Abstract

This report summarises the presentations and discussions of a workshop on “Autoimmune Diseases – Modern Diseases”, held at the European Parliament in Brussels on Monday 25 September 2017. The aim of the workshop was to provide background and technical information and advice to the members of the ENVI Committee on the latest findings and trends in the field of autoimmune diseases, specifically concerning treatment and prevention of such diseases.

The current state of play of autoimmune diseases in Europe was highlighted during the first part of the workshop. Presentations focused on the public health prospective, and the possible causes of autoimmune diseases.

The second part of the workshop focused on treatment and prevention of autoimmune diseases. This included presentations looking at the situation outside the US, and a focus on the lupus as a case study.
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EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

On 25 September 2017, the European Parliament’s Committee on Environment, Public Health and Food Safety (ENVI) held a workshop on “Autoimmune diseases – Modern Diseases”. The workshop was hosted by Mr Alojz PETERLE (MEP), Co-Chair of the Health Working Group within the ENVI Committee.

The Chair, Mr Peterle, opened the workshop by highlighting the fact that there has been a significant increase in autoimmune diseases (ADs) diagnosed over the last decade, caused by either genetics or environmental factors; for example, lifestyle choices or pollution. The public health scale of the problem is significant, so a better understanding of ADs, their causes and the relationships between different types is crucial in order to improve their diagnoses, prevention and management.

The first part of the workshop focused on the state of play of autoimmune diseases in Europe. Dr HAYES, Senior Advisor at the World Health Organisation (Brussels) opened the session by outlining autoimmune diseases from a public health perspective. She started by drawing parallels with the battle on non-communicable diseases. She noted how one of these diseases (diabetes) had successfully caught the attention of policy-makers and the United Nations, and went on to introduce some of the actions that were taken as a result. Dr Hayes turned the discussion to autoimmune diseases (specifically musculoskeletal diseases) that have an impact similar to that of cancer on people’s life expectancy and quality of life (disability-adjusted life years). She presented several tools and policy actions implemented by the WHO to reduce this condition and outlined the progress made promoting autoimmune diseases in the policy sphere and generally. Dr Hayes finished her presentation by offering some recommendations to further increase policy attention with regard to autoimmune diseases, including the importance of visionary leadership and effective representation, policy cohesion, policy windows, and effective interventions.

Professor LERNER, Senior Scientist at B. Rappaport School of Medicine, Technion-Israel Institute of Technology and Aesku-KIPP Institute, Germany, focused his presentation on the possible triggers of autoimmune diseases. He also noted that the rate of these diseases has increased in recent years and stressed that there are various types of disease that fall into the category of autoimmune diseases. Prof. Lerner highlighted the effects of various impacts on different domains/organs in the body, focusing in particular on intercellular tight junctions. He explained how various foods and drugs affect the microbiome, taking gluten as an example. He also explained the role of horizontal gene transfer, which can be a cause for concern when humans consume foods with bacteria which then transfers genetic material that can trigger an autoimmune disease.

The second part of the workshop focused on the treatment and prevention of autoimmune diseases. Professor BACH, Permanent Honorary Secretary at the Académie des Sciences in Paris, began his presentation by giving an overview of the statistics for the United States of America, noting that for many such diseases, there is a large disparity between the number of male and female patients. He explained that the causes of autoimmune diseases tend to be either environmental or genetic, expanding further on the environmental factors. He noted that globally, autoimmune diseases are more prevalent in developed countries, for reasons including diet, lifestyle, health systems and, notably, hygiene. Prof. Bach finished his presentation by giving an overview of therapy for autoimmune diseases. He noted that immunosuppression therapy has changed drastically in recent decades, monoclonal antibody therapy remains expensive and autoantigen therapy has been showing positive results in recent research.
The final speaker of the afternoon was Ms LERSTRØM, chair of LUPUS EUROPE, who spoke on the prevention and treatment of autoimmune diseases, focusing specifically on lupus. Lupus is a chronic inflammatory disease that can affect many different body systems - including joints, skin, kidneys, blood cells, brain, heart and lungs. She started by introducing the disease, noting that symptoms are different for different people. Next, she discussed some of the common triggers (e.g. sun exposure) experienced by sufferers and the mental impact these can have on the patient. Ms Lerstrøm then explained some of the treatment options, noting that the medications used are similar to those used to treat other autoimmune diseases. She also mentioned the possibility of using biologics, which are expensive yet not effective on all patients. Ms Lerstrøm stressed that with only one new compound developed in more than 50 years, current treatment is simply not good enough. Ms Lerstrøm ended her presentation by reinforcing the role of patient groups, and specifically LUPUS EUROPE.

In his closing remarks, the Chair Mr Peterle thanked the speakers and stressed the importance of a “health in all policies” approach. He noted that more can be achieved by working together and that there are various steps that can/are being taken, particularly with regard to supporting patient organisations.
EU POLICY CONTEXT

The immune system is a complex network of cells and molecules that work together to protect the body against various diseases\(^1\). However, in certain circumstances, the immune system may also attack and damage the body’s own tissues, organs and cells, resulting in autoimmune diseases (ADs)\(^2\).

Almost any part of the body can be targeted by the immune system, including the heart, brain, nerves, muscles, skin, eyes, lungs, the digestive tract and blood vessels\(^3\). A broad range of ADs exist given that they vary according to the part of the body that is being targeted by the immune system. Common ADs include rheumatoid arthritis, systemic lupus erythematosus, multiple sclerosis, type 1 diabetes, psoriasis, and celiac diseases\(^4\). The symptoms that AD patients develop are wide-ranging, making it extremely complex for physicians to make a diagnosis. Moreover, ADs are typically treated by different specialists depending on the organ involved rather than as a group as such\(^5\).

Only 67 ADs had been identified by 1992\(^6\), but the number of discoveries is increasing rapidly\(^7\). To date, the American Autoimmune Related Disease Association (AARDA) has classified more than 100 ADs\(^8\), making it the third most common type of disease in the United States\(^9\). In fact, ADs affect 5 to 10% of the global population, particularly women, who are two to ten times more likely to suffer from an AD than men\(^10\). Some of the ADs are within the top 10 leading causes of death among women aged 65 and older\(^11\).

While AD symptoms can be treated – generally by reducing immune system activity - , there is currently no cure for autoimmunity\(^12\), which results in great direct and indirect costs for society. According to the AARDA, if all the 100 ADs discovered to date were considered together, the estimated cost to society would range from 80 to 100 billion dollars\(^13\). Furthermore, the causes triggering ADs are currently unknown. A publication issued by the World Health Organization (WHO) in 2006 pointed out that: 'Autoimmune diseases are multifactorial. Both intrinsic factors (e.g. genetics, hormones, age) and environmental factors (e.g. infections, diet, drugs, environmental chemicals) may

contribute to the induction, development, and progression of autoimmune diseases\textsuperscript{14}. With regard to genetics, research has demonstrated that people who have a genetic predisposition to ADs, have a two to five-times higher risk of developing them compared to the general population\textsuperscript{15}.

Despite the public health scale of the problem, the unknown aetiology of ADs, the difficulties involved in diagnosing them, the heavy costs to society and the unavailability of cures, scientific research has concentrated on only a few of the more than 100 known ADs\textsuperscript{16}. Moreover, ADs are studied and treated differently depending on the organ affected due to the considerable variation in their causes and health effects. This situation also negatively impacts the funding allocated to research on autoimmunity. In fact, so long as ADs are not studied as a group, and are considered instead under a common umbrella category, funding will primarily be channelled to specific ADs while all-encompassing research efforts will be more limited\textsuperscript{17}.

While the knowledge on autoimmune diseases is expanding rapidly, a better understanding of ADs, their causes, and the relationships between different types, has been deemed to be crucial in order to improve their diagnosis, prevention and management. There is, therefore, a need to encourage and finance crossover research in order to better understand the relationships between the different ADs and the different causes that may trigger them, which span from UVB radiation, dietary factors, stress, exposure to certain chemicals (e.g. quartz and solvents) and vitamin D deficiency\textsuperscript{18}. Furthermore, scientific literature has pinpointed the importance of studying ADs under a common research umbrella and to increase research efforts to identify new treatments\textsuperscript{19}. Finally, given the magnitude of the problems, together with the significant differences between Member States concerning how best to address and categorize these diseases, the EU can adopt an important coordination- and awareness-raising role and can prioritize the issue on the health policy agenda.


PROCEEDINGS OF THE WORKSHOP

1.1. Introduction

1.1.1. Welcome and opening

MEP Mr Alojz PETERLE, Co-Chair, ENVI Health Working Group

Mr Alojz PETERLE, MEP, opened the workshop by welcoming those in attendance. He stated that autoimmune diseases (ADs) are one of the most challenging types of diseases, which have increased significantly over the last decades. He noted that he was most pleased that the health working group in the ENVI committee was discussing the importance of autoimmune diseases.

Mr Peterle then gave some background on the situation of ADs over recent years, noting that although the exact cause is unknown, both environmental and genetic factors can trigger such diseases. With different symptoms which can change over time, these diseases are difficult to diagnose and are often subject to treatments aimed only at managing symptoms, rather than curing the disease. In addition, the range of symptoms means a range of specialists are needed to treat them, and thus there needs to be enhanced collaboration between these specialists. Mr Peterle noted that this workshop embodies that spirit, bringing together distinguished guests from various fields.

Mr Peterle then gave the floor to the first speaker.

1.2. Part I: The Current State of Play Of Autoimmune Diseases in Europe

1.2.1. Autoimmune diseases: a public health perspective

Dr Luminita Silvia HAYES, Senior Advisor, World Health Organisation (WHO), Office at the European Union

Dr Luminita Silvia HAYES began her presentation by introducing the question: ‘how can we make autoimmune diseases more visible to policy makers nationally and globally?’ Dr Hayes used non-communicable diseases (NCDs) to set the scene, noting that this group of diseases has attracted the attention of policy makers in the last decades. Prior to 2011, these diseases, cardiovascular disease, diabetes, cancer, and chronic respiratory diseases, had at least one strong civil society advocate, working largely independently from each other. Through significant resource and stakeholder mobilisation, the group representing diabetes managed to get a resolution passed at the United Nations General Assembly in 2007, establishing a World Diabetes Day. This, Dr Hayes said, was a worthwhile prize and is still a rallying cry for the field.

In 2011, the groups representing these four groups of diseases - cardiovascular disease, diabetes, cancer, and chronic respiratory diseases - came together to realise that their interest lay not only with getting commemorative days, but instead in reaching Heads of State and working towards a goal of health in all policies. This resulted in the United Nations Declaration on NCDs. Stakeholders behind this initiative are looking forward to the third high-level meeting in 2018. In addition, high level targets have been developed, as part of the Sustainable Development Goals, and in Europe, they represent one of the four pillars in the public health framework, Health 2020.
NCD mortality is generally on the decline in all European countries, although gender gaps exist, as do differences in health systems. The persistent inequity can in part be attributed to research not being undertaken to identify new public health interventions. Dr Hayes then introduced a score card that is part of the Global Monitoring Framework Scoreboard for Europe. It asks the question, in a business-as-usual scenario, how likely are the nine NCD-related targets of the global monitoring framework to be achieved by 2025? Dr Hayes pointed out that only two targets are on course to being reached with regard to overall mortality from cardiovascular diseases (and other NCDs) and to elevated blood-pressure. Three important targets scored poorly, related to tobacco and alcohol consumption, and obesity, and these three targets will almost certainly not reach their targets, despite their wide-scale nature and the large-scale coalitions working in their favour. This demonstrates, Dr Hayes concluded, that countries need to put more effort into actions supporting their policies.

Dr Hayes then switched the focus of her presentation back to ADs. Similar to the role diabetes played as a front-runner for NCDs, Dr Hayes named Psoriasis as the front-runner for ADs. This disease has been recognised by the World Health Assembly as a public health problem of note. A resolution has directed that the WHO should produce a regulatory vote on the subject. This, Dr Hayes said, is a great achievement; however, it cannot be considered a sustainable model. Psoriasis is only one of many, many recognised ADs. It is inconceivable to imagine that more than a small fraction of them will be recognised in the same way. It thus makes more sense to determine the commonalities and the public health concerns related to all or most of these diseases. Attention and resources should focus on governance, surveillance, prevention, and health services, as was done in the case of the NCDs.

Dr Hayes noted that there some considerations for the public health issues, specific to ADs, which must be taken into account. They revolve around the current amount of data available, which is insufficient. They tend to focus on only a small number of ADs, and tend to be limited to industrialised countries. In addition, they are limited in the age, and timing of exposure, and more information is needed on the correlation between race and ethnicity to separate genetic from environmental causes.

From the public health perspective, the implications concern the expensive and life-long treatment often needed by those affected by ADs, gender equity (with many autoimmune diseases disproportionately affecting women), and environmental factors.

Dr Hayes then drew the lessons together to draw attention to three important areas where joint action could make a big difference: surveillance, health systems, and research. She then expanded on this further using musculoskeletal conditions as an example. Dr Hayes noted that this is an area of significance for two reasons: many ADs have musculoskeletal manifestations, and in this area, many developments have been made through joint action. Taken together, they account for a large number of DALYs lost annually (Disability-adjusted life year), with figures comparable to mental health or cancer, thus making it a serious problem.

Dr Hayes noted that one of the approaches to prevention of musculoskeletal conditions is to recognise the common risk factors, especially the behavioural risk factors, that are common to the NCDs. Population-based prevention on these risk factors helps both the NCDs and musculoskeletal conditions. In the case of ADs, such risks need to be identified and managed.

---

Dr Hayes noted that population preventive methods also have an economic dimension. For example, for musculoskeletal conditions, it is known that physical activity can lead to improved mobility. She referenced the Health Economic Assessment Tools (HEAT) used by countries to assess the cost-effectiveness of environmental policies to promote walking and cycling\textsuperscript{21}, and the Strategy and Action Plan for Healthy Ageing in Europe 2012-2020\textsuperscript{22}, noting that such tools will be used by policy makers. She also spoke about People-Centred Health Systems, a framework adopted by WHO Europe. The main aspects include: empowering and engaging people, strengthening governance and accountability, reorienting the model of care, and coordination services within and across sectors.

Dr Hayes presented an example of a unified public health approach to musculoskeletal health, developed by Arthritis Research UK\textsuperscript{23}. A model for advocates and scientists hoping to raise policy awareness for autoimmune disease, especially since the overlaps between musculoskeletal conditions and ADs mean that much of the framework is already provided. She also referred the audience to a 2007 paper by Shiffman and Smith on the setting of global health priorities\textsuperscript{24}. The paper lists factors likely to foster policy attention and investment. Dr Haye wondered how this list could relate to autoimmune diseases – is there already policy cohesion? Are there visionary leaders and guiding institutions? Are there key messages? What are the policy windows? This last point, Dr Haye suggested, should be the start of further discussions.

1.2.2. An overview of possible causes triggering autoimmune diseases

Prof. Aaron LERNER, senior Scientist, B. Rappaport School of Medicine, Technion-Israel Institute of Technology and Aesku-KIPP Institute, Wendelsheim, Germany

Prof LERNER, who is a trained gastroenterologist and nutritionist, spoke about the possible causes of ADs. He focused the presentation on this field. Prof Lerner began by noting that instances of ADs have increased tremendously, up to 9% per year for some diseases\textsuperscript{25}. He then went on to give an overview of the dysbiotic effects contributing to such diseases – ranging from systemic effects, to effects on the skin, heart, liver, etc. – which are seen in many autoimmune diseases. Prof. Lerner noted that the microbiome (the genomes of the bacteria, fungi, archaea, and viruses that live inside us, in this case, the intestines) produces various molecules, which can have both adverse and advantageous consequences. He presented several examples of advantageous consequences, including those that provide energy or fight pathogens, but also examples of those that produce toxins or promote cancer. Prof. Lerner also explained how a normal protein can be changed in such a way that the body’s immune system no longer recognises it, and treats it instead as foreign protein – which may be a first step towards anAD.

Prof. Lerner elaborated on this further, using the tight junction. This is a complex mechanism between two cells whose membranes join, stopping toxins from entering the body. Prof Lerner highlighted several environmental factors from pathogens to nutrients to lifestyle factors which can either breach the tight junction integrity, or increase intestinal permeability. He noted that there is a large body of research on this, including research on


\textsuperscript{22} \url{http://www.euro.who.int/__data/assets/pdf_file/0008/175544/RC62wd10Rev1-Eng.pdf}

\textsuperscript{23} \url{http://www.arthritisresearchuk.org/~/media/Files/Policy%20files/2014/public-health-guide.aspx}


progress. In this study, it found that a lot of nutrients can impact the tight junction integrity, leading to things like 'leaky gut', either adversely or advantageously. If the adverse effects outweigh the advantageous ones, this could be a step towards the development of an AD.

Prof. Lerner then turned to the possible environmental factors leading to the development of an AD, noting that the microbiome can be affected by many different factors. He listed several factors, from diet and food additives to mode of delivery (C-section vs natural delivery) to hygiene to age, all affecting intestinal permeability. He also referred the audience to a publication looking at seven food additives responsible for increasing numbers of ADs, noting that, for example, nanotechnology can result in a significant increase in such diseases.

Prof. Lerner then expanded further on gluten. He presented an overview of how gluten affects gut events, and the microbiome. He noted that there were a lot of immune effects, including stimulation of well-known pathways for autoimmunity. He singled out an enzyme which is heavily consumed, which can drive autoimmunity, especially with regard to Celiac disease. Prof. Lerner outlined a study which looked at how a celiac patient reacts to this enzyme, found very often as a food additive. He noted that wheat eaten in previous times, was only 10% of gliadin proteins, compared to the gluten consumed today which is 80%, making it much more immunogenic.

Prof. Lerner spoke about bacteria, and horizontal gene transfer. For humans, genetic transfer is vertical, but for bacteria, genes can be transferred horizontally. If bacteria survive in a difficult situation and produce certain proteins, this genetic material can be transferred to other bacteria, resulting in large populations creating these proteins. This becomes an issue given the extensive use of probiotics used by the food industry. This means there are virulent genes, such as antibiotic resistance, in the probiotics, which are transferred to our microbiome. He noted that this has only been happening for the last 20-40 years, but there are various ways this can happen, from genetically manipulated bacteria/viruses to synthetic biology/living pills, and the result is chronic modern human diseases.

Prof. Lerner finished by summarising the different gut events, and showing how various diseases are all impacted by these gut events, including celiac disease, psoriasis, depression, asthma, cirrhosis.

1.2.3. Questions & Answers

After the conclusion of Prof. Lerner's presentation, Mr Peterle commented on how important it is for legislators to understand the technical side to such problems. He then opened the floor for questions.

Dr Madan THANGAVELU (European Ayurveda Association) took the floor to comment that specialists, who have spent three to four decades in the field, find the sheer volume of new data difficult to comprehend. He noted that the biome itself is vast and ever-changing, and referred back to the ancient science for guidance, especially with regard to gluten. Dr

---

26 Environmental factors that breach TJ integrity and increase intestinal permeability. Submitted, Microorganisms, 2017
27 Leaky gut, also known as intestinal permeability, causes undigested food particles, toxic waste products and bacteria to leak into the blood stream.
Thangavelu noted that it specifically states in text that grain should be kept for at least one season before being consumed. More current research in India shows that for many celiac sufferers, when put on a diet of aged grain (of older varieties), the disease disappears. He finished by asking whether legislators should stop to consider such issues.

Prof. Lerner took the floor to respond, noting that in India changes in consumption have increased incidences of celiac disease. However, Prof Lerner noted that there are a lot of new strategies to combat the disease, including manipulating the genes of the wheat, or taking ancient grain for re-cultivation. In the case of the latter, this proved very expensive, and in the former, Prof. Lerner noted that there are 22,000 types of wheat.

Prof. BACH also echoed the issue of asking legislators to consider such vast quantities of research some of which is contradictory. The legislator thus has to form an opinion on the major issues of public health. One way to do so is to ask major bodies (academies, societies) to provide a report about the opinion on a given topic, and, in Prof. Bach’s experience, this will be a fair representation of the current debate on the topic.

Dr Hayes raised the issue of how to bring together the scientific community, with its vast amount of data and the policy-makers. She noted that, a Ministry of Health, for example will not read the data, and instead want to know if the issue is a problem for their country, how much does it cost (and also the cost of inaction), and what should be done. It is up to the scientific community to make the data digestible – and one way to do this is to look for commonalities. For example, many autoimmune diseases can be grouped together, because they are so similar.

Ms Kirsten LERSTRØM added to this by noting that often the perspective of those living with such diseases is missing.

1.3. Part II: Treatment and prevention of autoimmune diseases

1.3.1. Tackling autoimmune diseases: a US perspective

Prof. Jean-Francois BACH, Permanent Honorary Secretary, Académie des sciences, France

Prof. BACH started his presentation by stressing that it is important to distinguish between autoimmunity, which is a physiological situation where the immune system responds to certain antigens of the host, from AD, which is a pathological situation where diseases are caused or significantly promoted by the autoimmune systems.

In his next slide Prof. Bach showed that ADs are very common in the United States, currently affecting 14.7 to 23.5 million people. Moreover, he highlighted that ADs are among the leading causes of mortality among women under 65 years old. He also underlined that when patients do not die, they suffer throughout their life as a result of the diseases in question, facing major handicaps and being subjected to life-long treatments.

Prof. Back then described that main problem of ADs is that they are difficult to be diagnosed as they are not specifically symptomatic. In fact, he continued, the immune system can affect almost any organs of the human body, thus triggering a wide range of ADs, each of them encompassing various symptoms that are therefore difficult to be diagnosed by general practitioners.

Next, Prof Bach commented on a slide outlining the top-10 ADs in the United States and the percentages of people affected. Among the most common ADs there are: graves’ diseases, rheumatoid arthritis and hashimoto’s thyroiditis. In the slide in question Prof. Bach also highlighted that the ADs affect more women than men. In this latter regard, he
stressed that for certain diseases, e.g. lupus, for every ten people affected, only 1 is a man. Nevertheless, Prof. Bach also emphasised that ADs do not affect only women, although their prevalence is higher. And in order to prove his affirmation he gave the example of diabetes mellitus which affects mostly men.

Prof. Bach then briefly explained why women tend to suffer more than men from ADs. In particular, he observed that male hormones, androgens, have the capacity to slow down autoimmune reactions. Consequently, women are more prone to develop ADs due to the lack of androgens. He also explained that in this respect diabetes is an exception, because it starts very early in life and during childhood there is no acute difference in sex hormones between boys and girls.

Prof. Bach then showed statistics indicating that ADs’ incidence is doubling every 20 years globally and explained the possible causes of the diseases in question. According to him, ADs are triggered by both environmental and genetic factors. With regard to the genetic aspect, he mentioned that ADs can be inherited, a factor that increases the risks for family members. Furthermore, he stated that in the case of Graves’ disease there is an increased risk also for spouses.

Prof. Bach also spoke about the existence of certain genes which predispose to autoimmunity. He mentioned a study which demonstrated that some of the genes in question are shared by several ADs. This data, he remarked, is of utmost importance as it suggests that different ADs share similar mechanisms (to some extent), and, as such, can be treated with similar therapies.

As for the environmental factors, Prof. Bach mentioned one study published in 2002 demonstrating that the decline of infectious diseases caused or contributed to the rise of ADs. From the point of distribution of ADs and infectious diseases in the world, he noted that multiple sclerosis and diabetes – two of the most prototypic ADs – are far more present in the north part of the globe, e.g. the US and northern Europe; whereas tuberculosis and hepatitis A – two of the most prototypic infectious diseases – are more present in the southern part of the world, e.g. Africa. In this respect, Prof. Bach stated that ADs are more common in developed countries. He also mentioned a theory according to which the level of hygiene and sanitary conditions (e.g. drinking water, food, housing conditions, etc.) in a given country might have an impact on the development of ADs.

Prof. Bach concluded his talk by explaining the possible therapies for ADs. In the 1970s, he noted, the main treatment was immunosuppression which, however, could cause the death of the patients. Nowadays, however, the majority of ADs are treated with monoclonal antibody therapies. Yet, these therapies are particularly expensive and thus not affordable by the majority of patients. The challenge for policymakers, he emphasised, is to make these therapies available for all. Finally, Prof. Bach stressed that recent research suggests that autoantigen might be an effective way to treat ADs.

1.3.2. Prevention and treatment of autoimmune diseases: the case of Lupus

Ms Kirsten LERSTRØM, Chair of LUPUS EUROPE

Ms Kirsten LERSTRØM started her speech by presenting LUPUS EUROPE: a patient led umbrella organisation of national lupus patients’ groups established in 1989. She added

that LUPUS EUROPE encompasses 26 national lupus groups, each one of those including between 10 to 6,000 members. Ms Lerstrøm stressed that LUPUS EUROPE is a charity registered in the UK which operates on funds from various sources, such as membership fees, donations, project partnerships, pharmaceutical sponsorships and others, e.g. support for general operations and specific projects. Moreover, she emphasised that the LUPUS EUROPE Board of Trustees is elected to office and serve on a voluntary basis and without personal honorarium.

Ms Lerstrøm stated that the European League Against Rheumatism (EULAR) estimated that in Europe there are approximately 500,000 people diagnosed with Lupus\(^\text{32}\).

Ms Lerstrøm then gave an outline of lupus, a complex disease which attacks the connective tissues. As such, almost any organs and body systems can be affected by this disease. Furthermore, Ms Lerstrøm observed that out of 10 people suffering from lupus, 9 are women. She also emphasised that the time to diagnose Lupus is on average 7 years, while the average diagnosed age is 37 years old. According to Ms Lerstrøm, these data show that people are diagnosed only after having suffered for a long period from the disease.

Ms Lerstrøm also explained that lupus is chronic, lifelong and usually emerges during childhood. As for the consequences, she noted that some people may experience one flare, whereas others cannot be exposed to sunlight. Many, as a consequence, also experience mental issues.

Ms Lerstrøm explained that the causes which can trigger the lupus disease might be genetic or environmental. As for the genetic factors, there are some people who have certain genes which might predispose them to having lupus. However, sometimes these genes are not triggered and thus the person in question never develops lupus. With regard to the environmental factors, Ms Lerstrøm mentioned that smoking, exercise and diet have indeed a strong impact on the possible development of the disease.

As far as the therapies are concerned, Ms Lerstrøm specified that there are some treatments available, but they do not cover all the symptoms’ spectrum of the disease. She stated that stopping smoking, following a healthy diet, exercise, and following treatment plans may help the patients. Among the available treatment options for lupus she mentioned prednisolone and anti-malarias (hydroxychloroquine). However, she stressed that these medications also have some disadvantages. For instance, prednisolone creates dependency and is not available in all countries. Ms Lerstrøm also stated that if these treatments are not efficient, further immunosuppressives can be used, spanning from methotrexate (mild chemo) to azathioprine, from cyclosporine to mycophenolate mofetil. However, these treatments are expensive and therefore not affordable for the majority of the population.

With regard to the biologics used for lupus treatments, Ms Lerstrøm mentioned that rituximab, infliximab, etanercept, adalimumab and belimumab have been used, but with scarce success. In addition, the compounds approved for lupus treatments – anti-malarias, prednisolone and belimumab – do not work for all patients. Nowadays, she emphasised, there are more than 100 clinical studies ongoing, but so far only belimumab has passed phase II\(^\text{33}\).

Ms Lerstrøm stressed the importance of finding an effective treatment for Lupus, as almost half of the employees leave their jobs within a few years after being diagnosed\(^\text{34}\). For those


who remained employed, she observed, they only work at a reduced level. Given the above, Ms Lerstrøm recalled the importance to do better to treat lupus. Among the things that LUPUS EUROPE can do is to support those who have been diagnosed, give them the appropriate information on how to deal with the disease, as well as establish a dialogue with both researchers and policymakers.

Ms Lerstrøm also explained the actions which are urgently needed. In particular, changing the procedures to admit compounds, as well as creating partnership with the European Reference Network ReCONNET: a newly established network of specialist centres in Europe of nationally endorsed experts in rheumatic complex and rare connective tissue diseases.

1.3.3. Questions & Answers

Mr Peterle opened the floor for discussion.

Mr Madan THANGAVELU (European Ayurveda Association) remarked the importance of looking at the issue as a part of one health agenda. He also asked how to start an ongoing dialogue on ADs involving all the relevant actors, such as policymakers, patients, patient groups, international organisations, etc. He also underlined the importance for the WHO to collect better quality data.

Ms Lerstrøm reacted to Mr Thangavelu’s comment stressing that ADs usually involve several organs. As such, it is difficult for specialist doctors to diagnose and treat them due to their cross-cutting nature. Consequently, she commented on the importance of establishing a holistic dialogue on this matter.

Mr Thangavelu replied to Ms Lerstrøm by emphasising the importance of making connections between the pure disease aspect and the mental issues that the disease might bring. In this regard, he observed that there is currently no policy discussion on the benefits of meditation to treat the mental disorders linked to chronic diseases. He also stressed the importance to see ‘health in all policies’.

Dr Hayes reacted to the last comment of Mr Thagavelu by underlining that ‘health in all policies’ is indeed one of the most important EU health initiatives. According to Dr Hayes it is in fact impossible to address ADs without tackling cross-cutting factors related to other policy areas, such as drinking water, pollution, housing conditions, which might be responsible causes for triggering ADs. In this regard, she stressed the importance for policymakers to take cross-sectoral decisions in line with the ‘health in all policies’ programme.

1.3.4. Closing remarks by the Chair

Mr Peterle thanked the speakers for their contributions and for sharing their knowledge on the topic. He remarked that on this matter is particularly important to establish a constant dialogue involving all the relevant players – as nobody alone can make progress on ADs. He also stressed that a certain degree of ‘institutional osmosis’ is necessary in order to tackle ADs effectively. The process should involve the European Parliament, the European Council, the European Commission, the WHO and all the relevant NGOs. Mr Peterle also underlined that certain soft measures can help in making progress on the matter, for instance sharing best practices and research results between Member States, developing health projects, as well as issuing non-binding recommendations, guidelines and code of conducts. Finally, Mr Peterle emphasised the importance of the role of patient’s organisations in the prevention of ADs. He concluded by stating that the workshop itself was an expression of one unique health agenda.
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1. Lessons learned from NCDs
2. Specific issues for autoimmune disorders
3. Example of developing a public health approach:
   – The case of musculo-skeletal manifestations
Lessons from NCDs

Going it alone...

A UN Resolution on Diabetes

United Nations high-level meeting on noncommunicable disease prevention and control

NCD summit to shape the international agenda

Date: 19-20 September 2011
Place: New York, USA

Noncommunicable diseases - or NCDs - like heart attacks and strokes, cancers, diabetes and chronic respiratory disease account for over 60% of deaths in the world today. Every year, NCDs kill 17 million people around the world. The socio-economic impact is staggering. Global leaders met at the United Nations in New York from 19-20 September 2011 to set a new international agenda on NCDs.

This is only the second time in the history of the UN that the General Assembly meets on a health issue (the last time was AIDS). The aim is for countries to adopt a concise, action-oriented outcome document that will shape the global agenda for generations to come.

Meeting outcomes

- Political declaration adopted at the UN General Assembly
- Full text
- Summary report of the discussions at the round tables
- Full text

http://www.who.int/nmh/events/un_ncd_summit2011/en/
Risk of dying from NCDs: Decreasing trends but gender gaps persist

Global Monitoring Framework Scoreboard for Europe
Global Monitoring Framework Scoreboard for Europe

Major scope for accelerating achievement
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Autoimmune conditions
Going it alone...

**WHA Resolution WHA67/A67_R9**

---

Link to IFPA-PSO website

---

...or Joining Forces

---

Link to WHA67/A67_R9
Autoimmune Diseases – Modern Diseases

Specific Considerations

- Insufficient data
  - Data only about a small number of all the conditions
  - Data limited to industrialised countries
  - Correlations with age and timing of exposures: eg early childhood exposure to certain infections may be associated with auto-immune disease many years later
  - Correlations with race and ethnicity: need more research to tease genetic from environmental causes

- Public health policy implications
  - A number of auto-immune diseases exert disproportionate burden in women or men: a gender equity issue
  - Lifelong treatment: high cost to society
  - More than half associated with external causes: drugs, heavy metals, occupational exposure, infections
  - Timing of exposure important: life course implications

General Considerations

- **Surveillance**: better instruments and data about incidence, prevalence, and outcomes internationally

- **Health systems**: better understanding of total cost to society and to households, with person-centred systems of treatment and care and health care financing

- **Research**: into environmental causes and life course effects (epigenetics, early childhood) for applications to prevention
Musculo-skeletal Diseases

Public Health Considerations

Source: http://www.healthdata.org
WHO European Childhood Obesity Surveillance Initiative
Prevalence of overweight among 7-year-old boys 2009/2010, by country
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Framework on people-centred health systems

Towards a public health approach

Formulation and Evaluation of Policy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Health Promotion</th>
<th>Health Protection</th>
<th>Health Services</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Collection and Interpretation of Data

Thank You
Presentation by Aaron Lerner

Potential causes triggering autoimmune disease

Prof. Aaron Lerner

25 Sept, 2017
Committee on Environment, Public health & food Safety (ENVI) workshop
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Net increase %/year of different disease groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Disease kind</th>
<th>Statistical significance (p)</th>
<th>Countries</th>
<th>Diseases</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>neurological</td>
<td>p&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>Finland, Denmark, Norway, Italy, Spain</td>
<td>MS, Myasthenia Gravis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gastrointestinal</td>
<td>p&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>Denmark, Canada, Sweden, USA, Finland, Israel, Netherlands, UK, Czech, Scotland, Spain, Estonia, New Zealand</td>
<td>Autoimmune Hepatitis, IBD, Chron’s, Celiac Disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>endocrinological</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>Brazil, Canada, Israel, Serbia, Europe</td>
<td>Autoimmune thyroiditis, IDDM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rheumatic</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>Canada, UK</td>
<td>SARD, RA, SLE</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### The dysbiotic effects contributions to human diseases

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Domain/organ</th>
<th>Dysbiotic effects</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Systemic</td>
<td>Autoimmunity, allergy, cancerogenesis.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metabolic</td>
<td>Adiposity, nutrient accessibility, SFCAs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Behavior</td>
<td>Anxiety, stress, depression, pain, hunger/satiety.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skin</td>
<td>Inflammation, immune stimulation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bone</td>
<td>Absorption, inflammation, osteopenia/osteoporosis.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joint</td>
<td>Inflammation, PMTs, immune stimulation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thyroid</td>
<td>Inflammation, iodine uptake, gut hormones.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lung</td>
<td>Inflammation, infections, immune stimulation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart</td>
<td>Toxic metabolites, inflammation, atherosclerosis.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liver</td>
<td>Inflammation, immune activation, gut bacterial overload, oxidative stress, fibrosis, endotoxemia.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gut</td>
<td>Permeability, inflammation, malabsorption.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endocrine pancreas</td>
<td>Insulinitis, insulin resistance.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kidney</td>
<td>Inflammation, toxic metabolites, endotoxemia.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### The effects of the intestinal microbiota metabolites or transformed molecules

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Beneficial microbial metabolites or constituents</th>
<th>Advantages</th>
<th>Harmful microbial metabolites</th>
<th>disadvantages</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SCFAs</td>
<td>Nutrient, energy providing</td>
<td>Lipopolysaccharide supply</td>
<td>Obesity, metabolic syndrome, leaky gut</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Propionate production</td>
<td>Gluconeogenesis, cholesterol lowering</td>
<td>Toxins production</td>
<td>Cancer promotion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Butyrate production</td>
<td>Cancer prevention, colonocyte energy</td>
<td>Tissue invasion</td>
<td>Infections, leaky gut</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitamin production: B1,2,3,5,6,7,8,9,11,12, Vitamin K</td>
<td>Various metabolic cellular effects</td>
<td>Leaky gut</td>
<td>Autoimmune disease, IBD, immune disorders</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-inflammatory signals</td>
<td>Normal gut immune function</td>
<td>Microbial enzyme's PTMP</td>
<td>Autoimmune and allergic disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antimicrobial production</td>
<td>Pathogens fighting</td>
<td>Pro-inflammatory signals</td>
<td>IBD, immune disorders</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-digestible carbohydrates-bulk effect</td>
<td>Improved intestinal motility</td>
<td>Acetate production</td>
<td>Hypercholesterolemia, cardiovascular diseases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bile acids</td>
<td>Improved fat/vitamin absorption, gut barrier, regulate serum lipids and glucose</td>
<td>Secondary bile acids</td>
<td>Colorectal cancer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microbial proteases</td>
<td>Protective of intestinal permeability</td>
<td>Microbial proteases</td>
<td>Harmful for intestinal permeability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Red meat rich L-carnitine metabolism</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Atherosclerosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organic acids</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Hypertension, obesity, colonic cancer, autism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metabolic imbalance</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>IBS, metabolic syndrome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amino acids: tyrosine to phenol</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Colonic cancer, autism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trimethylamine production</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Coronary vascular disease</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The structural components of intercellular tight junctions can be classified as integral membrane proteins (occludin, claudins, and JAM), junctional complex proteins (ZO-1, ZO-2, p130 or ZO-3, TM6, Syndecan, and cingulin), and cell cytoskeleton structures (microtubules, intermediate filaments and microfilaments).

Environmental factors breaching TJ integrity/increasing intestinal permeability

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Categories</th>
<th>Names</th>
<th>Categories</th>
<th>Names</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pathogens</td>
<td></td>
<td>Drugs</td>
<td>Proton pump inhibitors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Entereopathogenic E. coli</td>
<td></td>
<td>Non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enterohemorrhagic E. coli</td>
<td></td>
<td>Selected bile salts</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V. parahaemolyticus</td>
<td></td>
<td>Toxins</td>
<td>Clostridium toxin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salmonella enterica/typhimurium</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clostridium difficile</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clostridium difficle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clostridium perfringens</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clostridium perfringens</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clostridium fragilis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clostridium fragilis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vibrio cholera</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clostridium cholera</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shigella flexneri</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Lifestyle factors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Campylobacter jejuni</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Western diet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. coli</td>
<td></td>
<td>Obesity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rotavirus</td>
<td></td>
<td>Gut perfusion</td>
<td>Hyperperfusion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nutrients</td>
<td></td>
<td>Microbial enzymes</td>
<td>Proteases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High fat diet</td>
<td></td>
<td>Allergens</td>
<td>Peanut, soybean, wheat, milk proteins, nuts, sesame</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High carbohydrate diet</td>
<td></td>
<td>Carcinogens</td>
<td>Arsenic, phenols, mercury, azoxymethane.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitamin A deprivation</td>
<td></td>
<td>Stress</td>
<td>Stress related psychiatric disorders</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitamin D deprivation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Niacin</td>
<td></td>
<td>Race/ethnicity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gluten</td>
<td></td>
<td>Processed food additives</td>
<td>sugar, salt, organic acids, microbial transglutaminase, emulsifiers, nanoparticles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium chain fatty acids</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acyl carnitines</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Environmental factors that breach TJ integrity and increase intestinal permeability. Submitted. Microorganisms, 2017
Environmental factors enhancing TJ integrity/regulating intestinal permeability

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Categories</th>
<th>Names</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Prebiotic Nutrients</td>
<td>galactooligosaccharides</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>fructooligosaccharides</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Short chain fatty acids</td>
<td>butyrate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polyunsaturated fatty acids</td>
<td>PUFA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nutrients</td>
<td>Glutamine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Zinc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plant-derived flavonoids</td>
<td>Quercetin and its metabolite DHBA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Propolis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vitamins</td>
<td>green tea, coffee, berries, grapes, and other fruits/vegetables</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>probiotics</td>
<td>A, D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VSL#3</td>
<td>Lactobacillus plantarum MR452</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VSL#3</td>
<td>Bifidobacterium infantis Y1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lactobacillus salivarius UCC11B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lactobacillus salivarius CCUG38008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lactobacillus rhamnosus GG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lactobacillus casei DN-114.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lactobacillus casei Shirata</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>microbial enzymes</td>
<td>Proteases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>chemical compounds</td>
<td>Gelatin tannate</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The leaky gut might initiate the autoimmune cascade

Schematic illustration of the factors that are associated with increasing (enhancers) or decreasing (protectors) of intestinal permeability at the TJ level.
The microbiome affects and is affected by everything

Change in nutrition responsible for the increasing numbers of ADs?

Lenser A, Matthias T. Changes in intestinal tight junction permeability associated with industrial food additives explain the rising incidence of autoimmune disease. Autoimmun Rev. 2015;14:479-83
### Mechanism of TJ integrity breaching

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Food additives</th>
<th>Net % increase/year</th>
<th>Mechanism of TJ integrity breaching</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Glucose</td>
<td>2.9±2.6</td>
<td>Change in distribution of ZO-1, claudin-1, E-cadherin. Perijunctional cytoskeleton condensation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salt</td>
<td>7.1±5.3</td>
<td>Increased phosphorylation of myosin light chain, contraction of the perijunctional actomyosin ring, loss of function of claudin 2 and 15.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organic solvents</td>
<td>2.9±9.3</td>
<td>Alterations in TJ proteins, dissociates the PTP1B-E-cadherin-beta-catenin complex.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emulsifiers</td>
<td>6.2±4.4</td>
<td>P-glycoprotein inhibition, decrease the hydrophobicity of the mucus layer, actin disbandment and structural separation of TJ, change the distribution of ZO-1 and actine.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gluten</td>
<td>1.8±0.4</td>
<td>Rearrangement of the cytoskeleton through the zonulin pathway, reduces F-actin content, interaction between occludin and ZO-1 is compromised, zonulin release is leading to PKC-mediated cytoskeleton reorganization, zonulin release by binding to the CCKR3 receptor in intestinal cells, in a MYD88-dependent pathway and subsequent transactivation of ESFR by PAR2.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nanoparticles</td>
<td>26.0±21.5</td>
<td>Redistribution of ZO-1 TJ proteins, clustering of integrin α(V)β(3) along the cell border, F-actin reorganization and claudin 4 down regulation, open epithelial TJ via C-Jun Nh2-terminal kinase-dependent pathway, TJ electrostatic interactions</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Lenser A, Matthews T. Changes in intestinal tight junction permeability associated with industrial food additives explain the rising incidence of autoimmune disease. *Autoimmun Rev* 2015; 14:479-89

---

### Gluten detrimental to human health

- **Systemic effects**
  - inflammation
  - oxidative stress
  - impact epigenetics

- **Intestinal effects**
  - open tight junctions
  - impact microbiome

- **Cellular effects**
  - viability
  - apoptosis
  - cell differentiation
  - DNA-RNA-glycoprotein synthesis

- **Immune effects**
  - immunogenicity and cytotoxicity
  - innate immune system functions
  - adaptive immune system functions
  - T17 activity
  - TNF-α functions
  - neutrophil migration
  - NKG2D expression
  - TLR9

**Effects of mTg that might drive autoimmunity**

Luminal (green) and systemic (red) effects of the mTg that might drive autoimmunity

---

**Antibodies in Celiac Disease**

Anti-DGP antibodies

Anti-Tg antibodies

Gliadin

Deamidation

(glutamic acid ↔ glutamine)

Gliadin peptide Glutamine rich

25%

Crosslinking by mTg

Crosslinking by Tg

Anti-mTg neo-epitope antibodies

---

Aaron Leher, Rustam Anisov, Torsten Matthias. Intestinal symbiotic transglutaminases are potential environmental drivers of systemic autoimmunogenesis. Frontiers in Microbiology, 2016

Courtesy of Dr. Christian Meesters. AESKU-KIPP Institut 2012
**Immunoreactivity of mTg and tTg neo-epitopes**

- **IgG sera reactivity**
  - 84.9% N.D. 13.3% 95.96% 95.96%
- **IgA sera reactivity**
  - 37.37% N.D. 60.61% 64.65% 88.89%

High immunoreactivity of neo-epitopes compared to single antigens


---

**Timeline of History**

Years before the present:
- 4.5 billion: Formation of planet earth
- 3.8 billion: Emergence of organisms, beginning of biology
- 2.5 million: Evolution of the genus Homo in Africa
- 2 million: Human spread from Africa to Eurasia
- 500,000: Neanderthals evolved in Europe and Middle East
- 200,000: Homo sapiens evolved in East Africa
- 700,000: Homo sapiens spread out of Africa
- 450,000: Sapiens settled in Australia
- 300,000: Extinction of Neanderthals
- 15,000-20,000: Discovery of wheat in the fertile croissant
  - Gluten-10% of wheat proteins
- 16,000: Sapiens settled in America
- 12,000: The Agricultural revolution
- 2400: Buddhism in India
- 2000: Christianity
- 1400: Islam
- 500: The scientific revolution
- 200: The industrial revolution. Massive extinction of plants and Animal
- +2016:... 65% of USA consume processed food
- +2017:... Gluten- 80% of wheat proteins
- +2017:... Gluten intake sky high
- +2017:... Gluten peptides are more toxic to celiacs.

*Sapiens, A brief history of humankind, Yuval Noah Harari, 2011.
**Horizontal gene transfer**

[Image of horizontal gene transfer]

---

**Antibiotic resistance in foodborne Lactobacillus and Lactococcus species**

As shown by molecular characterization of vancomycin resistant clinical isolates of Staphylococcus aureus, transfer of AR genes from a commensal reservoir to opportunistic pathogens such as enterococci is the first step toward AR Transmission to pathogens (Levy and Marshall, 2004).

A recent metagenome-wide analysis, performed on a large cohort of human gut microbiota revealed predominance of tetracycline Resistance genes (Huetal, 2013), which appears to correlate with the prevalence of such AR determinants in food borne Lactic Acid Bacteria.

Genetic exchanges in bacteria are more prone to occur in crowded environments, such as the GI tract and fermented foods.

The distribution of AR Lactobacillus and AR Lactococcus, as well as of the AR genes, in the different food sources (dairy, meat, vegetable). AR genes are indicated in bold.

[Diagram of antibiotic resistance in foodborne Lactobacillus and Lactococcus species]

Deregilo, et al. *Update on antibiotic resistance in foodborne Lactobacillus and Lactococcus species*. Front Microbial. 2013
**Horizontal gene transfer in the gut**

- Genetically manipulated bacteria/viruses
- Probiotics
- Genetically modified plants/foods
- Biologically processed food
- Cultivated transgenic animals and their food products
- Biologically contaminated nutrients
- Synthetic biology: "living pills"

Chronic modern human diseases

**GUT - the Trojan horse in remote organs’ autoimmunity**

- Depression
- Autism
- MS
- PD
- Mood
- Osteoporosis
- Osteopenia
- Fractures
- RA
- SpA
- PA
- CKD
- IDDM
- CF
- Asthma
- COPD
- NAFLD
- Cirrhosis
- Genome
- Transcriptome
- Metabolome
- Proteome

Gut-Systemic autoimmunity Axis
Autoimmune Disease: Definitions

- Autoimmunity- immune response to normal antigens of the host
- Autoimmune disease- disease caused or significantly promoted by autoimmunity

Autoimmune Disease: Prevalence

- At least 100 diseases affecting every organ system
- In the USA: 14.7 to 23.5 million people (5% to 8%)
- Most require life-long treatment
- Among the 10 leading causes of mortality among women under 65

For comparison:
- Heart disease (22 million)
- Cancer (9 million)
Autoimmune disease can affect any part of the body

Brain & Nervous System
- Multiple Sclerosis
- Myasthenia Gravis
- PANPS
- Guillain-Barré

Lung
- Autoimmune pulmonary fibrosis
- Sarcoidosis

Kidney
- Lupus
- Glomerulonephritis

Liver
- Autoimmune liver disease
- Primary biliary cirrhosis
- Primary sclerosing cholangitis

Gastrointestinal
- Crohn’s Disease
- Celiac Sprue

Joints
- Rheumatoid Arthritis
- Juvenile Arthritis
- Ankylosing spondylitis

Blood
- Hemolytic Anemia
- Neutropenia
- thrombocytopenic purpura
- Antiphospholipid
- Perinuclear arthritis

Eye and Mouth
- Sjögren’s Syndrome
- Uveitis/Scleritis (eye)

Thyroid
- Graves’ Disease
- Hashimoto’s Thyroiditis

Heart
- Cardiomyopathy
- Autoimmune myocarditis

Pancreas
- Juvenile Diabetes
- Autoimmune pancreatitis

Vasculitis
- Wegener’s
- Temporal arteritis
- Takayasu’s arteritis

Muscles
- Myositis
- CIDP

Skin
- Pemphigus
- Porokeratosis
- Scleroderma
- Alopecia areata
- Vitiligo
- Autoimmune urticaria

Prevalence of the top-10 autoimmune diseases in US
Sex distribution of the major autoimmune diseases in the USA

T1D incidence is doubling every 20 years
What environmental factors are responsible?

Incidence /100,000/ yr in children 0-14 yr

Revers M. Ann NY Acad Sci 2008;1150:1-13, updated
Autoimmune Disease - Causes

Familial Risks for Graves’ Disease

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk</th>
<th>Risk</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>One Parent</td>
<td>6.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One Sibling</td>
<td>5.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Twins</td>
<td>16.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spouses</td>
<td>2.75</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Li et al 2009
Venn diagram showing a summary of the disease assignments for 90 regions that showed association with at least one disease

THE CASE OF MISSING HERITABILITY

1) SNPs may be distinct from rare meaningful variants
2) Medium or low penetrance variants
3) Architecture of the genome: Rare Copy Number Variations or deletions
4) Epistasis
5) Epigenetics
6) Disease heterogeneity
7) Rare or infrequent variants that segregate within individual families (even within monozygotic twins)

Fortune MD et al. Nature Genetics 2015:47: 839-84
Environment is more than half the risk.
INCIDENCE OF PROTOTYPE INFECTIOUS DISEASE AND IMMUNE DISORDERS OVER 4 DECADES


Multiple Sclerosis
Insulin Dependent Diabetes (Children 0-14 years)
Gross National product/ capita (2008)
Tuberculosis
Hepatitis A Virus
Risk areas for Traveler's diarrhea
**IMPACT OF DIET IN SHAPING GUT MICROBIOTA REVEALED BY A COMPARATIVE STUDY IN CHILDREN FROM EUROPE AND RURAL AFRICA**

![Pie charts showing the distribution of gut microbiota in children from Europe and rural Africa.](image)

*Figure 1*  
Bacterial compositions differ depending on diet. Trees identified using 16S ribosomal RNA sequencing of DNA from fecal samples of children from (a) Britain, France and Italy. The colors indicate differential distribution of classes of bacteria, including Firmicutes (red) and Bacteroidetes (green). Figure reproduced from Reference 14.


---

**FACTORS CONTRIBUTING TO THE APPEARANCE OF INFECTIONS**

**Sources of pathogenic agents**
- drinking water
- food (cold storage)
- climate
- housing conditions

**Anti-infectious defense**
- genetic factors
- nutrition
- antibiotics
- vaccination
THERAPY OF AUTOIMMUNE DISEASES

- Immunosuppression
- Monoclonal antibodies (targets T cells, B cells...)
- Autoantigen?
Autoimmune Diseases – Modern Diseases

Presentation by Kirsten Lerstrom

UNITING PEOPLE WITH LUPUS THROUGHOUT EUROPE

The case of lupus: Prevention and Treatment of Autoimmune Diseases
By Kirsten Lerstrøm, Chair
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Disclaimer

LUPUS EUROPE is a patient led umbrella organization of national lupus patient groups.

LUPUS EUROPE is a UK Charity No 803768

LUPUS EUROPE operates on funds from membership fees, donations, project partnerships, pharmaceutical sponsorships and other such support for general operations and specific projects.

Industry sponsors for operations and initiatives are GSK, UCB, Merck, Lilly and other smaller grants fx for annual convention.

LUPUS EUROPE Board of Trustees is elected to office and serve on a voluntary basis and do so without personal honorarium.
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LUPUS EUROPE at ENVI Workshop Autoimmune Diseases 2017
LUPUS EUROPE

Established in 1989
26 national lupus groups:
Between 10-6,000 members each
Total membership of +30,000
Approx 500,000 diagnosed with lupus in Europe
Orphanet 2016 –not rare

Complex and complicated: Autoimmune, connective tissue +

Multifaceted, multidimensional
Almost any organ and body system can be affected
Anchored in Rheumatology, but also other specialties could be involved
Average diagnosis age: 37 years
Time to diagnosis: 7 years
9 out of 10 is female
- at the time of creating career and establishing family!
**Prevention?**

- Genes
- Environment

**Time**

- Pre-clinical
- Clinical
- Co-morbidities

- Autoantibodies general or specific
- Inflammation
- Involvement of first organs
- Flares
- Involvement of additional organs
- Damage (SLICC)
- Infections
- Atherosclerosis
- Malignancies

**Lupus disease course**

Graph showing time vs. activity.
**Lupus disease – aim of control**

![Graph showing time and activity over a period]

**Tame your wolf – tame your lupus**

It is the firm belief of LUPUS EUROPE that:

- Being involved in one's own disease
- Adherence to treatment
- Having the patient's voice heard in projects and trials

- will help improve the situation for people living with lupus

Tame your wolf – tame lupus was the theme for World Lupus Day 2015 campaign
Treatment options

Fast acting agent to quell unwanted disease activity:

Prednisolone

Long term and maintenance:

Anti-malarials (Hydroxychloroquine)

If not enough then further immunosuppressives can be added:

Methotrexate (milder chemo) to azathioprine, cyclosporine to mycophenolate mofetil

New biologics

Biologics used:

rituximab,
infliximab,
etanercept,
adalimumab

and belimumab
Only one compound in 50+ years!

1955 Antimalarias approved for treatment of lupus
1959 Prednisolone approved for lupus treatment
2011-12 Belimumab approved by FDA and EMA*

More than 100 open lupus trials on clinicaltrials.gov, but so far only belimumab has passed phase III

---

Treatment not good enough

Almost half out of employment within a few years of diagnosis*

For the majority of those remaining in employment, it is on considerably reduced terms*

We NEED to do better

* C. Gordon et al. Rheumatology, 2013
The substantial burden of systemic lupus erythematosus on the productivity and careers of patients: a European patient-driven online survey
Kick Lupus! – PAG sustainability

Recognition of the rôle of patient group.

In lupus we have recognized that we cannot make change alone – lifting this task requires close collaboration of all stakeholders!

LUPUS EUROPE has trained and will continue to enhance the capabilities of representatives as well as member groups maintaining the focus of patient values and engagement.

Our core operations and actors are living with lupus.

Kick Lupus! – action needed now

Revision of research tools:

Clinical classification of SLE – ACR/EULAR cooperation

Genes and possible biomarkers – IMI PRECISEADS

EULAR Recommendations on Management of SLE – revision

Patient Reported Outcome measures in SLE – revision in Intl consortium
Kick Lupus! – action needed now

European Reference Network ReCONNET:

Newly established network of specialist centres in Europe of nationally endorsed experts in rheumatic complex and rare connective tissue diseases.

Patient advocacy group representatives from the 13 disease areas are part of the network and involved in development of the framework required to support such a network – databases, communication and exchange platforms, experience building activities nationally and internationally to be used in the vast communication task of the network.

Conclusion – the case of lupus

1. Complex and complicated – difficult to live with as well as manage in typical health system setting
2. Living with lupus – diagnosed in average at the age of 37 after in average 7 years of disease symptoms
3. Within a few years of diagnosis only about half maintain employment, and if so for the majority on reduced terms
4. Treatment options – keeping us out of hospital, not own bed
5. Understanding disease, capturing symptoms and mapping manifestations are still in development
6. Complex and difficult to prove efficacy and efficiency
7. Sustainability and recognition of PAG needed
DIRECTORATE-GENERAL FOR INTERNAL POLICIES

POLICY DEPARTMENT A
ECONOMIC AND SCIENTIFIC POLICY

Role
Policy departments are research units that provide specialised advice to committees, inter-parliamentary delegations and other parliamentary bodies.

Policy Areas
- Economic and Monetary Affairs
- Employment and Social Affairs
- Environment, Public Health and Food Safety
- Industry, Research and Energy
- Internal Market and Consumer Protection

Documents
Visit the European Parliament website:
http://www.europarl.europa.eu/supporting-analyses