



Plenary sitting

A8-0283/2016

10.10.2016

REPORT

with recommendations to the Commission on the establishment of an EU mechanism on democracy, the rule of law and fundamental rights (2015/2254(INL))

Committee on Civil Liberties, Justice and Home Affairs

Rapporteur: Sophia in 't Veld

(Initiative – Rule 46 of the Rules of Procedure)

CONTENTS

| | Page |
|--|-------------|
| MOTION FOR A EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT RESOLUTION | 3 |
| ANNEX TO THE MOTION FOR A RESOLUTION: Detailed recommendations for a draft Inter-institutional Agreement on arrangements concerning monitoring and follow up procedures on the situation of Democracy, the Rule of Law and Fundamental Rights in the Member States and EU institutions | 13 |
| EXPLANATORY STATEMENT..... | 23 |
| MINORITY OPINION | 26 |
| MINORITY OPINION | 27 |
| MINORITY OPINION | 28 |
| OPINION OF THE COMMITTEE ON CONSTITUTIONAL AFFAIRS | 29 |
| RESULT OF FINAL VOTE IN COMMITTEE RESPONSIBLE..... | 34 |
| FINAL VOTE BY ROLL CALL IN COMMITTEE RESPONSIBLE..... | 35 |

MOTION FOR A EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT RESOLUTION

**with recommendations to the Commission on the establishment of an EU mechanism on democracy, the rule of law and fundamental rights
(2015/2254(INL))**

The European Parliament,

- having regard to Article 225 of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union,
- having regard to the preamble to the Treaty on European Union (TEU), in particular the second, fourth, fifth and seventh recitals thereof,
- having regard, in particular, to Article 2, Article 3(1), the second subparagraph of Article 3(3) and Articles 6,7 and 11 TEU,
- having regard to the articles of the TFEU relating to the respect for, and the promotion and protection of, democracy, the rule of law and fundamental rights in the Union, including Articles 70, 258, 259, 260, 263 and 265 thereof,
- having regard to Article 4(3) and Article 5 TEU, Article 295 TFEU, Protocol No 1 on the role of national parliaments in the European Union and Protocol No 2 on the application of the principles of subsidiarity and proportionality, annexed to the TEU and to the TFEU,
- having regard to the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union (the Charter),
- having regard to the Council of Europe's European Social Charter, in particular Article E thereof,
- having regard to the Copenhagen criteria, and the body of Union rules that a candidate country must fulfil if it wishes to join the Union (the *acquis*) - in particular Chapters 23 and 24 thereof,
- having regard to the European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms (ECHR), the case law of the European Court of Human Rights, the conventions, recommendations, resolutions and reports of the Parliamentary Assembly, the Committee of Ministers, the Human Rights Commissioner and the Venice Commission of the Council of Europe,
- having regard to the Council of Europe's Recommendation No R (2000)21 of 25 October 2000 and the United Nations Basic Principles on the Role of Lawyers of 1990 which call upon states to guarantee a free and independent legal profession,
- having regard to the 'Memorandum of Understanding between the Council of Europe and the European Union' of 23 May 2007,
- having regard to the Council of Europe's Framework Convention for the Protection of National Minorities;

- having regard to the Council of Europe’s European Charter for Regional or Minority Languages;
- having regard to the Rule of Law Checklist adopted by the Venice Commission at its 106th Plenary Session on 18 March 2016,
- having regard to the Universal Declaration of Human Rights,
- having regard to the UN treaties on the protection of human rights and fundamental freedoms and the case-law of the UN treaty bodies,
- having regard to the UN Convention on the elimination of all forms of discrimination against women,
- having regard to the UN Approach to Rule of Law Assistance of April 2008,
- having regard to the UN Sustainable Development Goals, in particular Goal 16,
- having regard to the COSAC Twenty-fifth Bi-annual Report: Developments in European Union Procedures and Practices Relevant to Parliamentary Scrutiny of 18 May 2016,
- having regard to the publications of the European Union Agency for Fundamental Rights (FRA), including the proposed European Fundamental Rights Information System (EFRIS) in its paper of 31 December 2013 entitled 'Fundamental rights in the future of the European Union's Justice and Home Affairs',¹,
- having regard to the Opinion of the FRA of 8 April 2016 on the development of an integrated tool of objective fundamental rights indicators able to measure compliance with the shared values listed in Article 2 TEU based on existing sources of information,
- having regard to the letter of the Ministers of Foreign Affairs of Germany, Denmark, Finland and the Netherlands to the Commission President of 6 March 2013²,
- having regard to the Italian presidency note on 'Ensuring respect for the rule of law in the European Union' of 15 November 2014³,
- having regard to the conclusions of the Council and the Member States meeting within the Council of 16 December 2014 on ensuring respect for the rule of law,
- having regard to the Council’s first and second rule of law dialogue during the Luxembourg and Dutch Presidency of 17 November 2015 and 24 May 2016,
- having regard to the Council’s 'Guidelines on methodological steps to be taken to check fundamental rights compatibility at the Council preparatory bodies' of 19 December

¹ http://fra.europa.eu/sites/default/files/fra_submission_on_the_future_of_eu_justice.pdf

² <http://www.rijksoverheid.nl/bestanden/documenten-en-publicaties/brieven/2013/03/13/brief-aan-europese-commissie-over-opzetten-rechtsstatelijkheidsmechanisme/brief-aan-europese-commissie-over-opzetten-rechtsstatelijkheidsmechanisme.pdf>

³ <http://register.consilium.europa.eu/doc/srv?l=EN&f=ST%2015206%202014%20INIT>

2014¹,

- having regard to the Commission communication of 19 October 2010 entitled ‘Strategy for the effective implementation of the Charter of Fundamental Rights by the European Union’,
 - having regard to the Commission staff working paper of 6 May 2011 entitled ‘Operational Guidance on taking account of Fundamental Rights in Commission Impact Assessments’,
 - having regard to the Commission’s existing monitoring mechanism and periodic assessment tools, including the Cooperation and Verification Mechanism, the Justice Scoreboard, Anti-Corruption reports and the Media Monitor,
 - having regard to Commission’s Annual Colloquium on Fundamental Rights,
 - having regard to the Commission Communication of 19 March 2014 entitled ‘A new EU Framework to strengthen the Rule of Law’,
 - having regard to the Interinstitutional Agreement on Better Law-Making of 13 April 2016,
 - having regard to the Council of Europe’s ‘Code of Good Practice for Civil Participation in the Decision-Making Process’ of 1 October 2009,
 - having regard to the 2016 EU Justice Scoreboard and the Commission Report of 15 July 2016 entitled ‘Monitoring the application of European Union law 2015 Annual Report’,
 - having regard to the assessment of Parliament’s European Added Value Unit of April 2016 entitled ‘An EU mechanism on democracy, the rule of law and fundamental rights,
 - having regard to Rules 46 and 52 of its Rules of Procedure,
 - having regard to the report of the Committee on Civil Liberties, Justice and Home Affairs and the opinion of the Committee on Constitutional Affairs (A8-0283/2016),
- A. whereas the Union is founded on the values of respect for human dignity, freedom, democracy, equality, the rule of law and respect for human rights, including the rights of persons belonging to minorities, enshrined in its core principles and objectives in the first articles of the TEU, and in the criteria for Union membership;
- B. whereas the Union institutions and bodies and the Member States should uphold and set an example by genuinely fulfilling their obligations and move towards a shared culture of the rule of law as universal value in the 28 Member States and in the Union institutions to be applied by all concerned even-handedly, while the full respect and promotion of those principles is the essential prerequisite for the legitimacy of the European project as a whole and the basic condition for building citizens' trust in the Union;

¹ <http://data.consilium.europa.eu/doc/document/ST-5377-2015-INIT/en/pdf>

- C. whereas, according to Opinion 2/13 of the European Court of Justice of the European Union (Court of Justice) of 18 December 2014¹ and the relevant case-law of the Court of Justice, fundamental rights recognised by the Charter are at the heart of the legal structure of the Union and respect for those rights is a condition of the lawfulness of Union acts, so that measures incompatible with those rights are not acceptable in the Union;
- D. whereas, in accordance with Article 2, Article 3(1) and Article 7 TEU, the Union avails itself of the possibility to act in order to protect its "constitutional core" and the common values on which it was founded;
- E. whereas, the rule of law is the backbone of European liberal democracy, and is one of the founding principles of the Union stemming from the common constitutional traditions of all Member States;
- F. whereas all Member States, the institutions' bodies, offices and agencies of the Union and candidate countries are obliged to respect, protect and promote those principles and values, and they have the duty of sincere cooperation;
- G. whereas, according to, inter alia, Protocol No 24, annexed to the TEU and to the TFEU, recital 10 of Decision 2002/584/JHA and the case-law of the European Court of Human Rights ("M.S.S. v. Belgium and Greece") and the Court of Justice ("N.S. and M.E.", "Aranyosi and Căldăraru"), Member States, including the national courts, have an obligation to refrain from implementing Union law *vis-à-vis* other Member States in the event that there is a clear risk of a serious breach or a serious and persistent breach of the rule of law and fundamental rights in those other Member States;
- H. whereas respect for the rule of law within the Union is a prerequisite for the protection of fundamental rights, as well as for upholding all rights and obligations deriving from the Treaties and from international law, and is a precondition for mutual recognition and trust as well as a key factor for policy areas such as the internal market, growth and employment, combatting discrimination, social inclusion, police and justice cooperation, the Schengen area, and asylum and migration policies, and as a consequence, the erosion of the rule of law, democratic governance and fundamental rights are a serious threat to the stability of the Union, the monetary union and the common area of freedom security and justice and prosperity of the Union;
- I. whereas the way in which the rule of law is implemented in the Member States plays a key role in ensuring mutual trust among Member States and in their legal systems and it is therefore of vital importance to establish an area of freedom, security and justice without internal borders;
- J. whereas the Union is based on a common set of core values and principles and whereas the definition of those core values and principles, which allow democracy to flourish and fundamental rights to be protected, is a living and permanent process, and while those values and principles may evolve over time, they must be protected and should be the basis for political decisions, independent of different political majorities and resist temporary changes, hence an independent, impartial judiciary with the responsibility to

¹ ECLI:EU:C:2014:2454.

interpret them plays a vital role;

- K. whereas Union citizens and residents are not always sufficiently aware of all their rights as Europeans; whereas they should be in a position to be able to shape the Union's core values and principles together and above all take ownership of them;
- L. whereas, in accordance with Article 4(2) TEU, the Union is to respect the equality of Member States before the Treaties and whereas respect for cultural diversity and national traditions, within and among Member States, should not impede the uniform and high level of protection of democracy, the rule of law and fundamental rights throughout the Union; whereas the principle of equality and non-discrimination is a universal principle and it represents the common thread of all Union's policies and activities;
- M. whereas safeguarding the rule of law and effective independent justice systems play a key role in creating a positive political environment able to regain public trust in institutions, and hence also for an investment-friendly environment and for providing greater regulatory predictability and sustainable growth;
- N. whereas the improvement of the effectiveness of justice systems in Member States is a key aspect of the rule of law and is essential for ensuring equal treatment, sanctioning government abuses and preventing arbitrariness, and is considered by the Commission to be a key component for structural reforms in the European Semester, the annual cycle for the coordination of economic policies at Union level; whereas an independent legal profession is one of the cornerstones of a free and democratic society;
- O. whereas the UN Guidance Note of the Secretary-General "UN Approach to the Rule of Law Assistance" recommends that the rule of law should include a public and civil society that contributes to strengthening the rule of law and holding public officials and institutions accountable;
- P. whereas the European Parliamentary Research Service study on The Cost of Non-Europe in the area of Organised crime and Corruption estimates that integrating existing Union monitoring mechanisms, such as the Cooperation and Verification Mechanism, the Justice Scoreboard and the Anti-Corruption reports, into a broader Rule of Law monitoring framework would result in annual cost savings of EUR 70 billion;
- Q. whereas the Union's democratic and legal governance does not have as solid a legislative basis as its economic governance, as the Union does not display the same intransigence and firmness in demanding respect for its core values as it does when making sure its economic and fiscal rules are implemented properly;
- R. whereas the failure of a candidate country to meet the required standards, values and democratic principles results in a delay of accession to the Union, until it fully meets those standards, while the failure of a Member State or an institution of the Union to meet those same standards has little consequence in practice;
- S. whereas the obligations incumbent on candidate countries under the Copenhagen criteria continue to apply to the Member States after joining the Union by virtue of Article 2 TEU and the principle of sincere cooperation established in Article 4 TEU,

and whereas not only the newer but also the older Member States should therefore be assessed on a regular basis in order to verify that their laws and practices continue to comply with those criteria and the common values on which the Union is founded;

- T. whereas approximately 8 % of the EU-28 citizens belong to a national minority and approximately 10 % speak a regional or minority language; whereas there is no Union legal framework to guarantee their rights as a minority; whereas the establishment of an effective mechanism to monitor their rights in the Union is of outmost importance; whereas there is a difference between the protection of minorities and anti-discrimination policies; whereas equal treatment is a basic right, not a privilege, of all citizens;
- U. whereas coherence and consistency of internal and external democracy, rule of law and fundamental rights policy is key to the credibility of the Union;
- V. whereas there are few instruments to ensure that legislative and executive policy decisions by the institutions of the Union comply with the Union's core principles and values;
- W. whereas the Court of Justice has recently issued various rulings invalidating certain Union law, Commission Decisions or legislative practices, for being in breach of the Charter or contrary to Treaty principles on transparency and access to documents, but in several cases the Union institutions have failed to comply fully with the letter and the spirit of the rulings;
- X. whereas the accession of the Union to the European Convention for the Protection of Fundamental Rights and Fundamental Freedoms is a Treaty obligation pursuant to Article 6(2) TEU;
- Y. whereas the promotion and protection of pluralistic democracy, the respect for human rights and fundamental freedoms, the rule of law, political and legal co-operation, social cohesion and cultural interchange lies at the heart of co-operation between the Council of Europe and the Union;
- Z. whereas the need for more effective and binding mechanisms to ensure full application of Treaty principles and values has been recognised by both Commission and Council, and put into practice by the creation of the Commission's EU Framework to strengthen the Rule of Law and the Council's Rule of Law Dialogue;
- AA. whereas the Union has at its disposal a multitude of instruments and processes for ensuring full and proper application of Treaty principles and values but there is no swift, effective response coming from the Union institutions; whereas the existing instruments should be enforced, evaluated and complemented in the framework of a rule of law mechanism to be adequate and effective, and not perceived as politically motivated or arbitrary and unfairly targeting certain countries;
- AB. whereas the number of Court of Justice judgments citing the Charter has risen from 43 in 2011 to 210 in 2014;
- AC. whereas coherence between the institutions and Member States in DRF compliance will

provide obvious benefits, such as less costly court cases, better clarity for Union citizens and their rights, and more certainty for Member States in terms of implementation;

- AD. whereas some Member State governments deny that upholding Union principles and values is a Treaty obligation, or that the Union has the authority to ensure compliance;
 - AE. whereas in situations where a Member State no longer guarantees respect for democracy, the rule of law and fundamental rights, or in cases of a breach of the rule of law, the Union and its Member States have a duty to protect the integrity and application of the Treaties and to protect the rights of everyone within its jurisdiction;
 - AF. whereas civil society plays an important role in building and strengthening democracy, monitoring, and restraining the power of the state and promoting good governance, transparency, effectiveness, openness, responsiveness and accountability;
 - AG. whereas the subsidiarity principle cannot be invoked to reject any Union intervention to ensure Member State compliance with Treaty principles and values;
 - AH. whereas action by the Union to ensure that the Member States and institutions abide by the values on which it is founded, and from which Europeans' rights are derived, is an essential condition for them to be part of the European project;
 - AI. whereas the ongoing European integration process and recent developments in some Member States have shown that the failure to observe the rule of law and fundamental values is not being properly prevented and that it is necessary to revise and integrate existing mechanisms and develop an effective mechanism to close remaining gaps and to ensure Treaty principles and values are respected, protected and promoted throughout the Union;
 - AJ. whereas a new mechanism should be evidence based; objective and not subject to outside influence, in particular political influence, non-discriminatory and assessing on an equal footing; respecting the principle of subsidiarity, necessity and proportionality; addressing both Member States and institutions of the Union; and based on a graduated approach, including both a preventative and corrective arm;
 - AK. whereas a new mechanism should aim to offer a single, coherent framework, building on and incorporating existing instruments and mechanisms, and closing any remaining gaps;
 - AL. whereas the establishment of an EU Pact for democracy, the rule of law and fundamental rights is without prejudice to the direct application of Article 7(1) and (2) TEU;
1. Recommends, until a possible Treaty change, the establishment of a comprehensive Union mechanism for democracy, the rule of law and fundamental rights which would include all relevant stakeholders and therefore requests that the Commission submit, by September 2017, on the basis of Article 295 TFEU, a proposal for the conclusion of a Union Pact for democracy, the rule of law and fundamental rights (EU Pact for DRF) in the form of an interinstitutional agreement laying down arrangements facilitating the cooperation between the Union institutions and the Member States in the framework of

Article 7 TEU, integrating, aligning and complementing existing mechanisms, following the detailed recommendations set out in the Annex and including the option of joining the EU Pact for DRF for all Union institutions and bodies that wish to do so.

2. Invites the Commission to engage in a meaningful dialogue with civil society, ensuring that its contribution and role is taken into account in its proposal for an interinstitutional agreement;
3. Recommends, in particular, that the EU Pact for DRF include preventative and corrective elements, and address all Member States equally as well as the three main Union institutions, while respecting the principles of subsidiarity, necessity and proportionality;
4. Considers that while the main purpose of the EU Pact for DRF would be to prevent and correct breaches of Union values, it should also contain possible sanctions that can act as effective deterrence;
5. Believes that the conclusions and opinions of the FRA as well as the case law of the Court of Justice constitute a good basis for the interpretation of Article 2 TEU and the scope of the rights enshrined in the Charter;
6. Recalls that the Commission, as guardian of the Treaties, has the duty to monitor and assess the correct implementation of the Union law and the respect of the principles and objectives enshrined in the Treaties by the Member States and by all the Union institutions and bodies; recommends, therefore, to take into consideration this task of the Commission in assessing its compliance with DRF, within the DRF Policy Cycle;
7. Calls on the Commission to bundle, from 2018 onwards, its relevant annual thematic reports as well as the outcome of existing monitoring mechanisms and periodic assessment tools, to be presented all on the same day feeding into the DRF Policy Cycle;
8. Considers it to be important to promote a continuous dialogue and to work towards a stronger consensus between the Union and its Member States with the aim of promoting and protecting democracy, the rule of law and fundamental rights to safeguard the shared values as enshrined in the Treaties and the Charter in a fully transparent, objective manner; is convinced that there cannot be any compromise regarding the fundamental rights and values enshrined in the Treaties and the Charter;
9. Emphasises the key role that the European Parliament and the national parliaments should play in measuring the progress of, and monitoring the compliance with, the shared values of the Union, as enshrined in Article 2 TEU; notes the key role of the European Parliament in maintaining the necessary continuous debate within the common Union consensus on democracy, rule of law and fundamental rights taking into account the changes in our society; considers that the implementation of those values and principles must also be based on effective monitoring of respect for the fundamental rights guaranteed in the Charter;
10. Recommends that any inter-parliamentary debate on democracy, the rule of law and fundamental rights should include civil society and considers that civic participation and

strength of civil society should be taken into consideration as indicator for democracy;

11. Calls on the Commission to present, by June 2017, a new draft agreement for the accession of the Union to the ECHR, in order to comply with the obligation enshrined in Article 6 TEU, addressing the conclusions made by Opinion 2/13, furthermore, calls on the Council of Europe to open the signature of the European Social Charter to third parties, so that the Commission can initiate negotiations for the accession of the Union;
12. Invites the European Ombudsman, taking into account the views of society, to highlight and consolidate within a dedicated chapter, as part of its annual report, cases, recommendations and decisions related to citizens' fundamental rights, as well as the principles of democracy and the rule of law; invites the Commission to analyse those specific recommendations;
13. Calls on the Commission to take measures to ensure, in line with Article 47 of the Charter, general access to legal assistance to individuals and organisations litigating cases relating to violations of democracy, the rule of law and fundamental rights by national governments or the Union institutions where necessary complementing national schemes and the Directive on legal aid for suspects and accused persons in criminal proceedings and for requested persons in European Arrest Warrant proceedings;
14. Welcomes the reform of the Court of Justice, through which the number of judges at the Court is gradually being increased in order to handle the workload and reduce the duration of procedures;
15. Recommends that an expert panel on democracy, the rule of law and fundamental rights (DRF Expert Panel) , as provided for in the interinstitutional agreement, also undertake an assessment of access to justice at Union level, including aspects such as independence and impartiality of courts and judges, an independent legal profession, legal standing rules, duration and cost of litigation, adequacy and effectiveness of the legal aid system, as well as the existence of the necessary funds for it, implementation of court rulings, scope of judicial control and redress available to citizens, and options for cross border collective redress; considers in this connection that attention should focus on the provision of Article 298 TFEU on the right of Union citizens to have an open, efficient and independent European administration;
16. Calls on the Commission to partner with civil society to develop and implement an awareness raising campaign, to enable Union citizens and residents to take full ownership of their rights deriving from the Treaties and from the Charter (e.g. freedom of expression, freedom of assembly, right to vote), providing information about citizens' rights to judicial redress and litigation routes in cases relating to DRF violations by national governments or institutions of the Union;
17. Calls for the setting up of an endowment for democracy grant-giving organisation that supports local actors promoting democracy, rule of law and fundamental rights within the Union;
18. Points out that if the Union lays down requirements in its international agreements to protect and promote human rights, then it must likewise ensure that the institutions and all Member States comply with the rule of law and respect fundamental rights;

19. Recommends furthermore that the EU Pact for DRF include the regular monitoring of the compatibility of the international agreements ratified by the Member States and the Union with Union primary and secondary law;
20. Considers, furthermore, that if in the future Treaty revision would be considered, the following changes may be provided for:
 - Article 2 TEU and the Charter to become a legal basis for legislative measures to be adopted under the ordinary legislative procedure;
 - Enabling national courts under Article 2 TEU and the Charter to bring before the Court of Justice proceedings relating to the legality of Member States' actions;
 - Reviewing Article 7 in order to make sanctions against any Member State relevant and applicable, identifying the rights of Member States at fault (in addition to Council voting rights) that may be suspended, for example financial sanctions or the suspension of Union funding;
 - Making it possible, for legislation, after it has been definitively adopted and before it is implemented, to be referred to the Court of Justice by one third of the Members of Parliament;
 - Enabling natural and legal persons who are directly and individually affected by an action to bring actions before the Court of Justice for alleged violations of the Charter of Fundamental Rights either by the EU institutions or by a Member State, by amending Articles 258 and 259 TFEU;
 - Abolition of Article 51 of the Charter of Fundamental Rights, and the conversion of the Charter into a Bill of Rights of the Union;
 - Reviewing the unanimity requirement in areas relating to respect for and protection and promotion of fundamental rights, such as equality and non-discrimination;
21. Confirms that the recommendations respect fundamental rights and the principle of subsidiarity;
22. Considers that any financial implications of the requested proposals for the budget of the Union should be covered by the existing budgetary allocations; stresses that both for the Union and its Member States, as well as for citizens, the adoption and implementation of those proposals could lead to substantial cost and time savings, could foster mutual confidence in and recognition of Member States and the Union's decisions and actions, and could thus be beneficial both in economic and social terms;
23. Instructs its President to forward this resolution and the accompanying detailed recommendations to the Commission and the Council, and to the parliaments and governments of the Member States, as well as to the Committee of the Regions for distribution to subnational parliaments and councils.

**ANNEX TO THE MOTION FOR A RESOLUTION:
Detailed recommendations for a draft Inter-institutional Agreement on
arrangements concerning monitoring and follow up procedures on the
situation of Democracy, the Rule of Law and Fundamental Rights in the
Member States and EU institutions**

DRAFT INTERINSTITUTIONAL AGREEMENT

EUROPEAN UNION PACT ON DEMOCRACY, THE RULE OF LAW AND
FUNDAMENTAL RIGHTS

The European Parliament, the Council of the European Union and the European Commission:

Having regard to the preamble to the Treaty on European Union (TEU), in particular the second, fourth, fifth and seventh recitals thereof,

Having regard, in particular, to Article 2, Article 3(1), the second subparagraph of Article 3(3) and Articles 6, 7 and 11 TEU,

Having regard to the articles of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union (TFEU) relating to the respect for, and the promotion and protection of, democracy, the rule of law and fundamental rights in the Union, including Articles 70, 258, 259, 260, 263 and 265 thereof,

Having regard to Article 4(3) and Article 5 TEU, Article 295 TFEU, Protocol No 1 on the role of national parliaments in the European Union and Protocol No 2 on the application of the principles of subsidiarity and proportionality, annexed to the TEU and to the TFEU,

Having regard to the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union (the Charter),

Having regard to the Council of Europe's European Social Charter, in particular Article E thereof on non-discrimination;

Having regard to the Copenhagen criteria, and the body of Union rules that a candidate country must fulfil if it wishes to join the Union (the *acquis*), in particular Chapters 23 and 24 thereof,

Having regard to the European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms (ECHR), the case law of the European Court of Human Rights, the conventions, recommendations, resolutions and reports of the Parliamentary Assembly, the Committee of Ministers, the Human Rights Commissioner and the Venice Commission of the Council of Europe,

Having regard to the Rule of Law Checklist adopted by the Venice Commission at its 106th Plenary Session of 18 March 2016,

Having regard to the 'Memorandum of Understanding between the Council of Europe and the European Union' of 23 May 2007,

Having regard to the Council of Europe's Framework Convention for the Protection of National Minorities,

Having regard to the Council of Europe's European Charter for Regional or Minority Languages,

Having regard to the Universal Declaration of Human Rights,

Having regard to the UN treaties on the protection of human rights and fundamental freedoms and the case-law of the UN treaty bodies,

Having regard to the publications of the European Union Agency for Fundamental Rights (FRA), including the proposed European Fundamental Rights Information System (EFRIS) in its paper of 31 December 2013 entitled 'Fundamental rights in the future of the European Union's Justice and Home Affairs',

Having regard to the UN Approach to Rule of Law Assistance of April 2008,

Having regard to the UN Sustainable Development Goals, in particular Goal 16,

Having regard to the COSAC Twenty-fifth Bi-annual Report: Developments in European Union Procedures and Practices Relevant to Parliamentary Scrutiny of 18th May 2016,

Having regard to the letter of the Ministers of Foreign Affairs of Germany, Denmark, Finland and the Netherlands to the Commission President of 6 March 2013,

Having regard to the Opinion FRA of 8 April 2016 on the development of an integrated tool of objective fundamental rights indicators able to measure compliance with the shared values listed in Article 2 TEU based on existing sources of information,

Having regard to the Italian presidency note on 'Ensuring respect for the rule of law in the European Union' of 15 November 2014,

Having regard to the conclusions of the Council and the Member States meeting within the Council of 16 December 2014 on ensuring respect for the rule of law,

Having regard to the Council's 'Guidelines on methodological steps to be taken to check fundamental rights compatibility at the Council preparatory bodies' of 19 December 2014,

Having regard to the Council's first and second rule of law dialogue during the Luxembourg and Dutch Presidency of 17 November 2015 and 24 May 2016,

Having regard to the Commission's existing monitoring mechanism and periodic assessment tools, including the Cooperation and Verification Mechanism, the Justice Scoreboard, Anti-Corruption reports and the Media Monitor,

Having regard to the Commission communication of 19 October 2010 entitled 'Strategy for the effective implementation of the Charter of Fundamental Rights by the European Union',

Having regard to the Commission's Staff Working Paper of 6 May 2011 entitled 'Operational Guidance on taking account of Fundamental rights in Commission Impact Assessments',

Having regard to the Commission Communication of 19 March 2014 entitled 'A new EU Framework to strengthen the Rule of Law',

Having regard to the Commission's Annual Colloquium on Fundamental Rights,

Having regard to the Inter-institutional Agreement on Better Law-Making of 13 April 2016,

Having regard to its resolution of 27 February 2014 on the situation of fundamental rights in the European Union (2012),

Having regard to its resolution of 8 September 2015 on the situation of fundamental rights in the European Union (2013-2014),

Having regard to its resolution of 10 June 2015 on the situation in Hungary (2015/2700(RSP)), in particular paragraph 12 thereof,

- (1) Whereas there is a need for a democracy, rule of law and fundamental rights (DRF) mechanism that is objective, impartial, evidence-based and applied equally and fairly to all Member States as well as to the institutions of the Union and that includes both the preventative and the corrective dimension;
- (2) Whereas the primary objective of such a mechanism should be to prevent violations and non-compliance with DRF, while at the same time providing the tools needed to render both the preventative and corrective arms of Article 7 TEU, as well as the other instruments provided for in the Treaties, operational in practice;
- (3) Whereas the unnecessary creation of new structures or duplication should be avoided and integration and incorporation of existing instruments is to be preferred;
- (4) Whereas elaborating definitions, standards and benchmarks regarding DRF is not a one-off decision but, rather, a permanent and interactive process based on broad public debate and consultation, regular review and the sharing of best practices;
- (5) Whereas only a mechanism that has the broad support of Union citizens and allows them to take ownership of the process can be effective;
- (6) Whereas Member States are primarily responsible for upholding common standards but, when they fail to do so, the Union has a duty to intervene to protect its constitutional core and ensure that the values laid down in Article 2 TEU and in the Charter are guaranteed for all Union citizens and residents throughout the territory of the Union;
- (7) Whereas it is important that all levels of government work closely together on the basis of their competences and responsibilities in order to identify possible systemic threats to the rule of law at an early stage, and to improve the protection of the rule of law;
- (8) Whereas there are several instruments for addressing the risk of a serious breach of

Union values but clear and objective benchmarks need to be developed for those instruments to be sufficiently strong and dissuasive to prevent infringements of the rule of law and fundamental rights; whereas the Union has no legally binding mechanism in place to monitor regularly the compliance of the Member States and Union institutions with the Union values and fundamental rights;

- (9) Whereas, in accordance with Art 295 TFEU, this inter-institutional agreement lays down arrangements only for the facilitation of cooperation between the European Parliament, the Council and the Commission and, in accordance with Article 13(2) TEU, those institutions are to act within the limits of the powers conferred on them by the Treaties, and in conformity with the procedures, conditions and objectives set out in them; whereas this inter-institutional agreement is without prejudice to the prerogatives of the Court of Justice in the authentic interpretation of Union law,

HAVE AGREED AS FOLLOWS

Article 1

The core values and founding principles of the Union, namely democracy, the rule of law and fundamental rights, shall be upheld throughout the Union in a Union Pact on Democracy, the Rule of Law and Fundamental Rights (EU Pact for DRF), which provides for the definition, elaboration, monitoring and enforcement of those values and principles, and shall address both the Member States and the Union institutions.

Article 2

The EU Pact for DRF shall consist of:

- an annual Report on democracy, the rule of law and fundamental rights (European DRF Report) with country-specific recommendations incorporating the reporting done by the FRA, the Council of Europe, and other relevant authorities in the field;
- an annual inter-parliamentary debate on the basis of the European DRF Report,
- arrangements for remedying possible risks and breaches, as provided for by the Treaties, including the activation of the preventative or corrective arms of Article 7 TEU,
- a policy cycle for democracy, the rule of law and fundamental rights (DRF Policy Cycle) within the Union institutions.

Article 3

The EU Pact for DRF shall be expanded to incorporate the Commission's Rule of Law Framework and the Council's Rule of Law Dialogue into a single Union instrument.

Article 4

The European DRF Report on the state of democracy, the rule of law and fundamental rights in the Member States shall be drawn up by the Commission, in consultation with the panel of independent experts (DRF Expert Panel) referred to in Article 8. The

Commission shall transmit the European DRF Report to the European Parliament, the Council and the national parliaments. The European DRF Report shall be made available to the public.

The European DRF Report shall contain a general part and country-specific recommendations.

If the Commission fails to adopt the European DRF Report, including country-specific recommendations, on time, the relevant European Parliament committee may formally call upon it to provide explanations for the delay and to adopt them forthwith so as to avoid further delay.

Article 5

The European DRF Report shall incorporate and complement existing instruments, including the Justice Scoreboard, the Media Pluralism Monitor, the anti-corruption report and peer evaluation procedures based on Article 70 of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union (TFEU) and replace the Cooperation and Verification Mechanism for Bulgaria and Romania.

Article 6

The European DRF Report shall be drawn up using a variety of sources and the existing tools for assessment, reporting and monitoring of Member States' activities, including:

- contributions from the Member States authorities regarding respect for democracy, the rule of law and fundamental rights;
- the European Union Agency for Fundamental Rights (FRA, in particular the European Fundamental Rights Information System (EFRIS instrument);
- other specialised agencies of the Union, in particular the European Data Protection Supervisor (EDPS), the European Institute for Gender Equality (EIGE), the European Foundation for the Improvement of Living and Working Conditions (Eurofound), and Eurostat;
- experts, academics, civil society organisations, professional and sectoral associations of, for example, judges, lawyers, and journalists;
- existing indices and benchmarks developed by international organisations and NGOs;
- the Council of Europe, in particular the Venice Commission, the Group of States against Corruption (GRECO) and the Congress of Local and Regional Authorities of the Council of Europe, and the European Commission for the Efficiency of Justice (CEPEJ);
- international organisations such as the UN, OSCE and the OECD;
- the case-law of the Court of Justice and of the European Court of Human Rights and of other international courts, tribunals and treaty bodies;

- all resolutions or other relevant contributions by the European Parliament, including its annual report on the human rights situation in the Union;
- contributions from the Union institutions.

All the contributions from the sources referred to in this Article as well as the draft European DRF Report prepared by the DRF Expert Panel, including the country-specific recommendations shall be made available to the public on the website of the Commission.

Article 7

The European DRF Report shall be presented in a harmonised format and accompanied by country-specific recommendations and elaborated with a specific focus on the following aspects:

- Separation of powers;
- The impartial nature of the state;
- The reversibility of political decisions after elections;
- The existence of institutional checks and balances which ensure that the impartial state is not called into question;
- The permanence of the state and institutions, based on the immutability of the constitution;
- Freedom and pluralism of the media;
- Freedom of expression and freedom of assembly;
- Promotion of civic space and effective mechanisms for civil dialogue;
- Right to active and passive democratic participation in elections and participatory democracy;
- Integrity and absence of corruption;
- Transparency and accountability;
- Legality;
- Legal certainty;
- Prevention of abuse or misuse of powers;
- Equality before the law and non-discrimination;
- Access to justice: independence and impartiality, fair trial, constitutional justice (where applicable), an independent legal profession;

- Particular challenges to the rule of law: corruption, conflict of interest, collection of personal data and surveillance;
- Titles I to VI of the Charter;
- The European Convention on Human Rights and the protocols thereto.

Article 8

The assessment of the state of democracy, rule of law and fundamental rights in the Member States, as well as the development of country-specific draft recommendations, shall be carried out by a representative panel of independent experts (DRF Expert Panel) on the basis of a quantitative and qualitative review of the data and information available.

8.1. The DRF Expert Panel shall be composed of the following members:

- one independent expert designated by the parliament of each Member State; the Members of the expert panel shall be qualified constitutional court or supreme court judges, not currently in active service;
- ten further experts designated by the European Parliament with a two-third majority, chosen from a list of experts nominated by:
 - (i) the federation of All European Academies (ALLEA);
 - (ii) the European Network of National Human Rights Institutions (ENNHRI);
 - (iii) the Council of Europe (including the Venice Commission, GRECO and the Council of Europe Human Rights Commissioner);
 - (iv) CEPEJ and the Council of Law and Bar Societies Europe (CCBE);
 - (v) the United Nations (UN), the OSCE and the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD).

8.2. The DRF Expert Panel shall elect its Chair from among its members.

8.3. In order to facilitate the development of the draft European DRF Report and draft country recommendations, the Commission shall provide a secretariat to the DRF Expert Panel, enabling it to function efficiently, in particular by gathering data and information sources to be reviewed and assessed, and by providing administrative support during the drafting process.

Article 9

The DRF Expert Panel shall assess each of the Member States on the aspects listed in Article 7 and identify possible risks, breaches or violations. This assessment shall be carried out on an anonymous and independent basis by each of the panellists in order to safeguard the independence of the DRF Expert Panel and the objectivity of the European DRF Report. The members of the DRF Expert Panel may, however, consult

each other with a view to discussing methods and agreed standards.

The assessment methods shall be reviewed annually by the DRF Expert Panel, and where necessary, further elaborated, refined, supplemented and amended, where necessary, by common accord between the European Parliament, the Council and the Commission, after consulting the national parliaments, experts and civil society.

Article 10

The adoption of the DRF European Report by the Commission shall initiate the interparliamentary debate and debate in the Council, which shall aim to address the results of the European DRF Report and the country-specific recommendations, through the following steps:

- the European Parliament shall organise an interparliamentary debate on the basis of the DRF European Report, and adopt a resolution; this debate should be organised in such a way as to set benchmarks and goals to be attained and to provide the means to evaluate changes from one year to another within the existing Union consensus on democracy, the rule of law and fundamental rights; the relevant procedures should be accelerated in order to create such means, which will not only allow the immediate and effective monitoring of annual changes, but will also ensure the compliance with commitments by all relevant parties;
- The annual interparliamentary debate shall be part of a multi-annual structured dialogue between the European Parliament, national parliaments, the Commission and the Council, and it shall also involve civil society, the European Union Agency for Fundamental Rights and the Council of Europe;
- the Council shall hold an annual debate, building upon its Rule of Law Dialogue, on the basis of the European DRF Report and adopt Council conclusions, inviting national parliaments to provide a response to the European DRF Report, proposals or reforms;
- on the basis of the European DRF Report the Commission may decide to launch a "systemic infringement" action under Article 2 TEU and Article 258 TFEU, bundling several infringement cases together;
- on the basis of the European DRF Report, in consultation with the European Parliament and the Council, the Commission may decide to submit a proposal for an evaluation of the implementation by Member States of Union policies in the area of freedom, security and justice under Article 70 TFEU;

10.1. On the basis of the European DRF Report, if a Member State complies with all the aspects listed in Article 7, no further action shall be necessary.

10.2. On the basis of the European DRF Report, if a Member State falls short on one or more of the aspects listed in Article 7, the Commission will start a dialogue with that Member State without delay, taking into account the country-specific recommendations.

10.2.1. If the country-specific recommendation on a Member State includes the assessment by the expert panel that there is a clear risk of a serious breach of the values referred to in Article 2 TEU and that there are sufficient grounds for the invocation of Article 7(1) TEU, the European Parliament, the Council and the Commission, shall each discuss the matter without delay and take a reasoned decision, which shall be made public.

10.3. On the basis of the European DRF Report, if the country-specific recommendations on a member state include the assessment by the expert panel that there is a serious and persistent breach - i.e. increasing or remaining unchanged over a period of at least two years - of the values referred to in Article 2 TEU and that there are sufficient grounds for the invocation of Article 7(2), the European Parliament, the Council and the Commission shall each discuss the matter without delay and take a reasoned decision which shall be made public.

Article 11

Fundamental rights shall be included as part of the impact assessment for all legislative proposals by the Commission, in accordance with Paragraph 25 of the Interinstitutional Agreement on Better Law-Making.

The DRF Expert Panel, set up pursuant to Article 8, shall assess compliance with democracy, rule of law and fundamental rights by the European Parliament, the Council and the Commission.

Article 12

An interinstitutional impact assessment working group (Working Group) shall be set up with a view to improving interinstitutional cooperation on impact assessments, and creating a fundamental rights and rule of law compliance culture. The Working Group shall consult with national experts at an early stage so as to better foresee the implementation challenges in Member States, as well as to help overcome different interpretations and understandings by the different institutions of the Union as regards the impact of fundamental rights and rule of law on legal acts of the Union. The Working Group shall build on the Council's Guidelines on methodological steps to be taken to check fundamental rights compatibility at the Council preparatory bodies, the Commission's Strategy for the effective implementation of the Charter of Fundamental Rights by the European Union, the Commission's Operational Guidance on taking account of Fundamental rights in Commission Impact Assessments, Tool No 24 from the Better Regulation Toolbox and Rule 38 of the Rules of Procedure of the European Parliament to ensure compliance with and promotion of democracy, rule of law and fundamental rights.

Article 13

The annual reports of the European Parliament, the Council and the Commission relating to enforcement and compliance with the rule of law and fundamental rights by the institutions of the Union shall be presented alongside the annual DRF Policy Cycle of the European DRF Report:

- Annual report on the application of the Charter;

- Annual report on the application of Union law;
- Annual report on the application of Regulation (EC) No 1049/2001 of the European Parliament and of the Council¹

Article 14

This agreement shall enter into force...

Done at...

For the European Parliament

Its President

For the Council of the European Union

Its President

For the European Commission

Its President

¹ Regulation (EC) No 1049/2001 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 30 May 2001 regarding public access to European Parliament, Council and Commission documents (OJ L 145, 31.5.2001, p. 43).

EXPLANATORY STATEMENT

Europe has a long tradition in the area of democracy, the rule of law and citizens' rights. Running from Athenian democracy, Roman Law, the 1215 Magna Carta, the 1789 Déclaration des Droits de l'Homme et du Citoyen, the European Convention on Human Rights, to the Charter of Fundamental Rights.

The European Union has moreover enshrined democracy, rule of law and fundamental rights (DRF) in its core principles and objectives in the first articles of the Treaties, and in the criteria for EU membership. Attempts to include in the Treaties a reference to the Judeo-Christian roots of Europe did not succeed, but they do affirm the European Union is perceived as a community of values. In its external policies the EU emphasises human rights and democratic governance, and immigrants in Europe are expected to respect and adopt our shared values.

The European Union has a wide range of instruments for the enforcement of its laws and Treaties when it comes to material issues. The European Commission can order Member States to adjust their budgets, public health schemes or tax rulings to make them compliant with EU law. In such cases, Member States do not dispute the fact that they are bound to comply with EU law according to the EU Treaties. Not so when it comes to enforcement of the Treaty obligations regarding democracy, the rule of law and fundamental rights. Attempts by the European Commission, the guardian of the Treaties, to remind a Member State of its commitments, are met with reluctance or a downright refusal to recognise commonly agreed rules and the authority of the EU to enforce those rules. So far, intervention by the Commission has been timid and arbitrary. In addition, EU institutions themselves have sometimes been failing to comply with the key principles of democracy, the rule of law and fundamental rights.

While the EU has a several existing instruments at its disposal to ensure the principles on democracy, the rule of law and fundamental rights are upheld, substantial gaps remain and in practice, such instruments are often limited in scope, inadequate and ineffective, or they are unlikely to be used. In some cases, their uneven application is perceived by many as politically motivated, arbitrary and unfairly targeting certain countries. There is no integrated mechanism for the systematic, impartial and complete monitoring of all member states and its Institutions.

Therefore it is imperative to adopt a framework that enables the EU to address not only infringements to specific EU laws, but also (risk of) serious threats to democracy, the rule of law and fundamental rights. European values are given the highest importance in the Treaties, it is high time they also get the highest importance in practice.

The failure of the EU to uphold its own rules, and the perception there are no common European values, are also undermining mutual trust and a reliable, stable legal framework, essential for a well-functioning EU in all policy areas. As per the Treaty, and in particular Articles 2, 3(1) and 7 TEU, the European Union has a duty to protect its constitutional basis and core values, shared among all its Member States.

On the basis of the working documents presented and discussed in Committee, and taking account the various input provided by external stakeholders as well the two studies




commissioned by the Directorate General for Parliamentary Research Services, the rapporteur recommends the adoption of an EU Pact for Democracy, the Rule of Law and Fundamental Rights (DRF) in the form of an inter-institutional agreement.

The proposed inter-institutional agreement aims at laying down arrangements facilitating the cooperation of EU institutions and its Member States in the framework of Article 7 TEU, integrating, aligning and complementing existing mechanisms. In addition, it will provide for an integrated review mechanism, addressing all Member States as well as the three main EU institutions. The flow chart inserted at the end of the explanatory statement details the procedures and responsibilities for the application of the EU Pact on Democracy, the rule of law and fundamental rights, relying on the following three elements: a DRF European report, a DRF debate, and a DRF Policy cycle in the EU institutions.

Rather than creating new procedures, the rapporteur recommends that the proposed inter-institutional agreement mainly builds upon and integrates existing instruments, in particular the Rule of Law Framework of the European Commission and the Rule of Law Dialogue of the Council, expanding it with a reporting mechanism (DRF European Report), notification, and sanctions (infringement procedures or ultimately the activation of Article 7). This new single framework to be implemented, should be objective, evidence based, addressed equally and fairly to all Member States, and include both a preventative and the corrective dimension.

In addition to the proposed inter-institutional agreement, the rapporteur also recommends a series of additional non-legislative measures to be taken in order to ensure an encompassing approach towards upholding the values on which the EU is founded, in particular when it comes to access to justice at European level.

EUROPEAN UNION PACT ON DEMOCRACY, THE RULE OF LAW AND FUNDAMENTAL RIGHTS



MINORITY OPINION

3.10.2016

pursuant to Rule 56(3) of the Rules of Procedure
Kazimierz M. Ujazdowski and Marek Jurek

We have opposed this report because there is no sound legal basis for a mechanism on democracy, rule of law and fundamental rights in the existing treaties. Article 7 of the TEU is sufficient for this task; it gives the right to assess the risks in this area by the EU Council. The proposed mechanism goes beyond the treaty mandate and abuses the institution of inter-institutional agreements (IAA). IAAs are created to foster cooperation between the EU institutions under the current competence, and not to create new powers (Article 295 TFEU). However, in the future a voluntary dialogue between countries and the EU institutions may yield a positive outcome.

MINORITY OPINION

10.10.2016

pursuant to Rule 56(3) of the Rules of Procedure
Beatrice von Storch

This report is intended to overcome the opposition of many Member States to the EU's regulation of values and norms. It therefore represents a new form of political dominance of the EU over the Member States. In future, it is intended that it should be possible for them to be pilloried by 'independent experts' if their people seek to protect themselves against decisions of the EU Institutions in particularly sensitive areas by means of referendums or amendments to the Constitution. Yet the Union is required to respect the national identities of the Member States 'inherent in their fundamental structures, political and constitutional, inclusive of regional and local self-government' (Article 4(2) of the Treaty on European Union). Mr Timmermans and Ms Jourvoa admitted several times in plenary that they themselves had been unable to detect any infringement of fundamental rights even in the cases of Hungary and Poland, which had been subject to substantial political manipulation and had been depicted luridly in the media. The EU itself is constantly breaking rules, yet does not bring proceedings against itself: it breaks rules on stability and budgets and in the context of measures to save the euro or the migration crisis, but the penalties for which the Treaties provide are never imposed. Yet 'independent experts' are now to take decisions on politically defined fundamental rights – or judges from Turkey, Azerbaijan or Morocco if the EU were to accede to the Council of Europe's European Convention on Human Rights. I reject this report. It serves only to enable professional Eurocrats to manipulate national governments.

MINORITY OPINION

10.10.2016

pursuant to Rule 56(3) of the Rules of Procedure
Kristina Winberg

1. The proposal cedes power to an independent new EU panel to investigate EU member states; it will include international organizations that already possess this mandate to monitor the state of play insofar human rights and democracy in concerned and hence is in effect a duplication; this is an unacceptable waste of funds. In addition it will be a tool for political dominance by the EU over member states and as such I must reject this report.
2. EU shall not be part of international treaties as it is an infringement of the sovereign right of member states.
3. The creation of a new Union Fund for legal assistance within the EU, which must draw upon funding from tax revenues in the EU member states, is not acceptable.

16.6.2016

OPINION OF THE COMMITTEE ON CONSTITUTIONAL AFFAIRS

for the Committee on Civil Liberties, Justice and Home Affairs

on the establishment of an EU mechanism on democracy, the rule of law and fundamental rights
(2015/2254(INL))

Rapporteur: György Schöpflin

(Initiative – Rule 46 of the Rules of Procedure)

SUGGESTIONS

The Committee on Constitutional Affairs calls on the Committee on Civil Liberties, Justice and Home Affairs, as the committee responsible:

- to incorporate the following suggestions into its motion for a resolution:
 1. Underlines the shared values as laid down in Article 2 TEU on which the European Union is founded;
 2. Stresses that the Union is founded on common principles and on the values of respect for human dignity, freedom, democracy, equality, the rule of law and respect for human rights, including the rights of persons belonging to minorities; expresses the view that the Union institutions and bodies and the Member States should uphold and set an example by genuinely fulfilling their obligations and move towards consensus and a shared culture of the meaning of the rule of law as universal value in the 28 Member States and in the Union institutions to be applied by all concerned even-handedly;
 3. Expresses the view that respecting the rule of law is a prerequisite for the protection of fundamental rights and is of particular importance within the Union since it is also a prerequisite for upholding all rights and obligations deriving from the Treaties and from international law;
 4. Believes that the conclusions and opinions of the European Union Agency for

Fundamental Rights as well as the case law of the Court of Justice of the European Union constitute a good basis for the interpretation of Article 2 TEU and the scope of the rights enshrined in the Charter of Fundamental Rights;

5. Recalls that Article 6(2) TEU commits the Union to acceding to the European Convention for the protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms, and therefore calls for this to happen;
6. Notes that recent events in some Member States have shown that the failure to observe the rule of law and fundamental values is not being properly prevented, given the resulting problems among Member States and the fact that there is no swift, effective response coming from the Union institutions;
7. Considers that the procedure under Article 7 TEU remains a last resort instrument and will hardly be used to its full potential, because of the difficulty to reach a decision due to the unanimity requirement in the European Council; notes that the Union has no legally binding mechanism in place to monitor regularly the compliance of the Member States and Union institutions with the Union values and fundamental rights;
8. Stresses the importance of the rule of law Framework established by the Commission in 2014¹ and of the creation of an annual dialogue on the rule of law in the General Affairs Council as established in December 2014; and looks to the formulation of common ground as between these different rule of law mechanisms, in order to make sure they are effective in ensuring compliance with fundamental rights and democratic values in the entire Union; calls on the Commission and Council to update Parliament on these issues on a regular basis; urges however all Union institutions to work towards the establishment of a broader, integrated democracy, rule of law and fundamental rights mechanism that applies to all Member States and the Union institutions; therefore recommends the adoption of a democracy, rule of law and fundamental rights pact between citizens, governments, and Union institutions, giving ownership to all;
9. Considers it important to promote a continuous dialogue and to work towards a stronger consensus between the Union and its Member States with the aim of promoting and protecting democracy, the rule of law and fundamental rights to safeguard the shared values as enshrined in the Treaties and the Charter of Fundamental Rights in a fully transparent, objective manner; is convinced that there cannot be any compromise regarding the fundamental rights and values enshrined in the Treaties and the Charter of Fundamental Rights;
10. Emphasises the key role that the European Parliament and the national parliaments should play in measuring the progress of, and monitoring the compliance with, the shared values of the Union, as enshrined in Article 2 TEU; notes the key role of the European Parliament in maintaining the necessary continuous debate within the common Union consensus on democracy, rule of law and fundamental rights taking into account the changes in our society; considers that the implementation of these values and principles must also be based on effective monitoring of respect for the

¹ Communication of the Commission of 11 March 2014 on "A new EU Framework to strengthen the Rule of Law" (COM(2014)0158).

fundamental rights guaranteed in the Charter;

11. Recognises the essential role civil society organisations play in promoting democratic values, the rule of law and fundamental rights;
 - to incorporate the following recommendations in the annex to its motion for a resolution:
12. Recommends the establishment of a comprehensive Union mechanism for democracy, the rule of law and fundamental rights which would include all relevant stakeholders; considers that this may imply a possible Treaty change with a lengthy but necessary procedure under the light of the common efforts to defend Union's democratic principles, but that until then a mechanism can be set up within the limits of the current treaties, for example by means of an interinstitutional agreement, provided such mechanism does not jeopardise or rival but rather complements and paves the way for the procedure under Article 7 TEU; calls for all Member States to be treated equally and no decision to be taken on mere political grounds;
13. Points out that if the Union lays down requirements in its international agreements to protect and promote human rights, then it must likewise ensure that the institutions and all Member States comply with the rule of law and respect fundamental rights;
14. Calls for a coordination of the initiatives from the different Union institutions and is of the opinion that informal trilogues should be regularly organised to ensure a coherent Union approach and to establish a fully consensual working definition of human rights, the rule of law and democracy;
15. Recommends the conclusion of a pact to establish an annual 'fundamental rights policy cycle' as part of a multi-annual structured dialogue among all stakeholders; in this context, suggests that the European Parliament and national parliaments hold a yearly debate on the respect for democracy, the rule of law and the state of fundamental rights within the Union; believes that this debate should be organised in such a way as to set benchmarks and goals to be attained and to provide the means to evaluate changes from one year to another within the existing Union consensus on democracy, rule of law and fundamental rights;
16. Recommends the organisation of an annual pan-Union parliamentary debate on democracy, the rule of law and fundamental rights as part of a multi-annual structured dialogue between the European Parliament, national parliaments, the Commission and the Council, which also involves civil society, the European Union Agency for Fundamental Rights and the Council of Europe;
17. Recommends that the pan-Union parliamentary debate be organised in such a way that it can involve setting goals to achieve and provide the means to measure changes from one year to another with the possibility to report back on the implementation of goals or recommendations; also recommends the acceleration of relevant procedures in order to create such means, which will not only allow the immediate and effective monitoring of annual changes, but will also ensure the compliance with commitments by all relevant parties;

18. Considers it essential, for the purpose of following up the above parliamentary debate, to provide for the option of tabling an annual resolution in plenary;
19. Calls on the Commission and the Council to address the concerns raised by the Court of Justice in its Opinion 2/13 as quickly as possible in order to comply with the obligation enshrined in Article 6 TEU to accede to the European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms.

RESULT OF FINAL VOTE IN COMMITTEE ASKED FOR OPINION

| | |
|---|---|
| Date adopted | 15.6.2016 |
| Result of final vote | +: 13 -: 3 0: 3 |
| Members present for the final vote | Mercedes Bresso, Pascal Durand, Danuta Maria Hübner, Ramón Jáuregui Atondo, Morten Messerschmidt, Maite Pagazaurtundúa Ruiz, György Schöpflin, Barbara Spinelli, Claudia Tapardel, Josep-Maria Terricabras, Kazimierz Michał Ujazdowski, Rainer Wieland |
| Substitutes present for the final vote | Gerolf Annemans, Enrique Guerrero Salom, Sylvia-Yvonne Kaufmann, Jérôme Lavrilleux, Cristian Dan Preda, Daciana Octavia Sârbu |
| Substitutes under Rule 200(2) present for the final vote | Pilar Ayuso |

RESULT OF FINAL VOTE IN COMMITTEE RESPONSIBLE

| | |
|---|--|
| Date adopted | 3.10.2016 |
| Result of final vote | +: 41 -: 11 0: 2 |
| Members present for the final vote | Martina Anderson, Michał Boni, Caterina Chinnici, Frank Engel, Cornelia Ernst, Tanja Fajon, Laura Ferrara, Kinga Gál, Nathalie Griesbeck, Jussi Halla-aho, Filiz Hyusmenova, Sophia in 't Veld, Eva Joly, Sylvia-Yvonne Kaufmann, Barbara Kudrycka, Cécile Kashetu Kyenge, Marju Lauristin, Juan Fernando López Aguilar, Monica Macovei, Claude Moraes, Alessandra Mussolini, Péter Niedermüller, Judith Sargentini, Birgit Sippel, Branislav Škripek, Csaba Sógor, Helga Stevens, Traian Ungureanu, Bodil Valero, Marie-Christine Vergiat, Beatrix von Storch, Josef Weidenholzer, Cecilia Wikström, Kristina Winberg, Tomáš Zdechovský |
| Substitutes present for the final vote | Hugues Bayet, Anna Maria Corazza Bildt, Marek Jurek, Jean Lambert, Jeroen Lenaers, Andrejs Mamikins, Angelika Mlinar, Christine Revault D'Allonnes Bonnefoy, Barbara Spinelli, Kazimierz Michał Ujazdowski, Axel Voss |
| Substitutes under Rule 200(2) present for the final vote | Georges Bach, Norbert Lins, Georg Mayer, Georgi Pirinski, Viviane Reding, Mylène Troszczynski, Harald Vilimsky |

FINAL VOTE BY ROLL CALL IN COMMITTEE RESPONSIBLE

| 41 | + |
|-----------|---|
| ALDE | Nathalie Griesbeck, Filiz Hyusmenova, Sophia in 't Veld, Angelika Mlinar, Cecilia Wikström, |
| ECR | Monica Macovei |
| EFDD | Laura Ferrara |
| GUE/NGL | Martina Anderson, Cornelia Ernst, Barbara Spinelli, Marie-Christine Vergiat |
| PPE | Georges Bach, Michał Boni, Anna Maria Corazza Bildt, Frank Engel, Barbara Kudrycka, Jeroen Lenaers, Norbert Lins, Alessandra Mussolini, Viviane Reding, Csaba Sógor, Traian Ungureanu, Axel Voss, Tomáš Zdechovský |
| S&D | Hugues Bayet, Caterina Chinnici, Tanja Fajon, Cécile Kashetu Kyenge, Marju Lauristin, Arne Lietz, Juan Fernando López Aguilar, Andrejs Mamikins, Claude Moraes, Georgi Pirinski, Christine Revault D'Allonnes Bonnefoy, Birgit Sippel, Josef Weidenholzer |
| Verts/ALE | Eva Joly, Jean Lambert, Judith Sargentini, Bodil Valero |

| 11 | - |
|------|---|
| ECR | Jussi Halla-aho, Marek Jurek, Branislav Škripek, Helga Stevens, Kazimierz Michał Ujazdowski |
| EFDD | Beatrix von Storch, Kristina Winberg |
| ENF | Georg Mayer, Mylène Troszczynski, Harald Vilimsky |
| PPE | Kinga Gál |

| 2 | 0 |
|-----|--|
| S&D | Sylvia-Yvonne Kaufmann, Péter Niedermüller |

Key to symbols:

+ : in favour

- : against

0 : abstention