



7.9.2018

AMENDMENTS

1 - 35

Draft opinion
Paulo Rangel
(PE626.722v01-00)

Annual report on the implementation of the Common Security and Defence
Policy
(2018/2099(INI))

Amendment 1
Jo Leinen

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. Welcomes the creation of the EII, the establishment of PESCO and the reinforcement of the EDF as important steps towards strengthening the Union's security and defence, in close cooperation and full complementarity with NATO;

Amendment

1. Welcomes the creation of the EII, the establishment of PESCO and the reinforcement of the EDF as important steps towards strengthening the Union's security and defence, in close cooperation and full complementarity with NATO;
points out that the EII is an initiative outside of the EU's legal framework and must not impede the creation of the EU Defence Union;

Or. en

Amendment 2
Martina Anderson

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. ***Welcomes*** the creation of the EII, the establishment of PESCO and the reinforcement of the EDF as ***important*** steps towards ***strengthening the Union's security and defence, in close*** cooperation and ***full*** complementarity with NATO;

Amendment

1. ***Notes with alarmed concern*** the creation of the EII, the establishment of PESCO and the reinforcement of the EDF as ***dangerous*** steps towards ***consolidating the militarisation of the European Union, and that any*** cooperation and complementarity with NATO ***at a Union level should be a matter for individual Member States;***

Or. en

Amendment 3
Paulo Rangel

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. Welcomes the creation of the *EII*, the establishment of PESCO *and the reinforcement of the EDF* as important steps towards strengthening the Union's security and defence, *in close cooperation and full complementarity with NATO*;

Amendment

1. Welcomes the creation of the *European Intervention Initiative, as a rapid response to crisis that could threaten the European security, as well as* the establishment of PESCO, as important *and compatible* steps towards strengthening the Union's security and defence;

Or. en

Amendment 4
Morten Messerschmidt

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. *Welcomes* the creation of the EII, the establishment of PESCO and the reinforcement of the EDF *as important steps towards strengthening* the Union's security and defence, in close cooperation and full complementarity with NATO;

Amendment

1. *Notes* the creation of the EII, the establishment of PESCO and the reinforcement of the EDF *and demands that* the Union's security and defence *policies must be conducted* in close cooperation and full complementarity with NATO;

Or. en

Amendment 5
Pascal Durand
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. *Welcomes* the creation of the EII,

PE627.633v01-00

Amendment

1. *Notes* the creation of the EII *and*

4/18

AM\1162217EN.docx

the establishment of PESCO *and the reinforcement of the EDF* as important steps towards strengthening the Union's security and defence, in close cooperation and full complementarity with NATO;

welcomes the establishment of PESCO as important steps towards strengthening the Union's security and defence, in close cooperation and full complementarity with NATO;

Or. en

Amendment 6
Paulo Rangel

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

1 a. Underlines that these steps imply close cooperation and full complementarity with NATO, in which the Union's position should be reinforced; believes, moreover, that neutrality of Member States towards NATO shall necessarily have consequences at the level of their budgetary obligations within the European Defence Union;

Or. en

Amendment 7
Paulo Rangel

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

1 b. Welcomes the highlight of the European Defence Union in the MFF post-2020, as well as the increase in the European Defence Agency's budget and the reinforcement of the European Defence Fund; urges the Member States to increase their defence spending;

Amendment 8
Jo Leinen

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Notes that several Member States have recently called for an EU Security Council, an EU Battle Group and a European intelligence unit acting as a database; meanwhile, endorses the inauguration of a permanent operational headquarter and the increase of the EDA's budget;

Amendment

2. Notes that several Member States have recently called for an EU Security Council, an EU Battle Group and a European intelligence unit acting as a database; ***deems it necessary that the concept of an EU Security Council is further defined, before its added value can be fully assessed; points out that fully operational and deployable EU Battle Groups exist since 2007, but have never been deployed due to issues relating to political will, usability, and financial solidarity; is of the opinion that the unanimity requirement in the Council for the deployment of EU Battle Groups is in contradiction to their purpose as a military rapid reaction capacity to respond to emerging crises and conflicts around the world; stresses the need to further enhance the autonomous intelligence capabilities of the EU, by upgrading the European Union Intelligence and Situation Centre (EU INTCEN) and further enhancing the information sharing between the intelligence services of the Member States, while taking full account of EU data protection requirements;*** meanwhile, endorses the inauguration of a permanent operational headquarter and the increase of the EDA's budget;

Amendment 9
Fabio Massimo Castaldo

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Notes that several Member States have recently called for an EU Security Council, an EU Battle Group and *a* European intelligence unit *acting as a database*; meanwhile, endorses the inauguration of a permanent operational *headquarter and the increase of the EDA's budget*;

Amendment

2. Notes that several Member States have recently called for an EU Security Council, an EU Battle Group and *an efficient* European intelligence unit, *which should develop a common strategy for fighting organised crime and terrorism also by using existing databases*; meanwhile, endorses the inauguration of a permanent operational *headquarters*;

Or. it

Amendment 10
Paulo Rangel

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Notes that several Member States have recently called for an EU Security Council, *an* EU Battle Group and a European intelligence unit acting as a database; meanwhile, *endorses* the inauguration of a permanent operational headquarter *and the increase of the EDA's budget*;

Amendment

2. Notes that several Member States have recently called for an EU Security Council, *for the deployment and development of the* EU Battle Group and *for* a European intelligence unit, acting as a database, *ensuring automatic data accessibility and interoperability among intelligence services and with the police*; meanwhile, *welcomes* the inauguration of a permanent operational headquarter;

Or. en

Amendment 11
Martina Anderson

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. *Notes* that several Member States have recently called for an EU Security Council, an EU Battle Group and a European intelligence unit acting as a database; *meanwhile, endorses the inauguration of a permanent operational headquarter and the increase of the EDA's budget;*

Amendment

2. ***Regrets*** that several Member States have recently called for an EU Security Council, an EU Battle Group and a European intelligence unit acting as a database;

Or. en

Amendment 12
Pascal Durand

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Notes that several Member States have recently called for an EU Security Council, an EU Battle Group and a European intelligence unit ***acting as a database***; meanwhile, endorses the inauguration of a permanent operational headquarter ***and the increase of the EDA's budget***;

Amendment

2. Notes that several Member States have recently called for an EU Security Council, an EU Battle Group and a European intelligence unit ***allowing for intelligence cooperation***; meanwhile, endorses the inauguration of a permanent operational headquarter

Or. en

Amendment 13
Morten Messerschmidt

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. ***Notes that*** several Member States

PE627.633v01-00

Amendment

2. ***Rejects the call by*** several

8/18

AM\1162217EN.docx

have recently called for an EU Security Council, an EU Battle Group and a European intelligence unit acting as a database; *meanwhile, endorses* the inauguration of a permanent operational headquarter and the increase of the EDA's budget;

Member States for an EU Security Council, an EU Battle Group and a European intelligence unit acting as a database *and does not support* the inauguration of a permanent operational headquarter and the increase of the EDA's budget;

Or. en

Amendment 14
Jo Leinen

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

3. *Recommends* the establishment of a *permanent Council of Defence* Ministers, chaired by the VP/HR; underlines the need to strengthen the EP's role in this field, namely through a fully-fledged Committee on Security and Defence, complemented by joint inter-parliamentary meetings between representatives from national parliaments and *MEP*;

Amendment

3. *Reiterates its call for* the establishment of a defence ministers *meeting format within the Council*, chaired by the VP/HR; underlines the need to strengthen the EP's role in this field, namely through a fully-fledged Committee on Security and Defence, complemented by joint inter-parliamentary meetings between representatives from national parliaments and *MEPs*; *believes that clear guidelines should exist on EU level for the export of arms and military equipment developed with the support of the EU budget; considers the export of military equipment as an integral part of the EU's security policy*;

Or. en

Amendment 15
Martina Anderson

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

3. ***Recommends the establishment of a permanent Council of Defence Ministers, chaired by the VP/HR; underlines the need to strengthen the EP's role in this field, namely through a fully-fledged Committee on Security and Defence, complemented by joint inter-parliamentary meetings between representatives from national parliaments and MEP;***

Amendment

3. ***Condemns any move towards establishing*** a permanent Council of Defence Ministers,

Or. en

Amendment 16
Morten Messerschmidt

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

3. ***Recommends the establishment of a permanent Council of Defence Ministers, chaired by the VP/HR; underlines the need to strengthen the EP's role in this field, namely through a fully-fledged Committee on Security and Defence, complemented by joint inter-parliamentary meetings between representatives from national parliaments and MEP;***

Amendment

3. ***3. Rejects calls for*** joint inter-parliamentary meetings between representatives from national parliaments and MEP ***in the field of security and defence;***

Or. en

Amendment 17
Paulo Rangel

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

Amendment

3. Recommends the establishment of a permanent Council of Defence Ministers, chaired by the VP/HR; underlines the need to strengthen the EP's role in this field, namely through a fully-fledged Committee on Security and Defence, complemented by joint inter-parliamentary meetings between representatives from national parliaments and MEP;

3. Recommends the establishment of a permanent Council of Defence Ministers, chaired by the VP/HR; *recognizes that further European integration should also mean more democratic scrutiny through parliamentary control; hence*, underlines the need to strengthen the EP's role in this field, namely through a fully-fledged Committee on Security and Defence, complemented by joint inter-parliamentary meetings between representatives from national parliaments and MEP;

Or. en

Amendment 18
Martina Anderson

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

Amendment

4. Stresses that any future Convention or Intergovernmental Conference should consider establishing a European force with the capability of intervening in conflicts and peacekeeping missions;

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 19
Morten Messerschmidt

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

Amendment

4. Stresses that any future Convention or Intergovernmental Conference should consider establishing a European force with the capability of

deleted

intervening in conflicts and peacekeeping missions;

Or. en

Amendment 20

Pascal Durand

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion

Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Stresses that any future Convention or Intergovernmental Conference should consider *establishing a European force* with the capability of intervening in conflicts and peacekeeping missions;

Amendment

4. Stresses that any future Convention or Intergovernmental Conference should consider *transforming the current capabilities into permanently pooled national contingents forming multinational units of modest size, highly skilled and designed for specific tasks* with the capability of intervening in conflicts and peacekeeping missions *in line with tasks of Article 43 (1) (TEU)*;

Or. en

Amendment 21

Jo Leinen

Draft opinion

Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Stresses that any future Convention or Intergovernmental Conference should consider establishing a European force with the capability of *intervening in conflicts and peacekeeping missions*;

Amendment

4. Stresses that any future Convention or Intergovernmental Conference *preparing a change of the EU-Treaties* should consider establishing a European force with the capability of *engaging in peace-keeping, conflict prevention and strengthening international security in accordance with the principles of the United Nations*

Charter;

Or. en

Amendment 22
Fabio Massimo Castaldo

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Stresses that any future Convention or Intergovernmental Conference *should* consider establishing a European force with *the capability of intervening in conflicts and peacekeeping missions;*

Amendment

4. Stresses that any future Convention or Intergovernmental Conference *might* consider *the possibility of* establishing a European force with *an effective defence capability that can intervene in peacekeeping missions, in accordance with the Charter of the United Nations;*

Or. it

Amendment 23
Pascal Durand
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

5. *Highlights the importance of the EBCG, and reminds its request for the creation of a genuine EU Civil Protection Body, while pointing out that it would favour the ongoing development of a single defence market;*

Amendment

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 24
Martina Anderson

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

Amendment

5. *Highlights the importance of the EBCG, and reminds its request for the creation of a genuine EU Civil Protection Body, while pointing out that it would favour the ongoing development of a single defence market;*

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 25
Jo Leinen

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

Amendment

5. *Highlights the importance of the EBCG, and reminds its request for the creation of a genuine EU Civil Protection Body, while pointing out that it would favour the ongoing development of a single defence market;*

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 26
Morten Messerschmidt

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

Amendment

5. *Highlights the importance of the EBCG, and reminds its request for the creation of a genuine EU Civil Protection Body, while pointing out that it would favour the ongoing development of a*

deleted

single defence market;

Or. en

Amendment 27

Paulo Rangel

Draft opinion

Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

5. Highlights the importance of the ***EBCG***, and reminds its request for the creation of a genuine EU Civil Protection Body, ***while pointing out that it would*** favour the ongoing development of a single defence market;

Amendment

5. Highlights the importance of the ***European Border and Coast Guard***; ***congratulates the revision of the Decision 1313/2013/EU for a fully-fledged European Union Civil Protection Mechanism with own operational capacities***; reminds its request for the creation of a genuine EU Civil Protection Body ***and believes that the Treaties in force offer a good basis to do so; points out, in this regard, that both the internal and the external dimensions of the Union's CSDP*** favour the ongoing development of a single defence market, ***namely by taking full advantage of synergies, creating scale economies and therefore consolidating its fragmented defence industry and reducing excess capacities***;

Or. en

Amendment 28

Fabio Massimo Castaldo

Draft opinion

Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

5. ***Highlights the importance of the EBCG, and reminds*** its request for the

Amendment

5. ***Reiterates*** its request for the creation of a genuine EU Civil Protection

creation of a genuine EU Civil Protection Body, *while pointing out that it would favour the ongoing development of a single defence market;*

Body;

Or. it

Amendment 29
Martina Anderson

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6

Draft opinion

Amendment

6. *Notes that close cooperation between the Union and its closest allies, such as the UK and the USA, remains of the utmost importance.*

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 30
Jo Leinen

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6

Draft opinion

Amendment

6. Notes that close cooperation between the Union and its closest allies, such as the UK and the USA, remains of the utmost importance.

6. *Underlines that in an evolving global security environment the European Union must be capable to autonomously guarantee the security of its citizens;* notes, *however*, that close cooperation between the *European* Union and its closest allies, such as the UK and the USA, remains of the utmost importance;

Or. en

Amendment 31

Paulo Rangel

**Draft opinion
Paragraph 6**

Draft opinion

6. Notes that close cooperation between the Union and its closest allies, such as the UK and the USA, remains of the utmost importance.

Amendment

6. Notes that close cooperation between the Union and its closest allies, such as the UK and the USA, remains of the utmost importance, ***while stressing that the relevant institutional consequences of that cooperation should not be disregarded.***

Or. en

**Amendment 32
Kazimierz Michał Ujazdowski**

**Draft opinion
Paragraph 6**

Draft opinion

6. Notes that close cooperation between the Union and its closest allies, such as the UK and the USA, remains of the utmost importance.

Amendment

6. Notes that close cooperation between the Union and its closest allies, such as the UK and the USA, ***and increasing spending on defence by the EU countries*** remains of the utmost importance.

Or. en

**Amendment 33
Morten Messerschmidt**

**Draft opinion
Paragraph 6**

Draft opinion

6. ***Notes*** that close cooperation between the Union and its closest allies, such as the UK and the USA, remains of

Amendment

6. ***Insists*** that close cooperation between the Union and its closest allies, such as the UK and the USA, remains of

the utmost importance.

the utmost importance.

Or. en

Amendment 34
Morten Messerschmidt

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

6 a. Reminds that defence policy must remain a matter of national sovereignty, with NATO as the cornerstone of Europe's defence and security objectives.

Or. en

Amendment 35
Morten Messerschmidt

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

6 b. Welcomes the communique of the July 2018 NATO summit in Brussels and the commitment to ongoing cooperation between the Alliance and the European Union in addressing common security challenges;

Or. en