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Amendment 76
Anthea McIntyre
on behalf of the ECR Group

Report
Norbert Lins, Bart Staes
Union’s authorisation procedure for pesticides
(2018/2153(INI))

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 41

Motion for a resolution

41. Calls for public access to be granted to the above studies, including all supporting data and information relating to applications for authorisation, in a machine-readable format and in their entirety in order to ensure transparency, thus allowing for timely independent scrutiny while protecting personal data and ensuring that those who requested the studies can only use them for non-commercial purposes, so as to safeguard the relevant intellectual property rights;

Amendment

41. Calls for public access to be granted to the above studies, including all supporting data and information relating to applications for authorisation, in a machine-readable format and in their entirety in order to ensure transparency, in full compliance with intellectual property rights and at an appropriate stage of the approval process;

Or. en
Amendment 77
Anthea McIntyre
on behalf of the ECR Group

Report
Norbert Lins, Bart Staes
Union’s authorisation procedure for pesticides
(2018/2153(INI))

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 42

Motion for a resolution
Amendment

42. Calls on the Commission to assess whether it would be appropriate to no longer require the applicant to provide scientific peer-reviewed open literature on the active substance and related formulations, instead assigning this task to the RMS, to be assisted by EFSA;

Or. en
Amendment 78
Anthea McIntyre
on behalf of the ECR Group

Report
Norbert Lins, Bart Staes
Union’s authorisation procedure for pesticides (2018/2153(INI))

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 43

Motion for a resolution

43. Stresses that scientific peer-reviewed open literature, where available, should be given the equivalent weight in the assessment as GLP-based studies; considers that they are both valid as contributions to the assessment and should be weighted according to the relative quality of the studies and their relevance to the application under consideration;

Amendment

43. Stresses that scientific peer-reviewed open literature and GLP-based studies are both valid as contributions to the assessment and should be weighted according to the relative quality of the studies and their relevance to the application under consideration; considers it irrelevant who conducts and funds studies as long as those studies are designed, carried out and reported on in accordance with scientific standards and evaluated based on their scientific merit;

Or. en
Amendment 79
Anthea McIntyre
on behalf of the ECR Group

Report
Norbert Lins, Bart Staes
Union’s authorisation procedure for pesticides
(2018/2153(INI))

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 44

Motion for a resolution

44. Calls on the Commission to assess whether it would be appropriate to no longer require the applicant to assess the data to be provided as part of the application, instead assigning this task to the RMS;

Amendment

deleted

Or. en
Amendment 80
Anthea McIntyre
on behalf of the ECR Group

Report
Norbert Lins, Bart Staes
Union’s authorisation procedure for pesticides
(2018/2153(INI))

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 45

Motion for a resolution

45. Calls for an independent reassessment of the current rules for the literature review so as to ensure that all relevant studies are considered;

Amendment

deleted

Or. en
Amendment 81
Anthea McIntyre
on behalf of the ECR Group

Report
Norbert Lins, Bart Staes
Union’s authorisation procedure for pesticides
(2018/2153(INI))

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 54

Motion for a resolution

54. Calls on the Commission to set deleted
maximum residue levels for soils and
surface waters using, inter alia, the data
collected through post-market
environmental monitoring;

Or. en
Amendment 82
Anthea McIntyre
on behalf of the ECR Group

Report
Norbert Lins, Bart Staes
Union’s authorisation procedure for pesticides
(2018/2153(INI))

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 58

Motion for a resolution

58. Calls on EFSA to regularly update its guidance documents in line with the most recent developments in all relevant fields, with a view to assessing the short- and long-term effects of residue levels of active substances, formulations and mixtures in surface waters, soil, wind and dust:

Amendment

58. Calls on EFSA to regularly update its guidance documents in line with the most recent developments in all relevant fields;

Or. en
9.1.2019

Amendment 83
Anthea McIntyre
on behalf of the ECR Group

Report
Norbert Lins, Bart Staes
Union’s authorisation procedure for pesticides
(2018/2153(INI))

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 60

60. Calls on the Commission and the Member States, in the Standing Committee on Plants, Animals, Food and Feed (PAFF Committee), to adopt without delay any pending guidance, including the updated bee guidance used by EFSA in its recent review of three neonicotinoids;

Amendment

60. Calls on the Commission and the Member States, in the Standing Committee on Plants, Animals, Food and Feed (PAFF Committee), to adopt without delay any pending guidance;

Or. en
Amendment 84
Anthea McIntyre
on behalf of the ECR Group

Report
Norbert Lins, Bart Staes
Union’s authorisation procedure for pesticides (2018/2153(INI))

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 61

61. Calls on EFSA to further update the bee guidance independently of the adoption of the pending guidance to take into account other pollinator species as well as mixture effects and technical feasibility;

Amendment

61. Calls on EFSA to further update the bee guidance to take into account other pollinator species as well as mixture effects and technical feasibility;

Or. en
Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 63

63. Calls on EFSA, the Commission and the Member States to apply an extra safety factor when calculating the ‘safe’ doses of exposure, with a view to addressing potential mixture toxicity in cases of high remaining uncertainty which could not be decreased by additional tests of mixtures;