Amendment 103
Philippe Loiseau, Georg Mayer
on behalf of the ENF Group

Report
Norbert Lins, Bart Staes
Union’s authorisation procedure for pesticides
(2018/2153(INI))

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1

Motion for a resolution

1. Considers that, although the EU has one of the most stringent systems in the world, both the Regulation as such and its implementation need to be improved for it to achieve its purpose;

Amendment

1. Considers that, although the EU has one of the most stringent systems in the world, both the Regulation as such and its implementation need to be improved for it to achieve its purpose; concludes that the level of consumer and farmer protection claimed by the EU is far from satisfactory and that the authorisation procedure for pesticides must be thoroughly reformed in order to be based primarily at Member State level; proposes that the future authorisation procedure be amended, as a minimum, as follows:

(a) the European scientific evaluation must cover the PPPs (active substance, adjuvants and co-formulants) and no longer only the active substance, on the basis of studies commissioned by the evaluation committee and no longer provided by the applicant (with a funding method to be determined);

(b) the evaluation should be carried out by experts appointed by the Member States, whose possible conflicts of interest should be managed in accordance with the model applied by IARC;

(c) EFSA’s role should be to coordinate the work of national experts and to be in charge of the technical secretariat;

(d) Member States must be able to refuse
the use on their territory of a product authorised at EU level, as well as the circulation, and in particular the import, of food treated with plant protection products whose use they have prohibited;

(e) a rigorous pesticidovigilance system should be set up to trigger an alert, if necessary, once a product has been placed on the market, together with a monitoring network involving a wide array of stakeholders, including farmers;

Or. en
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Amendment 104
Philippe Loiseau, Georg Mayer
on behalf of the ENF Group

Report A8-0475/2018
Norbert Lins, Bart Staes
Union’s authorisation procedure for pesticides
(2018/2153(INI))

Motion for a resolution Paragraph 1a (new)

Motion for a resolution Amendment

1a. Calls for the next common agricultural policy (CAP) to take account of the necessary transition to an agricultural system that is less dependent on PPPs, by implementing the necessary measures to support farmers, in particular with regard to the financial implications of this transition, and to support innovative practices and products;

Or. en
Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1 b (new)

Amendment 105
Philippe Loiseau, Georg Mayer
on behalf of the ENF Group

Report
Norbert Lins, Bart Staes
Union’s authorisation procedure for pesticides
(2018/2153(INI))

Motion for a resolution
Amendment

1b. Considers that the strictest authorisation procedures in Europe will be ineffective or even counterproductive if European producers are not protected on their domestic markets against unfair competition, in particular against the import of products treated with pesticides banned in Europe; calls, therefore, for the end of negotiations and the revocation of free trade agreements, at least in their agricultural aspects; finally, calls for the quarterly publication of the results of customs controls on imports;

Or. en
Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1c (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

1c. Notes that EFSA’s management has failed in its mission and calls for the immediate resignation of the agency’s director and the head of its pesticide unit;
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