



EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT

2014 - 2019

---

*Committee on Agriculture and Rural Development*

---

**2014/2228(INI)**

3.3.2015

# **AMENDMENTS**

## **1 - 227**

**Draft opinion**

**Paolo De Castro, James Nicholson**

(PE549.106v01-00)

Recommendations to the European Commission on the negotiations for the  
Transatlantic Trade & Investment Partnership (TTIP)  
(2014/2228(INI))

AM\_Com\_NonLegOpinion

**Amendment 1**  
**Molly Scott Cato**  
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Draft opinion**  
**Recital A**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

*A. whereas the EU agricultural sector is a very sensitive and essential part of the TTIP negotiations and one in which the EU, which already enjoys a significant trade surplus with the US, stands to benefit greatly from new or increased market access opportunities;*      *deleted*

Or. en

**Amendment 2**  
**Maria Noichl, Marc Tarabella, Jean-Paul Denanot, Karin Kadenbach**

**Draft opinion**  
**Recital A**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

*A. whereas the EU agricultural sector is a very sensitive and essential part of the TTIP negotiations **and one in which the EU, which already enjoys a significant trade surplus with the US, stands to benefit greatly from new or increased market access opportunities;***

*A. whereas the EU agricultural sector is a very sensitive and essential part of the TTIP negotiations;*

Or. de

**Amendment 3**  
**Matt Carthy**

**Draft opinion**  
**Recital A**

*Draft opinion*

A. whereas the EU agricultural sector is a very sensitive ***and essential*** part of the TTIP negotiations and one in which the EU, ***which already*** enjoys a significant trade surplus with the US, ***stands to benefit greatly from new or increased market access opportunities***;

*Amendment*

A. whereas ***there are strong trade links between the EU and the US and it is possible to build further trade links even in the absence of a TTIP Agreement; due recognition needs to be provided to the fact that*** the EU agricultural sector is a very sensitive part of the TTIP negotiations and one in which the EU, enjoys a significant trade surplus with the US; ***this could be put at risk if proper consideration is not provided to this vulnerable sector during negotiations***;

Or. en

**Amendment 4**

**Lidia Senra Rodríguez, Matt Carthy, Luke Ming Flanagan, Pablo Iglesias**

**Draft opinion**

**Recital A**

*Draft opinion*

A. whereas ***the EU agricultural sector is a very sensitive and essential part of the TTIP negotiations and one in which the EU, which already enjoys a significant trade surplus with the US, stands to benefit greatly from new or increased market access opportunities***;

*Amendment*

A. whereas ***there are strong trade links between the EU and the US and taking into account the consequences of the CAP in the employment and the rural areas, it is necessary to build new trade links even in the absence of a TTIP Agreement; however, the EU agricultural and food sector is a very sensitive part of the TTIP negotiations***;

Or. en

**Amendment 5**

**Giulia Moi**

**Draft opinion**

**Recital A**

*Draft opinion*

A. whereas the EU agricultural sector is a very sensitive and essential part of the TTIP negotiations and one in which the EU, which already enjoys a significant trade surplus with the US, ***stands to benefit greatly from new or increased market access opportunities***;

*Amendment*

A. whereas the EU agricultural sector is a very sensitive and essential part of the TTIP negotiations and one in which the EU, which already enjoys a significant trade surplus with the US, ***risks losing its prerogatives and specific features with regard to the protection of local products***;

Or. it

**Amendment 6**

**Marc Tarabella, Eric Andrieu, Jean-Paul Denanot, Maria Noichl, Paul Brannen**

**Draft opinion**

**Recital A**

*Draft opinion*

A. whereas the EU agricultural sector is a very sensitive and essential part of the TTIP negotiations and one in which the EU, which already enjoys a significant trade surplus with the US, stands to benefit greatly from new or increased market access opportunities;

*Amendment*

A. whereas the EU agricultural sector is a very sensitive and essential part of the TTIP negotiations and one in which the EU, which already enjoys a significant trade surplus with the US, stands to benefit greatly from new or increased market access opportunities ***but could just as well face financial and job losses as a result of stronger competition from US imports***;

Or. fr

**Amendment 7**

**Marco Zullo, Rosa D'Amato, Dario Tamburrano**

**Draft opinion**

**Recital A**

*Draft opinion*

A. whereas the EU agricultural sector is a very sensitive and essential part of the TTIP negotiations and one in which the

*Amendment*

A. whereas the EU agricultural sector is a very sensitive and essential part of the TTIP negotiations and one in which the

EU, which already enjoys a significant trade surplus with the US, *stands to benefit greatly from new or increased market access opportunities*;

EU, which already enjoys a significant trade surplus with the US, *risks seeing its own advantageous position jeopardised by the conclusion of this agreement*;

Or. it

## **Amendment 8**

**Marco Zullo, Rosa D'Amato, Dario Tamburrano**

### **Draft opinion**

#### **Recital A**

#### *Draft opinion*

A. whereas *the EU agricultural sector is a very sensitive and essential part of the TTIP negotiations and one in which the EU, which already enjoys a significant trade surplus with the US, stands to benefit greatly from new or increased market access opportunities*;

#### *Amendment*

A. whereas *studies commissioned by Parliament have shown that the conclusion of the TTIP agreement could cause serious risks and difficulties for important EU agricultural sectors, including the production of cereals, beef and veal and poultry meat, with regard to both market shares and safety standards relating to public health*;

Or. it

## **Amendment 9**

**Maria Noichl, Marc Tarabella, Jean-Paul Denanot, Karin Kadenbach**

### **Draft opinion**

#### **Recital A a (new)**

#### *Draft opinion*

*Aa. whereas the free trade agreement is likely to bring few if any benefits for the agricultural sector, and whereas overall agricultural output in the EU is likely to drop by 0.5%*;

#### *Amendment*

Or. de

**Amendment 10**  
**Molly Scott Cato**  
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Draft opinion**  
**Recital A a (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

*Aa. whereas the European Commission has given assurances that respect for food safety and human and animal health standards shall be an uncompromisable tenet of the negotiations as regards European agriculture;*

Or. en

**Amendment 11**  
**Jan Huitema, Olli Rehn, Ivan Jakovčić**

**Draft opinion**  
**Recital A a (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

*Aa. whereas the consequences of the Russian embargo have clearly demonstrated the continuous geopolitical relevance of agriculture, the importance of having access to a range of different agricultural markets and the need for strong and strategic trade partnerships with reliable trade partners;*

Or. en

**Amendment 12**  
**Esther Herranz García, Pilar Ayuso, Ramón Luis Valcárcel**

**Draft opinion**  
**Recital A a (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

***Aa. whereas trade negotiations with the US are a major opportunity to improve US access to certain EU export products, such as fruit and vegetables, wine, and certain products with high added value;***

Or. es

**Amendment 13**

**Czesław Adam Siekierski**

**Draft opinion**

**Recital A a (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

***Aa. whereas a major barrier to agri-food trade between the EU and the US, and one which makes trade in certain items impossible, is the lack of common standards in this area;***

Or. pl

**Amendment 14**

**Maria Noichl, Marc Tarabella, Jean-Paul Denanot, Karin Kadenbach**

**Draft opinion**

**Recital A b (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

***Ab. whereas the likely negative impact of TTIP poses a threat to rural jobs, and whereas average farm incomes will fall as a result of a loss of competitiveness; whereas this could lead to agricultural production being transferred to countries with less stringent standards;***

Or. de

**Amendment 15**  
**Molly Scott Cato**  
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group  
**Peter Eriksson**

**Draft opinion**  
**Recital A b (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

***Ab. whereas there are major differences in the regulatory systems of the US and the EU with regard to plant protection products:***

***-whereas 82 active substances are banned in the EU, but allowed in the US,***

***-whereas moreover, the EU deliberately adopted hazard-based cut-off criteria to phase out the use of active substances that are carcinogenic, or mutagenic, or toxic to reproduction, or persistent and toxic and bioaccumulative, or endocrine disrupters in Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009 ; whereas the US insists on a risk-based approach, based on numerous assumptions and extrapolations, thus tolerating the use of such substances of very high concern,***

***-whereas there is a general pattern of lower amounts of pesticide residues allowed on food in the EU as compared to the US;***

Or. en

**Amendment 16**  
**Jan Huitema, Ivan Jakovčić**

**Draft opinion**  
**Recital A b (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

***Ab. whereas the TTIP is an opportunity to ease reciprocal regulatory burdens that unnecessarily hamper trade, by providing more and transparent information such as which information should be on a label, clarify administrative and custom procedures and align and simplify regulatory regimes where feasible;***

Or. en

**Amendment 17**

**Molly Scott Cato**

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Draft opinion**

**Recital A c (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

***Ac. whereas the draft EU negotiation text of the EU on Sanitary and Phytosanitary Measures tabled for the round of 29 September - 3 October suggests obliging Parties to apply tolerances and maximum residue levels by the Codex Alimentarius Commission within 12 months after their adoption, unless the importing Party had signalled a reservation in the Codex Alimentarius Commission; whereas there is a significant degree of disagreement by EFSA with the Codex standards, signalled by EFSA's filing of reservations in 31-57% of all cases, alongside a general pattern of lower amounts of pesticide residues allowed on food in the EU as compared to the Codex Alimentarius Commission; whereas it is very questionable whether EFSA will be allowed politically to continue to express reservations once the TTIP has been adopted, given that the draft text intends***

*to commit the EU and the US to collaborate in the international standard setting bodies ‘with a view to reaching mutually satisfactory outcomes’, which could discourage EFSA from filing reservations to the Codex Alimentarius Commission in the future and thus lead to weaker standards in the EU;*

Or. en

**Amendment 18**  
**Molly Scott Cato**  
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Draft opinion**  
**Recital A d (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

*Ad. whereas the US TBT report of 2014 refers to the concerns of the US chemical and crop protection industry with regard to the hazard-based cut-off criteria to be developed for endocrine disrupters, and stated that the US raised concerns with DG Environment’s proposal bilaterally as well as in WTO TBT and SPS Committees; whereas the Commission decided to launch an impact assessment on the development of criteria for endocrine disrupters in July 2013; whereas this decision is the main reason for the Commission’s failure to adopt criteria by the 4-year deadline of December 2013; while the US welcomed the Commission’s decision, both Council and the European Parliament decided to support Sweden in its court action to challenge the Commission’s failure, illustrating fundamentally different views as to the nature of regulatory provisions in EU law;*

Or. en

**Amendment 19**  
**Molly Scott Cato**  
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Draft opinion**  
**Recital A e (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

*Ae. whereas the EU approach with regard to food safety is based on the precautionary principle, allowing for protective action in case of scientific uncertainty, and requires risk managers to take into account other legitimate factors when weighing policy alternatives; whereas the US approach requires the regulator to produce scientific evidence of harmful effects before regulatory action is taken and does not acknowledge other legitimate factors;*

Or. en

**Amendment 20**  
**Molly Scott Cato**  
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Draft opinion**  
**Recital A f (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

*Af. whereas the EU and US legislators have taken a very different approach as regards the regulation of genetically modified organisms (GMOs): while in the EU, GMOs need to pass a risk analysis process prior to authorisation, regulators in the US allow them on the market without a distinct regulatory regime;*

Or. en

**Amendment 21**  
**Molly Scott Cato**  
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group  
**Peter Eriksson**

**Draft opinion**  
**Recital A g (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

*Ag. whereas the EU and US legislators have taken a very different approach as regards pathogen reduction treatments for meat and poultry: while a number of different pathogen reduction treatments are allowed in the US, EU regulation requires all operators along the food chain to follow good farm to fork hygiene practices in order to ensure pathogenic microbes are not present in foods of animal origin, and does not allow decontamination treatments to substitute good hygiene practices;*

Or. en

**Amendment 22**  
**Molly Scott Cato**  
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Draft opinion**  
**Recital A h (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

*Ah. whereas the US federal law on animal welfare is well below the level of EU regulation, including the lack of legislation on welfare standards for farmed animals before the point of slaughter; whereas animal welfare is not considered by the Commission to be a trade concern in the same way as food safety or animal health for the purposes*

*of import requirements;*

Or. en

**Amendment 23**  
**Molly Scott Cato**  
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Draft opinion**  
**Recital A i (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

*Ai. whereas the EU is in the process of defining specific rules on food from clones animals and their offspring, while the US have decided not to require pre-market approval or labelling for such products;*

Or. en

**Amendment 24**  
**Molly Scott Cato**  
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Draft opinion**  
**Recital A j (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

*Aj. whereas the regulatory freeze is an indirect effect of TTIP which limits the ambitions of legislation and regulation of both parties in fields of food safety, public health, environmental standards, as has been observed with regards to EU legislation on endocrine disrupting chemicals;*

Or. en

**Amendment 25**

**Maria Noichl, Marc Tarabella, Jean-Paul Denanot, Karin Kadenbach**

**Draft opinion**

**Recital B**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

*B. whereas it is important for European agriculture to secure a mutually beneficial trade deal with the US in order to advance Europe's position as a key player on the global market;* **deleted**

Or. de

**Amendment 26**

**Marco Zullo, Rosa D'Amato, Dario Tamburrano**

**Draft opinion**

**Recital B**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

*B. whereas it is important for European agriculture to secure a mutually beneficial trade deal with the US in order to advance Europe's position as a key player on the global market;* **deleted**

Or. it

**Amendment 27**

**Matt Carthy**

**Draft opinion**

**Recital B**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

B. whereas it is important *for* European agriculture *to secure a mutually beneficial* trade deal with the US *in order to advance Europe's position as a key player on the*

B. whereas it is important *that* European agriculture *benefits* mutually *from any* trade deal with the US;

*global market;*

Or. en

**Amendment 28**

**Lidia Senra Rodríguez, Matt Carthy, Miguel Viegas, Luke Ming Flanagan, Anja Hazekamp, Pablo Iglesias, Stefan Eck**

**Draft opinion**

**Recital B**

*Draft opinion*

B. whereas *it is important for European agriculture to secure a mutually beneficial trade deal with the US in order to advance Europe's position as a key player on the global market;*

*Amendment*

B. whereas *the need to get out of the commercial and agricultural export policy is important for European agriculture;*

Or. en

**Amendment 29**

**Marc Tarabella, Eric Andrieu, Jean-Paul Denanot, Maria Noichl, Paul Brannen**

**Draft opinion**

**Recital B**

*Draft opinion*

B. whereas it is important for European agriculture to secure a mutually beneficial trade deal with the US *in order to advance* Europe's position as a key player on the global market;

*Amendment*

B. whereas it is important for European agriculture to secure a mutually beneficial trade deal with the US *if that deal advances* Europe's position as a key player on the global market *and does not in any way jeopardise the current standard of quality of European agricultural products and the future improvement of that standard;*

Or. fr

**Amendment 30**  
**Momchil Nekov**

**Draft opinion**  
**Recital B a (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

***Ba. whereas the degree of competitiveness of the agricultural sector differs across the Member States as a consequence of restructuring in connection with EU integration processes;***

Or. bg

**Amendment 31**  
**Jan Huitema, Olli Rehn, Ivan Jakovčić**

**Draft opinion**  
**Recital B a (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

***Ba. whereas TTIP is an opportunity to set high standards globally and supplement standards from both continents, especially at times when new economic actors are gaining scale, who do not share the EU or the US commitment to rule based trade, high levels of consumer protection, environmental standards and animal welfare;***

Or. en

**Amendment 32**  
**Michel Dantin, Angélique Delahaye**

**Draft opinion**  
**Recital B a (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

***Ba. whereas particular attention needs to be paid to a number of major differences in terms of competitiveness and agricultural practices between the EU and the United States, with a view to preserving the European agricultural model and ensuring its economic and social viability;***

Or. fr

**Amendment 33**

**Jan Huitema, Ivan Jakovčić**

**Draft opinion**

**Recital B b (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

***Bb. whereas the almost ratified CETA agreement has already shown the opportunities for trade in agricultural sensitive areas such as beef that adheres strictly to European SPS standards<sup>1 a</sup>;***

---

*1 a*

***<http://www.globalmeatnews.com/Industry-Markets/Canada-to-develop-hormone-free-beef-for-EU>***

Or. en

**Amendment 34**

**Norbert Erdős**

**Draft opinion**

**Recital C**

*Draft opinion*

C. whereas respect for food safety and human and animal health standards will be a fundamental tenet of the negotiations for European agriculture;

*Amendment*

C. whereas respect for food safety and human, **plant** and animal health, **environmental, consumer protection and animal welfare** standards will be a fundamental tenet of the negotiations for European agriculture;

Or. hu

**Amendment 35**  
**Herbert Dorfmann**

**Draft opinion**  
**Recital C**

*Draft opinion*

C. whereas respect for food safety and human and animal health standards will be a fundamental tenet of the negotiations for European agriculture;

*Amendment*

C. whereas respect for food safety and human and animal health standards will be a fundamental tenet of the negotiations for European agriculture **and will confirm and strengthen the EU standards within an open, fair, modern and global trade policy system**;

Or. en

**Amendment 36**  
**Janusz Wojciechowski, Beata Gosiewska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Stanisław Ożóg, Jadwiga Wiśniewska**

**Draft opinion**  
**Recital C**

*Draft opinion*

C. whereas respect for food safety and human and animal **health** standards will be a fundamental tenet of the negotiations for European agriculture;

*Amendment*

C. whereas respect for food safety, human **health** and animal **welfare** standards will be a fundamental tenet of the negotiations for European agriculture;

**Amendment 37**

**Maria Noichl, Marc Tarabella, Jean-Paul Denanot, Karin Kadenbach**

**Draft opinion**

**Recital C**

*Draft opinion*

C. whereas respect for food safety and human and animal health standards *will be* a fundamental tenet of the negotiations for European agriculture;

*Amendment*

C. whereas respect for *European* food safety and human and animal health standards *is* a fundamental tenet of the negotiations for European agriculture;

Or. de

**Amendment 38**

**Lidia Senra Rodríguez, Matt Carthy, Miguel Viegas, Luke Ming Flanagan, Anja Hazekamp, Pablo Iglesias, Stefan Eck**

**Draft opinion**

**Recital C**

*Draft opinion*

C. whereas respect for food safety and human and animal health standards will be a fundamental tenet of the *negotiations* for European agriculture;

*Amendment*

C. whereas respect for food safety and human and animal health standards will be a fundamental tenet of the *trade relations* for European agriculture;

Or. en

**Amendment 39**

**Molly Scott Cato**

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Peter Eriksson**

**Draft opinion**

**Recital C**

*Draft opinion*

C. whereas **respect for** food safety and human and animal health standards **will be a fundamental tenet** of the negotiations **for** European agriculture;

*Amendment*

C. whereas **the European Commission has committed itself to holding** food safety and human and animal health standards **as fundamental tenets** of the negotiations **as regards** European agriculture;

Or. en

**Amendment 40**  
**Giulia Moi**

**Draft opinion**  
**Recital C**

*Draft opinion*

C. whereas respect for food safety and human and animal health standards **will** be a fundamental tenet of the negotiations for European agriculture;

*Amendment*

C. whereas respect for food safety and human and animal health standards **must** be a fundamental tenet of the negotiations for European agriculture;

Or. it

**Amendment 41**  
**Marc Tarabella, Eric Andrieu, Jean-Paul Denanot, Maria Noichl, Paul Brannen**

**Draft opinion**  
**Recital C**

*Draft opinion*

C. whereas respect for food safety **and** human and animal health standards will be a **fundamental tenet** of the negotiations for European agriculture;

*Amendment*

C. whereas respect for **European** food safety, human and animal health **and consumer protection** standards will be a **precondition for the success** of the negotiations for European agriculture;

Or. fr

**Amendment 42**  
**Marco Zullo, Rosa D'Amato, Dario Tamburrano**

**Draft opinion**  
**Recital C**

*Draft opinion*

C. whereas respect for food safety and human and animal health standards *will be* a fundamental tenet of *the negotiations for* European agriculture;

*Amendment*

C. whereas respect for food safety and human and animal health standards *is* a fundamental tenet of European agriculture;

Or. it

**Amendment 43**  
**Angélique Delahaye, Michel Dantin**

**Draft opinion**  
**Recital C**

*Draft opinion*

C. whereas respect for food safety and human *and* animal health standards will be a fundamental tenet of the negotiations for European agriculture;

*Amendment*

C. whereas respect for food safety and human, animal *and plant* health standards will be a fundamental tenet of the negotiations for European agriculture;

Or. fr

**Amendment 44**  
**Michel Dantin, Angélique Delahaye**

**Draft opinion**  
**Recital C**

*Draft opinion*

C. whereas respect for food safety and human and animal health standards *will be* a fundamental tenet of the negotiations *for European agriculture*;

*Amendment*

C. whereas *for European agriculture* respect for food safety and human and animal health standards *is* a fundamental tenet of *and a red line for* the negotiations;

Or. fr

**Amendment 45**  
**Clara Eugenia Aguilera García**

**Draft opinion**  
**Recital C a (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

*Ca. whereas the main obstacles to EU-US trade in agricultural and food products lie in the behind-the-border issues of internal regulation and non-tariff barriers;*

Or. es

**Amendment 46**  
**Maria Noichl, Marc Tarabella, Susanne Melior, Paul Brannen, Jean-Paul Denanot, Karin Kadenbach**

**Draft opinion**  
**Recital C a (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

*Ca. whereas the free trade agreement could make it more difficult to introduce and enhance consumer protection standards in the future; having regard to the importance of introducing and the potential problems in enforcing an EU-wide labelling requirement for foods produced from animals reared on GMO feed;*

Or. de

**Amendment 47**  
**Ulrike Müller**

**Draft opinion**  
**Recital C a (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

*Ca. whereas all the scenarios outlined in the study entitled 'Risks and opportunities for the EU agri-food sector in a possible EU-US trade agreement' (PE 514.007)<sup>1a</sup> forecast a decline in output for the EU agricultural sector and draw attention to the risk of a levelling down in standards as a result of regulatory convergence;*

---

*1a*

*[http://www.europarl.europa.eu/RegData/etudes/STUD/2014/514007/AGRI\\_IPOL\\_STU\(2014\)514007\\_EN.pdf](http://www.europarl.europa.eu/RegData/etudes/STUD/2014/514007/AGRI_IPOL_STU(2014)514007_EN.pdf)*

Or. de

**Amendment 48**

**Lidia Senra Rodríguez, Matt Carthy, Miguel Viegas, Luke Ming Flanagan, Anja Hazekamp, Pablo Iglesias, Stefan Eck**

**Draft opinion**

**Recital C a (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

*Ca. whereas practices such as the treatment of poultry meat with chlorinated products, the treatment of pork meat with organic acid and the use of Somatotropin in bovine are commonly used in the meat and dairy sectors in the US;*

Or. en

**Amendment 49**

**Daniel Buda**

**Draft opinion**

**Recital C a (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

***Ca. whereas TTIP negotiations should give priority to consumer interests;***

Or. ro

**Amendment 50**

**Molly Scott Cato**

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Draft opinion**

**Recital C a (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

***Ca. whereas civil society and public opinion in both the EU and the US have been critical of and are concerned by the prospect of eroding regulatory standards in guise of harmonisation;***

Or. en

**Amendment 51**

**Marc Tarabella, Eric Andrieu, Jean-Paul Denanot, Maria Noichl, Paul Brannen**

**Draft opinion**

**Recital C a (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

***Ca. whereas the harmonisation of EU and US rules must not under any circumstances jeopardise consumer health or lower the quality standards that must be met by US products placed on the European market;***

Or. fr

**Amendment 52**  
**Nicola Caputo**

**Draft opinion**  
**Recital C a (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

***Ca. whereas geographical indications are independent intellectual property rights and not a species of brand;***

Or. it

**Amendment 53**  
**Maria Noichl, Marc Tarabella, Paul Brannen, Jean-Paul Denanot, Karin Kadenbach**

**Draft opinion**  
**Recital C b (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

***Cb. whereas industrial animal husbandry is synonymous with widespread damage to the environment, poor working conditions and massive structural change, and whereas increased competition from the United States and more intensive transatlantic trade will speed up that process of change;***

Or. de

**Amendment 54**  
**Lidia Senra Rodríguez, Matt Carthy, Miguel Viegas, Luke Ming Flanagan, Anja Hazekamp, Pablo Iglesias, Stefan Eck**

**Draft opinion**  
**Recital C b (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

***Cb. whereas the use of antibiotics in the EU is more restrictive than in the US and***

*the US has not banned other pharmaceutical products as growth promoters in animals including the use of ractopanima, banned in 160 countries;*

Or. en

**Amendment 55**  
**Molly Scott Cato**  
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Draft opinion**  
**Recital C b (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

*Cb. whereas the claims regarding the jobs and growth that TTIP could bring do not take into account the expected environmental and social impact and associated costs; whereas similar previous claims regarding trade agreements have been subsequently revealed as overestimations;*

Or. en

**Amendment 56**  
**Nicola Caputo**

**Draft opinion**  
**Recital C b (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

*Cb. whereas, thanks to advances in research, the development of ex-ante assessments of the risks related to the harmfulness of food can be supported by using advanced computational methods based on the analysis of large volumes of data and backed up by high-performance computing structures that are able to improve the application of the*

*precautionary principle;*

Or. it

**Amendment 57**

**Lidia Senra Rodríguez, Matt Carthy, Miguel Viegas, Luke Ming Flanagan, Anja Hazekamp, Pablo Iglesias, Stefan Eck**

**Draft opinion**

**Recital C c (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

*Cc. whereas there is a majority both in the European Parliament and amongst EU citizens which demands a prohibition of products from cloned animals and their descendants;*

Or. en

**Amendment 58**

**Molly Scott Cato**

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Draft opinion**

**Recital C c (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

*Cc. whereas the drive for competitiveness has been shown to have negative impacts upon the health, safety and labour rights of food sector workers, particularly in the processing industry;*

Or. en

**Amendment 59**

**Nicola Caputo**

**Draft opinion**  
**Recital C c (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

***Cc. whereas this could make the safety requirements of consumers compatible with the requirements of companies concerned about the time it takes to place new products on the market;***

Or. it

**Amendment 60**  
**Maria Noichl, Marc Tarabella, Jean-Paul Denanot, Karin Kadenbach**

**Draft opinion**  
**Recital C d (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

***Cd. whereas the lack of a positive list means that it will not be possible to restrict the scope of the agreement in the future and that decisions in the areas consumer protection, animal welfare and environmental standards could be taken undemocratically by so-called sectoral coordination bodies or on the basis of regulatory cooperation;***

Or. de

**Amendment 61**  
**Lidia Senra Rodríguez, Matt Carthy, Miguel Viegas, Luke Ming Flanagan, Anja Hazekamp, Pablo Iglesias, Stefan Eck**

**Draft opinion**  
**Recital C d (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

***Cd. whereas the EU animal welfare standards have no equivalence in the US;***

**Amendment 62**

**Maria Noichl, Marc Tarabella, Paul Brannen, Susanne Melior, Jean-Paul Denanot, Karin Kadenbach**

**Draft opinion**

**Recital C e (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

*Ce. whereas steps must be taken to ensure that animal welfare standards can be upheld and enhanced in keeping with public attitudes towards health and ethical issues;*

Or. de

**Amendment 63**

**Lidia Senra Rodríguez, Matt Carthy, Miguel Viegas, Luke Ming Flanagan, Pablo Iglesias**

**Draft opinion**

**Recital C e (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

*Ce. having regard to the use and approval of new GMOs in the US and its use for animal and human consumption;*

Or. en

**Amendment 64**

**Maria Noichl, Marc Tarabella, Paul Brannen, Jean-Paul Denanot, Karin Kadenbach**

**Draft opinion**

**Recital C f (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

*Cf. whereas by doing away with tariff and non-tariff barriers to trade a future free trade agreement will put farmers in developing countries under pressure by depriving them of market shares;*

Or. de

**Amendment 65**

**Lidia Senra Rodríguez, Matt Carthy, Miguel Viegas, Luke Ming Flanagan, Pablo Iglesias**

**Draft opinion**

**Recital C f (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

*Cf. whereas there are many sensitivities and problems with products in the US's market defaulting protected geographical indications including port wine;*

Or. en

**Amendment 66**

**Maria Noichl, Marc Tarabella, Paul Brannen, Susanne Melior, Jean-Paul Denanot, Karin Kadenbach**

**Draft opinion**

**Recital C g (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

*Cg. whereas the mutual recognition of sanitary and phytosanitary rules must not give rise to threats to the environment, people and animals, for example as a result of the abolition of checks on imported food and feed;*

Or. de

**Amendment 67**

**Lidia Senra Rodríguez, Matt Carthy, Luke Ming Flanagan, Pablo Iglesias, Miguel Viegas**

**Draft opinion**

**Recital C g (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

*Cg. considering that each year in the United States of America, an estimated 9 million people get sick, 55,000 are hospitalized, and 1,000 die of foodborne disease caused by known pathogens, such as Salmonella, Escherichia coli O157 (E. coli O157), Listeria monocytogenes (Lm), and Campylobacter as outlines in the report ‘Foodborne Illness Source Attribution Estimates for Salmonella, Escherichia coli O157 (E. coli O157), Listeria monocytogenes (Lm), and Campylobacter using Outbreak Surveillance Data’ by the Interagency Food Safety Analytics Collaboration (IFSAC);*

Or. en

**Amendment 68**

**Lidia Senra Rodríguez, Matt Carthy, Miguel Viegas, Luke Ming Flanagan, Pablo Iglesias, Stefan Eck, Anja Hazekamp**

**Draft opinion**

**Recital C h (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

*Ch. whereas existing agreements between the US and the EU, particularly those relating to the recognition by the United States of winemaking practices, recognition of geographical indications for this sector, agreements related to sanitary measures for the protection of*

*public and animal health have not solved today divergent conception of risk analysis;*

Or. en

**Amendment 69**

**Maria Noichl, Marc Tarabella, Jean-Paul Denanot, Karin Kadenbach**

**Draft opinion**

**Recital C i (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

*Ci. whereas giving undertakings the right to bring actions against states will make it more difficult for the democratically elected representatives of the people to put democratic decisions into practice;*

Or. de

**Amendment 70**

**Lidia Senra Rodríguez, Matt Carthy, Miguel Viegas, Luke Ming Flanagan, Anja Hazekamp, Stefan Eck, Pablo Iglesias**

**Draft opinion**

**Recital C i (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

*Ci. whereas the import of products which fail to meet EU standards on animal welfare, food safety, human and animal health, put farmers, consumers and animals in the EU at a disadvantage;*

Or. en

**Amendment 71**

**Lidia Senra Rodríguez, Matt Carthy, Miguel Viegas, Luke Ming Flanagan, Pablo Iglesias**

**Draft opinion**  
**Recital C j (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

*Cj. whereas the survival of small and medium-sized farms cannot be abandoned to the volatility of prices in the international markets;*

Or. en

**Amendment 72**  
**Lidia Senra Rodríguez, Matt Carthy, Miguel Viegas, Luke Ming Flanagan, Pablo Iglesias**

**Draft opinion**  
**Recital C k (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

*Ck. whereas the approval of TTIP would lead us to a situation of weakening of the European legislation to permit the use of carcinogens and other substances of very high concern as pesticides, posing a health hazard to workers, consumers and communities, as well as weaken, slow or stop efforts to regulate endocrine (hormone) disrupting chemicals;*

Or. en

**Amendment 73**  
**Lidia Senra Rodríguez, Matt Carthy, Miguel Viegas, Luke Ming Flanagan, Anja Hazekamp, Pablo Iglesias, Stefan Eck**

**Draft opinion**  
**Recital C l (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

*Cl. whereas the approval of TTIP would*

*obstruct efforts to save bee populations,  
risking irrevocable damage to the quality  
and quantity of our food supply;*

Or. en

**Amendment 74**

**Lidia Senra Rodríguez, Matt Carthy, Miguel Viegas, Luke Ming Flanagan, Anja Hazekamp, Pablo Iglesias, Stefan Eck**

**Draft opinion**

**Recital C m (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

*Cm. whereas the approval of TTIP would  
install a ‘regulatory ceiling’ hampering  
global pesticide regulation.*

Or. en

**Amendment 75**

**Eric Andrieu, Jean-Paul Denanot**

**Draft opinion**

**Paragraph 1 – point -a (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

*-a. ensure that any deal reached is global  
and balanced and covers all of the sectors  
coming under the TTIP. Agriculture must  
not be used as a bargaining chip in efforts  
to secure access to the US market for  
other sectors. Agriculture is a highly  
strategic political issue on which food  
security and the way of life of all  
Europeans depend.*

Or. fr

**Amendment 76**  
**Molly Scott Cato**  
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group  
**Maria Heubuch, Martin Häusling, José Bové**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 1 – point -a (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

***-a. end negotiations on the establishment of a free-trade area between the EU and the United States;***

Or. fr

**Amendment 77**  
**Eric Andrieu, Jean-Paul Denanot**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 1 – point -b (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

***-b. keep to the strategy set out in the negotiating mandate it was given, which is based on parallel progress on tariff and non-tariff issues. The agricultural tariff offers made by the two parties to date place the EU at a considerable disadvantage, in a defensive position. This imbalance is extremely damaging for European agriculture, given that at the same time US agricultural policy is gearing up to take a significant share of profitable export markets. No time must be lost in making up for this error by focusing the negotiations on other agriculture-related issues, in particular non-tariff aspects and geographical indications, on which there has been no progress and the US has shown no inclination to make any progress;***

Or. fr

**Amendment 78**  
**Molly Scott Cato**  
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 1 – point a**

*Draft opinion*

*a. prioritise an ambitious and balanced result of the negotiations for agriculture, the three main components of which (market access, geographical indications and sanitary and phytosanitary measures) should be tackled early and in parallel in the negotiation process, in order to give Parliament enough time to discuss and evaluate this chapter with stakeholders and European citizens;*

*Amendment*

*deleted*

Or. en

**Amendment 79**  
**Matt Carthy**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 1 – point a**

*Draft opinion*

a. prioritise *an ambitious and balanced* result of the negotiations for agriculture, the three main components of which (market access, geographical indications and sanitary and phytosanitary measures) should be tackled *early and in parallel in the negotiation process*, in order to give Parliament enough time to discuss and evaluate this chapter with stakeholders and European citizens;

*Amendment*

a. prioritise *a balanced and sustainable* result of the negotiations for agriculture, the three main components of which (market access, geographical indications and sanitary and phytosanitary measures) should be tackled *before the next round of negotiations. This Committee gives priority to the Agreements content over time frame. Given the extensive feedback received from European Citizens over other elements of negotiations thus far it is vital that a reflection period occur* in order to give Parliament enough time to discuss and evaluate this chapter with

stakeholders and European citizens;

Or. en

### **Amendment 80**

**Maria Noichl, Marc Tarabella, Jean-Paul Denanot, Karin Kadenbach**

#### **Draft opinion**

##### **Paragraph 1 – point a**

###### *Draft opinion*

a. prioritise an ambitious and balanced result of the negotiations for agriculture, ***the three main*** components of which (market access, geographical indications and sanitary and phytosanitary measures) should be tackled early and in parallel in the negotiation process, in order to give Parliament enough time to discuss and evaluate this chapter with stakeholders and European citizens;

###### *Amendment*

a. prioritise an ambitious and balanced result of the negotiations for agriculture, ***key*** components of which, ***such as*** market access, geographical indications and sanitary and phytosanitary measures, should be tackled early and in parallel in the negotiation process, in order to give Parliament enough time to discuss and evaluate this chapter with stakeholders and European citizens;

Or. de

### **Amendment 81**

**Lidia Senra Rodríguez, Matt Carthy, Luke Ming Flanagan, Anja Hazekamp, Pablo Iglesias, Miguel Viegas, Stefan Eck**

#### **Draft opinion**

##### **Paragraph 1 – point a**

###### *Draft opinion*

a. prioritise ***an ambitious and balanced result of the negotiations for agriculture, the three main components of which (market access, geographical indications and sanitary and phytosanitary measures) should be tackled early and in parallel in the negotiation process, in order to give Parliament enough time to discuss and evaluate this chapter with stakeholders and European citizens;***

###### *Amendment*

a. prioritise ***a reorientation of trade and agricultural policy by removing the agriculture and food out of the negotiations;***

**Amendment 82**  
**Ulrike Müller**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 1 – point a**

*Draft opinion*

a. prioritise an ambitious and balanced result of the negotiations for agriculture, ***the three main components of which (market access, geographical indications and sanitary and phytosanitary measures) should be tackled*** early and in parallel in the negotiation process, in order to give Parliament enough time to discuss and evaluate this chapter with stakeholders and European citizens;

*Amendment*

a. prioritise an ambitious and balanced result of the negotiations for agriculture ***and ensure that this brings tangible benefits for small family farms and does not jeopardise their viability; tackle all agriculture-related aspects*** early and in parallel in the negotiation process, in order to give Parliament enough time to discuss and evaluate this chapter with stakeholders and European citizens;

Or. de

**Amendment 83**  
**Molly Scott Cato**  
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group  
**Martin Häusling**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 1 – point a**

*Draft opinion*

a. ***prioritise an ambitious and balanced*** result of the negotiations for agriculture, ***the three main components of which (market access, geographical indications and sanitary and phytosanitary measures) should be tackled early and in parallel*** in the negotiation process, in order to give Parliament enough time to discuss and evaluate ***this chapter*** with stakeholders and European citizens;

*Amendment*

a. ***ensure that the EU market access offers in the different areas of industrial goods, agricultural products, services and public procurement are treated each in its own merits and not as a cross-bargained package; prioritise a*** result of the negotiations for agriculture ***that takes into full account the sensitivity of this sector, as well as respecting the*** sanitary and phytosanitary ***EU standards and the full protection of EU geographical***

*indications; ensure that chapters affecting agriculture are tackled early* in the negotiation process, in order to give Parliament enough time to discuss and evaluate *these chapters* with stakeholders and European citizens,

Or. en

## **Amendment 84**

**Giulia Moi**

### **Draft opinion**

#### **Paragraph 1 – point a**

##### *Draft opinion*

a. prioritise an ambitious and balanced result of the negotiations for agriculture, the *three* main components of which (market access, geographical indications *and* sanitary and phytosanitary measures) *should* be tackled early and in parallel in the negotiation process, *in order to give* Parliament enough time to discuss and evaluate *this chapter with stakeholders and European citizens*;

##### *Amendment*

a. prioritise an ambitious and balanced result of the negotiations for agriculture, the main components of which (market access, geographical indications, sanitary and phytosanitary measures *and protection of animal welfare*) *must* be tackled early and in parallel in the negotiation process, *presenting a transparent offer concerning the agricultural products negotiated, thus giving* Parliament enough time to discuss and evaluate *what the priorities of EU farmers are in relation to the requirements of the EU internal market and consumer health*;

Or. it

## **Amendment 85**

**Marc Tarabella, Eric Andrieu, Jean-Paul Denanot, Maria Noichl, Paul Brannen**

### **Draft opinion**

#### **Paragraph 1 – point a**

##### *Draft opinion*

a. prioritise an ambitious and balanced result of the negotiations for agriculture,

##### *Amendment*

a. prioritise an ambitious and balanced result of the negotiations for agriculture,

the three main components of which (market access, geographical indications and sanitary and phytosanitary measures) should be tackled early and in parallel in the negotiation process, in order to give Parliament enough time to discuss and evaluate this chapter with stakeholders and European citizens;

the *five* main components of which (*no lowering of food safety standards, consumer protection*, market access, geographical indications and sanitary and phytosanitary measures) should be tackled early and in parallel in the negotiation process, in order to give Parliament enough time to discuss and evaluate this chapter with stakeholders, *focusing in particular on farmers and small family holdings, and with* European citizens, *civil society and the social partners*;

Or. fr

**Amendment 86**  
**Norbert Erdős**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 1 – point a**

*Draft opinion*

a. prioritise an ambitious and balanced result of the negotiations for agriculture, the three main components of which (market access, geographical indications and sanitary *and phytosanitary* measures) should be tackled early and in parallel in the negotiation process, in order to give Parliament enough time to discuss and evaluate this chapter with stakeholders and European citizens;

*Amendment*

a. prioritise an ambitious and balanced result of the negotiations for agriculture, the three main components of which (market access, geographical indications and sanitary, *food safety and consumer protection* measures) should be tackled early and in parallel in the negotiation process, in order to give Parliament enough time to discuss and evaluate this chapter with stakeholders and European citizens;

Or. hu

**Amendment 87**  
**Edouard Ferrand, Philippe Loiseau**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 1 – point a**

*Draft opinion*

a. *prioritise an ambitious and balanced result of the negotiations for agriculture, the three main components of which (market access, geographical indications and sanitary and phytosanitary measures) should be tackled early and in parallel in the negotiation process, in order to give Parliament enough time to discuss and evaluate this chapter with stakeholders and European citizens;*

*Amendment*

a. *ensure that agricultural products, in particular meat, are taken off the table at the TTIP negotiations without delay;*

Or. fr

**Amendment 88**

**Marco Zullo, Rosa D'Amato, Dario Tamburrano**

**Draft opinion**

**Paragraph 1 – point a**

*Draft opinion*

a. *prioritise an ambitious and balanced result of the negotiations for agriculture, the three main components of which (market access, geographical indications and sanitary and phytosanitary measures) should be tackled early and in parallel in the negotiation process, in order to give Parliament enough time to discuss and evaluate this chapter with stakeholders and European citizens;*

*Amendment*

a. *abandon the negotiations to conclude the TTIP agreement with the United States;*

Or. it

**Amendment 89**

**Marco Zullo, Rosa D'Amato, Dario Tamburrano**

**Draft opinion**

**Paragraph 1 – point a**

*Draft opinion*

a. prioritise ***an ambitious and balanced result of the negotiations for*** agriculture, the three main components of which (market access, geographical indications and sanitary and phytosanitary measures) ***should*** be tackled early and in parallel in the negotiation process, in order to give Parliament enough time to discuss and evaluate this chapter with stakeholders and European citizens;

*Amendment*

a. prioritise ***a*** result ***which protects EU*** agriculture, the three main components of which (market access, geographical indications and sanitary and phytosanitary measures) ***must*** be tackled early and in parallel in the negotiation process, in order to give Parliament enough time to discuss and evaluate this chapter with stakeholders and European citizens;

Or. it

**Amendment 90**

**Eric Andrieu, Jean-Paul Denanot**

**Draft opinion**

**Paragraph 1 – point a a (new)**

*Draft opinion*

***aa. prioritise an ambitious and balanced deal in the agricultural negotiations, the three main components of which (market access, geographical indications and phytosanitary and regulatory measures) need to be tackled at a clearly specified early stage, on the basis of a detailed map of all relevant US barriers and in parallel with the negotiating process, in order to provide sufficient time and clarity for Parliament properly and openly to discuss and evaluate this chapter with stakeholders and European citizens;***

Or. fr

**Amendment 91**

**Molly Scott Cato**

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 1 – point a a (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

*aa. stop negotiations on the TTIP agreement, as it constitutes trade for trade's sake, in particular in the agricultural sector, where it diverts efforts from local food production and shorter supply chains towards unnecessary and duplicatory trading;*

Or. en

**Amendment 92**  
**Marc Tarabella, Eric Andrieu, Jean-Paul Denanot, Maria Noichl, Paul Brannen**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 1 – point a a (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

*aa. take proper account of the outcome of the public consultation procedure on investor-state dispute settlement (ISDS) mechanisms and reject the inclusion of such mechanisms in the TTIP;*

Or. fr

**Amendment 93**  
**Molly Scott Cato**  
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 1 – point a b (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

*ab. stop negotiations on the TTIP agreement, as it constitutes an attack on the right to regulate in the public interest and lays the ground for regulatory chill;*

**Amendment 94**  
**Molly Scott Cato**  
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 1 – point a c (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

*ac. ensure that TTIP's provisions do not apply to or affect the agricultural sector and its regulation;*

Or. en

**Amendment 95**  
**Molly Scott Cato**  
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 1 – point a d (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

*ad. recognise that regulatory harmonisation is only appropriate where regulations are of equivalent strength; undertake negotiations only in clearly specified sectoral areas where the US and the EU have similar levels of protection;*

Or. en

**Amendment 96**  
**Molly Scott Cato**  
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 1 – point a e (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

*ae. Ensure that international regulatory cooperation and international instruments shall not restrain the setting of higher standards by either party; undertake the harmonisation of minimum acceptable standards only, and this to the higher of the two parties' respective standards*

Or. en

**Amendment 97**

**Molly Scott Cato**

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Maria Heubuch**

**Draft opinion**

**Paragraph 1 – point a f (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

*af. specify the sectors and subsectors to be excluded from the scope of regulatory cooperation within TTIP, including sensitive areas in which EU and US legislation differs significantly, including but not restricted to legislation on genetically modified organisms, clones and descendants of clones, chlorine bleach on chicken carcasses, growth hormones in meat and milk production, antibiotics in livestock rearing, agro-chemicals and plant protection products;*

Or. en

**Amendment 98**

**Molly Scott Cato**

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Draft opinion**

**Paragraph 1 – point a g (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

***ag. ensure an agreement that does not undermine EU regulation or subject future regulation to assessment vis-a-vis its potential impact on trade;***

Or. en

**Amendment 99**

**Molly Scott Cato**

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Maria Heubuch**

**Draft opinion**

**Paragraph 1 – point a h (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

***ah. ensure that in the regulatory cooperation chapter, any negotiations on standards relating to sensitive issues such as food safety, environmental protection, consumer protection, climate, animal health and welfare, are based on a positive list, explicitly specifying which standards are of equivalent strength and thus appropriate for harmonisation;***

Or. en

**Amendment 100**

**Matt Carthy**

**Draft opinion**

**Paragraph 1 – point b**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

b. firmly commit to the strict preservation of standards on food safety and human and animal health, as defined under EU legislation, and ensure that fundamental

b. firmly commit to the strict preservation of standards on food safety and human and animal health, as defined under EU legislation, and ensure that fundamental

values of the EU such as the precautionary principle are not undermined;

values of the EU such as the precautionary principle are not undermined; ***Further, this committee calls on the Commission to outline specific measures to uphold the precautionary principle in negotiations;***

Or. en

**Amendment 101**  
**Annie Schreijer-Pierik**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 1 – point b**

*Draft opinion*

b. firmly commit to the strict preservation of standards on food safety and human and animal health, as defined under EU legislation, and ensure that fundamental values of the EU such as the precautionary principle are ***not undermined***;

*Amendment*

b. firmly commit to the strict preservation of standards on food safety and human and animal health, as defined under EU legislation, and ensure that fundamental values of the EU such as the precautionary principle are ***guaranteed***;

Or. nl

**Amendment 102**  
**Norbert Erdős**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 1 – point b**

*Draft opinion*

b. firmly commit to the strict preservation of standards on food safety and human and animal health, as defined under EU legislation, and ensure that fundamental values of the EU such as the precautionary principle are not undermined;

*Amendment*

b. firmly commit to the strict preservation of standards on food safety and human, ***plant and animal health, the environment, consumer protection and animal welfare*** as defined under EU legislation, and ensure that fundamental values of the EU such as the precautionary principle are not undermined;

Or. hu

### Amendment 103

Janusz Wojciechowski, Beata Gosiewska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Stanisław Ożóg, Jadwiga Wiśniewska

#### Draft opinion

##### Paragraph 1 – point b

###### *Draft opinion*

b. firmly commit to the strict preservation of standards on food safety **and** human and animal **health**, as defined under EU legislation, and ensure that fundamental values of the EU such as the precautionary principle are not undermined;

###### *Amendment*

b. firmly commit to the strict preservation of standards on food safety, human **health**, animal **welfare and environmental protection**, as defined under EU legislation, and ensure that fundamental values of the EU such as the precautionary principle are not undermined;

Or. pl

### Amendment 104

Maria Noichl, Marc Tarabella, Susanne Melior, Jean-Paul Denanot, Karin Kadenbach

#### Draft opinion

##### Paragraph 1 – point b

###### *Draft opinion*

b. firmly commit to the strict preservation of standards on food safety and human and animal health, as defined under EU legislation, and ensure that fundamental values of the EU such as the precautionary principle are not undermined;

###### *Amendment*

b. firmly commit to the strict preservation of standards on food safety and human and animal health, as defined under EU legislation, and ensure that **the enhancement of these standards is in no way hampered in the future and that** fundamental values of the EU such as the precautionary principle are not undermined;

Or. de

### Amendment 105

Lidia Senra Rodríguez, Matt Carthy, Luke Ming Flanagan, Anja Hazekamp, Pablo Iglesias, Miguel Viegas, Stefan Eck

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 1 – point b**

*Draft opinion*

b. firmly commit to the strict preservation of standards on food safety **and human and animal health**, as defined under EU legislation, and ensure that fundamental values of the EU such as the precautionary principle are not undermined;

*Amendment*

b. firmly commit to the strict preservation of standards on food safety, **human and animal health** and animal **welfare**, as defined under EU legislation, and ensure that fundamental values of the EU such as the precautionary principle, **the recognition of animals as sentient beings as enshrined in Article 13 TFEU, and the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union** are not undermined **and will be respected**;

Or. en

**Amendment 106**  
**Ulrike Müller**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 1 – point b**

*Draft opinion*

b. firmly commit to **the strict preservation of** standards on food safety and human and animal health, as defined under EU legislation, and ensure that fundamental values of the EU such as the precautionary principle are not undermined;

*Amendment*

b. firmly commit to **not negotiating on** standards on food safety and human and animal health, as defined under EU legislation; **not seek to lower the level of protection by means of changes to EU secondary law, also after the negotiations have been concluded, maintain and, where necessary, broaden the scope of existing labelling requirements** and ensure that fundamental values of the EU such as the precautionary principle, **the basis for our risk management**, are not undermined;

Or. de

**Amendment 107**  
**Molly Scott Cato**

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Draft opinion**

**Paragraph 1 – point b**

*Draft opinion*

b. firmly commit to the strict preservation of standards on food safety and human and animal health, as defined under EU legislation, and ensure that fundamental values of the EU such as the precautionary principle are not undermined;

*Amendment*

b. firmly commit to the strict preservation of standards on food safety and human and animal health, as defined under EU legislation, and ensure that fundamental values of the EU such as the precautionary principle are not undermined; ***ensure that EU standards are not subject to regulatory cooperation and mutual recognition of standards which creates a biased playing field for European agricultural producers due to higher and more costly standards in the EU;***

Or. en

**Amendment 108**

**Giulia Moi**

**Draft opinion**

**Paragraph 1 – point b**

*Draft opinion*

b. ***firmly commit to*** the strict preservation of standards on food safety and human and animal health, as defined under EU legislation, and ensure that fundamental values of the EU such as the precautionary principle are not undermined;

*Amendment*

b. ***guarantee*** the strict preservation of standards on food safety and human and animal health, as defined under EU legislation, and ensure that fundamental values of the EU such as the precautionary principle ***and the ban on animal testing*** are not undermined;

Or. it

**Amendment 109**

**Elisabeth Köstinger, Peter Jahr**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 1 – point b**

*Draft opinion*

b. firmly commit to the strict preservation of standards on food safety and human and animal health, as defined under EU legislation, and ensure that fundamental values of the EU such as the precautionary principle are not undermined;

*Amendment*

b. firmly commit to the strict preservation of ***production and environment*** standards on food safety and human and animal health, ***in connection with, for instance, GMOs, the use of hormones in the beef sector, REACH and cloning***, as defined under EU legislation, and ensure that fundamental values of the EU such as the precautionary principle are not undermined;

Or. de

**Amendment 110**  
**Marc Tarabella, Eric Andrieu, Jean-Paul Denanot, Maria Noichl, Paul Brannen**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 1 – point b**

*Draft opinion*

b. firmly commit to the strict preservation of standards on food safety and human and animal health, as defined under EU legislation, and ensure that fundamental values of the EU such as the precautionary principle are not undermined;

*Amendment*

b. firmly commit to the strict preservation of standards on food safety and human and animal health, as defined under EU legislation, and ensure that fundamental values of the EU such as the precautionary principle ***and sustainable farming*** are not undermined;

Or. fr

**Amendment 111**  
**Marco Zullo, Rosa D'Amato, Dario Tamburrano**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 1 – point b**

*Draft opinion*

b. ***firmly commit to*** the strict preservation of standards on food safety and human and animal health, as defined under EU legislation, and ensure that fundamental values of the EU such as the precautionary principle are not undermined;

*Amendment*

b. ***guarantee*** the strict preservation of standards on food safety and human and animal health, as defined under EU legislation, and ensure that fundamental values of the EU such as the precautionary principle are not undermined;

Or. it

**Amendment 112**

**Brian Crowley**

**Draft opinion**

**Paragraph 1 – point b**

*Draft opinion*

b. firmly commit to the strict preservation of standards on food safety and human and animal health, as defined under EU legislation, and ensure that fundamental values of the EU such as the precautionary principle are not undermined;

*Amendment*

b. firmly commit to the strict preservation of standards on food safety and human and animal health, as defined under EU legislation, and ensure that fundamental values of the EU such as the precautionary principle are not undermined ***and that EU citizens can continue to have confidence in the high quality standards, labelling and traceability of products on the EU market;***

Or. en

**Amendment 113**

**Edouard Ferrand, Philippe Loiseau**

**Draft opinion**

**Paragraph 1 – point b**

*Draft opinion*

b. firmly commit to the strict preservation of standards on food safety and human and animal health, as defined under EU

*Amendment*

b. firmly commit to the strict preservation of standards on food safety and human and animal health, as defined under EU

legislation, and ensure that fundamental values of the EU such as the precautionary principle are not undermined;

legislation, and ensure that fundamental values of the EU such as the precautionary principle are not undermined, ***and to reject the agreement if they are;***

Or. fr

#### **Amendment 114**

**Angélique Delahaye, Michel Dantin**

##### **Draft opinion**

##### **Paragraph 1 – point b**

###### *Draft opinion*

b. firmly commit to the strict preservation of standards on food safety and human and animal health, as defined under EU legislation, and ensure that fundamental values of the EU such as the precautionary principle are not undermined;

###### *Amendment*

b. firmly commit to the strict preservation of standards on food safety and human and animal health, ***crop protection and plant health***, as defined under EU legislation, and ensure that fundamental values of the EU such as the precautionary principle are not undermined;

Or. fr

#### **Amendment 115**

**Michel Dantin, Angélique Delahaye**

##### **Draft opinion**

##### **Paragraph 1 – point b**

###### *Draft opinion*

b. firmly commit to the strict preservation of standards on food safety and human and animal health, as defined under EU legislation, and ensure that fundamental values of the EU such as the precautionary principle are not undermined;

###### *Amendment*

b. firmly commit to the strict preservation of ***current and future*** standards on food safety and human and animal health, as defined under EU legislation, and ensure that fundamental values of the EU such as the precautionary principle are not undermined, ***and to ensuring that the final deal down not hamper in any way new developments in EU law and negotiations thereon between EU decision-makers;***

**Amendment 116**  
**Albert Deß, Peter Jahr**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 1 – point b a (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

***ba. make every effort to ensure that agricultural imports are allowed into the EU only if they have been produced in a manner consistent with European consumer protection, animal welfare and environmental protection standards and minimum social standards;***

Or. de

**Amendment 117**  
**Paul Brannen, Jude Kirton-Darling**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 1 – point b a (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

***ba. therefore calls on the Commission to ensure that the competent EU authorities are involved in the control and verification of establishments, facilities and products eligible for exports to the EU with respect to the sanitary or phytosanitary requirement applicable in the EUs, and expresses concerns regarding the European Commission's textual proposal to the US in this respect; recalls that in prior EU trade agreements, the EU retained the ability to audit and verify the control programme of other parties to the agreements; calls on the Commission to maintain this approach;***

**Amendment 118**  
**Clara Eugenia Aguilera García**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 1 – point b a (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

*ba. reject investor-state dispute settlement (ISDS), since existing legislation in the EU Member States and in the US already protects investment, members of the public and national and/or foreign firms;*

Or. es

**Amendment 119**  
**Lidia Senra Rodríguez, Matt Carthy, Luke Ming Flanagan, Anja Hazekamp, Pablo Iglesias, Miguel Viegas, Stefan Eck**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 1 – point b a (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

*ba. prevent products that have not been produced in line with EU food safety, human and animal health, and animal welfare standards from entering the EU-market;*

Or. en

**Amendment 120**  
**Molly Scott Cato**  
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group  
**Peter Eriksson**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 1 – point b a (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

***ba. ensure that market access is not granted for imports that do not conform to the EU's standards, in particular as regards food quality and production practices, including standards of animal welfare;***

Or. en

**Amendment 121**

**Marc Tarabella, Eric Andrieu, Jean-Paul Denanot, Maria Noichl, Paul Brannen**

**Draft opinion**

**Paragraph 1 – point b a (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

***ba. ensure that the deal and the mechanisms it would put in place cannot in any way make it easier for genetically modified organisms to be brought on to the European market or produced in Europe; note, in this connection, that much of the beet sugar originating in the United States comes from GM sugar beet and should therefore be labelled 'sugar produced from GM crops', in accordance with EU law;***

Or. fr

**Amendment 122**

**Jan Huitema, Olli Rehn, Ivan Jakovčić, Ulrike Müller**

**Draft opinion**

**Paragraph 1 – point b a (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

***ba. recalls that the TTIP negotiations cannot in themselves change the***

*implementation or proposal of legislation in any area, including European food safety, SPS standards, animal welfare and environmental measures;*

Or. en

**Amendment 123**  
**Nicola Caputo**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 1 – point b a (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

*ba. commit to promoting a strategy with a view to the swift adoption of innovative ex-ante risk assessment protocols relating to the harmfulness of food and to the development and support of research activities that can improve the scientific chances of predicting effects on humans and on the environment in order to make the precautionary principle effective and feasible in innovative ways;*

Or. it

**Amendment 124**  
**Bas Belder**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 1 – point b a (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

*ba. guarantee that imported products comply as well with standards in relation to environment, animal welfare and social norms, at least equivalent to those defined under EU legislation in order to ensure a level playing field;*

Or. en

**Amendment 125**

**Lidia Senra Rodríguez, Matt Carthy, Luke Ming Flanagan, Anja Hazekamp, Pablo Iglesias, Miguel Viegas, Stefan Eck**

**Draft opinion**

**Paragraph 1 – point b b (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

***bb. prevent any interference of non-democratic or non-EU bodies in or prior to the democratic decision making process in the EU regarding any future SPS-measures that might be considered;***

Or. en

**Amendment 126**

**Molly Scott Cato**

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Draft opinion**

**Paragraph 1 – point b b (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

***bb. ensure that the legislative powers of EU institutions and Member States' local, regional and national authorities are not undermined, either by delegation of regulatory powers to new regulatory bodies within TTIP, such as the proposed Regulatory Cooperation Body, or by a primary focus of regulatory Impact Assessments on trade and investment impacts; ensure that such institutions retain their powers to adopt higher standards at local, regional, national and European level;***

Or. en

**Amendment 127**

**Marc Tarabella, Eric Andrieu, Jean-Paul Denanot, Maria Noichl, Paul Brannen**

**Draft opinion**

**Paragraph 1 – point b b (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

*bb. ensure that the deal and the mechanisms it would put in place cannot facilitate the authorisation of practices intended to cut production costs which could have an adverse impact on the health of consumers, farmers or the environment, including the use of chemicals such as chlorine to clean foodstuffs, of antibiotics as growth promoters or growth hormones such as ractopamine or of feed containing animal waste, the production of meat from cloned animals or the lowering of animal welfare standards;*

Or. fr

**Amendment 128**

**Molly Scott Cato**

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Draft opinion**

**Paragraph 1 – point b c (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

*bc. clarify explicitly that any provisions on regulatory cooperation in the TTIP do not set a procedural requirement for the adoption of the Union acts concerned by it nor do they give rise to enforceable rights in that regard; in particular reject the following provisions, which could de facto make it more difficult for the EU to go beyond the lowest common denominator of international instruments;*

*-grant the US the right to enter into regulatory exchanges concerning the adoption of national legislation by*

*Member States, including joint examination of possible means to promote regulatory compatibility;*

*-grant the US formal rights with regards to implementing acts to be adopted pursuant to Article 291 TFEU, while the European Parliament has no right to scrutiny whatsoever with regard to implementing acts;*

Or. en

**Amendment 129**

**Molly Scott Cato**

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Peter Eriksson**

**Draft opinion**

**Paragraph 1 – point b d (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

*bd. reserve the right of public bodies to specify their public procurement rules using criteria such as locality, environmental impact, social welfare effects, tax justice; recognize in this regard the importance of buy-local food programs in both the EU and the US;*

Or. en

**Amendment 130**

**Molly Scott Cato**

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Draft opinion**

**Paragraph 1 – point b e (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

*be. make explicit in the published draft EU textual proposal on SPS measures that the long term goal of aligning*

*regulatory standards with regard to animal welfare should be to raise standards to the highest level rather than lowering them; strengthen the currently lacking enforcement mechanisms of animal welfare provisions; include animal welfare matters in the negotiations, provided that the Commission insists on explicit recognition of the need to harmonise standards to the highest level, and to include animal welfare production standards as a requirement for importing goods into the EU;*

Or. en

**Amendment 131**

**Maria Noichl, Marc Tarabella, Jean-Paul Denanot, Karin Kadenbach**

**Draft opinion**

**Paragraph 1 – point c**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

*c. ensure a positive final outcome of the negotiations for agriculture reflecting both the offensive and defensive interests of the EU agricultural sector concerning the abolition or reduction of both tariff and non-tariff barriers, including in particular sanitary and phytosanitary standards and procedures, so that EU producers make genuine gains in terms of access to the US market;*

*deleted*

Or. de

**Amendment 132**

**Molly Scott Cato**

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Draft opinion**

**Paragraph 1 – point c**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

*c. ensure a positive final outcome of the negotiations for agriculture reflecting both the offensive and defensive interests of the EU agricultural sector concerning the abolition or reduction of both tariff and non-tariff barriers, including in particular sanitary and phytosanitary standards and procedures, so that EU producers make genuine gains in terms of access to the US market;*

*deleted*

Or. en

**Amendment 133**

**Matt Carthy**

**Draft opinion**

**Paragraph 1 – point c**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

c. ensure a positive final outcome of the negotiations for agriculture reflecting both the offensive and defensive interests of the EU agricultural sector concerning the abolition or reduction of both tariff and non-tariff barriers, including in particular sanitary and phytosanitary standards and procedures, so that EU producers make genuine gains in terms of access to the US market;

c. ensure a positive final outcome of the negotiations for agriculture reflecting both the offensive and defensive interests of the EU agricultural sector concerning the abolition or reduction of both tariff and non-tariff barriers, including in particular sanitary and phytosanitary standards and procedures, so that EU producers make genuine ***and operative*** gains in terms of access to the US market; ***Considering the trade surplus enjoyed by the EU this committee calls on the commission to outline which regulations specifically constitute barriers to trade so that this Committee can evaluate the merits of removal before inclusion in negotiations;***

Or. en

**Amendment 134**  
**Ulrike Müller**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 1 – point c**

*Draft opinion*

c. ensure a positive final outcome of the negotiations for agriculture *reflecting* both the *offensive and defensive* interests of the EU agricultural sector concerning the abolition or reduction of both tariff and non-tariff barriers, including in particular sanitary and phytosanitary standards and procedures, so that EU producers make genuine gains in terms of access to the US market;

*Amendment*

c. ensure a positive final outcome of the negotiations for agriculture *which* both *reflects* the interests of the EU agricultural sector concerning the abolition or reduction of both tariff and non-tariff barriers, including in particular sanitary and phytosanitary standards and procedures, *and prevents a reduction in agricultural value-added across Europe* so that EU producers make genuine gains in terms of access to the US market;

Or. de

**Amendment 135**  
**Norbert Erdős**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 1 – point c**

*Draft opinion*

c. ensure a positive final outcome of the negotiations for agriculture reflecting both the offensive and defensive interests of the EU agricultural sector concerning the abolition or reduction of both tariff and non-tariff barriers, *including in particular sanitary and phytosanitary standards and procedures, so that EU producers make genuine gains in terms of access to the US market;*

*Amendment*

c. ensure a positive final outcome of the negotiations for agriculture reflecting *in a similar manner* both the offensive and defensive interests of the EU agricultural sector concerning the abolition or reduction of both tariff and non-tariff barriers;

Or. hu

**Amendment 136**  
**Daniel Buda**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 1 – point c**

*Draft opinion*

c. ensure a positive final outcome of the negotiations for agriculture reflecting both the offensive and defensive interests of the EU agricultural sector concerning the abolition or reduction of both tariff and non-tariff barriers, including in particular sanitary and phytosanitary standards and procedures, so that EU producers make genuine gains in terms of access to the US market;

*Amendment*

c. ensure a positive final outcome of the negotiations for agriculture reflecting both the offensive and defensive interests of the EU agricultural sector concerning the abolition or reduction of both tariff and non-tariff barriers, including in particular sanitary and phytosanitary standards and procedures, so that EU producers make genuine gains in terms of access to the US market; ***ensure respect for agricultural production, consumer safety, animal health and the environment;***

Or. ro

**Amendment 137**  
**Lidia Senra Rodríguez, Matt Carthy, Luke Ming Flanagan, Anja Hazekamp, Pablo Iglesias, Miguel Viegas, Stefan Eck**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 1 – point c**

*Draft opinion*

c. ensure ***a positive final outcome of the negotiations for agriculture reflecting both the offensive and defensive interests of the EU agricultural sector concerning the abolition or reduction of both tariff and non-tariff barriers, including in particular sanitary and phytosanitary standards and procedures, so that EU producers make genuine gains in terms of access to the US market;***

*Amendment*

c. ensure ***that trade relations between the EU and the US do not put access to land for feeding local populations at risk; prevent products coming to market with prices below costs of production, including the correct remuneration of the producers;***

Or. en

**Amendment 138**  
**Giulia Moi**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 1 – point c**

*Draft opinion*

c. **ensure a positive final outcome of** the negotiations for agriculture **reflecting both** the offensive and defensive interests of the EU agricultural sector concerning the abolition or reduction of both tariff and non-tariff barriers, including in particular sanitary and phytosanitary standards and procedures, **so that EU producers make genuine gains in terms of access to the US market;**

*Amendment*

c. **conclude** the negotiations for agriculture **in in a way that is consistent with** the offensive and defensive interests of the EU agricultural sector concerning the abolition or reduction of both tariff and non-tariff barriers, including in particular sanitary and phytosanitary standards and procedures, **whilst respecting the level of standards already established in Europe and the protection of European geographical indications, preventing them from becoming generic names to the detriment of specific local features and employment in rural areas;**

Or. it

**Amendment 139**  
**Marc Tarabella, Eric Andrieu, Jean-Paul Denanot, Maria Noichl, Paul Brannen**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 1 – point c**

*Draft opinion*

c. ensure a positive final outcome of the negotiations for agriculture **reflecting both the offensive and defensive interests of the EU agricultural sector concerning the abolition or reduction of both tariff and non-tariff barriers, including in particular sanitary and phytosanitary standards and procedures, so that EU producers make genuine gains in terms of access to the US market;**

*Amendment*

c. ensure a positive **and ambitious** final outcome of the negotiations for agriculture, **affording maximum consumer protection; considers that on no account can it be accepted that measures to protect consumers, maintain food safety and protect consumer health should be regarded as non-tariff barriers;**

Or. fr

## Amendment 140

Marco Zullo, Rosa D'Amato, Dario Tamburrano

### Draft opinion

#### Paragraph 1 – point c

##### *Draft opinion*

c. ensure a **positive** final outcome of the negotiations for agriculture reflecting both the offensive and defensive interests of the EU agricultural sector concerning the abolition or reduction of both tariff and non-tariff barriers, including in particular sanitary and phytosanitary standards and procedures, so that EU producers make genuine gains in terms of access to the US market;

##### *Amendment*

c. ensure a final outcome of the negotiations for agriculture reflecting both the offensive and defensive interests of the EU agricultural sector concerning the abolition or reduction of both tariff and non-tariff barriers, including in particular sanitary and phytosanitary standards and procedures, so that EU producers make genuine gains in terms of access to the US market;

Or. it

## Amendment 141

James Nicholson

### Draft opinion

#### Paragraph 1 – point c

##### *Draft opinion*

c. ensure a positive final outcome of the negotiations for agriculture reflecting both the offensive and defensive interests of the EU agricultural sector concerning the abolition or reduction of both tariff and non-tariff barriers, including in particular sanitary and phytosanitary standards and procedures, so that EU producers make genuine gains in terms of access to the US market;

##### *Amendment*

c. ensure a positive final outcome of the negotiations for agriculture reflecting both the offensive and defensive interests of the EU agricultural sector concerning the abolition or reduction of both tariff and non-tariff barriers, including in particular sanitary and phytosanitary standards and procedures, **and adopting a strong position for high-quality European products**, so that EU producers make genuine gains in terms of access to the US market;

Or. en

**Amendment 142**  
**Edouard Ferrand, Philippe Loiseau**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 1 – point c**

*Draft opinion*

c. ensure a positive final outcome of the negotiations for agriculture reflecting both the offensive and defensive interests of the EU agricultural sector concerning the abolition or reduction of both tariff and non-tariff barriers, including in particular sanitary and phytosanitary standards and procedures, so that EU producers make genuine gains in terms of access to the US market;

*Amendment*

c. ensure a positive final outcome of the negotiations for agriculture reflecting both the offensive and defensive interests of the EU agricultural sector concerning the abolition or reduction of both tariff and non-tariff barriers, including in particular sanitary and phytosanitary standards and procedures, so that EU producers make genuine gains in terms of access to the US market; ***stresses that these sanitary and phytosanitary standards and procedures must not be lowered below the level which currently prevails in the European Union, and must not be covered by the legal arrangements which will govern the agreement after its signature;***

Or. fr

**Amendment 143**  
**Michel Dantin, Angélique Delahaye**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 1 – point c**

*Draft opinion*

c. ensure a positive final outcome of the negotiations for agriculture reflecting both the offensive and defensive interests of the EU agricultural sector concerning the abolition or reduction of both tariff and non-tariff barriers, including in particular sanitary and phytosanitary standards and procedures, so that EU producers make genuine gains in terms of access to the US market;

*Amendment*

c. ensure a positive final outcome of the negotiations for agriculture (***which means, inter alia, that agriculture must on no account become a bargaining chip to be used in securing agreement on other points for negotiation***) reflecting both the offensive and defensive interests of the EU agricultural sector concerning the abolition or reduction of both tariff and non-tariff barriers, including in particular sanitary and phytosanitary standards and

procedures, so that EU producers make genuine gains in terms of access to the US market;

Or. fr

**Amendment 144**  
**Giulia Moi**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 1 – point c a (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

*ca. ask the US to provide us with a traceability system for food products exported to Europe, especially with regard to meat and milk, and to prevent food from clones or their offspring from being imported; stresses, moreover, the need to comply with the EU rules already in force which prohibit the use of growth hormones and antibiotics in animals for slaughter and the use of animal feed from genetically modified organisms (GMOs);*

Or. it

**Amendment 145**  
**Clara Eugenia Aguilera García**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 1 – point c a (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

*ca. guarantee that provisions on equivalence of health and plant health protection will mean an end to product-specific protocols based on origin and destination, since if this were not the case the current problems would continue with no compensatory benefit for the EU food and agriculture sector;*

**Amendment 146**  
**Eric Andrieu, Jean-Paul Denanot**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 1 – point c a (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

*ca. take full account of the importance of non-tariff barriers and the fact that they constitute offensive interests of European agriculture, as they correspond to our collective preferences and must not on any account be called into question; behind agriculture and food stand two opposed models of society; food safety, the precautionary principle, and risk management and assessment do not mean exactly the same thing to Europeans and Americans;*

Or. fr

**Amendment 147**  
**Molly Scott Cato**  
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 1 – point c a (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

*ca. consider European regulation as standards necessary to ensure public and animal health, food safety and environmental sustainability, amongst other legitimate factors; reject their categorization as ‘technical barriers to trade’;*

Or. en

**Amendment 148**  
**Laurențiu Rebegea, Daciana Octavia Sârbu**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 1 – point c a (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

*ca. encourage exchanges of know-how between both sides regarding food safety and security;*

Or. ro

**Amendment 149**  
**Esther Herranz García, Pilar Ayuso, Ramón Luis Valcárcel**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 1 – point c a (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

*ca. negotiate a flexible scheme for plant health checks on European exports that upholds safety standards without harming European exports to the US market and thereby making for an increase in exports to the US;*

Or. es

**Amendment 150**  
**Eric Andrieu, Jean-Paul Denanot**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 1 – point c b (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

*cb. submit, taking account of the multitude and diffuse character of non-tariff barriers, a scoreboard for all of*

*these non-tariff barriers which it wishes to eliminate, in order to identify clearly the concessions which are at stake and then link them to those relating to tariff aspects in accordance with the principle of parallel progress incorporated in its negotiating directives;*

Or. fr

**Amendment 151**  
**Norbert Erdős**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 1 – point d**

*Draft opinion*

d. secure a level playing field, treating as sensitive those products for which direct competition would expose EU agricultural producers to excessive pressure, for example in cases where regulatory conditions and related costs of production in the EU diverge from those in the US;

*Amendment*

d. secure a level playing field, treating as sensitive **all** those products for which direct competition would expose EU agricultural producers **either in the EU as a whole or in individual regions thereof** to excessive pressure, for example in cases where regulatory conditions and related costs of production in the EU – **including energy costs** – diverge from those in the US;

Or. hu

**Amendment 152**  
**Ulrike Müller**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 1 – point d**

*Draft opinion*

d. secure a level playing field, **treating as sensitive those products for which direct competition would expose EU agricultural producers to excessive pressure, for example** in cases where regulatory

*Amendment*

d. secure a level playing field **in transatlantic trade and counteract possible market distortions, which might chiefly harm small-scale family farming, by setting appropriate quotas for sensitive**

conditions and related costs of production in the EU diverge from those in the US;

*products, in particular* in *areas* where regulatory conditions and related costs of production in the EU diverge from those in the US;

Or. de

**Amendment 153**  
**Annie Schreijer-Pierik**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 1 – point d**

*Draft opinion*

d. secure a level playing field, treating as sensitive those *products* for which direct competition would expose EU agricultural producers to excessive pressure, for example in cases where regulatory conditions and related costs of production in the EU diverge from those in the US;

*Amendment*

d. secure a level playing field, treating as sensitive those *sectors* for which direct competition would expose EU agricultural producers to excessive pressure, *such as the pig, poultry and cattle sector*, for example in cases where regulatory conditions and related costs of production in the EU diverge from those in the US, *in order to prevent full liberalisation of sensitive sectors*;

Or. nl

**Amendment 154**  
**Janusz Wojciechowski, Beata Gosiewska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Stanisław Ożóg, Jadwiga Wiśniewska**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 1 – point d**

*Draft opinion*

d. secure a level playing field, treating as sensitive *those* products for which direct competition would expose EU agricultural producers to excessive pressure, for example in cases where regulatory conditions and related costs of production in the EU diverge from those in the US;

*Amendment*

d. secure a level playing field, treating as *particularly important the question of* sensitive products for which direct competition would expose EU agricultural producers to excessive pressure, for example in cases where regulatory conditions and related costs of production

in the EU diverge from those in the US;

Or. pl

**Amendment 155**  
**Elisabeth Köstinger**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 1 – point d**

*Draft opinion*

d. secure a level playing field, treating as sensitive those products for which direct competition would expose EU agricultural producers to excessive pressure, for example in cases where regulatory conditions and related costs of production in the EU diverge from those in the US;

*Amendment*

d. secure a level playing field, treating as sensitive those products for which direct competition would expose EU agricultural producers to excessive *direct and indirect* pressure, for example in cases where regulatory conditions and related costs of production in the EU diverge from those in the US;

Or. de

**Amendment 156**  
**Lidia Senra Rodríguez, Matt Carthy, Luke Ming Flanagan, Anja Hazekamp, Pablo Iglesias, Miguel Viegas, Stefan Eck**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 1 – point d**

*Draft opinion*

*d. secure a level playing field, treating as sensitive those products for which direct competition would expose EU agricultural producers to excessive pressure, for example in cases where regulatory conditions and related costs of production in the EU diverge from those in the US;*

*Amendment*

*d. ensure that the precautionary principle is not called into question, as this means the defence of quality standards and food safety demanded by European consumers, and serves as an added value that ensure the maintenance of public health;*

Or. en

**Amendment 157**

**Molly Scott Cato**

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Peter Eriksson**

**Draft opinion**

**Paragraph 1 – point d**

*Draft opinion*

d. *secure a level playing field, treating* as sensitive those products for which direct competition would expose EU agricultural producers to excessive pressure, for example in cases where regulatory conditions and related costs of production in the EU diverge from those in the US;

*Amendment*

d. *treat* as sensitive those products for which direct competition would expose EU agricultural producers to excessive pressure, for example in cases where regulatory conditions and related costs of production in the EU diverge from those in the US;

Or. en

**Amendment 158**

**Peter Eriksson**

**Draft opinion**

**Paragraph 1 – point d**

*Draft opinion*

d. *secure a level playing field, treating* as sensitive those products for which direct competition would expose EU agricultural producers to excessive pressure, for example in cases where regulatory conditions and related costs of production in the EU diverge from those in the US;

*Amendment*

d. *treat* as sensitive those products for which direct competition would expose EU agricultural producers to excessive pressure, for example in cases where regulatory conditions and related costs of production in the EU diverge from those in the US, *such as the meat sector*;

Or. en

**Amendment 159**

**Marc Tarabella, Eric Andrieu, Jean-Paul Denanot, Maria Noichl, Paul Brannen**

**Draft opinion**

**Paragraph 1 – point d**

*Draft opinion*

d. *secure a level playing field, treating as sensitive those products for which direct competition would expose EU agricultural producers to excessive pressure, for example in cases where regulatory conditions and related costs of production in the EU diverge from those in the US;*

*Amendment*

d. *make the possible ratification of the agreement contingent upon fair competitive conditions which will ensure that farmers are not exposed to even greater pressure than at present, for example if products based on values, regulatory conditions and costs of production which are different in the USA were imported into the EU, thus causing unfair competition;*

Or. fr

**Amendment 160**

**Jan Huitema, Ivan Jakovčić**

**Draft opinion**

**Paragraph 1 – point d**

*Draft opinion*

d. secure a level playing field, treating as sensitive those products for which direct competition would expose EU agricultural producers to excessive pressure, for example in cases where regulatory conditions and related costs of production in the EU diverge from those in the US;

*Amendment*

d. secure a level playing field, treating as sensitive those products for which direct competition would expose EU agricultural producers to excessive pressure, for example in cases where regulatory conditions and related costs of production, *such as animal housing requirements*, in the EU diverge from those in the US;

Or. en

**Amendment 161**

**Tibor Szanyi**

**Draft opinion**

**Paragraph 1 – point d**

*Draft opinion*

d. secure a level playing field, treating as

*Amendment*

d. secure a level playing field, *by*

sensitive those products for which direct competition would expose EU agricultural producers to excessive pressure, for example in cases where regulatory conditions and related costs of production in the EU diverge from those in the US;

***encouraging fair fiscal policies and trade practices for food products, and by*** treating as sensitive those products for which direct competition would expose EU agricultural producers to excessive pressure, for example in cases where regulatory conditions and related costs of production in the EU diverge from those in the US;

Or. en

### **Amendment 162**

**Esther Herranz García, Pilar Ayuso, Ramón Luis Valcárcel**

#### **Draft opinion**

##### **Paragraph 1 – point d**

###### *Draft opinion*

d. secure a level playing field, treating as sensitive those products for which direct competition would expose EU agricultural producers to excessive pressure, for example in cases where regulatory conditions and related costs of production in the EU diverge from those in the US;

###### *Amendment*

d. secure a level playing field, treating as sensitive those products for which direct competition would expose EU agricultural producers to excessive pressure, for example in cases where regulatory conditions and related costs of production in the EU diverge from those in the US; ***ensure, in this context, that poultry and beef products are given special treatment;***

Or. es

### **Amendment 163**

**James Nicholson**

#### **Draft opinion**

##### **Paragraph 1 – point d**

###### *Draft opinion*

d. secure a level playing field, treating as sensitive those ***products*** for which direct competition would expose EU agricultural

###### *Amendment*

d. secure a level playing field, treating as sensitive, those ***products from sectors such as, but not limited to, the pigmeat, poultry***

producers to excessive pressure, for example in cases where regulatory conditions and related costs of production in the EU diverge from those in the US;

***and suckler beef sector***, for which direct competition would expose EU agricultural producers to excessive pressure, for example in cases where regulatory conditions and related costs of production in the EU diverge from those in the US, ***and consider possible options for the treatment of sensitive products, including tariff reduction and limited tariff rate quotas***;

Or. en

**Amendment 164**  
**Brian Crowley**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 1 – point d**

*Draft opinion*

d. secure a level playing field, treating as sensitive those products for which direct competition would expose EU agricultural producers to excessive pressure, for example in cases where regulatory conditions and related costs of production in the EU diverge from those in the US;

*Amendment*

d. secure a level playing field, treating as sensitive those products ***from sectors such as pork, poultry and in particular the suckler beef sector*** for which direct competition would expose EU agricultural producers to excessive pressure, ***and*** for example in cases where regulatory conditions and related costs of production in the EU diverge from those in the US;

Or. en

**Amendment 165**  
**Michel Dantin, Angélique Delahaye**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 1 – point d**

*Draft opinion*

d. secure a level playing field, treating as sensitive those products for which direct competition would expose EU agricultural

*Amendment*

d. secure a level playing field, treating as sensitive those products for which direct competition ***or excessive divergences of***

producers to excessive pressure, for example in cases where regulatory conditions and related costs of production in the EU diverge from those in the US;

*standards* would expose EU agricultural producers to excessive pressure, for example in cases where regulatory conditions and related costs of production in the EU diverge from those in the US, ***this situation justifying the exclusion of the products in question from the negotiations;***

Or. fr

### **Amendment 166**

**Albert Deß**

#### **Draft opinion**

#### **Paragraph 1 – point d**

##### *Draft opinion*

d. secure a level playing field, treating as sensitive those products for which direct competition would expose EU agricultural producers to excessive pressure, for example in cases where regulatory conditions and related costs of production in the EU diverge from those in the US;

##### *Amendment*

d. secure a level playing field, treating as sensitive those products, ***such as sugar***, for which direct competition would expose EU agricultural producers ***directly and indirectly*** to excessive pressure, for example in cases where regulatory conditions and related costs of production in the EU diverge from those in the US;

Or. en

### **Amendment 167**

**Maria Noichl, Marc Tarabella, Paul Brannen, Jean-Paul Denanot, Karin Kadenbach**

#### **Draft opinion**

#### **Paragraph 1 – point d a (new)**

##### *Draft opinion*

##### *Amendment*

***da. make every effort to provide special protection for agricultural structures in Europe by defining sensitive product areas, since, if tariff and non-tariff barriers to trade were dismantled to too great an extent, the industrial structure of US agriculture would jeopardise***

*smallholder farming in Europe;*

Or. de

**Amendment 168**  
**Elisabeth Köstinger**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 1 – point d a (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

*da. make every effort to have a safeguard clause incorporated into the agreement, as is clearly set out in the negotiating mandate, which would be invoked where a rise in imports of a particular product threatened to cause serious harm to domestic food production;*

Or. de

**Amendment 169**  
**Eric Andrieu, Jean-Paul Denanot**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 1 – point d a (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

*da. submit, as already called for by several Member States, a summary of the concessions granted in the trade agreements already concluded and in those being negotiated, so that an overview of all these concessions can be obtained per product, without which it is impossible to negotiate on sensitive products;*

Or. fr

**Amendment 170**

**Janusz Wojciechowski, Beata Gosiewska, Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Stanisław Ożóg, Jadwiga Wiśniewska**

**Draft opinion**

**Paragraph 1 – point d a (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

*da. exclude from the agreement those sensitive agricultural products for which it would be impossible to secure a level playing field;*

Or. pl

**Amendment 171**

**Momchil Nekov**

**Draft opinion**

**Paragraph 1 – point d a (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

*da. ensure that financial instruments are available for promoting EU agricultural produce in the USA – e.g. grants towards participation in, inter alia, specialist and/or independent trade fairs – particularly for the Member States which joined the Union in or after 2004, so as to avoid producers' losing their livelihoods as a result of the stronger competition anticipated in the sector in both the EU and the USA;*

Or. bg

**Amendment 172**

**Molly Scott Cato**

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Maria Heubuch**

**Draft opinion**

**Paragraph 1 – point d a (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

***da. ensure that no TTIP agreement contains any investor-state dispute settlement (ISDS) mechanism, as the given level of investment protection in the EU and in the US is fully sufficient to guarantee legal security;***

Or. en

**Amendment 173**

**Norbert Erdős**

**Draft opinion**

**Paragraph 1 – point d a (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

***da. begin consultations with the European Parliament, Member States, civil-society organisations and European citizens as soon as possible concerning a proposal for the exact definition of sensitive products;***

Or. hu

**Amendment 174**

**Matt Carthy**

**Draft opinion**

**Paragraph 1 – point d a (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

***da. prioritise the protection of vulnerable sectors in agriculture- if measures cannot be agreed to protect vulnerable sectors then such sectors should be removed from negotiations;***

Or. en

**Amendment 175**  
**Czesław Adam Siekierski**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 1 – point d a (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

*da. ensure that the liberalisation of sensitive goods in the TTIP is limited by means of a tariff rate quota (TRQ), and that for the small number of highly sensitive products the possibility of their total exemption from liberalisation is not excluded;*

Or. pl

**Amendment 176**  
**Czesław Adam Siekierski**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 1 – point d b (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

*db. secure the inclusion in the TTIP of special bilateral protection clauses to address any potential difficulties which may arise in a given sector or area of the economy as a result of liberalisation;*

Or. pl

**Amendment 177**  
**Eric Andrieu, Jean-Paul Denanot**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 1 – point d b (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

*db. guarantee that the TTIP protocol on*

*rules of origin will not bring about the combined application of the concessions granted with those provided for by other trade agreements, which would seriously weaken the protection of sensitive products;*

Or. fr

**Amendment 178**

**Maria Noichl, Marc Tarabella, Paul Brannen, Susanne Melior, Jean-Paul Denanot, Karin Kadenbach**

**Draft opinion**

**Paragraph 1 – point d b (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

*db. inform Parliament and the public as early as possible about a potential list of sensitive products so that all stakeholders have enough time to consider and assess the proposals as soon as possible and before the end of the negotiations;*

Or. de

**Amendment 179**

**Molly Scott Cato**

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Maria Heubuch, Martin Häusling, Peter Eriksson**

**Draft opinion**

**Paragraph 1 – point d b (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

*db. pay due account to 97% of citizens' submissions during the Commission's public consultation, which asked to exclude ISDS from TTIP; follow the example of countries such as Australia that have decided to no longer include ISDS provisions in its trade agreements;*

**Amendment 180**  
**Eric Andrieu, Jean-Paul Denanot**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 1 – point d c (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

*dc. ensure the protection of the most sensitive products by maintaining the existing tariff lines or excluding them from the negotiations;*

Or. fr

**Amendment 181**  
**Eric Andrieu, Jean-Paul Denanot**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 1 – point d d (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

*dd. ensure that the products most exposed to American competition because of major disparities in production costs and conditions can be accorded special, differentiated treatment, in which connection the sectors which should be assigned priority are bovine meat, eggs, poultry, rice, isoglucose, starch, sweetcorn and ethanol;*

Or. fr

**Amendment 182**  
**Annie Schreijer-Pierik**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 1 – point e**

*Draft opinion*

e. secure significantly improved protection of EU geographical indications and better consumer information as an essential element of a balanced agreement, ***taking the relevant chapter of the CETA with Canada as a good example;***

*Amendment*

e. secure significantly improved protection of EU geographical indications and better consumer information as an essential element of a balanced agreement;

Or. nl

**Amendment 183**  
**Herbert Dorfmann**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 1 – point e**

*Draft opinion*

e. secure significantly improved protection of EU geographical indications and better consumer information as an essential element of a balanced agreement, ***taking the relevant chapter of the CETA with Canada as a good example;***

*Amendment*

e. secure significantly improved protection of EU geographical indications and better consumer information as an essential element of a balanced agreement;

Or. en

**Amendment 184**  
**Eric Andrieu, Jean-Paul Denanot**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 1 – point e**

*Draft opinion*

e. secure significantly improved protection of EU geographical indications and better consumer information as an essential element of a balanced agreement, ***taking the relevant chapter of the CETA with Canada as a good example;***

*Amendment*

e. secure significantly improved protection of EU geographical indications and better consumer information as an essential element of a balanced agreement;

Or. fr

## Amendment 185

Maria Noichl, Marc Tarabella, Jean-Paul Denanot, Karin Kadenbach

### Draft opinion

#### Paragraph 1 – point e

##### *Draft opinion*

e. secure significantly improved protection of EU geographical indications and better consumer information as an essential element of a balanced agreement, ***taking the relevant chapter of the CETA with Canada as a good example;***

##### *Amendment*

e. secure significantly improved protection of EU geographical indications and better consumer information as an essential element of a balanced agreement;

Or. de

## Amendment 186

Daniel Buda

### Draft opinion

#### Paragraph 1 – point e

##### *Draft opinion*

e. secure significantly improved protection of EU geographical indications and better consumer information as an essential element of a balanced agreement, taking the relevant chapter of the CETA with Canada as a good example;

##### *Amendment*

e. . secure significantly improved protection of EU geographical indications and better consumer information ***regarding the labelling, traceability and origin of agricultural products*** as an essential element of a balanced agreement, taking the relevant chapter of the CETA with Canada as a good example;

Or. ro

## Amendment 187

Lidia Senra Rodríguez, Matt Carthy, Luke Ming Flanagan, Anja Hazekamp, Pablo Iglesias, Miguel Viegas, Stefan Eck

### Draft opinion

#### Paragraph 1 – point e

*Draft opinion*

e. secure ***significantly improved*** protection of EU geographical indications and better consumer information as an essential element of a balanced agreement, ***taking the relevant chapter of the CETA with Canada as a good example;***

*Amendment*

e. secure ***the*** protection of EU geographical indications and better consumer information as an essential element of a balanced agreement;

Or. en

**Amendment 188**

**Giulia Moi**

**Draft opinion**

**Paragraph 1 – point e**

*Draft opinion*

e. secure significantly improved protection of EU geographical indications ***and better consumer information*** as an essential element of a balanced agreement, ***taking the relevant chapter of the CETA with Canada as a good example;***

*Amendment*

e. secure significantly improved protection of EU geographical indications as an essential element of a balanced agreement, ***preventing typical European products from adopting a generic name, alongside appropriate, transparent information for consumers, replicating*** the relevant chapter of the CETA with Canada;

Or. it

**Amendment 189**

**Elisabeth Köstinger, Albert Deß, Peter Jahr**

**Draft opinion**

**Paragraph 1 – point e**

*Draft opinion*

e. secure ***significantly improved*** protection of EU geographical ***indications*** and better consumer information as an essential element of a balanced agreement, taking the relevant chapter of the CETA with

*Amendment*

e. secure ***appropriate legal*** protection ***on the US market for European geographical designations of origin, a mechanism for penalising improper use*** and better consumer information as an essential

Canada as a good example;

element of a balanced agreement, taking the relevant chapter of the CETA with Canada as a good example;

Or. de

**Amendment 190**  
**Michela Giuffrida**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 1 – point e**

*Draft opinion*

e. secure significantly improved protection of EU geographical indications and better consumer information as an essential element of a balanced agreement, taking the relevant chapter of the CETA with Canada *as a good example*;

*Amendment*

e. secure significantly improved protection of EU geographical indications and better consumer information as an essential element of a balanced agreement, taking *as an example* the relevant chapter of the CETA with Canada, *while making the appropriate and necessary changes*;

Or. it

**Amendment 191**  
**Marc Tarabella, Eric Andrieu, Jean-Paul Denanot, Maria Noichl, Paul Brannen**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 1 – point e**

*Draft opinion*

e. secure significantly improved protection of EU geographical indications and better consumer information as an essential element of a balanced agreement, *taking the relevant chapter of the CETA with Canada as a good example*;

*Amendment*

e. secure significantly improved protection of EU geographical indications *and of the quality of EU agricultural products* and better consumer information *and protection*, as an essential element of a balanced agreement;

Or. fr

**Amendment 192**  
**Ulrike Müller**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 1 – point e**

*Draft opinion*

e. secure significantly improved protection *of* EU geographical indications and better consumer information as an essential element of a balanced agreement, taking the relevant chapter of the CETA with Canada as a good example;

*Amendment*

e. secure ***a*** significantly improved ***level of*** protection ***for*** EU ***marks such as protected*** geographical indications, and better consumer information as an essential element of a balanced agreement, taking the relevant chapter of the CETA with Canada as a good example;

Or. de

**Amendment 193**  
**Marco Zullo, Rosa D'Amato, Dario Tamburrano**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 1 – point e**

*Draft opinion*

e. secure significantly improved protection of EU geographical indications and better consumer information as an essential element of ***a balanced*** agreement, ***taking the relevant chapter of the CETA with Canada as a good example;***

*Amendment*

e. secure significantly improved protection of EU geographical indications and better consumer information as an essential element of ***any*** agreement;

Or. it

**Amendment 194**  
**Esther Herranz García, Pilar Ayuso, Ramón Luis Valcárcel**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 1 – point e**

*Draft opinion*

e. secure ***significantly improved*** protection

*Amendment*

e. secure ***total*** protection of EU

of EU geographical indications and better consumer information as an essential element of a balanced agreement, ***taking the relevant chapter of the CETA with Canada as a good example;***

geographical indications and better consumer information as an essential element of a balanced agreement;

Or. es

**Amendment 195**  
**Nicola Caputo**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 1 – point e**

*Draft opinion*

e. secure ***significantly improved*** protection of EU geographical indications and better consumer information as an essential element of a balanced agreement, taking the relevant chapter of the CETA with Canada as a good example;

*Amendment*

e. secure ***the full protection and strengthening*** of EU geographical indications and better consumer information as an essential element of a balanced agreement, taking the relevant chapter of the CETA with Canada as a good example;

Or. it

**Amendment 196**  
**Herbert Dorfmann, Paolo De Castro**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 1 – point e**

*Draft opinion*

e. secure significantly improved protection of EU geographical indications and ***better consumer*** information as an essential element of a balanced agreement, taking the relevant chapter of the CETA with Canada as a good example;

*Amendment*

e. secure significantly improved protection of EU geographical indications and ***to forbid the use of misleading information and practices concerning the true origin of the product towards the consumer*** as an essential element of a balanced agreement, taking the relevant chapter of the CETA with Canada as a good example;

Or. en

**Amendment 197**

**Edouard Ferrand, Philippe Loiseau**

**Draft opinion**

**Paragraph 1 – point e**

*Draft opinion*

e. *secure significantly improved protection of EU geographical indications and better consumer information as an essential element of a balanced agreement, taking the relevant chapter of the CETA with Canada as a good example;*

*Amendment*

e. *ensure that EU geographical indications, as currently defined, cannot be altered in any way during the negotiations and that they are not covered by the legal arrangements which will govern the agreement after its signature;*

Or. fr

**Amendment 198**

**Michel Dantin, Angélique Delahaye**

**Draft opinion**

**Paragraph 1 – point e**

*Draft opinion*

e. secure *significantly improved* protection of EU geographical indications and *better consumer information* as an essential element of a balanced agreement, *taking the relevant chapter of the CETA with Canada as a good example;*

*Amendment*

e. secure *effective* protection of EU geographical indications and *a prohibition on the use of misleading information and fraudulent practices aimed at consumers with regard to the genuine origin of products* as an essential element of a balanced agreement, *without which the agreement cannot be accepted;*

Or. fr

**Amendment 199**

**Eric Andrieu, Jean-Paul Denanot**

**Draft opinion**

**Paragraph 1 – point e a (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

*ea. incorporate the wine agreement of 2006 between the EU and the USA into TTIP, deleting the 17 semi-generic names contained in that sectoral agreement;*

Or. fr

**Amendment 200**  
**Herbert Dorfmann**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 1 – point e a (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

*ea. include in the TTIP and improve the wine agreement concluded in 2006, in particular the recognition of the 17 EU GIs considered as ‘semi-generics’;*

Or. en

**Amendment 201**  
**Elisabeth Köstinger**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 1 – point e a (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

*ea. firmly commit to ensuring recognition of European designations of origin on the US market, but without their being negotiable in exchange for higher import duty quotas;*

Or. de

**Amendment 202**  
**Molly Scott Cato**  
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group  
**José Bové, Martin Häusling**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 1 – point e a (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

*ea. ensure that all European food products which are protected by Protected Geographical Indications and Protected Designations of Origin are protected and promoted, and halt the use in the United States and the rest of the world of Designations and Indications linked to a particular area, such as Parmesan;*

Or. fr

**Amendment 203**  
**Lidia Senra Rodríguez, Matt Carthy, Luke Ming Flanagan, Anja Hazekamp, Pablo Iglesias, Miguel Viegas, Stefan Eck**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 1 – point e a (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

*ea. not question the aspects relating to the establishment of standards of European public procurement law, as applied in practice, especially at regional and local level, when you take into account, for example, compliance labour and social legislation and collective agreements, GPP, local hiring and prioritize local development, or attention to small and medium enterprises (SMEs), which ensures that, when awarding the contract to the highest bidder, you can weigh in addition to price, other criteria such as social aspects related to sustainability;*

Or. en

**Amendment 204**  
**Molly Scott Cato**  
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 1 – point e a (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

*ea. strengthen the guarantees and protection for the EU's right to legislate on labelling and marking, considering it through the frame of traceability and consumer information, and not as a Technical Barrier to Trade<sup>1a</sup>; ensure that TTIP shall in no way undermine the EU's ability to provide traceability for food products and to inform consumers through regulation on country of origin labelling, labelling of production methods, or other forms of food labelling.*

---

*<sup>1a</sup> Article 8 of the EU's Textual Proposal on 'Technical Barriers to Trade,'  
[http://trade.ec.europa.eu/doclib/docs/2015/january/tradoc\\_153025.pdf](http://trade.ec.europa.eu/doclib/docs/2015/january/tradoc_153025.pdf)*

Or. en

**Amendment 205**  
**Norbert Erdős**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 1 – point e a (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

*ea. ensure that ISDS does not apply to agriculture or to environmental protection, consumer protection, animal welfare and food safety, as, both in the EU and in the USA, judicial systems are*

*sufficiently mature and stable, so that any disputes between a foreign investor and the host state can be appropriately resolved by resorting to the national courts;*

Or. hu

**Amendment 206**

**Maria Noichl, Marc Tarabella, Paul Brannen, Jean-Paul Denanot, Karin Kadenbach**

**Draft opinion**

**Paragraph 1 –point e a (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

*ea. officially remove the investor-to-state dispute settlement procedure from the scope of the negotiations, as was also done in the case of the Australia - United States Free Trade Agreement, for instance, since such an arrangement between two developed economies with developed legal systems is superfluous;*

Or. de

**Amendment 207**

**James Nicholson**

**Draft opinion**

**Paragraph 1 – point e a (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

*ea. take into account that US farm income support in times of global price volatility could put EU farmers at a competitive disadvantage and that EU crisis management measures should be re-evaluated in order to reflect changing market conditions;*

Or. en

**Amendment 208**  
**Herbert Dorfmann**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 1 – point e b (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

*eb. include in the TTIP the agreement on organic products, extended to those non already covered (wine);*

Or. en

**Amendment 209**  
**Herbert Dorfmann**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 1 – point e c (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

*ec. create a bilateral joint working committee for permanent trade discussions on agriculture to anticipate and eliminate trade irritants by an ‘early-warning’ system in case of regulatory evolutions and promote regulatory convergence;*

Or. en

**Amendment 210**  
**Maria Noichl, Marc Tarabella, Paul Brannen, Susanne Melior, Jean-Paul Denanot, Karin Kadenbach**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 1 – point f**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

f. engage in a fully transparent, timely and

f. engage in a fully transparent, timely and

comprehensive manner with all agricultural stakeholders on all aspects of the negotiations.

comprehensive manner with *the European Parliament*, all *national parliaments and the* agricultural stakeholders on all aspects of the negotiations.

Or. de

#### **Amendment 211**

**Lidia Senra Rodríguez, Matt Carthy, Luke Ming Flanagan, Anja Hazekamp, Pablo Iglesias, Miguel Viegas, Stefan Eck**

#### **Draft opinion**

#### **Paragraph 1 – point f**

##### *Draft opinion*

f. *engage in a fully transparent, timely and comprehensive manner with all agricultural stakeholders on all aspects of the negotiations.*

##### *Amendment*

f. *ensure the application of the Lisbon Treaty Article 218.10 (TFEU) which says that the European Parliament shall be immediately and fully informed at all stages of the procedure;*

Or. en

#### **Amendment 212**

**Molly Scott Cato**

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

#### **Draft opinion**

#### **Paragraph 1 – point f**

##### *Draft opinion*

f. engage in a fully transparent, timely and comprehensive manner with all *agricultural stakeholders* on all aspects of the negotiations.

##### *Amendment*

f. engage in a fully transparent, timely and comprehensive manner with all *stakeholders in the sector including civil society groups and the public* on all aspects of the negotiations; *ensure the continued participation of relevant civil society groups and the public within any bodies created by TTIP;*

Or. en

**Amendment 213**

**Giulia Moi**

**Draft opinion**

**Paragraph 1 – point f**

*Draft opinion*

f. engage in a fully transparent, timely and comprehensive manner with all agricultural stakeholders on all aspects of the negotiations.

*Amendment*

f. engage in a fully transparent, timely and comprehensive manner with all agricultural ***and animal husbandry*** stakeholders on all aspects of the negotiations.

Or. it

**Amendment 214**

**Marc Tarabella, Eric Andrieu, Jean-Paul Denanot, Maria Noichl, Paul Brannen**

**Draft opinion**

**Paragraph 1 – point f**

*Draft opinion*

f. engage in a fully transparent, timely and comprehensive manner with all agricultural stakeholders on all aspects of the negotiations.

*Amendment*

f. engage in a fully transparent, timely and comprehensive manner with all agricultural stakeholders on all aspects of the negotiations, ***and ensure scrupulous compliance with all the legislation and collective preferences on which our European social model is based.***

Or. fr

**Amendment 215**

**Norbert Erdős**

**Draft opinion**

**Paragraph 1 – point f**

*Draft opinion*

f. engage in a fully transparent, timely and

*Amendment*

f. engage in a fully transparent, timely and

comprehensive manner with all agricultural stakeholders on all aspects of the negotiations.

comprehensive manner with all agricultural stakeholders on all aspects of the negotiations, ***a process which should begin immediately.***

Or. hu

**Amendment 216**  
**Ulrike Müller**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 1 – point f a (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

***fa. not to include ISDS in the TTIP, since investor-to-state disputes may relate in particular to food safety provisions, too, and, at all events, only the courts in the Member States can provide effective legal protection and enjoy the necessary democratic legitimacy to rule competently, efficiently and cost-effectively on all likely disputes.***

Or. de

**Amendment 217**  
**Herbert Dorfmann**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 1 – point f a (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

***fa. to ensure the lift of the US ban on beef imports from the EU;***

Or. en

**Amendment 218**  
**Anja Hazekamp, Stefan Eck**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 1 – point f a (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

*fa. encourage ambition in the EU trading partners during the negotiations or bilateral talks, to improve their domestic animal welfare legislation to standards better than, or at least comparable to, EU standards on animal welfare;*

Or. en

**Amendment 219**  
**Lidia Senra Rodríguez, Matt Carthy, Luke Ming Flanagan, Anja Hazekamp, Pablo Iglesias, Miguel Viegas, Stefan Eck**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 1 – point f a (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

*fa. ensure that products such as GMOs or coming from cloned animals and their descendants, and with substances banned in the EU do no enter the EU market or end up in the EU food chain;*

Or. en

**Amendment 220**  
**Molly Scott Cato**  
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 1 – point f a (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

*fa. ensure transparency in the negotiations throughout the entire process; fulfil its obligation, according to TFEU Art 218.10, which the ECJ in a*

*recent ruling has confirmed as of statutory character, to keep Parliament fully informed on an immediate basis at all stages of the negotiations; work towards an agreement with the US Administration regarding the access of all Parliamentarians to the consolidated negotiation texts; ensure access for the public to relevant negotiation documents from all parties, with the exception of those which are to be classified with clear justification on a case-by-case basis, in line with Regulation (EC) No 1049/2001 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 30 May 2001 regarding public access to European Parliament, Council and Commission documents;*

Or. en

**Amendment 221**

**Marc Tarabella, Eric Andrieu, Jean-Paul Denanot, Maria Noichl, Paul Brannen**

**Draft opinion**

**Paragraph 1 – point f a (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

*fa. submit without delay a clear and objective study of the impact of TTIP on European agriculture, sector by sector, particularly its impact on small family farms.*

Or. fr

**Amendment 222**

**Edouard Ferrand, Philippe Loiseau**

**Draft opinion**

**Paragraph 1 – point f a (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

***fa. halt the negotiations if the previous points are not taken into account.***

Or. fr

**Amendment 223**

**Nicola Caputo**

**Draft opinion**

**Paragraph 1 – point f a (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

***fa. engage in a transparent, timely and comprehensive manner with all research institutions, both public and private, that work in the area of food safety and can provide considerable input and add substance to all aspects of the negotiations.***

Or. it

**Amendment 224**

**Matt Carthy**

**Draft opinion**

**Paragraph 1 – point f a (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

***fa. That this committee, while calling for the ISDS mechanism to be removed from any agreement specifically calls for Agricultural provisions to be exempt from ISDS if it generally included.***

Or. en

**Amendment 225**

**Anja Hazekamp, Stefan Eck**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 1 – point f b (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

*fb. ensure a level playing field by introducing a labelling requirement for imported products that are produced by production methods that are not compliant with EU standards on animal welfare, food safety, human and animal health;*

Or. en

**Amendment 226**  
**Lidia Senra Rodríguez, Matt Carthy, Luke Ming Flanagan, Anja Hazekamp, Pablo Iglesias, Miguel Viegas, Stefan Eck**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 1 – point f b (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

*fb. remove regulatory cooperation and any investor-state dispute settlement body (ISDS) as these defy EU standards on animal welfare, food safety, public and animal health, transparency, accountability and traceability.*

Or. en

**Amendment 227**  
**Molly Scott Cato**  
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 1 – point f b (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

*fb. publish impact assessments on the*

*relevant farming sectors, such as  
livestock, arable crops and vegetable  
production, within the next 6 months;*

Or. en