



2017/2029(INI)

12.6.2017

AMENDMENTS

1 - 157

Draft report
Bodil Valero
(PE604.505v01-00)

Arms export: implementation of Common Position 2008/944/CFSP
(2017/2029(INI))

Amendment 1

Bodil Valero

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Citation 4

Motion for a resolution

— having regard to Council Decision **2012/711/CFSP of 19 November 2012 on support for Union activities in order to promote, among third countries, the control of arms exports and the principles and criteria of the Common Position⁴**,

⁴ *OJ L 321, 20.11.2012, p. 62.*

Amendment

— having regard to Council Decision **2015/2309/CFSP of 10 December 2015 on the promotion of effective arms export controls^{4a} and Council Decision 2017/915 on EU outreach and activities in support of the implementation of the Arms Trade Treaty (ATT)^{4b}**,

^{4a} *OJ L 326, 11.12.2015, p. 56.*

^{4b} *OJ L 139, 30.05.2017, p. 38.*

Or. en

Amendment 2

Bodil Valero

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Citation 5

Motion for a resolution

— having regard to the updated Common Military List of the European Union adopted by the Council on **9 February 2015⁵**,

⁵ *OJ C 107, 9.4.2014, p. 1.*

Amendment

— having regard to the updated Common Military List of the European Union adopted by the Council on **6 March 2017^{5a}**,

^{5a} *OJ C 97, 28.03.2017, p.1.*

Or. en

Amendment 3
Inés Ayala Sender

Motion for a resolution
Citation 8 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

- *having regard to Council Decision (CFSP) 2015/2309 of 10 December 2015 on the promotion of effective arms export controls,^{1a}*

^{1a} *O J L 326, 11.12.2015, p. 56*

Or. es

Amendment 4
Inés Ayala Sender

Motion for a resolution
Citation 8 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

- *having regard to Council Decision 2013/768/CFSP of 16 December 2013 on EU activities in support of the implementation of the Arms Trade Treaty, in the framework of the European Security Strategy,^{1a}*

^{1a} *O J L 341, 18.12.2013, p.56*

Or. es

Amendment 5
Inés Ayala Sender

Motion for a resolution
Citation 8 c (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

- *having regard to Council Decision (CFSP) 2017/915 of 29 May 2017 on Union outreach activities in support of the implementation of the Arms Trade Treaty,^{1 a}*

^{1 a} *OJ L 139, 30.5.2017, p. 38*

Or. es

Amendment 6

Bodil Valero

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Citation 12 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

- *having regard to its resolution on private security companies of XXX 2017,*

Or. en

Amendment 7

Soraya Post

Motion for a resolution

Recital –A (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

-A. whereas once violence, by weapons or by other means, has occurred, the state has failed its first and foremost human rights duty of protecting its citizens;

Or. en

Amendment 8
Tunne Kelam, Michael Gahler

Motion for a resolution
Recital –A (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

-A. *whereas there is the inherent right of individual or collective self-defence according to the Art. 51 of the Charter of the UN;*

Or. en

Amendment 9
Sabine Lösing

Motion for a resolution
Recital A a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Aa. *whereas arms exports and transfers have an impact on human security, human rights, democracy, good governance and socio-economic development; whereas arms exports also contribute to circumstances, forcing people to flee from their countries; whereas this necessitates a strict, transparent, effective and commonly accepted and defined arms control system;*

Or. en

Amendment 10
Sabine Lösing

Motion for a resolution
Recital B

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

B. whereas the latest figures¹⁵ show that exports from the EU28 amounted to 26 % of the global total in 2012-2016, which makes the EU28 collectively the second largest arms supplier in the world, after the USA (33 %) and before Russia (23 %);

¹⁵ *ibid.*

B. whereas the latest figures¹⁵ show that exports from the EU28 amounted to 26 % of the global total in 2012-2016, which makes the EU28 collectively the second largest arms supplier in the world, after the USA (33 %) and before Russia (23 %); ***whereas, according to COARM's most recent report, the countries of the European Union granted arms export licences to a total value of EUR 94.40 billion in 2014;***

¹⁵ *ibid.*

Or. en

Amendment 11 **Arnaud Danjean**

Motion for a resolution **Recital B**

Motion for a resolution

B. whereas the latest figures¹⁵ show that exports from the EU28 amounted to 26 % of the global total in 2012-2016, ***which makes the EU28 collectively the second largest arms supplier in the world, after the USA (33 %) and before Russia (23 %);***

¹⁵ *ibid.*

Amendment

B. whereas the latest figures¹⁵ show that exports from the EU28 amounted to 26 % of the global total in 2012-2016;

¹⁵ *ibid.*

Or. en

Amendment 12 **Bodil Valero** on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution **Recital C**

Motion for a resolution

C. whereas the latest figures¹⁶ show that arms exports to the Middle East rose by 86 % and accounted for 29 % of global **imports** in 2012-16;

¹⁶ *ibid.*

Amendment

C. whereas the latest figures¹⁶ show that arms exports to the Middle East rose by 86 % and accounted for 29 % of global **exports** in 2012-16;

¹⁶ *ibid.*

Or. en

Amendment 13
Geoffrey Van Orden

Motion for a resolution
Recital E

Motion for a resolution

E. whereas some arms transfers from EU Member States to unstable and crisis-prone regions and countries were used in armed conflict or for the purposes of internal repression; whereas some of these transfers were reportedly diverted into the hands of terrorist groups, for example in Syria and Iraq;

Amendment

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 14
Fabio Massimo Castaldo

Motion for a resolution
Recital E

Motion for a resolution

E. whereas some arms transfers from EU Member States to unstable and crisis-prone regions and countries were used in armed conflict or for the purposes of internal repression; whereas some of these transfers were reportedly diverted into the

Amendment

E. whereas some arms transfers from EU Member States to unstable and crisis-prone regions and countries were used in armed conflict or for the purposes of internal repression; whereas some of these transfers were reportedly diverted into the

hands of terrorist groups, for example in Syria and Iraq;

hands of terrorist groups, for example in Syria and Iraq; *whereas, in some cases, the arms exported to certain countries, for example Saudi Arabia, have apparently been used for bloody conflicts such as that in Yemen;*

Or. it

Amendment 15 **Sabine Lösing**

Motion for a resolution **Recital E**

Motion for a resolution

E. whereas some arms transfers from EU Member States to unstable and crisis-prone regions and countries were used in armed conflict or for the purposes of internal repression; whereas some of these transfers were reportedly diverted into the hands of terrorist groups, for example in Syria and Iraq;

Amendment

E. whereas some arms transfers from EU Member States to unstable and crisis-prone regions and countries were used in armed conflict or for the purposes of internal repression; whereas some of these transfers were reportedly diverted into the hands of terrorist groups, for example in Syria and Iraq; *whereas such exports are clearly violating the Common Position on Arms Exports and thus highlighting the necessity for better scrutiny and transparency;*

Or. en

Amendment 16 **Arnaud Danjean**

Motion for a resolution **Recital E**

Motion for a resolution

E. whereas some arms transfers from EU Member States to unstable and crisis-prone regions and countries *were* used in armed conflict or for the purposes of

Amendment

E. whereas some arms transfers from EU Member States to unstable and crisis-prone regions and countries *could be* used

internal repression; *whereas some of these transfers were reportedly diverted into the hands of terrorist groups, for example in Syria and Iraq;*

in armed conflict or for the purposes of internal repression;

Or. en

Amendment 17

Bodil Valero

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Recital E

Motion for a resolution

E. whereas some arms transfers from EU Member States to unstable and crisis-prone regions and countries were used in armed conflict or for *the purposes of* internal repression; whereas some of these transfers were reportedly diverted into the hands of terrorist groups, for example in Syria and Iraq;

Amendment

E. whereas some arms transfers from EU Member States to unstable and crisis-prone regions and countries were used in armed conflict or for internal repression; whereas some of these transfers were reportedly diverted into the hands of terrorist groups, for example in Syria and Iraq;

Or. en

Amendment 18

Sabine Lösing

Motion for a resolution

Recital E a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Ea. whereas there is no standardised verification and reporting system providing information as to whether, and to what extent, individual Member States' exports violate the eight criteria, and whereas there are no sanctions mechanisms, either, should a Member State engage in exports which are clearly not compatible with the eight criteria;

Amendment

Amendment 19
Soraya Post

Motion for a resolution
Recital E a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Ea. whereas the victims of violence come from a diverse background, an extremely wide majority of the perpetrators are men;

Or. en

Amendment 20
Sabine Lösing

Motion for a resolution
Recital E b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Eb. whereas investigations by the Bonn International Conversion Centre (BICC) have revealed that in Germany alone in 2015, for example, 4 256 arms export licences were issued for exports to 83 countries, that were rated problematic with a view to the Common Position on Arms Exports^{1a};

^{1a} 2016 report on arms exports, Gemeinsame Konferenz Kirche und Entwicklung (GKKE) (Joint Conference on Church and Development), p. 54.

Or. en

Amendment 21

Raffaele Fitto

Motion for a resolution

Recital F

Motion for a resolution

F. whereas both the global and regional security environment has dramatically changed, especially with regard to the Union's southern and eastern neighbourhood, and this highlights the urgent need to improve methodologies with regard to producing information for export licensing risk assessments;

Amendment

F. whereas both the global and regional security environment has dramatically changed, especially with regard to the Union's southern and eastern neighbourhood, and this highlights the urgent need to improve methodologies with regard to producing information for export licensing risk assessments ***and to make them more secure;***

Or. it

Amendment 22

Soraya Post

Motion for a resolution

Recital F a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Fa. whereas the security policies of Member States often are focused on national and military issues, the gender sensitive aspects of security, that of the personal integrity and personal freedom, are the most important and in acute need to be addressed and resolved;

Or. en

Amendment 23

Inés Ayala Sender

Motion for a resolution

Recital F a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Fa. whereas risk prevention in conflict situations is more effective than risk control, and whereas action to combat social exclusion, eradicate poverty and promote intercultural dialogue helps maintain stability and security;

Or. es

Amendment 24

Bodil Valero

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Recital F a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Fa. whereas some Member States have recently signed strategic agreements on military cooperation including transfers of large quantities and high quality military technology with non-democratic countries in the MENA region;

Or. en

Amendment 25

Inés Ayala Sender

Motion for a resolution

Recital F b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Fb. whereas, as enshrined in the Lisbon Treaty, eradicating poverty is the primary objective of EU development policy, and whereas this is also one of the priorities of the EU's external action in seeking to build a more stable and prosperous world; whereas supplying weapons to countries in conflict, as well as enabling the spread of violence,

curtails those countries' development potential;

Or. es

Amendment 26
Arnaud Danjean

Motion for a resolution
Recital G

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

G. *whereas the industrial landscape of defence in Europe is characterised by overcapacities, duplications and fragmentation, which consequently encourages expansive export policies;*

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 27
Sabine Lösing

Motion for a resolution
Recital G

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

G. whereas the industrial landscape of defence in Europe is characterised by overcapacities, duplications and fragmentation, which consequently encourages expansive export policies;

G. whereas the industrial landscape of defence in Europe is characterised by overcapacities, duplications and fragmentation, which consequently encourages expansive export policies; ***whereas this problem can only successfully be addressed by developing a comprehensive strategy for the conversion of military companies into firms manufacturing civilian products;***

Or. en

Amendment 28
Raffaele Fitto

Motion for a resolution
Recital G

Motion for a resolution

G. whereas the industrial landscape of defence in Europe is characterised by ***overcapacities, duplications and fragmentation***, which ***consequently encourages*** expansive export policies;

Amendment

G. whereas the industrial landscape of defence in Europe is ***a sector of key importance and is, at the same time, characterised by fragmentation and by the duplication of certain programmes and research activities in this field***, which ***can act as an incentive towards*** expansive export policies;

Or. it

Amendment 29
Tunne Kelam, Michael Gahler

Motion for a resolution
Recital G

Motion for a resolution

G. whereas the industrial landscape of defence in Europe is characterised by overcapacities, duplications and fragmentation, which ***consequently encourages expansive*** export policies;

Amendment

G. whereas the industrial landscape of defence in Europe is characterised by overcapacities, duplications and fragmentation, which ***has led to expanding*** export policies;

Or. en

Amendment 30
Philippe Juvin

Motion for a resolution
Recital G

Motion for a resolution

G. whereas the industrial landscape of defence in Europe is characterised by

Amendment

G. whereas the industrial landscape of defence in Europe is characterised by

overcapacities, duplications and fragmentation, which consequently encourages expansive export policies;

duplications and fragmentation, which acts as a brake on the competitiveness of the defence industry;

Or. fr

Amendment 31
Philippe Juvin

Motion for a resolution
Recital G a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Ga. whereas the defence industry is of considerable economic importance in Europe, with an overall turnover of EUR 100 billion per annum, and provides employment, directly and indirectly, for 1.4 million workers in Europe;

Or. fr

Amendment 32
Philippe Juvin

Motion for a resolution
Recital H

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

H. whereas the European Parliament resolution of 25 February 2016 on the humanitarian situation in Yemen called on the High Representative of the Union for Foreign Affairs and Security Policy and Vice-President of the Commission to launch an initiative to impose an EU arms embargo on Saudi Arabia;

deleted

Or. fr

Amendment 33
Geoffrey Van Orden

Motion for a resolution
Recital H

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

H. *whereas the European Parliament resolution of 25 February 2016 on the humanitarian situation in Yemen called on the High Representative of the Union for Foreign Affairs and Security Policy and Vice-President of the Commission to launch an initiative to impose an EU arms embargo on Saudi Arabia;*

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 34
Bodil Valero
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Recital H a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Ha. *whereas the situation in Yemen has since further deteriorated also due to military action carried out by the Saudi-led coalition; whereas some Member States have stopped providing arms to Saudi-Arabia because of its actions in Yemen while others have continued supplying military technology contrary to criteria 2, 4, 6, 7 and 8;*

Or. en

Amendment 35
Geoffrey Van Orden

Motion for a resolution

Recital I

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

I. whereas the European Parliament resolution of 14 December 2016 on the Annual Report on human rights and democracy in the world and the European Union's policy on the matter 2015 stressed that human rights as a criteria for export licences are a priority, and called on the Member States to agree to move towards a more modern, flexible and human rights-based export policy, especially in relation to countries with proven track records of violent internal repression and human rights violations;

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 36

Tunne Kelam

Motion for a resolution

Recital I

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

I. whereas the European Parliament resolution of 14 December 2016 on the Annual Report on human rights and democracy in the world and the European Union's policy on the matter 2015 stressed that human rights ***as a criteria for export licences are*** a priority, and called on the Member States to agree to move towards a more modern, flexible and human rights-based export policy, especially in relation to countries with proven track records of violent internal repression and human rights violations;

I. whereas the European Parliament resolution of 14 December 2016 on the Annual Report on human rights and democracy in the world and the European Union's policy on the matter 2015 stressed that human rights ***should be*** a priority, and called on the Member States to agree to move towards a more modern, flexible and human rights-based export policy, especially in relation to countries with proven track records of violent internal repression and human rights violations;

Or. en

Amendment 37
Soraya Post

Motion for a resolution
Recital I a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Ia. whereas militarism and military technology do not create long-term, sustainable and real peace, and that military investments take resources from social investments, as increased military budgets will reduce welfare investments;

Or. en

Amendment 38
Soraya Post

Motion for a resolution
Recital I b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Ib. Encourages Member States to implement policies that aim to build societies free from violence of any kind;

Or. en

Amendment 39
Raffaele Fitto

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

1. Notes that states have the legitimate right to acquire military technology for the purposes of self-defence; recalls that one of the motivations behind the establishment of the Common Position was to prevent European weaponry from being used

1. Notes that states have the legitimate right to acquire military technology for the purposes of self-defence; recalls that one of the motivations behind the establishment of the Common Position was to prevent European weaponry from being used

against Member States' armed forces; reiterates that the Common Position is a legally binding framework that sets minimum requirements which Member States have to apply in the field of arms export controls, and that it includes the obligation to assess a request for an export licence against all eight criteria listed in it;

against Member States' armed forces; ***stresses the right of the Member States to proceed in accordance with their own national policies in compliance with international law; notes, however, that the eight criteria are often interpreted and implemented unevenly across the EU Member States in terms of rigour and effectiveness;*** reiterates, ***therefore,*** that the Common Position is a legally binding framework that sets minimum requirements which Member States have to apply in the field of arms export controls, and that it includes the obligation to assess a request for an export licence against all eight criteria listed in it;

Or. it

Amendment 40
Tunne Kelam, Michael Gahler

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1

Motion for a resolution

1. Notes that states have the legitimate right to acquire military technology for the purposes of self-defence; recalls that one of the motivations behind the establishment of the Common Position was to prevent European weaponry from being used against Member States' armed forces; reiterates that the Common Position is a legally binding framework that sets minimum requirements which Member States have to apply in the field of arms export controls, and that it includes the obligation to assess a request for an export licence against all eight criteria listed in it;

Amendment

1. Notes that states have the legitimate right to acquire military technology for the purposes of self-defence; ***underlines that maintaining and strengthening a defence industry serves as part of the self-defence of the Member States and collectively as of the EU;*** recalls that one of the motivations behind the establishment of the Common Position was to prevent European weaponry from being used against Member States' armed forces; reiterates that the Common Position is a legally binding framework that sets minimum requirements which Member States have to apply in the field of arms export controls, and that it includes the obligation to assess a request for an export licence against all eight criteria listed in it;

Amendment 41
Marietje Schaake, Javier Nart

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1

Motion for a resolution

1. Notes that states have the legitimate right to acquire military technology for the purposes of self-defence; recalls that one of the motivations behind the establishment of the Common Position was to prevent European weaponry from being used against Member States' armed forces; reiterates that the Common Position is a legally binding framework that sets minimum requirements which Member States have to apply in the field of arms export controls, and that it includes the obligation to assess a request for an export licence against all eight criteria listed in it;

Amendment

1. Notes that states have the legitimate right to acquire military technology for the purposes of self-defence; recalls that one of the motivations behind the establishment of the Common Position was to prevent European weaponry from being used against Member States' armed forces, **as well as to prevent human rights abuses or the prolongation of (internal) armed conflict**; reiterates that the Common Position is a legally binding framework that sets minimum requirements which Member States have to apply in the field of arms export controls, and that it includes the obligation to assess a request for an export licence against all eight criteria listed in it;

Amendment 42
Sabine Lösing

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1

Motion for a resolution

1. ***Notes that states have the legitimate right to acquire military technology for the purposes of self-defence***; recalls that one of the motivations behind the establishment of the Common Position was to prevent European weaponry from being used against Member

Amendment

1. Recalls that one of the motivations behind the establishment of the Common Position was to prevent European weaponry from being used against Member States' armed forces; reiterates that the Common Position is a legally binding framework that sets minimum

States' armed forces; reiterates that the Common Position is a legally binding framework that sets minimum requirements which Member States have to apply in the field of arms export controls, and that it includes the obligation to assess a request for an export licence against all eight criteria listed in it;

requirements which Member States have to apply in the field of arms export controls, and that it includes the obligation to assess a request for an export licence against all eight criteria listed in it;

Or. en

Amendment 43 **Georgios Epitideios**

Motion for a resolution **Paragraph 1**

Motion for a resolution

1. Notes that states have the legitimate right to acquire military technology for the purposes of self-defence; recalls that one of the motivations behind the establishment of the Common Position was to prevent European weaponry from being used against Member States' armed forces; reiterates that the Common Position is a legally binding framework that *sets* minimum requirements which Member States have to apply in the field of arms export controls, *and that it includes* the obligation to assess a request for an export licence against all eight criteria listed in it;

Amendment

1. Notes that states have the legitimate right to acquire military technology for the purposes of self-defence; recalls that one of the motivations behind the establishment of the Common Position was to prevent European weaponry from being used against Member States' armed forces; reiterates that the Common Position is a legally binding framework that *is able to set only* minimum requirements which Member States have to apply in the field of arms export controls *and include* the obligation to assess a request for an export licence against all eight criteria listed in it;

Or. el

Amendment 44
Bodil Valero
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution **Paragraph 1**

Motion for a resolution

1. Notes that states have the legitimate right to acquire military technology for the purposes of self-defence; recalls that one of the motivations behind the establishment of the Common Position was to prevent European weaponry from being used against Member States' armed forces; reiterates that the Common Position is a **legally** binding framework that sets minimum requirements which Member States have to apply in the field of arms export controls, and that it includes the obligation to assess a request for an export licence against all eight criteria listed in it;

Amendment

1. Notes that states have the legitimate right to acquire military technology for the purposes of self-defence; recalls that one of the motivations behind the establishment of the Common Position was to prevent European weaponry from being used against Member States' armed forces; reiterates that the Common Position is a binding framework that sets minimum requirements which Member States have to apply in the field of arms export controls, and that it includes the obligation to assess a request for an export licence against all eight criteria listed in it;

Or. en

Amendment 45

Bodil Valero

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

1a. Notes that the defence industry and the yet to be developed European Defence Technological and Industrial Base should serve as one instrument for guaranteeing the security and defence of Member States, Union citizens and a reinforced CFSP/CSDP; reiterates that Article 10 of the Common Position states that considerations of economic, commercial and industrial interests by Member States shall not affect the application of the eight criteria regulating arms exports;

Or. en

Amendment 46
Tunne Kelam, Michael Gahler

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

1a. Underlines that European defence technological and industrial base contributes to the implementation of the Common Foreign and Security Policy, in particular the Common Security and Defence Policy;

Or. en

Amendment 47
Geoffrey Van Orden

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

1a. Acknowledges that most of the arms in the hands of insurgents and terrorist groups have come from non-European sources, primarily Russia and China;

Or. en

Amendment 48
Bodil Valero
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 2

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

2. *Stresses*, however, that military technology *is being exported to non-eligible* destinations and end users; is

2. *Observes*, however, that military technology *has been transferred to* destinations and end users *that do not meet*

alarmed at arms races and at the fact that military approaches to solve political conflict and turmoil are increasingly prioritised over diplomatic and other non-violent approaches, such as conflict prevention;

the criteria of the Common Position; believes that it is time to launch a process leading to a mechanism which sanctions those Member States which do not comply with the Common Position; is alarmed at arms races and at the fact that military approaches to solve political conflict and turmoil are increasingly prioritised over diplomatic and other non-violent approaches, such as conflict prevention;

Or. en

Amendment 49
Arnaud Danjean

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 2

Motion for a resolution

2. *Stresses*, however, that military technology is being exported to non-eligible destinations and end users; *is alarmed at arms races and at the fact that military approaches to solve political conflict and turmoil are increasingly prioritised over diplomatic and other non-violent approaches, such as conflict prevention;*

Amendment

2. *Notes*, however, that military technology is *sometimes* being exported to non-eligible destinations and end users;

Or. en

Amendment 50
Philippe Juvin

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 2

Motion for a resolution

2. *Stresses, however, that military technology is being exported to non-eligible destinations and end users; is*

Amendment

2. is alarmed at arms races and at the fact that military approaches to solve political conflict and turmoil are

alarmed at arms races and at the fact that military approaches to solve political conflict and turmoil are increasingly prioritised over diplomatic and other non-violent approaches, such as conflict prevention;

increasingly prioritised over diplomatic and other non-violent approaches, such as conflict prevention;

Or. fr

Amendment 51
Tunne Kelam

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 2

Motion for a resolution

2. ***Stresses***, however, that military technology ***is being exported to*** non-eligible destinations and end users; ***is alarmed at arms races and at the fact that military approaches to solve political conflict and turmoil are increasingly prioritised over*** diplomatic and other non-violent approaches, such as conflict prevention;

Amendment

2. ***Notes***, however, that military technology ***has reached*** non-eligible destinations and end users; ***underlines that conflicts should be solved by*** diplomatic means as a priority;

Or. en

Amendment 52
Jean-Luc Schaffhauser

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 2

Motion for a resolution

2. ***Stresses, however, that military technology is being exported to non-eligible destinations and end users; is alarmed at arms races and at the fact that military approaches to solve political conflict and turmoil are increasingly prioritised over*** diplomatic and other non-

Amendment

2. ***Stresses that some Member States have strategic capacities in the field of arms production and exports that are a matter of sovereignty; emphasises that by extenuating these arms exports, the EU would be undermining the security and defence capabilities of Europe as a whole;***

violent approaches, such as conflict prevention;

Or. fr

Amendment 53
Georgios Epitideios

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 2

Motion for a resolution

2. Stresses, however, that military technology is being exported to non-eligible destinations and end users; is alarmed at arms races and at the fact that military approaches to solve political conflict and turmoil are increasingly prioritised over diplomatic and other non-violent approaches, such as conflict prevention;

Amendment

2. Stresses, however, that military technology is being exported to non-eligible destinations and end users, ***unfortunately, without the EU being able to prevent this development;*** is alarmed at arms races and at the fact that military approaches to solve political conflict and turmoil are increasingly prioritised over diplomatic and other non-violent approaches, such as conflict prevention;

Or. el

Amendment 54
Geoffrey Van Orden

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 2

Motion for a resolution

2. ***Stresses, however, that military technology is being exported to non-eligible destinations and end users; is alarmed at arms races and at the fact that military approaches to solve political conflict and turmoil are increasingly prioritised over diplomatic and other non-violent approaches, such as conflict prevention;***

Amendment

2. ***Recognises that the EU has a very strong arms export control regime that all EU Member States are signatories to the UN Arms Trade Treaty; calls for universalisation of the Treaty and for more focus on those countries that are not signatories, including Russia and China;***

Amendment 55

Kati Piri

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 2

Motion for a resolution

2. Stresses, however, that military technology is being exported to non-eligible destinations and end users; is ***alarmed at arms races and at the fact that military approaches to solve political conflict and turmoil are increasingly prioritised over diplomatic and other non-violent approaches, such as conflict prevention;***

Amendment

2. Stresses, however, that military technology is being exported to non-eligible destinations and end users; is ***concerned that the proliferation of weapon systems in wartime and in situations with significant political tension, may disproportionately affect civilians.***

Or. en

Amendment 56

Javier Nart, Norica Nicolai, Urmas Paet

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 2 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

2a. Demands the strict application of the Common Position 2008/944/CFSP regarding EU arms production permits for non-EU producers, producing outside EU territory;

Or. en

Amendment 57

Marietje Schaake, Javier Nart

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 3

Motion for a resolution

3. Urges the Member States and the European External Action Service (EEAS) to significantly improve the consistency of the implementation of the Common Position in order to enhance the security of civilians who are suffering because of conflict and human rights abuses in third countries, **as well as** the security of the Union and its citizens;

Amendment

3. Urges the Member States and the European External Action Service (EEAS) to significantly improve the consistency of the implementation of the Common Position in order to enhance the security of civilians who are suffering because of conflict and human rights abuses in third countries, the security of the Union and its citizens **and a level playing field for EU companies; stresses in this regard, that a consistent implementation of the Common Position is essential for the EU's credibility as a values-based global actor;**

Or. en

Amendment 58
Georgios Epitideios

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 3

Motion for a resolution

3. Urges the Member States and the European External Action Service (EEAS) to significantly improve the consistency of the implementation of the Common Position in order to enhance the security of civilians who are suffering because of conflict and human rights abuses in third countries, as well as the security of the Union and its citizens;

Amendment

3. Urges the Member States and the European External Action Service (EEAS) to significantly improve the consistency of the implementation of the Common Position in order to enhance the security of civilians who are suffering because of conflict and human rights abuses in third countries, as well as the security of the Union and its citizens; **and to significantly reduce or eliminate the likelihood that similar situations will recur in the future;**

Or. el

Amendment 59
James Carver

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 3

Motion for a resolution

3. Urges the Member States *and the European External Action Service (EEAS)* to significantly improve the consistency of the implementation of the Common Position in order to enhance the security of civilians who are suffering because of conflict and human rights abuses in third countries, as well as the security of *the Union and its* citizens;

Amendment

3. Urges the Member States to significantly improve the consistency of the implementation of the Common Position in order to enhance the security of civilians who are suffering because of conflict and human rights abuses in third countries, as well as the security of *their* citizens;

Or. en

Amendment 60
Kati Piri

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 3

Motion for a resolution

3. Urges the Member States and the European External Action Service (EEAS) to significantly improve the consistency of the implementation of the Common Position in order to enhance the security of civilians who are suffering because of conflict and human rights abuses in third countries, *as well as the security of the Union and its citizens*;

Amendment

3. Urges the Member States and the European External Action Service (EEAS) to significantly improve the consistency of the implementation of the Common Position in order to enhance the security of civilians who are suffering because of conflict and human rights abuses in third countries.

Or. en

Amendment 61
Tunne Kelam, Michael Gahler

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 3 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

3a. Welcomes that Albania, Bosnia and Herzegovina, Canada, Georgia, Iceland, Macedonia, Montenegro and Norway have aligned themselves with the criteria and principles of the Common Position and by that pursue also further alignment with CFSP and CSDP; calls on EEAS to encourage especially European countries to align with the Common Position to ensure a securer wider European area;

Or. en

**Amendment 62
Inés Ayala Sender**

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 3 a (new)**

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

3a. Calls on the Member States and the EEAS to cooperate closely to prevent risks arising from the diverting and stockpiling of weapons, such as illegal arms trafficking and smuggling; stresses the risk of weapons exported to third countries re-entering the EU via arms smuggling and trafficking;

Or. es

**Amendment 63
Arnaud Danjean**

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 4**

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

4. Believes that the export licensing risk assessment methodology should incorporate a precautionary principle and

deleted

that Member States which focus on whether specific military technology might be used for internal repression or other undesired ends (functional approach) should also consider assessing risks based on the overall situation in the country of destination (principled approach);

Or. en

Amendment 64
Eugen Freund

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 4

Motion for a resolution

4. Believes that the export licensing risk assessment methodology should incorporate a precautionary principle and that Member States which focus on whether specific military technology might be used for internal repression or other undesired ends (functional approach) should also consider assessing risks based on the overall situation in the country of destination (principled approach);

Amendment

4. Believes that the export licensing risk assessment methodology should incorporate a precautionary principle and that Member States which focus on whether specific military technology might be used for internal repression or other undesired ends (functional approach) should also consider assessing risks based on the overall situation in the country of destination (principled approach); *demands that, in the case of arms exports from countries of the European Union, care should also be taken to ensure that the ultimate purpose for which the arms will be used is consistent with the strategic objectives of the European Union and that the principles of the neutrality of European Member States will not thereby be infringed;*

Or. de

Amendment 65
Fabio Massimo Castaldo

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 4

Motion for a resolution

4. Believes that the export licensing risk assessment methodology should incorporate a precautionary principle and that Member States ***which focus on*** whether specific military technology might be used for internal repression or other undesired ends (functional approach) should also ***consider assessing*** risks based on the overall situation in the country of destination (principled approach);

Amendment

4. Believes that the export licensing risk assessment methodology should incorporate a precautionary principle and that Member States, ***in addition to assessing*** whether specific military technology might be used for internal repression or other undesired ends (functional approach), should also ***assess*** risks based on the overall situation in the country of destination (principled approach);

Or. it

Amendment 66
Jean-Luc Schaffhauser

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 4

Motion for a resolution

4. Believes that the ***export licensing risk assessment methodology should incorporate a precautionary principle and that Member States which focus on whether specific military technology might be used for internal repression or other undesired ends (functional approach) should also consider assessing risks based on the overall situation in the country of destination (principled approach)***;

Amendment

4. Believes that the ***irresponsible diplomacy pursued by certain Western States and the EU (support for Islamists in Syria, Libya and Turkey, etc.) works in favour of diversions of arms shipments; calls therefore for the direct and indirect costs of that diplomacy to be assessed, as these are doubtless greater than the lack of harmonisation since, in line with the principle of subsidiarity, arms exporting companies are solely the concern of their states***;

Or. fr

Amendment 67
Inés Ayala Sender

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 4 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

4a. *Asks the Member States and the EEAS to develop a dedicated strategy to provide formal protection to whistleblowers reporting practices by organisations and companies in the weapons industry that breach the criteria and principles set out in the Common Position; stresses that procedures concerning the granting of export licences place particular pressure on whistleblowers; emphasises that whistleblowers should receive a specific status and protection given the risks they run in reporting wrongdoing, within the general legal framework for their protection;*

Or. es

Amendment 68
Fabio Massimo Castaldo

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 4 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

4a. *Takes the view that the real problem is the approximate implementation and inconsistent interpretation of the Common Position by the Member States and considers it crucial, therefore, that a uniform and ambitious implementation of the eight criteria be promoted; notes, in this regard, that there are no sanctions mechanisms in case of infringement of the criteria, and considers it advisable to make provision for arrangements to conduct independent checks, in addition to sanctions*

mechanisms where the Common Position is infringed;

Or. it

Amendment 69
Marietje Schaake, Javier Nart

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 4 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

4a. Stresses the importance of coherence between all the Unions export control regimes, especially as regards the interpretation of the control criteria; reiterates also the importance of coherence between export control and other foreign policy instruments, as well as trade instruments, such as the Generalised System of Preferences and the Conflict Minerals Regulation;

Or. en

Amendment 70
Javier Nart, Norica Nicolai, Urmas Paet

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 4 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

4a. Notes the extreme security liability for the Union arising from the absence of a stronger support and commitment from the European Union on the decommissioning of the many arms stockpiles still existing in Bosnia and Herzegovina, Albania or Ukraine;

Or. en

Amendment 71
Arnaud Danjean

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 4 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

4a. Recalls that the development of defence equipment is an important tool for strengthening the industrial and technological base of European defence and believes that the defence industry should serve as an instrument for implementing a strengthened CSDP;

Or. en

Amendment 72
Sabine Lösing

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 4 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

4a. Notes the Common Position is unrestricted in scope and, accordingly, the eight criteria apply also to exports within the EU and to arms transfers to countries closely associated with the EU;

Or. en

Amendment 73
Marietje Schaake, Javier Nart

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 4 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

4b. Reiterates the detrimental effect that the uncontrolled export of cyber-surveillance technologies by EU companies can have on the security of the EU's digital infrastructure and on human rights; stresses in this regard, the importance of a rapid, effective and comprehensive update of the EU's dual-use regulation and calls upon the Council to keep an ambitious timeline on this issue;

Or. en

Amendment 74

Bodil Valero

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 5 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

5a. Reiterates its call to the VP/HR to launch an initiative aimed at imposing an EU arms embargo against countries that are accused of serious allegations of breaches of international humanitarian law, notably with regard to the deliberate targeting of civilian infrastructure; stresses once again that the continued licensing of weapons sales to such countries constitutes a breach of the Common Position;

Or. en

Amendment 75

Bodil Valero

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 5 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

5b. *Deplores that arms are being supplied to Egypt in contravention of both the criteria of the Common Position and the 2013 Council conclusions;*

Or. en

Amendment 76
Tunne Kelam

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 6

Motion for a resolution

6. Notes that according to the Annual Reports, criterion 2 was invoked 72 times for denials in 2014 and 89 times in 2015; deplores the fact that the data reveal the lack of a common approach to the situation in Syria, Iraq and Yemen in particular; *encourages* the Member States and the EEAS to *embark on a discussion on the extension* of criterion 2 *to include democratic governance indicators, as such assessment criteria could help establish further safeguards against the unintended negative consequences of exports*; believes, furthermore, that a more principled approach to risk assessment would focus on overall respect of international humanitarian and human rights law by the recipient;

Amendment

6. Notes that according to the Annual Reports, criterion 2 was invoked 72 times for denials in 2014 and 89 times in 2015; deplores the fact that the data reveal the lack of a common approach to the situation in Syria, Iraq and Yemen in particular; *calls on* the Member States and the EEAS to *ensure consistent and strict application* of criterion 2; believes, furthermore, that a more principled approach to risk assessment would focus on overall respect of international humanitarian and human rights law by the recipient;

Or. en

Amendment 77
James Carver

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 6

Motion for a resolution

6. Notes that according to the Annual Reports, criterion 2 was invoked 72 times for denials in 2014 and 89 times in 2015; deplores the fact that the data reveal the lack of a common approach to the situation in Syria, Iraq and Yemen in particular; encourages the Member States **and the EEAS** to embark on a discussion on the extension of criterion 2 to include democratic governance indicators, as such assessment criteria could help establish further safeguards against the unintended negative consequences of exports; believes, furthermore, that a more principled approach to risk assessment would focus on overall respect of international humanitarian and human rights law by the recipient;

Amendment

6. Notes that according to the Annual Reports, criterion 2 was invoked 72 times for denials in 2014 and 89 times in 2015; deplores the fact that the data reveal the lack of a common approach to the situation in Syria, Iraq and Yemen in particular; encourages the Member States to embark on a discussion on the extension of criterion 2 to include democratic governance indicators, as such assessment criteria could help establish further safeguards against the unintended negative consequences of exports; believes, furthermore, that a more principled approach to risk assessment would focus on overall respect of international humanitarian and human rights law by the recipient;

Or. en

Amendment 78

Bodil Valero

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 6 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

6a. Believes that exports to Saudi-Arabia are non-compliant with at least criterion two regarding the country's involvement in grave breaches of humanitarian law as established by competent UN authorities; re-iterates its call from 26 February 2016 on the urgent need to impose an arms embargo against Saudi-Arabia;

Or. en

Amendment 79
Vincent Peillon

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 7

Motion for a resolution

7. Notes that according to the Annual Reports, criterion 3 was invoked 99 times for denials in 2014 and 139 times in 2015; stresses the need, within the context of criterion 3, to assess recent arms transfers by Member States to non-state actors, including the provision of technical assistance and training, in light of the 2002 Joint Action 2002/589/CFSP on the European Union's contribution to combating the destabilising accumulation and spread of small arms and light weapons (SALW); ***recalls that the Joint Action states that no SALW should be transferred by Member States to non-state actors;***

Amendment

7. Notes that according to the Annual Reports, criterion 3 was invoked 99 times for denials in 2014 and 139 times in 2015; stresses the need, within the context of criterion 3, to assess recent arms transfers by Member States to non-state actors, including the provision of technical assistance and training, in light of the 2002 Joint Action 2002/589/CFSP on the European Union's contribution to combating the destabilising accumulation and spread of small arms and light weapons (SALW);

Or. fr

Amendment 80
Georgios Epitideios

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 7

Motion for a resolution

7. Notes that according to the Annual Reports, criterion 3 was invoked 99 times for denials in 2014 and 139 times in 2015; stresses the need, within the context of criterion 3, to assess recent arms transfers by Member States to non-state actors, including the provision of technical assistance and training, in light of the 2002 Joint Action 2002/589/CFSP on the European Union's contribution to combating the destabilising accumulation

Amendment

7. Notes that according to the Annual Reports, criterion 3 was invoked 99 times for denials in 2014 and 139 times in 2015; stresses the need, within the context of criterion 3, to assess recent arms transfers by Member States to non-state actors ***of other countries***, including the provision of technical assistance and training, in light of the 2002 Joint Action 2002/589/CFSP on the European Union's contribution to combating the destabilising accumulation

and spread of small arms and light weapons (SALW); recalls that the Joint Action states that no SALW should be transferred by Member States to non-state actors;

and spread of small arms and light weapons (SALW); recalls that the Joint Action states that no SALW should be transferred by Member States to non-state actors;

Or. el

Amendment 81
Geoffrey Van Orden

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 8

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

8. *Notes that according to the Annual Reports, criterion 4 was invoked 57 times for denials in 2014 and 85 times in 2015; deplores the fact that military technology exported by the Member States is being used in the conflict in Yemen; urges the Member States to comply with the Common Position in a consistent manner on the basis of a thorough long-term risk assessment;*

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 82
Philippe Juvin

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 8

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

8. Notes that according to the Annual Reports, criterion 4 was invoked 57 times for denials in 2014 and 85 times in 2015; *deplores the fact that military technology exported by the Member States is being used in the conflict in Yemen;* urges the Member States to comply with the

8. Notes that according to the Annual Reports, criterion 4 was invoked 57 times for denials in 2014 and 85 times in 2015; urges the Member States to comply with the Common Position in a consistent manner on the basis of a thorough long-term risk assessment;

Common Position in a consistent manner on the basis of a thorough long-term risk assessment;

Or. fr

Amendment 83
Arnaud Danjean

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 8

Motion for a resolution

8. Notes that according to the Annual Reports, criterion 4 was invoked 57 times for denials in 2014 and 85 times in 2015; ***deplores the fact that military technology exported by the Member States is being used in the conflict in Yemen***; urges the Member States to comply with the Common Position in a consistent manner on the basis of a thorough long-term risk assessment;

Amendment

8. Notes that according to the Annual Reports, criterion 4 was invoked 57 times for denials in 2014 and 85 times in 2015; urges the Member States to comply with the Common Position in a consistent manner on the basis of a thorough long-term risk assessment;

Or. en

Amendment 84
Raffaele Fitto

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 8

Motion for a resolution

8. Notes that according to the Annual Reports, criterion 4 was invoked 57 times for denials in 2014 and 85 times in 2015; deplores the fact that military technology exported by the Member States is being used in the conflict in Yemen; urges the Member States to comply with the ***Common Position in a consistent manner***

Amendment

8. Notes that according to the Annual Reports, criterion 4 was invoked 57 times for denials in 2014 and 85 times in 2015; deplores the fact that military technology exported by the Member States is being used in the conflict in Yemen; urges the Member States to comply with the ***criterion concerning respect for the preservation of peace and security, as one***

on the basis of a thorough long-term risk assessment;

of the cornerstones of the Common Position 2008/944/CFSP;

Or. it

Amendment 85
Fabio Massimo Castaldo

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9

Motion for a resolution

9. Notes that according to the Annual Reports, criterion 5 was invoked 7 times for denials in 2014 and 16 times in 2015; recalls that this criterion refers to the security interests of Member States and allied nations, while recognising that these interests cannot affect considerations of the criteria on respect for human rights and on regional peace and stability;

Amendment

9. Notes that according to the Annual Reports, criterion 5 was invoked 7 times for denials in 2014 and 16 times in 2015; recalls that this criterion refers to the security interests of Member States and allied nations, while recognising that these interests cannot affect considerations of the criteria on respect for human rights and on regional peace, ***security*** and stability;

Or. it

Amendment 86
Raffaele Fitto

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 10

Motion for a resolution

10. Notes that according to the Annual Reports, criterion 6 was invoked 6 times for denials in 2014, while no denial was notified for 2015; expresses its concern over reports of the diversion of arms exports by Member States to non-state actors, including terrorist groups, and warns that these weapons could be used against civilians, within and outside of EU territory;

Amendment

10. Notes that according to the Annual Reports, criterion 6 was invoked 6 times for denials in 2014, while no denial was notified for 2015; expresses its concern over reports of the diversion of arms exports by Member States to non-state actors, including terrorist groups, and warns that these weapons could be used against civilians, within and outside of EU territory; ***reiterates the importance of tighter controls over such arms exports in***

order to honour international commitments concerning the fight against terrorism and organised crime;

Or. it

Amendment 87

Bodil Valero

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 10 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

10a. Is concerned about possible diversions of exports to Saudi-Arabia and Qatar to armed non-states actors in both Syria and Iraq who commit serious violations of human rights law and humanitarian law and calls on COARM to address the matter with urgency;

Or. en

Amendment 88

Philippe Juvin

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 11

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

11. Notes that according to the Annual Reports, criterion 7 was invoked 117 times for denials in 2014 and 149 times in 2015; *expresses its concern, inter alia, over the alleged diversions of exports of SALW from European countries to Syria, Iraq, Yemen and elsewhere;* points to the urgent need to base assessments of the risk of diversion on more than just an acceptance of commitments made by a recipient state in an end-user certificate; highlights the

11. Notes that according to the Annual Reports, criterion 7 was invoked 117 times for denials in 2014 and 149 times in 2015; points to the urgent need to base assessments of the risk of diversion on more than just an acceptance of commitments made by a recipient state in an end-user certificate; highlights the need for effective mechanisms of post-shipment controls to ensure that arms are not being re-exported to unauthorised end users;

need for effective mechanisms of post-shipment controls to ensure that arms are not being re-exported to unauthorised end users; highlights the potential role that the EEAS could play in supporting Member States' efforts in this area;

highlights the potential role that the EEAS could play in supporting Member States' efforts in this area;

Or. fr

Amendment 89

Bodil Valero

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 11

Motion for a resolution

11. Notes that according to the Annual Reports, criterion 7 was invoked 117 times for denials in 2014 and 149 times in 2015; expresses its concern, inter alia, over the alleged diversions of exports of SALW from European countries to Syria, Iraq, Yemen and *elsewhere*; points to the urgent need to base assessments of the risk of diversion on more than just an acceptance of commitments made by a recipient state in an end-user certificate; highlights the need for effective mechanisms of post-shipment controls to ensure that arms are not being re-exported to unauthorised end users; highlights the potential role that the EEAS could play in supporting Member States' efforts in this area;

Amendment

11. Notes that according to the Annual Reports, criterion 7 was invoked 117 times for denials in 2014 and 149 times in 2015; expresses its concern, inter alia, over the alleged diversions of exports of SALW from European countries to *certain destinations from which these exports were diverted in order to supply non-state actors and other end-uses non-compliant with the Common Position in countries such as Syria, Iraq, Yemen and South Sudan*; points to the urgent need to base assessments of the risk of diversion on more than just an acceptance of commitments made by a recipient state in an end-user certificate; highlights the need for effective mechanisms of post-shipment controls to ensure that arms are not being re-exported to unauthorised end users; highlights the potential role that the EEAS could play in supporting Member States' efforts in this area;

Or. en

Amendment 90

Geoffrey Van Orden

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 11

Motion for a resolution

11. Notes that according to the Annual Reports, criterion 7 was invoked 117 times for denials in 2014 and 149 times in 2015; expresses its concern, inter alia, over the alleged diversions of exports of SALW from European countries to Syria, Iraq, Yemen and elsewhere; points to the urgent need to base assessments of the risk of diversion on more than just an acceptance of commitments made by a recipient state in an end-user certificate; highlights the need for effective mechanisms of post-shipment controls to ensure that arms are not being re-exported to unauthorised end users; ***highlights the potential role that the EEAS could play in supporting Member States' efforts in this area;***

Amendment

11. Notes that according to the Annual Reports, criterion 7 was invoked 117 times for denials in 2014 and 149 times in 2015; expresses its concern, inter alia, over the alleged diversions of exports of SALW from European countries to Syria, Iraq, Yemen and elsewhere; points to the urgent need to base assessments of the risk of diversion on more than just an acceptance of commitments made by a recipient state in an end-user certificate; highlights the need for effective mechanisms of post-shipment controls to ensure that arms are not being re-exported to unauthorised end users;

Or. en

Amendment 91

James Carver

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 11

Motion for a resolution

11. Notes that according to the Annual Reports, criterion 7 was invoked 117 times for denials in 2014 and 149 times in 2015; expresses its concern, inter alia, over the alleged diversions of exports of SALW from European countries to Syria, Iraq, Yemen and elsewhere; points to the urgent need to base assessments of the risk of diversion on more than just an acceptance of commitments made by a recipient state in an end-user certificate; highlights the

Amendment

11. Notes that according to the Annual Reports, criterion 7 was invoked 117 times for denials in 2014 and 149 times in 2015; expresses its concern, inter alia, over the alleged diversions of exports of SALW from European countries to Syria, Iraq, Yemen and elsewhere; points to the urgent need to base assessments of the risk of diversion on more than just an acceptance of commitments made by a recipient state in an end-user certificate; highlights the

need for effective mechanisms of post-shipment controls to ensure that arms are not being re-exported to unauthorised end users; ***highlights the potential role that the EEAS could play in supporting Member States' efforts in this area;***

need for effective mechanisms of post-shipment controls to ensure that arms are not being re-exported to unauthorised end users;

Or. en

Amendment 92
Inés Ayala Sender

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 12 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

12a. Calls on the Member States and the EEAS to add a new criterion to the Common Position in order to ensure that, when granting authorisations, due account is taken of the risk of corruption concerning the relevant exports;

Or. es

Amendment 93
James Carver

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 13

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

13. Calls on the Member States and the EEAS to improve consistency in the implementation of the Common Position by making available qualitatively and quantitatively better information for export licensing risk assessments based on a secured and extensive digitalisation of the current system, as follows:

deleted

a) providing more information on export licences and actual exports shared

systematically and in a timely manner, including on end users of concern, cases of diversion, end-user certificates that are forged or otherwise of concern, and suspect brokers or transport companies;

b) maintaining a list of entities and individuals convicted of violating arms export-related legislation, of cases of identified diversion, and of persons who are known or suspected to be involved in illegal arms trading or in activities that pose a threat to international security;

c) sharing the best practices adopted for implementing the eight criteria;

d) developing a secure IT system for sharing information on export license denials in real time;

e) turning the User's Guide into an online resource, including by adding links to publicly available information;

f) turning the EU Annual Report into a searchable online database by the end of 2018, with the new format to be applied to the 2016 data;

Or. en

Amendment 94
Jean-Luc Schaffhauser

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 13 – introductory part

Motion for a resolution

13. Calls on the *Member States* and *the EEAS* to improve consistency in the implementation of the *Common Position* by making available qualitatively and quantitatively better information for export licensing risk assessments based on a secured and extensive digitalisation of the current system, as follows:

Amendment

13. Calls on the *EU* to recognise that the field of arms exports should be viewed as a sovereign matter for states and one which raises issues of state security; notes that this highly sensitive information, the disclosure of which constitutes high treason, can serve as a means of destabilisation - especially when in the hands of *EU* representatives who do not

share the same strategic interests and answer to external powers; recognises that the Member States have the right and obligation to keep that sensitive data to themselves, in the interests of the security of their citizens and enterprises;

Or. fr

Amendment 95
Arnaud Danjean

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 13 – point a

Motion for a resolution

a) providing more information on export licences and actual exports shared systematically and in a timely manner, including on end users of concern, cases of diversion, end-user certificates that are forged or otherwise of concern, and suspect brokers or transport companies;

Amendment

a) providing more information on export licences and actual exports shared systematically and in a timely manner, including on end users of concern, cases of diversion, end-user certificates that are forged or otherwise of concern, and suspect brokers or transport companies, *in accordance with domestic laws and administrative procedure;*

Or. en

Amendment 96
Georgios Epitideios

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 13 – point β

Motion for a resolution

b) maintaining a list of entities and individuals convicted of violating arms export-related legislation, of cases of identified diversion, and of persons who are known or suspected to be involved in illegal arms trading or in activities that pose a threat to international security;

Amendment

(b) maintaining a list of entities and individuals convicted of violating arms export-related legislation, of cases of identified diversion, and of persons who are known or suspected to be involved in illegal arms trading or in activities that pose a threat to international security *in*

order to prevent their participation in similar activities in future;

Or. el

Amendment 97
Inés Ayala Sender

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 13 – point b

Motion for a resolution

b) maintaining a list of entities and individuals convicted of violating arms export-related legislation, of cases of identified diversion, and of persons who are known or suspected to be involved in illegal arms trading or in activities that pose a threat to international security;

Amendment

(b) maintaining a list of entities and individuals convicted of violating arms export-related legislation, of cases of identified diversion, and of persons who are known or suspected to be involved in illegal arms trading or in activities that pose a threat to international ***and national*** security;

Or. es

Amendment 98
Inés Ayala Sender

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 13 – point b a (new)

Motion for a resolution

(ba) *drawing up a, regularly updated, 'whitelist' of third countries complying with the necessary criteria in the Common Position;*

Or. es

Amendment 99
Arnaud Danjean

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 13 – point d

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

d) developing a secure IT system for sharing information on export license denials in real time;

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 100
Raffaele Fitto

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 13 – point f a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

(fa) promoting clear, well-established cooperation procedures between law enforcement agencies and border authorities, based on the exchange of information, in order to strengthen cooperation on security and eradicate illegal arms trading, which poses a risk to the security of the EU and its citizens;

Or. it

Amendment 101
Bodil Valero
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 14 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

14a. Notes that effective information exchange and cooperation also require meetings of policy, licensing and enforcement staff and encourages provisions of sufficient resources to this end; believes that a crucial factor in

strengthening the implementation of the Common Position lies in expanding relevant capacities of Member States; calls on the Member States and the EEAS to increase the number of personnel working on export-related issues both at national and EU level; encourages the establishment of EU funds to be used for capacity-building among licensing and enforcement officials in Member States;

Or. en

Amendment 102
Marietje Schaake, Javier Nart

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 14 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

14a. Stresses the need to develop an approach to situations where Member States make a different analysis for exports of products that are essentially alike, to similar destinations and end-users, in order to preserve the level playing field and the EU's credibility abroad;

Or. en

Amendment 103
Inés Ayala Sender

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 14 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

14a. Stresses that the EU Global Strategy for the Foreign and Security Policy should also serve to strengthen mechanisms for exchange of information

on arms export control between Member States;

Or. es

Amendment 104
Marietje Schaake, Javier Nart

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 14 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

14b. *Calls upon the Member States, in this regard, to support the creation of an independent European Arms Control Authority (EACA) under the auspices of the High Representative of the European Union for Foreign Affairs and Security Policy; considering that EACA should issue an opinion to Member States that plan to grant a licence which has been denied by another Member State or States; considering that this advice should be an independent assessment of the applicability of the 8 common criteria; considering that such an advice could also be asked by states to assess the applicability of the 8 common criteria of any European export license;*

Or. en

Amendment 105
Bodil Valero
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 14 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

14b. *Stresses the urgent need to enhance the role of EU Delegations in*

assisting Member States and the EEAS with their export licensing risk assessments and the implementation of end-user controls, post-shipment controls and on-site inspections;

Or. en

Amendment 106

Bodil Valero

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 14 c (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

14c. Believes that it is time to consider a stronger role for EU institutions with regard to the licensing process at Member State's level, in particular with regard to situations in which there is a lack of coherence between Member States on similar items and destinations or end-users;

Or. en

Amendment 107

Marietje Schaake, Javier Nart

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 14 c (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

14c. Urges Member States to create a provision in the Common Position to make sure that an EU embargo against a third country would automatically revoke licences that had already been granted for goods covered by the embargo;

Or. en

Amendment 108
Inés Ayala Sender

Motion for a resolution
Subheading 2 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Furthering exchange of information and cooperation between Member States and third countries

Or. es

Amendment 109
Inés Ayala Sender

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 14 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

14b. Calls on all Member States to continue to promoting the criteria and principles set out in Common Position 2008/944/PESC and in the Arms Trade Treaty among non-EU countries, building on progress made in implementing Decisions 2017/915, 2013/768/PESC, 2012/711/PESC and Joint Action 2008/230/PESC;

Or. es

Amendment 110
Inés Ayala Sender

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 14 c (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

14c. Urges all Member States to continue to lend assistance to non-EU countries in drafting, updating, and implementing, where appropriate, legislative and administrative measures so as to ensure that an export control system for weapons and military technology is established;

Or. es

**Amendment 111
Inés Ayala Sender**

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 14 d (new)**

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

14d. Reminds the Member States that, with a view to enhancing peace and regional and international security, and in line with the European Security Strategy, the Union should encourage the development of outreach activities and the establishment of dedicated systems for the exchange of information between the EU and third countries as well as the introduction of effective national systems for controlling weapons exports;

Or. es

**Amendment 112
Inés Ayala Sender**

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 14 e (new)**

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

14e. Urges the Member States to cooperate closely with third countries in updating tools for ensuring greater

transparency and coherence in the implementation of the Common Position, using similar methods to those in the EU;

Or. es

Amendment 113
Javier Nart, Norica Nicolai, Urmas Paet

Motion for a resolution
Subheading 3 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Ensuring a harmonised approach and application of the Common Criteria

Or. en

Amendment 114
Javier Nart, Norica Nicolai, Urmas Paet

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 15 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

15a. Notes the lack of provisions on sanctions for Member States that fail to comply with the eight Criteria when granting licences; calls in this regard for an update of the Common Position whereby a sanctioning mechanism is introduced;

Or. en

Amendment 115
Javier Nart, Norica Nicolai, Urmas Paet

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 15 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

15b. *Notes the lack of a common approach concerning sanctions on individuals or companies that have failed to fulfil the provisions of the Common Criteria (false exports); calls on Members States to provide for effective sanctions to be imposed on said individuals or companies that fail to comply with any of the provisions set out in the eight criteria;*

Or. en

Amendment 116

Javier Nart, Norica Nicolai, Urmas Paet

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 15 c (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

15c. *Considers that, in order for the sanctions to be effective, these should include both an economic dimension and a temporary suspension from being granted licenses for a certain period of time; stresses that, in the case of companies, the sanctions should be extended to any other entity that is participated by the concerned company, either through stock owners or through a parent company;*

Or. en

Amendment 117

Javier Nart, Norica Nicolai, Urmas Paet, Marietje Schaake

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 15 d (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

15d. Strongly recommends countries in the process of attaining candidate status, or countries otherwise wishing to engage themselves on the path of EU accession, to apply the provisions of the Common Position and to exercise an effective control over their arms deposits;

Or. en

Amendment 118

Javier Nart, Norica Nicolai, Urmas Paet, Marietje Schaake

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 15 e (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

15e. Stresses the importance of effectively limiting arms exports to private security companies as an end user, and that any such licence be granted only when, after thorough diligence checks, it is determined the private security company in question has not participated in human rights violations; accountability mechanisms shall be put in place in order to ensure the responsible use of arms by private security companies;

Or. en

Amendment 119

Javier Nart, Norica Nicolai, Urmas Paet, Marietje Schaake

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 15 f (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

15f. Calls on the EU and its Member States to work towards fostering a European industrial and technological base that is competitive and innovative,

that contributes to overcoming the current lack of efficiency in defence-spending among the EU members due to duplicities, fragmentation, lack of interoperability, technological gaps and economies of scale for both industry and production in field of key importance to Europe, thus allowing the EU to become a global security provider and enabling more and better control of arms exports, by preventing national export expansive policies;

Or. en

Amendment 120
Jean-Luc Schaffhauser

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 16

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

16. Recalls that according to Article 8(2) of the Common Position, all Member States are obliged to report on their arms exports, and calls on all Member States to comply with their obligations; regrets that the number of Member States making full submissions to the EU Annual Report via disaggregated data on licences and actual exports was 21 for the 17th Annual Report and only 20 for the 18th; regrets the fact that the three main arms-exporting Member States, France, Germany and the UK, have not made full submissions;

deleted

Or. fr

Amendment 121
James Carver

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 16

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

16. Recalls that according to Article 8(2) of the Common Position, all Member States are obliged to report on their arms exports, and calls on all Member States to comply with their obligations; regrets that the number of Member States making full submissions to the EU Annual Report via disaggregated data on licences and actual exports was 21 for the 17th Annual Report and only 20 for the 18th; regrets the fact that the three main arms-exporting Member States, France, Germany and the UK, have not made full submissions;

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 122

Philippe Juvin

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 16

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

16. Recalls that according to Article 8(2) of the Common Position, all Member States are obliged to report on their arms exports, and calls on all Member States to comply with their obligations; regrets that the number of Member States making full submissions to the EU Annual Report via disaggregated data on licences and actual exports was 21 for the 17th Annual Report and only 20 for the 18th; ***regrets the fact that the three main arms-exporting Member States, France, Germany and the UK, have not made full submissions;***

16. Recalls that according to Article 8(2) of the Common Position, all Member States are obliged to report on their arms exports, and calls on all Member States to comply with their obligations; regrets that the number of Member States making full submissions to the EU Annual Report via disaggregated data on licences and actual exports was 21 for the 17th Annual Report and only 20 for the 18th;

Or. fr

Amendment 123
Fabio Massimo Castaldo

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 16

Motion for a resolution

16. Recalls that according to Article 8(2) of the Common Position, all Member States are obliged to report on their arms exports, and calls on all Member States to comply with their obligations; regrets that the number of Member States making full submissions to the EU Annual Report via disaggregated data on licences and actual exports was 21 for the 17th Annual Report and only 20 for the 18th; ***regrets the fact that*** the three main arms-exporting Member States, France, Germany and the UK, have not made full submissions;

Amendment

16. Recalls that according to Article 8(2) of the Common Position, all Member States are obliged to report on their arms exports, and calls on all Member States to comply with their obligations; regrets that the number of Member States making full submissions to the EU Annual Report via disaggregated data on licences and actual exports was 21 for the 17th Annual Report and only 20 for the 18th; ***calls on all Member States, including*** the three main arms-exporting Member States, France, Germany and the UK, ***which*** have not made full submissions, ***to fulfil their obligations and provide a full set of data regarding their exports;***

Or. it

Amendment 124
Javier Couso Permuy

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 16

Motion for a resolution

16. Recalls that according to Article 8(2) of the Common Position, all Member States are obliged to report on their arms exports, and calls on all Member States to comply with their obligations; regrets that the number of Member States making full submissions to the EU Annual Report via disaggregated data on licences and actual exports was 21 for the 17th Annual Report and only 20 for the 18th; regrets the fact that the three main arms-exporting Member

Amendment

16. Recalls that according to Article 8(2) of the Common Position, all Member States are obliged to report on their arms exports, and calls on all Member States to comply with their obligations; regrets that the number of Member States making full submissions to the EU Annual Report via disaggregated data on licences and actual exports was 21 for the 17th Annual Report and only 20 for the 18th; regrets the fact that the three main arms-exporting Member

States, France, Germany and the UK, have not made full submissions;

States, France, Germany and the UK, have not made full submissions ***and calls on them to comply with their obligations and share information with a view to its inclusion in the next annual report;***

Or. es

Amendment 125
Arnaud Danjean

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 16

Motion for a resolution

16. Recalls that according to Article 8(2) of the Common Position, all Member States are obliged to report on their arms exports, and calls on all Member States to comply with their obligations; regrets that the number of Member States making full submissions to the EU Annual Report via disaggregated data on licences and actual exports was 21 for the 17th Annual Report and only 20 for the 18th; ***regrets the fact that the three main arms-exporting Member States, France, Germany and the UK, have not made full submissions;***

Amendment

16. Recalls that according to Article 8(2) of the Common Position, all Member States are obliged to report on their arms exports, and calls on all Member States to comply with their obligations; regrets that the number of Member States making full submissions to the EU Annual Report via disaggregated data on licences and actual exports was 21 for the 17th Annual Report and only 20 for the 18th;

Or. en

Amendment 126
Jean-Luc Schaffhauser

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 17

Motion for a resolution

17. ***Stresses the need to guarantee a more standardised and timely reporting and submission procedure, for example by setting a deadline for submitting data of***

Amendment

deleted

no later than January following the year in which the exports took place, and by setting a fixed publication date of no later than February following the year of exports;

Or. fr

Amendment 127
Arnaud Danjean

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 17

Motion for a resolution

17. Stresses the need to guarantee a more standardised and timely reporting and submission procedure, *for example by setting a deadline for submitting data of no later than January following the year in which the exports took place, and by setting a fixed publication date of no later than February following the year of exports;*

Amendment

17. Stresses the need to guarantee a more standardised and timely reporting and submission procedure;

Or. en

Amendment 128
Kati Piri

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 17

Motion for a resolution

17. Stresses the need to guarantee a more standardised and timely reporting and submission procedure, *for example by setting a deadline for submitting data of no later than January following the year in which the exports took place, and by setting a fixed publication date of no later*

Amendment

17. Stresses the need to guarantee a more standardised and timely reporting and submission procedure *with a strict deadline.*

than February following the year of exports;

Or. en

Amendment 129
Fabio Massimo Castaldo

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 17

Motion for a resolution

17. *Stresses the need to guarantee* a more standardised and timely reporting and submission procedure, *for example* by setting a deadline for submitting data of no later than January following the year in which the exports took place, and by setting a fixed publication date of no later than February following the year of exports;

Amendment

17. *Calls for* a more standardised and timely reporting and submission procedure *to be guaranteed*, by setting a deadline for submitting data of no later than January following the year in which the exports took place, and by setting a fixed publication date of no later than February following the year of exports;

Or. it

Amendment 130
Sabine Lösing

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 17 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

17a. Takes the view that the Common Position should be complemented by a regularly updated, publicly accessible list, with detailed reasons, providing information on the extent to which exports to particular recipient countries are, or are not, in line with the eight criteria;

Amendment

Or. en

Amendment 131
Sabine Lösing

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 17 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

17b. Considers that a standardised verification and reporting system should be established to provide information as to whether, and to what extent, individual EU Member States' exports violate the eight criteria;

Or. en

Amendment 132
Sabine Lösing

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 17 c (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

17c. Is critical of the frequent violations of the eight criteria by various Member States; regrets that there are no mechanisms for sanctions for violation of the eight criteria by a Member State and that there are no plans to that effect; takes the view that ways and means of carrying out independent verification and mechanisms for sanctions for violations of the Common Position should be provided for;

Or. en

Amendment 133
Jean-Luc Schaffhauser

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 18

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

18. Urges all the Member States to improve the quality of arms exports reporting; stresses that high-quality data on actual deliveries is essential for understanding how the eight criteria are applied; calls on the Member States and the EEAS to explore how to use data generated by customs authorities, including by creating specific customs codes for military goods;

deleted

Or. fr

Amendment 134
Tunne Kelam, Michael Gahler

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 18

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

18. Urges all the Member States to improve the quality of arms exports reporting; stresses that high-quality data on actual deliveries is essential for understanding how the eight criteria are applied; calls on the Member States and the EEAS to explore how to use data generated by customs authorities, including by creating specific customs codes for military goods;

18. Urges all the Member States to comply fully with their reporting obligations set out in the Common Position; stresses that high-quality data on actual deliveries is essential for understanding how the eight criteria are applied; calls on the Member States and the EEAS to explore how to use data generated by customs authorities, including by creating specific customs codes for military goods;

Or. en

Amendment 135
Georgios Epitideios

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 18

Motion for a resolution

18. Urges all the Member States to improve the quality of arms exports reporting; stresses that high-quality data on actual deliveries is essential for understanding how the eight criteria are applied; calls on the Member States and the EEAS to explore how to use data generated by customs authorities, including by creating specific customs codes for military goods;

Amendment

18. Urges all the Member States to improve the quality **and *comprehensiveness*** of arms exports reporting; stresses that high-quality data on actual deliveries is essential for understanding how the eight criteria are applied; calls on the Member States and the EEAS to explore how to use data generated by customs authorities, including by creating specific customs codes for military goods;

Or. en

Amendment 136

James Carver

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 18

Motion for a resolution

18. Urges all the Member States to improve the quality of arms exports reporting; stresses that high-quality data on actual deliveries is essential for understanding how the eight criteria are applied; calls on the Member States **and *the EEAS*** to explore how to use data generated by customs authorities, including by creating specific customs codes for military goods;

Amendment

18. Urges all the Member States to improve the quality of arms exports reporting; stresses that high-quality data on actual deliveries is essential for understanding how the eight criteria are applied; calls on the Member States to explore how to use data generated by customs authorities, including by creating specific customs codes for military goods;

Or. en

Amendment 137

Inés Ayala Sender

Motion for a resolution

Subheading 4

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Modernising related tools

Modernising related tools ***and updating arrangements for preventing and controlling arms exports***

Or. es

Amendment 138

Tunne Kelam, Michael Gahler

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 18 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

18a. Commends the outreach efforts regarding the ATT and supports the further universalization and effective implementation of the ATT;

Or. en

Amendment 139

Jean-Luc Schaffhauser

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 19

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

19. Urges revision of the Common Military List and the lists annexed to the Dual-Use Regulation so as to ensure full coverage of all relevant unmanned systems; recalls the European Parliament resolution of 27 February 2014 on armed drones, which called in its paragraph 2(c) for armed drones to be included in relevant arms control regimes;

deleted

Or. fr

Amendment 140
James Carver

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 19

Motion for a resolution

19. Urges revision of the Common Military List and the lists annexed to the Dual-Use Regulation so as to ensure full coverage of all relevant unmanned systems; recalls the European Parliament resolution of 27 February 2014 on armed drones, which called in its paragraph 2(c) for armed drones to be included in relevant arms control regimes;

Amendment

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 141
Tunne Kelam

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 19

Motion for a resolution

19. Urges revision of the Common Military List and the lists annexed to the Dual-Use Regulation so as to ensure full coverage of all relevant unmanned systems; recalls the European Parliament resolution of 27 February 2014 on armed drones, which called in its paragraph 2(c) for armed drones to be included in relevant arms control regimes;

Amendment

19. Calls on considering the revision of the Common Military List and the lists annexed to the Dual-Use Regulation so as to ensure full coverage of all relevant *armed* unmanned systems; recalls the European Parliament resolution of 27 February 2014 on armed drones, which called in its paragraph 2(c) for armed drones to be included in relevant arms control regimes;

Or. en

Amendment 142

Jean-Luc Schaffhauser

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 20**

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

20. Supports a more detailed examination of licensed production by third countries and stronger safeguards on the possible undesired uses of weapons produced in such circumstances; encourages the Member States to consider the third country's attitude and status with regard to the ATT when deciding on transfers that would enhance the manufacturing and/or export capacity of that country as regards military equipment;

deleted

Or. fr

**Amendment 143
Tunne Kelam, Michael Gahler**

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 20**

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

20. Supports a more detailed examination of licensed production by third countries and stronger safeguards on the possible undesired uses of weapons produced in such circumstances; encourages the Member States to consider the third country's attitude and status with regard to the ATT when deciding on transfers that would enhance the manufacturing and/or export capacity of that country as regards military equipment;

20. Encourages the Member States to undertake a more detailed examination of licenced production by third countries and to consider the third country's attitude and status with regard to the ATT when deciding on transfers that would enhance the manufacturing and/or export capacity of that country as regards military equipment;

Or. en

Amendment 144
Inés Ayala Sender

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 20 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

20a. Calls on the Member States to cooperate closely with third countries that have made a formal commitment to upholding the criteria and principles of the Common Position in raising awareness of and promoting the modernisation of tools, such as the use of online tools and information sources such as web portals and newsletters, with a view to improving exchange of information and ensuring greater transparency in the granting of licences;

Or. es

Amendment 145
Jean-Luc Schaffhauser

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 21

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

21. States that Directive 2009/43/EC on the transfers of defence-related products within the EU should not be used in such a way as to circumvent the Common Position, in particular where spare parts and components are involved;

deleted

Or. fr

Amendment 146
Tunne Kelam

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 21

Motion for a resolution

21. **States that** Directive 2009/43/EC on the transfers of defence-related products within the EU should ***not be used in such a way as to circumvent*** the Common Position, in particular where spare parts and components are involved;

Amendment

21. **Finds that the implementation of the** Directive 2009/43/EC on the transfers of defence-related products within the EU should ***be in coherence with the implementation of*** the Common Position, in particular where spare parts and components are involved;

Or. en

Amendment 147 Inés Ayala Sender

Motion for a resolution Paragraph 21 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

21a. Urges the Member States and the Commission to invest sufficient funds in both human resources and training programmes on sophisticated systems and alternative methods for combating and predicting all possible ways of gaining telematic access to weapons;

Or. es

Amendment 148 Inés Ayala Sender

Motion for a resolution Subheading 4 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Arms exports and Brexit

Or. es

Amendment 149
Inés Ayala Sender

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 21 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

21b. Emphasises that once the United Kingdom has formally left the EU, the same level of control and monitoring of arms exports must be required; stresses how important it is for the United Kingdom not to water down its obligations and responsibilities to monitor arms exports so as to continue to ensure regional and international security;

Or. es

Amendment 150
Inés Ayala Sender

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 21 c (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

21c. Recalls that the United Kingdom is free to make an official commitment to upholding the criteria and principles of the Common Position, just as neighbouring third countries have done. Stresses that in any event a dedicated system for exchanges of information between the EU27 and the United Kingdom must be negotiated;

Or. es

Amendment 151
Jean-Luc Schaffhauser

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 22

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

22. *Notes that not all EU national parliaments scrutinise governmental licensing decisions by, inter alia, producing annual arms exports reports, and that in general there is a lack of parliamentary and public oversight; points to the European Parliament's Rules of Procedure, which encourage regular responses to the EU Annual Arms Exports Reports;*

deleted

Or. fr

Amendment 152

Bodil Valero

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 22

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

22. Notes that not all EU national parliaments scrutinise governmental licensing decisions by, inter alia, producing annual arms exports reports, and that in general there is a lack of parliamentary and public oversight; points to the European Parliament's Rules of Procedure, which *encourage* regular responses to the EU Annual Arms Exports Reports;

22. Notes that not all EU national parliaments scrutinise governmental licensing decisions by, inter alia, producing annual arms exports reports, and that in general there is a lack of parliamentary and public oversight; points to the European Parliament's Rules of Procedure, which *foresee the possibility to provide* regular responses to the EU Annual Arms Exports Reports;

Or. en

Amendment 153

Tunne Kelam, Michael Gahler

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 22

Motion for a resolution

22. Notes that not all EU national parliaments scrutinise governmental licensing decisions by, inter alia, producing annual arms exports reports, and ***that in general there is a lack of*** parliamentary and public oversight; points to the European Parliament's Rules of Procedure, which encourage regular responses to the EU Annual Arms Exports Reports;

Amendment

22. Notes that not all EU national parliaments scrutinise governmental licensing decisions by, inter alia, producing annual arms exports reports, and ***encourages in this regard to generally increase the*** parliamentary and public oversight; points to the European Parliament's Rules of Procedure, which encourage regular responses to the EU Annual Arms Exports Reports;

Or. en

Amendment 154
Jean-Luc Schaffhauser

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 23

Motion for a resolution

23. ***Welcomes regular consultations with civil society as central to meaningful transparency; calls on COARM, all the Member States and the EEAS to enhance dialogue with civil society; encourages civil society and academia to exercise independent scrutiny of the arms trade, and calls on the Member States and the EEAS to support such activities, including by financial means;***

Amendment

deleted

Or. fr

Amendment 155
Georgios Epitideios

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 23

PE606.106v01-00

76/78

AM\1128022EN.docx

Motion for a resolution

23. Welcomes regular consultations with civil society as central to meaningful transparency; calls on COARM, all the Member States and the EEAS to enhance dialogue with civil society; encourages civil society and academia to exercise independent scrutiny of the arms trade, and calls on the Member States and the EEAS to support such activities, including by financial means;

Amendment

23. Welcomes regular consultations with civil society as central to meaningful transparency; calls on COARM, all the Member States and the EEAS to enhance dialogue with civil society; encourages civil society and academia to exercise independent scrutiny of the arms trade, and calls on the Member States and the EEAS to support such activities, including by financial means; ***stresses, however, the need for civil society controls to be free of political considerations that are not related to the protection of human rights and may hamper the process of arms exports;***

Or. el

Amendment 156

Tunne Kelam, Michael Gahler

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 23

Motion for a resolution

23. Welcomes regular consultations with civil society as central to meaningful transparency; calls on COARM, all the Member States and the EEAS to enhance ***dialogue with civil society***; encourages civil society and academia to exercise independent scrutiny of the arms trade, and calls on the Member States and the EEAS to support such activities, ***including by financial means***;

Amendment

23. Welcomes regular consultations with ***national parliaments, legitimate national control bodies and*** civil society as central to meaningful transparency; calls on COARM, all the Member States and the EEAS to enhance ***these consultations***; encourages ***national parliaments, legitimate national control bodies***, civil society and academia to exercise independent scrutiny of the arms trade, and calls on the Member States and the EEAS to support such activities;

Or. en

Amendment 157
Raffaele Fitto

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 23

Motion for a resolution

23. Welcomes regular consultations with civil society *as central to meaningful* transparency; calls on COARM, all the Member States and the EEAS to enhance dialogue with civil society; encourages civil society and academia to exercise independent scrutiny of the arms trade, and calls on the Member States and the EEAS to support such activities, including by financial means;

Amendment

23. Welcomes regular consultations with *national parliaments, industry associations and* civil society *in order to increase the level of* transparency; calls on COARM, all the Member States and the EEAS to enhance dialogue with civil society; encourages civil society and academia to exercise independent scrutiny of the arms trade, and calls on the Member States and the EEAS to support such activities, including by financial means;

Or. it