

9.1.2019

A8-0475/66

Amendment 66

Anthea McIntyre

on behalf of the ECR Group

Report

Norbert Lins, Bart Staes

Union's authorisation procedure for pesticides
(2018/2153(INI))

A8-0475/2018

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 20

Motion for a resolution

20. Welcomes EFSA's ongoing project to model DNT effects, ***but considers this to be insufficient until*** there is a legal requirement ***for active substances and other pesticide components to be assessed for DNT effects as part of the authorisation process***; calls, ***therefore***, on the Commission to assess ***the*** options ***to ensure*** that active substances and other components in plant protection products are assessed for DNT effects, ***fully taking into account reliable animal-free human-focused mechanistic methods for DNT hazard assessment***;

Amendment

20. Welcomes EFSA's ongoing project to model DNT effects; ***takes note that*** there is ***already*** a legal requirement to ***conduct developmental studies and neurotoxicity studies pursuant to Commission Regulation (EU) No 283/2013***; ***stresses that, based on the findings of these studies, further testing of DNT could be triggered, governed by the OECD test guideline TG426***; calls on the Commission to assess options ***for ensuring*** that active substances and other components in plant protection products are assessed for DNT effects;

Or. en

9.1.2019

A8-0475/67

Amendment 67

Anthea McIntyre

on behalf of the ECR Group

Report

Norbert Lins, Bart Staes

Union's authorisation procedure for pesticides
(2018/2153(INI))

A8-0475/2018

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 25

Motion for a resolution

25. Calls on EFSA and the Commission to improve their *risk* communication in order to inform the public in an appropriate, understandable *and easily accessible* way; *considers that it is important to improve public knowledge about* hazard and risk and *acceptable and unacceptable* hazards and risks, *raise awareness of the level of compliance* with *MRL values across Europe* and *inform* users of possible risk mitigation measures;

Amendment

25. Calls on EFSA and the Commission to improve their communication in order to inform the public in an appropriate *and easily* understandable way *about the need for plant protection products in the production of safe, affordable food, alongside any proven impact on human health and the environment; emphasises that this should include an explanation of the difference between* hazard and risk, *acceptable risks* and *established safe uses, and should contextualise the* hazards and risks *of plant protection product use* with *other household chemicals and substances; recommends that this information be easily accessible* and that users *be informed* of possible risk mitigation measures;

Or. en

9.1.2019

A8-0475/68

Amendment 68

Anthea McIntyre

on behalf of the ECR Group

Report

Norbert Lins, Bart Staes

Union's authorisation procedure for pesticides
(2018/2153(INI))

A8-0475/2018

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 26

Motion for a resolution

26. Calls for full implementation of the 3Rs principle;

Amendment

26. Calls for full implementation of the 3Rs principle *in order to reduce the instances and effects of testing on animals, in line with the Union's policies regarding scientific testing;*

Or. en

9.1.2019

A8-0475/69

Amendment 69

Anthea McIntyre

on behalf of the ECR Group

Report

Norbert Lins, Bart Staes

Union's authorisation procedure for pesticides
(2018/2153(INI))

A8-0475/2018

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 33

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

33. *Calls on the Commission to propose amending the Regulation so as to empower it to adopt a work programme with regard to the designation of the RMS for applications for approvals, on the basis of criteria for an independent, objective and transparent assessment: expertise, resources, absence of conflict of interest, relevance for the product, technical capacity and ability to achieve scientifically robust and reliable outcomes within the given timeframe, together with a comprehensive peer review process and a stakeholder consultation, on lines similar to the system for re-approval of active substances;*

deleted

Or. en

9.1.2019

A8-0475/70

Amendment 70

Anthea McIntyre

on behalf of the ECR Group

Report

Norbert Lins, Bart Staes

Union's authorisation procedure for pesticides
(2018/2153(INI))

A8-0475/2018

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 34

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

34. *Calls on the Commission to allocate the evaluation of applications for renewal to a Member State other than that which was in charge of the previous evaluation(s), provided the necessary level of expertise and resources can be ensured;*

deleted

Or. en

9.1.2019

A8-0475/71

Amendment 71

Anthea McIntyre

on behalf of the ECR Group

Report

Norbert Lins, Bart Staes

Union's authorisation procedure for pesticides
(2018/2153(INI))

A8-0475/2018

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 35

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

35. *Calls on the Commission to ensure that only Member States that can guarantee a high quality of assessment and that have effective procedures for assessing conflicts of interest become RMSs;* *deleted*

Or. en

9.1.2019

A8-0475/72

Amendment 72

Anthea McIntyre

on behalf of the ECR Group

Report

Norbert Lins, Bart Staes

Union's authorisation procedure for pesticides
(2018/2153(INI))

A8-0475/2018

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 37

Motion for a resolution

37. Further calls on the Member States to responsibly carry out their auditing of GLP-certified laboratories, ***and calls on the Commission to create a Union verification system for Member State audits led by itself;***

Amendment

37. Further calls on the Member States to responsibly carry out their auditing of GLP-certified laboratories;

Or. en

9.1.2019

A8-0475/73

Amendment 73

Anthea McIntyre

on behalf of the ECR Group

Report

Norbert Lins, Bart Staes

Union's authorisation procedure for pesticides
(2018/2153(INI))

A8-0475/2018

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 38

Motion for a resolution

38. *Takes note of the Commission's proposal on the transparency and sustainability of the EU risk assessment in the food chain and thus welcomes the opportunity to improve the current situation in this respect;*

Amendment

38. *Stresses the importance of a science-based approach in authorising active substances, transparency and effective risk communication in order to ensure the acceptability of risk management decisions and consumer trust; notes that improving transparency and risk communication are two key objectives of the recent Commission proposal to reform the General Food Law (Regulation (EC) No 178/2002), and welcomes the opportunity to strengthen trust in the approval system;*

Or. en

9.1.2019

A8-0475/74

Amendment 74

Anthea McIntyre

on behalf of the ECR Group

Report

Norbert Lins, Bart Staes

Union's authorisation procedure for pesticides
(2018/2153(INI))

A8-0475/2018

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 38 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

38a. Expresses concern at Parliament's first reading position on the reform of the General Food Law (Regulation (EC) No 178/2002), which obliges companies to publish safety data at the beginning of the risk assessment procedure; considers that allowing third parties to identify which information is lacking from an application could undermine EFSA's ability to conduct its work independently; recalls that EFSA already allows interested parties to comment on studies commissioned under the consultation following publication of its draft scientific opinion;

Or. en

9.1.2019

A8-0475/75

Amendment 75

Anthea McIntyre

on behalf of the ECR Group

Report

Norbert Lins, Bart Staes

Union's authorisation procedure for pesticides
(2018/2153(INI))

A8-0475/2018

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 39

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

39. *Considers it important that applicants should be required to register all regulatory studies that will be performed in a public register, and allow a comment period during which stakeholders are able to provide existing data to ensure all relevant information is taken into account; stresses that the provisions regarding the public register also include registration by the certified laboratory of the start and end dates of the study, and the publication of the control data, to be included in a register of historical controls, including the methodology of tests that will be performed, while respecting the protection of personal data; considers that only regulatory studies that have been registered may be submitted with an application;*

deleted

Or. en