defined priorities, and is embedded in the EU’s overall external action, including development cooperation. GAMM calls for migration dialogues with partner countries through the common agendas on migration and mobility (CAMM) and through the regional processes. For example, in the Southern Neighbourhood, migration is also a major priority in a series of EU regional initiatives, such as the EU-Africa Partnership on Migration on mobility and employment, the Rabat process with western African countries, and the Khartoum Process with eastern African countries. In recent years, the EU has also signed mobility partnerships with several countries in its immediate and wider neighbourhood. The new Partnership Framework on Migration launched in June 2016 designs specific tailored ‘migration compacts’ with third countries, integrating all EU policies, tools and instruments, in order to manage migration better. Priority countries in Africa include Ethiopia, Mali, Niger, Nigeria and Senegal. These offer a comprehensive framework for bilateral cooperation between the EU and its partner countries, based on mutual commitments and project initiatives covering mobility, migration and asylum issues, within the global approach. The Valletta Summit, held in Malta in November 2015, launched an Emergency Trust Fund for Africa (EUTF), to assist countries in the Sahel region, the Horn of Africa and North Africa in addressing the causes of uncontrolled migration flows, so that harder measures, such as deployment of the EU naval force to tackle migrant smugglers in the Mediterranean, remain limited in scope. On 3 February 2017, a stock-taking of the EUTF’s implementation was published as the Malta Declaration.

The global approach to migration and mobility also includes actions on human rights. The new human rights and democracy action plan, particularly in Action 24, calls for EU diplomacy to enhance human rights safeguards in all migration and mobility dialogues, and to assess policy ex-ante, using human rights impact assessments. It refers to the following priorities for EU action on migration and development: mitigation of brain drain; supporting the contribution of the diaspora; private-public partnerships; reducing the cost of remittances; and assistance to partner countries to identify recruiters, in particular with a view to facilitating circular migration. In response to the unprecedented refugee situation unfolding in Europe in 2015, the EU published the European Agenda for Migration on 13 May 2015, consolidating the objective of cooperation with countries of
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origin and transit in order to address irregular migratory flows to Europe. The agenda presented a set of immediate measures and long-term objectives. Safe, orderly and regular migration can have a positive impact on **sustainable development, forced displacement and irregular migration** in large movements, all of which raise very complex challenges, including trafficking and smuggling and related massive human rights violations. The UN New York Declaration sets out steps towards the achievement of a GCM in 2018, in line with **target 10.7 of the SDGs**. A global response to migration requires the convergence of interests of the countries concerned which can be contradictory, at least on a short-term basis. Developing a win-win strategy entails dialogue, consultation and ownership of all parties (see the section on ‘process’ above).  

Figure 1: Main migratory routes into Europe

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Migratory routes</th>
<th>All routes</th>
<th>6-monthly data (in 1,000)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>1,594</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>1,594</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>1,594</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>1,594</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>1,594</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Opening legal migration channels**

In May 2016, **Directive 2016/801/EU** on the conditions of entry and residence of third-country nationals for the purposes of research, studies, training, voluntary service, pupil exchange schemes or educational projects and au pairing was adopted. Its adoption **completed** the package of legal migration measures that had been proposed by the previous European Commission, which included a Directive on intra-corporate transferees (**Directive 2014/66/EU**) and a Directive on seasonal workers (**Directive 2014/36/EU**). In June 2016, the Commission published a **new legal migration package**, which includes an action plan on integration of third-country nationals. In September 2016, the Commission launched its **legal migration fitness check**, with the aim of evaluating the relevance, coherence, effectiveness, efficiency and EU added value of several EU legal...
migration tools. These include, in addition to those mentioned above, the Family Reunification Directive (2003/86/EC); the Long-term Residents Directive (2003/109/EC), as amended; the original EU Blue Card Directive (2009/50/EC), which is currently under revision; as well as the Single Permit Directive (2011/98/EU). The new Consensus on Development adopted in May 2017 refers to the benefits of transfer of knowledge, skills and productive capacity to migrants themselves, their families and the countries of origin and destination in the context of regular migration. A report on regular migration will be produced in 2018.

The impact of migration flow on the policy dimension, and notably on the development/security nexus, was further developed in the EU global strategy on foreign and security policy presented in June 2016 by the EU High Representative for Foreign Affairs and Security Policy, Federica Mogherini. The strategy establishes the concept of ‘principled pragmatism’, with an emphasis on tailored approaches to individual countries. Measures included in the new skills agenda for Europe are also relevant for third-country nationals and should support their integration.

According to the World Bank, remittances to developing countries represent more than €382 billion, exceeding to a great extent the amount of official development assistance. However, as recognised by the World Bank, the actual figure is larger, as migrants use informal channels to avoid the costs of money transfer. Target 10 c of the SDGs envisages reducing migrant remittance transaction costs to less than 3 %, and eliminating remittance corridors with costs higher than 5 %. Remittances improve the daily life of the recipients, but can also create dependency in the country of origin, unless invested in long-term projects. Nevertheless, focusing on remittances may further burden migrants with responsibility for development in their country of origin.

Since 2005, the EU has supported return and reintegration of migrants in third countries through the Development Cooperation Instrument thematic programme on migration and asylum. Between 2005 and 2015, €65 million in EU funding was allocated for this purpose, benefitting over 50 projects with a reintegration dimension. The European migration network’s expert group on return, identifies 96 programmes designed to help migrants to return and reintegrate in their home country in the EU, and is implemented by 26 Member States, plus Norway. These programmes aim to assist migrants who have been granted a period of time for voluntary departure before a forced removal is executed. Specific programmes address the needs of vulnerable groups, such as unaccompanied minors, victims of human trafficking, and people with specific medical needs. Annually, more than 55 000 migrants participate in these programmes, carried out by the IOM, and, in several cases, by national authorities. Sometimes, non-governmental organisations (NGOs) are also involved. This is especially important when dealing with the return of vulnerable groups, particularly unaccompanied and separated migrant children.

Specific reintegration of migrant initiatives support both reintegration-related measures in partner countries and the Member States’ assisted voluntary return and reintegration programmes, demonstrating an increasing understanding of the nexus between a successful EU migration policy and partner countries’ development. The re-integration of returning migrants to third countries needs to be sustainable in a broader sense not only to include self-sufficiency, but also to have a meaningful impact on the economic and social development of communities of origin.

The Asylum, Migration and Integration Fund (AMIF) 2014-2020, with €3.137 billion, promotes efficient management of migration flows and the implementation, strengthening and development of a common EU approach to asylum and immigration. Among other things, the fund promotes legal migration to EU Member States, in line with labour market needs, and the effective integration of non-EU nationals; it aims to enhancing fair and effective return strategies, which contribute to combating irregular migration, with an emphasis on the sustainability and effectiveness of the return process.

The next, 2021-2027 MFF for migration-related issues is to be almost tripled to reach more than €34.9 billion, compared with €14 billion for the 2014-2020 period. The framework will focus on supporting what the Commission calls a robust, realistic and fair migration policy through a
reinforced Asylum and Migration Fund of €11.2 billion. The fund will support a stronger and more efficient European asylum system, faster and more frequent returns, and early integration of non-EU nationals staying lawfully in the EU in the short-term, previously not included among the fund’s tasks. The long-term budget will also address significant strengthening of the EU’s external borders through the creation of a new Integrated Border Management Fund worth €9.3 billion and through a significant increase in funding, totalling €12 billion for the decentralised agencies supporting Member States protecting the borders, notably the European Border and Coast Guard Agency.

European Parliament response

Several Parliament committees have discussed developments in the preparation of the GCM, in particular with representatives of key international actors in the process.

In its resolution ‘Addressing refugee and migrant movements: the role of EU external action’, adopted on 5 April 2017, the European Parliament urged the international community to take action to forge a common response to address the challenges that the phenomenon represents, highlighting in particular that international migration could contribute to socio-economic development. It expressed deep concern and solidarity with regard to the large number of refugees and migrants who suffer grave human rights violations in their countries of origin. It welcomed the ‘Together’ campaign launched by the UN to reduce negative perceptions and attitudes towards refugees and migrants, and highlighted the fundamental principles enshrined in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights (UDHR). Parliament raised particular concerns about the vulnerabilities of migrant women (who make up the majority of international migrants in Europe) and unaccompanied migrant and refugee children. The resolution called for the establishment of a genuine, human-rights-based common European migration policy, based on the principle of solidarity among Member States as enshrined in Article 80 of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union (TFEU), while securing EU external borders and adequate legal channels for safe and orderly migration. Closer cooperation with NGOs and experts working in the countries of origin of asylum-seekers was essential for providing a considered response, as was cooperation and partnership with third countries to address the root causes of migration through EU external policies, in parallel to implementing the sustainable development goals. To this end, the resolution commended the UN’s work, which began in January 2017, towards a global compact on migration and global compact for refugees.

In line with EU principles, one overall objective of EU external migration policies is to establish a multilateral governance regime for international migration, in full cooperation with the UN. In its resolution on Progress on UN Global compacts for safe, orderly and regular migration and on refugees of 18 April 2018, Parliament expressed strong support for the objectives of the New York Declaration for Refugees and Migrants and the corresponding process for developing a global governance regime, for enhancing coordination on international migration, human mobility, large movements of refugees and protracted refugee situations, and for putting in place durable solutions and approaches, clearly outlining the importance of protecting the rights of refugees and migrants.
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ENDNOTES

1 For a more detailed timeline see: E. Guild and S. Grant, *What role for the EU in the UN negotiations on a Global Compact on Migration*, CEPS, March 2017.

2 Part I contains the scope and definitions. Part II enlists the human rights of working migrants without discrimination. In Part III, human rights specific to migrants are listed separately. Part IV is concerned with further rights of migrant workers that regularly reside in their home country. Part V entails regulations concerning specific categories of migrants, such as cross-border commuters, seasonal labourers and travellers. Part VI lists regulations dealing with working migrants without a residence permit.

3 This is with reference to the Vienna Convention on Consular Relations of 1963, which sets out the rights of consular authorities, and the duties of states vis-à-vis those authorities, to protect their citizens abroad.

4 Since the inception of the EUTF for Africa, the total amount of resources made available in the three regional windows has increased to more than €2.9 billion including EU funding as well as donors’ contributions. As of July 2017, 118 programmes had been financed for a total amount of €1.89 billion. It is divided regionally as follows: Sahel/Lake Chad: €1 001.8 million, Horn of Africa: €665 million, and North of Africa: €222.5 million. Of the total amount approved, more than €1 144.2 million was contracted to implementing partners. The priorities of the trust fund are: economy, resilience, migration management, and stability and government.

5 For longer-term funding, on 14 September 2016 the European Commission announced the creation of a European external investment plan, to address some of the root causes of migration.

6 According to the UN Special Representative for International Migration, Louise Arbour, the long-term objective of mainstreaming migration into development policy should be to increase migration by choice and reduce irregular migration.
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