



2017/2052(INI)

5.12.2017

AMENDMENTS

1 - 63

Draft opinion

Pier Antonio Panzeri

The next MFF: Preparing the Parliament's position on the MFF post-2020
(2017/2052(INI))

AM_Com_NonLegOpinion

Amendment 1

Javier Couso Permuay, João Pimenta Lopes

Draft opinion

Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. Stresses that the *EU is faced with multiple challenges that affect global stability and that can only be tackled with long-term and holistic external actions; recalls the ambition of EU leaders as expressed in the Rome Declaration to strive towards a Union that is ‘big on big issues and small on small ones’; calls for the multi-annual financial framework (MFF) to make this a reality by substantially increasing external action appropriations (Heading 4), thereby making common foreign policy a core EU function;*

Amendment

1. Stresses that the *disparities between countries and regions in the EU have increased, leaving peripheral countries caught in an austerity trap with low levels of investment and increasing indebtedness; calls for the multi-annual financial framework (MFF) to strengthen cohesion and sustainable growth based on contributory solidarity and promoting harmonious and inclusive development; calls for this to be a priority for the EU Member States;*

Or. pt

Amendment 2

Anders Primdahl Vistisen

Draft opinion

Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. *Stresses* that the EU is faced with multiple challenges *that* affect global stability *and that can only be tackled with* long-term and holistic *external actions*; recalls the ambition of EU leaders as expressed in the Rome Declaration to strive towards a Union that is ‘big on big issues and small on small ones’; calls for the multi-annual financial framework (MFF) to *make this a reality by substantially increasing* external action appropriations (Heading 4), *thereby*

Amendment

1. *Recognises* that the EU is faced with multiple challenges *both internal and external, many of which* affect *regional and* global stability, *which require* long-term and holistic *action to resolve*; recalls the ambition of EU leaders as expressed in the Rome Declaration to strive towards a Union that is ‘big on big issues and small on small ones’ *and* calls for the multi-annual financial framework (MFF) to *support this ambition in optimising existing funding to address the stated*

making common foreign policy a core EU function;

challenges, with targeted increases in external action appropriations under Heading 4 only where necessary to safeguard Member State interests where existing funding is not already sufficient;

Or. en

Amendment 3
Marietje Schaake, Urmas Paet

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. Stresses that the EU is faced with multiple challenges *that affect* global stability and that can only be *tackled with* long-term and holistic external actions; recalls the ambition of EU leaders as expressed in the Rome Declaration to strive towards a Union that is ‘big on big issues and small on small ones’; calls for the multi-annual financial framework (MFF) to *make this a reality* by substantially increasing external action appropriations (Heading 4), thereby making common foreign policy a core EU *function*;

Amendment

1. Stresses that the EU is faced with multiple *and hybrid* challenges *and situations that threaten* global stability and that can only be *faced with strategic*, long-term and holistic external actions; *recalls that EU external action is built on a values-based foreign policy*; recalls the ambition of EU leaders as expressed in the Rome Declaration to strive towards a Union that is ‘big on big issues and small on small ones’; calls for the multi-annual financial framework (MFF) to *show ambition* by substantially increasing external action appropriations *in strategic sectors for* Heading 4, thereby making common foreign policy a core *element of the* EU;

Or. en

Amendment 4
Cristian Dan Preda

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

Amendment

1. Stresses that the EU is faced with multiple challenges that affect global stability and that can only be tackled with long-term and holistic external actions; recalls the ambition of EU leaders as expressed in the Rome Declaration to strive towards a Union that is ‘big on big issues and small on small ones’; calls for the multi-annual financial framework (MFF) to **make this a reality** by substantially increasing external action appropriations (Heading 4), thereby making common foreign policy a core EU function;

1. Stresses that the EU is faced with multiple challenges that affect global stability and that can only be tackled with long-term and holistic external actions; recalls the ambition of EU leaders as expressed in the Rome Declaration to strive towards a Union that is ‘big on big issues and small on small ones’; ***underlines the significant added value for the EU’s citizens of a common European external and security policy***; calls ***therefore*** for the multi-annual financial framework (MFF) to ***mirror this high added value*** by substantially increasing external action appropriations (Heading 4), thereby making common foreign policy a core EU function;

Or. en

Amendment 5

Alojz Peterle

Draft opinion

Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. Stresses that the EU is faced with multiple challenges that affect global stability and that can only be tackled with long-term and holistic external actions; recalls the ambition of EU leaders as expressed in the Rome Declaration to strive towards a Union that is ‘big on big issues and small on small ones’; calls for the multi-annual financial framework (MFF) to make this a reality by substantially increasing external action appropriations (Heading 4), ***thereby making*** common foreign policy a core ***EU*** function;

Amendment

1. Stresses that the EU is faced with multiple challenges that affect global stability and that can only be tackled with long-term and holistic external actions; recalls the ambition of EU leaders as expressed in the Rome Declaration to strive towards a Union that is ‘big on big issues and small on small ones’; calls for the multi-annual financial framework (MFF) to make this a reality by substantially increasing external action appropriations (Heading 4), ***to position*** common foreign policy a core function ***and responsibility of the EU***;

Or. en

Amendment 6
Pier Antonio Panzeri

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. Stresses that the EU is faced with multiple challenges that affect global stability and *that* can only be tackled with long-term and holistic external actions; recalls the ambition of EU leaders as expressed in the Rome Declaration to strive towards a Union that is ‘big on big issues and small on small ones’; calls for the multi-annual financial framework (MFF) to make this a reality by substantially increasing external action appropriations (Heading 4), thereby making common foreign policy a core EU function;

Amendment

1. Stresses that the EU is faced with multiple challenges that affect global stability and *are of external origin and therefore* can only be tackled with long-term and holistic external actions; recalls the ambition of EU leaders as expressed in the Rome Declaration to strive towards a Union that is ‘big on big issues and small on small ones’; calls for the multi-annual financial framework (MFF) to make this a reality by substantially increasing external action appropriations (Heading 4), thereby making common foreign policy a core EU function *in line with modern challenges and Europe’s new priorities*;

Or. en

Amendment 7
Fabio Massimo Castaldo

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. Stresses that the EU is faced with multiple challenges that affect global stability and that can only be tackled with long-term and holistic external actions; recalls the ambition of EU leaders as expressed in the Rome Declaration to strive towards a Union that is ‘big on big issues and small on small ones’; calls for the multi-annual financial framework (MFF) to make this a reality by substantially increasing external action appropriations (Heading 4), thereby

Amendment

1. Stresses that the EU is faced with multiple challenges that affect global *and regional* stability and that can only be tackled *by means of a genuine European foreign policy* with long-term and holistic external actions; recalls the ambition of EU leaders as expressed in the Rome Declaration to strive towards a Union that is ‘big on big issues and small on small ones’; calls for the multi-annual financial framework (MFF) to make this a reality by substantially increasing external action

making common foreign policy a core EU function;

appropriations (Heading 4), thereby making common foreign policy a core EU function;

Or. it

Amendment 8 **Tokia Saïfi**

Draft opinion **Paragraph 1**

Draft opinion

1. Stresses that the EU is faced with multiple challenges that affect global stability and that can only be tackled with long-term and holistic external actions; recalls the ambition of EU leaders as expressed in the Rome Declaration to strive towards a Union that is ‘big on big issues and small on small ones’; calls for the multi-annual financial framework (MFF) to make this a reality by **substantially** increasing external action appropriations (Heading 4), thereby making common foreign policy a core EU function;

Amendment

1. Stresses that the EU is faced with multiple challenges that affect global stability and that can only be tackled with long-term and holistic external actions; recalls the ambition of EU leaders as expressed in the Rome Declaration to strive towards a Union that is ‘big on big issues and small on small ones’; calls for the multi-annual financial framework (MFF) to make this a reality by **greatly** increasing external action appropriations (Heading 4), thereby making common foreign policy a core EU function;

Or. fr

Amendment 9 **Eduard Kukan**

Draft opinion **Paragraph 1**

Draft opinion

1. Stresses that the EU is faced with multiple challenges that affect global stability and that can only be tackled with long-term and holistic external actions; recalls the ambition of EU leaders as

Amendment

1. Stresses that the EU is faced with multiple challenges that affect global stability **and security** and that can only be tackled with long-term and holistic external actions; recalls the ambition of EU leaders

expressed in the Rome Declaration to strive towards a Union that is ‘big on big issues and small on small ones’; calls for the multi-annual financial framework (MFF) to make this a reality by substantially increasing external action appropriations (Heading 4), thereby making common foreign policy a core EU function;

as expressed in the Rome Declaration to strive towards a Union that is ‘big on big issues and small on small ones’; calls for the multi-annual financial framework (MFF) to make this a reality by substantially increasing external action appropriations (Heading 4), thereby making common foreign policy a core EU function;

Or. en

Amendment 10

Javier Couso Permuy, João Pimenta Lopes

Draft opinion

Paragraph 1 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

1a. Takes the view that the foreign policy is the exclusive competence of each Member State; stresses that the principles of national independence, respect for human rights, the rights of peoples, equality between States, the peaceful settlement of international disputes, non-interference in the internal affairs of other States and cooperation with all other peoples for the empowerment and advancement of humankind must form the basis for international relations in each Member State;

Or. pt

Amendment 11

Sabine Lösing, João Pimenta Lopes, Javier Couso Permuy

Draft opinion

Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

Amendment

2. Highlights that *increased funding is needed for an effective European response to modern challenges and to tackle Europe's new priorities, which are reflected in the EU Global Strategy and the renewed European Neighbourhood policy, including development aid, human rights violations, bad governance, security threats and armed conflicts, and natural disasters and climate change as well as the refugee and migratory challenges caused by these issues;*

2. Highlights that *the EU Global Strategy and European Neighbourhood policy are promoting external interventionism, militarism and the current preoccupation with security in the EU, remaining in line with EU policies that are curtailing individual rights, freedoms guarantees; rejects therefore any type of funding contributing to the strengthening of EU external action, security and defence policies, the development of military industry or the limitation of individual rights, freedoms and guarantees;*

Or. pt

Amendment 12
Anders Primdahl Vistisen

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Highlights that *increased funding is needed for an effective European response to modern challenges and to tackle Europe's new priorities, which are reflected in the EU Global Strategy and the renewed European Neighbourhood policy, including development aid, human rights violations, bad governance, security threats and armed conflicts, and natural disasters and climate change as well as the refugee and migratory challenges caused by these issues;*

Amendment

2. Highlights that *existing funding must be optimised to enable an effective Member State response to modern challenges, and that increases in funding should be unlocked as and when deemed strictly necessary to tackle potential threats to Member State security and integrity in the future, including development aid, human rights violations, bad governance, security threats and armed conflicts, according to the priorities laid out in the EU Global Strategy and the renewed European Neighbourhood policy;*

Or. en

Amendment 13
Fabio Massimo Castaldo

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Highlights that increased funding is needed for an effective European response to modern challenges and to tackle Europe's new priorities, which are reflected in the EU Global Strategy and the renewed European Neighbourhood policy, including development aid, human rights violations, bad governance, security threats and armed conflicts, **and** natural disasters and climate change as well as the refugee and migratory challenges caused by these issues;

Amendment

2. Highlights that increased funding is needed for an effective European response to modern challenges and to tackle Europe's new priorities, which are reflected in the EU Global Strategy and the renewed European Neighbourhood policy, including development aid, ***measures to achieve sustainable development targets and to underpin an international order based on respect for individual rights,*** human rights violations, bad governance, security threats and armed conflicts, ***measures to strengthen environmental, economic and social structures in partner countries,*** natural disasters and climate change as well as the refugee and migratory challenges caused by these issues;

Or. it

Amendment 14
Sabine Lösing

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Highlights that increased funding is needed for an effective European response to modern challenges and to tackle Europe's new priorities, which ***are reflected in the EU Global Strategy*** and the ***renewed*** European Neighbourhood policy, including development aid, human rights violations, bad governance, security threats and armed conflicts, and natural disasters and climate change as well as the refugee and migratory challenges caused

Amendment

2. Highlights that increased funding is needed for an effective European response to modern challenges and to tackle Europe's new priorities, which ***would reflect a paradigm shift of the EU-foreign policy in general*** and the European Neighbourhood policy, including ***poverty eradication,*** development aid, human rights violations, bad governance, security threats and armed conflicts, and natural disasters and climate change as well as the

by these issues;

refugee and migratory challenges caused
by these issues;

Or. en

Amendment 15

Jordi Solé

Draft opinion

Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Highlights that increased funding is needed for an effective European response to modern challenges and to tackle Europe's new priorities, which are reflected in the EU Global Strategy and the renewed European Neighbourhood policy, including **development aid**, human rights violations, bad governance, security threats and armed conflicts, and natural disasters and climate change as well as the refugee and migratory challenges **caused by these issues**;

Amendment

2. Highlights that increased funding is needed for an effective European response to modern challenges and to tackle Europe's new priorities, which are reflected in the EU Global Strategy and the renewed European Neighbourhood policy, including human rights violations, bad governance, security threats and armed conflicts, and natural disasters and climate change as well as the **root causes of current** refugee and migratory challenges;

Or. en

Amendment 16

Eduard Kukan

Draft opinion

Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Highlights that increased funding is needed for an effective European response to modern challenges and to tackle Europe's new priorities, which are reflected in the EU Global Strategy and the renewed European Neighbourhood policy, including development aid, human rights violations, **bad** governance, security threats

Amendment

2. Highlights that increased funding is needed for an effective European response to modern challenges and to tackle Europe's new priorities, which are reflected in the EU Global Strategy and the renewed European Neighbourhood policy, including development aid, human rights violations, **good** governance, security

and armed conflicts, and natural disasters and climate change as well as the refugee and migratory challenges caused by these issues;

threats and armed conflicts, and natural disasters and climate change as well as the refugee and migratory challenges caused by these issues; ***stresses that the EU also needs to boost its institutional capacity in order to have greater influence in foreign and security policy;***

Or. en

Amendment 17
Jaromír Štětina

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Highlights that increased funding is needed for an effective European response to modern challenges and to tackle Europe's new priorities, which are reflected in the EU Global Strategy and the renewed European Neighbourhood policy, including development aid, human rights violations, bad governance, security threats ***and*** armed conflicts, and natural disasters and climate change as well as the refugee and migratory challenges caused by these issues;

Amendment

2. Highlights that increased funding is needed for an effective European response to modern challenges and to tackle Europe's new priorities, which are reflected in the EU Global Strategy and the renewed European Neighbourhood policy, including development aid, human rights violations, bad governance, security threats, ***along with new hybrid threats such as purposeful dissemination of false information,*** armed conflicts, and natural disasters and climate change as well as the refugee and migratory challenges caused by ***some of*** these issues;

Or. en

Amendment 18
Cristian Dan Preda

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Highlights that increased funding is

Amendment

2. Highlights that increased funding is

needed for an effective European response to modern challenges and to tackle Europe's new priorities, which are reflected in the EU Global Strategy **and** the renewed European Neighbourhood policy, including development aid, human rights violations, bad governance, **security threats and armed conflicts, and** natural disasters and climate change as well as the refugee and migratory challenges caused by these issues;

needed for an effective European response to modern challenges and to tackle Europe's new priorities, which are reflected in the EU Global Strategy, the renewed European Neighbourhood policy, **enlargement policy and the European Defense Action Plan**, including **security threats, armed conflicts, disinformation campaigns and cyberattacks, terrorism and radicalisation**, development aid, human rights violations, bad governance, natural disasters and climate change as well as the refugee and migratory challenges caused by these issues;

Or. en

Amendment 19 **Georgios Epitideios**

Draft opinion **Paragraph 2**

Draft opinion

2. Highlights that increased funding is needed for an effective European response to modern challenges and to tackle Europe's new priorities, which are reflected in the EU Global Strategy and the renewed European Neighbourhood policy, including development aid, human rights violations, bad governance, security threats and armed conflicts, and natural disasters and climate change as well as the refugee and migratory challenges caused by these issues;

Amendment

2. Highlights that increased funding is needed for an effective European response to modern challenges and to tackle Europe's new priorities, which are reflected in the EU Global Strategy and the renewed European Neighbourhood policy, including development aid, human rights violations, bad governance, security threats and armed conflicts, and natural disasters and climate change as well as the refugee and migratory challenges caused by these issues; **notes that the above funding will not entail any reduction in resources currently allocated to applicants in the Member States;**

Or. el

Amendment 20

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Highlights that increased funding is needed for an effective European response to modern challenges and to tackle Europe's new priorities, which are reflected in the EU Global Strategy and the renewed European Neighbourhood policy, including development aid, human rights violations, **bad** governance, security threats and armed conflicts, and natural disasters and climate change as well as the refugee and migratory challenges caused by these issues;

Amendment

2. Highlights that increased **and targeted** funding **in strategic areas** is needed for an effective European response to modern challenges and to tackle Europe's new priorities, **some of** which are reflected in the EU Global Strategy and the renewed European Neighbourhood policy, including development aid, human rights violations, **good** governance, security **and hybrid** threats, **propaganda** and armed conflicts, and natural disasters and climate change as well as the refugee and migratory challenges caused by these issues;

Or. en

Amendment 21
Urmas Paet, Petras Auštrevičius

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Highlights that increased funding is needed for an effective European response to modern challenges and to tackle Europe's new priorities, which are reflected in the EU Global Strategy and the renewed European Neighbourhood policy, including development aid, human rights violations, bad governance, security threats and armed conflicts, and natural disasters and climate change as well as the refugee and migratory challenges caused by these issues;

Amendment

2. Highlights that increased funding is needed for an effective European response to modern challenges and to tackle Europe's new priorities, which are reflected in the EU Global Strategy and the renewed European Neighbourhood policy, including development aid, human rights violations, bad governance, security threats and armed conflicts, and natural disasters and climate change as well as the refugee and migratory challenges caused by these issues; ***calls for a significant increase in funding for the EU defence sector;***

Amendment 22
Lars Adaktusson

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Highlights that increased funding is needed for an effective European response to modern challenges and to tackle Europe's new priorities, which are reflected in the EU Global Strategy and the renewed European Neighbourhood policy, including development aid, human rights violations, bad governance, security threats and armed conflicts, **and** natural disasters **and** climate change as well as the refugee and migratory challenges caused by these issues;

Amendment

2. Highlights that increased funding is needed for an effective European response to modern challenges and to tackle Europe's new priorities, which are reflected in the EU Global Strategy and the renewed European Neighbourhood policy, including development aid, human rights violations, bad governance, security threats and armed conflicts, natural disasters, climate change **and post-crisis reconstruction** as well as the refugee and migratory challenges caused by these issues;

Amendment 23
Alojz Peterle

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Highlights that increased funding is needed for an effective European response to modern challenges and to tackle Europe's new priorities, which are reflected in the EU Global Strategy and the renewed European Neighbourhood policy, including development aid, human rights violations, bad governance, security threats and armed conflicts, and natural disasters and climate change as well as the refugee and migratory challenges caused by these

Amendment

2. Highlights that increased funding is needed for an effective European response to modern **global** challenges and to tackle Europe's new priorities, which are reflected in the EU Global Strategy and the renewed European Neighbourhood policy, including development aid, human rights violations, bad governance, security threats and armed conflicts, and natural disasters and climate change as well as the refugee and migratory challenges caused by these

issues;

issues;

Or. en

Amendment 24

Jordi Solé

Draft opinion

Paragraph 2 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

2a. Believes that there is the need to strengthen and deepen cooperation between Member States on military capabilities needed for the Common Security and Defence Policy (CSDP); calls on the Member States, the VP/HR, and the Commission to prioritise instruments which would significantly increase the efficiency of national defence spending and the respect for internal market rules in the defence sector; refers to the recent Commission assessment which stressed that collectively the 28 Member States could annually save between EUR 25-100 billion in case they cooperate efficiently on defence research, development, procurement and other relevant areas; therefore rejects any attempts to use scarce EU budget resources for defence research, capability development or other related military activities;

Or. en

Amendment 25

Anders Primdahl Vistisen

Draft opinion

Paragraph 2 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

2a. Stresses that there is great scope for existing instruments to improve their efficiency before further funding is to be deployed; in this regard, emphasises that the Instrument for Pre-accession Assistance (IPA II) has, at the time of its mid-term review, not proven its efficiency over and above that of its predecessor (IPA I), nor has it justified its total allocation of EUR 11.5 billion, in the context of improvements for Member State security, Union integrity or in achieving social, juridical and financial reforms in pre-accession countries;

Or. en

Amendment 26
Cristian Dan Preda

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

2a. Underlines that the establishment of new priorities in the area of external action and defence cooperation at the EU level should be accompanied by new resources, both at an operational and administrative level, in order for the external action to be credible and effective;

Or. en

Amendment 27
Anders Primdahl Vistisen

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

2b. *Notes that the wider enlargement policy of the Union has functioned as a siphon for Member State funding for many years, with lamentably less benefit than might have been hoped, and points to the misappropriation of existing Union funding by potential enlargement countries, notably in the Eastern Neighbourhood, as clear evidence that increased funding for external aid must only come once existing instruments and funding allocations have been maximised upon; considers that the procedure for improving the efficiency of existing funding instruments constitutes a critical concern that has not been adequately addressed; and considers furthermore that the entire policy of Union enlargement ought to be reconsidered in view of historic failures and misappropriations of Union funding;*

Or. en

Amendment 28

Jordi Solé

Draft opinion

Paragraph 2 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

2b. *Rejects any attempts to use scarce EU budget resources for defence research, capability development or other related military activities;*

Or. en

Amendment 29

Javier Couso Permuy, João Pimenta Lopes

Draft opinion

Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

Amendment

3. *Recalls the importance of enhanced flexibility that allows for the mobilisation of additional resources to respond to unforeseen situations; stresses, however, that increased flexibility should not be achieved at the expense of long-term policy objectives; calls for a considerable strengthening of the flexibility mechanisms ('special instruments') under the MFF;*

deleted

Or. pt

Amendment 30
Eduard Kukan

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

Amendment

3. Recalls the importance of enhanced flexibility that allows for the mobilisation of additional resources to respond to unforeseen situations; stresses, however, that increased flexibility should not be achieved at the expense of long-term policy objectives; calls for a considerable strengthening of the flexibility mechanisms ('special instruments') under the MFF;

3. Recalls the importance of enhanced flexibility that allows for the mobilisation of additional resources to respond to unforeseen situations *and use of uncommitted resources in following years*; stresses, however, that increased flexibility should not be achieved at the expense of long-term policy objectives; calls for a considerable strengthening of the flexibility mechanisms ('special instruments') under the MFF *while at the same time strengthening the strategic political guidelines*;

Or. en

Amendment 31
Pier Antonio Panzeri

Draft opinion

Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

3. Recalls the importance of enhanced flexibility that allows for the mobilisation of additional resources to respond to unforeseen situations; stresses, however, that increased flexibility should not be achieved at the expense of long-term policy objectives; calls for a considerable strengthening of the flexibility mechanisms ('special instruments') under the MFF;

Amendment

3. Recalls the importance of enhanced flexibility that allows for the mobilisation of additional resources to respond to unforeseen situations; stresses, however, that increased flexibility should not be achieved at the expense of long-term policy objectives; calls for a considerable strengthening of the flexibility mechanisms ('special instruments') under the MFF, ***reiterating its support for the Commission's proposal for a permanent EU Crisis Reserve, in order to avoid ad hoc solutions such as the setting-up of trust funds;***

Or. en

Amendment 32

Urmas Paet, Petras Auštrevičius

Draft opinion

Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

3. Recalls the importance of enhanced flexibility that allows for the mobilisation of additional resources to respond to unforeseen situations; stresses, however, that increased flexibility should not be achieved at the expense of long-term policy objectives; calls for a considerable strengthening of the flexibility mechanisms ('special instruments') under the MFF;

Amendment

3. Recalls the importance of enhanced flexibility that allows for the mobilisation of additional resources to respond to unforeseen situations; ***stresses the necessity of resource availability to be ready to respond to rapidly developing new threats like hybrid warfare and cyber attacks;*** stresses, however, that increased flexibility should not be achieved at the expense of long-term policy objectives; calls for a considerable strengthening of the flexibility mechanisms ('special instruments') under the MFF;

Or. en

Amendment 33
Fabio Massimo Castaldo

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

3. Recalls the importance of enhanced flexibility that allows for the mobilisation of additional resources to respond to unforeseen situations; stresses, however, that increased flexibility should not be achieved at the expense of long-term policy objectives; calls for a considerable strengthening of the flexibility mechanisms ('special instruments') under the MFF;

Amendment

3. Recalls the importance of enhanced flexibility that allows for the mobilisation of additional resources to respond to unforeseen situations; stresses, however, that increased flexibility should not be achieved at the expense of long-term policy objectives ***or existing programmes that have already proved their worth***; calls for a considerable strengthening of the flexibility mechanisms ('special instruments') under the MFF;

Or. it

Amendment 34
Georgios Epitideios

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

3. Recalls the importance of enhanced flexibility that allows for the mobilisation of additional resources to respond to unforeseen situations; stresses, however, that increased flexibility should not be achieved at the expense of long-term policy objectives; calls for a considerable strengthening of the flexibility mechanisms ('special instruments') under the MFF;

Amendment

3. Recalls the importance of enhanced flexibility that allows for the ***sufficiently justified*** mobilisation of additional resources to respond to unforeseen situations; stresses, however, that increased flexibility should not be achieved at the expense of long-term policy objectives; calls for a considerable strengthening of the flexibility mechanisms ('special instruments') under the MFF;

Or. el

Amendment 35

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Takes the view that *the existing* EU Trust Funds should *also prove their added value in their ability to mobilise additional funding; notes that a simple relabeling of existing EU funding for political purposes does not represent increases per se, and creates an additional layer of complexity in terms of democratic oversight and budgetary scrutiny; emphasises the need for increased parliamentary scrutiny of activities under EU Trust Funds and the EU Facility for Refugees in Turkey;*

Amendment

4. Takes the view that *current* EU trust funds should *contribute to development and solidarity between peoples, and not be instruments promoting and upholding the economic and financial interests of major multinationals or the political interests of major EU powers;*

Or. pt

Amendment 36
Marietje Schaake

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Takes the view that the existing EU Trust Funds *should also prove their* added value *in their ability to mobilise additional* funding; notes that a simple relabeling of existing EU funding for political purposes does not represent increases per se, and creates an additional layer of complexity in terms of democratic oversight and budgetary scrutiny; emphasises the need for increased parliamentary scrutiny of activities under EU Trust Funds and the EU Facility for Refugees in Turkey;

Amendment

4. Takes the view that the existing EU Trust Funds *can have* added value *by pooling* funding *for specific situations*; notes that a simple relabeling of existing EU funding for political purposes does not represent increases per se, and creates an additional layer of complexity in terms of democratic oversight and budgetary scrutiny; *reiterates that the original objectives of EU financial instruments cannot be changed when placed under a Trust Fund heading*; emphasises the need for increased parliamentary scrutiny of activities under EU Trust Funds and the EU Facility for Refugees in Turkey;

Amendment 37
Pier Antonio Panzeri

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Takes the view that the existing EU Trust Funds should also prove their added value in their ability to mobilise additional funding; notes that a simple relabeling of existing EU funding for political purposes does not represent increases per se, and creates an additional layer of complexity in terms of democratic oversight and budgetary scrutiny; emphasises the need for increased parliamentary scrutiny of activities under EU Trust Funds and the EU Facility for Refugees in Turkey;

Amendment

4. ***Stresses that trust funds were established because the EU budget lacks the resources and flexibility needed for a rapid and comprehensive response to major crises***; takes the view that the existing EU Trust Funds should also prove their added value in their ability to mobilise additional funding; notes that a simple relabeling of existing EU funding for political purposes does not represent increases per se, and creates an additional layer of complexity in terms of democratic oversight and budgetary scrutiny; ***therefore*** emphasises the need for increased parliamentary scrutiny of activities under EU Trust Funds and the EU Facility for Refugees in Turkey; ***recalls that such ad hoc instruments should only be resorted to if the Commission can provide clear proof that requirements in terms of EU added value and additionality are met***; ***reiterates the importance of the unity of the EU budget as a core principle***;

Amendment 38
Cristian Dan Preda

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Takes the view that the existing EU

Amendment

4. Takes the view that the existing EU

Trust Funds should also prove their added value in their ability to mobilise additional funding; notes that a simple relabeling of existing EU funding for political purposes does not represent increases per se, and creates an additional layer of complexity in terms of democratic oversight and budgetary scrutiny; emphasises the *need* for increased parliamentary scrutiny of activities under EU Trust Funds and the EU Facility for Refugees in Turkey;

Trust Funds should also prove their added value in their ability to mobilise additional funding; notes that a simple relabeling of existing EU funding for political purposes does not represent increases per se, and creates an additional layer of complexity in terms of democratic oversight and budgetary scrutiny; emphasises the *importance of strengthening the unity of the EU budget, and therefore of reducing the use of ad hoc financial instruments outside it, and strongly calls* for increased parliamentary scrutiny of activities under *the existing* EU Trust Funds and the EU Facility for Refugees in Turkey;

Or. en

Amendment 39 **Eduard Kukan**

Draft opinion **Paragraph 4**

Draft opinion

4. Takes the view that the existing EU Trust Funds should also prove their added value in their ability to mobilise additional funding; notes that a simple relabeling of existing EU funding for political purposes does not represent increases per se, and creates an additional layer of complexity in terms of democratic oversight and budgetary scrutiny; emphasises the need for increased parliamentary scrutiny of activities under EU Trust Funds and the EU Facility for Refugees in Turkey;

Amendment

4. *Supports use of innovative forms of financial cooperation, such as blending grants and loans from international and European financing institutions, including the European Investment Bank;* takes the view that the existing EU Trust Funds should also prove their added value in their ability to mobilise additional funding; notes that a simple relabeling *or restructuring* of existing EU funding for political purposes does not represent increases per se, and creates an additional layer of complexity in terms of democratic oversight and budgetary scrutiny; emphasises the need for increased parliamentary scrutiny of activities under EU Trust Funds and the EU Facility for Refugees in Turkey;

Or. en

Amendment 40
Fabio Massimo Castaldo

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Takes the view that the existing EU Trust Funds should also prove their added value in their ability to mobilise additional funding; notes that a simple relabeling of existing EU funding for political purposes does not represent increases per se, and creates an additional layer of complexity in terms of democratic oversight and budgetary scrutiny; emphasises the need for increased parliamentary scrutiny of activities under EU Trust Funds and the EU Facility for Refugees in Turkey;

Amendment

4. Takes the view that the existing EU Trust Funds should also prove their added value in their ability to mobilise additional funding, ***something that has been achieved only partially to date***; notes that a simple relabeling of existing EU funding for political purposes does not represent increases per se, and creates an additional layer of complexity in terms of democratic oversight and budgetary scrutiny; emphasises the need for increased parliamentary scrutiny of activities under EU Trust Funds and the EU Facility for Refugees in Turkey ***and the 'joint way forward on migration issues' between Afghanistan and the EU and for similar initiatives in future***;

Or. xm

Amendment 41
Anders Primdahl Vistisen

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Takes the view that the existing EU Trust Funds should also prove their added value in their ability to mobilise additional funding; notes that a simple relabeling of existing EU funding for political purposes does not represent increases per se, and creates an additional layer of complexity in terms of democratic oversight and

Amendment

4. Takes the view that the existing EU Trust Funds should also prove their added value in their ability to mobilise additional funding; notes that a simple relabeling of existing EU funding for political purposes does not represent increases per se, and creates an additional layer of complexity in terms of democratic oversight and

budgetary scrutiny; emphasises the need for increased parliamentary scrutiny of activities under EU Trust Funds *and the EU Facility for Refugees in Turkey*;

budgetary scrutiny; emphasises the need for increased parliamentary scrutiny of activities under EU Trust Funds;

Or. en

Amendment 42
Anders Primdahl Vistisen

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

5. Maintains that EU external financing instruments are essential for effective EU external action in particular in the European Neighbourhood (European Neighbourhood Instrument (ENI)) and pre-accession countries (Instrument for Pre-accession Assistance II); points out that the ENI should take account of the increased emphasis on stabilisation, peace processes, post-crisis reconstruction and resilience;

Amendment

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 43
Sabine Lösing, João Pimenta Lopes, Javier Couso Permuy

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

5. Maintains that EU external financing instruments are essential for effective EU external action in particular in the European Neighbourhood (European Neighbourhood Instrument (ENI)) and pre-accession countries (Instrument for Pre-accession Assistance

Amendment

5. Maintains that more funding should earmarked for demilitarisation and for conventional and nuclear disarmament programmes; calls on EU Member States to prioritise the defence and promotion of peace;

II); points out that the ENI should take account of the increased emphasis on stabilisation, peace processes, post-crisis reconstruction and resilience;

Or. pt

Amendment 44
Marietje Schaake

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

5. Maintains that EU external financing instruments are essential for effective EU external action in particular in the European Neighbourhood (European Neighbourhood Instrument (ENI)) and pre-accession countries (Instrument for Pre-accession Assistance II); points out that the ENI should take account of the increased emphasis on stabilisation, peace processes, post-crisis reconstruction and resilience;

Amendment

5. Maintains that EU external financing instruments are essential for effective EU external action in particular in the European Neighbourhood (European Neighbourhood Instrument (ENI)) and pre-accession countries (Instrument for Pre-accession Assistance II); points out that the ENI should take account of the increased emphasis on stabilisation, peace processes, post-crisis reconstruction and resilience; ***recalls the value of the ‘more for more’ principle and calls on the Commission in this regard to actively make use of the possibilities provided by the relevant regulations; calls on the Commission to come up with the legal means to put funds to government bodies on hold in cases of serious and continuous political backsliding; calls on the Commission to put funds for Turkey under IPA II on hold until clear benchmarks are met and to where possible reroute funds to Turkish civil society organisations; reiterates the need to establish monitoring and evaluation systems to assess the effectiveness and efficiency of EU funding; stresses that under all instruments, the EU should strive to make EU projects and initiatives more visible, both in third countries and at home;***

Amendment 45
Cristian Dan Preda

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

5. Maintains that EU external financing instruments are essential for effective EU external action in particular in the European Neighbourhood (European Neighbourhood Instrument (ENI)) and pre-accession countries (Instrument for Pre-accession Assistance II); points out that the ENI should take account of the increased emphasis on stabilisation, peace processes, post-crisis reconstruction and resilience;

Amendment

5. Maintains that EU external financing instruments are essential for effective EU external action in particular in the European Neighbourhood (European Neighbourhood Instrument (ENI)) and pre-accession countries (Instrument for Pre-accession Assistance II (**IPA II**)); points out that the ENI should take account of the increased emphasis on stabilisation, peace processes, post-crisis reconstruction and resilience, ***both in the East and the South; stresses that the result-oriented approach of IPA II available for candidate and potential candidate countries should be reinforced in order to efficiently contribute to the democratisation of those countries and their economic and social development, especially given the worrying political developments in the Western Balkans;***

Amendment 46
Pier Antonio Panzeri

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

5. Maintains that EU external financing instruments are essential for effective EU external action in particular in the European Neighbourhood (European

Amendment

5. Maintains that EU external financing instruments are essential for effective EU external action in particular in the European Neighbourhood (European

Neighbourhood Instrument (ENI)) and pre-accession countries (Instrument for Pre-accession Assistance II); points out that the ENI should take account of the increased emphasis on stabilisation, peace processes, post-crisis reconstruction and resilience;

Neighbourhood Instrument (ENI)) and pre-accession countries (Instrument for Pre-accession Assistance II); points out that the ENI should take account of the increased emphasis on stabilisation, peace processes, post-crisis reconstruction and resilience *of societies and refugee and IDP communities, enhancing coherence and promoting a more balanced political dialogue with partner countries; stresses the need for rapid response of the financing instruments to political developments and, whenever democracy and rule of law are not respected, to focus on supporting civil society;*

Or. en

Amendment 47
Jordi Solé

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

5. Maintains that EU external financing instruments are essential for effective EU external action in particular in the European Neighbourhood (European Neighbourhood Instrument (ENI)) and pre-accession countries (Instrument for Pre-accession Assistance II); points out that the ENI should take account of the increased emphasis on stabilisation, peace processes, post-crisis reconstruction and resilience;

Amendment

5. Maintains that EU external financing instruments are essential for effective EU external action in particular in the European Neighbourhood (European Neighbourhood Instrument (ENI)) and pre-accession countries (Instrument for Pre-accession Assistance II); points out that the ENI should take account of the increased emphasis on stabilisation, peace processes, post-crisis reconstruction and resilience; *underlines the urgent need to strengthen the EU's civilian conflict prevention capacities; underlines the need to increase funds for the Instrument contributing to Stability and Peace (IcSP) and its preventive activities such as mediation, dialogue and reconciliation;*

Or. en

Amendment 48
Lars Adaktusson

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

5. Maintains that EU external financing instruments are essential for effective EU external action in particular in the European Neighbourhood (European Neighbourhood Instrument (ENI)) **and** pre-accession countries (Instrument for Pre-accession Assistance II); points out that the ENI should take account of the increased emphasis on stabilisation, peace processes, post-crisis reconstruction and resilience;

Amendment

5. Maintains that EU external financing instruments are essential for effective EU external action in particular in the European Neighbourhood (European Neighbourhood Instrument (ENI)), pre-accession countries (Instrument for Pre-accession Assistance II), **and regions that have been victims of crimes against humanity, war crimes and genocide (Instrument contributing to Stability and Peace (IcSP))**; points out that the ENI should take account of the increased emphasis on stabilisation, peace processes, post-crisis reconstruction and resilience;

Or. en

Amendment 49
Fabio Massimo Castaldo

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

5. Maintains that EU external financing instruments are essential for effective EU external action in particular in the European Neighbourhood (European Neighbourhood Instrument (ENI)) and pre-accession countries (Instrument for Pre-accession Assistance II); points out that the ENI should take account of the increased emphasis on stabilisation, peace processes, post-crisis reconstruction and resilience;

Amendment

5. Maintains that EU external financing instruments are essential for effective EU external action in particular in the European Neighbourhood (European Neighbourhood Instrument (ENI)) and pre-accession countries (Instrument for Pre-accession Assistance II); **stresses, however, that their deployment should be conditional on respect by partner countries for basic EU values**; points out that the ENI should take account of the

increased emphasis on stabilisation, peace processes, post-crisis reconstruction and resilience;

Or. it

Amendment 50
Eduard Kukan

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

5. Maintains that EU external financing instruments are essential for effective EU external action in particular in the European Neighbourhood (European Neighbourhood Instrument (ENI)) and pre-accession countries (Instrument for Pre-accession Assistance II); points out that the ENI should take account of the increased emphasis on stabilisation, peace processes, post-crisis reconstruction and resilience;

Amendment

5. Maintains that EU external financing instruments are essential for effective EU external action in particular in the European Neighbourhood (European Neighbourhood Instrument (ENI)) and pre-accession countries (Instrument for Pre-accession Assistance II); ***calls for enhancing coherence and coordination between the external financing instruments***; points out that the ENI should take account of the increased emphasis on ***democratisation***, stabilisation, peace processes, post-crisis reconstruction and resilience;

Or. en

Amendment 51
Jordi Solé

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

5a. Believes that post-2020 period there is the need to find a legally sound instrument for the possibility of future EU military capacity-building measures outside of a renewed IcSP; underlines

that future EU military capacity-building in support of security and development should not be financed via transfers from other external action budgets such as the neighbourhood policy (ENI), the police and rule-of-law missions (CFSP), development policy (DCI), or the buffer for unforeseen international crisis;

Or. en

Amendment 52

Tokia Saïfi

Draft opinion

Paragraph 5 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

5a. Points out that the primary objective of European Neighbourhood Policy is growth and employment, particularly for young graduates; calls on the Commission to step up funding under the next ENI programme for projects promoting the employability of young people locally;

Or. fr

Amendment 53

Cristian Dan Preda

Draft opinion

Paragraph 5 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

5a. Draws attention to the potential inherent in the Instrument contributing to Stability and Peace for promoting the EU's security and border integrity and contribute to peace and stability worldwide;

Amendment 54
Eduard Kukan

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

5a. *Calls for closing the gap between the EU strategic political priorities and the external financing instruments;*

Amendment 55
Tokia Saïfi

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6

Draft opinion

Amendment

6. Stresses the importance of strengthened support for the rule of law, democracy and human rights as a cross-cutting issue in EU instruments in view of ongoing developments in the European Neighbourhood and beyond.

6. Stresses the importance of strengthened support for the rule of law, democracy and human rights as a cross-cutting issue in EU instruments in view of ongoing developments in the European Neighbourhood and beyond;
emphasises also that this support must be accompanied by actions to promote inclusive economic growth that is beneficial to all, in particular young people and women.

Amendment 56
Anders Primdahl Vistisen

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6

Draft opinion

6. Stresses the importance of strengthened support for the rule of law, democracy and human rights as a cross-cutting issue in EU instruments in view of ongoing developments in the European Neighbourhood and beyond.

Amendment

6. Stresses the importance of strengthened support for the rule of law, democracy and human rights as a cross-cutting issue in EU instruments in view of ongoing developments in the European Neighbourhood and beyond; ***given the critical nature of these issues, recommends that the deployment of financial aid through such instruments be reassessed with a view toward maximising benefit for Member States and target countries from existing funding, recalling that increasing funding alone does not solve humanitarian crises, rule of law inadequacies or improve Member State security, and that a more concerted effort to sensibly allocate existing funding must be made;***

Or. en

Amendment 57

Sabine Lösing, João Pimenta Lopes, Javier Couso Permuy

Draft opinion

Paragraph 6

Draft opinion

6. Stresses the importance of strengthened support for ***the rule of law, democracy and human rights as a cross-cutting issue in EU instruments in view of ongoing developments in the European Neighbourhood and beyond.***

Amendment

6. Stresses the importance of strengthened support for ***development, cohesion, solidarity and cooperation between States with equal rights; stresses the importance of strengthening support for policies that promote peace and healthy coexistence between peoples, in full compliance with the principles of the UN Charter and international law.***

Or. pt

Amendment 58
Cristian Dan Preda

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6

Draft opinion

6. Stresses the importance of strengthened support for the rule of law, democracy and human rights as a cross-cutting issue in EU instruments in view of ongoing developments in the European Neighbourhood and beyond.

Amendment

6. Stresses the importance of strengthened support for the rule of law, democracy and human rights as a cross-cutting issue in EU instruments in view of ongoing developments in the ***Western Balkans***, European Neighbourhood and beyond; ***points out in particular the role of the European Instrument for Democracy and Human Rights, including its support for election observation.***

Or. en

Amendment 59
Marietje Schaake, Urmas Paet

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6

Draft opinion

6. Stresses ***the importance of strengthened*** support for the rule of law, democracy and human rights ***as a*** cross-cutting ***issue in*** EU instruments in view of ongoing developments in the European Neighbourhood and beyond.

Amendment

6. Stresses ***that*** support for the rule of law, democracy and human rights ***should remain the cornerstones of EU foreign policy and takes the view that these issues should remain*** cross-cutting ***issues in all*** EU instruments ***and foreign policy objectives, especially*** in view of ongoing developments in the European Neighbourhood and beyond.

Or. en

Amendment 60
Jaromír Štětina

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6

Draft opinion

6. Stresses the importance of strengthened support for the rule of law, democracy and human rights as a cross-cutting issue in EU instruments in view of ongoing developments in the European Neighbourhood and beyond.

Amendment

6. Stresses the importance of strengthened support for the rule of law, democracy and human rights as a cross-cutting issue in EU instruments in view of ongoing developments in the European Neighbourhood and beyond; ***stresses that the dangerous rise of populism, the current global attack on human rights' values and new hybrid threats are challenges which require a new, innovative approach.***

Or. en

Amendment 61
Pier Antonio Panzeri

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6

Draft opinion

6. Stresses the importance of strengthened support for the rule of law, democracy and human rights as a cross-cutting issue in EU instruments in view of ongoing developments in the European Neighbourhood and beyond.

Amendment

6. Stresses the importance of strengthened support for the rule of law, democracy and human rights as a cross-cutting issue in EU instruments in view of ongoing developments in the European Neighbourhood and beyond; ***calls for an increased attention to enhancing support for freedom of expression within the financing instruments in order to build resilience to disinformation;***

Or. en

Amendment 62
Fabio Massimo Castaldo

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6

Draft opinion

6. Stresses the importance of strengthened support for the rule of law, democracy and human rights as a cross-cutting issue in EU instruments in view of ongoing developments in the European Neighbourhood and beyond.

Amendment

6. Stresses the importance of strengthened support for the rule of law, democracy and human rights ***and gender equality*** as a cross-cutting issue in EU instruments in view of ongoing developments in the European Neighbourhood and beyond.

Or. it

Amendment 63
Pier Antonio Panzeri

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

6a. Reiterates its support to improving the EU's tools for action on the security-development and humanitarian-development nexus; and, in particular, calls for enhanced support to partners, including non-state actors and international organisations, to build more resilient states, authorities, societies and refugee and IDP communities, including through capacity building and security sector reforms.

Or. en