



EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT

2009 - 2014

Committee on Civil Liberties, Justice and Home Affairs

2013/2188(INI)

24.1.2014

AMENDMENTS

266 - 521

Draft report

Claude Moraes

(PE526.085v02-00)

on the US NSA surveillance programme, surveillance bodies in various Member States and their impact on EU citizens' fundamental rights and on transatlantic cooperation in Justice and Home Affairs

(2013/2188(INI))

AM\1016671EN.doc

PE527.993v01-00

EN

United in diversity

EN

Amendment 266
Anna Maria Corazza Bildt

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 51

Motion for a resolution

51. Calls on the Council Presidency and ***the majority of*** Member States ***who support a high level of data protection*** to show a sense of leadership and responsibility and accelerate their work on the whole Data Protection Package to allow for adoption in 2014, so that EU citizens will be able to enjoy better protection in the very near future;

Amendment

51. Calls on the Council Presidency and ***on*** Member States to show a sense of leadership and responsibility and accelerate their work on the whole Data Protection Package to allow for adoption in 2014, so that EU citizens will be able to enjoy better protection in the very near future;

Or. en

Amendment 267
Arnaud Danjean

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 51

Motion for a resolution

51. Calls on the Council Presidency and the ***majority of*** Member States who ***support a high level of data protection to show a sense of leadership and responsibility and*** accelerate their work on the whole Data Protection Package to allow for adoption in 2014, so that EU citizens will be able to enjoy better protection in the very near future;

Amendment

51. Calls on the Council Presidency and the Member States ***to*** accelerate their work on the whole Data Protection Package to allow for adoption in 2014, so that EU citizens will be able to enjoy better protection in the very near future;

Or. en

Amendment 268
Nadja Hirsch, Sophia in 't Veld

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 51

Motion for a resolution

51. Calls on the Council Presidency and the majority of Member States who support a high level of data protection to show a sense of leadership and responsibility and accelerate their work on the whole Data Protection Package to allow for adoption in 2014, so that EU citizens will be able to enjoy better protection in the very near future;

Amendment

51. Calls on the Council Presidency and the majority of Member States who support a high level of data protection to show a sense of leadership and responsibility and accelerate their work on the whole Data Protection Package to allow for adoption in 2014, so that EU citizens will be able to enjoy better protection in the very near future; ***stresses that determined action and implementation of the Data Protection Package at European level and full support from the Council are necessary preconditions in order to demonstrate credibility and assertiveness vis-à-vis third countries;***

Or. de

Amendment 269

Jan Philipp Albrecht, Judith Sargentini, Jean Lambert, Hélène Flautre, Rui Tavares, Raül Romeva i Rueda

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 52

Motion for a resolution

52. Stresses that both the Data Protection Regulation and the Data Protection Directive are necessary to protect the fundamental rights of individuals and therefore must be treated as a package to be adopted simultaneously, in order to ensure that all data-processing activities in the EU provide a high level of protection in all circumstances;

Amendment

52. Stresses that both the Data Protection Regulation and the Data Protection Directive are necessary to protect the fundamental rights of individuals and therefore must be treated as a package to be adopted simultaneously, in order to ensure that all data-processing activities in the EU provide a high level of protection in all circumstances; ***stresses that it will only adopt further law enforcement cooperation measures once Council has entered into negotiations with Parliament and Commission on the Data Protection Package;***

Amendment 270

Axel Voss, Elena Oana Antonescu, Véronique Mathieu Houillon, Agustín Díaz de Mera García Consuegra, Teresa Jiménez-Becerril Barrio, Salvador Sedó i Alabart

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 52 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

52a. Recalls that the concepts of 'privacy by design' and 'privacy by default' are a strengthening of data protection and should be the guidelines for all products, services and systems provided in the internet;

Or. en

Amendment 271

Axel Voss, Georgios Papanikolaou, Elena Oana Antonescu, Agustín Díaz de Mera García Consuegra, Teresa Jiménez-Becerril Barrio, Salvador Sedó i Alabart

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 52 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

52b. Considers higher transparency and safety standards for online and telecommunication as a necessary principal towards a better data protection regime, therefore calls on the Commission to bring forward a legal proposal on standardized general terms and conditions for online and telecommunications and to mandate a supervisory body to monitor the compliance of the general terms and conditions;

Or. en

Amendment 272
Nadja Hirsch, Sophia in 't Veld

Motion for a resolution
Subheading 26

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Cloud computing

Cloud computing *and new services and applications*

Or. en

Amendment 273
Cornelia Ernst

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 53

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

53. Notes that trust in US cloud computing and cloud providers has been negatively affected by the abovementioned practices; emphasises, therefore, the development of European clouds as an essential element for growth and employment and trust in cloud computing services and providers and for ensuring a high level of personal data protection;

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 274
Jan Philipp Albrecht, Judith Sargentini, Jean Lambert, H el ene Flautre, Rui Tavares, Ra il Romeva i Rueda

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 53

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

53. Notes that trust in US cloud computing and cloud providers has been negatively affected by the abovementioned practices;

53. Notes that trust in US cloud computing and cloud providers has been negatively affected by the abovementioned practices;

emphasises, therefore, the development of European clouds as an essential element for growth and employment and trust in cloud computing services and providers and for ensuring a high level of personal data protection;

emphasises, therefore, the development of European clouds **and IT solutions** as an essential element for growth and employment and trust in cloud computing services and providers and for ensuring a high level of personal data protection;

Or. en

Amendment 275

Claude Moraes

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 53

Motion for a resolution

53. Notes that trust in US cloud computing and cloud providers has been negatively affected by the abovementioned practices; emphasises, therefore, the development of European clouds as an essential element for **growth and employment and** trust in cloud computing services and providers and for ensuring a high level of personal data protection;

Amendment

53. Notes that trust in US cloud computing and cloud providers has been negatively affected by the abovementioned practices; emphasises, therefore, the development of European clouds as an essential element for trust in cloud computing services and providers and for ensuring a high level of personal data protection; **emphasises in addition the potential for growth and employment and the overall economic value of EU cloud with reported forecasts that the cloud market will be worth 207 billion US\$ a year by 2016, which amounts to double the value in 2012^{40a}**;

^{40a}http://www.whitehouse.gov/sites/default/files/docs/2013-12-12_rg_final_report.pdf

Or. en

Amendment 276

Birgit Sippel, Carmen Romero López, Josef Weidenholzer

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 53

Motion for a resolution

53. Notes that trust in US cloud computing and cloud providers has been negatively affected by the abovementioned practices; emphasises, therefore, the development of European clouds as an essential element for growth and employment and trust in cloud computing services and providers and for ensuring a high level of personal data protection;

Amendment

53. Notes that trust in US cloud computing and cloud providers has been negatively affected by the abovementioned practices, ***as they entail a serious violation of the fundamental right of EU citizens and residents to privacy and data protection, as well as of the right to private and family life, the confidentiality of communications, the presumption of innocence, freedom of expression, freedom of information, and the freedom to conduct business***; emphasises, therefore, the development of European clouds as an essential element for growth and employment and trust in cloud computing services and providers and for ensuring a high level of personal data protection; ***takes the view, therefore, that public authorities, as well as non-governmental services and the private sector, should, as far as possible, rely on EU cloud providers when processing sensitive data and information until satisfactory global rules on data protection have been introduced, ensuring the security of sensitive data, and of data bases, held by public entities***;

Or. en

Amendment 277

Axel Voss, Hubert Pirker, Véronique Mathieu Houillon, Agustín Díaz de Mera García Consuegra, Teresa Jiménez-Becerril Barrio, Salvador Sedó i Alabart

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 54**

Motion for a resolution

54. Reiterates its serious concerns about the compulsory direct disclosure of ***EU*** personal data and information processed under cloud agreements to third-country authorities by cloud providers subject to

Amendment

54. Reiterates its serious concerns about the compulsory direct disclosure of personal data ***of EU citizens*** and information processed under cloud agreements to third-country authorities by

third-country laws or using storage servers located in third countries, and about direct remote access to personal data and information processed by third-country law enforcement authorities and intelligence services;

cloud providers subject to third-country laws or using storage servers located in third countries, and about direct remote access to personal data and information processed by third-country law enforcement authorities and intelligence services; *suggests the creation of a reliable EU cloud, or if necessary a "Schengen cloud", as an useful tool in strengthening EU based IT systems against external threats; relying on binding legal provisions ensuring that cloud data must be processed within in EU territory and without leaving EU borders;*

Or. en

Amendment 278

Birgit Sippel, Carmen Romero López, Josef Weidenholzer

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 54 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

54a. Stresses the need to address the challenges raised by cloud computing at an international level, in particular as regards government intelligence surveillance and necessary safeguards; stresses in particular that EU citizens subject to intelligence surveillance by third country authorities should benefit from at least the same safeguards and remedies as are available to citizens of the third country concerned;

Or. en

Amendment 279

Jan Philipp Albrecht, Judith Sargentini, Jean Lambert, H el ene Flautre, Rui Tavares, Ra il Romeva i Rueda

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 55

Motion for a resolution

55. **Regrets** the fact that such access is usually attained by means of direct enforcement by third-country authorities of their own legal rules, without recourse to international instruments established for legal cooperation such as mutual legal assistance (MLA) agreements or other forms of judicial cooperation;

Amendment

55. **Deplores** the fact that such access is usually attained by means of direct enforcement by third-country authorities of their own legal rules, without recourse to international instruments established for legal cooperation such as mutual legal assistance (MLA) agreements or other forms of judicial cooperation;

Or. en

Amendment 280

Judith Sargentini, Jan Philipp Albrecht, Jean Lambert, Hélène Flautre, Rui Tavares, Raül Romeva i Rueda

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 56

Motion for a resolution

56. Calls on the Commission and the Member States to speed up the work of establishing a European Cloud Partnership;

Amendment

56. Calls on the Commission and the Member States to speed up the work of establishing a European Cloud Partnership ***while fully including civil society and the technical community, such as the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF), and incorporating data protection aspects;***

Or. en

Amendment 281

Birgit Sippel, Carmen Romero López, Josef Weidenholzer

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 56 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

56a. Urges the Commission, when negotiating international agreements that

Amendment

involve the processing of personal data, to take particular note of the risks and challenges that cloud computing poses to fundamental rights, in particular – but not exclusively – the right to private life and to the protection of personal data, as laid down in Articles 7 and 8 of the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union; urges, furthermore, the Commission to take note of the negotiating partner's domestic rules governing the access of law enforcement and intelligence agencies to personal data processed through cloud computing service, in particular by demanding that such access for law enforcement and intelligence authorities only be granted with full respect for the due process of law and on an unambiguous legal basis, as well as the requirement that the exact conditions of access, the purpose of gaining such access, the security measures put in place when handing over data and the rights of the individual, as well as the rules for supervision and for an effective redress mechanism, be specified;

Or. en

Amendment 282
Cornelia Ernst

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 56 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

56a. Calls on all public bodies in Europe not to use cloud services where non-EU laws might apply;

Or. en

Amendment 283
Birgit Sippel, Carmen Romero López

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 56 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

56b. Underlines that particular assistance must be given to small and medium-sized enterprises which increasingly rely on 'cloud computing' technology when processing personal data, and which may not always have the resources or the expertise to address security challenges adequately;

Or. en

Amendment 284
Birgit Sippel, Carmen Romero López, Josef Weidenholzer

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 57

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

57. Recalls that all companies providing services in the EU must, without exception, comply with EU law and are liable for any breaches;

57. Recalls that all companies providing services in the EU must, without exception, comply with EU law and are liable for any breaches ***and underlines the importance of having effective, proportionate and dissuasive administrative sanctions that may be imposed on 'cloud computing' service providers that do not comply with EU data protection standards;***

Or. en

Amendment 285
Nadja Hirsch, Sophia in 't Veld

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 57 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

57a. Underlines that access to personal data stored by service providers through intelligence services in violation of citizens' fundamental rights decreased citizens' trust in such providers; therefore stresses the need to enforce the respect of fundamental rights in order to avoid negative effects on businesses investing in new services and applications, which rely on the use of big amounts of data;

Or. en

Amendment 286
Sophia in 't Veld, Nadja Hirsch

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 57 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

57b. Calls on the Commission and the Member States competent authorities to evaluate the extent to which EU rules on privacy and data protection have been violated through the cooperation of EU legal entities with secret services or through the acceptance of court warrants of third country authorities requesting personal data of EU citizens contrary to EU data protection legislation;

Or. en

Amendment 287
Nadja Hirsch, Sophia in 't Veld

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 57 c (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

57c. Calls on business providing new services using "Big Data" and new applications, such as the "Internet of Things" to build in data protection measures already in the development stage in order to maintain a high level of trust among citizens;

Or. en

Amendment 288

Josef Weidenholzer, Birgit Sippel

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 58 – a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

58-a. Calls on the Commission to suspend the TTIP negotiations until the Umbrella Agreement between the EU and US has successfully been conducted;

Or. en

Amendment 289

Jan Philipp Albrecht, Judith Sargentini, Jean Lambert, Hélène Flautre, Rui Tavares, Raúl Romeva i Rueda

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 58

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

58. Recognises that the EU and the US are pursuing negotiations for a Transatlantic Trade and Investment Partnership, ***which is of major strategic importance for creating further economic growth and for the ability of both the EU and the US to set future global regulatory standards;***

58. Recognises that the EU and the US are pursuing negotiations for a Transatlantic Trade and Investment Partnership;

Amendment 290

Birgit Sippel, Carmen Romero López, Josef Weidenholzer

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 58

Motion for a resolution

58. Recognises that the EU and the US are pursuing negotiations for a Transatlantic Trade and Investment Partnership, which *is* of major strategic importance ***for creating further economic growth and*** for the ability of both the EU and the US to set future global regulatory standards;

Amendment

58. Recognises that the EU and the US are pursuing negotiations for a Transatlantic Trade and Investment Partnership, which ***could be*** of major strategic importance for the ability of both the EU and the US to set future global regulatory standards;

Amendment 291

Martin Ehrenhauser, Cornelia Ernst

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 58

Motion for a resolution

58. Recognises that the EU and the US are pursuing negotiations for a Transatlantic Trade and Investment Partnership, ***which is of major strategic importance for creating further economic growth and for the ability of both the EU and the US to set future global regulatory standards;***

Amendment

58. Recognises that the EU and the US are pursuing negotiations for a Transatlantic Trade and Investment Partnership, ***but recommends suspending the negotiations owing to the circumstances mentioned in paragraphs 6 and 8 until comprehensive spying on industry, business and society is credibly, reliably and demonstrably refrained from or brought to an end;***

Amendment 292

Jan Philipp Albrecht, Judith Sargentini, Jean Lambert, H  l  ne Flautre, Rui Tavares, Ra  l Romeva i Rueda, Carl Schlyter

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 59

Motion for a resolution

59. Strongly emphasises, given the importance of the digital economy in the relationship and in the cause of rebuilding EU-US trust, that the European Parliament will **only consent to** the final TTIP agreement **provided the agreement** fully respects fundamental rights recognised by the EU Charter, and that the protection of the privacy of individuals in relation to the processing and dissemination of personal data **must continue to be governed by** Article XIV of the GATS;

Amendment

59. Strongly emphasises, given the importance of the digital economy in the relationship and in the cause of rebuilding EU-US trust, that the European Parliament will **see to it that** the final TTIP agreement, **among other criteria**, fully respects fundamental rights recognised by the EU Charter and that the protection of the privacy of individuals in relation to the processing and dissemination of personal data **shall remain governed by Article XIV of the GATS before consenting to it; stresses that EU data protection legislation cannot be deemed an "arbitrary or unjustifiable discrimination" in the application of** Article XIV of the GATS; **stresses furthermore that the European Parliament shall not consent to any final TTIP agreement as long as the blanket mass surveillance activities and bulk processing of personal data as well as the interception of communications in EU institutions and diplomatic representations are not fully stopped;**

Or. en

Amendment 293
Godelieve Quisthoudt-Rowohl, Axel Voss

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 59

Motion for a resolution

59. Strongly emphasises, given the importance of the digital economy in the relationship and in the cause of rebuilding EU-US trust, that the European Parliament will **only** consent to the final TTIP

Amendment

59. Strongly emphasises, given the importance of the digital economy in the relationship and in the cause of rebuilding EU-US trust, that the European Parliament will consent to the final TTIP agreement

agreement provided the agreement **fully respects** fundamental rights **recognised by the EU Charter, and that the** protection of the privacy of individuals in relation to the processing and dissemination of personal data **must continue to be governed by Article XIV of the GATS**;

provided the agreement **guarantees full respect for EU** fundamental rights **standards; supports a high level of** protection of the privacy of individuals in relation to the processing and dissemination of personal data **and complies with the General Agreement on Trade in Services (GATS) provisions on the protection of personal data**;

(In conformity with Art. 13 of European Parliament resolution of 23 May 2013 on EU trade and investment negotiations with the United States of America (2013/2558(RSP)))

Or. en

Amendment 294

Claude Moraes, Ana Gomes, Annemie Neyts-Uyttebroeck, José Ignacio Salafranca Sánchez-Neyra

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 59

Motion for a resolution

59. Strongly emphasises, given the importance of the digital economy in the relationship and in the cause of rebuilding EU-US trust, that the European Parliament will only consent to the final TTIP agreement provided the agreement fully respects fundamental rights recognised by the EU Charter, and that the protection of the privacy of individuals in relation to the processing and dissemination of personal data must continue to be governed by Article XIV of the GATS;

Amendment

59. Strongly emphasises, given the importance of the digital economy in the relationship and in the cause of rebuilding EU-US trust, that the **consent by the European Parliament to the final TTIP agreement is endangered without a prior adequate solution for data privacy rights of EU citizens, including administrative and judicial redress; underlines that the** European Parliament will only consent to the final TTIP agreement provided the agreement fully respects fundamental rights recognised by the EU Charter, and that the protection of the privacy of individuals in relation to the processing and dissemination of personal data must continue to be governed by Article XIV of the GATS;

Or. en

Amendment 295

Timothy Kirkhope

on behalf of the ECR Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 59

Motion for a resolution

59. Strongly emphasises, ***given the importance of the digital economy in the relationship and in the cause of rebuilding EU-US trust***, that the European Parliament ***will only consent to the final TTIP agreement provided the agreement fully respects fundamental rights recognised by the EU Charter, and that the protection of the privacy of individuals in relation to the processing and dissemination of personal data must continue to be governed by Article XIV of the GATS***;

Amendment

59. Strongly emphasises that the European Parliament ***should place a clear distinction between the TTIP negotiations and the allegations of NSA mass surveillance programmes***;

Or. en

Amendment 296

Daniel Caspary, Axel Voss

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 59

Motion for a resolution

59. Strongly emphasises, given the importance of the digital economy in the relationship and in the cause of rebuilding EU-US trust, that the European Parliament will ***only*** consent to the final TTIP agreement provided the agreement ***fully respects*** fundamental rights ***recognised by the EU Charter, and that the protection of the privacy of individuals*** in relation to the processing and dissemination of personal data ***must continue to be governed by Article XIV of the GATS***;

Amendment

59. Strongly emphasises, given the importance of the digital economy in the relationship and in the cause of rebuilding EU-US trust, that the European Parliament will consent to the final TTIP agreement provided ***inter alia*** the agreement ***guarantees full respect for EU fundamental rights standards as well as a high level of protection of personal data*** in relation to the processing and dissemination ***according to the provisions of the General Agreement on Trade in***

Services (GATS) on the protection of personal data, which should benefit consumers on both sides of the Atlantic;

Or. en

Amendment 297

Axel Voss, Christian Ehler, Hubert Pirker, Véronique Mathieu Houillon, Agustín Díaz de Mera García Consuegra, Godelieve Quisthoudt-Rowohl, Teresa Jiménez-Becerril Barrio, Daniel Caspary, Anna Maria Corazza Bildt, Salvador Sedó i Alabart

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 59

Motion for a resolution

59. Strongly emphasises, given the importance of the digital economy in the relationship and in the cause of rebuilding EU-US trust, that the European Parliament will **only** consent to the final TTIP agreement **provided the** agreement fully **respects** fundamental rights recognised by the EU Charter, and that the protection of the privacy of individuals in relation to the processing and dissemination of personal data must continue to be governed by Article XIV of the GATS;

Amendment

59. Strongly emphasises, given the importance of the digital economy in the relationship and in the cause of rebuilding EU-US trust, that the European Parliament will consent to the final TTIP agreement **and distinguishes clearly the TTIP negotiations from revelations on the US NSA mass surveillance programme; however the TTIP** agreement **shall** fully **respect** fundamental rights recognised by the EU Charter, and that the protection of the privacy of individuals in relation to the processing and dissemination of personal data must continue to be governed by Article XIV of the GATS;

Or. en

Amendment 298

Hubert Pirker, Axel Voss, Véronique Mathieu Houillon

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 59 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

59a. European Counter Intelligence policy

(New heading for additional paragraphs)

Or. en

Amendment 299

Hubert Pirker, Axel Voss, Véronique Mathieu Houillon

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 59 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

59b. Urges Member States to immediately launch a process of setting up permanent structures to better cooperate in the field of counter intelligence at European level on, at first, a multilateral basis taking the conception of Europol in its first years as a blueprint;

Or. en

Amendment 300

Hubert Pirker, Axel Voss, Véronique Mathieu Houillon

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 59 c (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

59c. This European Counter Intelligence Service should be set up in order to protect the European citizens, EU institutions, national governments and parliaments, major European companies, European IT infrastructures and networks as well as European universities, science and research from spying. Therefore, calls on those Member States to provide for an active involvement of EU stakeholders in industries, businesses and science within this structure in order to assure an information and knowledge exchange between the European Counter

Intelligence Service, Member State's counter intelligence services and European economical key players.

Or. en

Amendment 301

Hubert Pirker, Axel Voss, Véronique Mathieu Houillon

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 59 d (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

59d. Strongly demands this concept of a European Counter Intelligence Service to be added into the Treaties when being reformed the next time in order to assure a European oversight mechanism and involvement of the European Parliament in the decision making.

Or. en

Amendment 302

Arnaud Danjean

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 60

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

60. Stresses that, despite the fact that oversight of intelligence services' activities should be based on both democratic legitimacy (strong legal framework, ex ante authorisation and ex post verification) and an adequate technical capability and expertise, the majority of current EU and US oversight bodies dramatically lack both, in particular the technical capabilities;

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 303
Anna Maria Corazza Bildt

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 60

Motion for a resolution

60. Stresses that, *despite the fact that oversight of intelligence services' activities* should be based on both democratic legitimacy (*strong legal framework, ex ante authorisation and ex post verification*) and an adequate technical capability and expertise, *the majority of current EU and US oversight bodies dramatically lack both, in particular the technical capabilities;*

Amendment

60. Stresses that *oversight of intelligence services is the sole competence of Member States and* should be based on both democratic legitimacy and an adequate technical capability and expertise; *stresses the importance to respect subsidiarity and the role of national parliaments of the Member States;*

Or. en

Amendment 304
Arnaud Danjean

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 61

Motion for a resolution

61. *Invites, as it has done in the case of Echelon, all national parliaments which have not yet done so to install meaningful oversight of intelligence activities by parliamentarians or expert bodies with legal powers to investigate; calls on national parliaments to ensure that such oversight committees/bodies have sufficient resources, technical expertise and legal means to be able to effectively control intelligence services;*

Amendment

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 305
Claude Moraes

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 61

Motion for a resolution

61. Invites, as it has done in the case of Echelon, all national parliaments which have not yet done so to install meaningful oversight of intelligence activities by parliamentarians or expert bodies with legal powers to investigate; calls on national parliaments to ensure that such oversight committees/bodies have sufficient resources, technical expertise and legal means to be able to effectively control intelligence services;

Amendment

61. Invites, as it has done in the case of Echelon, all national parliaments which have not yet done so to install meaningful oversight of intelligence activities by parliamentarians or expert bodies with legal powers to investigate; calls on national parliaments to ensure that such oversight committees/bodies have sufficient resources, technical expertise and legal means to be able to effectively control intelligence services; ***calls on Member States to revise their legislative framework to ensure that their oversight bodies are not considered as a third party under the "third party rule" or the principle of "originator control", thereby allowing for adequate scrutiny and accountability of intelligence from foreign countries;***

Or. en

Amendment 306
Cornelia Ernst

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 61

Motion for a resolution

61. Invites, as it has done in the case of Echelon, all national parliaments which have not yet done so to install meaningful oversight of intelligence activities by parliamentarians or expert bodies with legal powers to investigate; calls on national parliaments to ensure that such oversight committees/bodies have sufficient resources, technical expertise and

Amendment

61. Invites, as it has done in the case of Echelon, all national parliaments which have not yet done so to install meaningful oversight of intelligence activities by parliamentarians or expert bodies with legal powers to investigate; calls on national parliaments to ensure that such oversight committees/bodies have sufficient resources, technical expertise and

legal means to be able to effectively control intelligence services;

legal means, ***including the right to conduct on-site visits***, to be able to effectively control intelligence services;

Or. en

Amendment 307

Birgit Sippel, Carmen Romero López, Josef Weidenholzer

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 61 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

61a. Considers that the European Parliament must have full powers to conduct parliamentary inquiries and is of the opinion that the powers conferred to it by its current Rules of Procedure cannot be compared to such a proper oversight mechanism which would include at least the right to summon witnesses and hear them under oath;

Or. en

Amendment 308

Anna Maria Corazza Bildt

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 62

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

62. Calls for the setting up of a high-level group to strengthen cooperation in the field of intelligence at EU level, combined with a proper oversight mechanism ensuring both democratic legitimacy and adequate technical capacity; stresses that the high-level group should cooperate closely with national parliaments in order to propose further steps to be taken for increased oversight collaboration in the EU;

deleted

Amendment 309
Arnaud Danjean

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 62

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

62. Calls for the setting up of a high-level group to strengthen cooperation in the field of intelligence at EU level, combined with a proper oversight mechanism ensuring both democratic legitimacy and adequate technical capacity; stresses that the high-level group should cooperate closely with national parliaments in order to propose further steps to be taken for increased oversight collaboration in the EU; **deleted**

Or. en

Amendment 310
Timothy Kirkhope
on behalf of the ECR Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 62

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

62. Calls for the setting up of a high-level group to strengthen cooperation in the field of intelligence at EU level, combined with a proper oversight mechanism ensuring both democratic legitimacy and adequate technical capacity; stresses that the high-level group should cooperate closely with national parliaments in order to propose further steps to be taken for increased oversight collaboration in the EU; **deleted**

Amendment 311

Jan Philipp Albrecht, Judith Sargentini, Jean Lambert, H el ene Flautre, Rui Tavares, Ra il Romeva i Rueda

Motion for a resolution**Paragraph 62***Motion for a resolution*

62. ***Calls for*** the setting up of a ***high-level group*** to strengthen ***cooperation*** in the field of intelligence at EU level, combined with a proper oversight mechanism ensuring both democratic legitimacy and adequate technical capacity; stresses that the high-level group should cooperate closely with national parliaments in order to propose further steps to be taken for increased oversight collaboration in the EU;

Amendment

62. ***Decides*** the setting up of a ***High Level Group in order*** to strengthen ***parliamentary oversight*** in the field of intelligence at EU level, combined with a proper oversight mechanism ensuring both democratic legitimacy and adequate technical capacity; stresses that the high-level group should cooperate closely with national parliaments in order to propose further steps to be taken for increased oversight collaboration in the EU;

Amendment 312

Hubert Pirker, Axel Voss, V eronique Mathieu Houillon

Motion for a resolution**Paragraph 62***Motion for a resolution*

62. ***Calls for*** the setting up of a ***high-level group to strengthen*** cooperation in the field of intelligence at ***EU*** level, ***combined with a proper oversight mechanism ensuring both democratic legitimacy and adequate technical capacity; stresses that the high-level group should cooperate closely with national parliaments*** in order to ***propose further steps to be taken for increased*** oversight collaboration in the ***EU***;

Amendment

62. ***Urges willing Member States*** for the setting up of a ***permanent*** cooperation in the field of ***counter*** intelligence at ***European*** level ***on, at first, a multilateral basis taking the conception of Europol in its first years as a blueprint. This European counter intelligence cooperation should be set up*** in order to ***protect the European citizens, economy, businesses and science from spying. This should be combined with a proper oversight mechanism ensuring democratic***

legitimacy;

Or. en

Amendment 313
Sophia in 't Veld, Nadja Hirsch

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 62

Motion for a resolution

62. Calls for the setting up of a high-level group to strengthen **cooperation in the field of** intelligence at EU level, combined with a proper oversight mechanism ensuring both democratic legitimacy and adequate technical capacity; stresses that the high-level group should cooperate closely with national parliaments in order to propose further steps to be taken for increased oversight collaboration in the EU;

Amendment

62. Calls for the setting up of a high-level group to strengthen **democratic oversight on** intelligence **cooperation** at EU level, combined with a proper oversight mechanism ensuring both democratic legitimacy and adequate technical capacity; stresses that the high-level group should cooperate closely with national parliaments in order to propose further steps to be taken for increased oversight collaboration in the EU;

Or. en

Amendment 314
Josef Weidenholzer, Birgit Sippel

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 62

Motion for a resolution

62. Calls for the setting up of a high-level group to strengthen cooperation in the field of intelligence at EU level, combined with a proper oversight mechanism ensuring both democratic legitimacy and adequate technical capacity; stresses that the high-level group should cooperate closely with national parliaments in order to propose further steps to be taken for increased oversight collaboration in the EU;

Amendment

62. Calls for the setting up of a high-level group to strengthen cooperation in the field of intelligence **oversight** at EU level, combined with a proper oversight mechanism ensuring both democratic legitimacy and adequate technical capacity; stresses that the high-level group should cooperate closely with national parliaments in order to propose further steps to be taken for increased oversight collaboration in the EU;

Amendment 315
Teresa Jiménez-Becerril Barrio

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 62 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

62a. Calls on the Commission to present an EU extracting system providing European counterterrorism services with a comparable level of efficiency as soon as possible;

Or. en

Amendment 316
Timothy Kirkhope
on behalf of the ECR Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 63

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

63. Calls on this high-level group to define minimum European standards or guidelines on the (ex ante and ex post) oversight of intelligence services on the basis of existing best practices and recommendations by international bodies (UN, Council of Europe);

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 317
Anna Maria Corazza Bildt

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 63

Motion for a resolution

63. Calls on **this high-level group to define minimum European standards or guidelines on the (ex ante and ex post) oversight of intelligence services on the basis of** existing best practices and recommendations by international bodies (UN, Council of Europe);

Amendment

63. Calls on **Member States to take in consideration** existing best practices and recommendations by international bodies (UN, Council of Europe) **concerning the oversight of intelligence services**;

Or. en

Amendment 318

Timothy Kirkhope

on behalf of the ECR Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 64

Motion for a resolution

64. Calls on the high-level group to set strict limits on the duration of any surveillance ordered unless its continuation is duly justified by the authorising/oversight authority;

Amendment

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 319

Jan Philipp Albrecht

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 64

Motion for a resolution

64. Calls on the high-level group to set strict limits on the duration of any surveillance ordered unless its continuation is duly justified by the authorising/oversight authority;

Amendment

64. Calls on the high-level group to set strict limits on the duration **and scope** of any surveillance ordered unless its continuation is duly justified by the authorising/oversight authority;

Or. en

Amendment 320
Anna Maria Corazza Bildt

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 64

Motion for a resolution

64. ***Calls on the high-level group to set strict limits on the duration of any surveillance ordered unless its continuation is duly justified by the authorising/oversight authority;***

Amendment

64. ***Recalls that*** the duration of any surveillance ordered ***should be proportionate and limited to its purpose;***

Or. en

Amendment 321
Anna Maria Corazza Bildt

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 65

Motion for a resolution

65. ***Calls on the high-level group to develop criteria on enhanced transparency, built on the general principle of access to information and the so-called ‘Tshwane Principles’⁴¹ ;***

Amendment

deleted

⁴¹ ***The Global Principles on National Security and the Right to Information, June 2013.***

Or. en

Amendment 322
Jan Philipp Albrecht, Judith Sargentini, Jean Lambert, Hélène Flautre, Rui Tavares, Raül Romeva i Rueda

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 66

Motion for a resolution

66. Intends to organise a conference with national oversight bodies, whether parliamentary or independent, **by** the end of 2014;

Amendment

66. Intends to organise a conference with national oversight bodies, whether parliamentary or independent, **before** the end of 2014;

Or. en

Amendment 323
Arnaud Danjean

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 67

Motion for a resolution

67. Calls on the Member States to draw on best practices so as to improve access by their oversight bodies to information on intelligence activities (including classified information and information from other services) and establish the power to conduct on-site visits, a robust set of powers of interrogation, adequate resources and technical expertise, strict independence vis-à-vis their respective governments, and a reporting obligation to their respective parliaments;

Amendment

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 324
Anna Maria Corazza Bildt

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 67

Motion for a resolution

67. Calls on the Member States to draw on best practices so as to improve access by their oversight bodies to information on intelligence activities (including classified

Amendment

67. Calls on the Member States to draw on best practices so as to improve access by their oversight bodies to information on intelligence activities (including classified

information and information from other services) and ***establish the power to conduct on-site visits, a robust set of powers of interrogation, adequate resources and technical expertise, strict independence vis-à-vis their respective governments, and a reporting obligation to their respective parliaments;***

information and information from other services) and ***ensure democratic control of those activities;***

Or. en

Amendment 325
Arnaud Danjean

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 68

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

68. Calls on the Member States to develop cooperation among oversight bodies, in particular within the European Network of National Intelligence Reviewers (ENNIR);

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 326
Jan Philipp Albrecht, Judith Sargentini, Jean Lambert, Hélène Flautre, Rui Tavares, Raül Romeva i Rueda

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 68

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

68. Calls on the Member States to develop cooperation among oversight bodies, in particular within the European Network of National Intelligence Reviewers (ENNIR);

68. Calls on the Member States to develop cooperation among oversight bodies, in particular within the European Network of National Intelligence Reviewers (ENNIR); ***calls particularly on the oversight bodies of those Member States whose governments have refused to cooperate with the EP inquiry - UK, France, Germany, Netherlands, Poland, Sweden –***

to further coordinate their activities;

Or. en

Amendment 327

Timothy Kirkhope

on behalf of the ECR Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 69

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

69. Urges the Commission to present, by September 2014, a proposal for a legal basis for the activities of the EU Intelligence Analysis Centre (IntCen), as well as a proper oversight mechanism adapted to its activities, including regular reporting to the European Parliament;

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 328

José Ignacio Salafranca Sánchez-Neyra, Annemie Neyts-Uyttebroeck, Ana Gomes, Elmar Brok

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 69

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

69. Urges the Commission to present, by September 2014, a proposal for a legal basis for the activities of the EU Intelligence Analysis Centre (IntCen), as well as a proper oversight mechanism adapted to its activities, including regular reporting to the European Parliament;

69. Insists that a proper legal basis must be established for the EU to develop its own intelligence and data collection facility; urges the HR/VP to regularly account for the activities of the EU Intelligence Analysis Center (IntCen), including full compliance with fundamental human rights and applicable EU data privacy rules; notes that the IntCen is part of the European External Action Service, and as such produces strategic analysis for EU decision makers based on information provided by the EU

Member States and that it does not collect data itself; calls for regular reporting to the responsible bodies of the European Parliament and intends to improve the present oversight mechanism in this regard;

Or. en

Amendment 329

Jan Philipp Albrecht, Judith Sargentini, Jean Lambert, Hélène Flautre, Rui Tavares, Raül Romeva i Rueda

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 69

Motion for a resolution

69. Urges the Commission to present, by September 2014, a proposal for a legal basis for the activities of the EU Intelligence Analysis Centre (IntCen), as well as a proper oversight mechanism adapted to its activities, including regular reporting to the European Parliament;

Amendment

69. Urges the Commission to present, by September 2014, a proposal for a legal basis for the activities of the EU Intelligence Analysis Centre (IntCen), as well as a proper oversight mechanism adapted to its activities, including regular reporting to the European Parliament; ***decides not to allocate funding to IntCen until its activities are covered by a proper legal basis;***

Or. en

Amendment 330

Anna Maria Corazza Bildt

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 70

Motion for a resolution

70. Calls on the Commission to present, by September 2014, a proposal for an EU security clearance procedure for all EU office holders, as the current system, which relies on the security clearance undertaken by the Member State of citizenship, provides for different

Amendment

deleted

requirements and lengths of procedures within national systems, thus leading to differing treatment of Members of Parliament and their staff depending on their nationality;

Or. en

Amendment 331
Arnaud Danjean

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 70

Motion for a resolution

70. Calls on the Commission to present, by September 2014, a proposal for an EU security clearance procedure for all EU office holders, as the current system, which relies on the security clearance undertaken by the Member State of citizenship, provides for different requirements and lengths of procedures within national systems, thus leading to differing treatment of Members of Parliament and their staff depending on their nationality;

Amendment

70. Calls on the Commission to present, by September 2014, a proposal for an EU security clearance procedure for all EU office holders, as **a complement to** the current system, which relies on the security clearance undertaken by the Member State of citizenship, provides for different requirements and lengths of procedures within national systems, thus leading to differing treatment of Members of Parliament and their staff depending on their nationality;

Or. en

Amendment 332
Axel Voss, Véronique Mathieu Houillon, Agustín Díaz de Mera García Consuegra, Teresa Jiménez-Becerril Barrio

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 70

Motion for a resolution

70. Calls on the Commission to present, **by September 2014**, a proposal for an EU security clearance procedure for **all** EU office holders, as the current system, which relies on the security clearance undertaken

Amendment

70. Calls on the Commission to present a proposal for an EU security clearance procedure for EU office holders **to the extent necessary**, as the current system, which relies on the security clearance

by the Member State of citizenship, provides for different requirements and lengths of procedures within national systems, thus leading to differing treatment of Members of Parliament and their staff depending on their nationality;

undertaken by the Member State of citizenship, provides for different requirements and lengths of procedures within national systems, thus leading to differing treatment of Members of Parliament and their staff depending on their nationality;

Or. en

Amendment 333
Arnaud Danjean

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 71

Motion for a resolution

71. Recalls the provisions of the interinstitutional agreement between the European Parliament and the Council concerning the forwarding to and handling by the European Parliament of classified information held by the Council on matters other than those in the area of the common foreign and security policy ***that should be used to improve oversight at EU level;***

Amendment

71. Recalls the provisions of the interinstitutional agreement between the European Parliament and the Council concerning the forwarding to and handling by the European Parliament of classified information held by the Council on matters other than those in the area of the common foreign and security policy;

Or. en

Amendment 334
Cornelia Ernst

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 71 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

71a. Considers that within this debate on accountability, there are limits to what legal changes can achieve as it seems intrinsic to the culture of intelligence agencies to push the boundaries of legality, and to justify any infringement of human rights with the blanked reference

to security; considers that the issue is essentially one of political morality. Will governments continue to boost a political culture where public authorities erode citizen's basic human rights, often in a cloud of secrecy and public denial? Will governments continue to deliberately sketch and exploit a false dichotomy between security and freedom? Will governments continue to refuse political accountability of intelligence agencies gone out of control, and continue to uphold a culture of impunity?

Or. en

Amendment 335

Jan Philipp Albrecht, Judith Sargentini, Jean Lambert, Hélène Flautre, Rui Tavares, Raül Romeva i Rueda

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 72

Motion for a resolution

72. Calls on the Europol Joint Supervisory Body, together with national data protection authorities, to conduct a joint inspection before the end of 2014 in order to ascertain whether information and personal data shared with Europol has been lawfully acquired by national authorities, particularly if the information or data was initially acquired by intelligence services in the EU or a third country, and whether appropriate measures are in place to prevent the use and further dissemination of such information or data;

Amendment

72. Calls on the Europol Joint Supervisory Body, together with national data protection authorities, to conduct a joint inspection before the end of 2014 in order to ascertain whether information and personal data shared with Europol has been lawfully acquired by national authorities, particularly if the information or data was initially acquired by intelligence services in the EU or a third country, and whether appropriate measures are in place to prevent the use and further dissemination of such information or data; ***considers that Europol should not process any information or data which was obtained in violation of fundamental rights which would be protected under the Charter of Fundamental Rights;***

Or. en

Amendment 336

Axel Voss, Hubert Pirker, Véronique Mathieu Houillon, Agustín Díaz de Mera García Consuegra, Teresa Jiménez-Becerril Barrio, Arnaud Danjean, Anna Maria Corazza Bildt, Salvador Sedó i Alabart

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 72

Motion for a resolution

72. Calls on the Europol Joint Supervisory Body, together with national data protection authorities, to conduct a joint **inspection** before the end of 2014 **in order to ascertain whether information and personal data shared with Europol has been lawfully acquired by national authorities, particularly if the information or data was initially acquired by intelligence services in the EU or a third country, and whether appropriate measures are in place to prevent the use and further dissemination of such information or data;**

Amendment

72. Calls on the Europol Joint Supervisory Body, together with national data protection authorities, to conduct a joint **review** before the end of 2014;

Or. en

Amendment 337

Monika Hohlmeier, Georgios Papanikolaou, Agustín Díaz de Mera García Consuegra, Véronique Mathieu Houillon, Axel Voss, Christian Ehler, Ágnes Hankiss

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 72 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

72a. Welcomes the work of the specialised EU agencies and bodies in the field of IT security such as Europol's Cybercrime Centre (EC3), Eurojust, ENISA, CERT-EU and eu-LISA; reminds that the actions and support provided by these agencies deliver a direct added value for the EU and its Member States; deplores that many of these agencies still lack resources to fulfil their mandate while requests for support rise; calls on the

Commission to reflect these necessities in the draft budget for 2015;

Or. en

Amendment 338

Monika Hohlmeier, Agustín Díaz de Mera García Consuegra, Véronique Mathieu Houillon, Axel Voss, Ágnes Hankiss

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 73

Motion for a resolution

73. Calls on Europol to ask the competent authorities of the Member States, in *line* with its *competences*, to initiate investigations with regard to possible cybercrimes and cyber attacks committed by governments or private actors in the course of the activities under scrutiny;

Amendment

73. Calls on Europol to ask the competent authorities of the Member States, in *accordance* with its *mandate*, to initiate investigations with regard to possible cybercrimes and cyber attacks committed by governments or private actors in the course of the activities under scrutiny;

Or. en

Amendment 339

Axel Voss, Christian Ehler, Elena Oana Antonescu, Hubert Pirker, Véronique Mathieu Houillon, Agustín Díaz de Mera García Consuegra, Teresa Jiménez-Becerril Barrio, Salvador Sedó i Alabart

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 73

Motion for a resolution

73. Calls on Europol to ask the competent authorities of the Member States, in line with its competences, to initiate investigations with regard to possible cybercrimes and cyber attacks committed by governments or private actors in the course of the activities under scrutiny;

Amendment

73. Calls on Europol to ask the competent authorities of the Member States, in line with its competences, to initiate investigations with regard to possible cybercrimes and cyber attacks committed by governments or private actors in the course of the activities under scrutiny; *calls on the Commission review the activities of the European Cybercrime Centre and to put forward if necessary a proposal for a comprehensive framework for*

*strengthening the competences of the
European Cybercrime Centre;*

Or. en

Amendment 340
Carmen Romero López

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 73 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

***73a. Calls on the Council and
Commission to empower Eurojust to
monitor the implementation of
international data exchange agreements
for the purposes of crime prevention.***

Or. es

Amendment 341
Timothy Kirkhope
on behalf of the ECR Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 74

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

***74. Expresses deep concern about the
developing threats to the freedom of the
press and the chilling effect on journalists
of intimidation by state authorities, in
particular as regards the protection of
confidentiality of journalistic sources;
reiterates the calls expressed in its
resolution of 21 May 2013 on ‘the EU
Charter: standard settings for media
freedom across the EU’;***

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 342
Joanna Senyszyn

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 74 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

74a. Recognises that the culture of philanthropy, public mass-membership and support for the civil society in the United States allows the NGO's to conduct accurate research helping the government to propose adequate policies and legislative solutions, in European Union however, NGO's are usually lacking permanent resources; therefore asks the Commission and Member States to consider and address this situation through establishing appropriate measures for improved development of civil society;

Or. en

Amendment 343
Sophia in 't Veld, Nadja Hirsch

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 74 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

74a. Professional secrecy and confidentiality

Or. en

Amendment 344
Sophia in 't Veld, Nadja Hirsch

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 74 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

74b. Considers that lawyers' role, whether retained by an individual, a corporation or the state, is as the client's trusted adviser and representative, as a professional respected by third parties, and as an indispensable participant in the fair administration of justice and democracy; without the certainty of confidentiality, there can be no trust and if the right of EU citizens and businesses to be protected against any divulging of communications with their lawyers is denied, they may be denied access to legal advice and to justice under the rule of law;

Or. en

Amendment 345
Sophia in 't Veld, Nadja Hirsch

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 74 c (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

74c. Calls on the Commission to put forward a proposal for the protection of professional secrecy from government electronic surveillance, including the use of electronic communication services or other cloud service for lawyer-client communications;

Or. en

Amendment 346
Timothy Kirkhope
on behalf of the ECR Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 75

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

75. Considers that the detention of Mr Miranda and the seizure of the material in his possession under Schedule 7 of the Terrorism Act 2000 (and also the request to The Guardian to destroy or hand over the material) constitutes an interference with the right of freedom of expression as recognised by Article 10 of the ECHR and Article 11 of the EU Charter;

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 347

Axel Voss, Véronique Mathieu Houillon, Agustín Díaz de Mera García Consuegra, Teresa Jiménez-Becerril Barrio, Arnaud Danjean, Timothy Kirkhope, Salvador Sedó i Alabart

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 75

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

75. Considers that the detention of Mr Miranda and the seizure of the material in his possession under Schedule 7 of the Terrorism Act 2000 (and also the request to The Guardian to destroy or hand over the material) constitutes an interference with the right of freedom of expression as recognised by Article 10 of the ECHR and Article 11 of the EU Charter;

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 348

Jan Philipp Albrecht, Judith Sargentini, Jean Lambert, Hélène Flautre, Rui Tavares, Raül Romeva i Rueda

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 75

Motion for a resolution

75. Considers that the detention of Mr Miranda and the seizure of the material in his possession under Schedule 7 of the Terrorism Act 2000 (and also the request to The Guardian to destroy or hand over the material) constitutes an interference with the right of freedom of expression as recognised by Article 10 of the ECHR and Article 11 of the EU Charter;

Amendment

75. Considers that the detention of Mr Miranda and the seizure of the material in his possession under Schedule 7 of the Terrorism Act 2000 (and also the request to The Guardian to destroy or hand over the material) **by UK authorities** constitutes an interference with the right of freedom of expression as recognised by Article 10 of the ECHR and Article 11 of the EU Charter;

Or. en

Amendment 349
Sophia in 't Veld, Nadja Hirsch

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 75

Motion for a resolution

75. Considers that the detention of Mr Miranda and the seizure of the material in his possession under Schedule 7 of the Terrorism Act 2000 (and also the request to The Guardian to destroy or hand over the material) constitutes ***an interference with*** the right of freedom of expression as recognised by Article 10 of the ECHR and Article 11 of the EU Charter;

Amendment

75. Considers that the detention of Mr Miranda and the seizure of the material in his possession under Schedule 7 of the Terrorism Act 2000 (and also the request to The Guardian to destroy or hand over the material) constitutes ***a serious violation of*** the right of freedom of expression, as recognised by Article 10 of the ECHR and Article 11 of the EU Charter, ***with the effect of curtailing media freedom and creating a chilling effect on media reporting on surveillance on citizens;***

Or. en

Amendment 350
Cornelia Ernst

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 75

Motion for a resolution

75. Considers that the detention of Mr Miranda and the seizure of the material in his possession under Schedule 7 of the Terrorism Act 2000 (and also the request to The Guardian to destroy or hand over the material) constitutes an interference with the right of freedom of expression as recognised by Article 10 of the ECHR and Article 11 of the EU Charter;

Amendment

75. Considers that the detention of Mr Miranda and the seizure of the material in his possession under Schedule 7 of the Terrorism Act 2000 (and also the request to The Guardian to destroy or hand over the material) constitutes an interference with the right of freedom of expression as recognised by Article 10 of the ECHR and Article 11 of the EU Charter; ***considers both cases as examples how legislation to fight terrorism can be and is abused by governments;***

Or. en

Amendment 351

Timothy Kirkhope

on behalf of the ECR Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 76

Motion for a resolution

76. Calls on the Commission to put forward a proposal for a comprehensive framework for the protection of whistleblowers in the EU, with particular attention to the specificities of whistleblowing in the field of intelligence, for which provisions relating to whistleblowing in the financial field may prove insufficient, and including strong guarantees of immunity;

Amendment

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 352

Axel Voss, Ágnes Hankiss, Hubert Pirker, Agustín Díaz de Mera García Consuegra, Teresa Jiménez-Becerril Barrio, Arnaud Danjean, Timothy Kirkhope, Anna Maria Corazza Bildt, Salvador Sedó i Alabart

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 76

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

76. Calls on the Commission to put forward a proposal for a comprehensive framework for the protection of whistleblowers in the EU, with particular attention to the specificities of whistleblowing in the field of intelligence, for which provisions relating to whistleblowing in the financial field may prove insufficient, and including strong guarantees of immunity;

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 353

Jan Philipp Albrecht, Judith Sargentini, Jean Lambert, Hélène Flautre, Rui Tavares, Raül Romeva i Rueda

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 76 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Professional Secrecy and Confidentiality Privilege

76a. Considers that it is of the essence of professional secrecy privilege for lawyers, journalists, priests and other regulated professions that their members are told by their clients, patients or sources about matters which they would not tell to others and that without the certainty of confidentiality, there can be no trust; stresses that if the right of EU citizens to be protected against any divulging of communications with their lawyers is denied, they may be denied access to legal advice and to justice; stresses that if the right of journalists to protect their sources against any divulging of communications is denied, the critical role of investigative journalism for democracy is undermined;

Amendment 354

Gianni Vattimo

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 76 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

76a. Calls on the Member States, should they receive further requests to that effect, to grant political asylum and international protection to Edward Snowden and to any other whistle-blower who exposes serious and systematic violations of European citizens' fundamental rights;

Or. it

Amendment 355

Gianni Vattimo

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 76 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

76b. Condemns the attitude of the Member States to which Edward Snowden applied for asylum and which, under pressure from the US, resorted to legal quibbles in order to deny or ignore his requests, an attitude that is further illustrated by the shambolic but serious diplomatic incident last July, in which the aircraft carrying President Evo Morales of Bolivia was refused permission to overfly, or land or refuel in, certain European countries because they feared that Snowden was hiding on board;

Or. it

Amendment 356

Monika Hohlmeier, Agustín Díaz de Mera García Consuegra, Véronique Mathieu Houillon

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 77

Motion for a resolution

77. Points out that recent incidents clearly demonstrate the acute vulnerability of the EU, and in particular the EU institutions, national governments and parliaments, major European companies, European IT infrastructures and networks, to sophisticated attacks using complex software; notes that these attacks require such financial and human resources that they are likely to originate from state entities acting on behalf of foreign governments **or even from certain EU national governments that support them**; in this context, regards the case of the hacking or tapping of the telecommunications company Belgacom as a worrying example of an attack against the EU's IT capacity;

Amendment

77. Points out that recent incidents clearly demonstrate the acute vulnerability of the EU, and in particular the EU institutions, national governments and parliaments, major European companies, European IT infrastructures and networks, to sophisticated attacks using complex software; notes that these attacks require such financial and human resources that they are likely to originate from state entities acting on behalf of foreign governments **(including EU Member States)**; in this context, regards the case of the hacking or tapping of the telecommunications company Belgacom as a worrying example of an attack against the EU's IT capacity; **stresses that serious attacks might also originate from large criminal organisations**;

Or. en

Amendment 357

Hubert Pirker, Axel Voss

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 77

Motion for a resolution

77. Points out that recent incidents clearly demonstrate the acute vulnerability of the EU, and in particular the EU institutions, national governments and parliaments, major European companies, European IT infrastructures and networks, to sophisticated attacks using complex software; notes that these attacks require

Amendment

77. Points out that recent incidents clearly demonstrate the acute vulnerability of the EU, and in particular the EU institutions, national governments and parliaments, major European companies, European IT infrastructures and networks **as well as European universities, science and research**, to sophisticated attacks using

such financial and human resources that they are likely to originate from state entities acting on behalf of foreign governments or even from certain EU national governments that support them; in this context, regards the case of the hacking or tapping of the telecommunications company Belgacom as a worrying example of an attack against the EU's IT capacity;

complex software; notes that these attacks require such financial and human resources that they are likely to originate from state entities acting on behalf of foreign governments or even from certain EU national governments that support them; in this context, regards the case of the hacking or tapping of the telecommunications company Belgacom as a worrying example of an attack against the EU's IT capacity ***which clearly demonstrates the urgent need of a better protection through a European Counter Intelligence Service;***

Or. en

Amendment 358 **Nils Torvalds**

Motion for a resolution **Paragraph 77**

Motion for a resolution

77. Points out that recent incidents clearly demonstrate the acute vulnerability of the EU, and in particular the EU institutions, national governments and parliaments, major European companies, European IT infrastructures and networks, to sophisticated attacks using complex software; notes that these attacks require such financial and human resources that they are likely to originate from state entities acting on behalf of foreign governments or even from certain EU national governments that support them; in this context, regards the case of the hacking or tapping of the telecommunications company Belgacom as a worrying example of an attack against the EU's IT capacity;

Amendment

77. Points out that recent incidents clearly demonstrate the acute vulnerability of the EU, and in particular the EU institutions, national governments and parliaments, major European companies, European IT infrastructures and networks, to sophisticated attacks using complex software; notes that these attacks require such financial and human resources that they are likely to originate from state entities acting on behalf of foreign governments or even from certain EU national governments that support them; in this context, regards the case of the hacking or tapping of the telecommunications company Belgacom as a worrying example of an attack against the EU's IT capacity; ***is specifically concerned about the use of both intentional and unintentional backdoors built in soft- and hardware that enable unauthorized access***

to, and processing of, data;

Or. en

Amendment 359
Sarah Ludford, Jens Rohde

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 77

Motion for a resolution

77. Points out that recent incidents clearly demonstrate the acute vulnerability of the EU, and in particular the EU institutions, national governments and parliaments, major European companies, European IT infrastructures and networks, to sophisticated attacks using complex software; notes that these attacks require such financial and human resources that they are likely to originate from state entities acting on behalf of foreign governments or even from certain EU national governments that support them; in this context, regards the case of the hacking or tapping of the telecommunications company Belgacom as a worrying example of an attack against the EU's IT capacity;

Amendment

77. Points out that recent incidents clearly demonstrate the acute vulnerability of the EU, and in particular the EU institutions, national governments and parliaments, major European companies, European IT infrastructures and networks, to sophisticated attacks using complex software ***and malware***; notes that these attacks require such financial and human resources that they are likely to originate from state entities acting on behalf of foreign governments or even from certain EU national governments that support them; in this context, regards the case of the hacking or tapping of the telecommunications company Belgacom as a worrying example of an attack against the EU's IT capacity;

Or. en

Amendment 360
Anna Maria Corazza Bildt

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 77

Motion for a resolution

77. Points out that recent incidents clearly demonstrate the acute vulnerability of the ***EU, and in particular the EU institutions, national governments and parliaments,***

Amendment

77. Points out that recent incidents clearly demonstrate the acute vulnerability of the European IT infrastructures and networks, to sophisticated attacks using complex

major European companies, European IT infrastructures and networks, to sophisticated attacks using complex software; notes that these attacks require such financial and human resources that they **are likely to** originate from state entities **acting on behalf of foreign governments or even from certain EU national governments that support them; in this context, regards the case of the hacking or tapping of the telecommunications company Belgacom as a worrying example of an attack against the EU's IT capacity;**

software; notes that these attacks require such financial and human resources that they **could** originate from state entities, **but they could also originate from terrorist or other criminal organisations;**

Or. en

Amendment 361
Nadja Hirsch, Sophia in 't Veld

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 77 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

77a. Calls on the President of the European Parliament to ensure that the European Parliament's communications are secure; considers that, to this end, Members should be able to obtain a certificate for the European Parliament's encryption of emails; considers that the European Parliament would act as trust centre in this regard;

Or. de

Amendment 362
Monika Hohlmeier, Georgios Papanikolaou, Agustín Díaz de Mera García Consuegra, Véronique Mathieu Houillon, Ágnes Hankiss

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 77 a (new)

77a. underlines that a higher degree of IT security and the development of a culture of IT security amongst EU citizens also minimises the vulnerability of the EU and its citizens against cybercrime and cyber facilitated crimes such as financial fraud offences and crime as a service (CaaS) but also more disturbing crimes such as online child sexual exploitation;

Or. en

Amendment 363

Hubert Pirker, Axel Voss

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 78

Motion for a resolution

78. Takes the view that the mass surveillance revelations that have initiated this crisis can be used as an opportunity for Europe to take the initiative and build up an autonomous IT key-resource capability ***for the mid term***; calls on the Commission and the Member States to use public procurement as leverage to support such resource capability in the EU by making EU security and privacy standards a key requirement in the public procurement of IT goods and services;

Amendment

78. Takes the view that the mass surveillance revelations that have initiated this crisis can be used as an opportunity for Europe to take the initiative and build up an autonomous IT key-resource capability ***as a strategic priority-one measure and as soon as possible***; calls on the Commission and the Member States to use public procurement as leverage to support such resource capability in the EU by making EU security and privacy standards a key requirement in the public procurement of IT goods and services;

Or. en

Amendment 364

Sarah Ludford, Jens Rohde, Nils Torvalds, Axel Voss

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 78

Motion for a resolution

78. Takes the view that the mass surveillance revelations that have initiated this crisis can be used as an opportunity for Europe to take the initiative and build up **an autonomous** IT key-resource capability for the mid term; calls on the Commission and the Member States to use public procurement as leverage to support such resource capability in the EU by making **EU** security and privacy standards a key requirement in the public procurement of IT goods and services;

Amendment

78. Takes the view that the mass surveillance revelations that have initiated this crisis can be used as an opportunity for Europe to take the initiative and build up **a strong** IT key-resource capability for the mid term; calls on the Commission and the Member States to use public procurement as leverage to support such resource capability in the EU by making **the strongest possible international** security and privacy standards a key requirement in the public procurement of IT goods and services;

Or. en

Amendment 365

Jan Philipp Albrecht, Judith Sargentini, Jean Lambert, Hélène Flautre, Rui Tavares, Raül Romeva i Rueda

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 78**

Motion for a resolution

78. Takes the view that the mass surveillance revelations that have initiated this crisis can be used as an opportunity for Europe to take the initiative and build up an autonomous IT key-resource capability for the mid term; calls on the Commission and the Member States to use public procurement as leverage to support such resource capability in the EU by making EU security and privacy standards a key requirement in the public procurement of IT goods and services;

Amendment

78. Takes the view that the mass surveillance revelations that have initiated this crisis can be used as an opportunity for Europe to take the initiative and build up an autonomous IT key-resource capability for the mid term; ***underlines that in order to gain trust, such a European IT capability must be based on open standards and free and open software and if possible hardware, making the whole stack from processor design to the application layer reviewable by every interested party; points out that in order to re-gain competitiveness in the strategic sector of IT services, a digital new deal is needed with joint and large-scale efforts by EU institutions, member state governments, research institutions, industry and civil society;*** calls on the

Commission and the Member States to use public procurement as leverage to support such resource capability in the EU by making EU security and privacy standards a key requirement in the public procurement of IT goods and services;

Or. en

Amendment 366

Axel Voss, Agustín Díaz de Mera García Consuegra, Teresa Jiménez-Becerril Barrio, Salvador Sedó i Alabart

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 78**

Motion for a resolution

78. Takes the view that the mass surveillance revelations that have initiated this crisis can be used as an opportunity for Europe to take the initiative and build up an autonomous IT key-resource capability for the mid term; calls on the Commission and the Member States to use public procurement as leverage to support such resource capability in the EU by making EU security and privacy standards a key requirement in the public procurement of IT goods and services;

Amendment

78. Takes the view that the mass surveillance revelations that have initiated this crisis can be used as an opportunity for Europe to take the initiative and build up an autonomous IT key-resource capability for the mid term; calls on the Commission and the Member States to use public procurement as leverage to support such resource capability in the EU by making EU security and privacy standards a key requirement in the public procurement of IT goods and services; ***therefore urges the Commission to review the current public procurement directives with regard to data based public procurement, in particular in the research sector; and to restrict public procurement only to certified companies; and only to EU companies if security interests or vital are involved;***

Or. en

Amendment 367

Jan Philipp Albrecht, Judith Sargentini, Jean Lambert, H el ene Flautre, Rui Tavares, Ra il Romeva i Rueda

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 79

Motion for a resolution

79. **Is highly concerned by indications that foreign** intelligence services sought to lower IT security standards and to install backdoors in a broad range of IT systems;

Amendment

79. **Strongly condemns that that** intelligence services sought to lower IT security standards and to install backdoors in a broad range of IT systems; **asks the Commission to present draft legislation to ban the use of backdoors by law enforcement agencies;**

Or. en

Amendment 368
Cornelia Ernst

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 79

Motion for a resolution

79. Is highly concerned by indications that foreign intelligence services sought to lower IT security standards and to install backdoors in a broad range of IT systems;

Amendment

79. Is highly concerned by indications that foreign intelligence services sought to lower IT security standards and to install backdoors in a broad range of IT systems; **recommends, as a result, the use of open source software in all environments where IT security is a concern;**

Or. en

Amendment 369
Sarah Ludford, Jens Rohde, Nils Torvalds

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 80

Motion for a resolution

80. Calls on all the Members States, the Commission, the Council and the European Council to **address the EU's dangerous lack of autonomy in terms of** IT tools,

Amendment

80. Calls on all the Members States, the Commission, the Council and the European Council to **give the fullest support to development of European innovative and**

companies and providers (hardware, software, services and network), and encryption and cryptographic capabilities;

technological capability in IT tools, companies and providers (hardware, software, services and network), ***including for purposes of cybersecurity*** and encryption and cryptographic capabilities;

Or. en

Amendment 370
Cornelia Ernst

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 80

Motion for a resolution

80. Calls on all the Members States, the Commission, the Council and the European Council to address the EU's dangerous lack of autonomy in terms of IT tools, companies and providers (hardware, software, services and network), and encryption and cryptographic capabilities;

Amendment

80. Calls on all the Members States, the Commission, the Council and the European Council to address the EU's dangerous lack of autonomy in terms of IT tools, companies and providers (hardware, software, services and network), and encryption and cryptographic capabilities, ***including through funding in the field of research and development***;

Or. en

Amendment 371
Axel Voss, Elena Oana Antonescu, Véronique Mathieu Houillon, Agustín Díaz de Mera García Consuegra, Teresa Jiménez-Becerril Barrio, Anna Maria Corazza Bildt, Salvador Sedó i Alabart

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 80

Motion for a resolution

80. Calls on all the Members States, the Commission, the Council and the European Council to address the EU's ***dangerous*** lack of autonomy in terms of IT tools, companies and providers (hardware, software, services and network), and encryption and cryptographic capabilities;

Amendment

80. Calls on all the Members States, the Commission, the Council and the European Council to address the EU's lack of autonomy in terms of IT tools, companies and providers (hardware, software, services and network), and encryption and cryptographic capabilities;

Amendment 372

Sarah Ludford, Jens Rohde, Nils Torvalds, Axel Voss

Motion for a resolution**Paragraph 81***Motion for a resolution*

81. Calls on the Commission, standardisation bodies and ENISA to develop, by September 2014, **minimum** security and privacy standards and guidelines for IT systems, networks and services, including cloud computing services, in order to better protect EU citizens' personal data; believes that such standards should be set in an open and democratic process, not driven by a single country, entity or multinational company; takes the view that, while legitimate law enforcement and intelligence concerns need to be taken into account in order to support the fight against terrorism, they should not lead to a general undermining of the dependability of all IT systems;

Amendment

81. Calls on the Commission, standardisation bodies and ENISA to develop, by September 2014, security and privacy standards **which can set global benchmarks** and guidelines for IT systems, networks and services, including cloud computing services, in order to better protect EU citizens' personal data; believes that such standards should be set in an open and democratic process, not driven by a single country, entity or multinational company; takes the view that, while legitimate law enforcement and intelligence concerns need to be taken into account in order to support the fight against terrorism, they should not lead to a general undermining of the dependability of all IT systems;

Or. en

Amendment 373

Jan Philipp Albrecht, Judith Sargentini, Jean Lambert, H el ene Flautre, Rui Tavares, Ra il Romeva i Rueda

Motion for a resolution**Paragraph 81***Motion for a resolution*

81. Calls on the Commission, standardisation bodies and ENISA to develop, by September 2014, minimum security and privacy standards and guidelines for IT systems, networks and

Amendment

81. Calls on the Commission, standardisation bodies and ENISA to develop, by September 2014, minimum security and privacy standards and guidelines for IT systems, networks and

services, including cloud computing services, in order to better protect EU citizens' personal data; believes that such standards should be set in an open and democratic process, not driven by a single country, entity or multinational company; ***takes the view that, while legitimate law enforcement and intelligence concerns need to be taken into account in order to support the fight against terrorism, they should not lead to a general undermining of the dependability of all IT systems;***

services, including cloud computing services, in order to better protect EU citizens' personal data; believes that such standards should be set in an open and democratic process, not driven by a single country, entity or multinational company; ***expresses support for the recent decisions by the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF) to include governments in the threat model for internet security;***

Or. en

Amendment 374
Cornelia Ernst

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 81

Motion for a resolution

81. Calls on the Commission, standardisation bodies and ENISA to develop, by September 2014, minimum security and privacy standards and guidelines for IT systems, networks and services, including cloud computing services, in order to better protect EU citizens' personal data; believes that such standards should be set in an open and democratic process, not driven by a single country, entity or multinational company; takes the view that, while legitimate law enforcement ***and intelligence*** concerns need to be taken into account ***in order to support the fight against terrorism***, they should not lead to a general undermining of the dependability of all IT systems;

Amendment

81. Calls on the Commission, standardisation bodies and ENISA to develop, by September 2014, minimum security and privacy standards and guidelines for IT systems, networks and services, including cloud computing services, in order to better protect EU citizens' personal data ***and the integrity of all IT systems***; believes that such standards should be set in an open and democratic process, not driven by a single country, entity or multinational company; takes the view that, while legitimate law enforcement concerns need to be taken into account, they should not lead to a general undermining of the dependability of all IT systems;

Or. en

Amendment 375
Anna Maria Corazza Bildt

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 82

Motion for a resolution

82. Points out that both telecom companies and the EU and national telecom regulators have clearly neglected the IT security of their users and clients; calls on the Commission to make full use of its existing powers under the ePrivacy and Telecommunication Framework Directive to strengthen the protection of confidentiality of communication by adopting measures to ensure that terminal equipment is compatible with the right of users to control and protect their personal data, and to ensure a high level of security of telecommunication networks and services, including by way of requiring state-of-the-art encryption of communications;

Amendment

82. Calls on the Commission to make full use of its existing powers under the ePrivacy and Telecommunication Framework Directive to strengthen the protection of confidentiality of communication by adopting measures to ensure that terminal equipment is compatible with the right of users to control and protect their personal data, and to ensure a high level of security of telecommunication networks and services, including by way of requiring state-of-the-art encryption of communications;

Or. en

Amendment 376

Jan Philipp Albrecht, Judith Sargentini, Jean Lambert, Hélène Flautre, Rui Tavares, Raül Romeva i Rueda

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 82

Motion for a resolution

82. Points out that both telecom companies and the EU and national telecom regulators have clearly neglected the IT security of their users and clients; calls on the Commission to make full use of its existing powers under the ePrivacy and Telecommunication Framework Directive to strengthen the protection of confidentiality of communication by adopting measures to ensure that terminal equipment is compatible with the right of users to control and protect their personal

Amendment

82. Points out that both telecom companies and the EU and national telecom regulators have clearly neglected the IT security of their users and clients; calls on the Commission to make full use of its existing powers under the ePrivacy and Telecommunication Framework Directive to strengthen the protection of confidentiality of communication by adopting measures to ensure that terminal equipment is compatible with the right of users to control and protect their personal

data, and to ensure a high level of security of telecommunication networks and services, including by way of requiring state-of-the-art encryption of communications;

data, and to ensure a high level of security of telecommunication networks and services, including by way of requiring state-of-the-art *end-to-end* encryption of communications;

Or. en

Amendment 377

Josef Weidenholzer, Birgit Sippel

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 82

Motion for a resolution

82. Points out that both telecom companies and the EU and national telecom regulators have clearly neglected the IT security of their users and clients; calls on the Commission to make full use of its existing powers under the ePrivacy and Telecommunication Framework Directive to strengthen the protection of confidentiality of communication by adopting measures to ensure that terminal equipment is compatible with the right of users to control and protect their personal data, and to ensure a high level of security of telecommunication networks and services, including by way of requiring state-of-the-art encryption of communications;

Amendment

82. Points out that both telecom companies and the EU and national telecom regulators have clearly neglected the IT security of their users and clients; calls on the Commission to make full use of its existing powers under the ePrivacy and Telecommunication Framework Directive to strengthen the protection of confidentiality of communication by adopting measures to ensure that terminal equipment is compatible with the right of users to control and protect their personal data, and to ensure a high level of security of telecommunication networks and services, including by way of requiring state-of-the-art encryption of communications *and making the manufacturer liable for the systems' insecurities*;

Or. en

Amendment 378

Axel Voss, Elena Oana Antonescu, Véronique Mathieu Houillon, Agustín Díaz de Mera García Consuegra, Teresa Jiménez-Becerril Barrio, Salvador Sedó i Alabart

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 82

Motion for a resolution

82. Points out that **both telecom companies and the** EU and national telecom regulators have clearly neglected the IT security of their users and clients; calls on the Commission to make full use of its existing powers under the ePrivacy and Telecommunication Framework Directive to strengthen the protection of confidentiality of communication by adopting measures to ensure that terminal equipment is compatible with the right of users to control and protect their personal data, and to ensure a high level of security of telecommunication networks and services, including by way of requiring state-of-the-art encryption of communications;

Amendment

82. Points out that EU and national telecom regulators have clearly neglected the IT security of their users and clients; calls on the Commission to make full use of its existing powers under the ePrivacy and Telecommunication Framework Directive to strengthen the protection of confidentiality of communication by adopting measures to ensure that terminal equipment is compatible with the right of users to control and protect their personal data, and to ensure a high level of security of telecommunication networks and services, including by way of requiring state-of-the-art encryption of communications;

Or. en

Amendment 379

Hubert Pirker, Axel Voss

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 83

Motion for a resolution

83. Supports the EU cyber strategy but considers that it does not cover all possible threats and should be extended to cover malicious state behaviours;

Amendment

83. Supports the EU cyber strategy but considers that it does not cover all possible threats and should be extended to cover malicious state behaviours; ***recalls that any necessary gain in powers and competences requires an equivalent increase in funding;***

Or. en

Amendment 380

Jan Philipp Albrecht, Judith Sargentini, Jean Lambert, Hélène Flautre, Rui Tavares, Raül Romeva i Rueda

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 83

Motion for a resolution

83. Supports the EU cyber strategy but considers that it does not cover all possible threats and should be extended to cover malicious state behaviours;

Amendment

83. Supports the EU cyber strategy but considers that it does not cover all possible threats and should be extended to cover malicious state behaviours; ***underlines the need for more robust IT security and resilience of IT systems;***

Or. en

Amendment 381
Sarah Ludford, Jens Rohde, Nils Torvalds

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 84

Motion for a resolution

84. Calls on the Commission, by January 2015 at the latest, to present an Action Plan to develop more EU ***independence*** in the IT sector, including a more coherent approach to boosting European IT technological capabilities (including IT systems, equipment, services, cloud computing, encryption and anonymisation) and to the protection of critical IT infrastructure (including in terms of ownership and vulnerability);

Amendment

84. Calls on the Commission, by January 2015 at the latest, to present an Action Plan to develop more EU ***innovative and technological capability*** in the IT sector, including a more coherent approach to boosting European IT technological capabilities (including IT systems, equipment, services, cloud computing, encryption and anonymisation) and to the protection of critical IT infrastructure (including in terms of ownership and vulnerability);

Or. en

Amendment 382
Christian Ehler, Monika Hohlmeier, Angelika Niebler

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 84 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

84a. In the past years the European Parliament has been very active in creating mechanisms, structures and legislation to counter cyber threats and create cyber resilience and safeguard privacy and data protection of European citizens. Now is the time to properly connect all these resources and structure the work at EU level: The fight against cybercrime including privacy aspects should be linked to the operational needs and realities. Hence we should make better use of all available tools in particular the EC3 (Europol Cybercrime Center) and the research programme (Horizon 2020).

Or. en

Amendment 383

Axel Voss, Hubert Pirker, Véronique Mathieu Houillon, Agustín Díaz de Mera García Consuegra, Teresa Jiménez-Becerril Barrio, Salvador Sedó i Alabart

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 84 a (new)**

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

84a. Calls for the promotion of

- EU search engines and EU social networks as a valuable step in the direction of EU's IT independency;***
- European IT-service provider;***
- encrypting communication in general including e-mail and sms communication;***
- European IT-key elements, for instance solutions for client-server-operating system, using open source standards, developing European elements for grid coupling, e.g. router;***

Or. en

Amendment 384

Axel Voss, Hubert Pirker, Agustín Díaz de Mera García Consuegra, Teresa Jiménez-Becerril Barrio, Salvador Sedó i Alabart

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 84 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

84b. Calls on the Commission to present a proposal for a system of product certifying for hard- and software, because there is no structure in the EU for scrutinising hard- and software products regarding backdoors;

Or. en

Amendment 385

Sarah Ludford, Jens Rohde, Axel Voss

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 85

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

85. Calls on the Commission, in the framework of the next Work Programme of the Horizon 2020 Programme, to assess whether more resources should be directed towards boosting European research, development, innovation and training in the field of IT technologies, in particular privacy-enhancing technologies and infrastructures, cryptology, secure computing, *open-source* security solutions and the Information Society;

85. Calls on the Commission, in the framework of the next Work Programme of the Horizon 2020 Programme, to assess whether more resources should be directed towards boosting European research, development, innovation and training in the field of IT technologies, in particular privacy-enhancing technologies and infrastructures, cryptology, secure computing, ***the best possible*** security solutions and the Information Society;

Or. en

Amendment 386

Hubert Pirker, Axel Voss

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 85

Motion for a resolution

85. Calls on the Commission, in the framework of the next Work Programme of the Horizon 2020 Programme, to **assess whether** more resources **should be directed** towards boosting European research, development, innovation and training in the field of IT technologies, in particular privacy-enhancing technologies and infrastructures, cryptology, secure computing, open-source security solutions and the Information Society;

Amendment

85. Calls on the Commission, in the framework of the next Work Programme of the Horizon 2020 Programme, to **direct** more resources towards boosting European research, development, innovation and training in the field of IT technologies, in particular privacy-enhancing technologies and infrastructures, cryptology, secure computing, open-source security solutions and the Information Society; **suggests to provide European companies, especially small and medium size enterprises, with financial and / or practical assistance as regards an optimal legal protection of European knowledge and research, for example in filing patent applications or bringing action against cyber-knowledge thefts;**

Or. en

Amendment 387

Jan Philipp Albrecht, Judith Sargentini, Jean Lambert, Hélène Flautre, Rui Tavares, Raül Romeva i Rueda

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 85

Motion for a resolution

85. Calls on the Commission, in the framework of the next Work Programme of the Horizon 2020 Programme, to assess whether more resources should be directed towards boosting European research, development, innovation and training in the field of IT technologies, in particular privacy-enhancing technologies and infrastructures, cryptology, secure computing, open-source security solutions and the Information Society;

Amendment

85. Calls on the Commission, in the framework of the next Work Programme of the Horizon 2020 Programme, to assess whether more resources should be directed towards boosting European research, development, innovation and training in the field of IT technologies, in particular privacy-enhancing technologies and infrastructures, cryptology, secure computing, open-source security solutions and the Information Society; **stresses that no EU funding should be spent for the**

*sole purpose of breaking into IT systems
or developing tools for this;*

Or. en

Amendment 388

**Monika Hohlmeier, Georgios Papanikolaou, Agustín Díaz de Mera García Consuegra,
Véronique Mathieu Houillon, Axel Voss, Christian Ehler, Ágnes Hankiss**

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 85

Motion for a resolution

85. Calls on the Commission, in the framework of the next Work Programme of the Horizon 2020 Programme, to assess whether more resources should be directed towards boosting European research, development, innovation and training in the field of IT technologies, in particular privacy-enhancing technologies and infrastructures, cryptology, secure computing, open-source security solutions and the Information Society;

Amendment

85. Calls on the Commission, in the framework of the next Work Programme of the Horizon 2020 Programme, to assess whether more resources should be directed towards boosting European research, ***cyber security***, development, innovation and training in the field of IT technologies, in particular privacy-enhancing technologies and infrastructures, ***the fight against cybercrime***, cryptology, secure computing, open-source security solutions and the Information Society; ***considers that small and medium enterprises play a particular role in research;***

Or. en

Amendment 389

Christian Ehler, Monika Hohlmeier, Angelika Niebler

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 85

Motion for a resolution

85. ***Calls*** on the Commission, in the framework of the next Work Programme of the Horizon 2020 Programme, to assess whether more resources should be directed towards boosting European research, development, innovation and training in

Amendment

85. ***Therefore calls*** on the Commission, in the framework of the next Work Programme of the Horizon 2020 Programme, to assess whether more resources should be directed towards boosting European research, development,

the field of IT technologies, in particular privacy-enhancing technologies and infrastructures, cryptology, secure computing, open-source security solutions and the Information Society;

innovation and training in the field of IT technologies, in particular privacy-enhancing technologies and infrastructures, cryptology, secure computing, open-source security solutions and the Information Society;

Or. en

Amendment 390
Cornelia Ernst

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 85

Motion for a resolution

85. Calls on the Commission, in the framework of the next Work Programme of the Horizon 2020 Programme, to **assess whether** more resources **should be directed** towards boosting European research, development, innovation and training in the field of IT technologies, in particular privacy-enhancing technologies and infrastructures, cryptology, secure computing, open-source security solutions and the Information Society;

Amendment

85. Calls on the Commission, in the framework of the next Work Programme of the Horizon 2020 Programme, to **direct** more resources towards boosting European research, development, innovation and training in the field of IT technologies, in particular privacy-enhancing technologies and infrastructures, cryptology, secure computing, open-source security solutions and the Information Society;

Or. en

Amendment 391

Axel Voss, Elena Oana Antonescu, Hubert Pirker, Véronique Mathieu Houillon, Agustín Díaz de Mera García Consuegra, Teresa Jiménez-Becerril Barrio, Timothy Kirkhope, Anna Maria Corazza Bildt, Salvador Sedó i Alabart

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 85

Motion for a resolution

85. Calls on the Commission, in the framework of the next Work Programme of the Horizon 2020 Programme, **to assess whether** more resources should be directed

Amendment

85. Calls on the Commission **to strengthen the technological European infrastructure and the European digital market and therefore** in the framework of the next

towards boosting European research, development, innovation and training in the field of IT technologies, in particular privacy-enhancing technologies and infrastructures, cryptology, secure computing, open-source security solutions and the Information Society;

Work Programme of the Horizon 2020 Programme; more resources should be directed towards boosting European research, development, innovation and training in the field of IT technologies, in particular privacy-enhancing technologies and infrastructures, cryptology, secure computing, open-source security solutions and the Information Society *in order to make email and telecommunications safer; to promote the internal market for European soft- and hardware, and to promote cryptophones and to encrypt communication infrastructures;*

Or. en

Amendment 392

Christian Ehler, Monika Hohlmeier, Angelika Niebler

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 85 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

85a. Europol' Cybercrime Center which is key to reducing cybercrime activities and making the EU's cyber sphere a more secure place for citizens would be a true asset to the implementation of the Horizon 2020 cybercrime programme. Therefore a part of the budget within the framework of the Horizon 2020 budget for cyber security research shall be allocated to the EC3 from 2014 onwards.

Or. en

Amendment 393

Hubert Pirker, Axel Voss

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 86

Motion for a resolution

86. Asks the Commission to map out current responsibilities and to review, by June 2014 at the latest, the need for a broader mandate, better coordination and/or additional resources and technical capabilities for Europol's CyberCrime Centre, ENISA, CERT-EU and the EDPS in order to enable them to be more effective in investigating major IT breaches in the EU and in performing (or assisting Member States and EU bodies to perform) on-site technical investigations regarding major IT breaches;

Amendment

86. Asks the Commission to map out current responsibilities and to review, by June 2014 at the latest, the need for a broader mandate, better coordination and/or additional resources and technical capabilities for Europol's CyberCrime Centre, ENISA, CERT-EU and the EDPS in order to enable them to be more effective in **preventing and** investigating major IT breaches in the EU and in performing (or assisting Member States and EU bodies to perform) on-site technical investigations regarding major IT breaches;

Or. en

Amendment 394

Monika Hohlmeier, Georgios Papanikolaou, Agustín Díaz de Mera García Consuegra, Véronique Mathieu Houillon, Axel Voss, Christian Ehler, Ágnes Hankiss

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 86

Motion for a resolution

86. Asks the Commission to map out current responsibilities and to review, by June 2014 at the latest, the need for a broader mandate, better coordination and/or additional resources and technical capabilities for Europol's **CyberCrime** Centre, ENISA, CERT-EU and the EDPS in order to enable them to be more effective in investigating major IT breaches in the EU and in performing (or assisting Member States and EU bodies to perform) on-site technical investigations regarding major IT breaches;

Amendment

86. Asks the Commission **together with the European Parliament** to map out current responsibilities and to review, by June 2014 at the latest, the need for a broader mandate, better coordination and/or additional resources and technical capabilities for Europol's **Cyber Crime Centre and other Union centres of specialised expertise**, ENISA, CERT-EU and the EDPS in order to enable them to be more effective in investigating major IT breaches in the EU and in performing (or assisting Member States and EU bodies to perform) on-site technical investigations regarding major IT breaches;

Or. en

Amendment 395

Cornelia Ernst

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 86

Motion for a resolution

86. Asks the Commission to map out current responsibilities and to review, by June 2014 at the latest, the need for a broader mandate, better coordination and/or additional resources and technical capabilities for ***Europol's CyberCrime Centre***, ENISA, CERT-EU and the EDPS in order to enable them to be more effective in investigating major IT breaches in the EU and in performing (or assisting Member States and EU bodies to perform) on-site technical investigations regarding major IT breaches;

Amendment

86. Asks the Commission to map out current responsibilities and to review, by June 2014 at the latest, the need for a broader mandate, better coordination and/or additional resources and technical capabilities for ENISA, CERT-EU and the EDPS in order to enable them to be more effective in investigating major IT breaches in the EU and in performing (or assisting Member States and EU bodies to perform) on-site technical investigations regarding major IT breaches;

Or. en

Amendment 396

Axel Voss, Ágnes Hankiss, Elena Oana Antonescu, Véronique Mathieu Houillon, Agustín Díaz de Mera García Consuegra, Teresa Jiménez-Becerril Barrio, Anna Maria Corazza Bildt

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 86

Motion for a resolution

86. Asks the Commission to map out current responsibilities and to review, ***by June 2014 at the latest***, the need for a broader mandate, better coordination and/or additional resources and technical capabilities for Europol's CyberCrime Centre, ENISA, CERT-EU and the EDPS in order to enable them to be more effective in investigating major IT breaches in the EU and in performing (or assisting Member States and EU bodies to perform)

Amendment

86. Asks the Commission to map out current responsibilities and to review the need for a broader mandate, better coordination and/or additional resources and technical capabilities for Europol's CyberCrime Centre, ENISA, CERT-EU and the EDPS in order to enable them to be more effective in ***preventing and*** investigating major IT breaches in the EU and in performing (or assisting Member States and EU bodies to perform) on-site

on-site technical investigations regarding major IT breaches;

technical investigations regarding major IT breaches;

Or. en

Amendment 397

Axel Voss, Agustín Díaz de Mera García Consuegra, Teresa Jiménez-Becerril Barrio, Salvador Sedó i Alabart

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 86 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

86a. Believes that ENISA should play a key role in securing European communication systems, therefore calls on the Commission, to present a legal proposal for the reform of ENISA, to strengthen its role in defending the internal systems within the EU institutions and to establish within ENISA's structure a Competent Emergency Response Team (CERT) for the EU and its Member States; in this regard recommends to consider a move of ENISA to Brussels;

Or. en

Amendment 398

Sarah Ludford, Jens Rohde, Nils Torvalds, Axel Voss

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 87

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

87. Deems it necessary for the EU to be supported by an EU IT Academy that brings together the best European experts in all related fields, tasked with providing all relevant EU Institutions and bodies with scientific advice on IT technologies, including security-related strategies; as a

87. Deems it necessary for the EU to be supported by an EU IT Academy that brings together the best European **and international** experts in all related fields, tasked with providing all relevant EU Institutions and bodies with scientific advice on IT technologies, including

first step asks the Commission to set up an independent scientific expert panel;

security-related strategies; as a first step asks the Commission to set up an independent scientific expert panel;

Or. en

Amendment 399
Hubert Pirker, Axel Voss

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 87

Motion for a resolution

87. Deems it necessary for the EU to be supported by an EU IT Academy that brings together the best European experts in all related fields, tasked with providing all relevant EU Institutions and bodies with scientific advice on IT technologies, including security-related strategies; ***as a first step asks the Commission to set up an independent scientific expert panel;***

Amendment

87. Deems it necessary for the EU to be supported by an EU IT Academy that brings together the best European experts in all related fields, tasked with providing all relevant EU Institutions and bodies with scientific advice on IT technologies, including security-related strategies;

Or. en

Amendment 400
Anna Maria Corazza Bildt

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 87

Motion for a resolution

87. Deems it necessary for the EU to ***be supported by an EU IT Academy that brings together*** the best European experts in all related fields, tasked with providing all relevant EU Institutions and bodies with scientific advice on IT technologies, including security-related strategies; ***as a first step asks the Commission to set up an independent scientific expert panel;***

Amendment

87. Deems it necessary for the EU to ***consult with*** the best European experts in all related fields, tasked with providing all relevant EU Institutions and bodies with scientific advice on IT technologies, including security-related strategies;

Or. en

Amendment 401

Jan Philipp Albrecht, Judith Sargentini, Jean Lambert, Hélène Flautre, Rui Tavares, Raül Romeva i Rueda

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 87

Motion for a resolution

87. ***Deems it necessary for the EU to be supported by*** an EU IT Academy that brings together the best European experts in all related fields, tasked with providing all relevant EU Institutions and bodies with scientific advice on IT technologies, including security-related strategies; ***as a first step asks the Commission to set up an independent scientific expert panel;***

Amendment

87. ***Asks the Commission to also consider the possible additional need for*** an EU IT Academy that brings together the best European experts in all related fields, tasked with providing all relevant EU Institutions and bodies with scientific advice on IT technologies, including security-related strategies;

Or. en

Amendment 402

Cornelia Ernst

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 87

Motion for a resolution

87. Deems it necessary for the EU to be supported by an EU IT Academy that brings together the best European experts in all related fields, tasked with providing all relevant EU Institutions and bodies with scientific advice on IT technologies, including security-related strategies; as a first step asks the Commission to set up an independent scientific expert panel;

Amendment

87. Deems it necessary for the EU to be supported by an EU IT Academy that brings together the best European experts in all related fields, tasked with providing all relevant EU Institutions and bodies with scientific advice on IT technologies, including security-related strategies; as a first step asks the Commission to set up, ***in close cooperation with the European Parliament and civil society organisations,*** an independent scientific expert panel;

Or. en

Amendment 403

Hubert Pirker

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 87 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

87a. Calls on the Commission, by January 2015, to evaluate possibilities for the EU to set up an EU-University of Excellence for Information Technologies which should not accept any funding from extra EU entities and require students to pay back scholarships in case they accept jobs in third countries;

Or. en

Amendment 404

Monika Hohlmeier, Agustín Díaz de Mera García Consuegra, Véronique Mathieu Houillon, Axel Voss

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 88 – introductory part

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

88. Calls on the European Parliament's Secretariat to carry out, by September 2014 at the latest, a thorough review and assessment of the European Parliament's IT security dependability focused on: budgetary means, staff resources, technical capabilities, internal organisation and all relevant elements, in order to achieve a high level of security for the EP's IT systems; believes that such an assessment should at the least provide information analysis and recommendations on:

88. Calls on the European Parliament's **General** Secretariat to carry out, by September 2014 at the latest, a thorough review and assessment of the European Parliament's IT security dependability focused on: budgetary means, staff resources, technical capabilities, internal organisation and all relevant elements, in order to achieve a high level of security for the EP's IT systems; believes that such an assessment should at the least provide information analysis and recommendations on:

Or. en

Amendment 405
Nadja Hirsch, Sophia in 't Veld

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 88 – introductory part

Motion for a resolution

88. Calls on the European Parliament's Secretariat to carry out, by September 2014 at the latest, a thorough review and assessment of the European Parliament's IT security dependability focused on: budgetary means, staff resources, technical capabilities, internal organisation and all relevant elements, in order to achieve a high level of security for the EP's IT systems; believes that such an assessment should at the least provide information analysis and recommendations on:

Amendment

88. Calls on the European Parliament's Secretariat ***under the responsibility of the EP's President*** to carry out, by September 2014 at the latest, a thorough review and assessment of the European Parliament's IT security dependability focused on: budgetary means, staff resources, technical capabilities, internal organisation and all relevant elements, in order to achieve a high level of security for the EP's IT systems; believes that such an assessment should at the least provide information analysis and recommendations on:

Or. en

Amendment 406
Sarah Ludford, Jens Rohde, Axel Voss

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 88 – point 2

Motion for a resolution

· the inclusion in tender procedures for new IT systems of specific IT security/privacy requirements, ***including the possibility of a requirement for Open Source Software as a condition of purchase;***

Amendment

· the inclusion in tender procedures for new IT systems of ***best practice*** specific IT security/privacy requirements ***from among the widest possible choice of software options;***

Or. en

Amendment 407
Monika Hohlmeier, Agustín Díaz de Mera García Consuegra, Véronique Mathieu Houillon, Axel Voss, Ágnes Hankiss

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 88 – point 2

Motion for a resolution

· the inclusion in tender procedures for new IT systems of specific IT security/privacy requirements, including the possibility of a requirement for Open Source Software as a condition of purchase;

Amendment

· the inclusion in tender procedures for new IT systems of specific IT security/privacy requirements, including the possibility of a requirement for Open Source Software as a condition of purchase ***or the requirement of trusted European companies to take part in the tender when sensitive, security-related areas are concerned;***

Or. en

Amendment 408
Sarah Ludford, Jens Rohde

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 88 – point 3

Motion for a resolution

· the list of ***US*** companies under contract with the European Parliament in the IT and telecom fields, taking into account ***revelations about NSA contracts with a company such as RSA, whose products the European Parliament is using to supposedly protect remote access to their data by its Members and staff;***

Amendment

· the list of companies under contract with the European Parliament in the IT and telecom fields, taking into account ***any information that has come to light about their cooperation with intelligence agencies;***

Or. en

Amendment 409
Hubert Pirker, Axel Voss

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 88 – point 3

Motion for a resolution

· the list of ***US*** companies under contract with the European Parliament in the IT and

Amendment

· the list of ***non-EU*** companies under contract with the European Parliament in

telecom fields, taking into account revelations about NSA contracts with a company such as RSA, whose products the European Parliament is using to supposedly protect remote access to their data by its Members and staff;

the IT and telecom fields, taking into account revelations about NSA contracts with a company such as RSA, whose products the European Parliament is using to supposedly protect remote access to their data by its Members and staff;

Or. en

Amendment 410

Monika Hohlmeier, Agustín Díaz de Mera García Consuegra, Véronique Mathieu Houillon

Motion for a resolution Paragraph 88 – point 3

Motion for a resolution

· the list of US companies under contract with the European Parliament in the IT and telecom fields, taking into account revelations about NSA contracts with a company such as RSA, whose products the European Parliament is using to supposedly protect remote access to their data by its Members and staff;

Amendment

· the list of US companies under contract with the European Parliament in the IT and telecom fields, taking into account revelations about NSA contracts with a company such as RSA, whose products the European Parliament is using to supposedly protect remote access to their data by its Members and staff, ***including the feasibility of providing the same services by European companies;***

Or. en

Amendment 411

Sarah Ludford, Jens Rohde, Axel Voss

Motion for a resolution Paragraph 88 – point 4

Motion for a resolution

· the reliability and resilience of ***third-party commercial*** software used by the EU institutions in their IT systems with regard to penetrations and intrusions by EU or third-country law enforcement and intelligence authorities;

Amendment

· the reliability and resilience of ***all*** software used by the EU institutions in their IT systems with regard to penetrations and intrusions by EU or third-country law enforcement and intelligence authorities;

Amendment 412
Sarah Ludford, Jens Rohde

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 88 – point 5

Motion for a resolution

· the use *of more open-source* systems *and fewer off-the-shelf commercial systems*;

Amendment

· the use *and maintenance of the most secure* systems *based on relevant international standards, best practice security risk management principles and adherence to EU Network Information Security standards including on security breaches*;

Or. en

Amendment 413
Hubert Pirker, Axel Voss

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 88 – point 5

Motion for a resolution

· the use of more *open-source* systems and fewer *off-the-shelf commercial systems*;

Amendment

· the use of more *open-source and EU-based* systems and fewer *systems of companies from third countries*;

Or. en

Amendment 414
Jan Philipp Albrecht, Judith Sargentini, Jean Lambert, Hélène Flautre, Rui Tavares, Raül Romeva i Rueda

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 88 – point 5

Motion for a resolution

· the use of more ***open-source systems and fewer off-the-shelf commercial*** systems;

Amendment

· the use of more ***free and open-source*** systems;

Or. en

Amendment 415

Hubert Pirker, Axel Voss

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 88 – point 6

Motion for a resolution

· ***the impact of*** the increased use of mobile tools (smartphones, tablets, whether professional or personal) and its effects on the IT security of the system;

Amendment

· ***steps and measures to take in order to address*** the increased use of mobile tools (***e.g.*** smartphones, tablets, whether professional or personal) and its effects on the IT security of the system;

Or. en

Amendment 416

Hubert Pirker, Axel Voss

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 88 – point 10

Motion for a resolution

· the use of cloud storage by the EP, including what kind of data is stored on the cloud, how the content and access to it is protected and where the ***cloud is*** located, clarifying the applicable data protection legal regime;

Amendment

· the use of cloud storage by the EP, including what kind of data is stored on the cloud, how the content and access to it is protected and where the ***cloud-servers are*** located, clarifying the applicable data protection legal regime ***as well as an outlook on how it would be possible to assure that these cloud-servers are based on EU-territory only***;

Or. en

Amendment 417
Sarah Ludford, Jens Rohde, Axel Voss

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 88 – point 10

Motion for a resolution

· the use of cloud storage by the EP, including what kind of data is stored on the cloud, how the content and access to it is protected and where the cloud is located, clarifying the applicable data protection legal regime;

Amendment

· the ***option for*** use of cloud ***computing and*** storage ***services*** by the EP, including what kind of data is stored on the cloud, how the content and access to it is protected and where the cloud is located, clarifying the applicable data protection legal regime;

Or. en

Amendment 418
Jan Philipp Albrecht, Judith Sargentini, Jean Lambert, H  l  ne Flautre, Rui Tavares, Ra  l Romeva i Rueda

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 88 – point 10

Motion for a resolution

· the use of cloud storage by the EP, including what kind of data is stored on the cloud, how the content and access to it is protected and where the cloud is located, clarifying the applicable data protection legal ***regime***;

Amendment

· the use of cloud storage by the EP, including what kind of data is stored on the cloud, how the content and access to it is protected and where the cloud is located, clarifying the applicable data protection ***and intelligence*** legal ***regimes***;

Or. en

Amendment 419
Sarah Ludford, Jens Rohde, Axel Voss

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 88 – point 13

Motion for a resolution

· an analysis of the benefits of using ***the***

Amendment

· an analysis of the benefits of using a

GNU Privacy Guard as a default encryption standard for emails which would at the same time allow for the use of digital signatures;

default encryption standard for emails which would at the same time allow for the use of digital signatures;

Or. en

Amendment 420

Jan Philipp Albrecht, Judith Sargentini, Jean Lambert, H el ene Flautre, Rui Tavares, Ra il Romeva i Rueda

Motion for a resolution Paragraph 88 – point 13

Motion for a resolution

· ***an analysis of the benefits of*** using the GNU Privacy Guard as a default encryption standard for emails which would at the same time allow for the use of digital signatures;

Amendment

· ***a plan for*** using the GNU Privacy Guard as a default encryption standard for emails which would at the same time allow for the use of digital signatures;

Or. en

Amendment 421

Monika Hohlmeier, Georgios Papanikolaou, Agust n D az de Mera Garc a Consuegra, V ronique Mathieu Houillon, Axel Voss, Christian Ehler,  gnes Hankiss

Motion for a resolution Paragraph 89

Motion for a resolution

89. Calls on all the EU Institutions and agencies to perform a similar exercise, by December 2014 at the latest, in particular the European Council, the Council, the External Action Service (including EU delegations), the Commission, the Court of Justice and the European Central Bank; invites the Member States to conduct similar assessments;

Amendment

89. Calls on all the EU Institutions and agencies to perform a similar exercise ***in cooperation with ENISA, Europol and the CERTs***, by December 2014 at the latest, in particular the European Council, the Council, the External Action Service (including EU delegations), the Commission, the Court of Justice and the European Central Bank; invites the Member States to conduct similar assessments;

Amendment 422**Hubert Pirker, Axel Voss****Motion for a resolution****Paragraph 91***Motion for a resolution*

91. Takes the view that the large-scale IT systems used in the area of freedom, security and justice, such as the Schengen Information System II, the Visa Information System, Eurodac and possible future systems, should be developed and operated in such a way as to ensure that data is not compromised as a result of **US** requests ***under the Patriot Act***; asks eu-LISA to report back to Parliament on the reliability of the systems in place by the end of 2014;

Amendment

91. Takes the view that the large-scale IT systems used in the area of freedom, security and justice, such as the Schengen Information System II, the Visa Information System, Eurodac and possible future systems, should be developed and operated in such a way as to ensure that data is not compromised as a result of requests ***by authorities from third countries***; asks eu-LISA to report back to Parliament on the reliability of the systems in place by the end of 2014;

Or. en

Amendment 423**Monika Hohlmeier, Agustín Díaz de Mera García Consuegra, Véronique Mathieu Houillon****Motion for a resolution****Paragraph 91***Motion for a resolution*

91. Takes the view that the large-scale IT systems used in the area of freedom, security and justice, such as the Schengen Information System II, the Visa Information System, Eurodac and possible future systems, should be developed and operated in such a way as to ensure that data is not compromised as a result of US requests under the Patriot Act; asks eu-LISA to report back to Parliament on the reliability of the systems in place by the

Amendment

91. Takes the view that the large-scale IT systems used in the area of freedom, security and justice, such as the Schengen Information System II, the Visa Information System, Eurodac and possible future systems ***such as EU-ESTA***, should be developed and operated in such a way as to ensure that data is not compromised as a result of US requests under the Patriot Act; asks eu-LISA to report back to Parliament on the reliability of the systems

end of 2014;

in place by the end of 2014;

Or. en

Amendment 424

Sarah Ludford, Jens Rohde, Nils Torvalds

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 92

Motion for a resolution

92. Calls on the Commission and the EEAS to take action at the international level, with the UN in particular, and in cooperation with interested partners (***such as Brazil***), and to implement an EU strategy for democratic governance of the internet in order to prevent undue influence over ICANN's and IANA's activities by any individual entity, company or country by ensuring appropriate representation of all interested parties in these bodies;

Amendment

92. Calls on the Commission and the EEAS to take action at the international level, with the UN in particular, and in cooperation with interested partners to implement an EU strategy for democratic governance of the internet in order to prevent undue influence over ICANN's and IANA's activities by any individual entity, company or country by ensuring appropriate representation of all interested parties in these bodies, ***while avoiding the facilitation of state control or censorship or the 'balkanisation' and fragmentation of the internet***;

Or. en

Amendment 425

Sarah Ludford, Jens Rohde, Nils Torvalds, Axel Voss

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 93

Motion for a resolution

93. Calls for the ***overall architecture of the internet in terms of data flows and storage to be reconsidered, striving for more data minimisation and transparency and less centralised mass storage of raw data, as well as avoiding unnecessary routing of traffic through the territory of countries that do not meet basic standards on***

Amendment

93. Calls for the ***EU to take the lead in shaping the architecture of the internet such as to facilitate global exchanges and interconnection while maximising citizens' freedom and data security***;

fundamental rights, data protection and privacy;

Or. en

Amendment 426
Anna Maria Corazza Bildt

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 93

Motion for a resolution

93. Calls for *the overall architecture* of the internet *in terms of* data flows and storage *to be reconsidered*, striving for more data minimisation and transparency *and less centralised mass storage of raw data, as well as avoiding unnecessary routing of traffic through the territory of countries that do not meet basic standards on fundamental rights, data protection and privacy;*

Amendment

93. Calls for *a better governance* of the internet *addressing the risks related to* data flows and storage, striving for more data minimisation and transparency *without undermining freedom of internet;*

Or. en

Amendment 427
Josef Weidenholzer

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 93

Motion for a resolution

93. Calls for the overall architecture of the internet in terms of data flows and storage to be reconsidered, striving for more data minimisation *and* transparency and less centralised mass storage of raw data, *as well as avoiding unnecessary routing of traffic through the territory of countries that do not meet basic standards on fundamental rights, data protection and privacy;*

Amendment

93. Calls for the overall architecture of the internet in terms of data flows and storage to be reconsidered, striving for more data minimisation, transparency and less centralised mass storage of raw data;

Amendment 428

Jan Philipp Albrecht, Judith Sargentini, Jean Lambert, H  l  ne Flautre, Rui Tavares, Ra  l Romeva i Rueda

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 93

Motion for a resolution

93. Calls for the overall architecture of the internet in terms of data flows and storage to be reconsidered, striving for more data minimisation and transparency and less centralised mass storage of raw data, as well as **avoiding unnecessary** routing of traffic through the territory of countries that do not meet basic standards on fundamental rights, data protection and privacy;

Amendment

93. Calls **on standards bodies such as the IETF** for the overall architecture of the internet in terms of data flows and storage to be reconsidered, striving for more data minimisation and transparency and less centralised mass storage of raw data, as well as **full end-to-end encryption of all internet traffic so that the** routing of traffic through the territory of countries that do not meet basic standards on fundamental rights, data protection and privacy **does not create a risk**;

Amendment 429

Axel Voss, Hubert Pirker, Agust  n D  az de Mera Garc  a Consuegra, Teresa Jim  nez-Becerril Barrio, Salvador Sed   i Alabart

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 93 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

93a. Calls on the Commission to present a legal proposal for a EU-routing-system; a EU processing of call detail record (CDR); notes that all routing data and CDR should be processed in accordance with EU legal frameworks and without leaving the EU borders;

Amendment

Amendment 430

Jan Philipp Albrecht, Judith Sargentini, Jean Lambert, H el ene Flautre, Rui Tavares, Ra il Romeva i Rueda

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 94

Motion for a resolution

94. Calls on the Member States, in cooperation with ENISA, Europol's CyberCrime Centre, CERTs and national data protection authorities and cybercrime units, to start an education and awareness-raising campaign in order to enable citizens to make a more informed choice regarding what personal data to put on line and how better to protect them, including through '*digital hygiene*', encryption and safe cloud computing, making full use of the public interest information platform provided for in the Universal Service Directive;

Amendment

94. Calls on the Member States, in cooperation with ENISA, Europol's CyberCrime Centre, CERTs and national data protection authorities and cybercrime units, to start an education and awareness-raising campaign in order to enable citizens to make a more informed choice regarding what personal data to put on line and how better to protect them, including through encryption and safe cloud computing, making full use of the public interest information platform provided for in the Universal Service Directive;

Or. en

Amendment 431

Monika Hohlmeier, Agust n D az de Mera Garc a Consuegra, V ronique Mathieu Houillon, Axel Voss,  gnes Hankiss

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 94

Motion for a resolution

94. Calls on the Member States, in cooperation with ENISA, Europol's CyberCrime Centre, CERTs and national data protection authorities and cybercrime units, to start an education and awareness-raising campaign in order to enable citizens to make a more informed choice regarding what personal data to put on line and how better to protect them, including through 'digital hygiene', encryption and safe cloud

Amendment

94. Calls on the Member States, in cooperation with ENISA, Europol's CyberCrime Centre, CERTs and national data protection authorities and cybercrime units, **and to develop a culture of security** and to start an education and awareness-raising campaign in order to enable citizens to make a more informed choice regarding what personal data to put on line and how better to protect them, including through

computing, making full use of the public interest information platform provided for in the Universal Service Directive;

‘digital hygiene’, encryption and safe cloud computing, making full use of the public interest information platform provided for in the Universal Service Directive;

Or. en

Amendment 432
Cornelia Ernst

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 94

Motion for a resolution

94. Calls on the Member States, in cooperation with ENISA, ***Europol’s CyberCrime Centre***, CERTs and national data protection authorities and cybercrime units, to start an education and awareness-raising campaign in order to enable citizens to make a more informed choice regarding what personal data to put on line and how better to protect them, including through ‘digital hygiene’, encryption and safe cloud computing, making full use of the public interest information platform provided for in the Universal Service Directive;

Amendment

94. Calls on the Member States, in cooperation with ENISA, CERTs and national data protection authorities and cybercrime units, to start an education and awareness-raising campaign in order to enable citizens to make a more informed choice regarding what personal data to put on line and how better to protect them, including through ‘digital hygiene’, encryption and safe cloud computing, making full use of the public interest information platform provided for in the Universal Service Directive;

Or. en

Amendment 433
Anna Maria Corazza Bildt

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 95

Motion for a resolution

95. Calls on the Commission, by September 2014, to evaluate the possibilities of encouraging software and hardware manufacturers to introduce more security and privacy through default features in their products, ***including the***

Amendment

95. Calls on the Commission, by September 2014, to evaluate the possibilities of encouraging software and hardware manufacturers to introduce more security and privacy through default features in their products and disincentives

possibility of introducing legal liability on the part of manufacturers for unpatched known vulnerabilities or the installation of secret backdoors, and disincentives for the undue and disproportionate collection of mass personal data, and if appropriate to come forward with legislative proposals;

for the undue and disproportionate collection of mass personal data;

Or. en

Amendment 434
Claude Moraes

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 95

Motion for a resolution

95. Calls on the Commission, by September 2014, to evaluate the possibilities of encouraging software and hardware manufacturers to introduce more security and privacy through default features in their products, including the possibility of introducing legal liability on the part of manufacturers for unpatched known vulnerabilities or the installation of secret backdoors, and disincentives for the undue and disproportionate collection of mass personal data, and if appropriate to come forward with legislative proposals;

Amendment

95. Calls on the Commission, by September 2014, to evaluate the possibilities of encouraging software and hardware manufacturers to introduce more security and privacy through default features in their products, including the possibility of introducing legal liability on the part of manufacturers for unpatched known vulnerabilities or the installation of secret backdoors, and disincentives for the undue and disproportionate collection of mass personal data, and if appropriate to come forward with legislative proposals; ***in this respect, calls on the Commission to evaluate the possibility of setting up a certification or validation scheme for IT hardware including testing procedures on EU level to ensure the integrity and security of the products;***

Or. en

Amendment 435
Josef Weidenholzer

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 95

Motion for a resolution

95. Calls on the Commission, **by September 2014, to evaluate the possibilities of encouraging** software and hardware manufacturers to introduce more security and privacy through default features in their products, including the possibility of introducing legal liability on the part of manufacturers for unpatched known vulnerabilities or the installation of secret backdoors, and disincentives for the undue and disproportionate collection of mass personal data, and if appropriate to come forward with legislative proposals;

Amendment

95. Calls on the Commission **to implement binding rules for** software and hardware manufacturers to introduce more security and privacy through default features in their products, including the possibility of introducing legal liability on the part of manufacturers for unpatched known vulnerabilities or the installation of secret backdoors, and disincentives for the undue and disproportionate collection of mass personal data, and if appropriate to come forward with legislative proposals;

Or. en

Amendment 436

Jan Philipp Albrecht, Judith Sargentini, Jean Lambert, H el ene Flautre, Rui Tavares, Ra il Romeva i Rueda

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 95

Motion for a resolution

95. Calls on the Commission, by September 2014, to **evaluate the possibilities of** encouraging software and hardware manufacturers to introduce more security and privacy **through** default features in their products, **including the possibility** of introducing legal liability on the part of manufacturers for unpatched known vulnerabilities or the installation of secret backdoors, and disincentives for the undue and disproportionate collection of mass personal data, **and if appropriate to come forward with legislative proposals**;

Amendment

95. Calls on the Commission, by September 2014, to **propose draft legislation** encouraging software and hardware manufacturers to introduce more security and privacy **by design and by** default features in their products **by** of introducing legal liability on the part of manufacturers for unpatched known vulnerabilities, **faulty or insecure software**, or the installation of secret backdoors, and disincentives for the undue and disproportionate collection of mass personal data;

Or. en

Amendment 437

Davor Ivo Stier

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 96

Motion for a resolution

96. Believes that the inquiry has shown the need *for the US* to restore trust *with its partners, as US intelligence agencies' activities are primarily at stake*;

Amendment

96. Believes that the inquiry has shown the need to restore trust *between the US and EU and among Member States*;

Or. en

Amendment 438

Jan Philipp Albrecht, Judith Sargentini, Jean Lambert, H  l  ne Flautre, Rui Tavares, Ra  l Romeva i Rueda

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 96

Motion for a resolution

96. Believes that the inquiry has shown the need for the US to restore trust with its partners, as US intelligence agencies' activities are primarily at stake;

Amendment

96. Believes, *beyond the need for legislative change*, that the inquiry has shown the need for the US to restore trust with its partners, as US intelligence agencies' activities are primarily at stake;

Or. en

Amendment 439

Timothy Kirkhope

on behalf of the ECR Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 97 – indent 2

Motion for a resolution

– *citizens, who realise that not only third countries or multinational companies, but also their own government, may be spying on them*;

Amendment

deleted

Amendment 440
Sophia in 't Veld, Nadja Hirsch

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 97 – indent 3

Motion for a resolution

– respect for the rule of law *and* the credibility of democratic safeguards *in a* digital society;

Amendment

– respect for *fundamental rights, democracy and* the rule of law, *as well as* the credibility of democratic, *judicial and parliamentary* safeguards *and oversight, in a more and more* digital society;

Or. en

Amendment 441
Cornelia Ernst

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 97 – indent 3

Motion for a resolution

– respect for the rule of law and the credibility of democratic safeguards *in a digital society*;

Amendment

– respect for the rule of law and the credibility of democratic safeguards *today*;

Or. en

Amendment 442
Birgit Sippel, Carmen Romero López, Josef Weidenholzer

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 100

Motion for a resolution

100. Recognises, in light of the global challenges facing the EU and the US, that the transatlantic partnership needs to be

Amendment

100. Recognises, in light of the global challenges facing the EU and the US, that the transatlantic partnership needs to be

further strengthened, and that it is vital that transatlantic cooperation in counter-terrorism continues; insists, *however*, that clear measures need to be taken by the US to re-establish trust and re-emphasise the shared basic values underlying the partnership;

further strengthened, and that it is vital that transatlantic cooperation in counter-terrorism continues *on a new basis of trust based on true common respect of the rule of law and the rejection of all indiscriminate practices of mass surveillance*; insists *therefore* that clear measures need to be taken by the US to re-establish trust and re-emphasise the shared basic values underlying the partnership;

Or. en

Amendment 443

Birgit Sippel, Carmen Romero López, Josef Weidenholzer

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 101

Motion for a resolution

101. Is ready actively to engage in a dialogue with US counterparts so that, in the ongoing American public and congressional debate on reforming surveillance and reviewing intelligence oversight, the privacy rights of EU citizens are addressed, equal information rights and privacy protection in US courts guaranteed and the current discrimination not perpetuated;

Amendment

101. Is ready actively to engage in a dialogue with US counterparts so that, in the ongoing American public and congressional debate on reforming surveillance and reviewing intelligence oversight, the privacy rights of EU citizens are addressed, equal information rights and privacy protection in US courts guaranteed and the current discrimination not perpetuated; ***Calls on the US to revise its legislation in this field without delay in order to bring it into line with international law and to recognise the privacy and other rights of EU citizens. The call for legislative correction should include reform of its Electronic Communications Privacy Act as regards warrantless access to content, changes in federal law to provide for judicial redress for EU citizens and to sign the Additional Protocol allowing for complaints by individuals under the ICCPR;***

Or. en

Amendment 444

Jan Philipp Albrecht, Judith Sargentini, Jean Lambert, H el ene Flautre, Rui Tavares, Ra ul Romeva i Rueda

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 101

Motion for a resolution

101. Is ready actively to engage in a dialogue with US counterparts so that, in the ongoing American public and congressional debate on reforming surveillance and reviewing intelligence oversight, the privacy rights of EU citizens are addressed, equal information rights and privacy protection in US courts guaranteed and the current discrimination not perpetuated;

Amendment

101. Is ready actively to engage in a dialogue with US counterparts so that, in the ongoing American public and congressional debate on reforming surveillance and reviewing intelligence oversight, the privacy rights of EU citizens are addressed, equal information rights and privacy protection in US courts guaranteed and the current discrimination not perpetuated; ***urges the US to enact a general data protection law and amend the Privacy Act to create legal redress options for non-US persons;***

Or. en

Amendment 445

Arnaud Danjean

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 102

Motion for a resolution

102. Insists that necessary reforms be undertaken and effective guarantees given to Europeans to ensure that the use of surveillance and data processing for foreign intelligence purposes is limited by clearly specified conditions and related to reasonable suspicion or probable cause of terrorist or criminal activity; ***stresses that this purpose must be subject to transparent judicial oversight;***

Amendment

102. Insists that necessary reforms be undertaken and effective guarantees given to Europeans to ensure that the use of surveillance and data processing for foreign intelligence purposes is limited by clearly specified conditions and related to reasonable suspicion or probable cause of terrorist or criminal activity;

Or. en

Amendment 446
Josef Weidenholzer

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 102

Motion for a resolution

102. Insists that necessary reforms be undertaken and effective guarantees given to Europeans to ensure that the use of surveillance and data processing for foreign intelligence purposes is limited by clearly specified conditions and related to reasonable suspicion *or* probable cause of terrorist or criminal activity; stresses that this purpose must be subject to transparent judicial oversight;

Amendment

102. Insists that necessary reforms be undertaken and effective guarantees given to Europeans to ensure that the use of surveillance and data processing for foreign intelligence purposes is limited by clearly specified conditions and related to reasonable suspicion *and* probable cause of terrorist or criminal activity; stresses that this purpose must be subject to transparent judicial oversight;

Or. en

Amendment 447
Jan Philipp Albrecht, Judith Sargentini, Jean Lambert, H el ene Flautre, Rui Tavares, Ra il Romeva i Rueda

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 102

Motion for a resolution

102. Insists that necessary reforms be undertaken and effective guarantees given to Europeans to ensure that the use of surveillance and data processing for foreign intelligence purposes is limited by clearly specified conditions and related to reasonable suspicion or probable cause of terrorist *or criminal* activity; stresses that this purpose must be subject to transparent judicial oversight;

Amendment

102. Insists that necessary reforms be undertaken and effective guarantees given to Europeans to ensure that the use of surveillance and data processing for foreign intelligence purposes is limited by clearly specified conditions and related to reasonable suspicion or probable cause of terrorist activity; stresses that this purpose must be subject to transparent judicial oversight;

Or. en

Amendment 448
Cornelia Ernst

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 102

Motion for a resolution

102. Insists that necessary reforms be undertaken and effective guarantees given to Europeans to ensure that the use of surveillance and data processing for foreign intelligence purposes is limited by clearly specified conditions and related to ***reasonable suspicion or probable cause*** of terrorist or criminal activity; stresses that this purpose must be subject to transparent judicial oversight;

Amendment

102. Insists that necessary reforms be undertaken and effective guarantees given to Europeans to ensure that the use of surveillance and data processing for foreign intelligence purposes is limited by clearly specified conditions and related to ***a limited number of persons under reasonable suspicion*** of terrorist or criminal activity; stresses that this purpose must be subject to transparent judicial oversight;

Or. en

Amendment 449
Gianni Vattimo

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 102 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

102a. Urges the US authorities – the President, Congress, the Senate, intelligence agencies, and the judiciary – to implement the reforms promised to the Europeans in the dialogues with the EU and its Member States concerning the guarantees and entitlements to be accorded to European citizens in order that they may be spared needless mass surveillance and that their rights to privacy and data protection may be respected, this being a sine qua non for any cooperation with the US as regards the exchange of personal data;

Or. it

Amendment 450
Arnaud Danjean

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 103

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

103. Considers that clear political signals are needed from our American partners to demonstrate that the US distinguishes between allies and adversaries;

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 451
Jan Philipp Albrecht, Judith Sargentini, Jean Lambert, H el ene Flautre, Rui Tavares, Ra il Romeva i Rueda

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 103

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

103. Considers that clear political signals are needed from our American partners to demonstrate that the US distinguishes between allies and adversaries;

103. Considers that clear political signals are needed from our American partners to demonstrate that the US distinguishes between allies and adversaries; **considers the actions taken and announcements by the US government so far as insufficient;**

Or. en

Amendment 452
Joanna Senyszyn

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 105 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

105a. Expresses deep concern about those Member States that blocked elaboration of a joint EU Council position in intelligence matters and have not allowed closer EU

cooperation to negotiate surveillance programs with US authorities;

Or. en

Amendment 453

Cornelia Ernst

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 106

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

106. Calls on the EU institutions to explore the possibilities for establishing with the US a code of conduct which would guarantee that no US espionage is pursued against EU institutions and facilities;

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 454

Axel Voss, Elena Oana Antonescu, Agustín Díaz de Mera García Consuegra, Teresa Jiménez-Becerril Barrio, Salvador Sedó i Alabart

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 106 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

106 a. Calls on the Commission to present an action plan for the establishment of a Single European Digital Area (SEDA), including commercial data, data used for law enforcement and intelligence purposes;

Or. en

Amendment 455

Arnaud Danjean

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 107

Motion for a resolution

107. Also believes that that the involvement and activities of EU Members States has led to a loss of trust; ***is of the opinion that only full clarity as to purposes and means of surveillance, public debate and, ultimately, revision of legislation, including a strengthening of the system of judicial and parliamentary oversight, will be able to re-establish the trust lost;***

Amendment

107. Also believes that that the involvement and activities of EU Members States has led to a loss of trust;

Or. en

Amendment 456

Jan Philipp Albrecht, Judith Sargentini, Jean Lambert, H el ene Flautre, Rui Tavares, Ra il Romeva i Rueda

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 107

Motion for a resolution

107. Also believes that that the involvement and activities of EU Members States has led to a loss of trust; is of the opinion that only full clarity as to purposes and means of surveillance, public debate and, ultimately, revision of legislation, ***including a strengthening of*** the system of judicial and parliamentary oversight, will be able to re-establish the trust lost;

Amendment

107. Also believes that that the involvement and activities of EU Members States has led to a loss of trust; is of the opinion that only full clarity as to purposes and means of surveillance, public debate and, ultimately, revision of legislation ***and practices to end mass surveillance activities and strengthen*** the system of judicial and parliamentary oversight, will be able to re-establish the trust lost;

Or. en

Amendment 457

Sophia in 't Veld, Nadja Hirsch

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 107

Motion for a resolution

107. Also believes that that the involvement and activities of EU Members States has led to a loss of trust; is of the opinion that only full clarity as to purposes and means of surveillance, public debate and, ultimately, revision of legislation, including a strengthening of the system of judicial and parliamentary oversight, will be able to **re-establish** the trust lost;

Amendment

107. Also believes that that the involvement and activities of EU Members States has led to a loss of trust, **including between Member States and between citizens and their Member States' authorities**; is of the opinion that only full clarity as to purposes and means of surveillance, public debate and, ultimately, revision of legislation, including a strengthening of the system of judicial and parliamentary oversight, will be able to **re-establish** the trust lost; **underlines that it is impossible to develop a real EU Security Strategy in a situation where certain Member States spy on others in collaboration with third countries, or where Member States spy on each other, in violation of the EU principle of loyal cooperation**;

Or. en

Amendment 458

Anna Maria Corazza Bildt

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 107

Motion for a resolution

107. Also believes that that the involvement and activities of EU Members States has led to a loss of trust; is of the opinion that only full clarity as to purposes and means of surveillance, public debate and, **ultimately**, revision of legislation, including a strengthening **of** the system of judicial and parliamentary oversight, will be able to re-establish the trust lost;

Amendment

107. Also believes that that the **alleged** involvement and activities of EU Members States has led to a loss of trust; is of the opinion that only full clarity as to purposes and means of surveillance, public debate and, **if necessary**, revision of legislation, including a strengthening the system of judicial and parliamentary oversight, will be able to re-establish the trust lost;

Or. en

Amendment 459

Cornelia Ernst

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 107

Motion for a resolution

107. Also believes that that the involvement and activities of EU Members States has led to a loss of trust; is of the opinion that only full clarity as to purposes and means of surveillance, public debate and, ultimately, revision of legislation, including a strengthening of the system of judicial and parliamentary oversight, will be able to re-establish the trust lost;

Amendment

107. Also believes that that the involvement and activities of EU Members States has led to a loss of trust; is of the opinion that only full clarity as to purposes and means of surveillance, public debate and, ultimately, revision of legislation, including ***limitations on the powers of intelligence agencies as well as*** a strengthening of the system of judicial and parliamentary oversight, will be able to re-establish the trust lost;

Or. en

Amendment 460

Timothy Kirkhope

on behalf of the ECR Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 108

Motion for a resolution

108. Is aware that some EU Member States are pursuing bilateral communication with the US authorities on spying allegations, and that some of them have concluded (United Kingdom) or envisage concluding (Germany, France) so-called ‘anti-spying’ arrangements; underlines that these Member States need to observe fully the interests of the EU as a whole;

Amendment

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 461

Jan Philipp Albrecht, Judith Sargentini, Jean Lambert, H  l  ne Flautre, Rui Tavares, Ra  l Romeva i Rueda

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 108

Motion for a resolution

108. Is aware that some EU Member States are pursuing bilateral communication with the US authorities on spying allegations, and that some of them have concluded (United Kingdom) or envisage concluding (**Germany**, France) so-called ‘anti-spying’ arrangements; underlines that these Member States need to observe fully the interests of the EU as a whole;

Amendment

108. Is aware that some EU Member States are pursuing bilateral communication with the US authorities on spying allegations, and that some of them have concluded (United Kingdom) or envisage concluding (France) so-called ‘anti-spying’ arrangements; underlines that these Member States need to observe fully the interests of the EU as a whole; **doubts the relevance of such agreements in the light of revelations that even members of the "Five Eyes" spy on each other;**

Or. en

Amendment 462

Arnaud Danjean, V  ronique Mathieu Houillon

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 108

Motion for a resolution

108. **Is aware** that some EU Member States are pursuing bilateral communication with the US authorities on spying allegations, and that some of them have concluded (**United Kingdom**) or envisage concluding (**Germany, France**) so-called ‘anti-spying’ arrangements; **underlines that these Member States need to observe fully the interests of the EU as a whole;**

Amendment

108. **Notes** that some EU Member States are pursuing bilateral communication with the US authorities on spying allegations, and that some of them have concluded or envisage concluding so-called ‘anti-spying’ arrangements;

Or. en

Amendment 463
Josef Weidenholzer, Birgit Sippel

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 108

Motion for a resolution

108. Is aware that some EU Member States are pursuing bilateral communication with the US authorities on spying allegations, and that some of them have concluded (United Kingdom) or envisage concluding (Germany, France) so-called ‘anti-spying’ arrangements; ***underlines that these Member States need to observe fully the interests of the EU as a whole;***

Amendment

108. Is aware that some EU Member States are pursuing bilateral communication with the US authorities on spying allegations, and that some of them have concluded (United Kingdom) or envisage concluding (Germany, France) so-called ‘anti-spying’ arrangements; ***deems such bilateral arrangements as counterproductive due to the need of a European solution for this problem;***

Or. en

Amendment 464
Axel Voss, Agustín Díaz de Mera García Consuegra, Teresa Jiménez-Becerril Barrio, Salvador Sedó i Alabart

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 108

Motion for a resolution

108. Is aware that some EU Member States are pursuing bilateral communication with the US authorities on spying allegations, and that some of them have concluded (***United Kingdom***) or envisage concluding (***Germany, France***) so-called ‘anti-spying’ arrangements; underlines that these Member States need to observe fully the interests of the EU as a whole;

Amendment

108. Is aware that some EU Member States are pursuing bilateral communication with the US authorities on spying allegations, and that some of them have concluded or envisage concluding so-called ‘anti-spying’ arrangements; underlines that these Member States need to observe fully the interests of the EU as a whole; ***therefore calls for a EU no-spy-agreement between Member States and a no-spy-agreement with third countries including an obligation for no spying on politicians, research and economy;***

Or. en

Amendment 465

Jan Philipp Albrecht, Judith Sargentini, Jean Lambert, Hélène Flautre, Rui Tavares, Raül Romeva i Rueda

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 108 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

108a. Asks the Council to inform Parliament about discussions by Member States on an EU-wide mutual no-spy arrangement;

Or. en

Amendment 466

Jan Philipp Albrecht, Judith Sargentini, Jean Lambert, Hélène Flautre, Rui Tavares, Raül Romeva i Rueda

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 109

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

109. Considers that such arrangements should not breach European Treaties, especially the principle of sincere cooperation (under Article 4 paragraph 3 TEU), or undermine EU policies in general and, more specifically, the internal market, fair competition and economic, industrial and social development; reserves its right to activate Treaty procedures in the event of such arrangements being proved to contradict the Union's cohesion or the fundamental principles on which it is based;

109. Considers that such arrangements should not breach European Treaties, especially the principle of sincere cooperation (under Article 4 paragraph 3 TEU), or undermine EU policies in general and, more specifically, the internal market, fair competition and economic, industrial and social development; **decides to review any such arrangements for their compatibility with European law and** reserves its right to activate Treaty procedures in the event of such arrangements being proved to contradict the Union's cohesion or the fundamental principles on which it is based;

Or. en

Amendment 467

Birgit Sippel, Carmen Romero López, Josef Weidenholzer

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 109 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

109a. Believes that the expansion of a surveillance society within the EU as a direct result of EU legislation has to be prevented under all circumstances; Therefore calls on the Council and the European Parliament when acting as legislators in the field of justice and home affairs to ensure that practices that are designed to collect en masse data from EU citizens with a view to combatting terrorism and serious crime are fully in line with the requirements of the rule of law and the EU's human rights obligations; Points out that this for example applies to Directive 2006/24/EC on the retention of data which seems to be incompatible with Articles 7 and 52(1) of the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union as well as on the Commission proposal 2011/0023 (COD) on the use of Passenger Name Record data for the prevention, detection, investigation and prosecution of terrorist offences and serious crime;

Or. en

Amendment 468

Axel Voss, Hubert Pirker, Véronique Mathieu Houillon, Agustín Díaz de Mera García Consuegra, Teresa Jiménez-Becerril Barrio, Salvador Sedó i Alabart

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 109 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

109a. Considers tap-proof communication structures (email and telecommunications, including landlines and cell phones) and tap-proof meeting rooms within all relevant EU institutions

and EU delegations as absolutely necessary; therefore calls for the establishment of an encrypted internal EU email-system;

Or. en

Amendment 469
Cornelia Ernst

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 109 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

109a. Notes that such agreements will not restore trust of citizens in democratic institutions and political leaders if they do not contain far-reaching provisions on transparency;

Or. en

Amendment 470
Sophia in 't Veld, Nadja Hirsch

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 109 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

109a. Emphasizes that in a new EU Treaty, the European Parliament should be given real parliamentary inquiry powers, including the possibility to question under oath;

Or. en

Amendment 471
Gianni Vattimo

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 109 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

109a. Calls on the Member States to throw full light on espionage programmes and operations affecting their own citizens, citizens of other Member States and non-EU countries, and European institutions; calls on the Commission to start an initiative with a view to ascertaining whether Articles 2 and 4 TEU have been infringed in the EU and to take such steps as might prove necessary, not least as regards the compatibility of those programmes and operations with the Internal Security Strategy;

Or. it

Amendment 472

Axel Voss, Agustín Díaz de Mera García Consuegra, Teresa Jiménez-Becerril Barrio, Salvador Sedó i Alabart

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 109 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

109b. Calls on the European Parliament to implement in its rules of procedure a structure for inquiry committees with equal competences as implemented in some Member States by their national parliaments;

Or. en

Amendment 473
Gianni Vattimo

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 109 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

109b. Repeats the assertion in the resolution of 4 July 2013 that ‘in democratic and open states based on the rule of law, citizens have a right to know about serious violations of their fundamental rights and to denounce them, including those involving their own government’ and again ‘stresses the need for procedures allowing whistleblowers to unveil serious violations of fundamental rights and the need to provide such people with the necessary protection’, and calls on the Member States, therefore, to provide that protection;

Or. it

Amendment 474

Sarah Ludford, Jens Rohde, Nils Torvalds

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 110

Motion for a resolution

110. Calls on the Commission to present, in January 2015 at the latest, an EU strategy for democratic governance of the internet;

Amendment

110. Calls on the Commission to present, in January 2015 at the latest, an EU strategy for democratic governance of the internet ***while avoiding the facilitation of state control or censorship or the 'balkanisation' and fragmentation of the internet;***

Or. en

Amendment 475

Sophia in 't Veld, Nadja Hirsch

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 110 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

110a. Deplores the refusal of the US administration to adopt and implement all recommendations of the ad hoc EU US Working Group on Data Protection, calls on the US authorities to reconsider;

Or. en

Amendment 476
Sophia in 't Veld, Nadja Hirsch

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 110 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

110b. Questions the three year data retention of all data collected during the Sochi Olympic Games by Russian secret services;

Or. en

Amendment 477
Claude Moraes

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 111

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

111. Calls on the Member States to follow the call of the 35th International Conference of Data Protection and Privacy Commissioners 'to advocate the adoption of an additional protocol to Article17 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR), which should be based on the standards that have been developed and endorsed by the International Conference and the provisions in General Comment No 16 to

111. Calls on the Member States to follow the call of the 35th International Conference of Data Protection and Privacy Commissioners 'to advocate the adoption of an additional protocol to Article17 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR), which should be based on the standards that have been developed and endorsed by the International Conference and the provisions in ***the Human Rights***

the Covenant in order to create globally applicable standards for data protection and the protection of privacy in accordance with the rule of law'; asks the High Representative/Vice-President of the Commission and the External Action Service to take a proactive stance;

Committee General Comment No 16 to the Covenant in order to create globally applicable standards for data protection and the protection of privacy in accordance with the rule of law'; ***calls on the Member States to include in this exercise to advocate for an international UN agency in charge of in particular monitoring the emergence of surveillance tools and of regulating and investigating their uses;*** asks the High Representative/Vice-President of the Commission and the External Action Service to take a proactive stance;

Or. en

Amendment 478

Sarah Ludford, Jens Rohde, Nils Torvalds

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 112

Motion for a resolution

112. Calls on the Member States to develop a coherent and strong strategy within the United Nations, supporting in particular the resolution on 'The right to privacy in the digital age' initiated by Brazil and Germany, as adopted by the third UN General Assembly Committee (Human Rights Committee) on 27 November 2013;

Amendment

112. Calls on the Member States to develop a coherent and strong strategy within the United Nations, supporting in particular the resolution on 'The right to privacy in the digital age' initiated by Brazil and Germany, as adopted by the third UN General Assembly Committee (Human Rights Committee) on 27 November 2013 ***but avoiding the facilitation of state control or censorship or the 'balkanisation' and fragmentation of the internet;***

Or. en

Amendment 479

Claude Moraes

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 112

Motion for a resolution

112. Calls on the Member States to develop a coherent and strong strategy within the United Nations, supporting in particular the resolution on ‘The right to privacy in the digital age’ initiated by Brazil and Germany, as adopted by the third UN General Assembly **Committee** (Human Rights Committee) on 27 November 2013;

Amendment

112. Calls on the Member States to develop a coherent and strong strategy within the United Nations, supporting in particular the resolution on ‘The right to privacy in the digital age’ initiated by Brazil and Germany, as adopted by the third **Committee of the** UN General Assembly (Human Rights Committee) on 27 November 2013;

Or. en

Amendment 480
Gianni Vattimo

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 112

Motion for a resolution

112. Calls on the Member States to develop a coherent and strong strategy within the United Nations, supporting in particular the resolution on ‘The right to privacy in the digital age’ initiated by Brazil and Germany, as adopted by the third UN General Assembly Committee (Human Rights Committee) on 27 November 2013;

Amendment

112. Calls on the Member States to develop a coherent and strong strategy within the United Nations, supporting in particular the resolution on ‘The right to privacy in the digital age’ initiated by Brazil and Germany, as adopted by the third UN General Assembly Committee (Human Rights Committee) on 27 November 2013, **and to take any other bilateral, multilateral, or international initiative serving to safeguard the fundamental right to privacy and data protection and strengthen it at international level and in relation to state authorities and private companies;**

Or. it

Amendment 481
Jan Philipp Albrecht, Judith Sargentini, Jean Lambert, H el ene Flautre, Rui Tavares, Ra il Romeva i Rueda

Motion for a resolution
Subheading 36

Motion for a resolution

Priority Plan: A European Digital **Habeas Corpus**

Amendment

Priority Plan: A European Digital
Declaration of Independence

Or. en

Amendment 482
Cornelia Ernst

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 113 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

113a. Intends to re-examine the issues under investigation in the upcoming parliamentary term by establishing a specialised committee or subcommittee to LIBE.

Or. en

Amendment 483
Anna Maria Corazza Bildt

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 114 – introductory part

Motion for a resolution

114. Decides to launch A European Digital Habeas Corpus for protecting privacy based on the following 7 actions **with a European Parliament watchdog**:

Amendment

114. Decides to launch A European Digital Habeas Corpus for protecting privacy based on the following actions:

Or. en

Amendment 484
Arnaud Danjean

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 114 – introductory part

Motion for a resolution

114. Decides to launch A European Digital Habeas Corpus for protecting privacy based on the following 7 actions **with a European Parliament watchdog**:

Amendment

114. Decides to launch A European Digital Habeas Corpus for protecting privacy based on the following 7 actions:

Or. en

Amendment 485

Jan Philipp Albrecht, Judith Sargentini, Jean Lambert, H el ene Flautre, Rui Tavares, Ra il Romeva i Rueda

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 114 – introductory part

Motion for a resolution

114. Decides to launch A European Digital **Habeas Corpus** for protecting privacy based on the following 7 actions with a European Parliament watchdog:

Amendment

114. Decides to launch A European Digital **Declaration of Independence** for protecting privacy based on the following 7 actions with a European Parliament watchdog:

Or. en

Amendment 486

Sophia in 't Veld, Nadja Hirsch

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 114 – point 2

Motion for a resolution

Action 2: Conclude the EU-US Umbrella Agreement ensuring proper redress mechanisms for EU citizens in the event of data transfers from the EU to the US for law-enforcement purposes;

Amendment

Action 2: Conclude the EU-US Umbrella Agreement **guaranteeing the fundamental right of citizens to privacy and data protection and** ensuring proper redress mechanisms for EU citizens, **including** in the event of data transfers from the EU to the US for law-enforcement purposes;

Amendment 487
Timothy Kirkhope
on behalf of the ECR Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 114 – point 3

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Action 3: Suspend Safe Harbour until a full review has been conducted and current loopholes are remedied, making sure that transfers of personal data for commercial purposes from the Union to the US can only take place in compliance with highest EU standards;

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 488
Jan Mulder

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 114 – point 3

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Action 3: ***Suspend*** Safe Harbour ***until a full review has been conducted and current loopholes are remedied***, making sure that transfers of personal data for commercial purposes from the Union to the US can only take place in compliance with highest EU standards;

Action 3: ***Review*** Safe Harbour ***and remedy*** current loopholes, making sure that transfers of personal data for commercial purposes from the Union to the US can only take place in compliance with highest EU standards;

Or. en

Amendment 489
Sarah Ludford, Jens Rohde, Nils Torvalds

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 114 – point 3

Motion for a resolution

Action 3: Suspend Safe Harbour ***until a full review has been conducted and current loopholes are remedied***, making sure that transfers of personal data for commercial purposes from the Union to the US can only take place in compliance with highest EU standards;

Amendment

Action 3: Suspend Safe Harbour ***if by the announced deadline there is no satisfactory response from the US authorities to the Commission's 13 recommendations for strengthened safeguards which remedy current loopholes***, making sure that transfers of personal data for commercial purposes from the Union to the US can only take place in compliance with highest EU standards;

Or. en

Amendment 490
Sophia in 't Veld, Nadja Hirsch

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 114 – point 3

Motion for a resolution

Action 3: Suspend Safe Harbour until a full review has been conducted and current loopholes are remedied, making sure that transfers of personal data for commercial purposes from the Union to the US can only take place in compliance with highest EU standards;

Amendment

Action 3: ***Immediately*** suspend Safe Harbour until a full review has been conducted and current loopholes are remedied, making sure that transfers of personal data for commercial purposes from the Union to the US can only take place in compliance with highest EU standards;

Or. en

Amendment 491
Sarah Ludford, Jens Rohde, Nils Torvalds

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 114 – point 3 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Action 3a: The Commission to present a proposal for a new framework for transatlantic transfers which meets European privacy standards to replace Safe Harbour;

Or. en

Amendment 492

Timothy Kirkhope

on behalf of the ECR Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 114 – point 4

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Action 4: Suspend the TFTP agreement until (i) the Umbrella Agreement negotiations have been concluded; (ii) a thorough investigation has been concluded on the basis of an EU analysis, and all concerns raised by Parliament in its resolution of 23 October have been properly addressed;

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 493

Anna Maria Corazza Bildt

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 114 – point 4

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Action 4: Suspend the TFTP agreement until (i) the Umbrella Agreement negotiations have been concluded; (ii) a thorough investigation has been concluded on the basis of an EU analysis, and all concerns raised by Parliament in

deleted

its resolution of 23 October have been properly addressed;

Or. en

Amendment 494
Jan Mulder

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 114 – point 4

Motion for a resolution

Action 4: *Suspend the TFTP agreement until (i) the Umbrella Agreement negotiations have been concluded; (ii) a thorough investigation has been concluded on the basis of an EU analysis, and all concerns raised by Parliament in its resolution of 23 October have been properly addressed;*

Amendment

Action 4: *Calls on the EC to present a new proposal where data extraction would take place from EU soil within the framework of the TFTP agreement*

Or. en

Amendment 495

Axel Voss, Elena Oana Antonescu, Hubert Pirker, Véronique Mathieu Houillon, Agustín Díaz de Mera García Consuegra, Teresa Jiménez-Becerril Barrio, Arnaud Danjean, Salvador Sedó i Alabart

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 114 – point 4

Motion for a resolution

Action 4: *Suspend the TFTP agreement until (i) the Umbrella Agreement negotiations have been concluded; (ii) a thorough investigation has been concluded on the basis of an EU analysis, and all concerns raised by Parliament in its resolution of 23 October have been properly addressed;*

Amendment

Action 4: *to conclude the on-going negotiations on a data protection agreement for law enforcement purposes ("umbrella agreement") soon as possible;*

Or. en

Amendment 496
Carmen Romero López

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 114 – point 4 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Action 4a: Suspend the PNR agreement until (i) the Umbrella Agreement negotiations have been concluded; (ii) a thorough investigation has been carried out on the basis of an EU analysis and the entire situation prompting the Committee of Inquiry has been resolved;

Or. es

Amendment 497
Sophia in 't Veld, Nadja Hirsch

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 114 – point 4 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Action 4a: Suspend the EU US PNR Agreement until (i) the Umbrella Agreement negotiations have been concluded (ii) the judicial and administrative avenues of EU citizens to protect their fundamental rights are guaranteed;

Or. en

Amendment 498
Sophia in 't Veld, Nadja Hirsch

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 114 – point 4 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Action 4b: Evaluate any agreement, mechanism or exchange with third countries involving personal data in order to ensure that the right to privacy and to the protection of personal data are not violated due to surveillance activities and take necessary follow-up actions;

Or. en

Amendment 499

Timothy Kirkhope

on behalf of the ECR Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 114 – point 5

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Action 5: Protect the rule of law and the fundamental rights of EU citizens, with a particular focus on threats to the freedom of the press and professional confidentiality (including lawyer-client relations) as well as enhanced protection for whistleblowers;

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 500

Cornelia Ernst

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 114 – point 5

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Action 5: Protect the rule of law and the fundamental rights of EU citizens, with a particular focus on threats to the freedom of the press and professional confidentiality (including lawyer-client

Action 5: Protect the rule of law and the fundamental rights of EU citizens, with a particular focus on threats to the freedom of the press, ***the right of the public to receive impartial information*** and

relations) as well as enhanced protection for whistleblowers;

professional confidentiality (including lawyer-client relations) as well as enhanced protection for whistleblowers;

Or. en

Amendment 501

Axel Voss, Véronique Mathieu Houillon, Agustín Díaz de Mera García Consuegra, Teresa Jiménez-Becerril Barrio, Arnaud Danjean, Timothy Kirkhope, Anna Maria Corazza Bildt, Salvador Sedó i Alabart

Motion for a resolution Paragraph 114 – point 5

Motion for a resolution

Action 5: Protect the rule of law **and** the fundamental rights of EU citizens, **with a particular focus on threats to** the freedom of the press and professional confidentiality (**including lawyer-client relations**) as well as enhanced protection for whistleblowers;

Amendment

Action 5: Protect the rule of law, the fundamental rights of EU citizens, the freedom of the press and professional confidentiality;

Or. en

Amendment 502

Timothy Kirkhope
on behalf of the ECR Group

Motion for a resolution Paragraph 114 – point 6

Motion for a resolution

Action 6: Develop a European strategy for IT independence (at national and EU level);

Amendment

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 503

Sarah Ludford, Jens Rohde, Nils Torvalds, Axel Voss

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 114 – point 6

Motion for a resolution

Action 6: Develop a European strategy for ***IT independence*** (at national and EU level);

Amendment

Action 6: Develop a European strategy for ***greater IT innovative and technological capability*** (at national and EU level);

Or. en

Amendment 504

Monika Hohlmeier, Georgios Papanikolaou, Agustín Díaz de Mera García Consuegra, Véronique Mathieu Houillon, Axel Voss, Christian Ehler

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 114 – point 6

Motion for a resolution

Action 6: Develop a European strategy for IT independence (at national and EU level);

Amendment

Action 6: Develop a European strategy for IT independence (at national and EU level) ***and to initiate a programme for growth for the IT industry which enables the European companies to develop and deliver products in all security related areas;***

Or. en

Amendment 505

Jan Philipp Albrecht, Judith Sargentini, Jean Lambert, H  l  ne Flautre, Rui Tavares, Ra  l Romeva i Rueda

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 114 – point 6

Motion for a resolution

Action 6: Develop a European strategy for IT independence (at national and EU level);

Amendment

Action 6: Develop a European strategy for IT independence (***a "digital new deal" including the allocation of adequate resources*** at national and EU level)

Amendment 506
Josef Weidenholzer, Birgit Sippel

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 114 – point 6

Motion for a resolution

Action 6: Develop a European strategy for IT independence (at national and EU level);

Amendment

Action 6: Develop a European strategy for IT independence (at national and EU level) **and security standards for IT products**;

Or. en

Amendment 507
Timothy Kirkhope
on behalf of the ECR Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 114 – point 7

Motion for a resolution

Action 7: Develop the EU as a reference player for a democratic and neutral governance of the internet;

Amendment

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 508
Sarah Ludford, Jens Rohde, Nils Torvalds

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 114 – point 7

Motion for a resolution

Action 7: Develop the EU as a reference player for a democratic and neutral governance of the internet;

Amendment

Action 7: Develop the EU as a reference player for a democratic and neutral governance of the internet **while avoiding the facilitation of state control or**

*censorship or the 'balkanisation' and
 fragmentation of the internet;*

Or. en

Amendment 509
Arnaud Danjean

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 115 – introductory part

Motion for a resolution

115. Calls on the EU Institutions and the Member States to support and promote the European Digital Habeas Corpus; *undertakes to act as the EU citizens' rights watchdog*, with the following timetable to monitor implementation:

Amendment

115. Calls on the EU Institutions and the Member States to support and promote the European Digital Habeas Corpus with the following timetable to monitor implementation:

Or. en

Amendment 510
**Jan Philipp Albrecht, Judith Sargentini, Jean Lambert, H el ene Flautre, Rui Tavares,
Ra il Romeva i Rueda**

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 115

Motion for a resolution

115. Calls on the EU Institutions and the Member States to support and promote the European Digital *Habeas Corpus*; undertakes to act as the EU citizens' rights watchdog, with the following timetable to monitor implementation:

Amendment

115. Calls on the EU Institutions and the Member States to support and promote the European Digital *Declaration of Independence*; undertakes to act as the EU citizens' rights watchdog, with the following timetable to monitor implementation:

Or. en

Amendment 511
Anna Maria Corazza Bildt

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 115 – introductory part

Motion for a resolution

115. Calls on the EU Institutions and the Member States to support and promote the European Digital Habeas Corpus; ***undertakes to act as the EU citizens' rights watchdog***, with the following timetable ***to monitor implementation***:

Amendment

115. Calls on the EU Institutions and the Member States to support and promote the European Digital Habeas Corpus with the following timetable:

Or. en

Amendment 512
Anna Maria Corazza Bildt

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 115 – point 1

Motion for a resolution

April-July 2014: a monitoring group based on the LIBE inquiry team responsible for monitoring any new revelations in the media concerning the inquiry's mandate and scrutinising the implementation of this resolution;

deleted

Amendment

Or. en

Amendment 513
Timothy Kirkhope
on behalf of the ECR Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 115 – point 1

Motion for a resolution

April-July 2014: a monitoring group based on the LIBE inquiry team responsible for monitoring any new revelations in the media concerning the

deleted

Amendment

inquiry's mandate and scrutinising the implementation of this resolution;

Or. en

Amendment 514

Axel Voss, Elena Oana Antonescu, Véronique Mathieu Houillon, Agustín Díaz de Mera García Consuegra, Teresa Jiménez-Becerril Barrio, Salvador Sedó i Alabart

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 115 – point 1

Motion for a resolution

April-July 2014: a monitoring group based on the LIBE inquiry team responsible for monitoring any new revelations *in the media* concerning the *inquiry's* mandate and scrutinising the implementation of this resolution;

Amendment

April-July 2014: a monitoring group based on the LIBE inquiry team responsible for monitoring any new revelations concerning the *inquiry's* mandate and scrutinising the implementation of this resolution;

Or. en

Amendment 515

Anna Maria Corazza Bildt

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 115 – point 2

Motion for a resolution

July 2014 onwards: a standing oversight mechanism for data transfers and judicial remedies within the competent committee;

Amendment

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 516

Timothy Kirkhope
on behalf of the ECR Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 115 – point 2

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

July 2014 onwards: a standing oversight mechanism for data transfers and judicial remedies within the competent committee;

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 517

Jan Philipp Albrecht, Judith Sargentini, Jean Lambert, Hélène Flautre, Rui Tavares, Raül Romeva i Rueda

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 115 – point 3**

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Spring 2014: a formal call on the European Council to include the European Digital *Habeas Corpus* in the guidelines to be adopted under Article 68 TFEU;

· Spring 2014: a formal call on the European Council to include the European Digital *Declaration of Independence* in the guidelines to be adopted under Article 68 TFEU;

Or. en

Amendment 518

Axel Voss, Véronique Mathieu Houillon, Agustín Díaz de Mera García Consuegra, Anna Maria Corazza Bildt, Teresa Jiménez-Becerril Barrio, Arnaud Danjean, Timothy Kirkhope, Salvador Sedó i Alabart

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 115 – point 4**

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Autumn 2014: a commitment that the European Digital Habeas Corpus and related recommendations will serve as key criteria for the approval of the next Commission;

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 519

Jan Philipp Albrecht, Judith Sargentini, Jean Lambert, H el ene Flautre, Rui Tavares, Ra il Romeva i Rueda

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 115 – point 4

Motion for a resolution

Autumn 2014: a commitment that the European Digital *Habeas Corpus* and related recommendations will serve as key criteria for the approval of the next Commission;

Amendment

Autumn 2014: a commitment that the European Digital *Declaration of Independence* and related recommendations will serve as key criteria for the approval of the next Commission;

Or. en

Amendment 520

Anna Maria Corazza Bildt

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 115 – point 5

Motion for a resolution

2014-2015: a *Trust/Data/Citizens' Rights* group to be convened on a regular basis between the European Parliament and the US Congress, *as well as with other committed third-country parliaments, including Brazil*;

Amendment

2014-2015: a *EU-US Data protection* group to be convened on a regular basis between the European Parliament and the US Congress;

Or. en

Amendment 521

Jan Philipp Albrecht, Judith Sargentini, Jean Lambert, H el ene Flautre, Rui Tavares, Ra il Romeva i Rueda

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 115 – point 7

Motion for a resolution

2015: a conference bringing together high-level European experts in the various fields

Amendment

2014: a conference bringing together high-level European experts in the various fields

conducive to IT security (including mathematics, cryptography and privacy-enhancing technologies) to help foster an EU IT strategy for the next legislature;

conducive to IT security (including mathematics, cryptography and privacy-enhancing technologies) to help foster an EU IT strategy for the next legislature;

Or. en