



EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT

2014 - 2019

Committee on Foreign Affairs

2014/2220(INI)

30.1.2015

AMENDMENTS

1 - 160

Draft report
Arnaud Danjean
(PE544.334v01-00)

on the implementation of the Common Security and Defence Policy (based on the Annual Report from the Council to the European Parliament on the Common Foreign and Security Policy)
(2014/2220(INI))

AM\1047490EN.doc

PE546.824v01-00

EN

United in diversity

EN

AM_Com_NonLegReport

Amendment 1
Lorenzo Cesa

Motion for a resolution
Citation 12

Motion for a resolution

– having regard to the EU maritime security strategy of 24 June 2014,

Amendment

– having regard to the EU maritime security strategy of 24 June 2014, ***including its Actions plan adopted on 18 November 2014,***

Or. en

Amendment 2
Ioan Mircea Paşcu

Motion for a resolution
Citation 12

Motion for a resolution

– having regard to the EU maritime security strategy of 24 June 2014,

Amendment

– having regard to the EU maritime security strategy of 24 June 2014 ***and the EU Maritime Security Strategy Action Plan adopted in December 2014,***

Or. en

Amendment 3
Tunne Kelam

Motion for a resolution
Citation 18 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

- having regard to its resolution of 22 November 2012 on Cyber Security and Defence,

Amendment

Or. en

Amendment 4
Neena Gill

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1

Motion for a resolution

1. Considers the European Union and neighbourhood security environment to be increasingly unstable and volatile; regards the war in Ukraine, the conflicts in Syria and Iraq, with the rise of the terrorist organisation ISIS, the Libyan crisis and the terrorist threat in the Sahel as direct threats to the Union's security; ***considers, too, that the US 'pivot to Asia' and the impact of the financial crisis on Member States' budgets and capabilities only highlight how necessary it is for the Union to shoulder more responsibility for its own security and defence;***

Amendment

1. Considers the European Union and neighbourhood security environment to be increasingly unstable and volatile; regards the war in Ukraine, the conflicts in Syria and Iraq, with the rise of the terrorist organisation ISIS, the Libyan crisis and the terrorist threat in the Sahel as direct threats to the Union's security; ***takes the view that increasing US and Russian involvement in Asia imposes the need for the EU to develop a more proactive security strategy towards the region; considers, too, that*** the impact of the financial crisis on Member States' budgets and capabilities only highlight how necessary it is for the Union to shoulder more responsibility for its own security and defence;

Or. en

Amendment 5
Fernando Maura Barandiarán, Javier Nart

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1

Motion for a resolution

1. Considers the European Union and neighbourhood security environment to be increasingly unstable and volatile; regards the war in Ukraine, the conflicts in Syria and Iraq, with the rise of the terrorist organisation ISIS, the Libyan crisis and the terrorist threat in the Sahel as direct threats to the Union's security; ***considers, too, that***

Amendment

1. Considers the European Union and neighbourhood security environment to be increasingly unstable and volatile; regards the war in Ukraine, the conflicts in Syria and Iraq, with the rise of the terrorist organisation ISIS, the Libyan crisis and the terrorist threat in the Sahel as direct threats to the Union's security; ***considers, too, that***

the US ‘pivot to Asia’ and the impact of the financial crisis on Member States’ budgets and capabilities only highlight how necessary it is for the Union to shoulder more responsibility for its own security and defence;

the US ‘pivot to Asia’ and the impact of the financial crisis on Member States’ budgets and capabilities only highlight how necessary it is for the Union to shoulder ***jointly and in a coordinated fashion*** more responsibility for its own security and defence;

Or. es

Amendment 6
Tonino Picula

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1

Motion for a resolution

1. Considers the European Union and neighbourhood security environment to be increasingly unstable and volatile; regards the war in Ukraine, the conflicts in Syria and Iraq, with the rise of the terrorist organisation ISIS, the Libyan crisis and the terrorist threat in the Sahel as direct threats to the Union’s security; considers, too, that the US ‘pivot to Asia’ and the impact of the financial crisis on Member States’ budgets and capabilities ***only*** highlight ***how necessary it is*** for the Union to shoulder more responsibility for its own security and defence;

Amendment

1. Considers the European Union and neighbourhood security environment to be increasingly unstable and volatile ***due to the great number of long standing and newly emerging security challenges***; regards the war in Ukraine, the conflicts in Syria and Iraq, with the rise of the terrorist organisation ISIS, the Libyan crisis and the terrorist threat in the Sahel as direct threats to the Union’s security; considers, too, that the US ‘pivot to Asia’ and the impact of the financial crisis on Member States’ budgets and capabilities highlight ***the importance*** for the Union to shoulder more responsibility for its own security and defence;

Or. en

Amendment 7
Lorenzo Cesa

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1

Motion for a resolution

1. Considers the European Union and neighbourhood security environment to be increasingly unstable and volatile; regards the war in Ukraine, the conflicts in Syria and Iraq, with the rise of the terrorist organisation ISIS, the Libyan crisis and the terrorist threat in the Sahel as direct threats to the Union's security; considers, too, that the US 'pivot to Asia' and the impact of the financial crisis on Member States' budgets and capabilities only highlight how necessary it is for the Union to shoulder more responsibility for its own security and defence;

Amendment

1. Considers the European Union and neighbourhood security environment to be increasingly unstable and volatile; regards the war in Ukraine, the conflicts in Syria and Iraq, with the rise of the terrorist organisation ISIS, the Libyan crisis and the terrorist threat in the Sahel **and in the Horn of Africa** as direct threats to the Union's security; considers, too, that the US 'pivot to Asia' and the impact of the financial crisis on Member States' budgets and capabilities only highlight how necessary it is for the Union to shoulder more responsibility for its own security and defence;

Or. en

Amendment 8
Ioan Mircea Paşcu

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1

Motion for a resolution

1. Considers **the European Union and neighbourhood security environment** to be increasingly unstable and volatile; regards the **war in** Ukraine, the conflicts in Syria and Iraq, with the rise of the terrorist organisation ISIS, the Libyan crisis and the terrorist threat in the Sahel as direct threats to the Union's security; considers, too, that the US 'pivot to **Asia**' and the impact of the financial crisis on Member States' budgets and capabilities only highlight how necessary it is for the Union to shoulder more responsibility for **its** own security and defence;

Amendment

1. Considers **the security environment of the European Union's eastern and southern neighbourhoods** to be **substantially aggravated and** increasingly unstable and volatile; regards the **conflict in eastern** Ukraine, the conflicts in Syria and Iraq, with the rise of the terrorist organisation ISIS, the Libyan crisis and the terrorist threat in the Sahel as direct threats to the Union's security; considers **that the internal and external challenges to European security are closely linked;** **considers**, too, that the US 'pivot to **Asia-Pacific**' and the impact of the financial crisis on Member States' **defence** budgets and capabilities only highlight how necessary it is for the Union **and the**

Member States to shoulder more responsibility for *their* own security and defence;

Or. en

Amendment 9
Mariya Gabriel

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1

Motion for a resolution

1. Considers the European Union and neighbourhood security environment to be increasingly unstable and volatile; regards the war in Ukraine, the conflicts in Syria and Iraq, with the rise of the terrorist organisation ISIS, the Libyan crisis and the terrorist threat in the Sahel as direct threats to the Union's security; considers, too, that the US 'pivot to Asia' and the impact of the financial crisis on Member States' budgets and capabilities only highlight how necessary it is for the Union to shoulder more responsibility for its own security and defence;

Amendment

1. Considers the European Union and neighbourhood security environment to be increasingly unstable and volatile; regards the war in Ukraine, the conflicts in Syria and Iraq, with the rise of the terrorist organisation ISIS, the Libyan crisis and the terrorist threat in the Sahel as direct threats to the Union's security; ***stresses that the EU's strategy for the Sahel should more clearly set priorities and renew joint actions between Europe, the Mediterranean and the continent of Africa***; considers, too, that the US 'pivot to Asia' and the impact of the financial crisis on Member States' budgets and capabilities only highlight how necessary it is for the Union to shoulder more responsibility for its own security and defence;

Or. fr

Amendment 10
Ana Gomes

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1

Motion for a resolution

1. Considers the European Union and

Amendment

1. Considers the European Union and

neighbourhood security environment to be increasingly unstable and volatile; regards the war in Ukraine, the conflicts in Syria and Iraq, with the rise of the terrorist organisation ISIS, the Libyan crisis and the terrorist threat in the Sahel as direct threats to the Union's security; considers, too, that the US 'pivot to Asia' and the impact of the financial crisis on Member States' budgets and capabilities only highlight how necessary it is for the Union to shoulder more responsibility for its own security and defence;

neighbourhood security environment to be increasingly unstable and volatile; regards the war in Ukraine, the conflicts in Syria and Iraq, with the rise of the terrorist organisation ISIS, the Libyan crisis and the terrorist threat in the Sahel as direct threats to the *Union's security*; **highlights that the European Union will only respond effectively to the new security challenges if it structures and its Member States work together in a common and truly coordinated effort in the context of the CFSP/CSDP**; considers, too, that the US 'pivot to Asia' and the impact of the financial crisis on Member States' budgets and capabilities only highlight how necessary it is for the Union to shoulder more responsibility for its own security and defence;

Or. en

Amendment 11 **Geoffrey Van Orden**

Motion for a resolution **Paragraph 1**

Motion for a resolution

1. Considers the European Union and neighbourhood security environment to be increasingly unstable and volatile; regards the war in Ukraine, the conflicts in Syria and Iraq, with the rise of the terrorist organisation ISIS, the Libyan crisis and the terrorist threat in the Sahel as direct threats to the Union's security; **considers, too, that the US 'pivot to Asia' and the impact of the financial crisis on Member States' budgets and capabilities only highlight how necessary it is for the Union to shoulder more responsibility for its own security and defence**;

Amendment

1. Considers the European Union and neighbourhood security environment to be increasingly unstable and volatile; regards the war in Ukraine, the conflicts in Syria and Iraq, with the rise of the terrorist organisation ISIS, the Libyan crisis and the terrorist threat in the Sahel as direct threats to the Union's security; **further considers that these multiple threats reinforce the fundamental importance of the Union's partnership with NATO and commitment to the transatlantic alliance**;

Or. en

Amendment 12
Davor Ivo Stier

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1

Motion for a resolution

1. Considers the European Union and neighbourhood security environment to be increasingly unstable and volatile; regards the war in Ukraine, the conflicts in Syria and Iraq, with the rise of the terrorist organisation ISIS, the Libyan crisis and the terrorist **threat in** the Sahel as direct threats to the Union's security; considers, too, that the US 'pivot to Asia' and the impact of the financial crisis on Member States' budgets and capabilities only highlight how necessary it is for the Union to shoulder more responsibility for its own security and defence;

Amendment

1. Considers the European Union and neighbourhood security environment to be increasingly unstable and volatile; regards the war in Ukraine, the conflicts in Syria and Iraq, with the rise of the terrorist organisation ISIS, the Libyan crisis and the terrorist **threats in Africa, in particularly in** the Sahel, as direct threats to the Union's security; considers, too, that the US 'pivot to Asia' and the impact of the financial crisis on Member States' budgets and capabilities only highlight how necessary it is for the Union to shoulder more responsibility for its own security and defence;

Or. en

Amendment 13
Ramona Nicole Mănescu

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1

Motion for a resolution

1. Considers the European Union and neighbourhood security environment to be increasingly unstable and volatile; regards the war in Ukraine, the conflicts in Syria and Iraq, with the rise of the terrorist organisation ISIS, the Libyan crisis and the terrorist threat in the Sahel as direct threats to the Union's security; considers, too, that the US 'pivot to Asia' and the impact of the financial crisis on Member States'

Amendment

1. Considers the European Union and neighbourhood security environment to be increasingly unstable and volatile; regards the war in Ukraine, the conflicts in Syria and Iraq, with the rise of the terrorist organisation ISIS, the Libyan crisis and the terrorist threat in the Sahel as direct **serious** threats to the Union's security; considers, too, that the US 'pivot to Asia' and the impact of the financial crisis on Member

budgets and capabilities only highlight how necessary it is for the Union to shoulder more responsibility for its own security and defence;

States' budgets and capabilities only highlight how necessary it is for the Union to shoulder more responsibility for its own security and defence; ***on the current international context, characterized by inter-groups and interreligious conflicts, especially in the Middle East area, and terrorist actions performed including inside European states territory and apparently motivated by religious reasons, we urgently need to constructively cooperate between the Member States and also with external partners against any target implied in terrorist actions;***

Or. en

Amendment 14
Marek Jurek

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

1a. Is deeply concerned over the escalating and calculated to intimidate Russia's actions against Member States of the European Union, which have adopted, inter alia, forms of large-scale military exercises carried out in the immediate vicinity of the European Union, which encompass also the use of nuclear weapons against some members of the European Union and NATO; is also deeply concerned with numerous Russian demonstrative military aircraft flights at the vicinity of airspace of many members of the European Union and NATO, which contribute to escalating tensions and threaten the security of civil air transport;

Or. en

Amendment 15
Jonás Fernández

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

1a. Stresses that global challenges and realities clearly show that the boundaries between external and internal security are becoming more and more blurred and that the CSDP must be addressed within the framework of a comprehensive security and defence policy; emphasises the need to establish long-term strategies and strengthen the EU's capacity to react immediately;

Or. es

Amendment 16
Marek Jurek

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

1b. Expresses its deep concern about maintaining and modernizing Russia's arsenal of tactical nuclear warheads, which is many times greater than the corresponding arsenal of NATO, the role of this factor in the country's military doctrine, as well as with signals of violations by Russia of the INF Treaty, which would pose a serious threat situation to Europe and other neighbours of Russia;

Or. en

Amendment 17
Fernando Maura Barandiarán, Javier Nart

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 2

Motion for a resolution

2. Considers that the current level of instability on the borders of the EU and in its immediate neighbourhood is unprecedented in the period since the late 1990s when the ESDP/CSDP was established; is concerned that the Union may not be able to be key player in addressing each of these threats and that it may too often be reduced to relying on initiatives by one or a few Member States, or on ad hoc alliances in which it has only a peripheral or reserve role to play;

Amendment

2. Considers that the current level of instability on the borders of the EU and in its immediate neighbourhood is unprecedented in the period since the late 1990s when the ESDP/CSDP was established; is concerned that the Union may not **jointly** be able to be **a** key player in addressing each of these threats and that it may too often be reduced to relying on initiatives by one or a few Member States, or on ad hoc alliances in which it has only a peripheral or reserve role to play;

Or. es

Amendment 18
Geoffrey Van Orden

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 2

Motion for a resolution

2. Considers that the current level of instability on the borders of the EU and in its immediate neighbourhood is unprecedented in the period since the late 1990s when the ESDP/CSDP was established; **is concerned** that the Union **may not be able to be key player in addressing** each of these threats and **that it may too often be reduced to relying** on initiatives by one or a few Member States, or on ad hoc alliances **in which it has only a peripheral or reserve role to play**;

Amendment

2. Considers that the current level of instability on the borders of the EU and in its immediate neighbourhood is unprecedented in the period since the late 1990s when the ESDP/CSDP was established; **notes** that the Union **has failed to address** each of these threats and **has relied** on initiatives by one or a few Member States, or on ad hoc alliances;

Or. en

Amendment 19
Ramona Nicole Mănescu

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 2

Motion for a resolution

2. Considers that the current level of instability on the borders of the EU and in its immediate neighbourhood is unprecedented in the period since the late 1990s when the ESDP/CSDP was established; is concerned that the Union may not be able to be key player in addressing each of these threats and that it may too often be reduced to relying on initiatives by one or a few Member States, or on ad hoc alliances in which it has only a peripheral or reserve role to play;

Amendment

2. Considers that the current level of instability on the borders of the EU and in its immediate neighbourhood is unprecedented in the period since the late 1990s when the ESDP/CSDP was established; is concerned that the Union may not be able to be key player in addressing each of these threats and that it may too often be reduced to relying on initiatives by one or a few Member States, or on ad hoc alliances in which it has only a peripheral or reserve role to play; ***a greater coordination on analysing and disseminate EU intelligence and information will improve our capacity to prevent and react to crisis with more efficient results;***

Or. en

Amendment 20
Marietje Schaake, Jozo Radoš

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 2 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

2a. Notes that the European Union is a community of values and has a duty to protect those values outside its own borders, focusing on human rights and fundamental freedoms; recalls that, in this regard, that the use of force must be a last resort in this respect;

Amendment 21
Jonás Fernández

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 3

Motion for a resolution

3. Considers that the Union and its Member States must, as a matter of the utmost urgency, adapt to the new security challenges, in particular by making effective use of the existing CSDP tools, by coordinating national action more closely and, where appropriate, by introducing in a pragmatic and flexible way new arrangements for the expression of European solidarity;

Amendment

3. Considers that the Union and its Member States must, as a matter of the utmost urgency, ***define together geostrategic scenarios and*** adapt to the new security challenges, in particular by making effective use of the existing CSDP tools, by coordinating national action more closely and, where appropriate, by introducing in a pragmatic and flexible way new arrangements for the expression of European solidarity;

Or. es

Amendment 22
Michael Gahler

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 3

Motion for a resolution

3. Considers that the Union and its Member States must, as a matter of the utmost urgency, adapt to the new security challenges, in particular by making effective use of the existing CSDP tools, by coordinating national action more closely and, where appropriate, by introducing in a pragmatic and flexible way new arrangements for the expression of European solidarity;

Amendment

3. Considers that the Union and its Member States must, as a matter of the utmost urgency, adapt to the new security challenges, in particular by making effective use of the existing CSDP tools ***and link these better with EU's foreign affairs tools, humanitarian assistance, and development policy,*** by coordinating national action more closely and, where appropriate, by introducing in a pragmatic and flexible way new arrangements for the expression of European solidarity;

Amendment 23

Fernando Maura Barandiarán, Javier Nart

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 3

Motion for a resolution

3. Considers that the Union and its Member States must, as a matter of the utmost urgency, adapt to the new security challenges, in particular by making effective use of the existing CSDP tools, by coordinating national action more closely and, *where appropriate*, by introducing in a pragmatic and flexible way new arrangements *for the expression of European solidarity*;

Amendment

3. Considers that the Union and its Member States must, as a matter of the utmost urgency, adapt to the new security challenges, in particular by making effective use of the existing CSDP tools, by coordinating national action more closely and by introducing in a pragmatic and flexible way new arrangements *to promote military cooperation between Member States*;

Or. es

Amendment 24

Tonino Picula

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 3

Motion for a resolution

3. Considers that the Union and its Member States must, as a matter of the utmost urgency, adapt to the new security challenges, in particular by making effective use of the existing CSDP *tools*, by coordinating national action more closely and, where appropriate, by introducing in a pragmatic and flexible way new arrangements for the expression of European solidarity;

Amendment

3. Considers that the Union and its Member States must, as a matter of the utmost urgency, adapt to the new security challenges, in particular by making effective use of the existing CSDP *with particular attention dedicated to EU Cyber Defence Policy Framework, by strengthening the links between external and internal security*, by coordinating national action more closely, and, where appropriate, by introducing in a pragmatic and flexible way new arrangements for the expression of European solidarity;

Amendment 25
Mariya Gabriel

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 3

Motion for a resolution

3. Considers that the Union and its Member States must, as a matter of the utmost urgency, adapt to the new security challenges, in particular by making effective use of the existing CSDP tools, by coordinating national action more closely and, where appropriate, by introducing in a pragmatic and flexible way new arrangements for the expression of European solidarity;

Amendment

3. Considers that the Union and its Member States must, as a matter of the utmost urgency, adapt to the new security challenges, in particular by making effective use of the existing CSDP tools, by coordinating national action more closely and, where appropriate, by introducing in a pragmatic and flexible way new arrangements for the expression of European solidarity; ***calls for the implementation of a fully-fledged migration diplomacy to give greater consistency to the internal and external policies of the EU;***

Amendment 26
Ana Gomes

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 3

Motion for a resolution

3. Considers that the Union and its Member States must, as a matter of the utmost urgency, adapt to the new security challenges, in particular by making effective use of the existing CSDP tools, by coordinating national action more closely and, where appropriate, by introducing in a pragmatic and flexible way new arrangements for the expression

Amendment

3. Considers that the Union and its Member States must, as a matter of the utmost urgency, adapt to the new security challenges, in particular by making effective use of the existing CSDP tools, by coordinating national action ***and pool resources*** more closely and, where appropriate, by introducing in a pragmatic and flexible way new arrangements for the

of European solidarity;

expression of European solidarity; *is, therefore, of the view that progress must intensely be made in effective cooperation, articulation and intelligence sharing among Member States, under the leadership and coordination of the HR/VP;*

Or. en

Amendment 27
Geoffrey Van Orden

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 3

Motion for a resolution

3. Considers that the Union and its Member States must, as a matter of the utmost urgency, adapt to the new security challenges, *in particular by making effective use of the existing CSDP tools, by coordinating* national action more closely *and, where appropriate, by introducing in a pragmatic and flexible way new arrangements for the expression of European solidarity;*

Amendment

3. Considers that the Union and its Member States must, as a matter of the utmost urgency, adapt to the new security challenges, *and where appropriate coordinate* national action more closely *without undermining the ability of Member States to act unilaterally when it is in their own interest;*

Or. en

Amendment 28
Ramona Nicole Mănescu

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 3

Motion for a resolution

3. Considers that the Union and its Member States must, as a matter of the utmost urgency, adapt to the new security challenges, in particular by making effective use of the existing CSDP tools,

Amendment

3. Considers that the Union and its Member States must, as a matter of the utmost urgency, adapt to the new security challenges, in particular by making effective use of the existing CSDP tools,

by coordinating national action more closely and, where appropriate, by introducing in a pragmatic and flexible way new arrangements for the expression of European solidarity;

by coordinating national action more closely and, where appropriate, by introducing in a pragmatic and flexible way new arrangements for the expression of European solidarity, ***in order to create and apply a common pro-active strategy regarding security and defence in Europe; it is of outmost importance for an enhanced cooperation and coordination among EU Member States and between Member States and partner countries on regard with security and defence matters, on counter-terrorism and on fight against organised crime;***

Or. en

Amendment 29
Jonás Fernández

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 3 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

3a. Stresses that cybersecurity has to be established as a CSDP priority, strengthening our capabilities in regard to cyberattacks, cyberterrorism and espionage, bearing in mind that these constitute nowadays major challenges to global security; emphasises that achieving this aim requires joint and coordinated action in exchanging information and intelligence;

Or. es

Amendment 30
Jonás Fernández

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 3 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

3b. Stresses that the CSDP has to be directed equally towards defending the rule of law, socioeconomic development and integration in those countries where there is greatest instability; emphasises the importance of cooperation policy and humanitarian aid being incorporated into the CSDP as key elements;

Or. es

Amendment 31
Michael Gahler

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 4

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

4. Emphasises that the Union's strength and relevance lie in its ability to bring into play a wide range of instruments simultaneously and in full compliance with the provisions of the United Nations Charter; underscores the fact that the CSDP military and civil instruments are integral components of this overall approach;

4. Emphasises that the Union's strength and relevance lie in its ability to bring into play a wide range of instruments simultaneously and in full compliance with the provisions of the United Nations Charter; underscores the fact that the CSDP military and civil instruments are integral components of this overall **comprehensive** approach;

Or. en

Amendment 32
Ioan Mircea Paşcu

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 4

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

4. Emphasises that the Union's strength and relevance lie in its ability to bring into

4. Emphasises that the Union's strength and relevance lie in its ability to **mobilise**

play a wide range of instruments simultaneously and in full compliance with the provisions of the United Nations Charter; underscores the fact that the CSDP military and civil instruments are integral components of this **overall** approach;

resources and bring into play a wide range of **diplomatic, security, defence, economic, trade, development and humanitarian** instruments simultaneously, and in full compliance with the provisions of the United Nations Charter; underscores the fact that the CSDP military and civil instruments are integral components of this **comprehensive** approach;

Or. en

Amendment 33
Geoffrey Van Orden

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 4

Motion for a resolution

4. Emphasises that the Union's strength and relevance lie in its ability to bring into play a wide range of instruments simultaneously and in full compliance with the provisions of the United Nations Charter; underscores the fact that the CSDP military and civil instruments are **integral** components of this overall approach;

Amendment

4. Emphasises that the Union's strength and relevance lie in its ability to bring into play a wide range of instruments simultaneously and in full compliance with the provisions of the United Nations Charter; underscores the fact that the CSDP military and civil instruments are components of this overall approach;

Or. en

Amendment 34
Marietje Schaake, Jozo Radoš

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 4 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

4a. Notes the changing nature of the security landscape and national security concerns with regard to cyber-attacks;

Amendment

calls, therefore, for a coherent European strategy to secure critical (digital) infrastructure against cyber-attacks, while also protecting and promoting citizens' digital rights and freedoms; reminds of the need for more clarity and a proper legal framework, given the difficulties of attribution of cyber-attacks and the need for a proportionate and necessary response in all contexts;

Or. en

Amendment 35
Geoffrey Van Orden

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 5

Motion for a resolution

5. **Welcomes** the European Council conclusions of December 2013 **recognising** the need to increase the effectiveness, visibility and impact of the CSDP, enhance the development of capabilities and strengthen Europe's defence **industry**;

Amendment

5. **Notes** the European Council conclusions of December 2013 **which recommended** the need to increase the effectiveness, visibility and impact of the CSDP, **particularly its civil aspects**, enhance the development of capabilities and strengthen Europe's defence **industries**;

Or. en

Amendment 36
Bodil Ceballos
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 6

Motion for a resolution

6. Considers, however, that the injection of political stimulus in 2013 did not lead to the implementation of practical measures commensurate with the declared levels of

Amendment

6. Considers, however, that the injection of political stimulus in 2013 did not lead to the implementation of practical measures commensurate with the declared levels of

ambition; considers that the Union today does not yet possess the requisite resources, operationally, industrially or in terms of capabilities, to contribute in a determining way to the management of international crises and to *assert its own strategic autonomy*;

ambition; considers that the Union today does not yet possess the requisite resources, operationally, industrially or in terms of capabilities, to contribute in a determining way to the management of international crises and to *values and norms enshrined in Article 21 of the Lisbon Treaty*;

Or. en

Amendment 37
Jonás Fernández

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 6

Motion for a resolution

6. **Considers, however**, that the injection of political stimulus in 2013 did not lead to the implementation of practical measures commensurate with the declared levels of ambition; considers that the Union today does not yet possess the requisite resources, operationally, industrially or in terms of capabilities, to contribute in a determining way to the management of international crises and to assert its own strategic autonomy;

Amendment

6. **Regrets** that the injection of political stimulus in 2013 did not lead to the implementation of practical measures commensurate with the declared levels of ambition, *nor to the creation of synergies and cooperation between the different CSDP stakeholders*; considers that the Union today does not yet possess the requisite resources, operationally, industrially or in terms of capabilities, to contribute in a determining way to the management of international crises and to assert its own strategic autonomy;

Or. es

Amendment 38
Michael Gahler

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 6

Motion for a resolution

6. Considers, however, that the injection of

Amendment

6. Considers, however, that the injection of

political stimulus in 2013 did not lead to the implementation of practical measures commensurate with the declared levels of ambition; considers that the Union today **does not yet** possess the requisite resources, operationally, industrially or in terms of capabilities, to contribute in a determining way to the management of international crises and to assert its own strategic autonomy;

political stimulus in 2013 did not lead to the implementation of practical measures commensurate with the declared levels of ambition; **regarding the increasing external instabilities regrets that the pace and substance in the implementation of the Council defence conclusions have not been increased**; considers that the Union today **hardly** possess the requisite resources, operationally, industrially or in terms of capabilities, to contribute in a determining way to the management of international crises and to assert its own strategic autonomy; **calls on the VP/HR to initiate a wide-ranging process to develop a White Paper on European Security and Defence in order to streamline the EU's strategic ambitions and capability development processes**;

Or. en

Amendment 39 **Ana Gomes**

Motion for a resolution **Paragraph 6**

Motion for a resolution

6. Considers, however, that the injection of political stimulus in 2013 did not lead to the implementation of practical measures commensurate with the declared levels of ambition; considers that the Union today does not yet possess the requisite resources, operationally, industrially or in terms of capabilities, to contribute in a determining way to the management of international crises and to assert its own strategic autonomy;

Amendment

6. Considers, however, that the injection of political stimulus in 2013 did not lead to the implementation of practical measures commensurate with the declared levels of ambition; considers that the Union today does not yet possess the requisite resources, operationally, industrially or in terms of capabilities, to contribute in a determining way to the **prevention and** management of international crises and to assert its own strategic autonomy **and its strategic interests**;

Or. en

Amendment 40
Geoffrey Van Orden

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 6

Motion for a resolution

6. *Considers, however, that the injection of political stimulus in 2013 did not lead to the implementation of practical measures commensurate with the declared levels of ambition; considers that the Union today does not yet possess the requisite resources, operationally, industrially or in terms of capabilities, to contribute in a determining way to the management of international crises and to assert its own strategic autonomy;*

Amendment

6. *Notes that the December 2013 European Council recognised national responsibility in military matters, the role of NATO and the need for the EU to focus on civilian capabilities;*

Or. en

Amendment 41
Marietje Schaake, Jozo Radoš

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 6

Motion for a resolution

6. Considers, however, that the injection of political stimulus in 2013 did not lead to the implementation of practical measures commensurate with the declared levels of ambition; considers that the Union today does not yet possess the requisite resources, operationally, industrially or in terms of capabilities, to contribute in a determining way to the management of international crises and to assert its own strategic autonomy;

Amendment

6. Considers, however, that the injection of political stimulus in 2013 did not lead to the implementation of practical measures commensurate with the declared levels of ambition; considers that the Union today does not yet possess the requisite resources, operationally, industrially or in terms of capabilities, to contribute in a determining way to the management of international crises and to assert its own strategic autonomy; ***calls on the Member States to follow through with concrete measures as a matter of urgency;***

Or. en

Amendment 42
Michael Gahler

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 7

Motion for a resolution

7. Welcomes the appointment of the new Vice-President of the Commission and High Representative of the Union for Foreign Affairs and Security Policy (VP/HR), Federica Mogherini; welcomes her first statements and her decision to chair the Foreign Affairs and Defence Council meetings, an indication of her interest in the CSDP; hopes that the stances she has taken will be reflected in a boost to the development of the CSDP;

Amendment

7. Welcomes the appointment of the new Vice-President of the Commission and High Representative of the Union for Foreign Affairs and Security Policy (VP/HR), Federica Mogherini; welcomes her first statements and her decision to chair the Foreign Affairs and Defence Council meetings, an indication of her interest in the CSDP; ***calls on the Commission to continue the work of the task force defence under the leadership of the VP/HR at the level of the Commissioners in order to guarantee political guidance and supervision***; hopes that the stances she has taken will be reflected in a boost to the development of the CSDP;

Or. en

Amendment 43
Jonás Fernández

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 7

Motion for a resolution

7. Welcomes the appointment of the new Vice-President of the Commission and High Representative of the Union for Foreign Affairs and Security Policy (VP/HR), Federica Mogherini; welcomes her first statements and her decision to chair the Foreign Affairs and Defence Council meetings, an indication of her

Amendment

7. Welcomes the appointment of the new Vice-President of the Commission and High Representative of the Union for Foreign Affairs and Security Policy (VP/HR), Federica Mogherini; welcomes her first statements and her decision to chair the Foreign Affairs and Defence Council meetings, an indication of her

interest in the CSDP; hopes that the stances she has taken will be reflected in a boost to the development of the CSDP;

interest in the CSDP, ***and her commitment to developing a security and defence strategy which will determine the circumstances, threats and global challenges for the definition jointly of our CSDP***; hopes that the stances she has taken will be reflected in a boost to the development of the CSDP;

Or. es

Amendment 44
Ioan Mircea Paşcu

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 7

Motion for a resolution

7. Welcomes the appointment of the new Vice-President of the Commission and High Representative of the Union for Foreign Affairs and Security Policy (VP/HR), Federica Mogherini; welcomes her first statements and her decision to chair the Foreign Affairs and Defence Council meetings, an indication of her interest in the CSDP; hopes that the stances she has taken will be reflected in a boost to the development of the CSDP;

Amendment

7. Welcomes the appointment of the new Vice-President of the Commission and High Representative of the Union for Foreign Affairs and Security Policy (VP/HR), Federica Mogherini; welcomes her first statements and her decision to chair the Foreign Affairs and Defence Council meetings, an indication of her interest in the CSDP; hopes that the stances she has taken will be reflected in a boost to the development of the CSDP; ***welcomes her commitment to initiate a process of strategic reflection on the challenges and opportunities for the foreign and security policy; reiterates that this process should lead to a new European Security Strategy***;

Or. en

Amendment 45
Geoffrey Van Orden

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 7

Motion for a resolution

7. Welcomes the appointment of the new Vice-President of the Commission and High Representative of the Union for Foreign Affairs and Security Policy (VP/HR), Federica Mogherini; **welcomes her first statements and her decision to chair the Foreign Affairs and Defence Council meetings, an indication of her interest in the CSDP; hopes that the stances she has taken will be reflected in a boost to the development of the CSDP;**

Amendment

7. Welcomes the appointment of the new Vice-President of the Commission and High Representative of the Union for Foreign Affairs and Security Policy (VP/HR), Federica Mogherini;

Or. en

Amendment 46

Marietje Schaake, Jozo Radoš

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 7

Motion for a resolution

7. Welcomes the appointment of the new Vice-President of the Commission and High Representative of the Union for Foreign Affairs and Security Policy (VP/HR), Federica Mogherini; welcomes her first statements and her decision to chair the Foreign Affairs and Defence Council meetings, an indication of her interest in the CSDP; hopes that the stances she has taken will be reflected in a boost to the development of the CSDP;

Amendment

7. Welcomes the appointment of the new Vice-President of the Commission and High Representative of the Union for Foreign Affairs and Security Policy (VP/HR), Federica Mogherini; welcomes her first statements and her decision to chair the Foreign Affairs and Defence Council meetings, an indication of her interest in the CSDP; hopes that the stances she has taken will be reflected in a boost to the development of the CSDP; **calls on the VP/HR to play a leading role in working towards further implementation of CSDP and integration of European defence capabilities;**

Or. en

Amendment 47
Marietje Schaake, Jozo Radoš

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 7 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

7a. Points to the vast body of research which clearly demonstrates the practical and financial benefits of further integration of European defence capabilities and further policy coherence, such as the 300 suggested pooling and sharing projects presented by the EUMS in April 2011 and the European Parliament study indicating the possibility to save at least 26 billion euros annually;

Or. en

Amendment 48
Michael Gahler

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 8

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

8. Hopes that by the time of the June 2015 European Council, which will once again deal with defence issues, the Member States and the EU institutions will be in a position to ***propose*** specific measures in line with the undertakings given in December 2013;

8. Hopes that by the time of the June 2015 European Council, which will once again deal with defence issues, the Member States and the EU institutions will be in a position to ***show*** specific measures in line with the undertakings given in December 2013; ***rejects any initiative to postpone the European Council on defence scheduled for June 2105; instead of postponing the meeting, the Heads of State and Government have to critically assess the low profile of implementation and to increase pressure on the defence bureaucracies in order to implement decisions taken at the highest political level in December 2013;***

Amendment 49
Ioan Mircea Paşcu

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 8

Motion for a resolution

8. Hopes that by the time of the June 2015 European Council, which will once again deal with defence issues, the Member States and the EU institutions will be in a position to propose specific measures in line with the *undertakings given* in December 2013;

Amendment

8. Hopes that by the time of the June 2015 European Council, which will once again deal with defence issues, the Member States and the EU institutions will be in a position to propose specific measures in line with the *commitments assumed* in December 2013;

Amendment 50
Geoffrey Van Orden

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 8

Motion for a resolution

8. *Hopes that by the time of the June 2015 European Council, which will once again deal with defence issues, the Member States and the EU institutions will be in a position to propose specific measures in line with the undertakings given in December 2013;*

Amendment

8. *Trusts that the June 2015 European Council on defence issues will encourage recalcitrant Member States to put more resources into defence, and focus its efforts on those area of crisis management where the EU might genuinely add value, particularly in the civil field, where it might complement the role of NATO;*

Amendment 51
Marietje Schaake, Jozo Radoš

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 8

Motion for a resolution

8. **Hopes** that by the time of the June 2015 European Council, which will once again deal with defence issues, the Member States and the EU institutions will be in a position to propose specific measures in line with the undertakings given in December 2013;

Amendment

8. **Expects** that by the time of the June 2015 European Council, which will once again deal with defence issues, the Member States and the EU institutions will be in a position to propose specific measures in line with the undertakings given in December 2013;

Or. en

Amendment 52
Bogdan Andrzej Zdrojewski, Jerzy Buzek

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 8 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

8a. Looks to the Heads of State or Government at the European Council meeting to agree new measures to counter the threats that emerged in 2014 and also to decide to draw up at the earliest opportunity a strategy paper analysing those threats and the action the EU may take in response to them;

Or. pl

Amendment 53
Ioan Mircea Paşcu

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 8 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

8a. Considers that the progress made in

implementing the Conclusions of the 2013 European Council, including on the EU cyber defence policy framework, the EU's Comprehensive Approach, the EU's Maritime Security Strategy should be continued after the June 2015 European Council;

Or. en

Amendment 54
Ioan Mircea Paşcu

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 8 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

8b. Considers that the upcoming European Council on Defence should take decisions that will lead to the improvement of the capacity of the Union and the Member States for territorial defence, in total complementarity with NATO as well as the capacity to respond to internal security challenges and to develop the deployable capabilities needed for ensuring a meaningful contribution of the EU to crisis management; strengthening the European Defence Agency, strengthening the European Defence and Industrial Base, initiating the elaboration of a comprehensive security concept that will integrate the internal and external dimensions of security;

Or. en

Amendment 55
Jonás Fernández

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9

Motion for a resolution

9. Takes note that the most recent civilian and military operations under the CSDP have continued to be dogged by structural shortcomings evident now for several years: lengthy and inflexible decision-making processes, mission mandates unsuited to the operational environment, the problem of ‘force generation’ and logistical and financial inertia;

Amendment

9. Takes note that the most recent civilian and military operations under the CSDP have continued to be dogged by structural shortcomings evident now for several years: ***inefficiency when reacting immediately to civilian and military actions***, lengthy and inflexible decision-making processes, ***need for greater Member States solidarity in funding missions***, mission mandates unsuited to the operational environment, the problem of ‘force generation’ and logistical and financial inertia

Or. es

Amendment 56
Ioan Mircea Pașcu

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9

Motion for a resolution

9. Takes note that the most recent civilian and military operations under the CSDP have continued to be dogged by structural ***shortcomings*** evident now for several years: lengthy and inflexible decision-making processes, mission mandates unsuited to the operational environment, the problem of ‘force generation’ and logistical and financial inertia;

Amendment

9. Takes note that the most recent civilian and military operations under the CSDP have continued to be dogged by structural ***shortfalls*** evident now for several years: lengthy and inflexible decision-making processes, mission mandates unsuited to the operational environment, the problem of ‘force generation’ and logistical and financial inertia;

Or. en

Amendment 57
Geoffrey Van Orden

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9

Motion for a resolution

9. **Takes note** that the most recent civilian and military operations under the CSDP have continued to be dogged by structural shortcomings evident now for several years: lengthy and inflexible decision-making processes, mission mandates unsuited to the operational environment, the problem of ‘force generation’ and logistical and financial inertia;

Amendment

9. **Notes** that the most recent civilian and military operations under the CSDP have, **not surprisingly**, continued to be dogged by structural shortcomings **as these have been** evident now for several years: **duplication of NATO**, lengthy and inflexible decision-making processes, mission mandates unsuited to the operational environment, the problem of ‘force generation’ and logistical and financial inertia; **recognises that these are inevitable consequences of wholly inappropriate ambitions for CSDP**;

Or. en

Amendment 58
Marietje Schaake, Jozo Radoš

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9

Motion for a resolution

9. **Takes note** that the most recent civilian and military operations under the CSDP have continued to be dogged by structural shortcomings evident now for several years: lengthy and inflexible decision-making processes, mission mandates unsuited to the operational environment, the problem of ‘force generation’ and logistical and financial inertia;

Amendment

9. **Is concerned** that the most recent civilian and military operations under the CSDP have continued to be dogged by structural shortcomings evident now for several years: lengthy and inflexible decision-making processes, mission mandates unsuited to the operational environment, the problem of ‘force generation’ and logistical and financial inertia;

Or. en

Amendment 59
Eduard Kukan

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9

Motion for a resolution

9. Takes note that the most recent civilian and military operations under the CSDP have continued to be dogged by structural shortcomings evident now for several years: lengthy and inflexible decision-making processes, mission mandates unsuited to the operational environment, the problem of ‘force generation’ and logistical and financial inertia;

Amendment

9. Takes note that the most recent civilian and military operations under the CSDP have continued to be dogged by structural shortcomings evident now for several years: lengthy and inflexible decision-making processes, mission mandates unsuited to the operational environment, ***budgetary constraints***, the problem of ‘force generation’ and logistical and financial inertia;

Or. en

Amendment 60
Jonás Fernández

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

9a. Regrets that, as pointed out in the Cost of Non-Europe Report on security and defence, participation by Member States in a large number of CSDP missions has not resulted in defence policy harmonisation or deeper integration; highlights that EUR 26 000 million are lost each year as a result, chiefly due to the fragmentation of the 28 security and defence markets, to duplications and to the fact that Member States’ military structures are not integrated;

Or. es

Amendment 61
Arnaud Danjean

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 10

Motion for a resolution

10. Considers the issue of financing for CSDP missions and operations to be crucial if the policy is to have a future; finds it regrettable that specific proposals have not yet emerged from the discussion initiated on this subject by the December 2013 Council; calls for the Athena mechanism to be used as a matter of course for the financing of expenditure on CSDP operational and mission deployment and for the same mechanism to manage financing received from Member States on a bilateral basis as well as from third countries and other international organisations, so that they can participate in the financing of a given operation;

Amendment

10. Considers the issue of financing for CSDP missions and operations to be crucial if the policy is to have a future; finds it regrettable that specific proposals have not yet emerged from the discussion initiated on this subject by the December 2013 Council; calls for the Athena mechanism to be used as a matter of course for the financing of expenditure on CSDP operational and mission deployment - ***infrastructure for the accommodation of forces, expenses relating to the establishment of points of entry for troops into theatres of operations and security stocks of food and fuel where necessary*** - and for the same mechanism to manage financing received from Member States on a bilateral basis as well as from third countries and other international organisations, so that they can participate in the financing of a given operation;

Or. fr

Amendment 62
Javier Nart, Fernando Maura Barandiarán, Jozo Radoš

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 10

Motion for a resolution

10. Considers the issue of financing for CSDP missions and operations to be crucial if the policy is to have a future; finds it regrettable that specific proposals have not yet emerged from the discussion initiated on this subject by the December 2013 Council; calls for the Athena mechanism to be used as a matter of course

Amendment

10. Considers the issue of financing for CSDP missions and operations to be crucial if the policy is to have a future; finds it regrettable that specific proposals have not yet emerged from the discussion initiated on this subject by the December 2013 Council; calls for the Athena mechanism to be used as a matter of course

for the financing of expenditure on CSDP operational and mission deployment and for the same mechanism to manage financing received from Member States on a bilateral basis as well as from third countries and other international organisations, so that they can participate in the financing of a given operation;

for the financing of expenditure on CSDP operational and mission deployment and for the same mechanism to manage financing received from Member States on a bilateral basis as well as from third countries and other international organisations, so that they can participate in the financing of a given operation;
Considers that in order to have an efficient response it is necessary to integrate the CSDP missions in the budget of the European Union;

Or. en

Amendment 63
Lorenzo Cesa

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 10

Motion for a resolution

10. Considers the issue of financing for CSDP missions and operations to be crucial if the policy is to have a future; finds it regrettable that specific proposals have not yet emerged from the discussion initiated on this subject by the December 2013 Council; calls for the Athena mechanism to be used as a matter of course for the financing of expenditure on CSDP operational and mission deployment and for the same mechanism to manage financing received from Member States on a bilateral basis as well as from third countries and other international organisations, so that they can participate in the financing of a given operation;

Amendment

10. Considers the issue of financing for CSDP missions and operations to be crucial if the policy is to have a future; finds it regrettable that specific proposals have not yet emerged from the discussion initiated on this subject by the December 2013 Council; calls for the Athena mechanism to be used as a matter of course for the financing of expenditure on CSDP operational and mission deployment ***including those of Rapid Reaction Forces*** and for the same mechanism to manage financing received from Member States on a bilateral basis as well as from third countries and other international organisations, so that they can participate in the financing of a given operation;

Or. en

Amendment 64
Bogdan Andrzej Zdrojewski, Jerzy Buzek

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 10

Motion for a resolution

10. Considers the issue of financing for CSDP missions and operations to be crucial if the policy is to have a future; finds it regrettable that specific proposals have not yet emerged from the discussion initiated on this subject by the December 2013 Council; calls for the Athena mechanism to be used as a matter of course for the financing of expenditure on CSDP operational and mission deployment and for the same mechanism to manage financing received from Member States on a bilateral basis as well as from third countries and other international organisations, so that they can participate in the financing of a given operation;

Amendment

10. Considers the issue of financing for CSDP missions and operations to be crucial if the policy is to have a future; finds it regrettable that specific proposals have not yet emerged from the discussion initiated on this subject by the December 2013 Council; calls for the Athena mechanism to be used as a matter of course for the financing of expenditure on CSDP operational and mission deployment, ***in particular in connection with the use of EU battlegroups***, and for the same mechanism to manage financing received from Member States on a bilateral basis as well as from third countries and other international organisations, so that they can participate in the financing of a given operation ***and, in duly justified cases, support the participation by third countries in EU crisis response operations and missions***;

Or. pl

Amendment 65
Ana Gomes

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 10

Motion for a resolution

10. Considers the issue of financing for CSDP missions and operations to be crucial if the policy is to have a future; finds it regrettable that specific proposals have not yet emerged from the discussion initiated on this subject by the December

Amendment

10. Considers the issue of ***the review of the*** financing for CSDP missions and operations to be crucial if the policy is to have a future; finds it regrettable that specific proposals have not yet emerged from the discussion initiated on this subject

2013 Council; calls for the Athena mechanism to be used as a matter of course for the financing of expenditure on CSDP operational and mission deployment and for the same mechanism to manage financing received from Member States on a bilateral basis as well as from third countries and other international organisations, so that they can participate in the financing of a given operation;

by the December 2013 Council; calls for the Athena mechanism to be used as a matter of course for the financing of expenditure on CSDP operational and mission deployment and for the same mechanism to manage financing received from Member States on a bilateral basis as well as from third countries and other international organisations, so that they can participate in the financing of a given operation; *is of the view that both civilian and military CSDP missions should be eligible for community funding, so as to allow for a fairer burden-sharing between force-contributing Member States and those Member States wishing to stay out of a mission, and also between those wishing to contribute but have budgetary constraints in doing so;*

Or. en

Amendment 66
Christian Ehler

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 10 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

10a. Encourages further efforts to speed up the provision of financing for civilian missions and to simplify decision-making procedures and implementation. In this context takes the opinion that the Commission should introduce, by delegated acts in accordance with Article 210 of the Financial Regulation, specific procurement rules to the crisis management measures under the CSDP in order to facilitate the rapid and flexible conduct of operations;

Or. en

Amendment 67
Arnaud Danjean

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 10 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

10a. Calls for a pre-financing mechanism to be set up to help Member States wishing to participate in a CSDP mission to meet the costs thereof, thereby making it easier for them to decide to launch a mission;

Or. fr

Amendment 68
Bodil Ceballos
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 11

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

11. Notes that too many of the civilian missions launched by the EU since 2009 have been about raising the Union's crisis response profile rather than taking strategic measures on the basis of in-depth analysis and planning; believes that these missions should be not merely for show, but rather should be genuine, effective and responsibly used policy tools forming part of an overall action strategy;

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 69
Arnaud Danjean

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 11

Motion for a resolution

11. Notes that too many of the civilian missions launched by the EU since 2009 have been about raising the Union's crisis response profile rather than taking strategic measures on the basis of in-depth analysis and planning; believes that these missions should be not merely for show, but rather should be genuine, effective and responsibly used policy tools forming part of an overall action strategy;

Amendment

11. Notes that too many of the civilian missions launched by the EU since 2009 have been about raising the Union's crisis response profile rather than taking strategic measures on the basis of in-depth analysis and planning; believes that these missions - ***the professionalism and dedication of their personnel in the field should be highlighted and praised*** - should be not merely for show, but rather should be genuine, effective and responsibly used policy tools forming part of an overall action strategy;

Or. fr

Amendment 70
Jonás Fernández

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 11

Motion for a resolution

11. Notes that too many of the civilian missions launched by the EU since 2009 have been about raising the Union's crisis response profile rather than taking strategic measures on the basis of in-depth analysis and planning; believes that these missions should be not merely for show, but rather should be genuine, effective and responsibly used policy tools forming part of an overall action strategy;

Amendment

11. Notes that too many of the civilian missions launched by the EU since 2009 have been about raising the Union's crisis response profile rather than taking strategic measures on the basis of in-depth analysis and planning; ***stresses the need to establish a neighbourhood policy that defines key locations where the EU is not present or where its presence is insufficient, as well as to create a mechanism for the neighbourhood policy to balance, on the basis of the most recent events, the south and the east of Europe***; believes that these missions should be not merely for show, but rather should be genuine, effective and responsibly used policy tools forming part of an overall action strategy;

Or. es

Amendment 71
Tonino Picula

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 11

Motion for a resolution

11. Notes that too many of the civilian missions launched by the EU since 2009 have been about raising the Union's crisis response profile rather than taking strategic measures on the basis of in-depth analysis and planning; believes that these missions ***should be not merely for show, but rather*** should be genuine, effective and responsibly used policy tools forming part of an overall action strategy;

Amendment

11. Notes that too many of the civilian missions launched by the EU since 2009 have been about raising the Union's crisis response profile rather than taking strategic measures on the basis of in-depth analysis and planning; believes that these missions should be genuine, effective and responsibly used policy tools forming part of an overall action strategy;

Or. en

Amendment 72
Ana Gomes

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 11

Motion for a resolution

11. Notes that too many of the civilian missions launched by the EU since 2009 have been about raising the Union's crisis response profile rather than taking strategic measures on the basis of in-depth analysis and planning; believes that these missions should be not merely for show, but rather should be genuine, effective and responsibly used policy tools forming part of an overall action strategy;

Amendment

11. Notes that too many of the civilian missions launched by the EU since 2009 have been about raising the Union's crisis response profile rather than taking strategic measures on the basis of in-depth analysis and planning; believes that these missions should be not merely for show, but rather should be genuine, effective and responsibly used policy tools forming part of an overall action strategy, ***especially in the neighbourhood***;

Or. en

Amendment 73
Geoffrey Van Orden

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 11

Motion for a resolution

11. Notes that too many of the civilian missions launched by the EU since 2009 have been about raising the Union's crisis response profile rather than taking strategic measures on the basis of in-depth analysis and planning; believes that these missions **should be not** merely for show, **but rather should be** genuine, effective and responsibly used policy tools forming part of an overall action strategy;

Amendment

11. Notes that too many of the civilian **and military** missions launched by the EU since 2009 have been about raising the Union's crisis response profile rather than taking strategic measures on the basis of in-depth analysis and planning; believes that these missions **have been** merely for show, **and not** genuine, effective and responsibly used policy tools forming part of an overall action strategy;

Or. en

Amendment 74
Ioan Mircea Paşcu

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 11

Motion for a resolution

11. **Notes that too many of the** civilian missions **launched by the EU since 2009 have been about raising the Union's crisis response profile rather than taking strategic measures on the basis of in-depth analysis and planning; believes that these** missions **should be not merely for show, but rather should be** genuine, effective and responsibly used policy tools **forming part of an overall action strategy;**

Amendment

11. **Stresses the EU's contribution to international security, crisis management, peacekeeping through the EU's civilian and military missions and operations as an important component of the Union's Comprehensive Approach; notes that the civilian missions should be genuine, effective and responsibly used policy tools forming part of an overall action strategy; notes that the civilian** missions **should not start from the will to raise the Union's crisis response profile instead of taking strategic measures on the basis of in-depth analysis and planning;**

Or. en

Amendment 75
Eduard Kukan

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 11 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

11a. Considers that part of the successful mission is its adequate and qualified staff in terms of training, skills, leadership;

Or. en

Amendment 76
Ana Gomes

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 12

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

12. Questions, for example, the relevance of deploying and maintaining a mission on the Libyan border (EUBAM Libya) in an institutional and security context where it has never been able to address the basic aims identified there;

12. Questions, for example, the relevance of deploying and maintaining a mission on the Libyan border (EUBAM Libya) in an institutional and security context where it has never been able to address the basic aims identified there; ***calls, therefore, on the HR/VP to re-design EUBAM's mandate to respond to pressing security needs in the country and focus on SSR/DDR, once a political settlement allows it; also, warns that the EU mission should be ready contribute should the UNSC enact a peacekeeping mandate in Libya;***

Or. en

Amendment 77
Marietje Schaake, Jozo Radoš

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 12

Motion for a resolution

12. Questions, for example, the relevance of deploying and maintaining a mission on the Libyan border (EUBAM Libya) in an institutional and security context where it has never been able to address the basic aims identified there;

Amendment

12. Questions, for example, the relevance of deploying and maintaining a mission on the Libyan border (EUBAM Libya) in an institutional and security context where it has never been able to address the basic aims identified there; ***calls for a reassessment of the needs for Libya in light of the worrying recent developments, in order to adequately address security concerns, including in connection with on-going anti-terrorism efforts in Mali and the Sahel region;***

Or. en

Amendment 78
Javier Nart, Ivo Vajgl, Jozo Radoš, Fernando Maura Barandiarán

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 12 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

12a. Considers that an evaluation of the efficiency of the 17 ongoing European Missions abroad should be done and those that were designed totally or partially for an impossible operational end, should be suspended or reduced;

Or. en

Amendment 79
Lorenzo Cesa

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 14

Motion for a resolution

14. Notes that the institutional and operational environment of the ambitious mission to strengthen regional maritime capabilities in the Horn of Africa (EUCAP Nestor) is a crowded one in which it is hard to identify or pinpoint specific aims for Nestor and thus to achieve real results amid the host of international operators, multinational and bilateral, including some implementing other EU measures;

Amendment

14. Welcome the comprehensive engagement of the EU in the Horn of Africa, including with the CSDP missions and operations EUTM Somalia, EUNAVFOR Atalanta and EUCAP Nestor. In that context, Notes that the activity of EUCAP Nestor takes place in a complex the institutional and operational environment with the presence of a host of international operators, including EU ones; in that regard, it invites the Council and the EEAS to rationalize the mission's objectives;

Or. en

**Amendment 80
Arnaud Danjean**

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 14 a (new)**

Motion for a resolution

14a. Hopes that the two civilian missions launched this year, the Council's mission on the reform of the civil security sector in Ukraine (EUAM Ukraine) and the mission to support the internal security forces of Mali (EUCAP Sahel Mali) will effectively fulfil their mandate and focus on clearly identified, measurable and long-term objectives;

Amendment

Or. fr

**Amendment 81
Eduard Kukan**

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 15**

Motion for a resolution

15. Notes that since June 2013 a warehouse facility has existed for the rapid deployment of resources for CSDP civilian missions; considers that if this facility is to be used effectively it should be placed at the service of the relevant heads of mission to meet the needs they identify, ***rather than operating on the basis of Commission decisions***; calls for annual activity reports to be prepared in respect of this warehouse so that the added value it offers in terms of speeding the deployment of civilian missions can be properly assessed;

Amendment

15. Notes that since June 2013 a warehouse facility has existed for the rapid deployment of resources for CSDP civilian missions; considers that if this facility is to be used effectively it should be placed at the service of the relevant heads of mission to meet the needs they identify, ***and operate in close cooperation with the Commission***; calls for annual activity reports to be prepared in respect of this warehouse so that the added value it offers in terms of speeding the deployment of civilian missions can be properly assessed;

Or. en

Amendment 82
Geoffrey Van Orden

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 16

Motion for a resolution

16. ***Welcomes*** the research being conducted with a view to the establishment of a shared services centre to pool resources for CSDP civilian missions; considers that the most effective solution would be to have a single institutional structure inside the EEAS centralising and rationalising services for civilian missions (human resources, IT, logistics etc.), which are currently dispersed within the respective missions;

Amendment

16. ***Notes*** the research being conducted with a view to the establishment of a shared services centre to pool resources for CSDP civilian missions; considers that the most effective solution would be to have a single institutional structure inside the EEAS centralising and rationalising services for civilian missions (human resources, IT, logistics etc.), which are currently dispersed within the respective missions;

Or. en

Amendment 83
Eduard Kukan

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 16

Motion for a resolution

16. Welcomes the research being conducted with a view to the establishment of a shared services centre to pool resources for CSDP civilian missions; considers that the most effective solution would be to have a single institutional structure inside the EEAS centralising and rationalising services for civilian missions (human resources, IT, logistics etc.), which are currently dispersed within the respective missions;

Amendment

16. Welcomes the research being conducted with a view to the establishment of a shared services centre to pool resources for CSDP civilian missions **and make more efficient deployment of the missions; calls for establishment of the shared services centre**, considers that the most effective solution would be to have a single institutional structure inside the EEAS centralising and rationalising services for civilian missions (human resources, IT, logistics etc.), which are currently dispersed within the respective missions;

Or. en

Amendment 84
Javier Nart, Jozo Radoš

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 17

Motion for a resolution

17. Notes that CSDP military operations increasingly tend to be armed forces training missions (e.g. EUTM Mali and EUTM Somalia); **while hailing the success of** such operations, finds it regrettable that missions with an executive remit are rarely envisaged nowadays; considers that, given the persistent threats in our neighbourhood, the EU cannot allow itself to focus exclusively on instruments for a post-crisis context or for supporting exit from crisis, but rather must be capable of intervention across the full spectrum of crisis management;

Amendment

17. Notes that CSDP military operations increasingly tend to be armed forces training missions (e.g. EUTM Mali and EUTM Somalia); **hails the decision of carrying** such operations **but requires its mandate to be adapted to the circumstances of each situation. Considers the units formed need to be totally operational, this is, with offensive capacity**; finds it regrettable that missions with an executive remit are rarely envisaged nowadays; considers that, given the persistent threats in our neighbourhood, the EU cannot allow itself to focus exclusively on instruments for a post-crisis

context or for supporting exit from crisis, but rather must be capable of intervention across the full spectrum of crisis management;

Or. en

Amendment 85
Ana Gomes

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 17

Motion for a resolution

17. Notes that CSDP military operations increasingly tend to be armed forces training missions (e.g. EUTM Mali and EUTM Somalia); while hailing the success of such operations, finds it regrettable that missions with an executive remit are rarely envisaged nowadays; considers that, given the persistent threats in our neighbourhood, the EU cannot allow itself to focus exclusively on instruments for a post-crisis context or for supporting exit from crisis, but rather must be capable of intervention across the full spectrum of crisis management;

Amendment

17. Notes that CSDP military operations increasingly tend to be armed forces training missions (e.g. EUTM Mali and EUTM Somalia); while hailing the success of such operations, finds it regrettable that missions with an executive remit are rarely envisaged nowadays; considers that, given the persistent threats in our neighbourhood, the EU cannot allow itself to focus exclusively on instruments for a post-crisis context or for supporting exit from crisis, but rather must be capable of intervention across the full spectrum of crisis management, *in line with the Charter of the United Nations*;

Or. en

Amendment 86
Geoffrey Van Orden

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 17

Motion for a resolution

17. Notes that CSDP military operations increasingly tend to be armed forces training missions (e.g. EUTM Mali and

Amendment

17. Notes that CSDP military operations increasingly tend to be armed forces training missions (e.g. EUTM Mali and

EUTM Somalia); *while hailing the success of such operations, finds it regrettable that missions with an executive remit are rarely envisaged nowadays; considers that, given the persistent threats in our neighbourhood, the EU cannot allow itself to focus exclusively on instruments for a post-crisis context or for supporting exit from crisis, but rather must be capable of intervention across the full spectrum of crisis management;*

EUTM Somalia);

Or. en

Amendment 87
Tonino Picula

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 18

Motion for a resolution

18. Is dismayed by the problems of force generation encountered when military missions are being launched; notes that, with the exception of EUTM Mali to which 23. Member States are making an effective contribution, current EU military operations involve, in each case, no more than half a dozen Member States; *considers that while* the contribution of third countries reflects the vitality of partnerships under the CSDP, *what it demonstrates most clearly is a certain disaffection on the part of Member States;*

Amendment

18. Is dismayed by the problems of force generation encountered when military missions are being launched; notes that, with the exception of EUTM Mali to which 23. Member States are making an effective contribution, current EU military operations involve, in each case, no more than half a dozen Member States; *welcomes* the contribution of third countries *that* reflects the vitality of partnerships under the CSDP; *calls on Member States to show more affection to EU military operations and contribute accordingly to the resources and capacities they possess for such involvements;*

Or. en

Amendment 88
Ioan Mircea Paşcu

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 18

Motion for a resolution

18. Is dismayed by the problems of force generation encountered when military missions are being launched; notes that, with the exception of EUTM Mali to which 23 Member States are making an effective contribution, current EU military operations involve, in each case, no more than half a dozen Member States; ***considers that while the contribution of third countries reflects the vitality of partnerships under the CSDP, what it demonstrates most clearly is a certain disaffection on the part of Member States;***

Amendment

18. Is dismayed by the ***persistent*** problems of force generation encountered when military missions are being launched; notes that, with the exception of EUTM Mali to which 23 Member States are making an effective contribution, current EU military operations involve, in each case, no more than half a dozen Member States; ***encourages Member States to contribute more forces to operations, when required national capabilities are available; stresses the need for a common and cooperative approach in dealing with force generation problems; considers that the contribution of third countries reflects the vitality of partnerships under the CSDP;***

Or. en

Amendment 89
Ana Gomes

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 18

Motion for a resolution

18. Is dismayed by the problems of force generation encountered when military missions are being launched; notes that, with the exception of EUTM Mali to which 23. Member States are making an effective contribution, current EU military operations involve, in each case, no more than half a dozen Member States; considers that while the contribution of third countries reflects the vitality of partnerships under the CSDP, what it demonstrates most clearly is a certain disaffection on the part of Member States;

Amendment

18. Is dismayed by the problems of force generation encountered when military missions are being launched; notes that, with the exception of EUTM Mali to which 23. Member States are making an effective contribution, current EU military operations involve, in each case, no more than half a dozen Member States; considers that while the contribution of third countries reflects the vitality of partnerships under the CSDP, what it demonstrates most clearly is a certain disaffection on the part of Member States,

which is also the result of defence budget cuts;

Or. en

Amendment 90
Geoffrey Van Orden

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 18

Motion for a resolution

18. Is dismayed by the problems of force generation encountered when military missions are being launched; notes that, with the exception of EUTM Mali to which 23 Member States are making *an effective* contribution, current EU military operations involve, in each case, no more than half a dozen Member States; considers that while the contribution of third countries reflects the vitality of partnerships under the CSDP, what it demonstrates most clearly is a certain disaffection on the part of Member States;

Amendment

18. Is dismayed by the problems of force generation encountered when military missions are being launched; notes that, with the exception of EUTM Mali to which 23 Member States are making *a* contribution, current EU military operations involve, in each case, no more than half a dozen Member States; considers that while the contribution of third countries reflects the vitality of partnerships under the CSDP, what it demonstrates most clearly is a certain disaffection on the part of Member States, *effectively coalitions of the willing which do not require the involvement of the EU;*

Or. en

Amendment 91
Jonás Fernández

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 19

Motion for a resolution

19. Given that Union missions both civilian (EUCAP) and military (EUTM) are focusing on training, *asks whether* a structural policy for putting such missions on a long-term footing, with the provision

Amendment

19. Given that Union missions both civilian (EUCAP) and military (EUTM) are focusing on training, *considers that* a structural policy for putting such missions on a long-term footing, with the provision

of financial and equipment assistance, ought **not** to be introduced; considers that such a new policy, as part of the Union's cooperation and development efforts, would be a means of furthering the work being done under the 'Train and Equip' and 'E2I' initiatives which aim to build the capabilities of third countries (in terms of equipment, materiel, infrastructure and salaries) so that their armed forces are properly operational;

of financial and equipment assistance, ought to be introduced; considers that such a new policy, as part of the Union's cooperation and development efforts, would be a means of furthering the work being done under the 'Train and Equip' and 'E2I' initiatives which aim to build the capabilities of third countries (in terms of equipment, materiel, infrastructure and salaries) so that their armed forces are properly operational;

Or. es

Amendment 92

Javier Nart, Jozo Radoš, Fernando Maura Barandiarán, Ivo Vajgl

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 19

Motion for a resolution

19. Given that Union missions both civilian (EUCAP) and military (EUTM) are focusing on training, asks whether a structural policy for putting such missions on a long-term footing, with the provision of financial and equipment assistance, ought not to be introduced; considers that such a new policy, as part of the Union's cooperation and development efforts, would be a means of furthering the work being done under the 'Train and Equip' and 'E2I' initiatives which aim to build the capabilities of third countries (in terms of equipment, materiel, infrastructure and salaries) so that their armed forces are properly operational;

Amendment

19. Given that Union missions both civilian (EUCAP) and military (EUTM) are focusing on training, asks whether a structural policy for putting such missions on a long-term footing ***with efficient mandates and objectives that adequate the situation they are confronting***, with the provision of financial and equipment assistance, ought not to be introduced; considers that such a new policy, as part of the Union's cooperation and development efforts, would be a means of furthering the work being done under the 'Train and Equip' and 'E2I' initiatives which aim to build the capabilities of third countries (in terms of equipment, materiel, infrastructure and salaries) so that their armed forces are properly operational;

Or. en

Amendment 93
Lorenzo Cesa

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 19

Motion for a resolution

19. Given that Union missions both civilian (EUCAP) and military (EUTM) are focusing on training, asks whether a structural policy for putting such missions on a long-term footing, with the provision of financial and equipment assistance, ought not to be introduced; considers that such a new policy, as part of the Union's cooperation and development efforts, would be a means of furthering the work being done under the 'Train and Equip' and 'E2I' initiatives which aim to build the capabilities of third countries (in terms of equipment, materiel, infrastructure and salaries) so that their armed forces are properly operational;

Amendment

19. Given that Union missions both civilian (EUCAP) and military (EUTM) are focusing on training, asks whether a structural policy for putting such missions on a long-term footing, with the provision of financial and equipment assistance, ought not to be introduced; considers that such a new policy, as part of the Union's cooperation and development efforts, would be a means of furthering the work being done under the 'Train and Equip' and 'E2I' initiatives which aim to build the capabilities of third countries (in terms of equipment, materiel, infrastructure and salaries) so that their armed forces are properly operational **and, in that regard, encourages the Commission to explore innovative sources of financing;**

Or. en

Amendment 94
Marek Jurek

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 20

Motion for a resolution

20. Welcomes the intention of the November 2013 Council to enhance the modularity and flexibility of the EU battlegroups so that they can be deployed for crisis-management tasks of all types; notes, however, that the only progress here to date has been the very limited step of proposing that the Athena mechanism should cover the strategic transport of

Amendment

20. Welcomes the intention of the November 2013 Council to enhance the modularity and flexibility of the EU battlegroups so that they can be deployed for crisis-management tasks of all types; notes, however, that the only progress here to date has been the very limited step of proposing that the Athena mechanism should cover the strategic transport of

battlegroups into theatres of operations;
insists that ***all the Member States should demonstrate a constructive attitude and overcome, once and for all, the political and operational impediments to battlegroup deployment;***

battlegroups into theatres of operations;
insists that ***UE should stop chartered flights from a Russian intermediary company involved in the SALIS (Strategic Airlift Interim Solution) arrangement and come back to the idea to charter Russian 124 transport planes directly from Ukraine, to ensure strategic autonomy of the EU, as a sign of solidarity and help to Ukraine's economy by including this state in cooperation of European defence industries;***

Or. en

Amendment 95
Geoffrey Van Orden

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 20

Motion for a resolution

20. ***Welcomes*** the intention of the November 2013 Council to enhance the modularity and flexibility of the EU battlegroups so that they can be deployed for crisis-management tasks of all types; notes, however, that the only progress here to date has been the very limited step of proposing that the Athena mechanism should cover the strategic transport of battlegroups into theatres of operations; ***insists that all the Member States should demonstrate a constructive attitude and overcome, once and for all, the political and operational impediments to battlegroup deployment;***

Amendment

20. ***Notes*** the intention of the November 2013 Council to enhance the modularity and flexibility of the EU battlegroups so that they can be deployed for crisis-management tasks of all types; notes, however, that the only progress here to date has been the very limited step of proposing that the Athena mechanism should cover the strategic transport of battlegroups into theatres of operations; ***recognises that the lack of a constructive attitude amongst all Member States have been*** political and operational impediments to battlegroup deployment;

Or. en

Amendment 96
Geoffrey Van Orden

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 21

Motion for a resolution

21. ***Welcomes the positive*** message sent out by the last informal Council of Defence Ministers about exploring the potential of Article 44 of the Treaty on European Union; ***finds it regrettable, however, that,*** due to divisions on the subject, no progress has been made in determining how the provisions of the article could be applied; ***believes that the implementation of Article 44 would enable the Union to act considerably more flexibly and faster, thus enhancing its ability to address the threats around it; urges those Member States which are not interested in participating in CSDP operations or which lack the means to do so to take a constructive line by allowing others to act if they so wish;***

Amendment

21. ***Notes the*** message sent out by the last informal Council of Defence Ministers about exploring the potential of Article 44 of the Treaty on European Union; ***recognises that*** due to divisions on the subject, no progress has been made in determining how the provisions of the article could be applied;

Or. en

Amendment 97
Geoffrey Van Orden

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 22

Motion for a resolution

22. ***Calls on the VP/HR to explore, in addition, the potential of other relevant articles of the Lisbon Treaty;***

Amendment

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 98
Arnaud Danjean

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 22

Motion for a resolution

22. Calls on the VP/HR to explore, in addition, the potential of other relevant articles of the Lisbon Treaty;

Amendment

22. Calls on the VP/HR to explore, in addition, the potential of other relevant articles of the Lisbon Treaty, *in particular those relating to the start-up fund (Article 41 TEU), permanent enhanced cooperation (Article 46 TEU), the Solidarity Clause (Article 222 TFEU) and the mutual defence clause (Article 42 TEU)*;

Or. fr

Amendment 99
Geoffrey Van Orden

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 23

Motion for a resolution

23. Calls for serious consideration to be given to the possible use – with arrangements ensuring the necessary modularity – of multilateral HQ structures which are established and have proved their effectiveness on the ground, such as Eurocorps in Strasbourg;

Amendment

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 100
Arnaud Danjean

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 23 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

23a. Welcomes the Council's intention to

consider a clearer strategic framework for the external action of the EU; awaits the forthcoming communication of the HR / VP intended to assess the impacts of changes on the global environment and identify resulting challenges and opportunities for the EU;

Or. fr

Amendment 101
Arnaud Danjean

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 23 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

23b. Welcomes the adoption on 18 November 2014 of the EU Cyber Defence Policy Framework supporting the development of national cyber defence capabilities and the strengthening of the protection of communication networks used for CSDP instruments; hopes that this action plan will mark the beginning of a move towards a more systematic integration of cyber defence in Member States' national security strategies but also an awareness of the importance of cyber defence issues among the institutions of the EU; welcomes the commitment of the Chairman of the EU Military Committee (EUMC) to implement this action plan over the next six months;

Or. fr

Amendment 102
Geoffrey Van Orden

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 24

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

24. Stresses the importance of EU security and defence cooperation with other international institutions, particularly the UN, NATO, the African Union and the OSCE; welcomes the statement from the NATO summit in Wales in September 2014 reasserting support for the development of the CSDP;

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 103
Lorenzo Cesa

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 24

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

24. Stresses the importance of EU security and defence cooperation with other international institutions, particularly the UN, NATO, the African Union and the OSCE; welcomes the statement from the NATO summit in Wales in September 2014 reasserting support for the development of the CSDP;

24. Stresses the importance of EU security and defence cooperation with other international institutions, particularly the UN, NATO, the African Union and the OSCE; welcomes the statement from the NATO summit in Wales in September 2014 reasserting support for the development of the CSDP; ***calls for steps to be taken to strengthen the two organisations;***

Or. it

Amendment 104
Neena Gill, Richard Howitt

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 24

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

24. Stresses the importance of EU security

24. Stresses the importance of EU security

and defence cooperation with other international institutions, particularly the UN, NATO, the African Union and the OSCE; welcomes the statement from the NATO summit in Wales in September 2014 reasserting support for the development of the CSDP;

and defence cooperation with other international institutions, particularly the UN, NATO, the African Union and the OSCE *as well as relevant platforms of regional organisations that are of strategic importance to the EU, such as the ASEAN Defence Ministers Meeting (ADMM) and SAARC mechanisms dealing with security*; welcomes the statement from the NATO summit in Wales in September 2014 reasserting support for the development of the CSDP;

Or. en

Amendment 105

Bodil Ceballos

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 25

Motion for a resolution

25. Considers that national defence budgets have been reduced due to the effects of the 2008 economic and financial crisis and that the reductions have taken place without coordination among the Member States, thus jeopardising *the Union's* strategic autonomy and the ability of its Member States to meet the capacity requirements of their armed forces;

Amendment

25. Considers that national defence budgets have been reduced due to the effects of the 2008 economic and financial crisis and that the reductions have taken place without coordination among the Member States, thus jeopardising, *among other factors such as industrial overcapacities, fragmentation, mismanagement and corruption, the Union's ability to prevent and manage crises and armed conflicts* strategic autonomy and the ability of its Member States to meet the capacity requirements of their armed forces;

Or. en

Amendment 106

Jonás Fernández

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 25

Motion for a resolution

25. Considers that national defence budgets have been reduced due to the effects of the 2008 economic and financial crisis and that the reductions have taken place without coordination among the Member States, thus jeopardising the Union's strategic autonomy and the ability of its Member States to meet the capacity requirements of their armed forces;

Amendment

25. Considers that national defence budgets have been reduced due to the effects of the 2008 economic and financial crisis and that the reductions have taken place without coordination among the Member States, thus jeopardising the Union's strategic autonomy and the ability of its Member States to meet the capacity requirements of their armed forces; ***stresses the importance of upfront planning on strategic investment in the purchase and renovation of equipment among Member States;***

Or. es

Amendment 107
Ana Gomes

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 25

Motion for a resolution

25. Considers that national defence budgets have been reduced due to the effects of the 2008 economic and financial crisis and that the reductions have taken place without coordination among the Member States, thus jeopardising the Union's strategic autonomy and the ability of its Member States to meet the capacity requirements of their armed forces;

Amendment

25. Considers that national defence budgets have been reduced due to the effects of the 2008 economic and financial crisis and that the reductions have taken place without coordination among the Member States, thus jeopardising the Union's strategic autonomy and the ability of its Member States to meet the capacity requirements of their armed forces ***and in detriment of the Union's responsibilities and potential as a global security provider;***

Or. en

Amendment 108
Geoffrey Van Orden

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 25

Motion for a resolution

25. Considers that national defence budgets have been reduced due to the effects of *the 2008* economic and financial crisis ***and that the reductions have taken place without coordination among the Member States, thus jeopardising the Union's strategic autonomy and the ability of its Member States to meet the capacity requirements of their armed forces;***

Amendment

25. Considers that national defence budgets have been reduced due to the effects of economic and financial crisis ***as well as lack of political will and that there is a collective failure to fully appreciate the range and intensity of the security threats to which the democracies are increasingly exposed;***

Or. en

Amendment 109
Ana Gomes

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 25 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

25a. deplores the fact that, the blind austerity measures imposed on bailed-out Member States with strong military and maritime traditions and naval capabilities, such as Portugal, Greece, Ireland and Spain, is having a negative impact on EU security and strategic interests and assets, forcing them to sell the control of ports and maritime routes, shipyards, naval technology industries and other critical maritime and naval infrastructure to competing powers, in blatant contradiction with the objectives of the European Maritime Security Strategy and the European Security Strategy;

Or. en

Amendment 110
Ana Gomes

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 25 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

25b. Strongly believes that the EU has a vital interest in a secure, open and clean maritime environment that allows the free passage of commerce and people and the peaceful, legal, fair and sustainable use of the oceans' riches; believes that the EU institutional framework, both civilian and military, should, therefore, be further developed in order to implement the European Maritime Security Strategy; notes that most of the strategic assets, critical infrastructures and capabilities are under the control of Member States and that their willingness to enhance cooperation is paramount for European security;

Or. en

Amendment 111
Ana Gomes

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 25 c (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

25c. Calls on the HR/VP to map EU Member States' facilities in strategic locations – such as the Lajes Air Base in the Azores, Portugal, from which the USA's disengagement opens the possibility for the Base to be leased to China –, which should be put at the service of European security, in the framework of the CSDP, to develop

specific naval and air operations and enhance the capacity to counter proliferation, terrorism, piracy, cybercrime and all sorts of organised crime;

Or. en

Amendment 112
Geoffrey Van Orden

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 26

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

26. Welcomes the adoption by the Council of 18 November 2014 of a policy framework for systematic, long-term defence cooperation based on the convergence of capability planning processes and on information exchange;

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 113
Arnaud Danjean

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 26

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

26. Welcomes the adoption by the Council of 18 November 2014 of a policy framework for systematic, long-term defence cooperation based on the convergence of capability planning processes and on information exchange;

26. Welcomes the adoption by the Council of 18 November 2014 of a policy framework for systematic, long-term defence cooperation based on the convergence of capability planning processes and on information exchange; *points out that, to that end, Member States should continue to implement the EDA's Code of Conduct on Pooling & Sharing equipment, so as to anticipate much more effectively future capability gaps and*

*systematise cooperation on the
development of capabilities;*

Or. fr

Amendment 114

Michael Gahler

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 26

Motion for a resolution

26. Welcomes the adoption by the Council of 18 November 2014 of a policy framework for systematic, long-term defence cooperation based on the convergence of capability planning processes and on information exchange;

Amendment

26. Welcomes the adoption by the Council of 18 November 2014 of a policy framework for systematic, long-term defence cooperation based on the convergence of capability planning processes and on information exchange; *calls on the VP/HR to provide proof of specific measures which will be taken to strengthen defence cooperation; regarding the uncoordinated increase in bi- or multilateral defence cooperation, calls on the Member States to launch permanent structure cooperation (PESCO) as means for better coordination and to use EU financing for peacetime cooperation; calls on the VP/HR to deliver realistic plans for the successful launch of PESCO;*

Or. en

Amendment 115

Geoffrey Van Orden

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 27

Motion for a resolution

27. Welcomes the adoption by the November 2014 Council of the European

Amendment

27. Welcomes the adoption by the November 2014 Council of the European

Defence Agency (EDA) Capability Development Plan (CDP) setting out the 16 priorities for capability development; welcomes, too, the EDA's work through the collaborative database (Codaba) identifying the scope for cooperation among Member States and thus paving the way for various forms of cooperation to be instituted; urges the Member States, in developing their military capabilities, to have regard to these tools;

Defence Agency (EDA) Capability Development Plan (CDP) setting out the 16 priorities for capability development; welcomes, too, the EDA's work through the collaborative database (Codaba) identifying the scope for cooperation among Member States and thus paving the way for various forms of cooperation to be instituted; urges the Member States, in developing their military capabilities, to have regard to these tools; ***calls for strict avoidance of duplication of initiatives already underway elsewhere and for greater attention to identifying ways in which real value can be added;***

Or. en

Amendment 116
Tunne Kelam

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 27 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

27a. Welcomes the adoption by the Council of 18 November 2014 of a EU cyber defence policy framework setting out 5 priorities for the CSDP cyber defence and clarifying the role of different actors; underlines the importance of achieving common level of cyber security among Member States in order to adequately advance with cooperation in cyber defence; points out the imminent threat in cyber domain and underlines the need for resilience and readiness in the EU to respond to cyber crisis also in the CSDP context and thus encourages all Member States to significantly level up their development of cyber defence capabilities with no delay; stresses the need for synergies and complementarities of the civilian and military domains of cyber security and

defence in the EU; underlines the importance of stepping up cyber defence cooperation with NATO;

Or. en

Amendment 117
Arnaud Danjean

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 28

Motion for a resolution

28. Is surprised that there are as yet no European-level tax incentives to cooperation and pooling; takes note of the call by the December 2013 Council for such arrangements to be explored, and finds it regrettable that, a year on, discussions have not yet produced any tangible measures in this regard; notes that the Belgian Government already grants VAT exemptions, on an ad hoc basis, to the preparatory phases of certain EDA projects, e.g. for satellite communications; believes that such exemptions should be applied as a matter of course and should be extended to infrastructure and to specific capability-related programmes;

Amendment

28. Is surprised that there are as yet no European-level tax incentives to cooperation and pooling; takes note of the call by the December 2013 Council for such arrangements to be explored, and finds it regrettable that, a year on, discussions have not yet produced any tangible measures in this regard; notes that the Belgian Government already grants VAT exemptions, on an ad hoc basis, to the preparatory phases of certain EDA projects, e.g. for satellite communications; believes that such exemptions should be applied as a matter of course and should be extended to infrastructure and to specific capability-related programmes, *taking as a model the existing mechanism within NATO or the existing EU mechanism for civilian research infrastructures; calls for the development of any other incentive that could encourage capability cooperation between Europeans;*

Or. fr

Amendment 118
Geoffrey Van Orden

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 28

PE546.824v01-00

66/89

AM\1047490EN.doc

Motion for a resolution

28. **Is surprised that there are as yet no European-level tax incentives to cooperation and pooling; takes note of the call by the December 2013 Council for such arrangements to be explored, and finds it regrettable that, a year on, discussions have not yet produced any tangible measures in this regard;** notes that the Belgian Government already grants VAT exemptions, on an ad hoc basis, to the preparatory phases of certain EDA projects, e.g. for satellite communications; believes that such exemptions should be applied as a matter of course and should be extended to infrastructure and to specific capability-related programmes;

Amendment

28. **Remains committed to the principle that policy relating to taxation is entirely a matter for individual Member States;** notes that the Belgian Government already grants VAT exemptions, on an ad hoc basis, to the preparatory phases of certain EDA projects, e.g. for satellite communications; believes that such exemptions should be applied as a matter of course and should be extended to infrastructure and to specific capability-related programmes;

Or. en

Amendment 119
Geoffrey Van Orden

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 29

Motion for a resolution

29. **Welcomes** the existence of cooperation models such as European Airlift Transport Command (EATC) and **applauds** the fact that this system continues to expand to include new Member States; **finds it regrettable** that although such a model has existed for several years it has not yet been adapted for use with other types of defence capability; **calls for the EATC model** to be applied to other spheres of operational support as a means of addressing serious capability shortfalls;

Amendment

29. **Notes** the existence of **non-EU** cooperation models such as European Airlift Transport Command (EATC) and the fact that this system continues to expand to include new Member States; **notes** that although such a model has existed for several years it has not yet been adapted for use with other types of defence capability; **believes that such cooperation should continue to be negotiated and operated on a bilateral and multi-lateral basis between allies and** to be applied to other spheres of operational support as a means of addressing serious capability shortfalls;

Amendment 120
Arnaud Danjean

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 30

Motion for a resolution

30. Notes that minimal progress has been made on projects for pooling and sharing; welcomes the advances that have been made on air-to-air refuelling with the acquisition of a fleet of multirole tanker transport aircraft; finds it regrettable that only a very few Member States have so far participated in the project, and calls on those Member States which lack this type of capability to become involved;

Amendment

30. Notes that minimal progress has been made on projects for pooling and sharing; welcomes the advances that have been made on air-to-air refuelling with the acquisition of a fleet of multirole tanker transport aircraft; finds it regrettable that only a very few Member States have so far participated in the project, and calls on those Member States which lack this type of capability to become involved; ***takes the view that Member States should pursue the pooling and sharing of projects, focusing on the 16 capability areas they have identified with the EDA and the EUMS through the CDP;***

Amendment 121
Ana Gomes

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 30

Motion for a resolution

30. Notes that minimal progress has been made on projects for pooling and sharing; welcomes the advances that have been made on air-to-air refuelling with the acquisition of a fleet of multirole tanker transport aircraft; finds it regrettable that ***only a*** very few Member States have so far participated in the project, and calls on

Amendment

30. Notes that minimal progress has been made on projects for pooling and sharing; welcomes the advances that have been made on air-to-air refuelling with the acquisition of a fleet of multirole tanker transport aircraft; finds it regrettable that very few Member States have so far participated in the project, and calls on

those Member States which lack this type of capability to become involved;

those Member States which lack this type of capability to become involved;

Or. en

Amendment 122
Geoffrey Van Orden

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 30

Motion for a resolution

30. Notes that minimal progress has been made on projects for pooling and sharing; **welcomes** the advances that have been made on air-to-air refuelling with the acquisition of a fleet of multirole tanker transport aircraft; **finds it regrettable** that only a very few Member States have so far participated in the project, and calls on those Member States which lack this type of capability to become involved;

Amendment

30. Notes that minimal progress has been made on projects for pooling and sharing; **notes** the advances that have been made on air-to-air refuelling with the acquisition of a fleet of multirole tanker transport aircraft; **notes** that only a very few Member States have so far participated in the project, and calls on those Member States which lack this type of capability to become involved;

Or. en

Amendment 123
Bodil Ceballos
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 31

Motion for a resolution

31. **Welcomes the Council's** intention to develop projects for pooling critical technologies, e.g. remotely piloted aircraft systems (RPAS) and Governmental Satcom; notes that a regulatory framework is needed for the initial integration of RPAS into **Europe's** air traffic system by 2016, taking due account of civilian and military requirements;

Amendment

31. **Notes the Council's** intention to develop projects for pooling critical technologies, e.g. remotely piloted aircraft systems (RPAS) and Governmental Satcom; notes that a regulatory framework is needed for the initial integration of RPAS into **Europe's** air traffic system by 2016, taking due account of civilian and military requirements; **urges the adoption**

of an EU Common Position aiming at establishing a legal framework for the use of armed drones in line with the relevant European Parliament resolution adopted on 27 February 2014;

Or. en

Amendment 124
Michael Gahler

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 31

Motion for a resolution

31. Welcomes the Council's intention to develop projects for ***pooling critical technologies***, e.g. remotely piloted aircraft systems (RPAS) and Governmental Satcom; notes that a regulatory framework is needed for the initial integration of RPAS into Europe's air traffic system by 2016, taking due account of civilian and military requirements;

Amendment

31. Welcomes the Council's intention to develop projects for ***stepping up European capabilities***, e.g. remotely piloted aircraft systems (RPAS) and Governmental Satcom; notes that a regulatory framework is needed for the initial integration of RPAS into Europe's air traffic system by 2016, taking due account of civilian and military requirements; ***calls on the European Commission to outline how the Horizon 2020 funds for civil-military research can be used for the insertion of RPAS in the European airspace;***

Or. en

Amendment 125
Ana Gomes

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 31

Motion for a resolution

31. Welcomes the ***Council's*** intention to develop projects for pooling critical technologies, e.g. remotely piloted aircraft systems (RPAS) and Governmental

Amendment

31. Welcomes the ***Council's*** intention to develop projects for pooling critical technologies, e.g. remotely piloted aircraft systems (RPAS) and Governmental

Satcom; notes that a regulatory framework is needed for the initial integration of RPAS into *Europe's* air traffic system by 2016, taking due account of civilian and military requirements;

Satcom; notes that a regulatory framework is needed for the initial integration of RPAS into *Europe's* air traffic system by 2016, taking due account of civilian and military requirements, *as well as the need to comply with International Law*;

Or. en

Amendment 126

Marietje Schaake, Jozo Radoš

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 31

Motion for a resolution

31. Welcomes the Council's intention to develop projects for pooling critical technologies, e.g. remotely piloted aircraft systems (RPAS) and Governmental Satcom; notes that a regulatory framework is needed for the initial integration of RPAS into Europe's air traffic system by 2016, taking due account of civilian and military requirements;

Amendment

31. Welcomes the Council's intention to develop projects for pooling critical technologies, e.g. remotely piloted aircraft systems (RPAS) and Governmental Satcom; notes that a regulatory framework is needed for the initial integration of RPAS into Europe's air traffic system by 2016, taking due account of civilian and military requirements; *as well as democratic and judicial oversight*;

Or. en

Amendment 127

Geoffrey Van Orden

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 31

Motion for a resolution

31. **Welcomes** the Council's intention to develop projects for pooling critical technologies, e.g. remotely piloted aircraft systems (RPAS) and Governmental Satcom; notes that a regulatory framework is needed for the initial integration of

Amendment

31. **Notes** the Council's intention to develop projects for pooling critical technologies, e.g. remotely piloted aircraft systems (RPAS) and Governmental Satcom; notes that a regulatory framework is needed for the initial integration of

RPAS into Europe's air traffic system by 2016, taking due account of civilian and military requirements;

RPAS into Europe's air traffic system by 2016, taking due account of civilian and military requirements;

Or. en

Amendment 128
Jonás Fernández

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 31 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

31a. Stresses that investment is needed in highly skilled human capital and in R&I capable of tackling the challenges entailed by global dual (civilian and military) threats and dangers, such as cybersecurity, cyberattacks and cyberterrorism;

Or. es

Amendment 129
Jonás Fernández

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 32

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

32. Welcomes the progress made on EU satellite services (Galileo, Copernicus, EGNOS); considers that such space services, particularly Copernicus, ought to be put on an operational footing to help meet the high-resolution satellite imaging needs of CSDP missions and operations; welcomes the launch of the Ariane 6 project; finds it regrettable that, for technical and commercial reasons, the Union still buys Russian launch equipment despite its aim of achieving a certain level

32. Welcomes the progress made on EU satellite services (Galileo, Copernicus, EGNOS); considers that such space services, particularly Copernicus, ought to be put on an operational footing to help meet the high-resolution satellite imaging needs of CSDP missions and operations; welcomes the launch of the Ariane 6 project; finds it regrettable that, for technical and commercial reasons, the Union still buys Russian launch equipment despite its aim of achieving a certain level

of strategic autonomy;

of strategic autonomy ***and highlights, therefore, the need to make progress on developing technologies that have both civilian and military uses and which will safeguard our independence;***

Or. es

Amendment 130
Geoffrey Van Orden

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 32

Motion for a resolution

32. ***Welcomes*** the progress made on ***EU*** satellite services (Galileo, Copernicus, EGNOS); considers that such space services, particularly Copernicus, ought to be put on an operational footing to help meet ***the*** high-resolution satellite imaging needs ***of CSDP missions and operations;*** welcomes the launch of the Ariane 6 project; ***finds it regrettable that, for technical and commercial reasons,*** the Union still buys Russian launch equipment despite its aim of achieving a certain level of strategic autonomy;

Amendment

32. ***Notes*** the progress made on satellite services (Galileo, Copernicus, EGNOS); considers that such space services, particularly Copernicus, ought to be put on an operational footing to help meet high-resolution satellite imaging needs; welcomes the launch of the Ariane 6 project; ***notes that*** the Union still buys Russian launch equipment despite its aim of achieving a certain level of strategic autonomy;

Or. en

Amendment 131
Neena Gill

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 33

Motion for a resolution

33. Considers that the Union could adopt the same capacity targets as NATO, requiring a minimum level of defence spending of 2% of GDP and a minimum

Amendment

deleted

20% share of the defence budget for major equipment needs, including for research and development;

Or. en

Amendment 132

Bodil Ceballos

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 33

Motion for a resolution

33. Considers that the Union could adopt the same capacity targets as NATO, requiring a minimum level of defence spending of 2% of GDP and a minimum 20% share of the defence budget for major equipment needs, including for research and development;

Amendment

33. Recalls that severe capability shortcomings have already existed before the financial and economic crisis which has resulted in some Member States in defence budget cuts; also reminds that these many capability shortcomings are the result of a highly fragmented defence market, inefficient industrial overcapacities, mismanagement, corruption and a lack of coordination and cooperation between Member States agencies and defence administrations;

Or. en

Amendment 133

Tonino Picula

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 33

Motion for a resolution

33. Considers that the Union could adopt the same capacity targets as NATO, requiring a minimum level of defence spending of 2% of GDP and a minimum 20% share of the defence budget for major equipment needs, including for research

Amendment

33. Calls for consideration of the possibility for Union to adopt the same capacity targets as NATO, requiring a minimum level of defence spending of 2% of GDP and a minimum 20% share of the defence budget for major equipment needs,

and development;

including for research and development;
Reiterates that increased allocation of funds must be accompanied with greater oversight of the missions and increased transparency of their funding;

Or. en

Amendment 134

Lorenzo Cesa

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 33

Motion for a resolution

33. Considers that the Union could adopt the same capacity targets as NATO, requiring a minimum level of defence spending of 2% of GDP and a minimum 20% share of the defence budget for major equipment needs, including for research and development;

Amendment

33. Considers that the Union could adopt the same capacity targets as NATO, requiring a minimum level of defence spending of 2% of GDP and a minimum 20% share of the defence budget for major equipment needs, including for research and development; ***believes that a 10-year time frame should be set for meeting those targets;***

Or. it

Amendment 135

Ioan Mircea Paşcu

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 33

Motion for a resolution

33. Considers that the Union could ***adopt*** the same capacity targets as NATO, requiring a minimum level of defence spending of 2% of GDP and a minimum 20% share of the defence budget for major equipment needs, including for research and development;

Amendment

33. Considers that the Union could ***consider adopting*** the same capacity targets as NATO, requiring a minimum level of defence spending of 2% of GDP and a minimum 20% share of the defence budget for major equipment needs, including for research and development; ***based on the existing full complementarity between the two security organisations,***

that have 22 members in common;

Or. en

Amendment 136
Geoffrey Van Orden

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 33

Motion for a resolution

33. *Considers that the Union could adopt the same capacity targets as NATO*, requiring a minimum level of defence spending of 2% of GDP and a minimum 20% share of the defence budget for major equipment needs, including for research and development;

Amendment

33. *Calls on the Union to encourage members to meet NATO capacity targets*, requiring a minimum level of defence spending of 2% of GDP and a minimum 20% share of the defence budget for major equipment needs, including for research and development;

Or. en

Amendment 137
Fernando Maura Barandiarán, Javier Nart

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 33 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

33a. Expresses its surprise that there is still no common EU strategy to tackle new challenges concerning EU security, in particular terrorism, cybersecurity and espionage by third countries; points to the need to strengthen and further deepen cooperation between EU Member States in regard to the exchange of information from the intelligence services;

Amendment

Or. es

Amendment 138
Jonás Fernández

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 34

Motion for a resolution

34. **Regards** the defence markets as highly specific ones for various reasons: public purchasing is virtually the sole source of demand; the number of companies in the marketplace is limited; products spend a long time in development and then in service; and certain technologies are of a strategic nature;

Amendment

34. **Welcomes the European Commission proposal aimed at improving SME access to** the defence markets, **which are** highly specific ones **at present** for various reasons: public purchasing is virtually the sole source of demand; the number of companies in the marketplace is limited; products spend a long time in development and then in service; and certain technologies are of a strategic nature;

Or. es

Amendment 139
Geoffrey Van Orden

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 34

Motion for a resolution

34. **Regards** the defence markets as highly specific ones for various reasons: public purchasing is virtually the sole source of demand; the number of companies in the marketplace is limited; products spend a long time in development and then in service; and certain technologies are of a strategic nature;

Amendment

34. **Regards** the defence markets as highly specific ones for various reasons: public purchasing is virtually the sole source of demand; the number of companies in the marketplace is limited; products spend a long time in development and then in service; and certain technologies are of a strategic nature; **views with concern the ambitions to involve the European institutions, which inevitably include the European Commission and the European Court of Justice, in this area;**

Or. en

Amendment 140
Bogdan Andrzej Zdrojewski, Jerzy Buzek

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 35

Motion for a resolution

35. Takes note of the Commission communication of July 2013 entitled ‘Towards a more competitive and efficient defence and security sector’ and of the roadmap of June 2014 on its implementation with its proposals, in particular, for improved implementation of the single market directives 2009/81/EC and 2009/43/EC;

Amendment

35. Takes note of the Commission communication of July 2013 entitled ‘Towards a more competitive and efficient defence and security sector’ and of the roadmap of June 2014 on its implementation with its proposals, in particular, for improved implementation of the single market directives 2009/81/EC and 2009/43/EC, ***without prejudice to Member States’ sovereign rights as established in Article 346 of the TFEU;***

Or. pl

Amendment 141
Arnaud Danjean

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 36

Motion for a resolution

36. Believes that all the measures in question are contingent on the prior joint determination of what falls within the European Defence Technological and Industrial Base (EDTIB) so that potential beneficiary companies or strategic activities can be targeted;

Amendment

36. Believes that all the measures in question are contingent on the prior joint determination of what falls within the European Defence Technological and Industrial Base (EDTIB) so that potential beneficiary companies or strategic activities can be targeted; ***takes the view that this definition could be based in particular on a number of criteria such as: the development within the EU of equipment and technology; control by the company of the property and utilisation rights for the equipment and technology thus developed; and the assurance in the event of foreign ownership, that foreign owners do not have excessive voting***

rights, which would jeopardise the company's control over its activities;

Or. fr

Amendment 142

Michael Gahler

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 36

Motion for a resolution

36. Believes that all the measures in question are contingent on the prior joint determination of what falls within the European Defence Technological and Industrial Base (EDTIB) so that potential beneficiary companies or strategic activities can be targeted;

Amendment

36. Believes that all the measures in question are contingent on the prior joint determination of what falls within the European Defence Technological and Industrial Base (EDTIB) so that potential beneficiary companies or strategic activities can be targeted; ***highlights the need to define European critical defence assets (i.e. key industrial capacities and critical technologies);***

Or. en

Amendment 143

Bogdan Andrzej Zdrojewski, Jerzy Buzek

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 36

Motion for a resolution

36. Believes that all the measures in question are contingent on the prior joint determination of what falls within the European Defence Technological and Industrial Base (EDTIB) so that potential beneficiary companies or strategic activities can be targeted;

Amendment

36. Believes that all the measures in question are contingent on the prior joint determination of what falls within the European Defence Technological and Industrial Base (EDTIB) so that potential beneficiary companies or strategic activities can be targeted, ***with due regard for the capacity differences between the Member States' defence industries;***

Amendment 144
Marek Jurek

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 36

Motion for a resolution

36. Believes that all the measures in question are contingent on the prior joint determination of what falls within the European Defence Technological and Industrial Base (EDTIB) so that potential beneficiary companies or strategic activities can be targeted;

Amendment

36. Believes that all the measures in question are contingent on the prior joint determination of what falls within the European Defence Technological and Industrial Base (EDTIB) so that potential beneficiary companies or strategic activities can be targeted; ***in accordance with the principle of solidarity and equality, this process, cannot ignore the Member States of smaller industrial and research potentials;***

Or. en

Amendment 145
Geoffrey Van Orden

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 36

Motion for a resolution

36. ***Believes*** that all the measures in question are contingent on the prior joint determination of what falls within the European Defence Technological and Industrial Base (EDTIB) so that potential beneficiary companies or strategic activities can be targeted;

Amendment

36. ***Notes*** that all the measures in question are contingent on the prior joint determination of what falls within the European Defence Technological and Industrial Base (EDTIB) so that potential beneficiary companies or strategic activities can be targeted;

Or. en

Amendment 146
Michael Gahler

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 36 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

36a. Recalls that with the entry into force of the Lisbon Treaty the EU's industrial, space and research policies extend to the defence remit; points out that Union programmes in other areas such as internal and border security, disaster management and development offer a significant prospect of jointly developing capabilities relevant to those policies and to the conduct of CSDP missions; calls on the Commission to set up permanent procedures for the cooperation between the Commission, the EEAS, the EDA and Member States in the fields of common market, industry, space, research and development; calls on the Commission to create a permanent link between EU bodies and agencies from the areas of internal security (Frontex, Europol, ENISA) and external security and defence (European Defence Agency, EEAS);

Or. en

Amendment 147
Arnaud Danjean

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 36 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

36a. Notes the Commission's proposals for the better implementation of Directives 2009/81/EC (defence and security procurement) and 2009/43/EC (transfers of defence-related products within the internal market); considers it necessary to

determine also what qualifies as equipment and technology of high strategic value and is covered neither by Directive 2009/81/EC (equipment for essential security interests) nor by Directive 2004/18/EC (equipment whose use is related, but not specific, to the field of defence); believes that European companies operating in this sector need specific legal and financial arrangements allowing them to be competitive, thereby safeguarding the strategic autonomy of the EU;

Or. fr

Amendment 148
Arnaud Danjean

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 37

Motion for a resolution

37. Notes the Council's intention to implement European supply security arrangements under which the Member States would engage in mutual assistance, responding rapidly to their respective defence requirements; is awaiting a Commission roadmap setting out the *relevant* implementation options;

Amendment

37. Notes the Council's intention to implement European supply security arrangements under which the Member States would engage in mutual assistance, responding rapidly to their respective defence requirements; is awaiting a Commission roadmap setting out the implementation options *for these arrangements and the green paper due to be published on controlling foreign investments in strategic defence companies;*

Or. fr

Amendment 149
Michael Gahler

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 37

PE546.824v01-00

82/89

AM\1047490EN.doc

Motion for a resolution

37. Notes the Council's intention to implement European supply **security** arrangements under which the Member States would engage in mutual assistance, responding rapidly to their respective defence requirements; is awaiting a Commission roadmap setting out the relevant implementation options;

Amendment

37. Notes the Council's intention to implement European **security of** supply arrangements under which the Member States would engage in mutual assistance, responding rapidly to their respective defence requirements; is awaiting a Commission roadmap setting out the relevant implementation options; **welcomes the adoption of EDA's enhanced framework arrangement for security of supply between Member States as an important voluntary, legally non-binding, mechanism for the Member States to enhance mutual support and assistance on security of supply; calls on EDA and the European Commission to develop jointly additional means and initiatives to promote EU wide security of supply and support Member States in implementing the new framework arrangement;**

Or. en

Amendment 150
Francisco José Millán Mon

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 37 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

37a. Calls on the Commission to clearly identify and mobilise European financial means and instruments aimed at helping to set up a European Common Defence Industry Market;

Or. en

Amendment 151
Marietje Schaake, Jozo Radoš

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 37 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

37a. Welcomes the adoption of the changes to the Wassenaar regime's export control lists with regard to surveillance and intrusion technologies, which have recently also been implemented at European level; stresses however, that more is needed to prevent the uncontrolled production and export of technologies that can be used to attack the EU's critical infrastructure and violate human rights; calls on the Commission, therefore, to come forward with a proposal for revision of the dual-use export regulation as soon as possible;

Or. en

Amendment 152
Bodil Ceballos
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 38

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

38. Believes that no government can embark alone on genuinely large-scale research and technology (R&T) programmes; ***welcomes, therefore, the Commission's proposals for developing synergies between civilian and defence research; also welcomes the initiation of 'Preparatory Actions' and hopes that, in the realm of the CSDP, the next step will be funding, under the forthcoming multiannual financial framework, for a relevant research strand;***

38. Believes that no government can embark alone on genuinely large-scale research and technology (R&T) programmes; ***recalls that around 80% of investments in defence are still being spend nationally; notes the Commission's proposals for developing synergies between civilian and defence research; rejects the initiation of 'Preparatory Actions' and similar initiatives intended to use the EU budget for defence research;***

Or. en

Amendment 153
Jonás Fernández

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 38

Motion for a resolution

38. Believes that no government can embark alone on genuinely large-scale research and technology (R&T) programmes; welcomes, therefore, the Commission's proposals for developing synergies between civilian and defence research; also welcomes the initiation of 'Preparatory Actions' and hopes that, in the realm of the CSDP, the next step will be funding, under the forthcoming multiannual financial framework, for a relevant research strand;

Amendment

38. Believes that no government can embark alone on genuinely large-scale research and technology (R&T) programmes, ***this being one of the main arguments for the EU having independent security and defence arrangements and to ensure the competitiveness of our industry***; welcomes, therefore, the Commission's proposals for developing synergies between civilian and defence research; also welcomes the initiation of 'Preparatory Actions' and hopes that, in the realm of the CSDP, the next step will be funding, under the forthcoming multiannual financial framework, for a relevant research strand;

Or. es

Amendment 154
Michael Gahler

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 38

Motion for a resolution

38. Believes that no government can embark alone on genuinely large-scale research and technology (R&T) programmes; welcomes, therefore, the Commission's proposals for developing synergies between civilian and defence research; also welcomes the initiation of 'Preparatory Actions' and hopes that, in the realm of the CSDP, the next step will be funding, under the forthcoming

Amendment

38. Believes that no government can embark alone on genuinely large-scale research and technology (R&T) programmes; ***recalls the Council declaration on strengthening capabilities of December 2008 and the commitment of the Member States to achieve the collective target of 2 % of our defence spending on research funding; calls on the VP/HR and head of the EDA to***

multiannual financial framework, for a relevant research strand;

provide data where we stand in this regard; welcomes, therefore, the Commission's proposals for developing synergies between civilian and defence research; also welcomes the initiation of 'Preparatory Actions' and hopes that, in the realm of the CSDP, the next step will be funding, under the forthcoming multiannual financial framework, for a relevant research strand; *highlights the importance to implement a pilot project on CSDP research done jointly by the European Commission and EDA as proposed by the Parliament in the budget 2015 in view of the Agency implementing Union objectives and Union budget; regrets in this context that the Commission did not provide the Parliament with an assessment of the potential of art. 185 TFEU as requested in its resolution of 21 November 2013 on the European Defence Technological and Industrial Base (2013/2125(INI));*

Or. en

Amendment 155
Christian Ehler

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 38

Motion for a resolution

38. Believes that no government can embark alone on genuinely large-scale research and technology (R&T) programmes; welcomes, therefore, the Commission's proposals for developing synergies between civilian and defence research; also welcomes the initiation of 'Preparatory Actions' and hopes that, in the realm of the CSDP, the next step will be funding, under the forthcoming multiannual financial framework, for a

Amendment

38. Believes that no government can embark alone on genuinely large-scale research and technology (R&T) programmes, welcomes, therefore, the Commission's proposals for developing synergies between civilian and defence research; *highlights in this context that the Security Research Programme of Horizon 2020 offers considerable possibilities to develop capacities in this regard and calls on the Commission and on Member States to support the research*

relevant research strand;

mission supporting the Union's external policies including technological development in the area of dual-use technologies to enhance interoperability between civil protection and military forces as stated in the Specific Programme establishing Horizon 2020 and calls on the Commission and the Member States to include respective research activities in the annual Work Programmes; also welcomes the initiation of 'Preparatory Actions' and hopes that, in the realm of the CSDP, the next step will be funding, under the forthcoming multiannual financial framework, for a relevant research strand;

Or. en

Amendment 156

Bogdan Andrzej Zdrojewski, Jerzy Buzek

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 38

Motion for a resolution

38. Believes that no government can embark alone on genuinely large-scale research and technology (R&T) programmes; welcomes, therefore, the Commission's proposals for developing synergies between civilian and *defence* research; also welcomes the initiation of 'Preparatory Actions' and hopes that, in the realm of the CSDP, the next step will be funding, under the forthcoming multiannual financial framework, for a relevant research strand;

Amendment

38. Believes that no government can embark alone on genuinely large-scale research and technology (R&T) programmes; welcomes, therefore, the Commission's proposals for developing synergies between civilian and *security* research; also welcomes the initiation of 'Preparatory Actions' and hopes that, in the realm of the CSDP, the next step will be funding, under the forthcoming multiannual financial framework, for a relevant research strand;

Or. pl

Amendment 157

Geoffrey Van Orden

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 38

Motion for a resolution

38. Believes that **no government can** embark alone on genuinely large-scale research and technology (R&T) programmes; **welcomes, therefore**, the Commission's proposals for developing synergies between civilian and defence research; also **welcomes** the initiation of 'Preparatory Actions' and **hopes** that, in the realm of the CSDP, the next step will be funding, under the forthcoming multiannual financial framework, for a relevant research strand;

Amendment

38. Believes that **Member States are entitled to** embark alone on genuinely large-scale research and technology (R&T) programmes **when it is in their national interest; notes**, the Commission's proposals for developing synergies between civilian and defence research; also **notes** the initiation of 'Preparatory Actions' and that, in the realm of the CSDP, the next step will be funding, under the forthcoming multiannual financial framework, for a relevant research strand;

Or. en

Amendment 158
Geoffrey Van Orden

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 39

Motion for a resolution

39. Calls, at the same time, for the utmost vigilance to be exercised, be it in relation to governance issues, intellectual property rights or the co-financing of, and rules for participation in, the defence Preparatory Action; calls for the Member States to be fully involved in the decision-making process with a view to avoiding bureaucratic excesses and for it to be ensured that the programmes included address the strategic needs of **the CSDP and the Member States**;

Amendment

39. Calls, at the same time, for the utmost vigilance to be exercised, be it in relation to governance issues, intellectual property rights or the co-financing of, and rules for participation in, the defence Preparatory Action; calls for the Member States to be fully involved in the decision-making process with a view to avoiding bureaucratic excesses and for it to be ensured that the programmes included address the strategic needs of **Member States and defence allies**;

Or. en

Amendment 159
Arnaud Danjean

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 39 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

39a. Recalls the highly sensitive and strategic nature of defence research, both for industrial competitiveness and for the strategic autonomy of the EU, and requests the adoption of an appropriate intellectual property policy in connection with security and defence in order to protect the results of research; awaits proposals from the Commission but also from the defence industries on this point;

Or. fr

Amendment 160
Michael Gahler

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 40

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

40. Notes the Commission's proposals for promoting the introduction of common standards and certification procedures for defence equipment; awaits the EDA and Commission roadmap for the development of industrial standards for the defence sector, as well as the EDA and EASA options for improving mutual recognition of military certification in the EU;

40. Notes the Commission's proposals for promoting the introduction of common standards and certification procedures for defence equipment; awaits the EDA and Commission roadmap for the development of industrial standards for the defence sector, as well as the EDA and EASA options for improving mutual recognition of military certification in the EU; ***regrets the reluctance of European standardisation organisations to deliver standardization seals for defence products;***

Or. en