



16.10.2018

AMENDMENTS

1 - 265

Draft report
Ioan Mircea Pașcu
(PE625.376v01-00)

Annual report on the implementation of the Common Security and Defence
Policy
(2018/2099(INI))

Amendment 1
Jean-Luc Schaffhauser

Motion for a resolution
Citation 1

Motion for a resolution

— having regard to the Treaty of Lisbon,

Amendment

— having regard to the Treaty of Lisbon, ***which entirely removes the EU's independence in security and defence policy and makes it subject to NATO policies (Article 42 of the EU Treaty (consolidated version) and Protocol 11),***

Or. fr

Amendment 2
Arne Lietz

Motion for a resolution
Citation 1 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

- ***having regards to the Common Position 2008/944/CFSP on arms exports control,***

Or. en

Amendment 3
Andrejs Mamikins

Motion for a resolution
Citation 14 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

- ***having regard to the Concept on Strengthening EU Mediation and Dialogue Capacities adopted on 10 November 2009,***

Or. en

Amendment 4
Andrejs Mamikins

Motion for a resolution
Citation 21 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

- *having regard to the Council Conclusions on Reinforcing the UN-EU Strategic Partnership on Peace Operations and Crisis Management: Priorities 2019-2021 adopted on 18 September 2018,*

Or. en

Amendment 5
Andrejs Mamikins

Motion for a resolution
Citation 21 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

- *having regard to the Nobel Peace Prize awarded to the EU in 2012 for the fact that for over six decades it contributed to the advancement of peace, reconciliation, democracy and human rights,*

Or. en

Amendment 6
Geoffrey Van Orden

Motion for a resolution
Citation 21 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

- *having regard to the UK House of Commons Defence Committee's Report of 8th June 2018 "The Government's*

Amendment 7
Jean-Luc Schaffhauser

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1

Motion for a resolution

1. Notes that the rules-based world order *is being increasingly challenged both at the political-military level and, more recently, at the commercial-economic one*; notes that *these systemic challenges are being accompanied by the continuous deterioration of the international environment confronted with interstate conflicts, natural disasters, terrorism, state failure and hybrid attacks on the foundational pillars of our societies*;

Amendment

1. Notes that the rules-based world order *has often been violated by EU Member States, in particular through the so-called Kosovo War against the Federal Republic of Yugoslavia in 1999, which was an illegal and criminal war of aggression according to the jurisprudence of the Nuremberg Tribunal, as well as according to the definition of aggression adopted by the UN General Assembly in its Resolution 3314 of 14 December 1974, the terms of which were recently incorporated into the Rome Statute of the International Criminal Court in its new Article 8(a), which several European states have not ratified; points out that European states also acted illegally when they attacked Libya in 2011 with the aim of bringing about regime change, in spite of the fact that Security Council Resolution 1973 only gave a mandate to protect civilians*; notes that *the EU Member States endorsed the illegal and violent coup d'état in Ukraine on 22 February 2014, thus violating the UN General Assembly Resolution of 9 December 1981 on the inadmissibility of intervention and interference in the internal affairs of states, and that these acts, among others, had an extremely deleterious effect on the international climate, increasing tensions in an unnecessary and counter-productive manner, in particular with Russia*;

Amendment 8**Jozo Radoš, Hilde Vautmans, Javier Nart, Urmas Paet, Marietje Schaake, Ivan Jakovčić****Motion for a resolution****Paragraph 1***Motion for a resolution*

1. Notes that the rules-based world order is being increasingly challenged both at the political-military level and, more recently, at the commercial-economic one; notes that these systemic challenges are being accompanied by the continuous deterioration of the international environment confronted with interstate conflicts, natural disasters, terrorism, state failure and hybrid attacks on the foundational pillars of our societies;

Amendment

1. Notes that the rules-based world order is being increasingly challenged both at the political-military level and, more recently, at the commercial-economic one, ***and that the societal, ecological, economic, technological and geopolitical trends point to the growing vulnerability of the world's population to shocks and stresses***; notes that these systemic challenges are being accompanied by the continuous deterioration of the international environment confronted with interstate conflicts, natural disasters, ***extreme weather events, water crises, terrorism, state failure and cyber*** and hybrid attacks on the foundational pillars of our societies; ***acknowledges that the defence of the rules-based international order and the values defended by liberal democracies should be of the outmost priority and should be approached without compromise***;

Or. en

Amendment 9**Francisco Assis****Motion for a resolution****Paragraph 1***Motion for a resolution*

1. Notes that the rules-based world order is being increasingly challenged both at the political-military level and, more recently, at the commercial-economic one;

Amendment

1. Notes that the rules-based world order is being increasingly challenged both at the political-military level and, more recently, at the commercial-economic one;

notes that these systemic challenges are being accompanied by the continuous deterioration of the international environment confronted with interstate conflicts, natural disasters, terrorism, state failure and hybrid attacks on the foundational pillars of our societies;

notes that these systemic challenges are being accompanied by the continuous deterioration of the international environment confronted with interstate conflicts, natural disasters, terrorism, state failure and hybrid attacks on the foundational pillars of our societies;
emphasizes the breakdown of the old geopolitical order, with major developments such as the rise of China, erratic US behaviour and disruptive action by Russia, as well as Brexit, calling for a conciliatory response on the one hand and a vigorous one on the other;

Or. pt

Amendment 10
Arnaud Danjean

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1

Motion for a resolution

1. Notes that the rules-based world order is being increasingly challenged both at the political-military level and, more recently, at the commercial-economic one; notes that these systemic challenges are being accompanied by the continuous deterioration of the international environment confronted with interstate conflicts, *natural disasters*, terrorism, state failure and hybrid attacks on the foundational pillars of our societies;

Amendment

1. Notes that the rules-based world order is being increasingly challenged, *in the EU neighbourhood and beyond*, both at the political-military level and, more recently, at the commercial-economic one; notes that these systemic challenges are being accompanied by the continuous deterioration of the *strategic* international environment confronted with interstate conflicts, terrorism, state failure, and hybrid attacks on the foundational pillars of our societies, *and natural disasters*;

Or. en

Amendment 11
Bodil Valero
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1

Motion for a resolution

1. Notes that the rules-based world order is being increasingly challenged both at the political-military level and, more recently, at the commercial-economic one; notes that these systemic challenges are being accompanied by the continuous deterioration of the international environment confronted with interstate conflicts, natural disasters, terrorism, state failure and hybrid attacks on the foundational pillars of our societies;

Amendment

1. Notes that the rules-based world order is being increasingly challenged both at the political-military level and, more recently, at the commercial-economic one; notes that these systemic challenges are being accompanied by the continuous deterioration of the international environment confronted with interstate conflicts, ***the effects of climate change***, natural disasters, terrorism, state failure and hybrid attacks on the foundational pillars of our societies;

Or. en

Amendment 12
Manolis Kefalogiannis

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1

Motion for a resolution

1. Notes that the rules-based world order is being increasingly challenged both at the political-military level and, more recently, at the commercial-economic one; notes that these systemic challenges are being accompanied by the continuous deterioration of the international environment confronted with interstate conflicts, natural disasters, terrorism, state failure and hybrid attacks on the foundational pillars of our societies;

Amendment

1. Notes that the ***International Law*** ***and*** rules-based world order is being increasingly challenged both at the political-military level and, more recently, at the commercial-economic one; notes that these systemic challenges are being accompanied by the continuous deterioration of the international environment confronted with interstate conflicts, natural disasters, terrorism, state failure and hybrid attacks on the foundational pillars of our societies;

Or. en

Amendment 13
Urmas Paet

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1

Motion for a resolution

1. Notes that the rules-based world order is being increasingly challenged both at the political-military level and, more recently, at the commercial-economic one; notes that these systemic challenges are being accompanied by the continuous deterioration of the international environment confronted with interstate conflicts, natural disasters, terrorism, state failure and hybrid attacks on the foundational pillars of our societies;

Amendment

1. Notes that the rules-based world order is being increasingly challenged both at the political-military level and, more recently, at the commercial-economic one; notes that these systemic challenges are being accompanied by the continuous deterioration of the international environment confronted with **violence**, interstate conflicts, natural disasters, terrorism, state failure and **cyber and** hybrid attacks on the foundational pillars of our societies;

Or. en

Amendment 14
Geoffrey Van Orden

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1

Motion for a resolution

1. Notes that the rules-based world order is being increasingly challenged both at the political-military level and, more recently, at the commercial-economic one; notes that these systemic challenges are being accompanied by the continuous deterioration of the international environment confronted with interstate conflicts, natural disasters, terrorism, state failure and hybrid attacks on the foundational pillars of our societies;

Amendment

1. Notes that the rules-based world order is being increasingly challenged both at the political-military level and, more recently, at the commercial-economic one; notes that these systemic challenges are being accompanied by the continuous deterioration of the international environment confronted with interstate **and intrastate** conflicts, natural disasters, terrorism, state failure and hybrid attacks on the foundational pillars of our societies;

Or. en

Amendment 15
Jozo Radoš, Hilde Vautmans, Ivan Jakovčić

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 2

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

2. Stresses that these challenges are too vast to be successfully met by any single country; emphasises that it is vital for the EU to respond to these challenges, consistently, effectively and with one voice; notes that the CSDP is a useful tool for addressing many of these challenges;

2. Stresses that these challenges are too vast to be successfully met by any single country; ***is firmly convinced that EU's vulnerability is direct outcome of the lack of integration as well as lack of coordination***; emphasises that it is vital for the EU to respond to these challenges, consistently, effectively and with one voice; notes that the CSDP is a useful tool for addressing many of these challenges; ***points out that the CSDP institutions are in place as well as its many instruments and urges Members States to use them without delay***;

Or. en

Amendment 16
Arnaud Danjean

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 2

Motion for a resolution

2. Stresses that these challenges are too vast to be successfully met by any single country; emphasises that it is vital for the EU to respond to these challenges, consistently, effectively and with one voice; notes that the CSDP is a useful tool for addressing many of these challenges;

Amendment

2. Stresses that these challenges are too vast to be successfully met by any single country; emphasises that it is vital for the EU to respond to these challenges, consistently, effectively and with one voice; notes that the CSDP is a useful tool for addressing many of these challenges ***but should be used more efficiently and in coherence with other external and internal instruments in order to enable the EU to contribute in a decisive way to the management of international crises and to assert its strategic autonomy***;

Or. en

Amendment 17
Bodil Valero
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 2

PE629.384v01-00

10/136

AM\1165854EN.docx

Motion for a resolution

2. Stresses that these challenges are too vast to be successfully met by any single country; emphasises that it is vital for the EU to respond to these challenges, consistently, effectively and with one voice; notes that the CSDP is a useful tool for addressing many of these challenges;

Amendment

2. Stresses that these challenges are too vast to be successfully met by any single country; emphasises that it is vital for the EU to respond to these challenges, consistently, effectively and with one voice; notes that the CSDP is a useful tool for addressing many of these challenges; ***stresses that the Union's first answer to current challenges should be preventive, civilian and diplomatic and only as last resort use the military component of CSDP;***

Or. en

Amendment 18
Urmas Paet

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 2

Motion for a resolution

2. Stresses that these challenges are too vast to be successfully met by any single country; emphasises that it is vital for the EU to respond to these challenges, consistently, effectively and with one voice; ***notes that the CSDP is a useful tool for addressing many of these challenges;***

Amendment

2. Stresses that these challenges are too vast to be successfully met by any single country; emphasises that it is vital for the EU to respond to these challenges consistently, effectively and with one voice ***and to do so in concert with our allies and partners; stresses that strong cooperation is needed in the context of CSDP as well as focusing of resources on strategic priorities;***

Or. en

Amendment 19
Geoffrey Van Orden

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 2

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

2. Stresses that these challenges are too vast to be successfully met by any single country; emphasises that it is vital for the EU to respond to these challenges, consistently, effectively and with one voice; notes that the CSDP is a useful tool for addressing many of these challenges;

2. Stresses that these challenges are too vast to be successfully met by any single country; emphasises that it is vital for the EU **and other international organisations such as NATO**, to respond to these challenges, consistently, effectively and with one voice; notes that the CSDP is a useful tool for addressing many of these challenges;

Or. en

Amendment 20
David McAllister

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 2

Motion for a resolution

2. Stresses that these challenges are too vast to be successfully met by any single country; emphasises that it is vital for the EU to respond to these challenges, consistently, effectively and with one voice; notes that the CSDP is a useful tool for addressing many of these challenges;

Amendment

2. Stresses that these challenges are too vast to be successfully met by any single country; emphasises that it is vital for the EU to respond to these challenges, **rapidly**, consistently, effectively and with one voice; notes that the CSDP is a useful tool for addressing many of these challenges;

Or. en

Amendment 21
Fabio Massimo Castaldo

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 2

Motion for a resolution

2. Stresses that these challenges are too vast to be successfully met by any single country; emphasises that it is vital for the EU to respond to these challenges, consistently, effectively and with one voice; notes that the CSDP is **a useful tool** for addressing many of these challenges;

Amendment

2. Stresses that these challenges are too vast to be successfully met by any single country; emphasises that it is vital for the EU to respond to these challenges, consistently, effectively and with one voice; notes that the CSDP is **one of the tools** for addressing many of these challenges;

Amendment 22
Jean-Luc Schaffhauser

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 2

Motion for a resolution

2. Stresses that *these challenges are too vast to be successfully met by any single country; emphasises that it is vital for the EU to respond to these challenges, consistently, effectively and with one voice; notes that the CSDP is a useful tool for addressing many of these challenges;*

Amendment

2. Stresses that *only nation states acting alone or in consultation with their allies, without being subject to any obligation to demonstrate a priori European solidarity, are able to avoid the warmongering and self-serving irresponsibility of certain members of the Union and thus avoid being caught up in a spiral of war;*

Or. fr

Amendment 23
Georgios Epitideios

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 3

Motion for a resolution

3. Recognises that the complex nature of these challenges, with different threats affecting different Member States to varying degrees, provides room for agreement on how to deal with the challenges collectively, in a spirit of solidarity;

Amendment

3. Recognises that the complex nature of these challenges, with different threats affecting different Member States to varying degrees, provides room for agreement on how to deal with the challenges collectively, in a spirit of solidarity, *while recognising the right of the State directly involved to act (after giving due notice) in its own interest.*

Or. el

Amendment 24
Bogdan Andrzej Zdrojewski

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 3

Motion for a resolution

3. Recognises that the complex nature of these challenges, with different threats affecting different Member States to varying degrees, provides room for agreement on how to deal with the challenges collectively, in a spirit of solidarity;

Amendment

3. ***Stresses the need to determine the risks identified by all Member States;*** recognises that the complex nature of these challenges, with different threats affecting different Member States to varying degrees, provides room for agreement on how to deal with the challenges collectively, in a spirit of solidarity;

Or. pl

Amendment 25
Arnaud Danjean

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 3

Motion for a resolution

3. Recognises that the complex nature of these challenges, with different threats affecting different Member States to varying degrees, provides room for agreement on how to deal with the challenges collectively, in a spirit of solidarity;

Amendment

3. ***Recalls that the security of EU member States is deeply interconnected;*** recognises that the complex nature of these challenges, with different threats affecting different Member States to varying degrees, provides room for agreement on how to deal with the challenges collectively, in a spirit of solidarity;

Or. en

Amendment 26
Alojz Peterle

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 3

Motion for a resolution

3. Recognises that the complex nature of these challenges, with different threats affecting different Member States to varying degrees, provides room for

Amendment

3. Recognises that the complex nature of these challenges, with different threats affecting different Member States to varying degrees, provides room for

agreement on how to deal with the challenges collectively, in a spirit of solidarity;

discussion on how to deal with the challenges collectively, in a spirit of solidarity **to achieve agreement**;

Or. en

Amendment 27
Jean-Luc Schaffhauser

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 3

Motion for a resolution

3. Recognises that the complex nature of these challenges, with different threats affecting different Member States to varying degrees, provides room for agreement on how to deal with the challenges **collectively, in a spirit of solidarity**;

Amendment

3. Recognises that the complex nature of these challenges, with different threats affecting different Member States to varying degrees, provides room for agreement on how to deal with the challenges;

Or. fr

Amendment 28
Geoffrey Van Orden

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 3

Motion for a resolution

3. Recognises that the complex nature of these challenges, with different threats affecting different Member States to varying degrees, provides room for agreement on how to deal with the challenges collectively, **in a spirit of solidarity**;

Amendment

3. Recognises that the complex nature of these challenges, with different threats affecting different Member States to varying degrees, provides room for agreement on how to deal with the challenges collectively;

Or. en

Amendment 29
Arnaud Danjean

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 3 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

3 a. Underlines that jihadist terrorism already affecting the Middle East, Sahel and Horn of Africa is spreading towards West Africa, Central Asia and South East Asia; this lasting threat requires a sustained and well coordinated strategy at the EU level to protect European citizens and interests as well as supporting affected regions;

Or. en

Amendment 30
Clare Moody

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 3 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

3 a. Notes with concern that the use of novichok nerve agent in Salisbury in March 2018 was the first such attack on European soil since WWII and subsequently resulted in a death of a European citizen; urges the European Council to adopt restrictive measures against those responsible for the use and proliferation of chemical weapons;

Or. en

Amendment 31
Arnaud Danjean

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 4

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

4. Notes that this need for cooperation has been increasingly recognised in recent

4. Notes that this need for cooperation has been increasingly recognised in recent

years **and** welcomes the advances that have been made in this direction;

years; welcomes the advances that have been made in this direction; **also considers that the EU should strengthen the dialogue and cooperation with third countries from the region as well as regional and sub-regional organizations;**

Or. en

Amendment 32
Urmaz Paet

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 4

Motion for a resolution

4. Notes that this need for cooperation has been increasingly recognised in recent years and welcomes the advances that have been made in this direction;

Amendment

4. Notes that this need for cooperation has been increasingly recognised in recent years and welcomes the advances that have been made in this direction, **like establishing permanent structured cooperation (PESCO);**

Or. en

Amendment 33
Jean-Luc Schaffhauser

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 4

Motion for a resolution

4. Notes that this need for cooperation has been increasingly recognised in recent years and welcomes the advances that have been made in this direction;

Amendment

4. Notes that this need for cooperation **on an ad-hoc and case-by-case basis** has been increasingly recognised in recent years and welcomes the advances that have been made in this direction;

Or. fr

Amendment 34
Fabio Massimo Castaldo

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 4

Motion for a resolution

4. Notes that this need for cooperation has been increasingly recognised in recent years ***and welcomes the advances that have been made in this direction;***

Amendment

4. Notes that this need for cooperation has been increasingly recognised in recent years, ***but concrete results still need to be duly evaluated;***

Or. en

Amendment 35
Jean-Luc Schaffhauser

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 5

Motion for a resolution

5. ***Emphasises, however, that to this date cooperation is still in a developing stage and much more needs to be done to ensure that the EU and Member States reap the rewards of deep, sustained, long-term cooperation on defence;***

Amendment

deleted

Or. fr

Amendment 36
Geoffrey Van Orden

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 5

Motion for a resolution

5. Emphasises, however, that to this date cooperation is still in a developing stage and much more needs to be done to ensure that the EU and Member States reap the rewards of deep, sustained, long-term cooperation on defence;

Amendment

5. Emphasises, however, that to this date cooperation is still in a developing stage and much more needs to be done to ensure that the EU and Member States reap the rewards of deep, sustained, long-term cooperation on defence, ***both through EU mechanisms and through NATO, acknowledging that close cooperation should also take place with neighbouring third countries;***

Amendment 37

Jozo Radoš, Hilde Vautmans, Javier Nart, Urmas Paet, Marietje Schaake, Ivan Jakovčić

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 5

Motion for a resolution

5. Emphasises, however, that to this date cooperation is still in a developing stage and much more needs to be done to ensure that the EU and Member States reap the rewards of deep, sustained, **long-term** cooperation on defence;

Amendment

5. Emphasises, however, that to this date cooperation is still in a developing stage and much more needs to be done to ensure that the EU and Member States reap the rewards of deep **and** sustained cooperation on defence **that will lead to common EU defence**;

Or. en

Amendment 38

Bogdan Andrzej Zdrojewski

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 5

Motion for a resolution

5. Emphasises, however, that to this date cooperation is still in a developing stage and much more needs to be done to ensure that the EU and Member States reap the rewards of deep, sustained, long-term cooperation on defence;

Amendment

5. Emphasises, however, that to this date cooperation is still **unsatisfactory and is** in a developing stage, and much more needs to be done to ensure that the EU and Member States reap the rewards of deep, sustained, long-term cooperation on defence;

Or. pl

Amendment 39

Bodil Valero

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 5

Motion for a resolution

5. Emphasises, however, that to this date cooperation is still in a developing stage and much more needs to be done to ensure that the EU and Member States reap the rewards of deep, sustained, long-term cooperation on *defence*;

Amendment

5. Emphasises, however, that to this date cooperation is still in a developing stage and much more needs to be done to ensure that the EU and Member States reap the rewards of deep, sustained, long-term cooperation on *civilian and military CSDP*;

Or. en

Amendment 40

Jozo Radoš, Hilde Vautmans, Javier Nart, Urmas Paet, Marietje Schaake, Ivan Jakovčić

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 5 a (new)**

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

5 a. Emphasises practical and financial benefits of further integrations of European defence capabilities; underlines that through comprehensive and trustworthy work of all stakeholders, it is possible to increase the scope and efficiency of defence spending without the increase of defence spending itself;

Or. en

Amendment 41

Marietje Schaake, Jozo Radoš

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 5 a (new)**

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

5 a. Notes that recent activities and policies by Russia have reduced stability and changed the security environment and emphasises that the EU and Member States need to come to a more common, strategic approach with regards to Russia;

Or. en

Amendment 42
Francisco Assis

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 6

Motion for a resolution

6. Underlines the importance of the transatlantic bond for the security and defence of the Western democracies; expresses, however, concern about the current state of this relationship and calls on all responsible political and societal forces to further strengthen rather than to undermine this crucial relationship; stresses the need to avoid spill overs from recent difficulties in the trade relationship to the transatlantic security bond;

Amendment

6. Underlines the importance of the transatlantic bond for the security and defence of the Western democracies; expresses, however, concern about the current state of this relationship and calls on all responsible political and societal forces to further strengthen rather than to undermine this crucial relationship; stresses the need to avoid spill overs from recent difficulties in the trade relationship to the transatlantic security bond; ***stresses, nevertheless, the importance of exploring new strategic links which could, additionally or alternatively, offset the loss of EU geopolitical influence in the world;***

Or. pt

Amendment 43
Jean-Luc Schaffhauser

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 6

Motion for a resolution

6. Underlines the ***importance of the transatlantic bond for the security and defence of the Western democracies; expresses, however, concern about the current state of this relationship and calls on all responsible political and societal forces to further strengthen rather than to undermine this crucial relationship; stresses the need to avoid spill overs from recent difficulties in the trade relationship to the transatlantic security bond;***

Amendment

6. Underlines the ***danger of permanent military alliances, which lead, inter alia, to the problem of the 'stowaway', with the result that European countries' defence is subcontracted to NATO while the United States does not share the same interests as European countries and pursues its own agenda in Europe;***

Amendment 44
David McAllister

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 6

Motion for a resolution

6. Underlines the importance of the transatlantic bond for the security and defence of the Western democracies; expresses, however, concern about the current state of this relationship and calls on all responsible political and societal forces to further strengthen rather than to undermine this crucial relationship; stresses the need to avoid spill overs from recent difficulties in the trade relationship to the transatlantic security bond;

Amendment

6. Underlines the importance of the transatlantic bond for the security and defence of the Western democracies; expresses, however, concern about the current state of this relationship and calls on all responsible political and societal forces to further strengthen rather than to undermine this crucial relationship; stresses the need to avoid spill overs from recent difficulties in the trade relationship to the transatlantic security bond; ***stresses that a well defined strategic autonomy will foster the European security as well as EU-NATO relations;***

Or. en

Amendment 45
Arne Lietz

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 6

Motion for a resolution

6. Underlines the importance of the transatlantic bond for the security and defence of the Western democracies; expresses, however, concern about the current state of this relationship ***and*** calls on all responsible political and societal forces to further strengthen rather than to undermine this crucial relationship; stresses the need to avoid spill overs from recent difficulties in the trade relationship to the transatlantic security bond;

Amendment

6. Underlines the importance of the transatlantic bond for the security and defence of the Western democracies; expresses, however, concern about the current state of this relationship; ***reiterates against this backdrop that there is an increased need for defence cooperation on European level;*** calls on all responsible political and societal forces to further strengthen rather than to undermine this crucial relationship; stresses the need to avoid spill overs from recent difficulties in

the trade relationship to the transatlantic security bond;

Or. en

Amendment 46
Arnaud Danjean

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 6

Motion for a resolution

6. Underlines the importance of the transatlantic bond for the security and defence of the Western democracies; expresses, however, concern about the current state of this relationship and calls on all responsible political and societal forces to further strengthen ***rather than to undermine*** this crucial relationship; ***stresses the need to avoid spill overs from recent difficulties in the trade relationship to the transatlantic security bond;***

Amendment

6. ***Notes that defence of Europe depends first on the political will and the military capability of the Europeans to shoulder their responsibilities in an uncertain strategic environment;*** underlines the importance of the transatlantic bond for the security and defence of the Western democracies; expresses, however, concern about the current state of this relationship and calls on all responsible political and societal forces to further strengthen this crucial relationship, ***and to overcome current challenges, such as in trade area;***

Or. en

Amendment 47
Geoffrey Van Orden

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 6

Motion for a resolution

6. Underlines the importance of the transatlantic bond for the security and defence of the Western democracies; ***expresses, however, concern about the current state of this relationship and*** calls on all responsible political and societal forces to further strengthen rather than to undermine this crucial relationship; stresses the need to avoid spill overs from

Amendment

6. Underlines the importance of the transatlantic bond for the security and defence of the Western democracies; calls on all responsible political and societal forces, ***on both sides of the Atlantic,*** to further strengthen rather than to undermine this crucial relationship; stresses the need to avoid spill overs from recent difficulties in the trade relationship to the transatlantic

recent difficulties in the trade relationship to the transatlantic security bond;

security bond;

Or. en

Amendment 48

Bodil Valero

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 6

Motion for a resolution

6. Underlines the importance of the transatlantic bond for the security and defence of *the Western* democracies; expresses, however, concern about the current state of this relationship and calls on all responsible political and societal forces to further strengthen rather than to undermine this crucial relationship; stresses the need to avoid spill overs from recent difficulties in the trade relationship to the transatlantic security bond;

Amendment

6. Underlines the importance of the transatlantic bond for the security and defence of *European* democracies; expresses, however, concern about the current state of this relationship and calls on all responsible political and societal forces to further strengthen rather than to undermine this crucial relationship; stresses the need to avoid spill overs from recent difficulties in the trade relationship to the transatlantic security bond;

Or. en

Amendment 49

Marietje Schaake, Jozo Radoš

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 6 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

6 a. Emphasises that multilateralism to which Europe is deeply attached is increasingly being called into question by the attitudes of the US and other world powers; reiterates the importance of multilateralism in maintaining peace and stability, as a vehicle for promoting the values of the rule of law and tackling global issues;

Or. en

Amendment 50
Geoffrey Van Orden

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 6 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

6 a. Welcomes the continued and enhanced US military presence in Europe and welcomes the \$1.75 billion increase in the US budget for its European Deterrence Initiative, rising to \$6.531 billion for 2019;

Or. en

Amendment 51
Sabine Lösing

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 7

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

7. Considers that appropriate investment in security and defence is a matter of urgency for the Member States and the EU and that defence cooperation should become the norm, as outlined in the EU Global Strategy (EUGS); welcomes the progress achieved so far in the implementation of the security and defence provisions of the EU Global Strategy; believes that these achievements open the perspective for important structural changes in the future;

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 52
Francisco Assis

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 7

Motion for a resolution

7. Considers that appropriate investment in security and defence is a matter of urgency for the Member States and the EU and that defence cooperation should become the norm, as outlined in the EU Global Strategy (EUGS); welcomes the progress achieved so far in the implementation of the security and defence provisions of the EU Global Strategy; believes that these achievements open the perspective for important structural changes in the future;

Amendment

7. Considers that appropriate investment in security and defence is a matter of urgency for the Member States and the EU and that defence cooperation should become the norm, as outlined in the EU Global Strategy (EUGS); welcomes the progress achieved so far in the implementation of the security and defence provisions of the EU Global Strategy; believes that these achievements open the perspective for important structural changes in the future, ***even if they are not equal to the scale of the challenges, indicating the need to go beyond mere cooperation and take firm steps towards the integration of Member States' defence policies;***

Or. pt

Amendment 53
Jean-Luc Schaffhauser

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 7

Motion for a resolution

7. Considers that appropriate investment in security and defence is a matter of urgency ***for the Member States and the EU and*** that defence cooperation should ***become the norm, as outlined in the EU Global Strategy (EUGS); welcomes the progress achieved so far in the implementation of the security and defence provisions of the EU Global Strategy; believes that these achievements open the perspective for important structural changes in the future;***

Amendment

7. Considers that appropriate ***and strong*** investment in security and defence is a matter of urgency, ***but*** that defence cooperation should ***be based on a case-by-case assessment of each country's national interests and the resulting collective security, as any other path leads to a weakening of Europe's collective will;***

Or. fr

Amendment 54

PE629.384v01-00

26/136

AM\1165854EN.docx

Arne Lietz

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 7

Motion for a resolution

7. Considers that appropriate investment in security and defence *is* a matter of urgency for the Member States and the EU and that defence cooperation should become the norm, as outlined in the EU Global Strategy (EUGS); welcomes the progress achieved so far in the implementation of the security and defence provisions of the EU Global Strategy; believes that these achievements open the perspective for important structural changes in the future;

Amendment

7. Considers that appropriate investment in security and defence ***and advancing structures for pooling and sharing of defence capabilities are*** a matter of urgency for the Member States and the EU and that defence cooperation should become the norm, as outlined in the EU Global Strategy (EUGS); welcomes the progress achieved so far in the implementation of the security and defence provisions of the EU Global Strategy; believes that these achievements open the perspective for important structural changes in the future;

Or. en

Amendment 55

Bodil Valero

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 7

Motion for a resolution

7. Considers that ***appropriate investment in security and*** defence ***is a matter of urgency for the*** Member States ***and the EU and that defence cooperation*** should become the norm, as outlined in the EU Global Strategy (EUGS); ***welcomes the progress achieved so far in the*** implementation of the security and defence provisions of the EU Global Strategy; believes that these achievements open the perspective for important structural changes in the future;

Amendment

7. Considers that ***cooperation on*** defence ***between*** Member States should become the norm, as outlined in the EU Global Strategy (EUGS); ***notes*** implementation ***of some*** of the security and defence provisions of the EU Global Strategy; believes that these ***first*** achievements ***may*** open the perspective for important structural changes in the future;

Or. en

Amendment 56
Georgios Epitideios

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 7

Motion for a resolution

7. Considers that appropriate investment in security and defence is a matter of urgency for **the** Member States and the EU and that defence cooperation should become the norm, as outlined in the EU Global Strategy (EUGS); welcomes the progress achieved so far in the implementation of the security and defence provisions of the EU Global Strategy; believes that these achievements open the perspective for important structural changes in the future;

Amendment

7. Considers that appropriate investment in security and defence is a matter of urgency for **those** Member States **facing direct specific threats** and the EU and that defence cooperation should become the norm, as outlined in the EU Global Strategy (EUGS); welcomes the progress achieved so far in the implementation of the security and defence provisions of the EU Global Strategy; believes that these achievements open the perspective for important structural changes in the future;

Or. el

Amendment 57
Manolis Kefalogiannis

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 7

Motion for a resolution

7. Considers that appropriate investment in security and defence is a matter of urgency for the Member States and the EU and that defence cooperation should become the norm, as outlined in the EU Global Strategy (EUGS); welcomes the progress achieved so far in the implementation of the security and defence provisions of the EU Global Strategy; believes that these achievements open the perspective for important structural changes in the future;

Amendment

7. Considers that appropriate investment in security and defence is a matter of urgency for the Member States and the EU and that defence **solidarity and** cooperation should become the norm, as outlined in the EU Global Strategy (EUGS); welcomes the progress achieved so far in the implementation of the security and defence provisions of the EU Global Strategy; believes that these achievements open the perspective for important structural changes in the future;

Or. en

Amendment 58
Geoffrey Van Orden

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 7

Motion for a resolution

7. Considers that **appropriate** investment in security and defence is a matter of urgency for the Member States and the EU and that defence cooperation should become the norm, as outlined in the EU Global Strategy (EUGS); welcomes the progress achieved so far in the implementation of the security and defence provisions of the EU Global Strategy; believes that these achievements open the perspective for important structural changes in the future;

Amendment

7. Considers that **increased** investment in security and defence is a matter of urgency for the Member States and the EU and that defence cooperation should become the norm, as outlined in the EU Global Strategy (EUGS); welcomes the progress achieved so far in the implementation of the security and defence provisions of the EU Global Strategy; believes that these achievements open the perspective for important structural changes in the future;

Or. en

Amendment 59
Fabio Massimo Castaldo

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 7

Motion for a resolution

7. Considers that appropriate investment in security and defence is **a matter of urgency** for the Member States and the EU and that defence cooperation should become the norm, as outlined in the EU Global Strategy (EUGS); welcomes the progress achieved so far in the implementation of the security and defence provisions of the EU Global Strategy; believes that these achievements open the perspective for important structural changes in the future;

Amendment

7. Considers that appropriate investment in security and defence is **important** for the Member States and the EU and that defence cooperation should become the norm, as outlined in the EU Global Strategy (EUGS); welcomes the progress achieved so far in the implementation of the security and defence provisions of the EU Global Strategy; believes that these achievements open the perspective for important structural changes in the future;

Or. en

Amendment 60

Bodil Valero
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 7 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

7 a. *Believes that the Union's preferred policy response to emerging risks, conflicts and threats should remain civilian; reminds that economic sanctions, if well-designed, targeted, and implemented in a uniform manner, are a powerful tool of coercive diplomacy, in particular as regards the trade in fossil fuels from states with aggressive foreign policies;*

Or. en

Amendment 61
Urmas Paet

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 7 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

7 a. *Calls on the Member States to aim for the target of 2% of GDP for defence spending, and to spend 20% of their defence budgets on equipment identified as necessary through the EDA, including related research and development;*

Or. en

Amendment 62
Iveta Grigule-Pēterse

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 7 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

7 a. *Strongly believes that EU's*

commitment to independence, sovereignty and territorial integrity of all Eastern Partnership countries within internationally recognised borders is key to successful EU foreign policy towards them;

Or. en

Amendment 63

Bodil Valero

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 8

Motion for a resolution

8. ***Welcomes*** the creation of a dedicated title for defence in the Commission's MFF proposal, and in particular the establishment of a budget line from which the European Defence Fund and Military Mobility projects will be funded; ***is of the opinion that these decisions will, most probably, call for a centralized management on defence at Commission level; underlines that funding from that budget line should be exclusively spent for defence purposes without politicization as security is indivisible and should be coherent with the capability and infrastructure needs of Member States and in line with the EU's aspirations for strategic autonomy;***

Amendment

8. ***Notes*** the creation of a dedicated title for defence in the Commission's MFF proposal, and in particular the establishment of a budget line from which the European Defence Fund and Military Mobility projects will be funded;

Or. en

Amendment 64

Fabio Massimo Castaldo

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 8

Motion for a resolution

8. ***Welcomes*** the creation of a

AM\1165854EN.docx

Amendment

8. ***Notes*** the creation of a dedicated

31/136

PE629.384v01-00

dedicated title for defence in the Commission's MFF proposal, and in particular the establishment of a budget line from which the European Defence Fund and Military Mobility projects will be funded; *is of the opinion that these decisions will, most probably, call for a centralized management on defence at Commission level*; underlines that funding from that budget line should be *exclusively* spent for defence purposes without politicization as security is indivisible and should be coherent with the capability and infrastructure needs of Member States and in line with the EU's aspirations for strategic autonomy;

title for defence in the Commission's MFF proposal, and in particular the establishment of a budget line from which the European Defence Fund and Military Mobility projects will be funded; underlines that funding from that budget line should be spent for defence purposes *and technologies with dual-use spill-over effects*, without politicization as security is indivisible and should be coherent with the capability and infrastructure needs of Member States and in line with the EU's aspirations for strategic autonomy; *considering that this new budget line will be a relevant step forward for the European Union, calls for an inclusive, transparent and open implementation of the budget of the EDF, which should not indirectly subsidise bilateral cooperation; recalls that future decisions on the development of the European defence will require a change in the Treaties and enough discussions within the European Parliament, Member states and civil society*;

Or. en

Amendment 65 **Sabine Lösing**

Motion for a resolution **Paragraph 8**

Motion for a resolution

8. *Welcomes* the creation of a dedicated title for defence in the Commission's MFF proposal, and in particular the establishment of a budget line from which the European Defence Fund and Military Mobility projects will be funded; *is of the opinion that these decisions will, most probably, call for a centralized management on defence at Commission level*; *underlines that funding from that budget line should be exclusively spent for defence purposes without politicization as security is*

PE629.384v01-00

Amendment

8. *Rejects* the creation of a dedicated title for defence in the Commission's MFF proposal, and in particular the establishment of a budget line from which the European Defence Fund and Military Mobility projects will be funded; *recalls that the setting-up of the new heading V on Security and Defence, the EU defence research programme, European Defence Fund and Military Mobility clearly violate the provisions laid down in Article 41(2) TEU which states that any expenditure arising from actions having*

32/136

AM\1165854EN.docx

indivisible and should be coherent with the capability and infrastructure needs of Member States and in line with the EU's aspirations for strategic autonomy;

military or defence implications must not be charged to the Union budget; denounces and deeply deplores the unprecedented speed with which the EU is being militarised; recalls that the best way of preserving and promoting peace and stability is to focus on poverty eradication, humanitarian aid, sustainable and fair economic and social development, peaceful and diplomatic conflict resolution, disarmament, demobilisation of troops and reintegration programmes;

Or. en

Amendment 66
Bogdan Andrzej Zdrojewski

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 8

Motion for a resolution

8. Welcomes the creation of a dedicated title for defence in the Commission's MFF proposal, and in particular the establishment of a budget line from which the European Defence Fund and Military Mobility projects will be funded; is of the opinion that these decisions will, most probably, call for a centralized management on defence at Commission level; underlines that funding from that budget line should be exclusively spent for defence purposes without politicization as security is indivisible and should be coherent with the capability and infrastructure needs of Member States and in line with the EU's aspirations for strategic autonomy;

Amendment

8. Welcomes the creation of a dedicated title for defence in the Commission's MFF proposal, and in particular the establishment of a budget line from which the European Defence Fund and Military Mobility projects will be funded; is of the opinion that these decisions will, most probably, call for a centralized management on defence at Commission level; underlines that funding from that budget line should be exclusively spent for defence purposes without politicization as security is indivisible and should be coherent with the capability and infrastructure needs of Member States and in line with the EU's aspirations for strategic autonomy; ***stresses that strategic autonomy means the possibility of independent and collective action and cooperation by the Member States with international and regional partners when this is necessary;***

Or. pl

Amendment 67
Arnaud Danjean

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 8

Motion for a resolution

8. Welcomes the creation of a dedicated title for defence in the Commission's MFF proposal, and in particular the establishment of a budget line from which the European Defence Fund and Military Mobility projects will be funded; ***is of the opinion that these decisions will, most probably, call for a centralized management on defence at Commission level***; underlines that funding from that budget line should be exclusively spent for defence purposes without politicization as security is indivisible and should be coherent with the capability and infrastructure needs of Member States and in line with the EU's ***aspirations*** for strategic autonomy;

Amendment

8. Welcomes the creation of a dedicated title for defence in the Commission's MFF proposal, and in particular the establishment of a budget line from which the European Defence Fund and Military Mobility projects will be funded; underlines that funding from that budget line should be exclusively spent for defence purposes without politicization as security is indivisible and should be coherent with the capability and infrastructure needs of Member States and in line with the EU's ***ambitions*** for strategic autonomy;

Or. en

Amendment 68
Clare Moody

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 8

Motion for a resolution

8. Welcomes the creation of a dedicated title for defence in the Commission's MFF proposal, and in particular the establishment of a budget line from which the European Defence Fund and Military Mobility projects will be funded; is of the opinion that these decisions will, most probably, call for a centralized management on defence at Commission level; underlines that funding from that budget line should be exclusively spent for defence purposes without politicization as security is indivisible and

Amendment

8. Welcomes the creation of a dedicated title for defence in the Commission's MFF proposal, and in particular the establishment of a budget line from which the European Defence Fund and Military Mobility projects will be funded; is of the opinion that these decisions will, most probably, call for a centralized management on defence at Commission level; underlines that funding from that budget line should be exclusively spent for defence purposes without politicization as security is indivisible and

should be coherent with the capability and infrastructure needs of Member States and in line with the EU's aspirations for strategic autonomy;

should be coherent with the capability and infrastructure needs of Member States and in line with the EU's aspirations for strategic autonomy **and making the EU a vital NATO partner**;

Or. en

Amendment 69
Jean-Luc Schaffhauser

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 8

Motion for a resolution

8. **Welcomes** the creation of a dedicated title for defence in the Commission's MFF proposal, and in particular the establishment of a budget line from which the European Defence Fund and Military Mobility projects will be funded; is of the opinion that these decisions will, **most probably**, call for **a centralized** management **on** defence at **Commission** level; **underlines that funding from that budget line** should be **exclusively spent for defence purposes without politicization as security is indivisible and should be coherent with the capability and infrastructure needs of Member States and in line with the EU's aspirations for strategic autonomy**;

Amendment

8. **Deeply regrets** the creation of a dedicated title for defence in the Commission's MFF proposal, and in particular the establishment of a budget line from which the European Defence Fund and Military Mobility projects will be funded **if such funds are not granted directly to the states**; is of the opinion that these decisions will call for **the decentralised** management **of** defence at **national** level; **points out that no defence funding** should be **allocated to the Commission, which has no competence in this field, and that the Commission, which is already unable to solve the problems for which it is responsible, is rushing forward in a way that is harmful to Europe**;

Or. fr

Amendment 70
Geoffrey Van Orden

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 8

Motion for a resolution

8. Welcomes the creation of a dedicated title for defence in the Commission's MFF proposal, and in

Amendment

8. Welcomes the creation of a dedicated title for defence in the Commission's MFF proposal, and in

particular the establishment of a budget line from which the European Defence Fund and Military Mobility projects will be funded; ***is of the opinion that these decisions will, most probably, call for a centralized management on defence at Commission level***; underlines that funding from that budget line should be exclusively spent for defence purposes without politicization ***as*** security is indivisible and should be coherent with the capability and infrastructure needs of Member States and ***in line with the EU's aspirations for strategic autonomy***;

particular the establishment of a budget line from which the European Defence Fund and Military Mobility projects will be funded; underlines that funding from that budget line should be exclusively spent for defence purposes without politicization ***and with the option for third party contribution and participation***; ***recognises that*** security is indivisible and should be coherent with the capability and infrastructure needs of Member States and ***commitments to NATO's defence planning process***;

Or. en

Amendment 71

Jozo Radoš, Urmas Paet, Ivan Jakovčić

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 8

Motion for a resolution

8. Welcomes the creation of a dedicated title for defence in the Commission's MFF proposal, and in particular the establishment of a budget line from which the European Defence Fund and Military Mobility projects will be funded; is of the opinion that these decisions will, most probably, call for a centralized management on defence at Commission level; underlines that funding from that budget line should be exclusively spent for defence purposes without politicization as security is indivisible and should be coherent with the capability and infrastructure needs of Member States and in line with the EU's aspirations for strategic autonomy;

Amendment

8. Welcomes the creation of a dedicated title for defence in the Commission's MFF proposal, and in particular the establishment of a budget line from which the European Defence Fund and Military Mobility projects will be funded; is of the opinion that these decisions will, most probably, call for a centralized management on defence at Commission level; underlines that funding from that budget line should be exclusively spent for defence purposes without politicization as security is indivisible and should be coherent with the capability and infrastructure needs of Member States and in line with the EU's aspirations for strategic ***defence*** autonomy;

Or. en

Amendment 72

Arne Lietz

PE629.384v01-00

36/136

AM\1165854EN.docx

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 8

Motion for a resolution

8. Welcomes the creation of a dedicated title for defence in the Commission's MFF proposal, and in particular the establishment of a budget line from which the European Defence Fund and Military Mobility projects will be funded; is of the opinion that these decisions will, most probably, call for a centralized management on defence at Commission level; underlines that funding from that budget line should be ***exclusively spent for defence purposes without politicization as security is indivisible and should be*** coherent with the capability and infrastructure needs of Member States and in line with the EU's aspirations for strategic autonomy;

Amendment

8. Welcomes the creation of a dedicated title for defence in the Commission's MFF proposal, and in particular the establishment of a budget line from which the European Defence Fund and Military Mobility projects will be funded; is of the opinion that these decisions will, most probably, call for a centralized management on defence at Commission level ***under parliamentary scrutiny by the European Parliament;*** underlines that funding from that budget line should be coherent ***with the objectives from the CFSP framework and*** with the capability and infrastructure needs of Member States and in line with the EU's aspirations for strategic autonomy;

Or. en

Amendment 73
Iveta Grigule-Pēterse

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 8 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

8 a. Encourages the EU and its Member States to take action in order to assist EaP countries when dealing with huge number of IDP's;

Or. en

Amendment 74
Bodil Valero
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9

Motion for a resolution

9. Notes the increasing prominence of military mobility on the European defence agenda; underlines that military mobility is ***a central strategic tool in the current threat environment***, vital for both the ***CSDP and Member States other multilateral obligations, including NATO***; ***welcomes therefore its inclusion not only in the proposal for the new Connecting Europe Facility but also its in PESCO and its prominent role in EU-NATO cooperation; emphasises that these different projects need to be properly coordinated to ensure that they yield the desired results; welcomes the Commission proposal to allocate 6.5 billion Euro to military mobility projects through the Connecting Europe Facility in the next Multiannual Financial Framework (2021-2027)***;

Amendment

9. Notes the increasing prominence of military mobility on the European defence agenda; underlines that military mobility is vital for both the Member States ***territorial defence policy and in particular*** NATO;

Or. en

Amendment 75
Jean-Luc Schaffhauser

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9

Motion for a resolution

9. Notes the increasing prominence of military mobility on the ***European*** defence agenda; underlines that military mobility is a central strategic tool in the current threat environment, ***vital for both the CSDP and Member States other multilateral obligations, including NATO***; welcomes therefore its inclusion not only in the proposal for the new Connecting Europe Facility but also ***its*** in PESCO ***and its prominent role in EU-NATO cooperation***; emphasises that these different projects need to be properly coordinated to ensure that they yield the desired results; welcomes the Commission proposal to

Amendment

9. Notes the increasing prominence of military mobility on the defence agenda ***of European states***; underlines that military mobility is a central strategic tool in the current threat environment; welcomes therefore its inclusion not only in the proposal for the new Connecting Europe Facility but also in PESCO; emphasises that these different projects need to be properly coordinated to ensure that they yield the desired results; welcomes the Commission proposal to allocate 6.5 billion Euro to ***coordinated*** military mobility projects through the Connecting Europe Facility in the next Multiannual

allocate 6.5 billion Euro to military mobility projects through the Connecting Europe Facility in the next Multiannual Financial Framework (2021-2027);

Financial Framework (2021-2027);

Or. fr

Amendment 76
Jean-Luc Schaffhauser

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9

Motion for a resolution

9. Notes the increasing prominence of military mobility on the **European** defence agenda; underlines that military mobility is a central strategic tool in the current threat environment, **vital for both the CSDP and Member States other multilateral obligations, including NATO**; welcomes therefore its inclusion not only in the proposal for the new Connecting Europe Facility but also **its** in PESCO **and its prominent role in EU-NATO cooperation**; emphasises that these different projects need to be properly coordinated to ensure that they yield the desired results; welcomes the Commission proposal to allocate 6.5 billion Euro to military mobility projects through the Connecting Europe Facility in the next Multiannual Financial Framework (2021-2027);

Amendment

9. Notes the increasing prominence of military mobility on the defence agenda **of European states**; underlines that military mobility is a central strategic tool in the current threat environment; welcomes therefore its inclusion not only in the proposal for the new Connecting Europe Facility but also in PESCO; emphasises that these different projects need to be properly coordinated to ensure that they yield the desired results; welcomes the Commission proposal to allocate 6.5 billion Euro to **coordinated** military mobility projects through the Connecting Europe Facility in the next Multiannual Financial Framework (2021-2027);

Or. fr

Amendment 77
Sabine Lösing

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9

Motion for a resolution

9. **Notes** the increasing prominence of military mobility **on the European defence**

Amendment

9. **Deplores** the increasing prominence of military mobility; underlines that

agenda; underlines that military mobility is a central strategic tool in the current threat environment, vital for both the CSDP and Member States other multilateral obligations, including NATO; welcomes therefore its inclusion *not only* in the proposal for the new Connecting Europe Facility *but also its in PESCO and its prominent role in EU-NATO cooperation; emphasises that these different projects need to be properly coordinated to ensure that they yield the desired results; welcomes* the Commission proposal to allocate 6.5 billion Euro to military mobility projects through the Connecting Europe Facility in the next Multiannual Financial Framework (2021-2027);

military mobility *and the incorporation of the military into the Connection Europe Facility will further militarise civilian EU- programmes and will lead to cuts of funds for purely civilian projects as the added value for military deployment will be prioritised; Strongly opposes* therefore its inclusion in the proposal for the new Connecting Europe Facility; *Rejects* the Commission proposal to allocate 6.5 billion Euro to military mobility projects through the Connecting Europe Facility in the next Multiannual Financial Framework (2021-2027);

Or. en

Amendment 78 **Arnaud Danjean**

Motion for a resolution **Paragraph 9**

Motion for a resolution

9. Notes the increasing prominence of military mobility on the European defence agenda; underlines that military mobility is a central strategic tool in the current threat environment, vital for both the CSDP and Member States other multilateral obligations, including NATO; welcomes therefore its inclusion not only in the proposal for the new Connecting Europe Facility but also its in PESCO and its prominent role in EU-NATO cooperation; emphasises that these different projects need to be properly coordinated to ensure that they yield the desired results; welcomes the Commission proposal to allocate 6.5 billion Euro to military mobility projects through the Connecting Europe Facility in the next Multiannual Financial Framework (2021-2027);

Amendment

9. Notes the increasing prominence of military mobility on the European defence agenda; underlines that military mobility is a central strategic tool in the current threat environment, vital for both the CSDP and Member States other multilateral obligations, including NATO; *underlines the importance to adapt existing networks to military mobility needs*; welcomes therefore its inclusion not only in the proposal for the new Connecting Europe Facility but also its in PESCO and its prominent role in EU-NATO cooperation; emphasises that these different projects need to be properly coordinated to ensure that they yield the desired results; welcomes the Commission proposal to allocate 6.5 billion Euro to military mobility projects through the Connecting Europe Facility in the next Multiannual

Amendment 79
Fabio Massimo Castaldo

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9

Motion for a resolution

9. Notes the increasing prominence of military mobility on the European defence agenda; underlines that military mobility is a central strategic tool in the current threat environment, vital for both the CSDP and Member States other multilateral obligations, including NATO; welcomes therefore its inclusion not only in the proposal for the new Connecting Europe Facility but also its in PESCO and its prominent role in EU-NATO cooperation; emphasises that these different projects need to be properly coordinated to ensure that they yield the desired results; **welcomes** the Commission proposal to allocate 6.5 billion Euro to military mobility projects through the Connecting Europe Facility in the next Multiannual Financial Framework (2021-2027);

Amendment

9. Notes the increasing prominence of military mobility on the European defence agenda; underlines that **a *balanced*** military mobility is a central strategic tool in the current threat environment, vital for both the CSDP and Member States other multilateral obligations, including NATO; welcomes therefore its inclusion not only in the proposal for the new Connecting Europe Facility but also its in PESCO and its prominent role in EU-NATO cooperation; emphasises that these different projects need to be ***geographically balanced and*** properly coordinated to ensure that they yield the desired results; **notes** the Commission proposal to allocate 6.5 billion Euro to military mobility projects through the Connecting Europe Facility in the next Multiannual Financial Framework (2021-2027);

Amendment 80
Geoffrey Van Orden

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9

Motion for a resolution

9. Notes the increasing prominence of military mobility on the European defence agenda; underlines that military mobility is

Amendment

9. Notes the increasing prominence of military mobility on the European defence agenda; underlines that military mobility is

a central strategic tool in the current threat environment, vital for both the CSDP and Member States other multilateral obligations, including NATO; welcomes therefore its inclusion not only in the proposal for the new Connecting Europe Facility but also *its* in PESCO and its prominent role in EU-NATO cooperation; emphasises that these different projects need to be properly coordinated to ensure that they yield the desired results; welcomes the Commission proposal to allocate 6.5 billion Euro to military mobility projects through the Connecting Europe Facility in the next Multiannual Financial Framework (2021-2027);

a central strategic tool in the current threat environment, vital for both the CSDP and Member States other multilateral obligations, including NATO; welcomes therefore its inclusion not only in the proposal for the new Connecting Europe Facility but also in PESCO and its prominent role in EU-NATO cooperation; emphasises that these different projects need to be properly coordinated, ***including with allies***, to ensure that they yield the desired results; welcomes the Commission proposal to allocate 6.5 billion Euro to military mobility projects through the Connecting Europe Facility in the next Multiannual Financial Framework (2021-2027);

Or. en

Amendment 81

Bodil Valero

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 9 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

9 a. Recalls that, besides air and sea lift, legally and politically, military mobility on European territory is a national and a NATO task of national and collective territorial defence; underlines that as long as the European Council, in compliance with Article 42 (2) TEU, acting unanimously, did not decide to establish a common defence, there is no political and legal basis for the use of Union budget funded programmes, such as the Connecting Europe Facility, large parts of the European Defence Fund; reminds that Article 42 (2) TEU requires that after such a European Council decision, Member States need to ratify that decision in accordance with their respective constitutional requirements; underlines that neither such a European Council decision nor ratification in

Member States have taken place yet;

Or. en

Amendment 82
Geoffrey Van Orden

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 10

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

10. *Notes, however, that for all these different elements to fit together, it will be of essential importance to develop a well-defined overarching strategic approach to European defence that could best be defined through a EU Security and Defence White Book;*

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 83
Jean-Luc Schaffhauser

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 10

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

10. Notes, however, that for all these different elements to fit together, it ***will be of essential importance*** to develop a well-defined overarching strategic approach to European defence ***that could best be defined through a EU Security and Defence White Book;***

10. Notes, however, that for all these different elements to fit together, it ***is by no means necessary*** to develop a well-defined overarching strategic approach to European defence, ***as this could be evolve in accordance with the interests of European states, and that no comprehensive strategy is able to ensure the security of countries that have retained their sovereignty and do not wish to delegate it to the Union in any way;***

Or. fr

Amendment 84

Fabio Massimo Castaldo

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 10**

Motion for a resolution

10. Notes, however, that for all these different elements to fit together, it will be of essential importance to develop a well-defined overarching strategic approach to European defence that could best be **defined** through a EU Security and Defence White Book;

Amendment

10. Notes, however, that for all these different elements to fit together, it will be of essential importance to develop a well-defined overarching strategic approach to European defence that could best be **also deepened** through a EU Security and Defence White Book;

Or. en

**Amendment 85
Geoffrey Van Orden**

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 11**

Motion for a resolution

11. Calls, therefore, for the conceptualization and adoption of a EU Security and Defence White Book that will guarantee that future capability building processes will be based on EU's strategic security interests in accordance with military and industrial necessities;

Amendment

deleted

Or. en

**Amendment 86
Jean-Luc Schaffhauser**

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 11**

Motion for a resolution

11. Calls, therefore, for the conceptualization and adoption of a EU Security and Defence White Book that will guarantee that future capability building

Amendment

11. Rejects the conceptualization and adoption of a EU Security and Defence White Book, since the EU's strategic interests can only be those of European

processes will be based on EU's strategic security interests in accordance with military and industrial necessities;

states, which have an evolving approach to their interests and cannot be linked to an irresponsible European strategy consisting of aggression and following foreign interests in contravention of international law; points out that this uniform European conception is being imposed on states which want to maintain their sovereignty, such as France, and that this sovereignty cannot be delegated to the Union without committing the crime of high treason within the framework of the current national institutions;

Or. fr

Amendment 87

Jozo Radoš, Hilde Vautmans, Javier Nart, Urmas Paet, Marietje Schaake, Ivan Jakovčić

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 11

Motion for a resolution

11. Calls, therefore, for the conceptualization and adoption of a EU Security and Defence White Book that will guarantee that future capability building processes will be based on EU's strategic security interests in accordance with military and industrial necessities;

Amendment

11. ***Urges the establishment of precise and binding guidelines to provide a well-defined framework for future activation and implementation of Article 42(7) TEU;*** calls, therefore, for the conceptualization and adoption of a EU Security and Defence White Book that will guarantee that future capability building processes will be based on EU's strategic security interests in accordance with military and industrial necessities;

Or. en

Amendment 88

Fabio Massimo Castaldo

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 11

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

11. ***Calls, therefore, for the conceptualization and adoption of a EU Security and Defence White Book that will guarantee that future capability building processes will be based on EU's strategic security interests in accordance with military and industrial necessities;***

11. ***Notes that an EU Security and Defence White Book could facilitate the discussion about the future of the European Union security;***

Or. en

Amendment 89
Ioan Mircea Paşcu

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 11

Motion for a resolution

11. Calls, therefore, for the conceptualization and adoption of a EU Security and Defence White Book that will guarantee that future capability building processes will be based on EU's strategic security interests ***in accordance with military and industrial necessities;***

Amendment

11. Calls, therefore, for the conceptualization and adoption of a EU Security and Defence White Book that will guarantee that future capability building processes will be based on EU's strategic security interests;

Or. en

Amendment 90
Bodil Valero
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 11

Motion for a resolution

11. Calls, therefore, for the conceptualization and adoption of a EU Security and Defence White Book that will guarantee that future capability building processes will be based on EU's ***strategic security interests in accordance with military and industrial necessities;***

Amendment

11. Calls, therefore, for the conceptualization and adoption of a EU Security and Defence White Book that will guarantee that ***current and*** future capability building processes will be ***solely*** based on EU's security ***and defence tasks of Articles 42 (1) and 43 (1) of the Treaty of Lisbon;***

Or. en

Amendment 91
Andrejs Mamikins

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 11 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

11 a. Underlines that CSDP should go beyond the centrality of military security and reflect the broadened understanding of security as a multifaceted concept, including political, economic, environmental and societal security;

Or. en

Amendment 92
Bodil Valero
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 12

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

12. Also welcomes the proposal by the HR/VP, with the support of the Commission, for a European Peace Facility, which will finance the **parts of the** costs of EU defence activities that are excluded from budgetary funding by article 41(2) TEU; notes in particular the ambitious inclusion, and expansion, of the Athena mechanism for the financing of CSDP missions, which has been a long-standing demand of the Parliament;

12. Also welcomes the proposal by the HR/VP, with the support of the Commission, for a European Peace Facility, which will finance the costs of EU defence activities **such as African Union peacekeeping missions, common costs of own military CSDP operations, and military capacity building of partners**, that are excluded from budgetary funding by article 41(2) TEU; notes in particular the ambitious inclusion, and expansion, of the Athena mechanism for the financing of CSDP missions, which has been a long-standing demand of the Parliament; **proposes to change the facility's title as it might mislead the wider public and to call this new fund European Facility for Military Cooperation; encourages to further enlarge its scope and to also include in-depth cooperation on research, development, procurement,**

supply, and maintenance of military technology needed to fulfill Article 42(1) and 43(1) military tasks;

Or. en

Amendment 93
Jean-Luc Schaffhauser

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 12

Motion for a resolution

12. Also *welcomes* the proposal by the HR/VP, with the support of the Commission, for a European Peace Facility, *which will finance the parts of* the costs of *EU* defence activities that are excluded from budgetary funding *by* article 41(2) TEU; *notes in particular* the ambitious inclusion, and expansion, of the Athena mechanism *for the financing of CSDP* missions, *which has been a long-standing demand of the Parliament*;

Amendment

12. Also *condemns* the proposal by the HR/VP, with the support of the Commission, for a European Peace Facility *if it were to be enacted without direct support to states that cooperate together or decide to do so; recalls that this facility may finance* the costs of *European states'* defence activities that are *currently* excluded from *the* budgetary funding *under* Article 41(2) TEU *and that, under these circumstances, it would grant budget allocations to states that are operational and that provide security and defence to the community of European states so that they do not have to bear the financial burden alone; is also concerned about* the ambitious inclusion, and expansion, of the Athena mechanism, *should this be done in contradiction of this logic by integrating financing and missions at EU level, which would doom them to failure*;

Or. fr

Amendment 94
Geoffrey Van Orden

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 12

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

12. Also welcomes the proposal by the HR/VP, with the support of the Commission, for a European Peace Facility, which will finance the parts of the costs of EU defence activities that are excluded from budgetary funding by article 41(2) TEU; notes in particular the ambitious inclusion, and expansion, of the Athena mechanism for the financing of CSDP missions, which has been a long-standing demand of the Parliament;

12. Also welcomes the proposal by the HR/VP, with the support of the Commission, for a European Peace Facility, which will finance the parts of the costs of EU defence activities that are excluded from budgetary funding by article 41(2) TEU; notes in particular the ambitious inclusion, and expansion, of the Athena mechanism for the financing of CSDP missions, which has been a long-standing demand of the Parliament; ***notes however, that the European Court of Auditors has in the past criticised CSDP missions and as such, calls for improved financial control of all future missions and for timely impact evaluations;***

Or. en

Amendment 95
Sabine Lösing

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 12

Motion for a resolution

12. ***Also welcomes*** the proposal by the HR/VP, with the support of the Commission, for a European Peace Facility, which will finance the parts of the costs of EU defence activities that are excluded from budgetary funding by article 41(2) TEU; ***notes in particular the ambitious*** inclusion, and expansion, of the Athena mechanism for the financing of CSDP missions, which ***has been a long-standing demand of the Parliament;***

Amendment

12. ***Rejects*** the proposal by the HR/VP, with the support of the Commission, for a European Peace Facility, which will finance the parts of the costs of EU defence activities, ***such as military missions, training and equipment including weaponry,*** that are excluded from budgetary funding by article 41(2) TEU; ***recalls that this new European instrument facilitates the*** inclusion, and expansion, of the Athena mechanism for the financing of CSDP missions, which ***will increase the automatic financial involvement of each Member State in every EU-military mission, no matter of their actual involvement;***

Or. en

Amendment 96

AM\1165854EN.docx

49/136

PE629.384v01-00

EN

Arnaud Danjean

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 12

Motion for a resolution

12. Also welcomes the proposal by the HR/VP, with the support of the Commission, for a European Peace Facility, which will finance the parts of the costs of EU defence activities that are excluded from budgetary funding by article 41(2) TEU; notes in particular the ambitious inclusion, and expansion, of the Athena mechanism for the financing of CSDP missions, which has been a long-standing demand of the Parliament;

Amendment

12. Also welcomes the proposal by the HR/VP, with the support of the Commission, for a European Peace Facility, which will finance the parts of the costs of EU defence activities that are excluded from budgetary funding by article 41(2) TEU; **reaffirms the need to avoid duplication with other existing instruments**; notes in particular the ambitious inclusion, and expansion, of the Athena mechanism for the financing of CSDP missions, which has been a long-standing demand of the Parliament;

Or. en

Amendment 97
Ioan Mircea Paşcu

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 12

Motion for a resolution

12. Also welcomes the proposal by the HR/VP, with the support of the Commission, for a European Peace Facility, which will finance the parts of the costs of EU defence activities that are excluded from budgetary funding by article 41(2) TEU; notes in particular the ambitious inclusion, and expansion, of the Athena mechanism for the financing of CSDP missions, which has been a long-standing demand of the Parliament;

Amendment

12. Also welcomes the proposal by the HR/VP, with the support of the Commission, for a European Peace Facility, which will finance the parts of the costs of EU defence activities that are excluded from budgetary funding by article 41(2) TEU; notes in particular the ambitious inclusion, and expansion, of the Athena mechanism for the financing of CSDP missions **and operations**, which has been a long-standing demand of the Parliament;

Or. en

Amendment 98

PE629.384v01-00

50/136

AM\1165854EN.docx

David McAllister

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 12

Motion for a resolution

12. Also welcomes the proposal by the HR/VP, with the support of the Commission, for a European Peace Facility, which will finance the parts of the costs of EU defence activities that are excluded from budgetary funding by article 41(2) TEU; notes in particular the ambitious inclusion, and expansion, of the Athena mechanism for the **financing** of CSDP missions, which has been a long-standing demand of the Parliament;

Amendment

12. Also welcomes the proposal by the HR/VP, with the support of the Commission, for a European Peace Facility, which will finance the parts of the costs of EU defence activities that are excluded from budgetary funding by article 41(2) TEU; notes in particular the ambitious inclusion, and expansion, of the Athena mechanism for the **common funding** of CSDP missions, which has been a long-standing demand of the Parliament;

Or. en

Amendment 99
Geoffrey Van Orden

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 12 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

12 a. Emphasises that the United Kingdom, one of Europe's most capable military powers, is leaving the EU on 29 March 2019; therefore urges the EU to ensure that the closest possible security partnership is maintained with the UK in the future, including the possibility of participation in the planning and execution of CSDP missions on a case-by-case basis, and an ambitious relationship with the EDA beyond that of other third countries;

Or. en

Amendment 100
Bodil Valero

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Subheading 3

Motion for a resolution

Capabilities for the Union's *security and defence*

Amendment

Capabilities for the Union's *civilian and military CSDP*

Or. en

Amendment 101
Ioan Mircea Paşcu

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 12 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

12 a. *Stresses that the EU's strategic security and defence objectives can only be achieved through the closest coordination of the needs and long-term capability building requirements of both the armed forces and defence industries of the Member States; notes that both the Capability Development Plan (CDP) and the Coordinated Annual Review on Defence (CARD) can make important contributions to the achievement of this goal;*

Or. en

Amendment 102
Ioan Mircea Paşcu

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 12 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

12 b. *Highlights the close connection of PESCO with the Coordinated Annual Review on Defence (CARD) and the European Defence Fund (EDF) for*

enhancing Member States defence capabilities;

Or. en

Amendment 103

Bogdan Andrzej Zdrojewski

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 13

Motion for a resolution

13. Reaffirms the importance of developing the necessary military capabilities to deal with the comprehensive security challenges in and around Europe outlined by the EU Global Strategy; recalls that European Union's Global Strategy encourages the realization of deep defence cooperation;

Amendment

13. Reaffirms the importance of developing the necessary military capabilities to deal with the comprehensive security challenges in and around Europe outlined by the EU Global Strategy; recalls that European Union's Global Strategy encourages the realization of deep defence cooperation; ***points out that the line between internal and external security is becoming increasingly blurred; stresses that civil sectors such as IT play an increasingly significant role in the protection of EU security;***

Or. pl

Amendment 104

Urmas Paet

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 13

Motion for a resolution

13. Reaffirms the importance of developing the necessary military capabilities to deal with the comprehensive security challenges in and around Europe outlined by the EU Global Strategy; recalls that European Union's Global Strategy encourages the realization of deep defence cooperation;

Amendment

13. ***Underlines that the EU needs to apply the entire toolbox of available policy instruments - from soft to hard power and from short-term measures to long-term policies,*** reaffirms the importance of developing the necessary military capabilities to deal with the comprehensive security challenges in and around Europe outlined by the EU Global Strategy; recalls that European Union's Global Strategy

encourages the realization of deep defence cooperation;

Or. en

Amendment 105

Jozo Radoš, Hilde Vautmans, Javier Nart, Urmas Paet, Ivan Jakovčić

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 13

Motion for a resolution

13. Reaffirms the importance of developing the necessary military capabilities to deal with the comprehensive security challenges in and around Europe outlined by the EU Global Strategy; recalls that European Union's Global Strategy encourages the realization of deep defence cooperation;

Amendment

13. Reaffirms the importance of developing the necessary military capabilities to deal with the comprehensive security challenges in and around Europe outlined by the EU Global Strategy ***as the facilitation of the EU security is firstly and chiefly EU obligation***; recalls that European Union's Global Strategy encourages the realization of deep defence cooperation ***that would lead, as stipulated in the Lisbon Treaty, to a common EU defence***;

Or. en

Amendment 106

Jean-Luc Schaffhauser

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 13

Motion for a resolution

13. Reaffirms the importance of developing the necessary military capabilities to deal with the comprehensive security challenges in and around Europe outlined by the EU Global Strategy; recalls that European Union's Global Strategy encourages the realization of deep defence cooperation;

Amendment

13. Reaffirms the importance of developing the necessary military capabilities to deal with the comprehensive security challenges in and around Europe outlined by the EU Global Strategy; recalls that European Union's Global Strategy encourages the realization of deep defence cooperation; ***recalls that such cooperation must be between the States and not under the supervision of the Commission or the EEAS***;

Amendment 107
Geoffrey Van Orden

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 13

Motion for a resolution

13. Reaffirms the importance of developing the necessary military capabilities to deal with the comprehensive security challenges in ***and around*** Europe ***outlined by the EU Global Strategy***; ***recalls that European Union's Global Strategy encourages the realization of deep defence cooperation***;

Amendment

13. Reaffirms the importance of developing the necessary military capabilities to deal with the comprehensive security challenges in Europe ***and its periphery***;

Or. en

Amendment 108
Bodil Valero
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 13

Motion for a resolution

13. Reaffirms the importance of developing the necessary military capabilities to deal with the comprehensive security challenges in and around Europe outlined by the EU Global Strategy; recalls that European Union's Global Strategy encourages the realization of deep defence cooperation;

Amendment

13. Reaffirms the importance of developing the necessary ***civilian and*** military capabilities to deal with the comprehensive security challenges in and around Europe outlined by the EU Global Strategy; recalls that European Union's Global Strategy encourages ***both***, the realization of deep defence cooperation ***and the strengthening of civilian capacities for CSDP***;

Or. en

Amendment 109
Arne Lietz

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 13

Motion for a resolution

13. Reaffirms the importance of **developing** the necessary military capabilities to deal with the comprehensive security challenges in and around Europe outlined by the EU Global Strategy; recalls that European Union's Global Strategy encourages the realization of deep defence cooperation;

Amendment

13. Reaffirms the importance of **pooling and sharing** the necessary military capabilities to deal with the comprehensive security challenges in and around Europe outlined by the EU Global Strategy, **especially in the field of hybrid threats**; recalls that European Union's Global Strategy encourages the realization of deep defence cooperation;

Or. en

Amendment 110
Alojz Peterle

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 13

Motion for a resolution

13. Reaffirms the importance of developing the necessary military capabilities to deal with the comprehensive security challenges in and around Europe outlined by the EU Global Strategy; recalls that European Union's Global Strategy encourages the realization of deep defence cooperation;

Amendment

13. Reaffirms the importance of developing the necessary military capabilities to deal with the comprehensive security challenges in and around Europe outlined by the EU Global Strategy; recalls that European Union's Global Strategy encourages the realization of deep defence cooperation **across member states**;

Or. en

Amendment 111
David McAllister

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 13

Motion for a resolution

13. Reaffirms the importance of developing the necessary military

Amendment

13. Reaffirms the importance of developing the necessary military

capabilities to deal with the comprehensive security challenges in and around Europe outlined by the EU Global Strategy; recalls that European Union's Global Strategy encourages the realization of deep defence cooperation;

capabilities to deal with the comprehensive security challenges in and around Europe outlined by the EU Global Strategy; recalls that European Union's Global Strategy encourages the realization of deep defence cooperation *within the Union*;

Or. en

Amendment 112

Marietje Schaake, Jozo Radoš

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 13 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

13 a. Reiterates its call for an EU-wide ban on export, sale, update and maintenance of any form of security equipment which can be or is used for internal repression, including Internet surveillance technology to states with a deplorable human rights record such as Saudi Arabia;

Or. en

Amendment 113

Jean-Luc Schaffhauser

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 14

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

14. Considers *that EU Member States jointly must cover the full-spectrum of land, air, space, maritime and cyber capabilities, including strategic enablers, to defend themselves and contribute to EU's Common Security and Defence Policy;*

14. Considers *it desirable to strengthen the Union's security and defence capabilities only if the Union is able to identify the same analyses and priorities for all states in the field of security and defence; notes that this situation is very far from being resolved and that it is therefore impossible to make better use of existing defence and military cooperation frameworks, such as the European multinational high readiness corps HQ*

and the EU Battlegroups; notes that if priorities are not shared, with some placing the Russian threat above the fight against terrorism or, more seriously, placing the Russian threat at the same level as the terrorist threat, it is impossible to make better use of the above-mentioned frameworks that would contribute to the further transformation of national armed forces and to the continuous improvement of their interoperability, viability and flexibility as well as their ability to be deployed; encourages the Council, therefore, to reject the idea of creating a permanent European 'spearhead' force, especially since the European corps is totally misguided in this context, with the exception of specific missions remaining within the initiative of the states; feels that the EU Battlegroups should under no circumstances evolve into fully-fledged brigades, and that these operations should be left within states' purview and outside the Union's competence;

Or. fr

Amendment 114
Jean-Luc Schaffhauser

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 14

Motion for a resolution

14. Considers that EU Member States **jointly must** cover the full-spectrum of land, air, space, maritime and cyber capabilities, including **strategic enablers**, to defend themselves and contribute to **EU's Common Security and Defence Policy**;

Amendment

14. Considers that EU Member States **wishing to retain the sovereignty enshrined in their constitutions must, under penalty of high treason and immediate dismissal for those in violation,** cover the full-spectrum of land, air, space, maritime and cyber capabilities, **so as not to depend on external,** including **European, choices imposed on them in this sovereign field, in order** to defend themselves and contribute to **European security and defence policy through national efforts that are independent, free**

and effective;

Or. fr

Amendment 115
Geoffrey Van Orden

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 14

Motion for a resolution

14. Considers that EU Member States *jointly* must cover the full-spectrum of land, air, space, maritime and cyber capabilities, including strategic enablers, to *defend themselves and* contribute *to* EU's Common Security and Defence Policy;

Amendment

14. Considers that EU Member States must *endeavour to improve military capabilities to* cover the full-spectrum of land, air, space, maritime and cyber capabilities, including strategic enablers, to contribute *more effectively to NATO and to the* EU's Common Security and Defence Policy;

Or. en

Amendment 116
David McAllister

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 14

Motion for a resolution

14. Considers that EU Member States jointly must cover the full-spectrum of land, air, space, maritime and cyber capabilities, including strategic enablers, to defend themselves and *contribute* to EU's Common Security and Defence Policy;

Amendment

14. Considers that EU Member States jointly must cover the full-spectrum of land, air, space, maritime and cyber capabilities, including strategic enablers, to defend themselves and to *make* EU's Common Security and Defence Policy *a credible force in the world;*

Or. en

Amendment 117
David McAllister

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 14

Motion for a resolution

14. Considers that EU Member States jointly **must** cover the full-spectrum of land, air, space, maritime and cyber capabilities, including strategic enablers, to defend themselves and contribute to EU's Common Security and Defence Policy;

Amendment

14. Considers that EU Member States **must** jointly cover the full-spectrum of land, air, space, maritime and cyber capabilities, including strategic enablers, to defend themselves and contribute to EU's Common Security and Defence Policy;

Or. en

Amendment 118

David McAllister

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 14

Motion for a resolution

14. Considers that EU Member States jointly must cover the full-spectrum of land, air, space, maritime and cyber capabilities, including strategic enablers, to defend themselves and contribute to EU's Common Security and Defence Policy;

Amendment

14. Considers that EU Member States jointly must cover the full-spectrum of land, air, space, maritime and cyber capabilities, including strategic enablers, to defend themselves and contribute to EU's Common Security and Defence Policy;

Or. en

Amendment 119

Bodil Valero

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 14

Motion for a resolution

14. Considers that EU Member States jointly must cover the full-spectrum of land, air, space, maritime and cyber capabilities, including strategic enablers, to **defend themselves and** contribute to EU's Common Security and Defence Policy;

Amendment

14. Considers that EU Member States jointly must cover the full-spectrum of land, air, space, maritime and cyber capabilities, including strategic enablers, to contribute to EU's Common Security and Defence Policy;

Or. en

Amendment 120

Jozo Radoš, Ivan Jakovčić

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 14

Motion for a resolution

14. Considers that EU Member States jointly must cover the full-spectrum of land, air, space, maritime and cyber capabilities, including strategic enablers, to defend *themselves* and contribute to *EU's* Common Security and Defence Policy;

Amendment

14. Considers that EU Member States jointly must cover the full-spectrum of land, air, space, maritime and cyber capabilities, including strategic enablers, to defend *EU* and contribute to Common Security and Defence Policy;

Or. en

Amendment 121

Ioan Mircea Pașcu

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 14 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

14 a. Reaffirms the EU's role as a global maritime security provider and stresses the importance of developing relevant military and civilian capabilities; welcomes in this regard the adoption of the revised EU Maritime Security Strategy Action Plan in June 2018;

Or. en

Amendment 122

Marietje Schaake, Jozo Radoš

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 14 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

14 a. Considers it vital that the EU and NATO step up the sharing of intelligence

in order to enable the formal attribution of cyber attacks and consequently enable the imposing of restrictive sanctions to those responsible for cyber attacks;

Or. en

Amendment 123
Marietje Schaake, Jozo Radoš

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 14 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

14 b. Expresses its concern over the damaging effects that the uncontrolled export of cyber-surveillance technologies by EU companies can have on the security of the EU's digital infrastructure and on human rights; stresses the importance of the EU institutions' ongoing efforts to update the dual-use export control regulation and calls on the Council to rapidly establish an ambitious position in order to reach an agreement before the end of this legislative term;

Or. en

Amendment 124
Ioan Mircea Pașcu

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 14 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

14 b. Welcomes the EU's steps towards consolidating its cyber resilience by establishing a common cyber security certification framework, by strengthening the EU cyber security agency, and by swiftly implementing the Directive on security of network and information system (NIS Directive);

Amendment 125

Marietje Schaake, Jozo Radoš

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 14 c (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

14 c. Considers that interference in other countries' elections through cyber operations undermines or violates the right of people to take part in the government of their country, directly or through freely chosen representatives, as enshrined in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, and when done by other states it constitutes a violation of international law also when there is no use of military force, threat to territorial integrity, or threat to political independence;

Or. en

Amendment 126

Dobromir Sośnierz

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 15

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

15. Considers that the capabilities for the Union's security and defence could be improved by making better use of the existing frameworks of defence and military cooperation such as the European multinational high readiness corps HQs and the EU battlegroups; believes that this will contribute to the continuous transformation of national armed forces, towards the goal of being more interoperable, more sustainable, more flexible and more deployable; ***invites the Council to investigate, for example, the***

15. Considers that the capabilities for the Union's security and defence could be improved by making better use of the existing frameworks of defence and military cooperation such as the European multinational high readiness corps HQs and the EU battlegroups; believes that this will contribute to the continuous transformation of national armed forces, towards the goal of being more interoperable, more sustainable, more flexible and more deployable;

feasibility of potentially setting-up a permanent Spearhead Europe Force, which could be drawing on the European multinational high readiness corps HQ in Strasbourg, Szczecin and Münster; considers that the EU battle groups should grow into full-scale brigades and should be assigned to the corps HQ on a permanent basis;

Or. pl

Amendment 127
Fabio Massimo Castaldo

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 15

Motion for a resolution

15. Considers that the capabilities for the Union`s security and defence could be improved by making better use of the existing frameworks of defence and military cooperation *such as the European multinational high readiness corps HQs and the EU battlegroups*; believes that this will contribute to the continuous transformation of national armed forces, towards the goal of being more interoperable, more sustainable, more flexible and more deployable; *invites the Council to investigate, for example, the feasibility of potentially setting-up a permanent Spearhead Europe Force, which could be drawing on the European multinational high readiness corps HQ in Strasbourg, Szczecin and Münster; considers that the EU battle groups should grow into full-scale brigades and should be assigned to the corps HQ on a permanent basis;*

Amendment

15. Considers that the capabilities for the Union`s security and defence could be improved by making better use of the existing frameworks of defence and military cooperation, *increasing standardization and interoperability, while avoiding duplications and waste of resources*; believes that this will contribute to the continuous transformation of national armed forces, towards the goal of being more interoperable, more sustainable, more flexible and more deployable;

Or. en

Amendment 128
Geoffrey Van Orden

PE629.384v01-00

64/136

AM\1165854EN.docx

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 15

Motion for a resolution

15. Considers that the capabilities for the Union's security and defence could be improved by making better use of the existing frameworks of defence and military cooperation such as the European multinational high readiness corps HQs and the EU battlegroups; believes that this will contribute to the continuous transformation of national armed forces, towards the goal of being more interoperable, more sustainable, more flexible and more deployable; ***invites the Council to investigate, for example, the feasibility of potentially setting-up a permanent Spearhead Europe Force, which could be drawing on the European multinational high readiness corps HQ in Strasbourg, Szczecin and Münster; considers that the EU battle groups should grow into full-scale brigades and should be assigned to the corps HQ on a permanent basis;***

Amendment

15. Considers that the capabilities for the Union's security and defence could be improved by making better use of the existing frameworks of defence and military cooperation such as the European multinational high readiness corps HQs and the EU battlegroups, ***reinforcing and not duplicating similar initiatives within NATO***; believes that this will contribute to the continuous transformation of national armed forces, towards the goal of being more interoperable, more sustainable, more flexible and more deployable;

Or. en

Amendment 129
Arnaud Danjean

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 15

Motion for a resolution

15. Considers that the capabilities for the Union's security and defence could be improved by making better use of the existing frameworks of defence and military cooperation such as the European multinational high readiness corps HQs and the EU battlegroups; believes that this will contribute to the continuous transformation of national armed forces, towards the goal of being more

Amendment

15. Considers that the capabilities for the Union's security and defence could be improved by making better use of the existing frameworks of defence and military cooperation such as the European multinational high readiness corps HQs and the EU battlegroups; believes that this will contribute to the continuous transformation of national armed forces, towards the goal of being more

interoperable, more sustainable, more flexible and more deployable; *invites the Council to investigate, for example, the feasibility of potentially setting-up a permanent Spearhead Europe Force, which could be drawing on the European multinational high readiness corps HQ in Strasbourg, Szczecin and Münster; considers that the EU battle groups should grow into full-scale brigades and should be assigned to the corps HQ on a permanent basis;*

interoperable, more sustainable, more flexible and more deployable; *encourages the development of European stand by-forces, starting now with effective PESCO for increasing our resilience and strategic autonomy;*

Or. en

Amendment 130

Bodil Valero

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 15

Motion for a resolution

15. Considers that the capabilities for *the Union's security and defence* could be improved by making better use of the existing frameworks of defence and military cooperation such as the European multinational high readiness corps HQs and the EU battlegroups; believes that this will contribute to the continuous transformation of national armed forces, towards the goal of being more interoperable, more sustainable, more flexible and more deployable; invites the Council to investigate, for example, the feasibility of potentially setting-up *a permanent Spearhead Europe Force*, which could be drawing on the European multinational high readiness corps HQ in Strasbourg, Szczecin and Münster; considers that the EU battle groups should grow into full-scale brigades and should be assigned to the corps HQ on a permanent basis;

Amendment

15. Considers that the capabilities for *military CSDP* could be improved by making better use of the existing frameworks of defence and military cooperation such as the European multinational high readiness corps HQs and the EU battlegroups; believes that this will contribute to the continuous transformation of national armed forces, towards the goal of being more interoperable, more sustainable, more flexible and more deployable; invites the Council to investigate, for example, the feasibility of potentially setting-up permanent *capacities*, which could be drawing on the European multinational high readiness corps HQ in Strasbourg, Szczecin and Münster; considers that the EU battle groups should *be transformed into permanent multinational units carrying out peacekeeping and other tasks of Article 43(1) TEU* and grow into full-scale brigades and should be assigned to the corps HQ on a permanent basis;

Amendment 131**Jozo Radoš, Hilde Vautmans, Javier Nart, Ivan Jakovčić****Motion for a resolution****Paragraph 15***Motion for a resolution*

15. Considers that the capabilities for the Union's security and defence could be improved by making better use of the existing frameworks of defence and military cooperation such as the European multinational high readiness corps HQs and the EU battlegroups; believes that this will contribute to the continuous transformation of national armed forces, towards **the goal of** being more interoperable, more sustainable, more flexible and more deployable; invites the Council to investigate, for example, the feasibility of potentially setting-up a permanent Spearhead Europe Force, which could be drawing on the European multinational high readiness corps HQ in Strasbourg, Szczecin and Münster; considers that the EU battle groups should grow into full-scale brigades and should be assigned to the corps HQ on a permanent basis;

Amendment

15. Considers that the capabilities for the Union's security and defence could be improved by making better use of the existing frameworks of defence and military cooperation such as the European multinational high readiness corps HQs and the EU battlegroups; believes that this will contribute to the continuous transformation of national armed forces, towards being more interoperable, more sustainable, more flexible and more deployable, **and a final goal of common EU army**; invites the Council to investigate, for example, the feasibility of potentially setting-up a permanent Spearhead Europe Force, which could be drawing on the European multinational high readiness corps HQ in Strasbourg, Szczecin and Münster; considers that the EU battle groups should grow into full-scale brigades and should be assigned to the corps HQ on a permanent basis;

Or. en

Amendment 132**David McAllister****Motion for a resolution****Paragraph 15***Motion for a resolution*

15. Considers that the capabilities for the Union's security and defence could be improved by making better use of the existing frameworks of defence and

Amendment

15. Considers that the capabilities for the Union's security and defence could be improved by making better use of the existing frameworks of defence and

military cooperation such as the European multinational high readiness corps HQs and the EU battlegroups; believes that this will contribute to the continuous transformation of national armed forces, towards the goal of being more interoperable, more sustainable, more flexible and more deployable; invites the Council to investigate, for example, the feasibility of potentially setting-up a permanent Spearhead Europe Force, which could be drawing on the European multinational high readiness corps HQ in Strasbourg, Szczecin and Münster; **considers that** the EU battle groups **should grow** into full-scale **brigades and should be assigned to the corps HQ on a permanent basis**;

military cooperation such as the European multinational high readiness corps HQs and the EU battlegroups; believes that this will contribute to the continuous transformation of national armed forces, towards the goal of being more interoperable, more sustainable, more flexible and more deployable; invites the Council to investigate, for example, the feasibility of potentially setting-up a permanent Spearhead Europe Force, which could be drawing on the European multinational high readiness corps HQ in Strasbourg, Szczecin and Münster; **whilst transforming** the EU battle groups into full-scale permanent **brigades**;

Or. en

Amendment 133

Jozo Radoš, Hilde Vautmans, Javier Nart, Marietje Schaake, Ivan Jakovčić

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 15 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

15 a. Urges Council to take concrete steps towards the harmonisation and standardisation of the European armed forces, in accordance to Article 42(2), in order to facilitate the cooperation of armed forces personnel under the umbrella of a new European Defence Union as a step in progressive framing of a common Union defence policy;

Or. en

Amendment 134

Dobromir Sośnierz

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 16

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

16. Welcomes the establishment of the European Defence Industrial Development Programme (EDIDP), aiming at supporting the competitiveness and innovation capacity of the EU defence industry with EUR 500 million until 2020;

deleted

Or. pl

**Amendment 135
Sabine Lösing**

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 16**

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

16. **Welcomes** the establishment of the European Defence Industrial Development Programme (EDIDP), aiming at supporting the competitiveness and innovation capacity of the EU defence industry with EUR 500 million until 2020;

16. **Strongly rejects** the establishment of the European Defence Industrial Development Programme (EDIDP), **allegedly** aiming at supporting the competitiveness and innovation capacity of the EU defence industry with EUR 500 million until 2020; **further rejects the establishment of the successor programme the European Defence Fund as well as the creation of a new heading V 'Security and Defence' within the post 2020 MFF; recalls that according to Article 41 (2) expenditure arising from operations having military or defence implications shall not be charged to the Union Budget; denounces and deeply deplores the unprecedented speed with which the EU is being militarised; insists that the EU and its Member States should work for peace and focus on diplomatic and peaceful conflict resolution;**

Or. en

**Amendment 136
Jean-Luc Schaffhauser**

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 16

Motion for a resolution

16. **Welcomes** the establishment of the European Defence Industrial Development Programme (EDIDP), **aiming** at supporting the competitiveness and innovation capacity of the **EU** defence **industry** with EUR 500 million until 2020;

Amendment

16. **Supports, as the second best solution**, the establishment of the European Defence Industrial Development Programme (EDIDP), **provided that it aims** at supporting the competitiveness and innovation capacity of the **states'** defence **industries** with EUR 500 million until 2020, **while relying on the Member States' leading companies and not asking them to cooperate at European level if this approach would weaken their security or their national leadership, which already serves Europe**;

Or. fr

Amendment 137
Arne Lietz

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 16

Motion for a resolution

16. Welcomes the establishment of the European Defence Industrial Development Programme (EDIDP), aiming at supporting the competitiveness and innovation capacity of the EU defence industry with EUR 500 million until 2020;

Amendment

16. Welcomes **the ambition expressed through** the establishment of the European Defence Industrial Development Programme (EDIDP), aiming at supporting the competitiveness and innovation capacity of the EU defence industry with EUR 500 million until 2020; **notes however, that several aspects should be improved when setting up the European Defence Fund, taking into account the results of a thorough evaluation of projects funded under the EDIDP**;

Or. en

Amendment 138
Arnaud Danjean

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 16

Motion for a resolution

16. Welcomes the establishment of the European Defence Industrial Development Programme (EDIDP), aiming at supporting the competitiveness and innovation capacity of the EU defence industry with EUR 500 million until 2020;

Amendment

16. Welcomes the establishment of the European Defence Industrial Development Programme (EDIDP), aiming at supporting the competitiveness and innovation capacity of the EU defence industry with EUR 500 million until 2020; ***calls for its swift implementation;***

Or. en

Amendment 139

Bodil Valero

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 16

Motion for a resolution

16. ***Welcomes*** the establishment of the European Defence Industrial Development Programme (EDIDP), aiming at supporting the competitiveness and innovation capacity of the EU defence industry with EUR 500 million until 2020;

Amendment

16. ***Notes*** the establishment of the European Defence Industrial Development Programme (EDIDP), aiming at supporting the competitiveness and innovation capacity of the EU defence industry with EUR 500 million until 2020;

Or. en

Amendment 140

Bodil Valero

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 17

Motion for a resolution

17. ***Believes that EDIDP will help to foster the competitiveness, efficiency and innovation capacity of the EU's defence industry by eligible actions involving inter alia designing, prototyping, testing,***

Amendment

deleted

qualification and certification of defence products as well as the development of technologies within a consortium including SMEs and middle capitalisation companies (mid-caps), research centres and universities, and collaboration between Member States, which contributes to the EU's strategic autonomy;

Or. en

Amendment 141

Arne Lietz

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 17

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

17. Believes that EDIDP will help to foster the competitiveness, efficiency and innovation capacity of the EU's defence industry by eligible actions involving inter alia designing, prototyping, testing, qualification and certification of defence products as well as the development of technologies within a consortium including SMEs and middle capitalisation companies (mid-caps), research centres and universities, and collaboration between Member States, which contributes to the EU's strategic autonomy;

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 142

Jean-Luc Schaffhauser

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 17

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

17. Believes that EDIDP will help to foster the competitiveness, efficiency and

17. Believes that EDIDP will help to foster the competitiveness, efficiency and

innovation capacity of the EU's defence industry by eligible actions involving inter alia designing, prototyping, testing, qualification and certification of defence products as well as the development of technologies within a consortium including SMEs and middle capitalisation companies (mid-caps), research centres and universities, and collaboration between Member States, which contributes to the EU's strategic autonomy;

innovation capacity of the EU's defence industry by eligible actions involving inter alia designing, prototyping, testing, qualification and certification of defence products as well as the development of technologies within a consortium including SMEs and middle capitalisation companies (mid-caps), research centres and universities, and collaboration between Member States, which contributes to the EU's strategic autonomy; ***advocates, under these conditions, starting with national companies that are already leaders and allowing them full organisational freedom to strengthen and increase their leadership, without forcing them to cooperate with companies from different states, especially if this cooperation would facilitate espionage and the destruction of these leaders through this necessary step of interstate cooperation;***

Or. fr

Amendment 143
Fabio Massimo Castaldo

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 17

Motion for a resolution

17. Believes that EDIDP will help to foster the competitiveness, efficiency and innovation capacity of the EU's defence industry by eligible actions involving inter alia designing, prototyping, testing, qualification and certification of defence products as well as the development of technologies within a consortium including SMEs and middle capitalisation companies (mid-caps), research centres and universities, and collaboration between Member States, which contributes to the EU's strategic autonomy;

Amendment

17. Believes that EDIDP will help to foster the competitiveness, efficiency and innovation capacity of the EU's defence industry by eligible actions involving inter alia designing, prototyping, testing, qualification and certification of defence products as well as the development of technologies within a consortium including SMEs and middle capitalisation companies (mid-caps), research centres and universities, and collaboration between Member States, which contributes to the EU's strategic autonomy; ***remembers that the EDIDP has been intended not to foster bilateral cooperation but to facilitate industrial cooperation on an European scale; the EDIDP should***

therefore aim for a real and inclusive implementation, as emerged during the debates in the European Parliament;

Or. en

Amendment 144

Francisco Assis

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 17

Motion for a resolution

17. Believes that EDIDP will help to foster the competitiveness, efficiency and innovation capacity of the EU's defence industry by eligible actions involving inter alia designing, prototyping, testing, qualification and certification of defence products as well as the development of technologies within a consortium including SMEs and middle capitalisation companies (mid-caps), research centres and universities, and collaboration between Member States, which contributes to the EU's strategic autonomy;

Amendment

17. Believes that EDIDP will help to foster the competitiveness, efficiency and innovation capacity of the EU's defence industry by eligible actions involving inter alia designing, prototyping, testing, qualification and certification of defence products as well as the development of technologies within a consortium including SMEs and middle capitalisation companies (mid-caps), research centres and universities, and collaboration between Member States, which contributes to the EU's strategic autonomy; ***stresses that, by stimulating research and technology transfer for example, this will also have beneficial spin-offs in terms of economic growth and the creation of skilled jobs;***

Or. pt

Amendment 145

Dobromir Sośnierz

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 17

Motion for a resolution

17. ***Believes that EDIDP will help to foster the competitiveness, efficiency and innovation capacity of the EU's defence industry by eligible actions involving inter alia designing, prototyping, testing,***

Amendment

17. ***Takes the view that the Member States should remain fully autonomous in developing their own defence industry, and that all attempts at EU-level unification in this area could lead to a***

qualification and certification of defence products as well as the development of technologies within a consortium including SMEs and middle capitalisation companies (mid-caps), research centres and universities, and collaboration between Member States, which contributes to the EU's strategic autonomy;

weakening of competitiveness and innovativeness, and also to bureaucratisation and corruption, which will in turn weaken the EU's defence potential as a whole;

Or. pl

Amendment 146
Arnaud Danjean

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 17

Motion for a resolution

17. Believes that EDIDP will help to foster the competitiveness, efficiency and innovation capacity of the EU's defence industry by eligible actions involving inter alia designing, prototyping, testing, qualification and certification of defence products as well as the development of technologies within a consortium including SMEs and middle capitalisation companies (mid-caps), research centres and universities, and collaboration between Member States, which contributes to the EU's strategic autonomy;

Amendment

17. Believes that EDIDP will help to foster the competitiveness, efficiency and innovation capacity of the EU's defence industry by eligible actions involving inter alia designing, prototyping, testing, qualification and certification of defence products as well as the development of technologies within a consortium including SMEs and middle capitalisation companies (mid-caps), research centres and universities, and collaboration between Member States, which contributes to the EU's strategic autonomy **and strengthen the European Defence Technological and Industrial Base (EDTIB)**;

Or. en

Amendment 147
Geoffrey Van Orden

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 17

Motion for a resolution

17. Believes that EDIDP will help to

AM\1165854EN.docx

Amendment

17. Believes that EDIDP will help to

75/136

PE629.384v01-00

foster the competitiveness, efficiency and innovation capacity of the **EU's** defence **industry** by eligible actions involving inter alia designing, prototyping, testing, qualification and certification of defence products as well as the development of technologies within a consortium including SMEs and middle capitalisation companies (mid-caps), research centres and universities, and collaboration between Member States, **which contributes to the EU's strategic autonomy**;

foster the competitiveness, efficiency and innovation capacity of the **European** defence **industries**, by eligible actions involving inter alia designing, prototyping, testing, qualification and certification of defence products as well as the development of technologies within a consortium including SMEs and middle capitalisation companies (mid-caps), research centres and universities, and collaboration between Member States;

Or. en

Amendment 148
David McAllister

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 17

Motion for a resolution

17. Believes that EDIDP will help to foster the competitiveness, efficiency and innovation capacity of the EU's defence industry **by eligible actions** involving inter alia designing, prototyping, testing, qualification and certification of defence products as well as the development of technologies within a consortium including SMEs and middle capitalisation companies (mid-caps), research centres and universities, and collaboration between Member States, which contributes to the EU's strategic autonomy;

Amendment

17. Believes that EDIDP will help to foster the competitiveness, efficiency and innovation capacity of the EU's defence industry involving inter alia designing, prototyping, testing, qualification and certification of defence products as well as the development of technologies within a consortium including SMEs and middle capitalisation companies (mid-caps), research centres and universities, and collaboration between Member States, which contributes to the EU's strategic autonomy;

Or. en

Amendment 149
Jozo Radoš, Hilde Vautmans, Javier Nart, Ivan Jakovčić

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 17 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

17 a. Stresses that the use of all possibilities provided for in the Treaty would improve competitiveness and functioning of the defence industry in the single market, further stimulate defence cooperation through positive incentives, and targeting projects that Member States are not able to undertake, reducing unnecessary duplication, and promoting a more efficient use of public money; is of opinion that the outputs of these strategic cooperative programmes have great potential to be dual-use technologies and as such bring extra added value to Member States; emphasises on development of European capabilities and an integrated defence market;

Or. en

Amendment 150
Dobromir Sośnierz

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 18

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

18. Welcomes the proposal for a regulation establishing a European Defence Fund and the substantial funding proposed by the European Commission for the next Multiannual Financial Framework; notes that the outcomes of the EDIDP discussions were taken duly into consideration and expresses hope that the proposal can be agreed as soon as possible;

deleted

Or. pl

Amendment 151
Jean-Luc Schaffhauser

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 18

Motion for a resolution

18. **Welcomes** the proposal for a regulation establishing a European Defence Fund **and the substantial funding proposed** by the European Commission for the next Multiannual Financial Framework; notes that the outcomes of the EDIDP discussions were taken duly into consideration **and** expresses hope that **the proposal** can be agreed as soon as possible;

Amendment

18. **Rejects** the proposal for a regulation establishing a European Defence Fund **under the current circumstances because the logic of this fund lies within the federal framework of the Union and is doomed to failure; proposes, on the contrary, enhanced cooperation between states on clear and shared objectives that can currently be financed** by the European Commission for the next Multiannual Financial Framework; notes that the outcomes of the EDIDP discussions were **not** taken duly into consideration **because those with experience in this field are politically in the minority; regrets that, once more, the Europeanist ideology has prevailed over actual operational practice;** expresses hope that **a different European approach to cooperation between states** can be agreed as soon as possible **following the European elections;**

Or. fr

Amendment 152
Arnaud Danjean

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 18

Motion for a resolution

18. Welcomes the proposal for a regulation establishing a European Defence Fund and the substantial funding proposed by the European Commission for the next Multiannual Financial Framework; **notes** that the outcomes of the EDIDP discussions **were** taken duly into consideration and expresses hope that the proposal can be agreed as soon as possible;

Amendment

18. Welcomes the proposal for a regulation establishing a European Defence Fund and the substantial funding proposed by the European Commission for the next Multiannual Financial Framework; **calls to take into account the initial lessons learned from the implementation of the EDIDP, the Pilot Project and the Preparatory Action on Defence Research; emphasizes** that the outcomes of the EDIDP discussions **have to be** taken duly into consideration and expresses hope that the proposal can be agreed as soon as possible;

Amendment 153**Bodil Valero**

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution**Paragraph 18***Motion for a resolution*

18. **Welcomes** the proposal for a regulation establishing a European Defence Fund and the substantial funding proposed by the European Commission for the next Multiannual Financial Framework; notes that the outcomes of the EDIDP discussions were taken duly into consideration and expresses hope that the ***proposal can be agreed as soon as possible***;

Amendment

18. **Notes** the proposal for a regulation establishing a European Defence Fund and the substantial funding proposed by the European Commission for the next Multiannual Financial Framework; notes that the outcomes of the EDIDP discussions were taken duly into consideration and expresses hope that the ***EP's position on non-eligibility of inhumane weapons such as lethal autonomous weapons systems, and on the need for delegated acts for the EDF's seven years' work programme will be sustained***;

Amendment 154**Clare Moody****Motion for a resolution****Paragraph 18***Motion for a resolution*

18. Welcomes the proposal for a regulation establishing a European Defence Fund and the substantial funding proposed by the European Commission for the next Multiannual Financial Framework; notes that the outcomes of the EDIDP discussions were taken ***duly*** into consideration and expresses hope that the proposal can be agreed as soon as possible;

Amendment

18. Welcomes the proposal for a regulation establishing a European Defence Fund and the substantial funding proposed by the European Commission for the next Multiannual Financial Framework; notes that the outcomes of the EDIDP discussions were ***partly*** taken into consideration and expresses hope that the proposal can be agreed as soon as possible ***to improve Europe's defence industry and allow for appropriate defence industry***

collaboration with European NATO allies;

Or. en

Amendment 155

Fabio Massimo Castaldo

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 18

Motion for a resolution

18. *Welcomes* the proposal for a regulation establishing a European Defence Fund and the substantial funding proposed by the European Commission for the next Multiannual Financial Framework; notes that the outcomes of the EDIDP *discussions were* taken duly into consideration *and expresses hope that the proposal can be agreed as soon as possible;*

Amendment

18. *Notes* the proposal for a regulation establishing a European Defence Fund and the substantial funding proposed by the European Commission for the next Multiannual Financial Framework; notes that the outcomes of the EDIDP *should be* taken duly into consideration;

Or. en

Amendment 156

Andrejs Mamikins

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 18 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

18 a. Regrets the fact that 80% of the defence budget in the EU is spent on the national, and not on the European level; underlines that pooling of the defence procurement would allow a 30% reduction in defence spendings and would therefore release budgetary funds for other objectives of the Union;

Amendment

Or. en

Amendment 157
Ioan Mircea Paşcu

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 19

Motion for a resolution

19. Stresses that EU's strategic security and defence objectives can only be achieved through the closest coordination of the needs and long-term capability building requirements of both the armed forces and defence industries of the Member States; notes that both the Capability Development Plan (CDP) and the Coordinated Annual Review on Defence (CARD) can make important contributions to the achievement of this goal;

Amendment

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 158
Dobromir Sośnierz

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 19

Motion for a resolution

19. Stresses that EU's strategic security and defence objectives can **only** be achieved **through the closest coordination of the needs and long-term capability building requirements of both the armed forces and defence industries of the Member States**; notes that both the Capability Development Plan (CDP) and the Coordinated Annual Review on Defence (CARD) can make important contributions to the achievement of this goal;

Amendment

19. Stresses that EU's strategic security and defence objectives can be achieved **most quickly if the Member States' full autonomy in the area of armaments is preserved, so as to maintain balance between them and promote technological and operational diversification; stresses that the EU is not a military pact and that the Member States should retain the full capacity to carry out independent military activities and defend their territories and the EU's outer borders**; notes **at the same time, however**, that both the Capability Development Plan (CDP) and the Coordinated Annual Review on Defence (CARD) can make important contributions to the achievement of this goal;

Amendment 159**Clare Moody****Motion for a resolution****Paragraph 19***Motion for a resolution*

19. Stresses that EU's strategic security and defence objectives can only be achieved through the closest coordination of the needs and long-term capability building requirements of both the armed forces and defence industries of the Member States; notes that both the Capability Development Plan (CDP) and the Coordinated Annual Review on Defence (CARD) can make important contributions to the achievement of this goal;

Amendment

19. Stresses that EU's strategic security and defence objectives can only be achieved through the closest coordination of the needs and long-term capability building requirements of both the armed forces and defence industries of the Member States; notes that both the Capability Development Plan (CDP) and the Coordinated Annual Review on Defence (CARD) can make important contributions to the achievement of this goal; ***considers that the Digital Single Market and other digital capabilities mean that the EU is well placed to lead on boosting Europe's cyber resilience and should work closely with NATO to this end;***

Or. en

Amendment 160**Jean-Luc Schaffhauser****Motion for a resolution****Paragraph 19***Motion for a resolution*

19. Stresses that EU's strategic security and defence objectives can only be achieved ***through the closest coordination of*** the needs and long-term capability building requirements of both the armed forces and defence industries of the Member States; notes that both the Capability Development Plan (CDP) and the Coordinated Annual Review on

Amendment

19. Stresses that EU's strategic security and defence objectives can only be achieved ***by giving more national independence to the states as regards*** the needs and long-term capability building requirements of both the armed forces and defence industries of the Member States ***while promoting the cooperation of European states on shared objectives,***

Defence (CARD) can make important contributions to the achievement of this goal;

provided that relevant agreements are reached; notes that both the Capability Development Plan (CDP) and the Coordinated Annual Review on Defence (CARD) can make important contributions to the achievement of this goal;

Or. fr

Amendment 161
Geoffrey Van Orden

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 19

Motion for a resolution

19. Stresses that EU's strategic security and defence objectives can only be achieved through the closest coordination of the needs and long-term capability building requirements of both the armed forces and defence industries of the Member States; notes that both the Capability Development Plan (CDP) and the Coordinated Annual Review on Defence (CARD) can make important contributions to the achievement of this goal;

Amendment

19. Stresses that EU's strategic security and defence objectives can only be achieved through the closest coordination of the needs and long-term capability building requirements of both the armed forces and defence industries of the Member States; notes that both the Capability Development Plan (CDP) and the Coordinated Annual Review on Defence (CARD) can make important contributions to the achievement of this goal, *particularly if coordinated with the pre-existing NATO defence planning process*;

Or. en

Amendment 162
Bodil Valero
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 19

Motion for a resolution

19. Stresses that EU's strategic *security and defence* objectives can only be achieved through the closest coordination of the needs and long-term capability

Amendment

19. Stresses that EU's strategic objectives *of Articles 21 TEU and relevant tasks of Articles 42(1) and 43(1) TEU* can only be achieved through the closest

building requirements *of both the armed forces and defence industries* of the Member States; notes that both the Capability Development Plan (CDP) and the Coordinated Annual Review on Defence (CARD) can make important contributions to the achievement of this goal;

coordination of the needs and long-term capability building requirements of the Member States; notes that both the Capability Development Plan (CDP) and the Coordinated Annual Review on Defence (CARD) can make important contributions to the achievement of this goal;

Or. en

Amendment 163
Fabio Massimo Castaldo

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 19

Motion for a resolution

19. Stresses that EU's strategic security and defence objectives can *only* be achieved through the closest coordination of the needs and long-term capability building requirements of both the armed forces and defence industries of the Member States; notes that both the Capability Development Plan (CDP) and the Coordinated Annual Review on Defence (CARD) can make important contributions to the achievement of this goal;

Amendment

19. Stresses that EU's strategic security and defence objectives can *also* be achieved through the closest coordination of the needs and long-term capability building requirements of both the armed forces and defence industries of the Member States; notes that both the Capability Development Plan (CDP) and the Coordinated Annual Review on Defence (CARD) can make important contributions to the achievement of this goal;

Or. en

Amendment 164
Dobromir Sośnierz

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 20

Motion for a resolution

20. Emphasises again that the EDA should be the implementing agency for Union actions under the European Capabilities and Armaments policy, where foreseen by the Lisbon Treaty; *stresses*

Amendment

20. Emphasises again that the EDA should be the implementing agency for Union actions under the European Capabilities and Armaments policy, where foreseen by the Lisbon Treaty;

that the administrative and operational expenditure of the EDA should be funded from the Union budget; welcomes the minor adjustments of EDA's budget that have taken place but emphasises that EDA's increased responsibilities in the context of, among other things, PESCO and CARD require adequate funding;

Or. pl

Amendment 165

Bodil Valero

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 20

Motion for a resolution

20. *Emphasises again that the EDA should be the implementing agency for Union actions under the European Capabilities and Armaments policy, where foreseen by the Lisbon Treaty; stresses that the administrative and operational expenditure of the EDA should be funded from the Union budget; welcomes the minor adjustments of EDA's budget that have taken place but emphasises that EDA's increased responsibilities in the context of, among other things, PESCO and CARD require adequate funding;*

Amendment

20. *Believes that the Commission should regulate the defence sectors, offering its services and long experience with significantly increasing efficiency and transparency of industrial processes and cooperation projects;*

Or. en

Amendment 166

Arnaud Danjean

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 20

Motion for a resolution

20. *Emphasises again that the EDA should be the implementing agency for Union actions under the European*

Amendment

20. Stresses that the administrative and operational expenditure of the EDA should be funded from the Union budget;

Capabilities and Armaments policy, where foreseen by the Lisbon Treaty; stresses that the administrative and operational expenditure of the EDA should be funded from the Union budget; welcomes the minor adjustments of EDA's budget that have taken place but emphasises that EDA's increased responsibilities in the context of, among other things, PESCO and CARD require adequate funding;

welcomes the minor adjustments of EDA's budget that have taken place but emphasises that EDA's increased responsibilities in the context of, among other things, PESCO and CARD require adequate funding;

Or. en

Amendment 167
Jean-Luc Schaffhauser

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 20

Motion for a resolution

20. **Emphasises again that** the EDA **should be** the implementing agency for **Union** actions under the European Capabilities and Armaments **policy, where foreseen** by the **Lisbon Treaty**; stresses that the administrative and operational expenditure of the EDA should be funded **from the Union budget**; **welcomes the minor adjustments of EDA's budget that have taken place but emphasises that EDA's increased responsibilities in the context of, among other things, PESCO and CARD require adequate funding**;

Amendment

20. **Reiterates its calls for** the EDA **to remain a purely inter-state instrument, as it was originally conceived, that does not come under the authority of the Union, but of the states, so that it may become** the implementing agency for **state** actions **in an evolving manner and in accordance with the mutually agreed tasks** under the European **states'** capabilities and armaments **policies**; **rejects the Lisbon Treaty as an ideological coup d'état** by the **pro-Europeans against the Europe of states and democracy**; stresses that the administrative and operational expenditure of the EDA should be funded **by the states and that they must in no way be funded from the Union budget**;

Or. fr

Amendment 168
Fabio Massimo Castaldo

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 20

Motion for a resolution

20. Emphasises again that the EDA **should** be the implementing agency for Union actions under the European Capabilities and Armaments policy, where foreseen by the Lisbon Treaty; stresses that the administrative and operational expenditure of the EDA should be funded from the Union budget; **welcomes the minor adjustments of EDA's budget that have taken place but** emphasises that EDA's increased responsibilities in the context of, among other things, PESCO and CARD require adequate funding;

Amendment

20. Emphasises again that the EDA **could** be the implementing agency for Union actions under the European Capabilities and Armaments policy, where foreseen by the Lisbon Treaty; stresses that the administrative and operational expenditure of the EDA should be funded from the Union budget; emphasises that EDA's increased responsibilities in the context of, among other things, PESCO and CARD require adequate funding;

Or. en

Amendment 169
David McAllister

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 20

Motion for a resolution

20. Emphasises again that the EDA should be the implementing agency for Union actions under the European Capabilities and Armaments policy, where foreseen by the Lisbon Treaty; stresses that the administrative and operational expenditure of the EDA should be funded from the Union budget; welcomes the minor adjustments of EDA's budget that have taken place but emphasises that EDA's increased responsibilities in the context of, among other things, PESCO **and CARD** require adequate funding;

Amendment

20. Emphasises again that the EDA should be the implementing agency for Union actions under the European Capabilities and Armaments policy, where foreseen by the Lisbon Treaty; stresses that the administrative and operational expenditure of the EDA should be funded from the Union budget; welcomes the minor adjustments of EDA's budget that have taken place but emphasises that EDA's increased responsibilities in the context of, among other things, PESCO, **CARD and EDF** require adequate funding;

Or. en

Amendment 170
Jozo Radoš, Hilde Vautmans, Javier Nart, Ivan Jakovčić

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 20 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

20 a. *Calls for the formation of the European Intelligence Agency with the mission and capability to implement a de facto transversal intelligence network within the Member States allowing the European Union to react in a comprehensive and coordinated way to emerging threats by adhering to Article 24(3) and Article 4(3), as the comprehensive gathering of intelligence information cannot be sufficiently achieved by the Member States, either at central level or at regional and local level, but can rather, by reason of the scale or effects of the proposed action, be better achieved at Union level (Article 5(3));*

Or. en

Amendment 171
Andrejs Mamikins

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 20 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

20 a. *Underlines that CSDP should contribute to the increase of the role of the EU as an international peace actor and to its work on the prevention of conflicts and international peace mediation; stresses that conflict prevention is a key component of the EU's Comprehensive Approach to External Conflict and Crises, invites therefore the EEAS to use the tool of the EU conflict Early Warning System to its full potential;*

Or. en

Amendment 172
Bodil Valero
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

PE629.384v01-00

88/136

AM\1165854EN.docx

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 20 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

20 a. *Deeply deplores the significant decrease of funds available for civilian conflict prevention and peacebuilding of around two thirds for the 2021-2027 MFF compared to the current financial perspective; urges the Council and the Commission to review this position and to triple investments in civilian conflict prevention for the upcoming MFF;*

Or. en

Amendment 173
Ioan Mircea Pașcu

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 20 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

20 a. *Welcomes recent measures for strengthening civilian CSDP as an essential part of the EU's joint-up approach, in particular the development of capabilities and responsiveness of CSDP civilian mission sand the focus on enhancing effectiveness in addressing challenges along the internal-external nexus;*

Or. en

Amendment 174
Fabio Massimo Castaldo

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 20 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

20 a. *Recalls the need to facilitate the*

organisation of more joint trainings and exercises between the European armed forces, promoting interoperability, standardization and preparedness to face a wide spectrum of threats, conventional and unconventional;

Or. en

Amendment 175
Andrejs Mamikins

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 20 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

20 b. Invites the EU and the Member States to always prioritise mediation as the first tool of responding to emerging crises and support mediation efforts of other partners; stresses the need for the EU to actively promote peaceful negotiation and conflict-prevention among its international partners;

Or. en

Amendment 176
Bodil Valero
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 20 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

20 b. Calls for an initiative to significantly strengthen civilian CSDP, be it via a Civilian CSDP Compact or other means; reminds that according to the treaty, civilian CSDP has the task to manage crisis, stabilise institutions in fragile post-conflict countries, and not to manage migration;

Or. en

Amendment 177
Marietje Schaake

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 21

Motion for a resolution

21. Welcomes the implementation of an inclusive Permanent Structured Cooperation (PESCO) as an important step towards a closer cooperation in security and defence among the Member States; acknowledges the character of PESCO as a legally binding long-term project, including a set of highly ambitious commitments as well as an array of cooperative projects; stresses the need for full alignment between PESCO activities *and* other CSDP activities;

Amendment

21. Welcomes the implementation of an inclusive Permanent Structured Cooperation (PESCO) as an important step towards a closer cooperation in security and defence among the Member States; acknowledges the character of PESCO as a legally binding long-term project, including a set of highly ambitious commitments as well as an array of cooperative projects; stresses the need for full alignment between PESCO activities, other CSDP activities *and NATO*; ***considers that PESCO is of common interest to both the EU and NATO and should be a driver for further cooperation between the two organisations;***

Or. en

Amendment 178
Jean-Luc Schaffhauser

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 21

Motion for a resolution

21. ***Welcomes the implementation of an inclusive*** Permanent Structured Cooperation (PESCO) ***as an important step*** towards ***a*** closer cooperation in security and defence ***among the Member States***; acknowledges the character of PESCO as a legally binding long-term project, including a set of highly ambitious commitments as well as an array of cooperative projects; stresses the need for full alignment between PESCO activities and other CSDP activities;

Amendment

21. ***Stresses the importance of the implementation of a*** Permanent Structured Cooperation (PESCO) ***between states as the only path*** towards closer cooperation in security and defence; acknowledges the character of PESCO as a legally binding long-term project, including a set of highly ambitious commitments as well as an array of cooperative projects, ***and that it is necessary to leave the states free to organise this cooperation without bringing it within a Community or Union***

framework; stresses the need for full alignment between PESCO activities and other CSDP activities *by the states themselves*;

Or. fr

Amendment 179

Bodil Valero

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 21

Motion for a resolution

21. *Welcomes* the implementation of an inclusive Permanent Structured Cooperation (PESCO) as an important step towards a closer cooperation in *security and* defence among the Member States; acknowledges the character of PESCO as a legally binding long-term project, including a set of highly ambitious commitments as well as an array of cooperative projects; stresses the need for full alignment between PESCO activities and other CSDP activities;

Amendment

21. *Notes* the implementation of an inclusive Permanent Structured Cooperation (PESCO) as an important step towards a closer, *but voluntary*, cooperation in defence among the Member States; acknowledges the character of PESCO as a legally binding long-term project, including a set of highly ambitious commitments as well as an array of cooperative projects; stresses the need for full alignment between PESCO activities and other CSDP activities, *in particular with the objectives of Article 42(1) TEU and the military tasks of Article 43(1) TEU*;

Or. en

Amendment 180

Sabine Lösing

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 21

Motion for a resolution

21. *Welcomes* the implementation of *an inclusive* Permanent Structured Cooperation (PESCO) as *an important* step towards *a closer cooperation in security and* defence *among the Member*

Amendment

21. *Rejects* the implementation of *the* Permanent Structured Cooperation (PESCO) as *further* step towards *the militarisation of the European Union and the de facto founding of the European*

States; acknowledges the character of PESCO as a legally binding long-term project, including a set of highly ambitious commitments as well as an array of cooperative projects; stresses the need for full alignment between PESCO activities and other CSDP activities;

Defence Union; warns in this regards that with PESCO the existing unanimity rule in the Council concerning CSFP and CSDP decisions will be annulled;

Or. en

Amendment 181
David McAllister

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 21

Motion for a resolution

21. Welcomes the implementation of an inclusive Permanent Structured Cooperation (PESCO) as **an** important step towards a closer cooperation in security and defence among the Member States; acknowledges the character of PESCO as a legally binding long-term project, including a set of highly ambitious commitments as well as an array of cooperative projects; stresses the need for full alignment between PESCO activities and other CSDP activities;

Amendment

21. Welcomes the implementation of an inclusive Permanent Structured Cooperation (PESCO) as **a very important and fundamental** step towards a closer cooperation in security and defence among the Member States; acknowledges the character of PESCO as a legally binding long-term project, including a set of highly ambitious commitments as well as an array of cooperative projects; stresses the need for full alignment between PESCO activities and other CSDP activities;

Or. en

Amendment 182
Fabio Massimo Castaldo

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 21

Motion for a resolution

21. Welcomes the implementation of an inclusive Permanent Structured Cooperation (PESCO) as **an important** step towards a closer cooperation in security and defence among the Member States; acknowledges the character of

Amendment

21. Welcomes the implementation of an inclusive Permanent Structured Cooperation (PESCO) as **a** step towards a closer cooperation in security and defence among the Member States; acknowledges the character of PESCO as a legally

PESCO as a legally binding long-term project, including a set of highly ambitious commitments as well as an array of cooperative projects; stresses the need for full alignment between PESCO activities and other CSDP activities;

binding long-term project, including a set of highly ambitious commitments as well as an array of cooperative projects; stresses the need for full alignment between PESCO activities and other CSDP activities;

Or. en

Amendment 183
Jean-Luc Schaffhauser

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 22

Motion for a resolution

22. Acknowledges the fact only a few of the initial PESCO projects can be considered as having a strategic European dimension and that most other projects merely reflect particular national preferences; calls on the Member States participating in PESCO to ***show more ambition and to fully take into account the extent of European added value when submitting proposals for further PESCO projects***;

Amendment

22. Acknowledges the fact only a few of the initial PESCO projects can be considered as having a strategic European dimension and that most other projects merely reflect particular national preferences, ***which clearly shows that the forced integration at EU level is based on an irrelevant ideological approach***; calls on the Member States participating in PESCO to ***continue to think in terms of national independence and the cooperation of free and independent nation states, because this is the precondition for European independence, the EU being currently subject to NATO policy and therefore foreign to Europe***;

Or. fr

Amendment 184
David McAllister

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 22

Motion for a resolution

22. Acknowledges the fact only a few of the initial PESCO projects can be considered as having a strategic European

Amendment

22. Acknowledges the fact only a few of the initial PESCO projects can be considered as having a strategic European

dimension ***and that most other projects merely reflect particular national preferences***; calls on the Member States participating in PESCO to show more ambition and to fully take into account the extent of European added value when submitting proposals for further PESCO projects;

dimension; calls on the Member States participating in PESCO to show more ambition and to fully take into account the extent of European added value when submitting proposals for further PESCO projects;

Or. en

Amendment 185
Arnaud Danjean

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 22

Motion for a resolution

22. ***Acknowledges the fact only a few of the initial PESCO projects can be considered as having a strategic European dimension and that most other projects merely reflect particular national preferences***; calls on the Member States participating in PESCO to show more ambition and to fully take into account the extent of European added value when submitting proposals for further PESCO projects;

Amendment

22. ***Calls participating Member States to present projects with a strategic European dimension, responding to EU identified capability shortfalls and strengthening the EDTIB*** ; calls on the Member States participating in PESCO to show more ambition and to fully take into account the extent of European added value when submitting proposals for further PESCO projects;

Or. en

Amendment 186
Jozo Radoš, Hilde Vautmans, Javier Nart, Ivan Jakovčić

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 22 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

22 a. Encourages the Member States participating in PESCO to set up a permanent 'European Integrated Force' composed of divisions of their national armies and to make it available to the Union for the implementation of the

Amendment

*common security and defence policy as
foreseen by Article 42(3) TEU;*

Or. en

Amendment 187
Ioan Mircea Paşcu

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 23

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

23. *Highlights the close connection of PESCO with the Coordinated Annual Review on Defence (CARD) and the European Defence Fund (EDF) for enhancing Member States defence capabilities;* ***deleted***

Or. en

Amendment 188
Jean-Luc Schaffhauser

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 23

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

23. Highlights the close connection of PESCO with the Coordinated Annual Review on Defence (CARD) and the European Defence Fund (EDF) for enhancing Member States defence capabilities;

23. Highlights the close connection of PESCO with the Coordinated Annual Review on Defence (CARD) and the European Defence Fund (EDF) ***and the need to rethink them in the context of the exclusive competence of states and their free and non-obligatory cooperation*** for enhancing Member States' defence capabilities;

Or. fr

Amendment 189
Jean-Luc Schaffhauser

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 24

Motion for a resolution

24. Welcomes the Council decision on establishing governance rules for PESCO projects, clarifying many of the lingering open questions about the details of PESCO implementation; notes, however, that some questions about the financial aspects of the Council Decision still remain open, in particular as regards the correct implementation of Article 41(2) TEU ***and the possible additional financial needs of the EEAS and EDA to fulfil their functions as PESCO secretariat;***

Amendment

24. Welcomes the Council decision on establishing governance rules for PESCO projects, clarifying many of the lingering open questions about the details of PESCO implementation; notes, however, that some questions about the financial aspects of the Council Decision still remain open, in particular as regards the correct implementation of Article 41(2) TEU;

Or. fr

Amendment 190
Arnaud Danjean

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 24

Motion for a resolution

24. Welcomes the Council decision on establishing governance rules for PESCO projects, clarifying many of the lingering open questions about the details of PESCO implementation; notes, however, that some questions about the financial aspects of the Council Decision still remain open, in particular as regards the correct implementation of Article 41(2) TEU ***and the possible additional financial needs of the EEAS and EDA to fulfil their functions as PESCO secretariat;***

Amendment

24. Welcomes the Council decision on establishing governance rules for PESCO projects, clarifying many of the lingering open questions about the details of PESCO implementation; notes, however, that some questions about the financial aspects of the Council Decision still remain open, in particular as regards the correct implementation of Article 41(2) TEU;

Or. en

Amendment 191
Bodil Valero
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 24

Motion for a resolution

24. Welcomes the Council decision on establishing governance rules for PESCO projects, clarifying many of the lingering open questions about the details of PESCO implementation; notes, however, that some questions about the financial aspects of the Council Decision still remain open, in particular as regards the correct implementation of Article 41(2) TEU **and the possible additional financial needs of the EEAS and EDA to fulfil their functions as PESCO secretariat;**

Amendment

24. Welcomes the Council decision on establishing governance rules for PESCO projects, clarifying many of the lingering open questions about the details of PESCO implementation; notes, however, that some questions about the financial aspects of the Council Decision still remain open, in particular as regards the correct implementation of Article 41(2) TEU;

Or. en

Amendment 192
Ioan Mircea Paşcu

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 24

Motion for a resolution

24. Welcomes the Council decision on establishing governance rules for PESCO projects, clarifying many of the lingering open questions about the details of PESCO implementation; notes, however, that **some questions about the financial aspects of the Council Decision still remain open, in particular as regards the correct implementation of Article 41(2) TEU and the possible additional financial needs of the EEAS and EDA to fulfil their functions as PESCO secretariat;**

Amendment

24. Welcomes the Council decision on establishing governance rules for PESCO projects, clarifying many of the lingering open questions about the details of PESCO implementation; notes, however, that possible additional **budgetary appropriations will be necessary to cover the administrative expenditure** of the EEAS and EDA to fulfil their functions as PESCO secretariat;

Or. en

Amendment 193
Geoffrey Van Orden

Motion for a resolution

PE629.384v01-00

98/136

AM\1165854EN.docx

Paragraph 24 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

24 a. Calls for appropriate opportunities for third country involvement with PESCO, acknowledging that such countries' defence industries have expertise and capabilities that EU Members' industries could benefit from; notes that a number of PESCO signatory countries have already expressed support for such a strategy;

Or. en

Amendment 194 Arnaud Danjean

Motion for a resolution Paragraph 25

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

25. **Strongly** believes that the EU's long-standing and largest CSDP mission, EUFOR BiH / Operation Althea, still plays **an important** role of deterrence as a visible sign of EU commitment to the country; considers it therefore essential to continue its executive mandate and sustain its current force strength (600 staff) as the safe and secure environment has still the potential to deteriorate with increased tensions and current ethno-nationalist centred politics;

25. **Notes the contribution of CSDP missions and operations to international peace and stability but regrets that the efficiency of these missions can still be jeopardized by structural weaknesses, uneven contributions from Member States and unsuitability to the operational environment; calls for adjusting the CSDP structures and procedures in order to deploy and direct civilian and military missions and operations faster and in a more effective and integrated manner; notes that the development of CSDP requires, above all, political will from the Member States, based on common interests and priorities, as well as the setting-up of institutional cooperation structures;** believes that the EU's long-standing and largest CSDP mission, EUFOR BiH / Operation Althea, still plays **a** role of deterrence as a visible sign of EU commitment to the country; considers it therefore essential to continue its executive mandate and sustain its current force strength (600 staff) as the safe and secure

environment has still the potential to deteriorate with increased tensions and current ethno-nationalist centred politics;

Or. en

Amendment 195
Jean-Luc Schaffhauser

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 25

Motion for a resolution

25. Strongly believes that the EU's long-standing and largest CSDP mission, EUFOR BiH / Operation Althea, ***still plays an important role of deterrence as a visible sign of EU commitment to the country; considers it therefore essential to continue its executive mandate and sustain its current force strength (600 staff) as the safe and secure environment has still the potential to deteriorate with increased tensions and current ethno-nationalist centred politics;***

Amendment

25. Strongly believes that the EU's long-standing and largest CSDP mission, EUFOR BiH / Operation Althea, ***is totally useless and should be abandoned, as Bosnia and Herzegovina is responsible, as a sovereign state, for its internal affairs, including security and defence, and the Union has not contributed anything, but has instead destabilised this state, in contrast to a policy of European states, which would have been more responsible and independent;***

Or. fr

Amendment 196
Urmas Paet

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 25

Motion for a resolution

25. Strongly believes that the EU's long-standing and largest CSDP mission, EUFOR BiH / Operation Althea, still plays an important role of deterrence as a visible sign of EU commitment to the country; considers it therefore essential to continue its executive mandate and sustain its current force strength (600 staff) as the safe and secure environment has still the potential to deteriorate ***with increased***

Amendment

25. Strongly believes that the EU's long-standing and largest CSDP mission, EUFOR BiH / Operation Althea, still plays an important role of deterrence as a visible sign of EU commitment to the country; considers it therefore essential to continue its executive mandate and sustain its current force strength (600 staff) as the safe and secure environment has still the potential to deteriorate;

tensions and current ethno-nationalist centred politics;

Or. en

Amendment 197

Alojz Peterle

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 25

Motion for a resolution

25. Strongly believes that the EU's long-standing and largest CSDP mission, EUFOR BiH / Operation Althea, still plays an important role of deterrence as a visible sign of EU commitment to the country; considers it therefore essential to continue its executive mandate and sustain its current force strength (600 staff) as the safe and secure environment has still the potential *to deteriorate* with increased tensions and current ethno-nationalist centred politics;

Amendment

25. Strongly believes that the EU's long-standing and largest CSDP mission, EUFOR BiH / Operation Althea, still plays an important role of deterrence as a visible sign of EU commitment to the country *and wider Western Balkan region*; considers it therefore essential to continue its executive mandate and sustain its current force strength (600 staff) as the safe and secure environment has still the potential *for destabilisation* with increased tensions and current ethno-nationalist centred politics;

Or. en

Amendment 198

Ioan Mircea Paşcu

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 25

Motion for a resolution

25. Strongly believes that the EU's long-standing *and largest* CSDP mission, EUFOR BiH / Operation Althea, still plays an important role of deterrence as a visible sign of EU commitment to the country; considers it therefore essential to continue its executive mandate and sustain its current force strength (600 staff) as the safe and secure environment has still the potential to deteriorate with increased tensions and current ethno-nationalist

Amendment

25. Strongly believes that the EU's long-standing CSDP mission, EUFOR BiH / Operation Althea, still plays an important role of deterrence as a visible sign of EU commitment to the country; considers it therefore essential to continue its executive mandate and sustain its current force strength (600 staff) as the safe and secure environment has still the potential to deteriorate with increased tensions and current ethno-nationalist centred politics;

centred politics;

Or. en

Amendment 199
Ioan Mircea Paşcu

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 25 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

25 a. Reaffirms the strategic importance of the partnership between the EU and Africa, based on their close historical, cultural and geographical ties; stresses the need to strengthen cooperation, including in the area of security; underlines in particular the importance of the process of Regionalisation of CSDP action in the Sahel, which combines the EU's civilian and military activities in order to enhance the capabilities for cooperation of the five G5 Sahel countries;

Or. en

Amendment 200
Jean-Luc Schaffhauser

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 26

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

26. Notes that the geopolitical situation at the Horn of Africa is increasingly marked by competition in the light of its importance for global trade and regional stability; thus welcomes the continuing presence of Operation Atalanta, EUCAP Somalia and EUTM Somalia as contributors to a stabilisation of the region; emphasises however, that CSDP can only be a part of any solution to the manifold challenges the region is facing and notes

26. Notes that the geopolitical situation at the Horn of Africa is increasingly marked by competition in the light of its importance for global trade and regional stability; thus welcomes the continuing presence of Operation Atalanta, EUCAP Somalia and EUTM Somalia as contributors to a stabilisation of the region; emphasises however, that CSDP can only be a part of any solution to the manifold challenges the region is facing and notes

the continuing importance of a comprehensive approach;

the continuing importance of a comprehensive approach; *feels that this approach can only be taken by European states and can in no way be integrated at EU level, as this would run the risk of inefficiency and irresponsibility, with the Union at this point only having to finance, as a stopgap measure, states already involved in joint cooperation policies;*

Or. fr

Amendment 201
Jean-Luc Schaffhauser

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 27

Motion for a resolution

27. Also welcomes the activities of the **EU** missions and operations in the Sahel region, EUCAP Sahel Mali and Niger as well as EUTM Mali and the contributions they are making to regional stability, the fight against terrorism and human trafficking, and the security of the local population;

Amendment

27. Also welcomes the activities of the **European states'** missions and operations in the Sahel region, EUCAP Sahel Mali and Niger as well as EUTM Mali and the contributions they are making to regional stability, the fight against terrorism and human trafficking, and the security of the local population; *notes that integration at EU level or involvement of the Union beyond funding is detrimental to efficiency and accountability, and that the Union must fulfil its role of supporting states, without wishing to replace them, in accordance with the principles of subsidiarity and efficiency, while bearing in mind that defence is exclusively a matter for states;*

Or. fr

Amendment 202
Javier Nart

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 27

Motion for a resolution

27. Also welcomes the activities of the EU missions and operations in the Sahel region, EUCAP Sahel Mali and Niger as well as EUTM Mali and the contributions they are making to regional stability, the fight against terrorism and human trafficking, and the security of the local population;

Amendment

27. Also welcomes the activities of the EU missions and operations in the Sahel region, EUCAP Sahel Mali and Niger as well as EUTM Mali and the contributions they are making to regional stability, the fight against terrorism and human trafficking, and the security of the local population; ***deplores the limitations in the CSDP missions mandate and stresses the need for a realistic mandate that can only be achieved with the provision of proper military capabilities; urges the Council and the HR/VP for CFSP to make use of the possibilities provided for in article 41.2 to this end;***

Or. en

Amendment 203

Bodil Valero

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 27 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

27 a. Is deeply concerned about UN/MINUSMA investigated and reported cases of dozens of very serious human rights abuses committed by Malian security forces which might amount to war crimes under humanitarian law; urges the HR/VP to make sure that the EU's partners strictly comply with international humanitarian and human rights law, and legally binding EU regulations and that those cases are brought to justice without delay; calls on the EEAS to report to Parliament about these cases as a matter of urgency;

Or. en

Amendment 204

PE629.384v01-00

104/136

AM\1165854EN.docx

Jean-Luc Schaffhauser

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 28

Motion for a resolution

28. Notes, with concern, however, the results of the recent report by the European Court of Auditors on EUCAP Sahel Niger and Mali, which flagged up substantial problems with staff training, vacancies, sustainability and performance indicators, problems that are likely to also affect other civilians missions; urges the EEAS **and** Member States to address the issues raised as fast as possible to ensure the effectiveness of the civilian CSDP; welcomes the European Court of Auditors involvement in auditing CSDP missions and operations and encourages the production of further special reports on other missions and operations;

Amendment

28. Notes, with concern, however, the results of the recent report by the European Court of Auditors on EUCAP Sahel Niger and Mali, which flagged up substantial problems with staff training, vacancies, sustainability and performance indicators, problems that are likely to also affect other civilians missions; **notes that all these difficulties are due to the fact that the Union wanted to act without leaving full responsibility with the states already involved; urges the EEAS to be a simple agency at the service of the** Member States to address the issues raised as fast as possible to ensure the effectiveness of the civilian CSDP; welcomes the European Court of Auditors involvement in auditing CSDP missions and operations and encourages the production of further special reports on other missions and operations **in order to show that only the cooperation of states implementing joint actions without a federalist and Community approach can be effective;**

Or. fr

Amendment 205
Arnaud Danjean

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 28

Motion for a resolution

28. Notes, with concern, however, the results of the recent report by the European Court of Auditors on EUCAP Sahel Niger and Mali, which flagged up substantial problems with staff training, vacancies, sustainability and performance indicators, problems that are likely to also affect other

Amendment

28. Notes, with concern, however, the results of the recent report by the European Court of Auditors on EUCAP Sahel Niger and Mali, which flagged up substantial problems with staff training, vacancies, sustainability and performance indicators, problems that are likely to also affect other

civilians missions; urges the EEAS and Member States to address the issues raised as fast as possible to ensure the effectiveness of the civilian CSDP;
welcomes the European Court of Auditors involvement in auditing CSDP missions and operations and encourages the production of further special reports on other missions and operations;

civilians missions; urges the EEAS and Member States to address the issues raised as fast as possible to ensure the effectiveness of the civilian CSDP;

Or. en

Amendment 206
Geoffrey Van Orden

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 28

Motion for a resolution

28. Notes, with concern, **however**, the results of the recent report by the European Court of Auditors on EUCAP Sahel Niger **and Mali**, which flagged up substantial problems with staff training, vacancies, sustainability and performance indicators, problems that are likely to also affect other **civilians** missions; urges the EEAS and Member States to address the issues raised as fast as possible to ensure the effectiveness of the civilian CSDP; welcomes the European Court of Auditors involvement in auditing CSDP missions and operations and encourages the production of further special reports on other missions and operations;

Amendment

28. Notes, with concern, the results of the recent report by the European Court of Auditors on EUCAP Sahel Niger, **Mali, and reports covering numerous other CSDP missions**, which flagged up substantial problems with staff training, vacancies, sustainability and performance indicators, problems that are likely to also affect other missions; urges the EEAS and Member States to address the issues raised as fast as possible to ensure the effectiveness of the civilian CSDP; welcomes the European Court of Auditors involvement in auditing CSDP missions and operations and encourages the production of further special reports on other missions and operations;

Or. en

Amendment 207
Ioan Mircea Paşcu

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 28

Motion for a resolution

28. Notes, ***with concern, however***, the results of the recent report by the European Court of Auditors on EUCAP Sahel Niger and Mali, which flagged up ***substantial*** problems with staff training, vacancies, sustainability and performance indicators, problems that are likely to also affect other civilians missions; ***urges the EEAS and Member States to address*** the issues raised ***as fast as possible to ensure*** the effectiveness of the civilian CSDP; welcomes the European Court of Auditors involvement in auditing CSDP missions and operations and encourages the production of further special reports on other missions and operations;

Amendment

28. Notes the results of the recent report by the European Court of Auditors on EUCAP Sahel Niger and Mali, which flagged up problems with staff training, vacancies, sustainability and performance indicators, problems that are likely to also affect other civilians missions; ***welcomes the prompt response of*** the EEAS ***which addressed*** the issues raised ***in order to enhance*** the effectiveness of the civilian CSDP; welcomes the European Court of Auditors involvement in auditing CSDP missions and operations and encourages the production of further special reports on other missions and operations;

Or. en

Amendment 208
Javier Nart

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 28 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment 209
Ioan Mircea Pașcu

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 28 a (new)

Amendment

28 a. Is deeply concerned by the increasingly deadly terrorist threat in the Sahelian belt and the growing instability in South Libya as well as the extension to Central Africa. Calls on the EU HR/VP to ensure an executive mandate is granted to CSDP missions and to intervene in accordance with the existing challenges;

Or. en

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

28 a. *Stresses the continuous contribution of EUNAVFOR MED operation Sophia to the wider EU efforts to disrupt the business model of human smuggling and trafficking in the Southern Central Mediterranean and to prevent the further loss of life at sea;*

Or. en

Amendment 210

Bodil Valero

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 29

Motion for a resolution

29. Welcomes the establishment and full operational capability of the Military Planning and Conduct Capacity (MPCC) for non-executive EU missions and operations ***and the removal of obstacles to the deployment of EU Battlegroups***; calls for enhanced cooperation and coordination between the MPCC and the Civilian Planning and Conduct Capacity (CPCC) as part of an integrated, comprehensive approach to crises and conflicts;

Amendment

29. Welcomes the establishment and full operational capability of the Military Planning and Conduct Capacity (MPCC) for non-executive EU missions and operations ***(training missions) and underlines the need to soon give MPCC the mandate to plan and conduct all military CSDP operations in the future***; calls for enhanced cooperation and coordination between the MPCC and the Civilian Planning and Conduct Capacity (CPCC) as part of an integrated, comprehensive approach to crises and conflicts, ***but insists on the principles that civilian and military chains of command need to remain separate***;

Or. en

Amendment 211

Ioan Mircea Pașcu

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 29

Motion for a resolution

29. Welcomes the establishment and full operational capability of the Military Planning and Conduct Capacity (MPCC) for non-executive EU missions and operations and the removal of obstacles to the deployment of EU Battlegroups; calls for enhanced cooperation **and coordination** between the MPCC and the Civilian Planning and Conduct Capacity (CPCC) as part of an integrated, comprehensive approach to crises and conflicts;

Amendment

29. Welcomes the establishment and full operational capability of the Military Planning and Conduct Capacity (MPCC) for non-executive EU missions and operations and the removal of obstacles to the deployment of EU Battlegroups; calls for enhanced cooperation between the MPCC and the Civilian Planning and Conduct Capacity (CPCC) **in the context of the Joint Support Coordination Cell (JSCC), in order to maximize coordination of civilian and military synergies and sharing of expertise** as part of an integrated, comprehensive approach to crises and conflicts;

Or. en

Amendment 212

Jean-Luc Schaffhauser

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 29

Motion for a resolution

29. Welcomes the establishment and full operational capability of the Military Planning and Conduct Capacity (MPCC) for non-executive EU missions and **operations and the removal of obstacles to the deployment of EU Battlegroups**; calls for enhanced cooperation and coordination between the MPCC and the Civilian Planning and Conduct Capacity (CPCC) as part of an **integrated, comprehensive approach to crises and conflicts**;

Amendment

29. Welcomes the establishment and full operational capability of the Military Planning and Conduct Capacity (MPCC) for non-executive EU missions and **interstate cooperation operations**; calls for enhanced cooperation and coordination between the MPCC and the Civilian Planning and Conduct Capacity (CPCC) as part of an **approach based on coordination between states**;

Or. fr

Amendment 213

David McAllister

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 29

Motion for a resolution

29. Welcomes the establishment and full operational capability of the Military Planning and Conduct Capacity (MPCC) for non-executive EU missions and operations and the removal of obstacles to the deployment of EU Battlegroups; calls for enhanced cooperation and coordination between the MPCC and the Civilian Planning and Conduct Capacity (CPCC) as part of an integrated, comprehensive approach to crises and conflicts;

Amendment

29. Welcomes the establishment and full operational capability of the Military Planning and Conduct Capacity (MPCC) for non-executive EU missions and operations and the removal of obstacles to the deployment of EU Battlegroups; calls for enhanced cooperation and coordination between the MPCC and the Civilian Planning and Conduct Capacity (CPCC) as part of an integrated, comprehensive approach to crises and conflicts; ***calls for the establishment of a CMPCC in the near future;***

Or. en

Amendment 214
Arnaud Danjean

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 29

Motion for a resolution

29. Welcomes the establishment and full operational capability of the Military Planning and Conduct Capacity (MPCC) for non-executive EU missions and operations and the removal of obstacles to the deployment of EU Battlegroups; calls for enhanced cooperation and coordination between the MPCC and the Civilian Planning and Conduct Capacity (CPCC) as part of an integrated, comprehensive approach to crises and conflicts;

Amendment

29. Welcomes the establishment and full operational capability of the Military Planning and Conduct Capacity (MPCC) for non-executive EU missions and operations and the removal of ***some*** obstacles to the deployment of EU Battlegroups; calls for enhanced cooperation and coordination between the MPCC and the Civilian Planning and Conduct Capacity (CPCC) as part of an integrated, comprehensive approach to crises and conflicts;

Or. en

Amendment 215
Andrejs Mamikins

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 29 a (new)

PE629.384v01-00

110/136

AM\1165854EN.docx

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

29 a. *Stresses the need to apply gender perspective in the EU CSDP action, considering the role that women play in war, post-conflict stabilisation and peace-building processes; emphasises the need to address gender violence as an instrument of war in conflict regions; underlines that women are more adversely affected by war rather than men; invites the EU and its international partners to actively involve women in peace and stabilisation processes, as well as to address their specific security needs;*

Or. en

Amendment 216
Arnaud Danjean

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 29 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

29 a. *Calls for a swift implementation of the Capacity Building in support of Security and Development (CBSD) initiative to improve the effectiveness and sustainability of CSDP missions and operations and to enable the EU to strengthen the security and defence capabilities of its partner countries;*

Or. en

Amendment 217
Geoffrey Van Orden

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 29 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

29 a. *Calls for the European Court of*

Auditors to conduct a review of all ongoing CSDP missions to ensure the most efficient use is being made of resources;

Or. en

Amendment 218
Urmas Paet

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 29 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

29 a. Stresses the need to ease the administrative procedures for the cross-border movement of rapid response forces inside the EU;

Or. en

Amendment 219
Urmas Paet

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 29 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

29 b. Urges the EEAS and the Council to step up their ongoing efforts to improve cyber security, in particular for CSDP missions, inter alia by taking measures at EU and Member State levels to mitigate threats to the CSDP, for instance by building up resilience through education, training and exercises, and by streamlining the EU cyber-defence education and training landscape;

Or. en

Amendment 220
Urmas Paet

PE629.384v01-00

112/136

AM1165854EN.docx

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 29 c (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

29 c. *Believes that the EU and its Member States face an unprecedented threat in the form of state-sponsored and cyber attacks as well as cyber crime and terrorism; believes that the nature of cyber attacks makes them a threat that needs an EU-level response; encourages the Member States to provide mutual assistance in the event of a cyber attack against any one of them;*

Or. en

Amendment 221
Arnaud Danjean

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 30

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

30. Underlines that EU-NATO cooperation should be complementary and respectful of each other's specificities and roles; is convinced that a stronger EU and NATO reinforce each other, creating more synergies and effectiveness for the security and defence of all partners; stresses that the EU-NATO strategic partnership is equally fundamental for the EU's evolving CSDP and for the future of the Alliance, as well as for EU-UK relations after Brexit;

30. Underlines that EU-NATO cooperation should be complementary and respectful of each other's specificities and roles; ***stresses that the two organisations have clearly distinct features and that they should cooperate in full respect for the autonomy and decision-making procedures of the other, based on the principles of reciprocity, without prejudice to the specific features of the security and defence policy of any Member States;*** is convinced that a stronger EU and NATO reinforce each other, creating more synergies and effectiveness for the security and defence of all partners; stresses that the EU-NATO strategic partnership is equally fundamental for the EU's evolving CSDP and for the future of the Alliance, as well as for EU-UK relations after Brexit;

Or. en

Amendment 222
Jean-Luc Schaffhauser

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 30

Motion for a resolution

30. Underlines that EU-NATO cooperation should be complementary and respectful of each other's specificities and roles; *is convinced that a stronger EU and NATO reinforce each other, creating more synergies and effectiveness for the security and defence of all partners; stresses that the EU-NATO strategic partnership is equally fundamental for the EU's evolving CSDP and for the future of the Alliance, as well as for EU-UK relations after Brexit;*

Amendment

30. Underlines that EU-NATO cooperation should be complementary and respectful of each other's specificities and roles; *deplores Protocol 11 of the TEU, which subordinates the EU's foreign and defence policy to NATO's and declares that such an approach is unconstitutional in France;*

Or. fr

Amendment 223
Urmas Paet

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 30

Motion for a resolution

30. Underlines that EU-NATO cooperation should be complementary and respectful of each other's specificities and roles; is convinced that a stronger EU and NATO reinforce each other, creating more synergies and effectiveness for the security and defence of all partners; stresses that the EU-NATO strategic partnership is equally fundamental for the EU's evolving CSDP and for the future of the Alliance, as well as for EU-UK relations after Brexit;

Amendment

30. *Underlines that the strategic partnership between the EU and NATO is fundamental to addressing the security challenges facing the EU and its neighbourhood;* underlines that EU-NATO cooperation should be complementary and respectful of each other's specificities and roles; is convinced that a stronger EU and NATO reinforce each other, creating more synergies and effectiveness for the security and defence of all partners; stresses that the EU-NATO strategic partnership is equally fundamental for the EU's evolving CSDP and for the future of the Alliance, as well as for EU-UK relations after Brexit;

Amendment 224**Jozo Radoš, Ivan Jakovčić****Motion for a resolution****Paragraph 30***Motion for a resolution*

30. ***Underlines that EU-NATO cooperation should be complementary and respectful of each other's specificities and roles;*** is convinced that a stronger EU and NATO reinforce each other, creating more synergies and effectiveness for the security and defence of all partners; stresses that the EU-NATO strategic partnership is equally ***fundamental*** for the EU's evolving CSDP and for the future of the Alliance, as well as for EU-UK relations after Brexit;

Amendment

30. Is convinced that a stronger EU and NATO reinforce each other, creating more synergies and effectiveness for the security and defence of all partners; stresses that the EU-NATO strategic partnership is equally ***important*** for the EU's evolving CSDP and for the future of the Alliance, as well as for EU-UK relations after Brexit;

Amendment 225**Geoffrey Van Orden****Motion for a resolution****Paragraph 30***Motion for a resolution*

30. Underlines that EU-NATO cooperation should be complementary and respectful of each other's specificities and roles; is convinced that a stronger EU and NATO reinforce each other, creating more synergies and effectiveness for the security and defence of all partners; stresses that the EU-NATO strategic partnership is equally fundamental for the EU's evolving CSDP and for the future of the Alliance, as well as for EU-UK relations after Brexit;

Amendment

30. ***Bearing in mind that 22 out of 28 EU Member States are also NATO members,*** underlines that EU-NATO cooperation should be complementary and respectful of each other's specificities and roles; is convinced that a stronger EU and NATO reinforce each other, creating more synergies and effectiveness for the security and defence of all partners; stresses that the EU-NATO strategic partnership is equally fundamental for the EU's evolving CSDP and for the future of the Alliance, as well as for EU-UK relations after Brexit;

Amendment 226
Francisco Assis

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 30 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

30a. *Expresses its concern at the tensions that have arisen in the context of the Brexit negotiations regarding the future participation of the United Kingdom in European defence policy as a third country; calls on the EU to actively seek to ensure that, in the interests of both sides, the United Kingdom remains a reliable and active partner in the field of defence and security, regardless of the outcome of other Brexit chapters;*

Or. pt

Amendment 227
Dobromir Sośnierz

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 30 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

30a. *Stresses that the EU is not trying to become a military pact to rival NATO, and that the Member States' commitments to NATO must always take precedence over military cooperation within the EU;*

Or. pl

Amendment 228
Jean-Luc Schaffhauser

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 31

Motion for a resolution

31. ***Welcomes the new EU-NATO declaration adopted at the NATO Summit in Brussels on 12 July 2018; while recognising the tangible results in the implementation of the 74 common actions, believes that further efforts are needed with regard to the practical implementation of the many commitments already made; notes in particular the involvement of the European Defence Agency (EDA) in the implementation of 30 actions;***

Amendment

31. ***Takes note of the statement made by Chancellor Merkel on 28 May 2018 that Europe, in view of US foreign policy, must take its destiny into its own hands; is surprised that this independence has only been discovered in response to Trump's America, which nevertheless favours a national patriotic approach; recalls that this taking of our destinies into our own hands is the responsibility of the states cooperating together, where applicable, on shared objectives and not on a comprehensive EU approach, the independence of the European states being a prerequisite for a coordinated approach; notes that with 28 members, this approach cannot be reduced to a few states that wish to retain their sovereignty because they have that culture, unlike other European states that have always lived under foreign subjugation and consider submission as an attribute of freedom, and whose arrogance exists only in the shadow of the umbrella of security provided by others;***

Or. fr

Amendment 229

Marietje Schaake, Jozo Radoš

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 31

Motion for a resolution

31. Welcomes the new EU-NATO declaration adopted at the NATO Summit in Brussels on 12 July 2018; while recognising the tangible results in the implementation of the 74 common actions, believes that further efforts are needed with regard to the practical implementation of the many commitments already made; notes in particular the involvement of the European Defence Agency (EDA) in the

Amendment

31. Welcomes the new EU-NATO declaration adopted at the NATO Summit in Brussels on 12 July 2018 ***and emphasises that successful implementation of the Joint Declaration depends on the political will of all Member States throughout;*** while recognising the tangible results in the implementation of the 74 common actions, believes that further efforts are needed with

implementation of 30 actions;

regard to the practical implementation of the many commitments already made; notes in particular the involvement of the European Defence Agency (EDA) in the implementation of 30 actions;

Or. en

Amendment 230

Bogdan Andrzej Zdrojewski

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 31

Motion for a resolution

31. Welcomes the new EU-NATO declaration adopted at the NATO Summit in Brussels on 12 July 2018; while recognising the tangible results in the implementation of the 74 common actions, believes that further efforts are needed with regard to the practical implementation of the many commitments already made; notes in particular the involvement of the European Defence Agency (EDA) in the implementation of 30 actions;

Amendment

31. Welcomes the new EU-NATO declaration adopted at the NATO Summit in Brussels on 12 July 2018; while recognising the tangible results in the implementation of the 74 common actions, believes that further efforts are needed with regard to the practical implementation of the many commitments already made, ***in particular when dealing with hybrid threats, cyber-security and joint exercises***; notes in particular the involvement of the European Defence Agency (EDA) in the implementation of 30 actions;

Or. pl

Amendment 231

Manolis Kefalogiannis

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 31

Motion for a resolution

31. Welcomes the new EU-NATO declaration adopted at the NATO Summit in Brussels on 12 July 2018; while recognising the tangible results in the implementation of the 74 common actions, believes that further efforts are needed with regard to the practical implementation of

Amendment

31. Welcomes ***the main pillars of*** the new EU-NATO declaration adopted at the NATO Summit in Brussels on 12 July 2018; while recognising the tangible results in the implementation of the 74 common actions, believes that further efforts are needed with regard to the practical

the many commitments already made; notes in particular the involvement of the European Defence Agency (EDA) in the implementation of 30 actions;

implementation of the many commitments already made; notes in particular the involvement of the European Defence Agency (EDA) in the implementation of 30 actions;

Or. en

Amendment 232

Arne Lietz

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 31

Motion for a resolution

31. Welcomes the new EU-NATO declaration adopted at the NATO Summit in Brussels on 12 July 2018; while recognising the tangible results in the implementation of the 74 common actions, believes that further efforts are needed with regard to the practical implementation of the many commitments already made; **notes** in particular the ***involvement of the European Defence Agency (EDA) in the implementation of 30 actions;***

Amendment

31. Welcomes the new EU-NATO declaration adopted at the NATO Summit in Brussels on 12 July 2018; while recognising the tangible results in the implementation of the 74 common actions, believes that further efforts are needed with regard to the practical implementation of the many commitments already made; **points out** in particular the ***necessity to cooperate more closely on hybrid threats and cyber security;***

Or. en

Amendment 233

Bodil Valero

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 31

Motion for a resolution

31. **Welcomes** the new EU-NATO declaration adopted at the NATO Summit in Brussels on 12 July 2018; while recognising the ***tangible*** results in the implementation of the 74 common actions, believes that further efforts are needed with regard to the practical implementation of the many commitments already made;

Amendment

31. **Notes** the new EU-NATO declaration adopted at the NATO Summit in Brussels on 12 July 2018; while recognising the results in the implementation of the 74 common actions, believes that further efforts are needed with regard to the practical implementation of the many commitments already made;

notes in particular the involvement of the European Defence Agency (EDA) in the implementation of 30 actions;

notes in particular the involvement of the European Defence Agency (EDA) in the implementation of 30 actions;

Or. en

Amendment 234

Bodil Valero

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 32

Motion for a resolution

32. Stresses that efforts on **military mobility** should contribute to the effective implementation of CSDP missions and operations and **to the Alliance's defence posture and** therefore encourages both organisations to continue working together **on military mobility** in the closest possible manner; **calls on the Commission to underpin these efforts with the necessary investments and, where appropriate, legislation;**

Amendment

32. Stresses that efforts on **air and sea lift** should contribute to the effective implementation of CSDP missions and operations and therefore encourages both organisations to continue working together in the closest possible manner;

Or. en

Amendment 235

Laima Liucija Andrikiienė

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 32

Motion for a resolution

32. Stresses that efforts on military mobility should contribute to the effective implementation of CSDP missions and operations and to the Alliance's defence posture and therefore encourages both organisations to continue working together on military mobility in the closest possible manner; calls on the Commission to underpin these efforts with the necessary investments and, where appropriate,

Amendment

32. Stresses that efforts on military mobility should contribute to the effective implementation of CSDP missions and operations and to the Alliance's defence posture and therefore encourages both organisations to continue working together on military mobility in the closest possible manner, **including through the development of common military requirements to facilitate a rapid**

legislation;

movement of military forces across Europe; calls on the Commission to underpin these efforts with the necessary investments and, where appropriate, legislation;

Or. en

Amendment 236
Fabio Massimo Castaldo

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 32

Motion for a resolution

32. Stresses that efforts on military mobility should contribute to the effective implementation of CSDP missions and operations and to the Alliance's defence posture and therefore encourages both organisations to continue working together on military mobility in the closest possible manner; calls on the Commission to underpin these efforts with the necessary investments and, where appropriate, legislation;

Amendment

32. Stresses that efforts on military mobility should *also* contribute to the effective implementation of CSDP missions and operations and to the Alliance's defence posture and therefore encourages both organisations to continue working together on military mobility in the closest possible manner, *keeping in mind the multidirectional challenges that the EU and NATO are facing from the South, the Balkans and the East*; calls on the Commission to underpin these efforts with the necessary investments and, where appropriate, legislation;

Or. en

Amendment 237
Javier Nart

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 32

Motion for a resolution

32. Stresses that efforts on military mobility should contribute to the effective implementation of CSDP missions and operations and to the Alliance's defence posture and therefore encourages both organisations to continue working together

Amendment

32. Stresses that efforts on military mobility *in the EU* should *be a priority in order to* contribute to the effective implementation of CSDP missions and operations and to the Alliance's defence posture and therefore encourages both

on military mobility in the closest possible manner; calls on the Commission to underpin these efforts with the necessary investments and, where appropriate, legislation;

organisations to continue working together on military mobility in the closest possible manner, ***ensuring unnecessary overlaps and duplicities are avoided at all costs***; calls on the Commission to underpin these efforts with the necessary investments and, where appropriate, legislation;

Or. en

Amendment 238
Jean-Luc Schaffhauser

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 32

Motion for a resolution

32. Stresses that efforts on military mobility should contribute to the effective implementation of CSDP missions and operations ***and to the Alliance's defence posture and therefore*** encourages both organisations to continue working together ***on military mobility in the closest possible manner; calls on the Commission to underpin these efforts with the necessary investments and, where appropriate, legislation;***

Amendment

32. Stresses that efforts on military mobility should contribute to the effective implementation of CSDP missions and operations ***in order to ensure defence outside the Alliance;*** encourages, ***nevertheless,*** both organisations to continue working together, ***but outside the Union, given that European defence is solely a matter for European states, and no external power can interfere in it;***

Or. fr

Amendment 239
Jozo Radoš, Ivan Jakovčić

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 33

Motion for a resolution

33. ***Highlights, in this context, PESCO's complementarity to NATO and the need to ensure that the multinational initiatives in capability development of both the EU and NATO are complementary and mutually reinforcing;***

Amendment

deleted

Amendment 240

Marietje Schaake, Jozo Radoš

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 33

Motion for a resolution

33. Highlights, in this context, PESCO's complementarity to NATO and the need to ensure that the multinational initiatives in capability development of both the EU and NATO are complementary and mutually reinforcing;

Amendment

33. Highlights, in this context, PESCO's complementarity to NATO and the need to ensure that the multinational initiatives in capability development of both the EU and NATO are complementary and mutually reinforcing; ***Emphasises the importance of transparency and communication about PESCO to the United States and other NATO Allies in order to avoid any misconceptions;***

Amendment 241

Jean-Luc Schaffhauser

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 33

Motion for a resolution

33. Highlights, in this context, PESCO's complementarity to NATO and the need to ensure that the multinational initiatives in capability development of both the EU and NATO are ***complementary and mutually reinforcing;***

Amendment

33. Highlights, in this context, PESCO's complementarity to NATO, ***provided that NATO understands that its field of intervention is not in Europe,*** and the need to ensure that the multinational initiatives in capability development of both the EU and NATO are ***excluded from European territory;***

Amendment 242

David McAllister

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 33

Motion for a resolution

33. Highlights, in this context, **PESCO's** complementarity **to NATO** and the need to ensure that the multinational initiatives in capability development of both the EU and NATO are complementary and mutually reinforcing;

Amendment

33. Highlights, in this context, **EU NATO** complementarity and the need to ensure that the multinational initiatives in capability development of both the EU and NATO are complementary and mutually reinforcing;

Or. en

Amendment 243
Francisco Assis

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 33 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

33a. Stresses the importance of mobilising the Member States to increase their NATO budget contributions, given the willingness to do so already demonstrated by some European leaders following repeated intimations by the US, currently the principal source of NATO funding, of its intention to cut back on its contributions to this organisation, which is of key importance to the security of Europe and the world;

Or. pt

Amendment 244
Andrejs Mamikins

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 33 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

33 a. Stresses that both the EU and NATO should maintain efficient

communication channels with Russia on questions of international security and war-prevention, as well as examine the possibility of renewal of practical cooperation;

Or. en

Amendment 245
Geoffrey Van Orden

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 33 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

33 a. Acknowledges the small scale of CSDP activities and recognises that the EU cannot replicate or replace the command and defence planning structures of NATO and its role as the cornerstone of European defence;

Or. en

Amendment 246
Urmas Paet

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 33 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

33 a. Stresses the importance of cooperation and integration in cyber security, not only between Member States, key partners and NATO, but also between different actors within society;

Or. en

Amendment 247
Jean-Luc Schaffhauser

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 34

Motion for a resolution

34. Stresses that partnerships and cooperation with countries and organisations that share the *EU's values contribute to a more effective CSDP; welcomes the contributions made by CSDP partners to ongoing EU missions and operations that contribute to enhancing regional security and stability;*

Amendment

34. Stresses that partnerships and cooperation with countries and organisations that share the *EU Member States' national interests enhance Member States' security;*

Or. fr

Amendment 248 Francisco Assis

Motion for a resolution Paragraph 34

Motion for a resolution

34. Stresses that partnerships and cooperation with countries and organisations that share the EU's values contribute to a more effective CSDP; welcomes the contributions made by CSDP partners to ongoing EU missions and operations that contribute to enhancing regional security and stability;

Amendment

34. Stresses that partnerships and cooperation with countries and organisations that share the EU's values, *above all those with which Europe has privileged historical and cultural ties, such as Latin America and Africa,* contribute to a more effective CSDP; welcomes the contributions made by CSDP partners to ongoing EU missions and operations that contribute to enhancing regional security and stability;

Or. pt

Amendment 249 Geoffrey Van Orden

Motion for a resolution Paragraph 34

Motion for a resolution

34. Stresses that partnerships and cooperation with countries and

Amendment

34. Stresses that partnerships and cooperation with countries and

organisations that share the EU's values contribute to a more effective CSDP; welcomes the contributions made by CSDP partners to ongoing EU missions and operations that contribute to enhancing regional security and stability;

organisations that share the EU's values contribute to a more effective CSDP; welcomes the contributions made by CSDP partners to ongoing EU missions and operations that contribute to enhancing regional security and stability *and calls for all EU defence initiatives to enable third country participation in order to maintain effectiveness*;

Or. en

Amendment 250
Fabio Massimo Castaldo

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 34

Motion for a resolution

34. Stresses that partnerships and cooperation with countries and organisations that share the EU's values contribute to a more effective CSDP; welcomes the contributions made by CSDP partners to ongoing EU missions and operations that contribute to enhancing regional security and stability;

Amendment

34. Stresses that partnerships and cooperation with countries and organisations that share the EU's values contribute to a more effective CSDP; welcomes the contributions made by CSDP partners to ongoing EU missions and operations that contribute to enhancing *peace*, regional security and stability;

Or. en

Amendment 251
Urmas Paet

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 34 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

34 a. Stresses that Russia's occupation in Ukraine is still ongoing, the Minsk agreements – without which there can be no solution to the conflict – have not been implemented and the illegal annexation and militarisation of Crimea continue; is deeply concerned that Russia's excessive exercises and military

Amendment

activities, hybrid tactics, including cyber-terrorism, fake news and disinformation campaigns, economic and energy blackmail are destabilising the Eastern Partnership countries and the Western Balkans, as well as are being targeted at Western democracies and increasing tensions within them; is concerned that the security environment surrounding the EU will remain highly volatile for years to come; reiterates the strategic importance of the Western Balkans for the security and stability of the EU and the need to focus and strengthen the EU's political engagement towards the region, including by strengthening the mandate of our Common Security and Defence Policy (CSDP) missions; is firmly convinced that in order to overcome the EU's vulnerability there is a need for more integration as well as coordination;

Or. en

Amendment 252
Jean-Luc Schaffhauser

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 35

Motion for a resolution

35. Considers it vital to *further enhance cooperation with institutional partners, including the UN, NATO, the African Union and the OSCE, as well as strategic bilateral partners, such as the US; recommends taking forward CSDP partnerships in the fields of strengthening partners' resilience and Security Sector Reform (SSR);*

Amendment

35. Considers it vital to *respect the Charter of the United Nations, and in particular its prohibition of wars of aggression and interference in the internal affairs of third countries;*

Or. fr

Amendment 253
Francisco Assis

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 35

Motion for a resolution

35. Considers it vital to further enhance cooperation with institutional partners, including the UN, NATO, the African Union *and* the OSCE, as well as strategic bilateral partners, such as the US; recommends taking forward CSDP partnerships in the fields of strengthening partners' resilience and Security Sector Reform (SSR);

Amendment

35. Considers it vital to further enhance cooperation with institutional partners, including the UN, NATO, the African Union, the OSCE, *the OAS and UNASUR*, as well as strategic bilateral partners, such as the US; recommends taking forward CSDP partnerships in the fields of strengthening partners' resilience and Security Sector Reform (SSR);

Or. pt

Amendment 254
Urmas Paet

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 35

Motion for a resolution

35. Considers it vital to further enhance cooperation with institutional partners, including the UN, NATO, the African Union and the OSCE, as well as strategic bilateral partners, *such as the US*; recommends taking forward CSDP partnerships in the fields of strengthening partners' resilience and Security Sector Reform (SSR);

Amendment

35. Considers it vital to further enhance cooperation with institutional partners, including the UN, NATO, the African Union and the OSCE, as well as strategic bilateral partners; recommends taking forward CSDP partnerships in the fields of strengthening partners' resilience and Security Sector Reform (SSR);

Or. en

Amendment 255
Andrejs Mamikins

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 35 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

35 a. Stresses the importance of the EU-UN partnership in the resolution of

international conflicts and peace-building activities; calls on both EU and the UN to strengthen the joint consultative mechanism EU-UN Steering Committee on Crisis Management to use the full potential of their partnership by pooling the political legitimacy and operational capabilities;

Or. en

Amendment 256
Ioan Mircea Paşcu

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 35 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

35 a. *Strongly believes that the EU should maintain the closest possible partnership in security and defence with the United Kingdom after Brexit;*

Or. en

Amendment 257
Fabio Massimo Castaldo

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 36

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

36. Underlines the importance of comprehensive parliamentary oversight of CDSP at national and European level as a constitutive element for any further progress in this policy area and in this context; encourages parliamentary actors to cooperate more closely on security and defence matters, possibly looking for new or improved forms of cooperation, in order to ensure seamless parliamentary oversight at all levels;

36. Underlines the importance of comprehensive parliamentary oversight of CDSP at national and European level as a constitutive element for any further progress in this policy area and in this context; encourages parliamentary actors to cooperate more closely on security and defence matters, possibly looking for new or improved forms of cooperation, in order to ensure seamless parliamentary oversight at all levels; ***recalls the importance of involving civil society and the citizenship in the future debates on European***

security;

Or. en

Amendment 258
Jean-Luc Schaffhauser

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 36

Motion for a resolution

36. Underlines the importance of comprehensive parliamentary oversight of CDSP at national **and European** level as a constitutive element for any further progress in this policy area and in this context; encourages parliamentary actors to cooperate more closely on security and defence matters, possibly looking for new or improved forms of cooperation, in order to ensure seamless parliamentary oversight at all levels;

Amendment

36. Underlines the importance of comprehensive parliamentary oversight of CDSP at national level as a constitutive element for any further progress in this policy area and in this context; encourages **national** parliamentary actors to cooperate more closely on security and defence matters, possibly looking for new or improved forms of cooperation, in order to ensure seamless parliamentary oversight at all levels;

Or. fr

Amendment 259
Ioan Mircea Pașcu

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 36

Motion for a resolution

36. Underlines the importance of **comprehensive** parliamentary oversight of **CDSP** at national and European level as a constitutive element for any further progress in this policy area and in this context; encourages parliamentary actors to cooperate more closely **on security and defence matters**, possibly looking for new or improved forms of cooperation, in order to ensure seamless parliamentary oversight at all levels;

Amendment

36. Underlines the **utmost** importance of parliamentary oversight of **security and defence matters** at national and European level as a constitutive element for any further progress in this policy area and in this context; encourages parliamentary actors to cooperate more closely, possibly looking for new or improved forms of cooperation, in order to ensure seamless parliamentary oversight at all levels;

Or. en

Amendment 260

Bodil Valero

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 37

Motion for a resolution

37. Notes, while welcoming the overall progress made in CSDP since the presentation of the Global Strategy, that the parliamentary structures at EU level which have been established at a time when the EU's level of ambition and level of activity regarding **security and** defence matters was rather limited, are no longer adequate to provide the necessary parliamentary oversight of a rapidly evolving policy area; therefore, reiterates its previous call to **upgrade the Subcommittee of Security and Defence to a full-fledged committee and to provide it** with the competences necessary in order to contribute to a comprehensive parliamentary oversight of CSDP; **the upgrade from subcommittee to committee should be the consequence of replacing the ad-hoc management of defence and security at Commission level with a more specialized model taking into account the increasing complexity of the effort to be managed;**

Amendment

37. Notes, while welcoming the overall progress made in CSDP since the presentation of the Global Strategy, that the parliamentary structures at EU level which have been established at a time when the EU's level of ambition and level of activity regarding defence matters was rather limited, are no longer adequate to provide the necessary parliamentary oversight of a rapidly evolving policy area, **in particular in the field of military capacity building of third countries;** therefore, reiterates its previous call to provide **the Parliament** with the competences necessary in order to contribute to a comprehensive parliamentary oversight of CSDP;

Or. en

Amendment 261

Clare Moody

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 37

Motion for a resolution

37. Notes, while welcoming the overall progress made in CSDP since the presentation of the Global Strategy, that the parliamentary structures at EU level which

Amendment

37. Notes, while welcoming the overall progress made in CSDP since the presentation of the Global Strategy, that the parliamentary structures at EU level which

have been established at a time when the EU's level of ambition and level of activity regarding security and defence matters was rather limited, are no longer adequate to provide the necessary parliamentary oversight of a rapidly evolving policy area; therefore, reiterates its previous call to upgrade the Subcommittee of Security and Defence to a full-fledged committee and to provide it with the competences necessary in order to contribute to a comprehensive parliamentary oversight of CSDP; the upgrade from subcommittee to committee should be the consequence of replacing the ad-hoc management of defence and security at Commission level with a more specialized model taking into account the increasing complexity of the effort to be managed;

have been established at a time when the EU's level of ambition and level of activity regarding security and defence matters was rather limited, are no longer adequate to provide the necessary parliamentary oversight of a rapidly evolving policy area; therefore, reiterates its previous call to upgrade the Subcommittee of Security and Defence to a full-fledged committee and to provide it with the competences necessary in order to contribute to a comprehensive parliamentary oversight of CSDP ***including PESCO, the EDA and any other CSDP actions as envisioned by the Treaties***; the upgrade from subcommittee to committee should be the consequence of replacing the ad-hoc management of defence and security at Commission level with a more specialized model taking into account the increasing complexity of the effort to be managed;

Or. en

Amendment 262
Alojz Peterle

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 37

Motion for a resolution

37. Notes, while welcoming the overall progress made in CSDP since the presentation of the Global Strategy, that the parliamentary structures at EU level which have been established at a time when the EU's level of ambition and level of activity regarding security and defence matters was rather limited, are no longer adequate to provide the necessary parliamentary oversight of a rapidly evolving policy area; therefore, reiterates its previous call to upgrade the Subcommittee of Security and Defence to a full-fledged committee and to provide it with the competences necessary in order to contribute to a comprehensive parliamentary oversight of CSDP; the upgrade from subcommittee to committee

AM\1165854EN.docx

Amendment

37. Notes, while welcoming the overall progress made in CSDP since the presentation of the Global Strategy, that the parliamentary structures at EU level which have been established at a time when the EU's level of ambition and level of activity regarding security and defence matters was rather limited, are no longer adequate to provide the necessary parliamentary oversight of a rapidly evolving policy area ***demanding the capacity for swift responses***; therefore, reiterates its previous call to upgrade the Subcommittee of Security and Defence to a full-fledged committee and to provide it with the competences necessary in order to contribute to a comprehensive

133/136

PE629.384v01-00

should be the consequence of replacing the ad-hoc management of defence and security at Commission level with a more specialized model taking into account the increasing complexity of the effort to be managed;

parliamentary oversight of CSDP; the upgrade from subcommittee to committee should be the consequence of replacing the ad-hoc management of defence and security at Commission level with a more specialized model taking into account the increasing complexity of the effort to be managed;

Or. en

Amendment 263
Jean-Luc Schaffhauser

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 37

Motion for a resolution

37. Notes, while welcoming the overall progress made in CSDP since the presentation of the Global Strategy, that the parliamentary structures at EU level which have been established at a time when the EU's level of ambition and level of activity regarding security and defence matters was ***rather limited, are no longer*** adequate to provide the necessary parliamentary oversight of a rapidly evolving policy area; therefore, reiterates its previous call to upgrade the Subcommittee of Security and Defence to a full-fledged committee and to provide it with the competences necessary in order to contribute to a comprehensive parliamentary oversight of CSDP; the upgrade from subcommittee to committee should be the consequence of replacing the ad-hoc management of defence and security at Commission level with a ***more specialized model taking into account the increasing complexity of the effort to be managed;***

Amendment

37. Notes, while welcoming the overall progress made in CSDP since the presentation of the Global Strategy, that the ***national*** parliamentary structures at EU level which have been established at a time when the EU's level of ambition and level of activity regarding security and defence matters was ***realistic, are still*** adequate to provide the necessary parliamentary oversight of a rapidly evolving policy area; therefore, reiterates its previous call to upgrade the Subcommittee of Security and Defence to a full-fledged committee ***of the parliamentary leaders of national parliaments*** and to provide it with the competences necessary in order to contribute to a comprehensive parliamentary oversight of CSDP; the upgrade from subcommittee to committee should be the consequence of replacing the ad-hoc management of defence and security at Commission level with a model ***of interstate cooperation that therefore involves the national parliaments;***

Or. fr

Geoffrey Van Orden

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 37

Motion for a resolution

37. Notes, while welcoming the overall progress made in CSDP since the presentation of the Global Strategy, that the parliamentary structures at EU level which have been established at a time when the EU's level of ambition and level of activity regarding security and defence matters was rather limited, are no longer adequate to provide the necessary parliamentary oversight of a rapidly evolving policy area; therefore, reiterates its previous call to upgrade the Subcommittee of Security and Defence to a full-fledged committee and to provide it with the competences necessary in order to contribute to a comprehensive parliamentary oversight of CSDP; the upgrade from subcommittee to committee should be the consequence of replacing the ad-hoc management of defence and security at Commission level with a more specialized model taking into account the increasing complexity of the effort to be managed;

Amendment

37. Notes, while welcoming the overall progress made in CSDP since the presentation of the Global Strategy, that the parliamentary structures at EU level which have been established at a time when the EU's level of ambition and level of activity regarding security and defence matters was rather limited, are no longer adequate to provide the necessary parliamentary oversight of a rapidly evolving policy area; therefore, reiterates its previous call to upgrade the Subcommittee of Security and Defence to a full-fledged committee and to provide it with the competences necessary in order to contribute to a comprehensive parliamentary oversight of CSDP; the upgrade from subcommittee to committee should be the consequence of replacing the ad-hoc management of defence and security at **Council and** Commission level with a more specialized model taking into account the increasing complexity of the effort to be managed;

Or. en

Amendment 265
Arne Lietz

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 37 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

37 a. Notes that arms exports are a tool of the Foreign and Security Policy and should be treated as such, including a deeper involvement of the European Parliament in the decision making process regarding arms exports in line with the Common Position 2008/944/CFSP on arms exports control.

Notes that especially where European funding is involved in the development and the production of weapons - as envisioned for the EDF - the European Parliament should be in control of exports of these products;

Or. en