

8.3.2018

A8-0048/91

Amendment 91

Xabier Benito Ziluaga, Luke Ming Flanagan, Tania González Peñas, Sofia Sakorafa, Lola Sánchez Caldentey, Estefanía Torres Martínez, Miguel Urbán Crespo, Marie-Pierre Vieu

on behalf of the GUE/NGL Group

Report

A8-0048/2018

Jan Olbrycht, Isabelle Thomas

The next MFF: Preparing the Parliament's position on the MFF post-2020
2017/2052(INI)

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 22 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

22a. The 5+5 model would ensure the taking into account of Parliament's political concerns in each parliamentary term. It could also provide more flexibility for change in the managing of the programmes, taking account of economic cycles and giving the opportunity to decide on an expansive MFF in recession periods and a more moderate MFF in periods of economic boom, without losing significant stability for long-term programmes, which could continue over the 10-year period if it is considered appropriate;

Or. en

8.3.2018

A8-0048/92

Amendment 92

Younous Omarjee, Martina Michels, Kostas Chrysogonos, Luke Ming Flanagan, Tania González Peñas, Dimitrios Papadimoulis, Sofia Sakorafa, Helmut Scholz
on behalf of the GUE/NGL Group

Report

A8-0048/2018

Jan Olbrycht, Isabelle Thomas

The next MFF: Preparing the Parliament's position on the MFF post-2020
2017/2052(INI)

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 26

Motion for a resolution

26. Underlines that, during the current MFF, the budgetary authority approved a substantial mobilisation of the flexibility mechanisms and special instruments included in the MFF Regulation, in order to secure the additional appropriations needed to respond to serious crises or finance new political priorities;

Amendment

26. Underlines that, during the current MFF, the budgetary authority approved a substantial mobilisation of the flexibility mechanisms and special instruments included in the MFF Regulation, in order to secure the additional appropriations needed to respond to serious crises or finance new political priorities; ***regrets that a number of redeployments have been made by drawing on the budget lines of other programmes, notably in the case of cohesion policy;***

Or. en

Amendment 93

Younous Omarjee, Xabier Benito Ziluaga, Kostas Chrysogonos, Luke Ming Flanagan, Tania González Peñas, Dimitrios Papadimoulis, Sofia Sakorafa, Lola Sánchez Caldentey, Estefanía Torres Martínez, Miguel Urbán Crespo
on behalf of the GUE/NGL Group

Report**A8-0048/2018****Jan Olbrycht, Isabelle Thomas**

The next MFF: Preparing the Parliament's position on the MFF post-2020
2017/2052(INI)

Motion for a resolution**Paragraph 27***Motion for a resolution**Amendment*

27. Considers, therefore, that the flexibility provisions under the current MFF have *worked well and have* provided solutions in relation to the significant financing needed in *particular to confront the challenges of migration and refugees and to address the investment gap; recalls that Parliament was the originator of several of these provisions, which it strongly defended during the previous MFF negotiations;*

27. Considers, therefore, that the flexibility provisions under the current MFF have *not* provided solutions in relation to the significant *and important* financing needed *for the common good* in *Europe;*

Or. en

Amendment 94

Dimitrios Papadimoulis, Martina Michels, Kostas Chrysogonos, Javier Couso Permuy, Luke Ming Flanagan, Tania González Peñas, Kateřina Konečná, Paloma López Bermejo, Jiří Maštálka, Sofia Sakorafa, Helmut Scholz
on behalf of the GUE/NGL Group

Report**A8-0048/2018****Jan Olbrycht, Isabelle Thomas**

The next MFF: Preparing the Parliament's position on the MFF post-2020
2017/2052(INI)

Motion for a resolution**Paragraph 42***Motion for a resolution*

42. Considers that the use of the EGF, providing EU solidarity and support to workers losing their jobs as a result of major structural changes in world trade patterns arising from globalisation or as a result of the global economic and financial crisis, has not deployed its full potential and could be further improved and integrated within a long-term strategy, in order to effectively reach redundant workers and reintegrate them into the labour market, in all Member States; considers that the upcoming revision of the EGF should examine its scope and improve its coordination with other instruments; believes that a revised EGF should be endowed with at least an identical annual allocation under the new MFF;

Amendment

42. Considers that the use of the EGF, providing EU solidarity and support to workers losing their jobs as a result of major structural changes in world trade patterns arising from globalisation or as a result of the global economic and financial crisis, has not deployed its full potential and could be further improved and integrated within a long-term strategy, in order to effectively reach redundant workers and reintegrate them into the labour market, in all Member States, **by providing secure and sustainable employment**; considers that the upcoming revision of the EGF should examine its scope and improve its coordination with other instruments; believes that a revised EGF should be endowed with at least an identical annual allocation under the new MFF;

Or. en

Amendment 95

Miguel Viegas, João Ferreira, João Pimenta Lopes, Martina Michels, Javier Couso Permuy, Luke Ming Flanagan, Paloma López Bermejo
on behalf of the GUE/NGL Group

Report**A8-0048/2018****Jan Olbrycht, Isabelle Thomas**

The next MFF: Preparing the Parliament's position on the MFF post-2020
2017/2052(INI)

Motion for a resolution**Paragraph 50***Motion for a resolution**Amendment*

50. ***Acknowledges that achieving European added value should be one of the main principles guiding the EU institutions when deciding about the type of spending in the next MFF; points out, however, the existence of multiple interpretations of the concept and calls for a single, clear and easily understandable definition of the relevant criteria that should take territorial specificities into account and include, where possible, measurable performance indicators; warns against any attempt to use such a definition to call into question the relevance of EU policies and programmes on purely quantitative or short-term economic considerations;***

50. Points out the existence of multiple interpretations of the concept ***of European added value***; warns against any attempt to use such a definition to call into question the relevance of EU policies and programmes on purely quantitative or short-term economic considerations;

Or. en

8.3.2018

A8-0048/96

Amendment 96

Miguel Viegas, João Ferreira, João Pimenta Lopes, Javier Couso Permuy, Luke Ming Flanagan, Paloma López Bermejo

on behalf of the GUE/NGL Group

Report

A8-0048/2018

Jan Olbrycht, Isabelle Thomas

The next MFF: Preparing the Parliament's position on the MFF post-2020
2017/2052(INI)

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 52

Motion for a resolution

52. Considers that better spending, i.e. the efficient and non-discriminatory use of every single euro of the EU budget, **can** be **achieved not only by directing EU resources towards actions with the highest European added value and the greatest increase in the performance of the EU's policies and programmes**, based on an in-depth assessment of the current expenditure, **but** also by achieving greater synergies between the EU budget and national budgets, and by ensuring the tangible improvement of the spending architecture; supports the recommendations of the 2016 Annual Report of the European Court of Auditors for an efficient measurement framework of indicators, more streamlined and balanced reporting on performance, and an easier access to the assessment results;

Amendment

52. Considers that better spending, i.e. the efficient and non-discriminatory use of every single euro of the EU budget, **should** be based on an in-depth assessment of the current expenditure, **and should also be facilitated** by achieving greater synergies between the EU budget and national budgets and by ensuring the tangible improvement of the spending architecture; supports the recommendations of the 2016 Annual Report of the European Court of Auditors for an efficient measurement framework of indicators, more streamlined and balanced reporting on performance, and an easier access to the assessment results;

Or. en

8.3.2018

A8-0048/97

Amendment 97

Rina Ronja Kari, Kostas Chrysogonos, Javier Couso Permuy, Luke Ming Flanagan, Tania González Peñas, Paloma López Bermejo, Dimitrios Papadimoulis, Sofia Sakorafa, Helmut Scholz

on behalf of the GUE/NGL Group

Report

A8-0048/2018

Jan Olbrycht, Isabelle Thomas

The next MFF: Preparing the Parliament's position on the MFF post-2020
2017/2052(INI)

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 53 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

53a. Recalls that EU Structural Funds may not be used in a way that directly or indirectly supports the relocation of services or production to other Member States;

Or. en