Piotr Barczak
Policy Officer on Waste

@Pbarczak,
@Green_Europe

The Waste Legislative Package Hearing
European Parliament - 22/01/2015
What is EEB?

EEB: the environmental voice of European citizens

We stand for environmental justice, sustainable development and participatory democracy.

Our aim is to ensure the EU secures a healthy environment and rich biodiversity for all.
Living well, within the limits of our planet

7th EAP — The new general Union Environment Action Programme to 2020
EU Waste Package

Joint Statement by Packaging Value Chain Industries

A growth-oriented circular economy for packaging and packaged goods in the Internal Market

The undersigned organisations\(^1\) represent industries in the packaging value chain, which agree with the overall objectives of the Circular Economy package, but also share three key concerns (see below) relating to the proposed revision of the Waste Framework Directive (WFD) and Packaging and Packaging Waste Directive (PPWD).

The undersigned organisations are prepared to work constructively with the European Parliament, Council and Commission to improve these provisions so that future EU legislation is clear, workable and proportionate for the packaging value chain in Europe, and the growth and environmental objectives of the EU waste review may be realised.
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Is it possible to bring it back? Or is it better to incentivise the post consumption industry?
• How many jobs in incineration?
Figure 2.9 Progress of European countries up the material recycling hierarchy, 2001–2010
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Note: Number of countries within each recycling interval indicated in the white boxes. Information is not available for one country in 2001.


Figure 2.11 Progress of European countries up the municipal bio-waste recycling hierarchy, 2001–2010
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Note: Number of countries within each recycling interval indicated in the white boxes. Information is not available for one country in 2001.

Addressing the heterogenity in the EU

WE NEED EU WIDE:
• binding targets
• clear definitions
• clear calculations
• economic incentives
• Eco-design
• ... and more
AMBITION SCENARIO BY 2030

NATURAL RESOURCES SAVINGS

56.970 KM² AVOIDED LAND USE
(food waste reduction)

60.9 MEGLITRES AVOIDED WATER USE
(textiles reuse/prepare for reuse)

1.02 Mt AVOIDED FERTILISER
& PESTICIDE USE
(cotton production)

GHG REDUCTION

84.3 Mt AVOIDED GHG EMISSIONS
(food waste reduction)

30.7 Mt AVOIDED GHG EMISSIONS
(textiles & furniture)

OVER 303 Mt
AVOIDED GHG EMISSIONS
(equivalent to 1TBN monetary savings)*

HIGHER RECYCLING AND REUSE
ADDITIONAL JOBS
(1/6 unemployed youth back into work)
EU-phemisms
“We are changing our priorities in 2015”

We’ll be failing to save the economy instead of failing to save the environment.
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