Amendment 107

Piernicola Pedicini, Rosa D’Amato, Ignazio Corrao, Rolandas Paksas, Eleonora Evi
on behalf of the EFDD Group

Report

Norbert Lins, Bart Staes
Union’s authorisation procedure for pesticides
(2018/2153(INI))

Motion for a resolution

Recital O

Motion for a resolution

O. whereas the widespread use, and prophylactic use when inappropriate, of plant protection products is of concern;

Amendment

O. whereas the widespread prophylactic use of synthetic plant protection products is of concern, and violates the ‘last resort’ principle of integrated pest management (IPM);

Or. en
Amendment 108
Piernicola Pedicini, Rosa D’Amato, Ignazio Corrao, Rolandas Paksas, Eleonora Evi
on behalf of the EFDD Group

Report
Norbert Lins, Bart Staes
Union’s authorisation procedure for pesticides
(2018/2153(INI))

Motion for a resolution
Recital BQ a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

BQa. whereas five active substances currently approved under Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 are candidates for substitution with endocrine disrupting properties, including the neonicotinoid thiacloprid, which is not subject to any restrictions at EU level;

Or. en
Amendment 109
Piernicola Pedicini, Rosa D’Amato, Ignazio Corrao, Rolandas Paksas, Eleonora Evi
on behalf of the EFDD Group

Report
Norbert Lins, Bart Staes
Union’s authorisation procedure for pesticides
(2018/2153(INI))

Motion for a resolution
Recital BQ b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment
BQb. whereas the repetitive extension of the approval periods for several active substances owing to delays in the assessments ‘beyond the control of the applicants’ seems to have become a standard procedure; whereas this is evident in the case of chlorpyrifos, whose approval period has been extended three times in the past five years despite its proven neurodevelopmental toxicity;

Or. en
Amendment 110
Piernicola Pedicini, Rosa D’Amato, Ignazio Corrao, Rolandas Paksas, Eleonora Eví
on behalf of the EFDD Group

Report
Norbert Lins, Bart Staes
Union’s authorisation procedure for pesticides
(2018/2153(INI))

Motion for a resolution
Recital CI

Motion for a resolution

CI. whereas the ban on all outdoor uses of three neonicotinoids (imidacloprid, clothianidin and thiamethoxam) is welcome; whereas these bans should not be undermined by undue Article 53 derogations;

Amendment

CI. whereas the ban on all outdoor uses of three neonicotinoids (imidacloprid, clothianidin and thiamethoxam) is welcome; whereas these bans should not be undermined by undue Article 53 derogations; whereas the neonicotinoid thiacloprid is not covered by the EU moratorium;

Or. en
Amendment 111
Piernicola Pedicini, Rosa D’Amato, Ignazio Corrao, Rolandas Paksas, Eleonora Evi
on behalf of the EFDD Group

Report
Norbert Lins, Bart Staes
Union’s authorisation procedure for pesticides
(2018/2153(INI))

Motion for a resolution
Recital CK

Motion for a resolution

CK. whereas the use and identified cases of emergency authorisations granted under Article 53(2) of the Regulation are increasing within the EU; whereas some Member States use Article 53 significantly more than others; whereas some Member States use Article 53 derogations to protect national production against unfair competition from products from other Member States; whereas the recent EFSA evaluation of the emergency authorisations of three neonicotinoids concluded that in some cases those authorisations were in line with the provisions set out in the legislation, while in other cases those conditions were not met;

Amendment

CK. whereas the use and identified cases of emergency authorisations granted under Article 53(2) of the Regulation are increasing within the EU; whereas some Member States use Article 53 derogations to protect national production against unfair competition from products from other Member States where these authorisations are routinely granted; whereas the recent EFSA evaluation of the emergency authorisations of three neonicotinoids concluded that in some cases those authorisations were in line with the provisions set out in the legislation, while in other cases those conditions were not met;

Or. en
Amendment 112
Piernicola Pedicini, Rosa D’Amato, Ignazio Corrao, Rolandas Paksas, Eleonora Evi
on behalf of the EFDD Group

Report
Norbert Lins, Bart Staes
Union’s authorisation procedure for pesticides
(2018/2153(INI))

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9

Motion for a resolution
Amendment

9. Considers that, within the EU system, greater attention should be paid to the widespread use, and prophylactic use when inappropriate, of plant protection products and the effects thereof on human health, animal health and the environment, as well as to the build-up of resistance in the target organism;

9. Considers that the widespread prophylactic use of synthetic plant protection products should be avoided owing to the effects thereof on human health, animal health and the environment, as well as to the build-up of resistance in the target organism;

Or. en
Amendment 113
Piernicola Pedicini, Rosa D’Amato, Ignazio Corrao, Rolandas Paksas, Eleonora Evi
on behalf of the EFDD Group

Report
Norbert Lins, Bart Staes
Union’s authorisation procedure for pesticides
(2018/2153(INI))

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 80

Motion for a resolution

80. Calls on the Commission to strictly limit the use of the confirmatory data procedure to its purpose as laid down in Article 6(f) of the Regulation, namely where new requirements are established during the evaluation process or as a result of new scientific and technical knowledge; considers that the protection of public health and the environment must take the highest priority, while at the same time applicants must be provided with reliable timelines for authorisation; stresses that complete dossiers are essential for active substance approvals; regrets that the derogation by confirmatory data procedure has led to at least two active substances that would otherwise have been restricted remaining on the market for an extended period of time;

Amendment

80. Calls on the Commission to strictly limit the use of the confirmatory data procedure to its purpose as laid down in Article 6(f) of the Regulation, namely where new requirements are established during the evaluation process or as a result of new scientific and technical knowledge; considers that the protection of public health and the environment must take the highest priority, while at the same time applicants must be provided with reliable timelines for authorisation; stresses that complete dossiers are essential for active substance approvals, and that substances for which insufficient data are available to draw conclusions on the risks should not be approved, as was the case for chloropicrin in 2011; regrets that the derogation by confirmatory data procedure has led to at least two active substances that would otherwise have been restricted remaining on the market for an extended period of time;

Or. en
Amendment 114
Piernicola Pedicini, Rosa D’Amato, Ignazio Corrao, Rolandas Paksas, Eleonora Evi
on behalf of the EFDD Group

Report
Norbert Lins, Bart Staes
Union’s authorisation procedure for pesticides
(2018/2153(INI))

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 103

103. Calls on all Member States to publish the completed application forms they receive requesting an emergency authorisation under Article 53, whether the authorisation is granted or refused; 103. Calls on all Member States to publish the completed application forms they receive requesting an emergency authorisation under Article 53, whether the authorisation is granted or refused; further calls on Member States to monitor the use of these products and publish exact data on the dose and frequency employed and the area treated;

Or. en