



2015/2105(INI)

4.5.2016

AMENDMENTS

1 - 135

Draft opinion
Esther Herranz García
(PE580.516v01-00)

A new forward-looking and innovative future strategy on trade and investment
(2015/2105(INI))

Amendment 1
Maria Lidia Senra Rodríguez

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

Amendment

1. Considers the opening of new markets to be of the utmost importance in the context of the current farming crisis and accordingly favours the balanced negotiation of bilateral or multilateral agreements with third countries, provided that the most sensitive sectors are adequately protected;

deleted

Or. es

Amendment 2
Philippe Loiseau, Edouard Ferrand

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

Amendment

1. Considers the opening of new markets to be of the utmost importance in the context of the current farming crisis and accordingly favours the balanced negotiation of bilateral or multilateral agreements with third countries, provided that the most sensitive sectors are adequately protected;

deleted

Or. fr

Amendment 3
Eric Andrieu, Jean-Paul Denanot, Ricardo Serrão Santos, Clara Eugenia Aguilera García, Paul Brannen, Marc Tarabella

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. Considers the opening of new markets to be ***of the utmost importance*** in the context of the current farming crisis and ***accordingly favours*** the ***balanced negotiation*** of bilateral or multilateral agreements with third countries, ***provided that*** the most sensitive sectors ***are adequately protected***;

Amendment

1. Considers the opening of new markets to be ***important*** in the context of the current farming crisis; ***takes the view, however, that export prospects, which are not the priority objective for European agricultural and food products, would not counterbalance the dangers that a multitude of bilateral trade agreements might pose for the most sensitive agricultural sectors, bearing in mind the competitive advantage enjoyed by farmers in certain third countries owing to their production models, the size of their production structures and their lower social, environmental, health and animal welfare requirements and standards; highlights, further, the need to negotiate and approve only those*** bilateral or multilateral agreements with third countries that ***are balanced and likely to provide adequate protection for*** the most sensitive sectors, ***through limited tariff quotas or simply by excluding the most sensitive sectors***;

Or. fr

Amendment 4
Georgios Epitideios

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. Considers the opening of new markets to be of the utmost importance in the context of the current farming crisis and accordingly favours the balanced negotiation of bilateral or multilateral agreements with third countries, provided that the most sensitive sectors are adequately protected;

Amendment

1. Considers the opening of new markets to be of the utmost importance in the context of the current farming crisis and accordingly favours the balanced negotiation of bilateral or multilateral agreements with third countries, provided that the most sensitive sectors are adequately protected, ***in order to avoid harming EU Member States supporting a substantial part of our economy in the farming sector; these agreements should therefore relate to products not***

originating in the EU or of which there is a shortage;

Or. el

Amendment 5

Marc Tarabella, Nicola Caputo, Paul Brannen, Eric Andrieu

Draft opinion

Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. Considers the opening of new markets to be of the utmost importance in the context of the current farming crisis and accordingly favours the balanced negotiation of bilateral or multilateral agreements with third countries, provided that the most sensitive sectors are adequately protected;

Amendment

1. Considers the opening of new markets to be of the utmost importance in the context of the current farming crisis and accordingly favours the balanced negotiation of bilateral or multilateral agreements with third countries, provided that the most sensitive sectors are adequately protected; ***considers it vital, however, to encourage domestic demand in relation to fruit and vegetable consumption in particular, since 24 of the 28 Member States consume less than the daily portion of 400 g recommended by the World Health Organisation;***

Or. fr

Amendment 6

Hannu Takkula

Draft opinion

Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. Considers the opening of new markets to be of the utmost importance in the context of the current farming crisis and accordingly favours the balanced negotiation of bilateral or multilateral agreements with third countries, provided that the most sensitive sectors are adequately protected;

Amendment

1. Considers the opening of new markets to be of the utmost importance in the context of the current farming crisis and accordingly favours the balanced negotiation of bilateral or multilateral agreements with third countries, provided that the most sensitive sectors are adequately protected; ***barring in mind that mutually beneficial trade agreements***

require a balanced approach to agricultural sector where the ability to maintain agricultural production in the EU must be one of the key principles of the negotiations;

Or. en

Amendment 7

Viorica Dăncilă, Daniel Buda

Draft opinion

Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. Considers the opening of new markets to be of the utmost importance in the context of the current farming crisis and accordingly favours the balanced negotiation of bilateral or multilateral agreements with third countries, provided that the most sensitive sectors are adequately protected;

Amendment

1. Considers the opening of new markets to be of the utmost importance in the context of the current farming crisis and accordingly favours the balanced negotiation of bilateral or multilateral agreements with third countries *that will provide clear benefits in terms of new market opportunities*, provided that the most sensitive sectors are adequately protected; *stresses that identifying new market outlets with a high purchasing potential should be the priority of the EU strategy;*

Or. ro

Amendment 8

José Bové

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion

Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. *Considers the opening of new markets to be of the utmost importance in the context of the current farming crisis and accordingly favours the balanced negotiation of bilateral or multilateral agreements with third countries, provided*

Amendment

1. *Rejects the Commission's strategy of seeking solutions to the chronic crisis of oversupply in many important European agricultural sectors such as dairy and pork through moving surpluses overseas, particularly in developing*

that the most sensitive sectors are adequately protected;

countries, to escape the consequences of artificially induced low prices in Europe; notes that explosive demand in emerging markets such in China which was supposed to permanently absorb ever-expanding surpluses has in many cases not materialised;

Or. en

Amendment 9

Michel Dantin, Herbert Dorfmann, Albert Deß, Sofia Ribeiro, Mairead McGuinness, Angélique Delahaye

Draft opinion Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. Considers the opening of new markets to be of the utmost importance in the context of the current farming crisis and accordingly favours the balanced negotiation of bilateral or multilateral agreements with third countries, provided that the most sensitive sectors are adequately protected;

Amendment

1. Considers the opening of new markets *for EU agricultural productions, such as dairy products, meat and live animals (pig, poultry and bovine), and fruits and vegetables*, to be of the utmost importance in the context of the current farming crisis and accordingly favours the balanced negotiation of bilateral or multilateral agreements with third countries, provided that the most sensitive sectors are adequately protected;

Or. en

Amendment 10 James Nicholson

Draft opinion Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. Considers the opening of new markets to be of the utmost importance in the context of the current farming crisis and accordingly favours the balanced negotiation of bilateral or multilateral agreements with third countries, *provided*

Amendment

1. Considers the opening of new markets *and the prioritisation of ambitious and balanced trade agreements, which take into account the sensitivities of European agriculture*, to be of the utmost importance; *particularly* in the context of

that the most sensitive sectors are adequately protected;

the current farming crisis and accordingly favours the balanced negotiation of bilateral or multilateral agreements with third countries,

Or. en

Amendment 11
Ulrike Müller

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. Considers the opening of new markets to be of the utmost importance in the context of the current farming crisis and accordingly favours the balanced negotiation of bilateral or multilateral agreements with third countries, provided that the most sensitive sectors are adequately protected;

Amendment

1. Considers the opening of new markets to be of the utmost importance in the context of the current farming crisis and accordingly favours the balanced negotiation of bilateral or multilateral agreements with third countries, provided that the most sensitive sectors *as well as the European food safety, animal health and environmental standards* are adequately protected;

Or. en

Amendment 12
Esther Herranz García, Ramón Luis Valcárcel Siso, Pilar Ayuso, Nuno Melo

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. Considers the opening of new markets to be of the utmost importance in the context of the current farming crisis and accordingly favours the balanced negotiation of bilateral or multilateral agreements with third countries, provided that the most sensitive sectors are adequately protected;

Amendment

1. Considers the opening of new markets to be of the utmost importance in the context of the current farming crisis and accordingly favours the balanced negotiation of bilateral or multilateral agreements with third countries, provided that the most sensitive sectors are adequately protected *by introducing suitable safeguard mechanisms.*

Or. es

Amendment 13
Miguel Viegas

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. Considers the opening of new markets to be *of the utmost importance* in the context of the current *farming* crisis and accordingly *favours* the balanced negotiation of bilateral or multilateral agreements with third countries, provided that the most sensitive sectors are *adequately protected*;

Amendment

1. Considers the opening of new markets to be *important* in the context of the current crisis, and accordingly *encourages* the balanced negotiation of bilateral or multilateral agreements with third countries, provided that *they ensure adequate protection for* the most sensitive sectors *and state mechanisms to regulate production are re-established*;

Or. pt

Amendment 14
Michela Giuffrida

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. Considers the opening of new markets to be of the utmost importance in the context of the current farming crisis and accordingly favours the balanced negotiation of bilateral or multilateral agreements with third countries, provided that the most sensitive sectors are adequately protected;

Amendment

1. Considers the opening of new markets to be of the utmost importance in the context of the current farming crisis and accordingly favours the balanced negotiation of bilateral or multilateral agreements, *providing for reciprocity mechanisms*, with third countries, provided that the most sensitive sectors are adequately protected;

Or. it

Amendment 15
Jasenko Selimovic

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. Considers the opening of new markets to be of the utmost importance in the context of the current farming crisis and accordingly favours the balanced negotiation of bilateral or multilateral agreements with third countries, provided that the most sensitive sectors are adequately protected;

Amendment

1. Considers the opening of new markets to be of the utmost importance in the context of the current farming crisis and accordingly favours the balanced negotiation of bilateral or multilateral agreements with third countries, provided that the most sensitive sectors are adequately protected ***for a certain period of time***;

Or. en

Amendment 16
Momchil Nekov

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. Considers the opening of new markets to be of the utmost importance in the context of the current farming crisis and accordingly favours the balanced negotiation of bilateral or multilateral agreements with third countries, provided that ***the most sensitive sectors*** are adequately protected;

Amendment

1. Considers the opening of new markets to be of the utmost importance in the context of the current farming crisis and accordingly favours the balanced negotiation of bilateral or multilateral agreements with third countries, provided that ***EU producers*** are adequately protected;

Or. bg

Amendment 17
Herbert Dorfmann

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. Considers the opening of new markets to be of the utmost importance in the context of the current farming crisis and accordingly favours the balanced negotiation of bilateral or multilateral

Amendment

1. Considers the opening of new markets to be of the utmost importance in the context of the current farming crisis and accordingly favours the balanced negotiation of bilateral or multilateral

agreements with third countries, provided that *the most* sensitive sectors are adequately protected;

agreements with third countries, provided that sensitive sectors are adequately protected;

Or. de

Amendment 18

Eric Andrieu, Jean-Paul Denanot, Clara Eugenia Aguilera García, Ricardo Serrão Santos, Paul Brannen, Marc Tarabella

Draft opinion

Paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

Stresses the importance of ensuring greater coherence between the measures proposed as part of the Union's new commercial strategy and those adopted as part of the common agricultural policy in order to guarantee adequate income for farmers and preserve a European production model that respects the environment, animals, food safety and regional balance; recalls, as already highlighted by the European Parliament in its resolution of 8 July 2015 on TTIP, the need to guarantee the economic and social viability of the European agricultural model;

Or. fr

Amendment 19

James Nicholson

Draft opinion

Paragraph 1 – point 1 (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

(1) Stresses that agricultural trade and the agri-food sector play a key role in driving economic growth and job creation, particularly in rural areas, highlights that investment in the sector and advancing Europe's position as a key

*player on the global market are essential
for the future of European farming;*

Or. en

Amendment 20
Michela Giuffrida

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

*Stresses the importance of genuine
equivalence of phytosanitary standards;*

Or. it

Amendment 21
Michela Giuffrida

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1 – point 1 (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

*(1) Considers that agreements with
third countries should be accompanied by
communication campaigns targeted at
European producers drawing attention to
the commercial opportunities afforded by
the agreements themselves;*

Or. it

Amendment 22
Michela Giuffrida

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1 – point 2 (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

*(2) Stresses the importance of
traceability of products, which enables*

consumers to check the real provenance of goods and the methods of cultivation;

Or. it

Amendment 23
Nicola Caputo

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

1a. Calls on the Commission to actively promote the agricultural interests of the EU and to facilitate access to the markets of third countries for European products, in view of the substantial export potential of high-quality European agrofood products; stresses, inter alia, the need to step up promotion programmes, including by increasing the EU's cofinancing rate;

Or. it

Amendment 24
Esther Herranz García, Ramón Luis Valcárcel Siso, Pilar Ayuso, Nuno Melo

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

1a. Welcomes the reference in the Commission communication 'Trade for all' to the agri-food industry as a sector in which export opportunities are of crucial importance and should be actively promoted through a new Community strategy on trade and investment.

Or. es

Amendment 25

Marc Tarabella, Nicola Caputo, Paul Brannen, Eric Andrieu

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

1a. Takes the view that the common agricultural policy has been a pillar of European policy since its introduction in 1962; recalls that, ever since the creation of the CAP, the European Union has insisted on ‘Community preference’, which means giving priority to products from the Member States;

Or. fr

Amendment 26
Albert Deß, Michel Dantin, Norbert Lins

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

1a. Stresses that Europe's agrofood industry is an efficient sector of the EU's economy which is distinguished by quality, diversity and innovation in production and which makes a vital contribution to food security;

Or. de

Amendment 27
José Bové
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

1 a. Calls on the Commission to encourage new forms of management of production levels to better balance supply

and demand within Europe, with a stronger focus on developing quality products in local markets and short supply chains;

Or. en

Amendment 28

Michel Dantin, Norbert Erdős, Herbert Dorfmann, Elisabeth Köstinger, Albert Deß, Sofia Ribeiro, Mairead McGuinness, Angélique Delahaye

Draft opinion

Paragraph 1 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

1 a. recalls the multifunctional nature of the agri-food sector and its specific sensitivities and constraints and demands that such sensitivities and constraints be considered in the conduct of EU trade policy;

Or. en

Amendment 29

Maria Lidia Senra Rodríguez

Draft opinion

Paragraph 1 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

1a. Takes the view that agricultural and food policies prioritising the external market are responsible to a large extent for the current context of the farming crisis, climate change and unemployment;

Or. es

Amendment 30

Philippe Loiseau, Edouard Ferrand, Laurențiu Rebegea

Draft opinion

AM\1094110EN.doc

15/61

PE582.257v01-00

EN

Paragraph 1 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

1a. Favours the balanced negotiation of bilateral agreements with third countries, provided that the most sensitive sectors are adequately protected;

Or. fr

Amendment 31

Marc Tarabella, Nicola Caputo, Eric Andrieu

Draft opinion

Paragraph 1 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

1b. Points out that the European Union's common agricultural policy runs counter to the major agricultural policies in the rest of the world, under which agricultural support is directly linked to prices or production volume and is characterised by its countercyclical nature; takes the view that it is important under these circumstances to negotiate agricultural free-trade agreements that do not destabilise the European agricultural and rural sector;

Or. fr

Amendment 32

Michel Dantin, Norbert Erdős, Herbert Dorfmann, Albert Deß, Sofia Ribeiro, Mairead McGuinness, Angélique Delahaye

Draft opinion

Paragraph 1 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

1 b. calls EU negotiators, in all free trade negotiations, such as those ongoing with the US and Mercosur, to strive to achieve a balanced result within the

agricultural chapter of such negotiations with market access opportunities which are equivalent on both sides; invites them therefore to resist the temptation of cross concessions at the expense of European agriculture;

Or. en

Amendment 33

José Bové

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion

Paragraph 1 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

1 b. Notes that the Commission's strategy of opening up trade with developed countries with lower environmental, economic, social and sanitary and phytosanitary standards than Europe will inevitably lead to the exposure of sensitive sectors like beef to higher tariff rate quotas, with serious consequences for small farmers in vulnerable regions in Europe;

Or. en

Amendment 34

Esther Herranz García, Ramón Luis Valcárcel Siso, Pilar Ayuso, Nuno Melo

Draft opinion

Paragraph 1 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

1b. Deplores that the communication 'Trade for all' does not explicitly recognise the multi-functional nature and the particular sensitivities of the farming sector, as a key element to be taken into account in developing the EU's trade policy;

Amendment 35
Maria Lidia Senra Rodríguez

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

1b. Considers regulating production and the markets and boosting small and medium-sized farms and local markets to be of the utmost importance;

Or. es

Amendment 36
Franc Bogovič

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

Amendment

2. Opposes any form of negotiation involving the treatment of European agriculture as an expendable bargaining chip and warns of the cumulative effect of tariff concessions granted under different trade agreements; points out that a zero rate of duty is imposed on 71 % of all EU agri-food imports;

2. Opposes any form of negotiation involving the treatment of European agriculture as an expendable bargaining chip and warns of the cumulative effect of tariff concessions granted under different trade agreements; points out that a zero rate of duty is imposed on 71 % of all EU agri-food imports; ***strongly insists that in negotiations on trade agreements it must be stipulated that agricultural products may only be imported into the EU if they were produced in compliance with European standards on consumer protection, animal well-being and environmental protection as well as minimum social standards;***

Or. sl

Amendment 37

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Opposes any form of negotiation involving the treatment of European agriculture as an expendable bargaining chip and warns of the cumulative effect of tariff concessions granted under different trade agreements; points out that a zero rate of duty is imposed on 71 % of all EU agri-food imports;

Amendment

2. Opposes any form of negotiation involving the treatment of European agriculture as an expendable bargaining chip and warns of the cumulative effect of tariff concessions granted under different trade agreements; points out that a zero rate of duty is imposed on 71 % of all EU agri-food imports; ***stresses the need for specific studies drawn up by independent bodies on the repercussions on European agriculture of existing trade agreements and the potential impact of those currently being negotiated;***

Or. fr

Amendment 38
Miguel Viegas

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Opposes any form of negotiation involving the treatment of European agriculture as an expendable bargaining chip ***and*** warns of the cumulative effect of tariff concessions granted under different trade agreements; points out that a zero rate of duty is imposed on 71 % of all EU agri-food imports;

Amendment

2. Opposes any form of negotiation involving the treatment of European agriculture as an expendable bargaining chip, warns of the cumulative effect of tariff concessions granted under different trade agreements; points out that a zero rate of duty is imposed on 71 % of all EU agri-food imports; ***recalls that the policies for the liberalisation of international trade in agricultural products implemented in successive reviews of the CAP have caused enormous damage to European farming;***

Or. pt

Amendment 39

Marc Tarabella, Nicola Caputo, Paul Brannen, Eric Andrieu

Draft opinion

Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. *Opposes* any form of negotiation involving the treatment of European agriculture as an expendable bargaining chip and warns of the cumulative effect of tariff concessions granted under different trade agreements; points out that a zero rate of duty is imposed on 71 % of all EU agri-food imports;

Amendment

2. *Stresses that agriculture is a highly strategic political issue on which food security and the way of life of all Europeans depend; opposes, therefore,* any form of negotiation involving the treatment of European agriculture as an expendable bargaining chip and warns of the cumulative effect of tariff concessions granted under different trade agreements; points out that a zero rate of duty is imposed on 71 % of all EU agri-food imports;

Or. fr

Amendment 40

Annie Schreijer-Pierik

Draft opinion

Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Opposes any form of negotiation involving the treatment of European agriculture as an expendable bargaining chip and warns of the cumulative effect of tariff concessions granted under different trade agreements; points out that a zero rate of duty is imposed on 71 % of all EU agri-food imports;

Amendment

2. Opposes any form of negotiation involving the treatment of European agriculture as an expendable bargaining chip and *in which farmers and horticulturists experience the consequences of political conflicts that do not originate in agriculture and horticulture, and* warns of the cumulative effect of tariff concessions granted under different trade agreements; points out that a zero rate of duty is imposed on 71 % of all EU agri-food imports;

Or. nl

Amendment 41

PE582.257v01-00

20/61

AM\1094110EN.doc

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Opposes any form of negotiation involving the treatment of European agriculture as an expendable bargaining chip and warns of the cumulative effect of tariff concessions granted under different trade agreements; points out that a zero rate of duty is imposed on 71 % of all EU agri-food imports;

Amendment

2. Opposes any form of negotiation ***jeopardising the investment efforts of the European agri-food sector and*** involving the treatment of European agriculture as an expendable bargaining chip and warns of the cumulative effect of tariff concessions granted under different trade agreements; Points out that a zero rate of duty is imposed on 71% of all EU agri-food imports;

Or. es

Amendment 42
James Nicholson

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Opposes any form of negotiation involving the treatment of European agriculture as an expendable bargaining chip and ***warns of the cumulative effect of tariff concessions granted under different trade agreements***; points out that a zero rate of duty is imposed on 71 % of all EU agri-food imports;

Amendment

2. Opposes any form of negotiation involving the treatment of European agriculture as an expendable bargaining chip and points out that a zero rate of duty is imposed on 71 % of all EU agri-food imports;

Or. en

Amendment 43
Michela Giuffrida

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

Amendment

2. Opposes any form of negotiation involving the treatment of European agriculture as an expendable bargaining chip and warns of the cumulative effect of tariff concessions granted under different trade agreements; points out that a zero rate of duty is imposed on 71 % of all EU agri-food imports;

2. Opposes any form of negotiation involving the treatment of European agriculture as an expendable bargaining chip and warns of the cumulative effect of tariff concessions granted under different trade agreements; points out that a zero rate of duty is imposed on 71 % of all EU agri-food imports **and that this may constitute unfair competition with European production**;

Or. it

Amendment 44

Michel Dantin, Norbert Erdős, Herbert Dorfmann, Albert Deß, Sofia Ribeiro, Mairead McGuinness, Angélique Delahaye

Draft opinion Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Opposes any form of negotiation involving the treatment of European agriculture as **an expendable** bargaining chip and warns **of** the cumulative effect of tariff concessions **granted under different trade agreements**; points out that a zero rate of duty is **imposed on** 71 % of all EU agri-food imports;

Amendment

2. opposes any form of negotiation involving the treatment of European agriculture as bargaining chip and warns **about** the cumulative effect of tariff concessions, **whether resulting from multilateral or bilateral negotiations or from autonomous schemes such as the GSP**; points out that a zero rate of duty is **already applicable to** 71 % of all EU agri-food imports;

Or. en

Amendment 45

Jasenko Selimovic, Ulrike Müller

Draft opinion Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Opposes any form of negotiation involving the treatment of European agriculture as an expendable bargaining chip **and warns of the cumulative effect of**

Amendment

2. Opposes any form of negotiation involving the treatment of European agriculture as an expendable bargaining chip; points out that a zero rate of duty is

tariff concessions granted under different trade agreements; points out that a zero rate of duty is imposed on 71 % of all EU agri-food imports;

imposed on 71 % of all EU agri-food imports;

Or. en

Amendment 46
Marco Zullo

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Opposes any form of negotiation involving the treatment of European agriculture as an expendable bargaining chip ***and*** warns of the cumulative effect of tariff concessions granted under different trade agreements; points out that a zero rate of duty is imposed on 71 % of all EU agri-food imports;

Amendment

2. Opposes any form of negotiation involving the treatment of European agriculture as an expendable bargaining chip; ***deplores the fact that such negotiations are increasingly common***; warns of the cumulative effect of tariff concessions granted under different trade agreements; points out that a zero rate of duty is imposed on 71 % of all EU agri-food imports;

Or. it

Amendment 47
Eric Andrieu, Jean-Paul Denanot, Ricardo Serrão Santos, Clara Eugenia Aguilera García, Paul Brannen, Marc Tarabella

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Opposes any form of negotiation involving the treatment of European agriculture as an expendable bargaining chip and ***warns of*** the cumulative effect of tariff concessions granted under different trade agreements; points out that a zero rate of duty is imposed on 71 % of all EU agri-food imports;

Amendment

2. Opposes any form of negotiation involving the treatment of European agriculture as an expendable bargaining chip and ***emphasises the threats posed by*** the cumulative effect of tariff concessions granted under different trade agreements; points out that a zero rate of duty is imposed on 71 % of all EU agri-food imports;

Amendment 48
James Nicholson

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2 – point 1 (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

(1) Calls on the Commission to publish an impact assessment of the cumulative effect of tariff concessions and market openings granted under different trade agreements without delay;

Or. en

Amendment 49
Marc Tarabella, Nicola Caputo, Paul Brannen, Eric Andrieu

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

2a. Takes the view that any trade negotiations must be aimed at the strict preservation of standards on food security and human and animal health, as defined under EU legislation, ensure that fundamental values of the EU such as the precautionary principle and sustainable agriculture are respected, and firmly oppose any lowering of food standards;

Or. fr

Amendment 50
Michel Dantin, Norbert Erdős, Herbert Dorfmann, Albert Deß, Sofia Ribeiro, Mairead McGuinness, Angélique Delahaye

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

2 a. *considers that the free trade agreement about to be concluded with Canada and those currently under negotiation with the USA and Mercosur or envisaged with Australia and New Zealand will open up the EU market to the most competitive agricultural producers in the world and those with the greatest export potential ; calls therefore on the Commission to defend sensitive agricultural products in a appropriate way;*

Or. en

Amendment 51

Esther Herranz García, Ramón Luis Valcárcel Siso, Pilar Ayuso, Nuno Melo

Draft opinion

Paragraph 2 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

2a. *Notes that with the imminent entry into force of the free trade agreement with Canada, the ongoing negotiations with the USA and Mercosur and the possible opening of negotiations with Australia and New Zealand, as set out in the communication ‘Trade for All’, the EU is about to open up its markets to the most competitive agricultural exporters with the most export potential in the world;*

Or. es

Amendment 52

Franc Bogovič

Draft opinion

Paragraph 2 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

2 a. *points out to the conclusions of the*

report Agriculture in the Transatlantic Trade and Investment Partnership: Tariffs, Tariff-Rate Quotas, and Non-Tariff Measures, prepared by the United States Department of Agriculture, which clearly shows that agricultural sector in the US would benefit far more than European agricultural sector from any deal between the two mentioned counterparts;

Or. en

Amendment 53
Nicola Caputo, Marc Tarabella

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

2a. Deplores the Commission's approach, which too often grants concessions in the field of agriculture for the purpose of gaining better access, in third countries, to the market for industrial goods and services; calls on the Commission to stop placing the interests of industry and services before those of agriculture;

Or. it

Amendment 54
Jasenko Selimovic

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

2 a. Warns of the short-term cumulative effect of tariff concessions granted under different trade agreements on the EU's agricultural sector, but underlines that complete tariff elimination on agricultural products is the

long-term objective of free trade agreements;

Or. en

Amendment 55
Jasenko Selimovic

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

2 b. Recalls that the US continues to remain the EU's biggest market for agricultural exports; calls on the Commission to ensure that the TTIP negotiations lead to an ambitious, comprehensive and balanced trade and investment agreement of a high standard that would promote sustainable, inclusive growth, as well as increased trade flows, for the EU's agricultural sector;

Or. en

Amendment 56
Marc Tarabella, Nicola Caputo, Paul Brannen, Eric Andrieu

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

2b. Regrets that agriculture is the adjustment variable in international negotiations; regrets that this also applies to the negotiations with the US;

Or. fr

Amendment 57
Jasenko Selimovic

Draft opinion

Paragraph 2 c (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

2 c. *Underlines the importance of the AA/DCFTAs with Georgia, Moldova and Ukraine for the EU's agricultural sector, and calls on the Commission and the EU Member States to rapidly ensure the full implementation of these agreements;*

Or. en

Amendment 58

Eric Andrieu, Jean-Paul Denanot, Ricardo Serrão Santos, Clara Eugenia Aguilera García, Paul Brannen, Marc Tarabella

Draft opinion

Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

3. *Urges* the Commission to *assess* the impact on *Europe* of *fresh trade concessions* to *third countries* and forward its findings to the European Parliament before accepting or making any commercial offer;

Amendment

3. *Calls on* the Commission to *adopt a strategy which protects sensitive sectors and applies to all trade agreements concluded or under negotiation, and to give an overview of the concessions already granted or in the process of being granted so that there is no runaway accumulation of concessions; therefore looks forward to the results of the impact assessment on the cumulative effect of the various sensitive-product quotas already granted to our partners (under negotiation or planned) as promised by the European Commission at the Agriculture and Fisheries Council meeting on 11 April 2016 and urges it to* forward its findings to the European Parliament before accepting or making any commercial offer;

Or. fr

Amendment 59

Esther Herranz García, Ramón Luis Valcárcel Siso, Pilar Ayuso, Nuno Melo

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

3. Urges the Commission to *assess* the impact on Europe of fresh trade concessions to third countries *and* forward its findings to the European Parliament before accepting or making any commercial offer;

Amendment

3. Urges the Commission to *exercise the utmost caution when preparing or reviewing offers for market access in trade negotiations, particularly in respect of sensitive products, and calls for such offers to be systematically based on a exhaustive assessment of* the impact on Europe of fresh trade concessions to third countries; *calls on the Commission to* forward its findings to the European Parliament before accepting or making any commercial offer

Or. es

Amendment 60

Michel Dantin, Norbert Erdős, Herbert Dorfmann, Elisabeth Köstinger, Albert Deß, Sofia Ribeiro, Mairead McGuinness, Angélique Delahaye

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

3. Urges the Commission to assess the impact on *Europe* of *fresh* trade concessions to third countries and *forward* its findings to the European Parliament before *accepting* or *making* any *commercial* offer;

Amendment

3. urges the Commission to *thoroughly* assess the *cumulative* impact on *the agri-food sector of current and pending* trade concessions to third countries, *especially with respect to sensitive products, and to submit* its findings to the European Parliament before *making or revising any market access* offer; *recalls that the Commission also has to present an individual impact assessment for any new proposal for a trade agreement;*

Or. en

Amendment 61

José Bové
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

3. Urges the Commission to assess the impact on Europe of fresh trade concessions to third countries and forward its findings to the European Parliament before accepting or making any commercial offer;

Amendment

3. Urges the Commission to assess the impact on Europe of fresh trade concessions to third countries and forward its findings to the European Parliament before accepting or making any commercial offer; ***urges the Commission, if these impact assessments reveal adverse consequences for sensitive European sectors, to amend or withdraw commercial offers already on the table or in preparation for submission accordingly;***

Or. en

Amendment 62
Norbert Erdős

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

3. Urges the Commission to assess the impact on Europe of fresh trade concessions to third countries and forward its findings to the European Parliament before accepting or making any commercial offer;

Amendment

3. Urges the Commission to assess the impact on Europe of fresh trade concessions to third countries and forward its findings to the European Parliament ***in good time; will await Parliament's position on this matter*** before accepting or making any commercial offer; ***stresses that the customs proposal recently made by MERCOSUR is unacceptable to the European Parliament;***

Or. hu

Amendment 63
James Nicholson

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

3. Urges the Commission to assess the impact on Europe of fresh trade concessions to third countries and forward its findings to the European Parliament before accepting or making any commercial offer;

Amendment

3. Urges the Commission to assess the impact on Europe of fresh trade concessions to third countries and forward its findings to the European Parliament before accepting or making any commercial offer; ***calls on the Commission to engage comprehensively with stakeholders in the European agri-food sector before entering into any new free trade negotiations;***

Or. en

Amendment 64
Miguel Viegas

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

3. Urges the Commission to assess the impact on Europe of fresh trade concessions to third countries and forward its findings to the European Parliament before accepting or making any commercial offer;

Amendment

3. Urges the Commission to ***conduct an evaluation of the EU's opening up to the global market in farming products in recent decade and to*** assess the impact on Europe of fresh trade concessions to third countries and forward its findings to the European Parliament before accepting or making any commercial offer;

Or. pt

Amendment 65
Hannu Takkula

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

3. Urges the Commission to assess the ***impact on Europe of fresh trade concessions*** to third countries and forward its findings to the European Parliament before accepting or making any

Amendment

3. Urges the Commission to ***comprehensively*** assess the ***impacts on EUs agricultural sector of all negotiated or future trade connections to the*** third countries and forward its findings to the

commercial *offer*;

European Parliament before accepting or making any *new* commercial *offers*;

Or. en

Amendment 66

Jasenko Selimovic, Ulrike Müller

Draft opinion

Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

3. Urges the Commission to assess the impact on *Europe* of fresh trade concessions to third countries and forward its findings to the European Parliament before accepting or making any commercial offer;

Amendment

3. Urges the Commission to assess the impact on *the EU's agricultural sector* of fresh trade concessions to third countries and forward its findings to the European Parliament before accepting or making any commercial offer;

Or. en

Amendment 67

John Stuart Agnew

Draft opinion

Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

3. Urges the Commission to assess the impact on *Europe* of fresh trade concessions to third countries and forward its findings to the European Parliament before accepting or making any commercial offer;

Amendment

3. Urges the Commission to assess the impact on *the European Union* of fresh trade concessions to third countries and forward its findings to the European Parliament before accepting or making any commercial offer;

Or. en

Amendment 68

Momchil Nekov

Draft opinion

Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

3. Urges the Commission to assess the impact on Europe of fresh trade concessions to third countries and forward its findings to the European Parliament before accepting or making any commercial offer;

Amendment

3. Urges the Commission to assess the impact on Europe of fresh trade concessions to third countries and forward its findings to the European Parliament before **proposing**, accepting or making any commercial offer;

Or. bg

Amendment 69
Michela Giuffrida

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3 – point 1 (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

(1) Stresses that impact assessments should be conducted ex ante and should also include detailed analyses of the impact on local and regional markets;

Or. it

Amendment 70
Nicola Caputo, Marc Tarabella

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

3a. Calls on the Commission to conduct impact assessments of European agriculture and to publish the results before launching negotiations; stresses the need to consult all stakeholders, particularly at Parliament; considers that these impact assessments should consider the particular characteristics of all basic agricultural products;

Or. it

Amendment 71
Maria Lidia Senra Rodríguez

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

3a. Takes the view that no trade agreement should be based on the mass movement around the world of people's staple food. adds that right of peoples and their farmers and farmers to produce their own food must be respected, something that would also help to reduce greenhouse gas emissions and the wastage of energy;

Or. es

Amendment 72
Eric Andrieu, Jean-Paul Denanot, Ricardo Serrão Santos, Clara Eugenia Aguilera García, Paul Brannen, Marc Tarabella

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

3a. Opposes, with regard to sensitive products, as mentioned in its resolution of 8 July 2015 on the TTIP negotiations, any accumulation of rules of origin for products from third countries with which the EU and its FTA partners already have free trade agreements;

Or. fr

Amendment 73
Jasenko Selimovic

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

3 a. Stresses that, in the context of TTIP and other on-going negotiations on regulatory issues, broader international regulatory cooperation in agricultural matters should be reinforced and should also have positive spill-overs in third countries, in particular in developing countries;

Or. en

Amendment 74
Albert Deß

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

3a. Calls for agricultural imports into the EU to be permitted only if the imported products meet European standards of consumer protection, animal welfare and environmental protection and comply with minimum social standards;

Or. de

Amendment 75
Michela Giuffrida

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

3a. Calls on the Commission to assess the cumulative impact of the European Union's trade agreements with third countries;

Or. it

Amendment 76
Albert Deß, Michel Dantin, Elisabeth Köstinger

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

3b. *Calls for special protection for certain sensitive product sectors such as poultry, beef, pigmeat, cereals and sugar, which means excluding them from tariff reductions;*

Or. de

Amendment 77
John Stuart Agnew

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

Amendment

4. Takes the view that trade agreements should ensure a level playing field between the different trading partners in the agricultural sector, taking into account the high environmental, food safety and social costs to be met by European farmers, so as to ensure that the latter are able to benefit fully from the opening of new markets;

4. Takes the view that trade agreements should ensure a level playing field between the different trading partners in the agricultural sector, taking into account the high environmental, food safety and social costs to be met by European farmers, so as to ensure that the latter are able to benefit fully from the opening of new markets; ***notes the wide differential in animal welfare standards internationally and condemns using the lowest common demoninator in trade agreements, especially when standards of implementation and enforcement of Union legislation within the EU itself are so diverse;***

Or. en

Amendment 78
Franc Bogovič

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Takes the view that trade agreements should ensure a level playing field between the different trading partners in the agricultural sector, taking into account the high environmental, food safety and social costs to be met by European farmers, so as to ensure that the latter are able to benefit fully from the opening of new markets;

Amendment

4. Takes the view that trade agreements should ensure a level playing field between the different trading partners in the agricultural sector, taking into account the high environmental, food safety and social costs to be met by European farmers, so as to ensure that the latter are able to benefit fully from the opening of new markets; ***stresses that the fundamental values of EU agriculture, such as the precautionary principle, sustainable agriculture and a high level of traceability and product labelling, must not be jeopardised in trade negotiations with third countries;***

Or. sl

Amendment 79
Miguel Viegas

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Takes the view that trade agreements should ensure a level playing field between the different trading partners in the agricultural sector, taking into account the high environmental, food safety and social costs to be met by European farmers, so as to ensure that the latter are able to benefit fully from the opening of new markets;

Amendment

4. Takes the view that trade agreements should ensure a level playing field between the different trading partners in the agricultural sector, taking into account the high environmental, food safety and social costs to be met by European farmers, so as to ensure that the latter are able to benefit fully from the opening of new markets, ***preserving a model of production based on small and medium-sized farms able to ensure economic, social and territorial cohesion;***

Or. pt

Amendment 80
Daniel Buda, Viorica Dăncilă

Draft opinion

Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Takes the view that trade agreements should ensure a level playing field between the different trading partners in the agricultural sector, taking into account the high environmental, food safety and social costs to be met by European farmers, so as to ensure that the latter are able to benefit fully from the opening of new markets;

Amendment

4. Takes the view that trade agreements should ensure a level playing field between the different trading partners in the agricultural sector, taking into account the high environmental, food safety and social costs to be met by European farmers, so as to ensure that the latter are able to benefit fully from the opening of new markets; ***stresses, in this regard, the importance of identifying market outlets in third-country economies with high purchasing power:***

Or. ro

Amendment 81

Michel Dantin, Herbert Dorfmann, Albert Deß, Sofia Ribeiro, Mairead McGuinness, Angélique Delahaye

Draft opinion

Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. ***Takes the view that trade agreements should ensure a level playing field between the different trading partners in the agricultural sector, taking into account the high environmental, food safety and social costs to be met by European farmers, so as to ensure that the latter are able to benefit fully from the opening of new markets;***

Amendment

4. ***considers that the EU trade strategy should be coherent with the objectives of the Common Agricultural Policy as set out in the TFEU; warns in this regard against the risk of disrupting the "level playing field" between the EU and its trade partners if free trade is introduced without any consideration for the domestic policies conducted on both sides and for the high costs borne by European farmers as a result of strict environmental, food safety and social standards;***

Or. en

Amendment 82

James Nicholson

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Takes the view that trade agreements should ensure a level playing field between the different trading partners in the agricultural sector, taking into account the high environmental, food safety and social costs to be met by European farmers, so as to ensure that the latter are able to benefit fully from the opening of new markets;

Amendment

4. Takes the view that trade agreements should ensure a level playing field between the different trading partners in the agricultural sector, taking into account the high environmental, food safety and social costs to be met by European farmers, so as to ensure that the latter are able to benefit fully from the opening of new markets ***and to treat as sensitive those products for which direct competition would expose EU agricultural producers to excessive pressure;***

Or. en

Amendment 83
Marco Zullo

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Takes the view that trade agreements should ensure a level playing field between the different trading partners in the agricultural sector, taking into account the high environmental, food safety and social costs to be met by European farmers, so as to ensure that the latter are able to benefit fully from the opening of new markets;

Amendment

4. Takes the view that trade agreements should ensure a level playing field between the different trading partners in the agricultural sector, taking into account the high environmental, food safety and social costs to be met by European farmers, so as to ensure that the latter are able to benefit fully from the opening of new markets ***while protecting them from third country competitors that enjoy the advantage of lower production costs;***

Or. it

Amendment 84
Marc Tarabella, Nicola Caputo, Paul Brannen, Eric Andrieu

Draft opinion

Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Takes the view that trade agreements should ensure a level playing field between the different trading partners in the agricultural sector, taking into account the high environmental, food safety and social costs to be met by European farmers, so as to ensure that the latter are able to benefit fully from the opening of new markets;

Amendment

4. Takes the view that trade agreements should ensure a level playing field between the different trading partners in the agricultural sector, taking into account the high environmental, food safety and social costs to be met by European farmers, so as to ensure that the latter are able to benefit fully from the opening of new markets; ***tariff barriers remain an indispensable tool to this end and must be maintained;***

Or. fr

Amendment 85

José Bové

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion

Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Takes the view that trade agreements should ensure a level playing field between the different trading partners in the agricultural sector, taking into account the ***high*** environmental, food safety and social ***costs to be met by European farmers***, so as to ensure that ***the latter are able to benefit fully from the opening of new markets;***

Amendment

4. Takes the view that trade agreements should ensure a level playing field between the different trading partners in the agricultural sector, taking into account the ***higher*** environmental, food safety and social ***standards in the EU, reflecting our societal values***, so as to ensure that ***small farmers and those in vulnerable areas do not lose out, and that the EU maintains its powerful marketing brand of high quality production;***

Or. en

Amendment 86

Esther Herranz García, Ramón Luis Valcárcel Siso, Pilar Ayuso, Nuno Melo

Draft opinion

Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. ***Takes the view that trade agreements should ensure a level playing field between the different trading partners in the agricultural sector, taking into account the high environmental, food safety and social costs to be met by European farmers, so as to ensure that the latter are able to benefit fully from the opening of new markets;***

Amendment

4. ***Stresses that that Europe's high standards concerning the environment, food safety, animal welfare and social well-being put EU farmers at an economic disadvantage in respect of their counterparts in third countries; takes the view that trade agreements should promote fair competitiveness between the different trading partners so as to ensure that farmers are able to benefit fully from tariff concessions;***

Or. es

Amendment 87

Annie Schreijer-Pierik

Draft opinion

Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Takes the view that trade agreements should ensure a level playing field between the different trading partners in the agricultural sector, taking into account the high environmental, food safety and social costs to be met by European farmers, so as to ensure that the latter are able to benefit fully from the opening of new markets;

Amendment

4. Takes the view that trade agreements should ensure a level playing field between the different trading partners in the agricultural sector, taking into account the high environmental, food safety and social costs to be met by European farmers, so as to ensure that the latter are able to benefit fully from the opening of new markets ***without being exposed to unfair competition;***

Or. nl

Amendment 88

Momchil Nekov

Draft opinion

Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Takes the view that trade agreements should ensure a level playing

Amendment

4. Takes the view that trade agreements should ensure a level playing

field between the different trading partners in the agricultural sector, taking into account the high environmental, food safety and social costs to be met by European farmers, so as to ensure that the latter are able to benefit fully from the opening of new markets;

field between the different trading partners in the agricultural sector, taking into account the high environmental, ***plant health, animal health and welfare***, food safety and social costs to be met by European farmers, so as to ensure that the latter are able to benefit fully from the opening of new markets;

Or. bg

Amendment 89
Michela Giuffrida

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Takes the view that trade agreements should ensure a level playing field between the different trading partners in the agricultural sector, taking into account the high environmental, food safety and social costs to be met by European farmers, so as to ensure that the latter are able to benefit fully from the opening of new markets;

Amendment

4. Takes the view that trade agreements should ensure a level playing field between the different trading partners in the agricultural sector, taking into account the high environmental, food safety and social costs to be met by European farmers, so as to ensure that the latter are able to benefit fully from the opening of new markets ***and bearing in mind that the obligations imposed on European producers regarding environmental sustainability and food safety not imposed on farmers elsewhere***;

Or. it

Amendment 90
Michela Giuffrida

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4 – subparagraph 1 (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

Considers that trade agreements must include safeguard clauses in respect of provisions to be activated by simplified and flexible mechanisms;

Amendment 91
James Nicholson

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4 – point 1 (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

(1) Stresses that respect for food safety and human and animal health standards should be a fundamental tenet of any negotiations for European agriculture;

Or. en

Amendment 92
Michela Giuffrida

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4 – point 1 (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

(1) considers that the Commission must submit to Parliament clear and reliable customs information regarding goods entering the Union and they should be monitored;

Or. it

Amendment 93
Georgios Epitideios

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

4a. Considers that trade agreements concluded with third countries should work for the benefit of the EU and its Member States; the Commission should therefore examine thoroughly the possible

consequences in the financial sector of a Member State with strong agricultural production, which might, in the long term, influence the Union itself;

Or. el

Amendment 94
Maria Lidia Senra Rodríguez

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

4a. Stresses that no trade agreement for the sale of agricultural products that are produced on land which should be given back to local farmers to produce staple food for their communities and/or cause the relocation of agricultural production should be supported;

Or. es

Amendment 95
Nicola Caputo, Marc Tarabella

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

4a. Recalls that EU producers are obliged to meet the highest standards in terms of quality, product hygiene, sustainable production methods, plant health, animal health and welfare, traceability, pesticide residue control, veterinary medicine and additives;

Or. it

Amendment 96
Marc Tarabella, Nicola Caputo, Paul Brannen, Eric Andrieu

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

4a. *Calls on the Commission to ensure that compliance with European standards of food safety, human, plant and animal health, and consumer protection is a fundamental and essential principle of the negotiations for European agriculture;*

Or. fr

Amendment 97
Michela Giuffrida

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

4a. *believes that particular attention should be given to the protection of small and medium-sized agricultural enterprises, both in negotiating agreements and in analysing the impact thereof;*

Or. it

Amendment 98
Nicola Caputo, Marc Tarabella

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

4b. *Strongly believes that producers in third countries wishing to export to the EU must provide European consumers with the same guarantees in terms of health, food safety, animal welfare, sustainable development and minimum social standards as those required of EU*

producers; stresses that this is the only way to ensure that EU farmers compete with third countries on equal terms and stresses the need for tighter import controls at borders and more stringent Food and Veterinary Office inspections of production and marketing conditions in countries exporting to the EU in order to ensure compliance with Union rules;

Or. it

Amendment 99

Marco Zullo

Draft opinion

Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

5. Considers that the protection of geographical indications should be a sine qua non in trade negotiations with third countries, especially the USA;

Amendment

5. Considers that the protection of geographical indications should be a sine qua non in trade negotiations with third countries, especially the USA; *regrets therefore that, under the trade agreement with Canada, a number of major denominations that enjoy protection at European level have been treated as generic or semi-generic; urges the Commission in this regard to give support during trade negotiations to those countries that have not yet introduced arrangements for the protection of geographical designations, so as to create effective systems compatible with existing EU provisions;*

Or. it

Amendment 100

Michel Dantin, Norbert Erdős, Herbert Dorfmann, Elisabeth Köstinger, Albert Deß, Sofia Ribeiro, Mairead McGuinness, Angélique Delahaye

Draft opinion

Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

5. Considers that the protection of geographical indications should be a sine qua non in trade negotiations with third countries, especially the USA;

Amendment

5. considers that the protection of geographical indications should be a sine qua non in trade negotiations with third countries, especially the USA; ***calls on the Commission to stand firm on this matter and to not consider GI protection as a substitute for genuine market opening from the other party; considers that sensitive agricultural products should be excluded from any "cumulation of origins" for products imported from third countries with which the EU and its FTA's partners already have a free trade agreement in order to protect the real European origin in the agriculture, instead of opening the EU origin to third countries;***

Or. en

Amendment 101
Franc Bogovič

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

5. Considers that the ***protection of geographical indications*** should be a sine qua non in trade negotiations with third countries, especially the USA;

Amendment

5. Considers that ***protected geographical indications are one of the biggest competitive advantages of European agriculture and that their protection should therefore be a sine qua non in trade negotiations with third countries, especially the USA; stresses that the conclusion of trade agreements may provide opportunities for European organic farming through new markets for high-quality, high-price agricultural products;***

Or. sl

Amendment 102
Philippe Loiseau, Edouard Ferrand

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

5. Considers that the protection of geographical indications *should be a sine qua non in trade negotiations with third countries, especially the USA;*

Amendment

5. Considers that the protection of geographical indications, *environmental and health standards and the preservation of the European livestock sector make it necessary to suspend negotiations on free trade agreements with the US, Canada, Australia and New Zealand; for the same reasons, considers that it would be inappropriate to reopen discussions with MERCOSUR members;*

Or. fr

Amendment 103
Norbert Erdős

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

5. Considers that the protection of geographical indications should be a sine qua non in trade negotiations with third countries, especially the USA;

Amendment

5. Considers that the protection of geographical indications *and strict safeguarding of EU health, food safety, environmental, consumer protection and animal welfare provisions* should be a sine qua non in trade negotiations with third countries, especially the USA, *MERCOSUR, Australia and New Zealand;*

Or. hu

Amendment 104
Esther Herranz García, Ramón Luis Valcárcel Siso, Pilar Ayuso, Nuno Melo

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

Amendment

5. Considers that the protection of geographical indications *should be* a sine qua non in trade negotiations with third countries, *especially* the USA;

5. Considers that *EU negotiators should demand* the protection of geographical indications *as* a sine qua non in trade negotiations with third countries; *urges the Commission to continue to hold a firm position in this respect, particularly in negotiations for the free-trade agreement with* the USA.

Or. es

Amendment 105
Michela Giuffrida

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

5. Considers that the protection of geographical indications should be a sine qua non in trade negotiations with third countries, especially the USA;

Amendment

5. Considers that the protection of geographical indications should be a sine qua non in trade negotiations with third countries, especially the USA *and that all trade agreements should include protective measures and actions to combat counterfeiting*;

Or. it

Amendment 106
Marc Tarabella, Nicola Caputo, Paul Brannen, Eric Andrieu

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

5. Considers that the protection of geographical indications should be a sine qua non in trade negotiations with third countries, especially the USA;

Amendment

5. Considers that the protection of geographical indications should be a sine qua non in trade negotiations with third countries, especially the USA; *therefore opposes the conclusion of any trade agreement which does not comply with the principle of geographical indications*;

Or. fr

Amendment 107
Momchil Nekov

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

5. Considers that the protection of geographical indications should be a sine qua non in trade negotiations with third countries, especially the USA;

Amendment

5. Considers, ***given that all third countries have the option of registering products under the EU geographical indications schemes***, that the protection of ***all*** geographical indications should be a sine qua non in trade negotiations with third countries, especially the USA;

Or. bg

Amendment 108
Daniel Buda

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

5. Considers that the protection of geographical indications should be a sine qua non in trade negotiations with third countries, especially the USA;

Amendment

5. Considers that the protection of geographical indications should be a sine qua non in trade negotiations with third countries, especially the USA; ***stresses the need to use animal breeding books in these negotiations***;

Or. ro

Amendment 109
Eric Andrieu, Jean-Paul Denanot, Ricardo Serrão Santos, Clara Eugenia Aguilera García, Paul Brannen, Marc Tarabella

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

5. Considers that the protection of

Amendment

5. Considers that the protection of

geographical indications should be a sine qua non in trade negotiations with third countries, especially the USA;

geographical indications should be a sine qua non in trade negotiations with third countries, especially the USA; ***considers that any agreement which would not protect geographical indications should not be signed;***

Or. fr

Amendment 110
Jasenko Selimovic, Ulrike Müller

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

5. Considers that the protection of geographical indications should be a sine qua non in trade negotiations with third countries, especially the **USA**;

Amendment

5. Considers that the protection of geographical indications should be a sine qua non in trade negotiations with third countries, especially ***within the framework of the on-going TTIP negotiations;***

Or. en

Amendment 111
José Bové
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

5. Considers that the protection of geographical indications should be a sine qua non in trade negotiations with third countries, especially the USA;

Amendment

5. Considers that the protection of geographical indications should be a sine qua non in trade negotiations with third countries, especially the USA ***and Canada;***

Or. en

Amendment 112
James Nicholson

Draft opinion

Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

5. Considers that the protection of geographical indications should be a *sine qua non* in trade negotiations with third countries, *especially the USA*;

Amendment

5. Considers that the protection of geographical indications should be a *central tenet in all* trade negotiations with third countries,

Or. en

Amendment 113

James Nicholson

Draft opinion

Paragraph 5 – point 1 (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

(1) Stresses in particular the dangers for the European beef sector if any agreement is made with the Mercosur bloc without adequate protection for this and other sensitive sectors;

Or. en

Amendment 114

Nicola Caputo, Marc Tarabella

Draft opinion

Paragraph 5 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

5a. Regrets that, under recently concluded or ongoing trade negotiations, only a 'short list' of EU geographical indications is protected by our trading partners; asks the Commission to establish rules ensuring a high level of protection of all EU geographical indications of economic relevance on the markets of third countries with which negotiations are under way, together with suitable implementing measures; notes that the protection and recognition of

*geographical indicators in third countries
is potentially of great value to the entire
EU agri-food sector;*

Or. it

Amendment 115
Norbert Erdős

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

*5 a. Will not accept any reduction in
powers to the detriment of DG AGRI in
the area of international trade;*

Or. hu

Amendment 116
Ulrike Müller

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6

Draft opinion

Amendment

*6. Stresses the importance of
progress regarding phytosanitary barriers,
paying particular attention to the red lines
drawn by the European Union that might
have implications for the health of
consumers.*

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 117
Philippe Loiseau, Edouard Ferrand, Laurențiu Rebegea

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6

Draft opinion

Amendment

6. Stresses the importance of progress regarding phytosanitary barriers, ***paying particular attention to*** the red lines drawn by the European Union that might have implications for the health of consumers.

6. Stresses the importance of progress regarding phytosanitary barriers, ***especially regarding*** the red lines drawn by the European Union that might have implications for the health of consumers; ***considers that it should not be possible to import into the EU agricultural products which are not permitted to be produced there.***

Or. fr

Amendment 118
Daniel Buda

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6

Draft opinion

6. Stresses the importance of progress regarding phytosanitary barriers, paying particular attention to the red lines drawn by the European Union that might have implications for the health of consumers.

Amendment

6. Stresses the importance of progress regarding phytosanitary barriers, paying particular attention to the red lines drawn by the European Union that might have implications for the health of consumers; ***insists that consumers must be given accurate information on the characteristics of the products traded.***

Or. ro

Amendment 119
Michel Dantin, Norbert Erdős, Herbert Dorfmann, Elisabeth Köstinger, Albert Deß, Sofia Ribeiro, Mairead McGuinness, Angélique Delahaye

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6

Draft opinion

6. Stresses the importance of progress regarding phytosanitary barriers, paying particular attention to the red lines drawn by the European Union that might have implications for the health of consumers.

Amendment

6. stresses the importance of progress regarding ***sanitary, phytosanitary and other non-tariff*** barriers ***to agricultural trade, in all free trade negotiations,*** paying particular attention to the red lines drawn by the European Union that might have implications for the health of

consumers;

Or. en

Amendment 120
Annie Schreijer-Pierik

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6

Draft opinion

6. Stresses the importance of progress regarding phytosanitary barriers, ***paying particular attention to the red lines drawn by the European Union*** that might have implications for the health of consumers.

Amendment

6. Stresses the importance of progress regarding phytosanitary barriers, ***especially in fields*** that might have implications for the health of consumers.

Or. nl

Amendment 121
José Bové
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6

Draft opinion

6. Stresses the importance of ***progress regarding*** phytosanitary ***barriers, paying particular attention to the red lines drawn by the European Union that might have implications for the health of consumers.***

Amendment

6. Stresses the importance of ***upholding the EU's higher*** phytosanitary, ***food safety, animal welfare and social standards in trade agreements - trade negotiations must under no circumstances be used to alter or amend democratically decided EU legislation by the back door;***

Or. en

Amendment 122
Eric Andrieu, Jean-Paul Denanot, Ricardo Serrão Santos, Clara Eugenia Aguilera García, Paul Brannen, Marc Tarabella

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6

Draft opinion

6. Stresses the importance of progress regarding phytosanitary barriers, ***paying particular attention to*** the red lines drawn by the European Union that might have implications for the health of consumers.

Amendment

6. Stresses the importance of progress regarding ***health and*** phytosanitary barriers, ***especially regarding*** the red lines drawn by the European Union that might have implications for the health of consumers.

Or. fr

Amendment 123

José Bové

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion

Paragraph 6 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

6 a. Notes the poor protection of animal welfare in many of the EU's advanced trading partners, such as the lack of federal legislation protecting farm animals before slaughter in the United States; demands that the Commission include animal welfare as a trade concern in all ongoing and future bilateral and multilateral trade negotiations, with all imports of animal products from developed countries required to meet EU laws on animal welfare, and imports from developing countries required to meet equivalent standards;

Or. en

Amendment 124

Michel Dantin, Norbert Erdős, Herbert Dorfmann, Albert Deß, Sofia Ribeiro, Mairead McGuinness, Angélique Delahaye

Draft opinion

Paragraph 6 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

6 a. welcomes the realistic assessment of the Commission concerning the persistent lack of progress in the DDA; believes that, even if multilateral negotiations are indispensable, the DDA has clearly not met the expectations of the European agri-food sector despite unilateral concessions from the EU such as those made at the 10th Ministerial Conference in Nairobi; calls therefore on the EU to define a new and more effective strategy concerning multilateral negotiations at the WTO;

Or. en

Amendment 125

Esther Herranz García, Ramón Luis Valcárcel Siso, Pilar Ayuso, Nuno Melo

Draft opinion

Paragraph 6 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

6a. Is aware that European farmers will face major challenges in the future, which is why a stable common European framework to support European farmers via the CAP is indispensable for encouraging investment and boosting economic growth in the agri-food sector;

Or. es

Amendment 126

Marco Zullo

Draft opinion

Paragraph 6 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

6a. Stresses the importance of ensuring that in negotiating agreements with third countries there are no provisions that may reduce or weaken guarantees currently provided by the

Union to consumers in the agri-food industry, particularly as regards the use of products derived from GMOs or cloning;

Or. it

Amendment 127
Franc Bogovič

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

6a. Stresses that particular attention should be paid in trade negotiations between the EU and third countries to the use of pesticides, particularly to the different approaches to their use, as standards relating to the use of pesticides are significantly higher in the EU than in third countries;

Or. sl

Amendment 128
Maria Lidia Senra Rodríguez

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

6a. Calls on the Commission to outlaw imports of any agricultural product sold to the public at below its real production cost, thus preventing social, economic and environmental dumping of all kinds;

Or. es

Amendment 129
Miguel Viegas

Draft opinion

PE582.257v01-00

58/61

AM\1094110EN.doc

Paragraph 6 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

6a. *Calls on the Commission to suspend the TTIP negotiations with a view to bringing the entire process to a definitive end;*

Or. pt

Amendment 130

Marc Tarabella, Nicola Caputo, Paul Brannen, Eric Andrieu

Draft opinion

Paragraph 6 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

6a. *rejects any negotiations which would jeopardise the precautionary principle;*

Or. fr

Amendment 131

José Bové

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion

Paragraph 6 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

6 b. *Notes that the current trade structures of European agriculture, primarily through a huge trade deficit in animal feed such as soy, has seriously adverse consequences for food security, environmental protection, job security and biodiversity in importing countries such as Brazil, resulting in the destruction of tropical rainforest and the seizure of land from indigenous people and small farmers; calls on the Commission to develop a funded strategy to reduce these trade deficits and develop indigenous*

sources of animal feed;

Or. en

Amendment 132

Michel Dantin, Norbert Erdős, Herbert Dorfmann, Elisabeth Köstinger, Albert Deß, Sofia Ribeiro, Mairead McGuinness, Angélique Delahaye

Draft opinion

Paragraph 6 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

6 b. believes that, in the conduct of trade negotiations affecting directly European agricultural interests, the Commissioner for agriculture should be recognised as a leading role on all agricultural aspects; considers also that, in the functioning of collegiality in the European Commission, no decision concerning the conclusion of trade agreements should ever be taken against this Commissioner's assessment of the fundamental interests of EU agriculture;

Or. en

Amendment 133

Esther Herranz García, Ramón Luis Valcárcel Siso, Pilar Ayuso, Nuno Melo

Draft opinion

Paragraph 6 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

6b. Stresses that the EU should promote innovation to enhance productivity as a way of coping with the ever-increasing global population by supporting initiatives to address issues such as climate change and help farmers adapt to environmental challenges of all kinds;

Or. es

Amendment 134
Maria Lidia Senra Rodríguez

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

6b. Calls for a total ban on direct or indirect export subsidies;

Or. es

Amendment 135
Esther Herranz García, Ramón Luis Valcárcel Siso, Pilar Ayuso, Nuno Melo

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6 c (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

6c. Considers it necessary to enhance the added value of farming and to run promotion campaigns with a view to opening up new markets; stresses above all that it is essential to strengthen EU-level quality schemes since they ensure the best possible brand image for EU products on the world market, providing indirect benefits for European farming as a whole;

Or. es