



**2015/2353(INI)**

4.5.2016

# **AMENDMENTS**

## **1 - 131**

**Draft opinion**

**Peter Jahr**

(PE577.060v01-00)

Preparation of the post-electoral revision of the MFF 2014-2020: Parliament's input ahead of the Commission's proposal (2015/2353(INI))



**Amendment 1**  
**Anja Hazekamp**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 1**

*Draft opinion*

1. Points out that the Common Agricultural Policy (CAP) is the EU's most genuinely common policy, which means that agricultural spending accounts for a considerable percentage of the total EU budget; ***stresses that spending on agriculture has declined considerably in relative terms over the last three decades from 75 % to the current 38 %; stresses, therefore, that each EU citizen contributes only 32 cents per day to the CAP and that this policy has a low error rate in terms of spending irregularities;***

*Amendment*

1. Points out that the Common Agricultural Policy (CAP) is the EU's most genuinely common policy, which means that agricultural spending accounts for a considerable percentage of the total EU budget; ***calls to the Commission and the Member States to revise the current export-oriented, market driven and competitive EU agricultural policy model and to abolish the EU agricultural subsidies;***

Or. en

**Amendment 2**  
**Miguel Viegas**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 1**

*Draft opinion*

1. Points out that the Common Agricultural Policy (CAP) is the EU's most genuinely common policy, which means that agricultural spending accounts for a considerable percentage of the total EU budget; stresses that spending on agriculture has declined considerably in relative terms over the last three decades from 75% to the current 38%; stresses, therefore, that each EU citizen contributes only 32 cents per day to the CAP and that this policy has a low error rate in terms of

*Amendment*

1. Points out that the Common Agricultural Policy (CAP) is the EU's most genuinely common policy, which means that agricultural spending accounts for a considerable percentage of the total EU budget; stresses that spending on agriculture has declined considerably in relative terms over the last three decades from 75% to the current 38%, ***in line with successive market-oriented reforms of the CAP;*** stresses, therefore, that each EU citizen contributes only 32 cents per day to

spending irregularities;

the CAP and that this policy has a low error rate in terms of spending irregularities;

Or. pt

**Amendment 3**  
**Maria Noichl**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 1**

*Draft opinion*

1. Points out that the Common Agricultural Policy (CAP) *is the EU's most genuinely common* policy, which means that agricultural spending accounts for a considerable percentage of the total EU budget; stresses that spending on agriculture has declined considerably in relative terms over the last three decades from 75 % to the current 38 %; stresses, therefore, that each EU citizen contributes only 32 cents per day to the CAP and that this policy has a low error rate in terms of spending irregularities;

*Amendment*

1. Points out that the Common Agricultural Policy (CAP) *has been a common EU policy for decades*, which means that agricultural spending accounts for a considerable percentage of the total EU budget; stresses that spending on agriculture has declined considerably in relative terms over the last three decades from 75 % to the current 38 %; stresses, therefore, that each EU citizen contributes only 32 cents per day to the CAP and that this policy has a low error rate in terms of spending irregularities;

Or. de

**Amendment 4**  
**Michel Dantin, Sofia Ribeiro, Czesław Adam Siekierski, Esther Herranz García, Ramón Luis Valcárcel Siso, Pilar Ayuso, Herbert Dorfmann, Albert Deß, Angélique Delahaye, Mairead McGuinness**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 1**

*Draft opinion*

1. Points out that the Common Agricultural Policy (CAP) is the EU's most genuinely common policy, which means that agricultural spending accounts for *a*

*Amendment*

1. Points out that the Common Agricultural Policy (CAP) is the EU's most genuinely common policy, which means that agricultural spending accounts for *an*

*considerable* percentage of the total EU budget; stresses that spending on agriculture has declined considerably in relative terms over the last three decades from 75 % to the current 38 %; stresses, therefore, that each EU citizen contributes only 32 cents per day to the CAP and that this policy has a low error rate in terms of spending irregularities;

*important* percentage of the total EU budget; stresses that spending on agriculture has declined considerably in relative terms over the last three decades from 75 % to the current 38 %; stresses, therefore, that each EU citizen contributes only 32 cents per day to the CAP and that this policy has a low error rate in terms of spending irregularities;

Or. en

**Amendment 5**  
**Norbert Erdős**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 1 a (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

*1a. Whereas the UN's Food and Agriculture Organisation (FAO) estimates that the expected rise in the world's population to 9.1 billion by 2050 will require a 60% increase in food production and a 24% increase in crop yields in the developed countries by that date; stresses that one of the most important goals in the future will be maintaining food security in the European Union and providing assistance to poorer countries to address the challenges of food security; stresses that food, like water, will be a strategic commodity in the future and therefore Europe will need the Common Agricultural Policy and a strong agricultural budget more than ever before;*

Or. hu

**Amendment 6**  
**Daniel Buda**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 2**

*Draft opinion*

2. Stresses that the CAP provides income support to farmers through Pillar 1 and provides support for environmental programmes and economic activity in rural areas and prevents rural depopulation; notes, in this connection, that it is essential to maintain the two-pillar CAP structure in order to compensate and support farmers and rural areas;

*Amendment*

2. Stresses that the CAP provides income support to farmers through Pillar 1 and provides support for environmental programmes and economic activity in rural areas and prevents rural depopulation; notes, in this connection, that it is essential to maintain the two-pillar CAP structure in order to compensate and support farmers and rural areas; ***insists that support under the first pillar should be strictly geared towards increasing agricultural production and addressing possible profiteering***

Or. ro

**Amendment 7**  
**John Stuart Agnew**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 2**

*Draft opinion*

2. Stresses that the CAP provides income support to farmers through Pillar 1 and provides support for environmental programmes and economic activity in rural areas and prevents rural depopulation; notes, in this connection, that it is essential to ***maintain the two-pillar CAP structure*** in order to compensate and support farmers and rural areas;

*Amendment*

2. Stresses that the CAP provides income support to farmers through Pillar 1 and provides support for environmental programmes and economic activity in rural areas and prevents rural depopulation; notes, in this connection, that it is essential to ***create an equitable system of income support that is not based on historical output and does not reward landholding alone***, in order to ***better*** compensate and support ***socially and environmentally beneficial*** farmers and ***the sustainability of*** rural areas;

Or. en

**Amendment 8**  
**Miguel Viegas**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 2**

*Draft opinion*

2. Stresses that the CAP provides income support to farmers through Pillar 1 and provides support for environmental programmes and economic activity in rural areas and prevents rural depopulation; notes, in this connection, that it is essential to maintain the two-pillar CAP structure in order to compensate and support farmers and rural areas;

*Amendment*

2. Stresses that the CAP provides income support to farmers through Pillar 1 and provides support for environmental programmes and economic activity in rural areas and prevents rural depopulation; notes, in this connection, that it is essential to maintain the two-pillar CAP structure in order to compensate and support farmers and rural areas; ***stresses the need to reverse the long-term trend observed in recent decades of falling farmer incomes;***

Or. pt

**Amendment 9**  
**Norbert Erdős**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 2**

*Draft opinion*

2. Stresses that the CAP provides income support to farmers through Pillar 1 and provides support for environmental programmes and economic activity in rural areas and prevents rural depopulation; notes, in this connection, that it is essential to maintain the two-pillar CAP structure in order to compensate and support farmers and rural areas;

*Amendment*

2. Stresses that the CAP provides income support to farmers through Pillar 1 and provides support for environmental programmes and economic activity in rural areas and prevents rural depopulation; notes, in this connection, that it is essential to maintain the two-pillar CAP structure in order to compensate and support farmers and rural areas ***and protect and create jobs in rural areas;***

Or. hu

**Amendment 10**

**James Nicholson**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 2**

*Draft opinion*

2. Stresses that the CAP provides income support to farmers through Pillar 1 and provides support for environmental programmes and economic activity in rural areas and prevents rural depopulation; notes, in this connection, that it is essential to maintain the two-pillar CAP structure in order to compensate and support farmers and rural areas;

*Amendment*

2. Stresses that the CAP provides income support to farmers through Pillar 1 and provides support for environmental programmes and economic activity in rural areas and prevents rural depopulation **via both Pillar 1 and 2 funding**; notes, in this connection, that it is essential to maintain the two-pillar CAP structure in order to compensate and support farmers and rural areas;

Or. en

**Amendment 11**  
**Maria Noichl**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 2**

*Draft opinion*

2. Stresses that the CAP provides income support to farmers through Pillar 1 and provides support for environmental programmes and economic activity in rural areas and prevents rural depopulation; notes, in this connection, that **it is essential to maintain the two-pillar CAP structure** in order to compensate and support farmers and rural areas;

*Amendment*

2. Stresses that the CAP provides income support to farmers through Pillars 1 **and 2** and provides support for environmental programmes and economic activity in rural areas and prevents rural depopulation; notes, in this connection, that **the size of the budget must be maintained independently of the funding structure**, in order to compensate and support farmers and rural areas;

Or. de

**Amendment 12**  
**Clara Eugenia Aguilera García, Paolo De Castro, Michela Giuffrida**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 2**

*Draft opinion*

2. Stresses that the CAP provides income support to farmers through Pillar 1 and provides support for environmental programmes and economic activity in rural areas and prevents rural depopulation; notes, in this connection, that it is essential to maintain the two-pillar CAP structure in order to compensate and support *farmers* and rural areas;

*Amendment*

2. Stresses that the CAP provides income support to farmers through Pillar 1 and provides support for environmental programmes and economic activity in rural areas and prevents rural depopulation; notes, in this connection, that it is essential to maintain the two-pillar CAP structure in order to compensate and support ***agricultural and livestock sectors*** and rural areas;

Or. es

**Amendment 13**

**Michel Dantin, Sofia Ribeiro, Czesław Adam Siekierski, Esther Herranz García, Ramón Luis Valcárcel Siso, Pilar Ayuso, Herbert Dorfmann, Albert Deß, Angélique Delahaye, Mairead McGuinness**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 2**

*Draft opinion*

2. Stresses that the CAP provides income support to farmers through Pillar 1 and provides support for environmental programmes and economic activity in rural areas and prevents rural depopulation; notes, in this connection, that it is essential to maintain the two-pillar CAP structure in order to compensate and support farmers and rural areas;

*Amendment*

2. Stresses that the CAP provides income support to farmers through Pillar 1 and provides support for environmental programmes and economic activity in rural areas and prevents rural depopulation ***through Pillar 2***; notes, in this connection, that it is essential to maintain the two-pillar CAP structure in order to compensate and support farmers and rural areas;

Or. en

**Amendment 14**

**Maria Lidia Senra Rodríguez**

**Draft opinion**

## Paragraph 2

### *Draft opinion*

2. Stresses that the CAP provides income support to farmers through Pillar 1 and provides support for environmental programmes and economic activity in rural areas ***and prevents rural depopulation***; notes, in this connection, that it is essential to maintain the two-pillar CAP structure in order to compensate and support farmers and rural areas;

### *Amendment*

2. Stresses that the CAP provides income support to farmers through Pillar 1 and provides support for environmental programmes and economic activity in rural areas; notes, in this connection, that it is essential to maintain the two-pillar CAP structure in order to compensate and support farmers and rural areas;

Or. es

## Amendment 15

Nicola Caputo

### Draft opinion

#### Paragraph 2 a (new)

### *Draft opinion*

### *Amendment*

***2a. Stresses the importance of the CAP for growth, employment, the environment and innovation in rural European areas, and for ensuring the EU's contribution to global food balances;***

Or. it

## Amendment 16

James Nicholson

### Draft opinion

#### Paragraph 2 a (new)

### *Draft opinion*

### *Amendment*

***2 a. Stresses that in order to achieve a coherent and effective rural development policy it is essential that Rural Development remains part of the CAP;***

**Amendment 17****Eric Andrieu, Jean-Paul Denanot, Ricardo Serrão Santos, Marc Tarabella****Draft opinion****Paragraph 3***Draft opinion*

3. Points out that, were all policies in the EU, nationally or at Union level, to be entirely financed from the EU budget, the CAP share would only amount to 1 %, which seems very reasonable for a policy that supplies food for over 500 million citizens; considers that the CAP is the best and cheapest security policy of the Union as it ensures sufficient food supply;

*Amendment*

3. Points out that, were all policies in the EU, nationally or at Union level, to be entirely financed from the EU budget, the CAP share would only amount to 1 %, which seems very reasonable for a policy that supplies food for over 500 million citizens; considers that the CAP is the best and cheapest security policy of the Union as it ensures sufficient food supply, ***although efforts should still be made to provide good quality food that is accessible to everyone and has a positive influence on people's health;***

Or. fr

**Amendment 18****Philippe Loiseau, Edouard Ferrand, Laurențiu Rebegea****Draft opinion****Paragraph 3***Draft opinion*

3. Points out that, were all policies in the EU, nationally or at Union level, to be entirely financed from the EU budget, the CAP share would only amount to 1 %, which seems very reasonable for a policy that supplies food for over 500 million citizens; ***considers that the CAP is the best and cheapest security policy of the Union as it ensures sufficient food supply;***

*Amendment*

3. Points out that, were all policies in the EU, nationally or at Union level, to be entirely financed from the EU budget, the CAP share would only amount to 1 %, which seems very reasonable for a policy that supplies food for over 500 million citizens;

**Amendment 19**

**Clara Eugenia Aguilera García, Paolo De Castro, Viorica Dăncilă, Maria Noichl, Michela Giuffrida**

**Draft opinion****Paragraph 3***Draft opinion*

3. Points out that, were all policies in the EU, nationally or at Union level, to be entirely financed from the EU budget, the CAP share would only amount to 1 %, which seems very reasonable for a policy that supplies food for over 500 million citizens; considers that the CAP is the best and cheapest security policy of the Union as it ensures sufficient food supply;

*Amendment*

3. Points out that, were all policies in the EU, nationally or at Union level, to be entirely financed from the EU budget, the CAP share would only amount to 1 %, which seems very reasonable for a policy that supplies food for over 500 million citizens, ***supports environmental sustainability and creates employment***; considers that the CAP is the best and cheapest security policy of the Union as it ensures sufficient food supply, ***fosters territorial cohesion and prevents rural depopulation***;

Or. es

**Amendment 20**

**Michela Giuffrida**

**Draft opinion****Paragraph 3***Draft opinion*

3. Points out that, were all policies in the EU, nationally or at Union level, to be entirely financed from the EU budget, the CAP share would only amount to 1 %, which seems very reasonable for a policy that supplies food for over 500 ***thousand*** citizens; considers that the CAP is the best and cheapest security policy of the Union as it ensures sufficient food supply;

*Amendment*

3. Points out that, were all policies in the EU, nationally or at Union level, to be entirely financed from the EU budget, the CAP share would only amount to 1 %, which seems very reasonable for a policy that supplies food for over 500 ***million*** citizens; considers that the CAP is the best and cheapest security policy of the Union as it ensures sufficient food supply;

**Amendment 21**  
**Nicola Caputo**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 3 a (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

***3a. Stresses that the CAP, which represents less than 1% of the EU's total public expenditure, should be maintained, as a minimum, at current levels until 2020 to ensure that the agricultural sector is economically sustainable, meets the growing demand for food and promotes growth and employment in rural EU areas;***

Or. it

**Amendment 22**  
**Marc Tarabella, Tibor Szanyi, Nicola Caputo, Eric Andrieu**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 4**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

4. acknowledges that the apparently large proportion of the EU budget allocated to agriculture can lead to misconceptions among the public about the policy, when in reality farm subsidies account for a negligible amount in relation to the total GDP of the Member States;

4. acknowledges that the apparently large proportion of the EU budget allocated to agriculture can lead to misconceptions among the public about the policy, when in reality farm subsidies account for a negligible amount in relation to the total GDP of the Member States; ***points out, however, that 70% of Europeans believe that the CAP is fulfilling its responsibility to supply food in the EU, and that 62% of people asked believe that the PAC benefits not only farmers but all European citizens;***

**Amendment 23**  
**Daniel Buda**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 4**

*Draft opinion*

4. Acknowledges that the apparently large proportion of the EU budget allocated to agriculture can lead to misconceptions among the public about the policy, when in reality farm subsidies account for a negligible amount in relation to the total GDP of the Member States;

*Amendment*

4. Acknowledges that the apparently large proportion of the EU budget allocated to agriculture can lead to misconceptions among the public about the policy, when in reality farm subsidies account for a negligible amount in relation to the total GDP of the Member States; ***stresses that the CAP implementation rules need to be simplified so that the financial support for agriculture and rural development can be absorbed better;***

Or. ro

**Amendment 24**  
**James Nicholson**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 4**

*Draft opinion*

4. Acknowledges that the apparently large proportion of the EU budget allocated to agriculture can lead to misconceptions among the public about the policy, when in reality farm subsidies account for a negligible amount in relation to the total GDP of the Member States;

*Amendment*

4. Acknowledges that the apparently large proportion of the EU budget allocated to agriculture can lead to misconceptions among the public about the policy, when in reality farm subsidies account for a negligible amount in relation to the total GDP of the Member States ***yet have a significant impact in terms of ensuring continuity in farming and a security of income for farmers as well as benefiting the wider rural economy;***

**Amendment 25**  
**Maria Lidia Senra Rodríguez**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 4 a (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

***4a. Recognises the need for aid to be distributed better, and for this purpose budget maintenance should be linked to the redistribution of aid, ceilings should be set and mandatory aid should be established for small farms;***

Or. es

**Amendment 26**  
**Martin Häusling**  
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 4 a (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

***4 a. Notes that the number of small farmers in the EU continues to fall dramatically, driving rural depopulation and impacting the socio-economic fabric of rural areas; notes also that this process seriously affects other policy areas and so public spending.***

Or. en

**Amendment 27**  
**Marc Tarabella, Tibor Szanyi, Nicola Caputo, Eric Andrieu**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 5**

*Draft opinion*

5. states clearly that, through numerous policy reforms, CAP spending has been reduced and has become more targeted, market-orientated and geared towards improving the competitiveness of EU agriculture, while at the same time addressing an ever-increasing range of challenges, including environmental issues and climate change, the introduction of 'greening measures' and ensuring the economic viability of rural areas;

*Amendment*

5. states clearly that, through numerous policy reforms, CAP spending has been reduced and has become more targeted, market-orientated and geared towards improving the competitiveness of EU agriculture, while at the same time addressing an ever-increasing range of challenges, including environmental issues and climate change, the introduction of 'greening measures' and ensuring the economic viability of rural areas; ***stresses, however, that an in-depth analysis should be performed in an effort to assess the economic sustainability of the agricultural sector by safeguarding incomes, and that price volatility should be combatted by proposing new instruments;***

Or. fr

**Amendment 28**  
**Miguel Viegas**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 5**

*Draft opinion*

5. States clearly that, through numerous policy reforms, CAP spending has been reduced and has become more targeted, market-orientated and geared towards improving the competitiveness of EU agriculture, while at the same time addressing an ever-increasing range of challenges, including environmental issues and climate change, the introduction of 'greening measures' and ensuring the economic viability of rural areas;

*Amendment*

5. States clearly that, through numerous policy reforms, CAP spending has been reduced and has become more targeted, market-orientated and geared towards improving the competitiveness of EU agriculture, while at the same time addressing an ever-increasing range of challenges, including environmental issues and climate change, the introduction of 'greening measures' and ensuring the economic viability of rural areas; ***asserts that the effect of those reforms has been disastrous for European agriculture and has caused tens of thousands of farms to***

*go out of business;*

Or. pt

## **Amendment 29**

**Philippe Loiseau, Edouard Ferrand, Laurențiu Rebegea**

### **Draft opinion**

#### **Paragraph 5**

##### *Draft opinion*

5. States *clearly* that, through numerous policy reforms, CAP *spending has been reduced and has become more targeted, market-orientated and geared towards improving the competitiveness of EU agriculture, while at the same time addressing an ever-increasing range of challenges, including environmental issues and climate change, the introduction of ‘greening measures’ and ensuring the economic viability of rural areas;*

##### *Amendment*

5. States that, through numerous policy reforms, *administrative and financial constraints imposed on farmers have progressively become more complicated; also states that these constraints have partly contributed to a significant decrease in farming jobs and income;*

Or. fr

## **Amendment 30**

**Maria Lidia Senra Rodríguez**

### **Draft opinion**

#### **Paragraph 5**

##### *Draft opinion*

5. States clearly that, through numerous policy reforms, CAP spending has been reduced and has become more targeted, market-orientated and geared towards improving the competitiveness of EU agriculture, while at the same time addressing an ever-increasing range of challenges, including environmental issues and climate change, the introduction of

##### *Amendment*

5. States clearly that, through numerous policy reforms, CAP spending has been reduced and has become more targeted, market-orientated and geared towards improving the competitiveness of EU agriculture, while at the same time addressing an ever-increasing range of challenges, including environmental issues and climate change *and* the introduction of

‘greening measures’ *and ensuring the economic viability of rural areas*;

‘greening measures’;

Or. es

**Amendment 31**  
**Anja Hazekamp**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 5 a (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

*5 a. Calls for allocation of CAP funding to the measures guiding improvements in agricultural sector in the fields of socio-economic and environmental sustainability, animal welfare and biodiversity; calls for a creation of measurable milestones on these improvements, with regular monitoring by the Commission and reporting to the Parliament;*

Or. en

**Amendment 32**  
**Clara Eugenia Aguilera García, Paolo De Castro, Maria Noichl, Michela Giuffrida**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 5 a (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

*5a. Notes that no alteration to the allocation of funds under Heading 2 agreed for the 2014-2020 period is included in the aims of the MFF mid-term review, although some guidance can be provided for the next programming period;*

Or. es

### Amendment 33

Michel Dantin, Sofia Ribeiro, Czesław Adam Siekierski, Esther Herranz García, Ramón Luis Valcárcel Siso, Pilar Ayuso, José Bové, Eric Andrieu, Herbert Dorfmann, Albert Deß, Angélique Delahaye, Paolo De Castro, Mairead McGuinness

#### Draft opinion

##### Paragraph 6

###### *Draft opinion*

6. Insists that the current amount in Heading 2, as provided for in the current MFF, must remain at least at the same level; refers, in this connection, to Article 2 of the MFF Regulation, which clearly states that allocated national envelopes may not be reduced by the midterm revision; considers, furthermore, that other Union policies must have the necessary financial means to allow the Union to honour its legal obligations in accordance with the corresponding sectoral legislation;

###### *Amendment*

6. Insists that the current amount in Heading 2, as provided for in the current MFF, must remain at least at the same level; refers, in this connection, to Article 2 of the MFF Regulation, which clearly states that allocated national envelopes may not be reduced by the midterm revision; considers, furthermore, that other Union policies must have the necessary financial means to allow the Union to honour its legal obligations in accordance with the corresponding sectoral legislation; ***calls on the Commission, in a context of migration crisis, to explore the possibility to strengthen synergies between the withdrawal of agricultural products from the market and the distribution of food aid to the most deprived citizens and to refugees through the Fund for European Aid to the Most Deprived (FEAD) ;***

Or. en

### Amendment 34

Norbert Erdős

#### Draft opinion

##### Paragraph 6

###### *Draft opinion*

6. Insists that the current amount in Heading 2, as provided for in the current MFF, must remain at least at the same

###### *Amendment*

6. Insists that the current amount in Heading 2, as provided for in the current MFF, must remain at least at the same

level; refers, in this connection, to Article 2 of the MFF Regulation, which clearly states that allocated national envelopes may not be reduced by the midterm revision; considers, furthermore, that other Union policies must have the necessary financial means to allow the Union to honour its legal obligations in accordance with the corresponding sectoral legislation;

level; refers, in this connection, to Article 2 of the MFF Regulation, which clearly states that allocated national envelopes may not be reduced by the midterm revision; considers, furthermore, that other Union policies must have the necessary financial means to allow the Union to honour its legal obligations in accordance with the corresponding sectoral legislation; ***considers it unacceptable that the funds set aside for agricultural policy are reallocated in order to compensate for a lack of funds in other policies or programmes;***

Or. hu

### **Amendment 35**

**Martin Häusling**

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

#### **Draft opinion**

##### **Paragraph 6**

###### *Draft opinion*

6. Insists that the current amount in Heading 2, as provided for in the current MFF, must remain at least at the same level; refers, in this connection, to Article 2 of the MFF Regulation, which clearly states that allocated national envelopes may not be reduced by the midterm revision; considers, furthermore, that other Union policies must have the necessary financial means to allow the Union to honour its legal obligations in accordance with the corresponding sectoral legislation;

###### *Amendment*

6. Insists that the current amount in Heading 2, as provided for in the current MFF, must remain at least at the same level ***as long as the CAP performs to achieve its policy objectives*** ; refers, in this connection, to Article 2 of the MFF Regulation, which clearly states that allocated national envelopes may not be reduced by the midterm revision; considers, furthermore, that other Union policies must have the necessary financial means to allow the Union to honour its legal obligations in accordance with the corresponding sectoral legislation;

Or. en

### **Amendment 36**

**Miguel Viegas**

**Draft opinion  
Paragraph 6**

*Draft opinion*

6. Insists that the current amount in Heading 2, as provided for in the current MFF, must **remain at least at the same level**; refers, in this connection, to Article 2 of the MFF Regulation, which clearly states that allocated national envelopes may not be reduced by the midterm revision; considers, furthermore, that other Union policies must have the necessary financial means to allow the Union to honour its legal obligations in accordance with the corresponding sectoral legislation;

*Amendment*

6. Insists that the current amount in Heading 2, as provided for in the current MFF, must **be increased, commensurate with the current crisis**; refers, in this connection, to Article 2 of the MFF Regulation, which clearly states that allocated national envelopes may not be reduced by the midterm revision; considers, furthermore, that other Union policies must have the necessary financial means to allow the Union to honour its legal obligations in accordance with the corresponding sectoral legislation;

Or. pt

**Amendment 37  
Bronis Ropè**

**Draft opinion  
Paragraph 6 a (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

***6a. Points out that direct payments to the farmers of new Member States are substantially smaller than those to the farmers of old Member States, and that, in turn, restricts Member States' capacity to allocate national funding and directly affects the competitiveness of agriculture in new Member States and the implementation of cohesion objectives, therefore, calls for the allocation of additional funding to balance out the difference in payments;***

Or. It

**Amendment 38**  
**Martin Häusling**  
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 6 a (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

*6 a. notes that before the last CAP reform, it was also argued that CAP funding should continue, on the condition that it reformed to respond to citizens' demands and the realities of a new century; although the subsequent reform only addressed to a limited extent the major sustainability challenges of transitioning to food production systems that are resilient to volatility of markets and climate.*

Or. en

**Amendment 39**  
**Sandra Kalniete**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 6 a (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

*6 a. Notes the existing significant differences in direct payments between Member States, which have a great negative effect on the competitiveness of the agricultural sectors among Member States and therefore calls for balancing out these differences in levels of direct payments.*

Or. en

**Amendment 40**  
**Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Stanisław Ożóg, Jadwiga Wiśniewska, Janusz Wojciechowski**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 7**

*Draft opinion*

7. Insists also that the amounts for direct payments in Heading 2 should be left untouched; points out that this is crucial for the income situation of many farmers, particularly in times of crises, and that the absorption rate per year is almost 100 %;

*Amendment*

7. Insists also that the amounts for direct payments in Heading 2 should be left untouched; points out that this is crucial for the income situation of many farmers, particularly in times of crises, and that the absorption rate per year is almost 100 %; ***also stresses that the process of levelling direct payments between Member States, begun in the current financial perspective, must be completed as soon as possible; differences in production size and intensity from several decades ago cannot decide the level of support for realising current and future CAP objectives; levelling direct payment rates is essential for ensuring equal competition conditions in the EU's single market, as well as for the sustainable exploitation of agricultural resources at EU level.***

Or. pl

**Amendment 41**  
**Norbert Erdős**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 7**

*Draft opinion*

7. Insists also that the amounts for direct payments in Heading 2 should be left untouched; points out that this is crucial for the income situation of many farmers, particularly in times of crises, and that the absorption rate per year is almost 100 %;

*Amendment*

7. Insists also that the amounts for direct payments in Heading 2 should be left untouched; points out that this is crucial for the income situation of many farmers, particularly ***during the crisis which is affecting several agricultural branches,*** and that the absorption rate per year is almost 100%;

Or. hu

**Amendment 42**  
**Maria Noichl**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 7**

*Draft opinion*

7. Insists also that *the amounts for direct payments* in Heading 2 should be left untouched; points out that this is crucial for the income situation of many farmers, particularly in times of crises, and that the absorption rate per year is almost 100 %;

*Amendment*

7. Insists also that *the amounts of Pillars 1 and 2 as sums* in Heading 2 should be left untouched; points out that this is crucial for the income situation of many farmers, particularly in times of crises, and that the absorption rate per year is almost 100 %;

Or. de

**Amendment 43**  
**Herbert Dorfmann**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 7 a (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

*7a. points out that the liberalisation of the milk sector threatens milk production in cost-intensive mountainous areas. Calls on the Commission, therefore, to develop programmes which support processing facilities in mountainous areas in particular, so that this milk can be made into high-quality final products and thus generate the added value which is absolutely essential to the milk producers;*

Or. de

**Amendment 44**  
**Marc Tarabella, Nicola Caputo, Eric Andrieu**

**Draft opinion**

## Paragraph 8

### *Draft opinion*

8. notes that price volatility linked to worsening market conditions in many agricultural sectors has significantly increased in recent years, leading to severe income volatility; stresses, therefore, the need to ensure that sufficient budgetary resources are available to deal with market crises, such as those currently affecting the milk, pig meat and fruit and vegetable sectors; adds in this regard that, owing to the CAP budget cuts made during the last MFF negotiations, direct payments from the first pillar of the CAP are currently insufficient to mitigate the income volatility experienced by farmers;

### *Amendment*

8. notes that price volatility linked to worsening market conditions in many agricultural sectors has significantly increased in recent years, leading to severe income volatility; ***notes that the CAP 2014-2020 has not really provided genuine means to contend with the instability of agricultural markets and farming incomes***; stresses, therefore, the need to ***rapidly establish the market and exceptional crisis measures provided for under the single CMO, and to ensure that sufficient budgetary resources are available to deal with market crises, such as those currently affecting the milk, pig meat and fruit and vegetable sectors***; ***stresses that the CAP urgently needs to be discussed, and those discussions should focus on the sustainability of European agriculture, which will only be sustained if the sector's volatility is managed in an organised and efficient manner***; adds in this regard that, owing to the CAP budget cuts made during the last MFF negotiations, direct payments from the first pillar of the CAP are currently insufficient to mitigate the income volatility experienced by farmers;

Or. fr

## Amendment 45

Eric Andrieu, Jean-Paul Denanot, Ricardo Serrão Santos, Marc Tarabella

### Draft opinion

#### Paragraph 8

### *Draft opinion*

8. notes that price volatility linked to worsening market conditions in many agricultural sectors has significantly increased in recent years, leading to severe

### *Amendment*

8. notes that price volatility linked to worsening market conditions in many agricultural sectors has significantly increased in recent years, leading to severe

income volatility; *stresses, therefore, the need to ensure that* budgetary resources *are available* to deal with market crises, such as those currently affecting the milk, pig meat and fruit and vegetable sectors; adds in this regard that, owing to the CAP budget cuts made during the last MFF negotiations, *direct payments from the* first pillar of the CAP are *currently insufficient* to mitigate the income volatility experienced by farmers;

income volatility; *this situation has led the EU to* establish budgetary resources *in an effort* to deal with market crises, such as those currently affecting the milk, pig meat and fruit and vegetable sectors; adds in this regard that, owing to the CAP budget cuts made during the last MFF negotiations, direct payments from the first pillar of the CAP are currently insufficient to mitigate the income *losses* experienced by farmers; *that public authorities and agriculture professionals should consider quickly and efficiently introducing the few market measures adopted by the Agriculture Council on 14 March 2016, with a view, above all, to curbing the overproduction phenomena which have caused prices to plummet and have been severely affecting livestock and, in particular, the dairy industry in the past few months.*

Or. fr

**Amendment 46**  
**Maria Lidia Senra Rodríguez**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 8**

*Draft opinion*

8. Notes that price volatility linked to worsening market conditions in many agricultural sectors has significantly increased in recent years, leading to severe income volatility; stresses, therefore, the need to ensure that sufficient budgetary resources are available to deal with market crises, such as those currently affecting the milk, pig meat and fruit and vegetable sectors; adds in this regard that, owing to the CAP budget cuts made during the last MFF negotiations, direct payments from the first pillar of the CAP are currently insufficient to mitigate the income volatility experienced by farmers;

*Amendment*

8. Notes that price volatility linked to worsening market conditions in many agricultural sectors has significantly increased in recent years, leading to severe income volatility; stresses, therefore, the need to ensure that sufficient budgetary resources are available to deal with market crises, such as those currently affecting the milk, pig meat and fruit and vegetable sectors; adds, in this regard that, owing to the CAP budget cuts made during the last MFF negotiations, direct payments from the first pillar of the CAP are currently insufficient to mitigate the income volatility experienced by farmers,

*therefore the current CAP should be reviewed urgently and regulatory measures should be used to prevent such price shocks and prevent farmers being mere intermediaries through whom taxpayers' money ends up benefiting companies and distribution;*

Or. es

#### **Amendment 47**

**Clara Eugenia Aguilera García, Paolo De Castro, Maria Noichl, Michela Giuffrida**

#### **Draft opinion**

#### **Paragraph 8**

##### *Draft opinion*

8. Notes that price volatility linked to worsening market conditions in many agricultural sectors has significantly increased in recent years, leading to severe income volatility; stresses, therefore, the need to ensure that sufficient budgetary resources are available to deal with market crises, *such as those currently affecting* the milk, pig meat and fruit and vegetable sectors; *adds* in this regard that, owing to the CAP budget cuts made during the last MFF negotiations, direct payments from the first pillar of the CAP are currently insufficient to mitigate the income volatility experienced by farmers;

##### *Amendment*

8. Notes that price volatility linked to worsening market conditions in many agricultural sectors has significantly increased in recent years, leading to severe income volatility; stresses, therefore, the need to ensure that sufficient budgetary resources are available to deal with *the* market crises *caused on occasions by decisions taken outside the industry, such as the foreclosure of the Russian market after the veto, which currently affects* the milk, pigmeat and fruit and vegetable sectors *particularly, along with the phasing out of the milk quota system a year ago.*

*Adds* in this regard that, owing to the CAP budget cuts made during the last MFF negotiations, direct payments from the first pillar of the CAP are currently insufficient to mitigate the income volatility experienced by farmers;

Or. es

#### **Amendment 48**

**Philippe Loiseau, Edouard Ferrand, Laurențiu Rebeaga**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 8**

*Draft opinion*

8. notes that price volatility linked to worsening market conditions in many agricultural sectors has significantly increased in recent years, leading to severe income volatility; stresses, therefore, the ***need to ensure that sufficient budgetary resources are available to*** deal with market crises, such as those currently affecting the milk, pig meat and fruit and vegetable sectors; adds in this regard that, owing to the CAP budget cuts made during the last MFF negotiations, direct payments from the first pillar of the CAP are currently insufficient to mitigate the income volatility experienced by farmers;

*Amendment*

8. notes that price volatility linked to worsening market conditions in many agricultural sectors has significantly increased in recent years, leading to severe income volatility; stresses, therefore, ***the scope to call into question the principle of futures markets and to review the guaranteed minimum price threshold which was lowered by the 1992 reform, in an effort to*** deal with market crises, such as those currently affecting the ***cereal,*** milk, pig meat and fruit and vegetable sectors; adds in this regard that, owing to the CAP budget cuts made during the last MFF negotiations, direct payments from the first pillar of the CAP are currently insufficient to mitigate the income volatility experienced by farmers;

Or. fr

**Amendment 49**  
**Daniel Buda, Viorica Dăncilă**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 8**

*Draft opinion*

8. Notes that price volatility linked to worsening market conditions in many agricultural sectors has significantly increased in recent years, leading to severe income volatility; stresses, therefore, the need to ensure that sufficient budgetary resources are available to deal with market crises, such as those currently affecting the milk, pig meat and fruit and vegetable sectors; adds in this regard that, owing to the CAP budget cuts made during the last

*Amendment*

8. Notes that price volatility linked to worsening market conditions in many agricultural sectors has significantly increased in recent years, leading to severe income volatility; stresses, therefore, the need to ensure that sufficient budgetary resources are available to deal with market crises, such as those currently affecting the milk, pig meat and fruit and vegetable sectors; adds in this regard that, owing to the CAP budget cuts made during the last

MFF negotiations, direct payments from the first pillar of the CAP are currently insufficient to mitigate the income volatility experienced by farmers;

MFF negotiations, direct payments from the first pillar of the CAP are currently insufficient to mitigate the income volatility experienced by farmers;  
***recommends taking support measures to reshape the production of crisis-stricken farmers***

Or. ro

**Amendment 50**  
**Annie Schreijer-Pierik**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 8**

*Draft opinion*

8. Notes that price volatility linked to worsening market conditions in many agricultural sectors has significantly increased in recent years, leading to severe income volatility; stresses, therefore, the need to ensure that sufficient budgetary resources are available to deal with market crises, such as those currently affecting the milk, pig meat ***and*** fruit and vegetable sectors; adds in this regard that, owing to the CAP budget cuts made during the last MFF negotiations, direct payments from the first pillar of the CAP are currently insufficient to mitigate the income volatility experienced by farmers;

*Amendment*

8. Notes that price volatility linked to worsening market conditions in many agricultural sectors has significantly increased in recent years, leading to severe income volatility; stresses, therefore, the need to ensure that sufficient budgetary resources are available to deal with market crises, such as those currently affecting the milk, pig meat, fruit and vegetable, ***poultry, field crop and nursery*** sectors; adds in this regard that, owing to the CAP budget cuts made during the last MFF negotiations, direct payments from the first pillar of the CAP are currently insufficient to mitigate the income volatility experienced by farmers;

Or. nl

**Amendment 51**  
**Miguel Viegas**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 8**

*Draft opinion*

8. Notes that price volatility linked to worsening market conditions in many agricultural sectors has significantly increased in recent years, leading to severe income volatility; stresses, therefore, the need to ensure that sufficient budgetary resources are available to deal with market crises, such as those currently affecting the milk, pig meat and fruit and vegetable sectors; adds in this regard that, owing to the CAP budget cuts made during the last MFF negotiations, direct payments from the first pillar of the CAP are currently insufficient to mitigate the income volatility experienced by farmers;

*Amendment*

8. Notes that *the* price volatility *experienced because of the liberalisation of the CAP and* linked to worsening market conditions in many agricultural sectors has significantly increased in recent years, leading to severe income volatility; stresses, therefore, the need to ensure that sufficient budgetary resources are available to deal with market crises, such as those currently affecting the milk, pig meat and fruit and vegetable sectors; adds in this regard that, owing to the CAP budget cuts made during the last MFF negotiations, direct payments from the first pillar of the CAP are currently insufficient to mitigate the income volatility experienced by farmers;

Or. pt

**Amendment 52**

**Martin Häusling**

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Draft opinion**

**Paragraph 8**

*Draft opinion*

8. Notes that price volatility linked to worsening market conditions in many agricultural sectors has significantly increased in recent years, leading to severe income volatility; stresses, therefore, the need to ensure that sufficient budgetary resources are available to deal with market crises, such as those currently affecting the milk, pig meat and fruit and vegetable sectors; adds in this regard that, owing to the CAP budget cuts made during the last MFF negotiations, direct payments from the first pillar of the CAP are currently insufficient to mitigate the income volatility experienced by farmers;

*Amendment*

8. Notes that price volatility linked to worsening market *and climatic* conditions in many agricultural sectors has significantly increased in recent years, leading to severe income volatility; stresses, therefore, the need to ensure that sufficient budgetary resources are available to deal with market crises, such as those currently affecting the milk, pig meat and fruit and vegetable sectors; adds in this regard that, owing to the CAP budget cuts made during the last MFF negotiations, direct payments from the first pillar of the CAP are currently insufficient to mitigate the income volatility experienced by

farmers;

Or. en

**Amendment 53**  
**Norbert Erdős**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 8 a (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

*8a. On recent food safety scandals: in light of, for example, the 2011 E-coli outbreak, the 2013 horsemeat scandal and the ongoing issue with counterfeit honey from China, along with current outbreaks of animal diseases such as African swine fever in Poland and the Baltic States and the avian influenza epidemic in France, insists that, during the mid-term review of the multiannual financial framework, the excess funds from other budgetary items listed in Chapter 3 be reallocated to address food safety and animal health crises, as the EU funds currently available – altogether EUR 1.89 million over seven years – are insufficient;*

Or. hu

**Amendment 54**  
**Eric Andrieu, Jean-Paul Denanot, Ricardo Serrão Santos, Marc Tarabella**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 8 a (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

*8a. deplors the fact that, despite receiving some notes of caution, in its Autumn 2015 crisis plan, the Commission did not take suitable measures to eliminate the overproduction crisis in the*

*livestock sector, and that it simply issued a EUR 420 million package to the Member States, and that package had absolutely no effect on the markets and farming income, but was also a bad use of public funds, which are so valuable in times of budgetary restraint, and so crucial to financing the crises affecting Europe.*

Or. fr

**Amendment 55**  
**Marijana Petir**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 8 a (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

*8a. Notes that farmers produce the food that the rest of our populations need and that in the majority of Member States, farmers' incomes are below average, which negatively affects their living standards and the living standards of their families and reduces the appeal of this profession to young people; given that farmers in their later stage in life live on the breadline because their below average income during their working years directly affects how much they are able to save for retirement, encourages Member States to introduce a minimum national pension for farmers higher than the poverty threshold.*

Or. hr

**Amendment 56**  
**Nicola Caputo**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 8 a (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

*8a. Stresses that more and more farmers and farming cooperatives are being forced to cease their activities because of high production costs and extreme market volatility; notes that, in many agricultural sectors that are fundamental to the EU's economy, the current crisis should lead the Commission to review certain market management and crisis management instruments, guaranteeing that enough budget resources are available;*

Or. it

**Amendment 57**  
**Jean Arthuis**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 8 a (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

*8 a. Avoid the prices volatility while being careful in signing free-trade agreements, particularly with New Zealand. This agreement, under negotiation, would have disastrous consequences towards the European dairy sector knowing that the price of the ton of milk is 170€ in New Zealand and 280€ in Europe.*

Or. en

**Amendment 58**  
**Martin Häusling**  
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 8 a (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

*8 a. Notes that farmers are squeezed by rising input costs<sup>1a</sup> on one hand and*

*prices for their goods that are non-remunerative on the other<sup>1b</sup> ; considers therefore that inputs and costs of production ought be addressed in the CAP by encouraging more autonomy*

---

*<sup>1a</sup> EP report on the farm input supply chain: structure and implications(2011/2114(INI)) of 28.11.2011*

*<sup>1b</sup> EP report A7-0225/2010 on fair revenues for farmers: A better functioning food supply chain in Europe (2009/2237(INI)) of 07.09.2010*

Or. en

**Amendment 59**  
**Nicola Caputo**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 8 b (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

*8b. Deplores the Commission's proposal that provides for the reduction by 2017 of direct CAP payments of more than EUR two thousand by 1.36 %; stresses that this proposal threatens the availability of crisis reserves and seems inappropriate considering the challenges and crises that the European agricultural sector is facing;*

Or. it

**Amendment 60**  
**Martin Häusling**  
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 8 b (new)**

PE582.271v01-00

34/73

AM\1094330EN.doc

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

***8 b. considers that more effective supply management is needed to ensure that supply is matched to demand and decent and fair prices for the producers are maintained***

Or. en

**Amendment 61**  
**Viorica Dăncilă, Daniel Buda**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 9**

*Draft opinion*

9. Stresses that the fixed ceilings for the CAP until 2020 entail much lower margins than in the previous MFF, while the sector faces more challenges; stresses, in this regard, that any use of the margin must be exclusively to address the needs of the agricultural sector, given that long-term planning and investment security are essential for EU farmers; points out that agriculture should not be the only sector to bear the brunt of political decisions, as is currently the case with the Russian embargo;

*Amendment*

9. Stresses that the fixed ceilings for the CAP until 2020 entail much lower margins than in the previous MFF, while the sector faces more challenges; stresses, in this regard, that any use of the margin must be exclusively to address the needs of the agricultural sector, given that long-term planning and investment security are essential for EU farmers; points out that agriculture should not be the only sector to bear the brunt of political decisions, as is currently the case with the Russian embargo ***and points out that the embargo has also had serious indirect effects on markets which do not traditionally trade with Russia; points out that the agricultural sector was the most affected by the Russian embargo and insists that farmers should continue to receive substantial support to help them to overcome the current situation;***

Or. ro

**Amendment 62**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 9**

*Draft opinion*

9. Stresses that the fixed ceilings for the CAP until 2020 entail much lower margins than in the previous MFF, while the sector faces more challenges; stresses, in this regard, that any use of the margin must be exclusively to address the needs of the agricultural sector, given that long-term planning and investment security are essential for EU farmers; points out that agriculture should not be the only sector to bear the brunt of political decisions, as is currently the case with the Russian embargo;

*Amendment*

9. Stresses that the fixed ceilings for the CAP until 2020 entail much lower margins than in the previous MFF, while the sector faces more challenges; stresses, in this regard, that any use of the margin must be exclusively to address the needs of the agricultural sector, given that long-term planning and investment security are essential for EU farmers; points out that agriculture should not be the only sector to bear the brunt of political decisions, as is currently the case with the Russian embargo; ***believes that it is essential that room for manoeuvre is created under the 2016 budget, and that it is used as a matter of priority to finance the market measures taken by the Agriculture Council on 14 March 2016 with a view to tackling the current crises.***

Or. fr

**Amendment 63**  
**Norbert Erdős**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 9**

*Draft opinion*

9. Stresses that the fixed ceilings for the CAP until 2020 entail much lower margins than in the previous MFF, while the sector faces more challenges; stresses, in this regard, that any use of the margin must be exclusively to address the needs of the agricultural sector, given that long-term planning and investment security are essential for EU farmers; points out that

*Amendment*

9. Stresses that the fixed ceilings for the CAP until 2020 entail much lower margins than in the previous MFF, while the sector faces more challenges; stresses, in this regard, that any use of the margin must be exclusively to address the needs of the agricultural sector, given that long-term planning and investment security are essential for EU farmers; points out that

agriculture should not be the only sector to bear the brunt of political decisions, as is currently the case with the Russian embargo;

agriculture should not be the only sector to bear the brunt of political decisions, as is currently the case with the Russian embargo; *asks the Commission to keep up negotiations with Russia with a view to ending the agricultural embargo as soon as possible on the basis of mutual agreement;*

Or. hu

#### **Amendment 64**

**Michel Dantin, Sofia Ribeiro, Czesław Adam Siekierski, Esther Herranz García, Ramón Luis Valcárcel Siso, Pilar Ayuso, Herbert Dorfmann, Albert Deß, Angélique Delahaye, Elisabeth Köstinger, Mairead McGuinness**

#### **Draft opinion Paragraph 9**

##### *Draft opinion*

9. Stresses that the fixed ceilings for the CAP until 2020 entail much lower margins than in the previous MFF, while the sector faces more challenges; stresses, in this regard, that any use of the margin must be exclusively to address the needs of the agricultural sector, given that long-term planning and investment security are essential for EU farmers; points out that agriculture should not be the only sector to bear the brunt of political decisions, as is currently the case with the Russian embargo;

##### *Amendment*

9. Stresses that the fixed ceilings for the CAP until 2020 entail much lower margins than in the previous MFF, while the sector faces more challenges; stresses, in this regard, that any use of the margin must be exclusively to address the needs of the agricultural sector, given that long-term planning and investment security are essential for EU farmers; points out that agriculture should not be the only sector to bear the brunt of political decisions, as is currently the case with the Russian embargo; *calls on the Commission to provide the European Parliament with an assessment of the impact of the Russian embargo on the EU agricultural sector;*

Or. en

#### **Amendment 65**

**Philippe Loiseau, Edouard Ferrand, Laurențiu Rebegea**

#### **Draft opinion**

## Paragraph 9

### *Draft opinion*

9. Stresses that the fixed ceilings for the CAP until 2020 entail much lower margins than in the previous MFF, while the sector faces more challenges; stresses, in this regard, that any use of the margin must be exclusively to address the needs of the agricultural sector, given that long-term planning and investment security are essential for EU farmers; points out that agriculture should not be the only sector to bear the brunt of political decisions, as is currently the case with the Russian embargo;

### *Amendment*

9. Stresses that the fixed ceilings for the CAP until 2020 entail much lower margins than in the previous MFF, while the sector faces more challenges; stresses, in this regard, that any use of the margin must be exclusively to address the needs of the agricultural sector, given that long-term planning and investment security are essential for EU farmers; points out that agriculture should not be the only sector to bear the brunt of political decisions, as is currently the case with the Russian embargo, ***which the EU should be looking to lift by abandoning its inept and harmful sanction policy against Russia;***

Or. fr

## Amendment 66 Michela Giuffrida

### Draft opinion Paragraph 9

### *Draft opinion*

9. Stresses that the fixed ceilings for the CAP until 2020 entail much lower margins than in the previous MFF, while the sector faces more challenges; stresses, in this regard, that any use of the margin must be exclusively to address the needs of the agricultural sector, given that long-term planning and investment security are essential for EU farmers; points out that agriculture should not be the only sector to bear the brunt of political decisions, as is currently the case with the Russian embargo;

### *Amendment*

9. Stresses that the fixed ceilings for the CAP until 2020 entail much lower margins than in the previous MFF, while the sector faces more challenges; stresses, in this regard, that any use of the margin must be exclusively to address the needs of the agricultural sector, given that long-term planning and investment security are essential for EU farmers; points out that agriculture should not be the only sector to bear the brunt of political decisions, as is currently the case with the Russian embargo ***and with the Euro-Mediterranean agreements;***

Or. it

**Amendment 67**  
**Martin Häusling**  
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 9 a (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

*9 a. notes it is useful to define productivity in the long term so as to include long term investments in for example improving soil quality and health, or multifunctional agroforestry, and therefore resilience to climate change .*

Or. en

**Amendment 68**  
**Martin Häusling**  
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 10 a (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

*10 a. notes the principle of budgetary efficiency, whereby there should be coherence between policy areas, in particular that funding under the CAP of activities which generate additional costs in other policy areas covered by the general budget of the European Union, especially environment and public health, should be avoided <sup>1c</sup>*

---

*<sup>1c</sup> recital (40) of Reg.1306/2013 on the financing, management and monitoring of the common agricultural policy*

Or. en

**Amendment 69**  
**Martin Häusling**  
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 10 b (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

*10 b. recalls that sustainable agriculture has been found to be a more cost-effective use of public funds than those approaches generating externalised costs covered either indirectly by taxpayers, via public funds to resolve environmental or public health impacts, or directly via citizens' water bills to clean drinking water for example.*

Or. en

**Amendment 70**  
**Eric Andrieu, Jean-Paul Denanot, Ricardo Serrão Santos, Marc Tarabella**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 11**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

11. stresses that price volatility is increasing and that it is therefore erroneous to believe that *farm subsidies are no longer needed*; strongly disagrees, in this context, with the notion that a rise in food prices and sales of produce in recent years have provided farmers with a stable income allowing business planning or security;

11. stresses that price volatility is increasing and that it is therefore erroneous to believe that *budget support is no longer needed to achieve public regulation of the markets insofar as that support is controlled and enables market failures to be tackled effectively; points out that logic would dictate that farmers could, in the main, generate their income from selling their goods and services instead of receiving public assistance, which should be used to remunerate public goods and inherent handicaps not taken into account by the market*; strongly disagrees, in this context, with the notion that a rise in food

prices and sales of produce in recent years have provided farmers with a stable income allowing business planning or security;

Or. fr

## **Amendment 71**

**Marc Tarabella, Nicola Caputo, Eric Andrieu**

### **Draft opinion**

#### **Paragraph 11**

##### *Draft opinion*

11. stresses that price volatility is increasing and that it is therefore erroneous to believe that farm subsidies are no longer needed; strongly disagrees, in this context, with the notion that a rise in food prices and sales of produce in recent years have provided farmers with a stable income allowing business planning or security;

##### *Amendment*

11. stresses that price volatility is increasing and that it is therefore erroneous to believe that farm subsidies are no longer needed; ***notes, however, that direct payments that do not match the reality of agricultural production and the progressive elimination of supply management methods have been proven to be limited;*** strongly disagrees, in this context, with the notion that a rise in food prices and sales of produce in recent years have provided farmers with a stable income allowing business planning or security;

Or. fr

## **Amendment 72**

**Michel Dantin, Sofia Ribeiro, Czesław Adam Siekierski, Esther Herranz García, Ramón Luis Valcárcel Siso, Pilar Ayuso, Daniel Buda, Albert Deß, Angélique Delahaye, Elisabeth Köstinger**

### **Draft opinion**

#### **Paragraph 11**

##### *Draft opinion*

11. Stresses that price volatility is increasing and that it is therefore erroneous

##### *Amendment*

11. Stresses that price volatility is increasing and that it is therefore erroneous

to believe that farm subsidies are no longer needed; strongly disagrees, in this context, with the notion that a rise in food prices and sales of produce in recent years have provided farmers with a stable income allowing business planning or security;

to believe that farm subsidies are no longer needed; strongly disagrees, in this context, with the notion that a rise in food prices and sales of produce in recent years have provided farmers with a stable income allowing business planning or security; ***recalls also that European consumers are not ready to pay their food at a price which would be undeniably higher if the agricultural sector was not receiving public support;***

Or. en

**Amendment 73**  
**Miguel Viegas**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 11**

*Draft opinion*

11. Stresses that price volatility is increasing and that it is therefore erroneous to believe that farm subsidies are no longer needed; strongly disagrees, in this context, with the notion that a rise in food prices and sales of produce in recent years have provided farmers with a stable income allowing business planning or security;

*Amendment*

11. Stresses that price volatility is increasing and that it is therefore erroneous to believe that farm subsidies are no longer needed; strongly disagrees, in this context, with the notion that a rise in food prices and sales of produce in recent years have provided farmers with a stable income allowing business planning or security; ***stresses the need for supply regulation instruments to be put in place, as they are the only effective means of stabilising prices and farmers' incomes;***

Or. pt

**Amendment 74**  
**Daniel Buda, Viorica Dăncilă**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 11**

*Draft opinion*

11. Stresses that price volatility is increasing and that it is therefore erroneous to believe that farm subsidies are no longer needed; strongly disagrees, in this context, with the notion that a rise in food prices and sales of produce in recent years have provided farmers with a stable income allowing business planning or security;

*Amendment*

11. Stresses that price volatility is increasing and that it is therefore erroneous to believe that farm subsidies are no longer needed; ***stresses that the differences in subsidy payment levels in the Member States result in lower farmer competitiveness***; strongly disagrees, in this context, with the notion that a rise in food prices and sales of produce in recent years have provided farmers with a stable income allowing business planning or security;

Or. ro

**Amendment 75**  
**Annie Schreijer-Pierik**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 11**

*Draft opinion*

11. Stresses that price volatility is increasing and that it is therefore erroneous to believe that farm subsidies are no longer needed; strongly disagrees, in this context, with the notion that a rise in food prices and sales of produce in recent years have provided farmers with a stable income allowing business planning or security;

*Amendment*

11. Stresses that price volatility is increasing and that it is therefore erroneous to believe that farm subsidies are no longer needed; strongly disagrees, in this context, with the notion that a rise in food prices and sales of produce in recent years have provided farmers with a stable income allowing business planning or security ***as the purpose of the aforementioned measures was, above all, to stabilise prices rather than income***;

Or. nl

**Amendment 76**  
**Ulrike Müller**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 11**

*Draft opinion*

11. Stresses that *price volatility is increasing and that it is therefore erroneous to believe that farm subsidies are no longer needed; strongly disagrees, in this context, with the notion that a rise in food prices and sales of produce in recent years have provided* farmers with a stable income allowing business planning *or* security;

*Amendment*

11. Stresses that *due to currently unstable agricultural markets and high price volatility* it is *essential to maintain* farm subsidies *in order to provide* farmers with a stable income allowing business planning *and* security;

Or. en

**Amendment 77**  
**Georgios Epitideios**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 11 – subparagraph 1 (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Stresses that the Neighbourhood Policy does not need to be a part of Agricultural Policy matters by offering favourable treatment to third-country agricultural and livestock products in an effort to avoid giving financial assistance to those countries; the above policy results in unfair competition and is economically damaging for Member State farmers; this is what happened with the approval to import 70 000 tonnes of olive oil from Tunisia, which affected the financial interests of farmers in the south of Europe, particularly in Greece;*

*Amendment*

Or. el

**Amendment 78**  
**Martin Häusling**  
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 11 a (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

*11 a. considers that one of the functions of the CAP should be to cover the short term financial risk taken by farmers transitioning to sustainable practices beneficial in the long term, for example increasing resilience of production systems*

Or. en

**Amendment 79**  
**Marc Tarabella, Nicola Caputo, Eric Andrieu**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 12 a (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

*12a. stresses that the European Union's agricultural policy runs counter to the major agricultural policies in the rest of the world, under which agricultural support is directly linked to prices, production volume or the use of inputs; stresses, therefore, the importance of introducing countercyclical and insurance instruments as part of the CAP, within the budget limits of the multiannual financial framework.*

Or. fr

**Amendment 80**  
**Elisabeth Köstinger**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 13**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

13. Points out that the objectives of the CAP remain unchanged under the Lisbon Treaty, namely increasing agricultural productivity, ensuring a fair standard of living for the agricultural community, stabilising markets, ensuring the availability of supplies and ensuring that supplies reach consumers at reasonable prices; notes, however, that the successive reforms of the CAP have assigned new tasks to agriculture in terms of product quality, environmental protection, climate change, consumer health, land use issues and modes of production and productivity; stresses that the objectives laid down as part of the EU's sustainability strategy must also be taken into account in the EU's agricultural policy;

13. Points out that the objectives of the CAP remain unchanged under the Lisbon Treaty, namely increasing agricultural productivity, ensuring a fair standard of living for the agricultural community, stabilising markets, ensuring the availability of supplies and ensuring that supplies reach consumers at reasonable prices; notes, however, that the successive reforms of the CAP have assigned new tasks to agriculture in terms of product quality, environmental protection, climate change, consumer health, land use issues and modes of production and productivity; ***takes the view, therefore, that the impact of the CAP on overall EU strategies (EU 2020 and the Climate and Energy Goals) must be analysed so that conclusions for the period after 2020 can be found;*** stresses that the objectives laid down as part of the EU's sustainability strategy must also be taken into account in the EU's agricultural policy;

Or. de

**Amendment 81**  
**Philippe Loiseau, Edouard Ferrand, Laurențiu Rebegea**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 13**

*Draft opinion*

13. Points out that the objectives of the CAP remain unchanged under the Lisbon Treaty, namely increasing agricultural productivity, ensuring a fair standard of living for the agricultural community, stabilising markets, ensuring the availability of supplies and ensuring that supplies reach consumers at reasonable prices; notes, however, that the successive reforms of the CAP have assigned new tasks to agriculture in terms of product quality, environmental protection, climate

*Amendment*

13. Points out that the objectives of the CAP remain unchanged under the Lisbon Treaty, namely increasing agricultural productivity, ensuring a fair standard of living for the agricultural community, stabilising markets, ensuring the availability of supplies and ensuring that supplies reach consumers at reasonable prices; notes, however, that the successive reforms of the CAP have assigned new tasks to agriculture in terms of product quality, environmental protection, climate

change, consumer health, land use issues and modes of production and productivity; ***stresses that the objectives laid down as part of the EU's sustainability strategy must also be taken into account in the EU's agricultural policy;***

change, consumer health, land use issues and modes of production and productivity;

Or. fr

## **Amendment 82**

**Martin Häusling**

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

### **Draft opinion**

#### **Paragraph 13**

##### *Draft opinion*

13. Points out that the objectives of the CAP remain unchanged ***under the Lisbon Treaty***, namely increasing agricultural productivity, ensuring a fair standard of living for the agricultural community, stabilising markets, ensuring the availability of supplies and ensuring that supplies reach consumers at reasonable prices; notes, however, that the successive reforms of the CAP have assigned new tasks to agriculture ***in terms of*** product quality, environmental protection, climate change, consumer health, land use issues and modes of production and productivity; stresses that the objectives laid down as part of the EU's sustainability strategy must also be taken into account in the EU's agricultural policy;

##### *Amendment*

13. Points out that the objectives of the CAP remain unchanged ***since the 1950's***, namely increasing agricultural productivity, ensuring a fair standard of living for the agricultural community, stabilising markets, ensuring the availability of supplies and ensuring that supplies reach consumers at reasonable prices; notes, however, that the successive reforms of the CAP ***tried to make it relevant to the 21st century and*** have assigned new tasks to agriculture ***to cope with modern challenges, for example: adaptation to and mitigation of*** climate change, consumer health, land use issues and modes of production and productivity; stresses that the objectives laid down as part of the EU's sustainability strategy must also be taken into account in the EU's agricultural policy;

Or. en

## **Amendment 83**

**Annie Schreijer-Pierik**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 13**

*Draft opinion*

13. Points out that the objectives of the CAP remain unchanged under the Lisbon Treaty, namely increasing agricultural productivity, ensuring a fair standard of living for the agricultural community, stabilising markets, ensuring the availability of supplies and ensuring that supplies reach consumers at reasonable prices; notes, however, that the successive reforms of the CAP have assigned new tasks to agriculture in terms of product quality, environmental protection, climate change, consumer health, land use issues and modes of production and productivity; stresses that the objectives laid down as part of the EU's sustainability strategy must also be taken into account in the EU's agricultural policy;

*Amendment*

13. Points out that the objectives of the CAP remain unchanged under the Lisbon Treaty, namely increasing agricultural productivity, ensuring a fair standard of living for the agricultural community, stabilising markets, ensuring the availability of supplies and ensuring that supplies reach consumers at reasonable prices; notes, however, that the successive reforms of the CAP have assigned new tasks to agriculture in terms of product quality, environmental protection, climate change, consumer health, land use issues and modes of production and productivity ***which have led to a significant cost increase for farmers and growers***; stresses that the objectives laid down as part of the EU's sustainability strategy must also be taken into account in the EU's agricultural policy;

Or. nl

**Amendment 84**  
**Miguel Viegas**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 13**

*Draft opinion*

13. Points out that the objectives of the CAP remain unchanged under the Lisbon Treaty, namely increasing agricultural productivity, ensuring a fair standard of living for the agricultural community, stabilising markets, ensuring the availability of supplies and ensuring that supplies reach consumers at reasonable prices; notes, however, that the successive

*Amendment*

13. Points out that the objectives of the CAP remain unchanged under the Lisbon Treaty, namely increasing agricultural productivity, ensuring a fair standard of living for the agricultural community, stabilising markets, ensuring the availability of supplies and ensuring that supplies reach consumers at reasonable prices; notes, however, that the successive

reforms of the CAP have assigned new tasks to agriculture in terms of product quality, environmental protection, climate change, consumer health, land use issues and modes of production and productivity; stresses that the objectives laid down as part of the EU's sustainability strategy must also be taken into account in the EU's agricultural policy;

reforms of the CAP have assigned new tasks to agriculture in terms of product quality, environmental protection, climate change, consumer health, land use issues and modes of production and productivity; stresses that the objectives laid down as part of the EU's sustainability strategy must also be taken into account in the EU's agricultural policy, ***with regard, in particular, to social and territorial cohesion***;

Or. pt

**Amendment 85**  
**Michela Giuffrida**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 13 a (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

***13a. Stresses that the obligations imposed on European farmers with regard to environmental sustainability and food security have no parallel in global agriculture.***

Or. it

**Amendment 86**  
**Czesław Adam Siekierski**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 14**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

14. is convinced that a strong CAP for the EU, both in terms of content and financing, is paramount in achieving these objectives, while guaranteeing a level playing field and transparent food chains within the internal market, as well as viable rural

14. is convinced that a strong CAP for the EU, both in terms of content and financing, is paramount in achieving these objectives, while guaranteeing a level playing field and transparent food chains within the internal market, as well as viable rural

areas; considers, furthermore, that increasing resilience and improving employment and quality of life in rural areas should be prioritised in order to combat rural depopulation;

areas; considers, furthermore, that increasing resilience and improving employment and quality of life in rural areas should be prioritised in order to combat rural depopulation; ***considers that, for Pillar II, we will still need instruments after 2020 to support modernisation, investment and innovation in order to boost the competitiveness of the agri-food sector and to reduce differences between Member States and between regions in the development of agriculture and rural areas.***

Or. pl

#### **Amendment 87**

**Philippe Loiseau, Edouard Ferrand, Laurențiu Rebega**

#### **Draft opinion**

#### **Paragraph 14**

##### *Draft opinion*

***14. Is convinced that a strong CAP for the EU, both in terms of content and financing, is paramount in achieving these objectives, while guaranteeing a level playing field and transparent food chains within the internal market, as well as viable rural areas;*** considers, ***furthermore***, that increasing resilience and improving employment and quality of life in rural areas should be prioritised in order to combat rural depopulation;

##### *Amendment*

14. Considers that increasing resilience and improving employment and quality of life in rural areas should be prioritised in order to combat rural depopulation;

Or. fr

#### **Amendment 88**

**Marc Tarabella, Tibor Szanyi, Nicola Caputo, Paul Brannen, Eric Andrieu**

#### **Draft opinion**

#### **Paragraph 14**

*Draft opinion*

14. Is convinced that a strong CAP for the EU, both in terms of content and financing, is paramount in achieving these objectives, while guaranteeing a level playing field and transparent food chains within the internal market, as well as viable rural areas; considers, furthermore, that increasing resilience and improving employment and quality of life in rural areas should be prioritised in order to combat rural depopulation;

*Amendment*

14. Is convinced that a strong CAP for the EU, both in terms of content and financing, is paramount in achieving these objectives, while guaranteeing a level playing field and transparent food chains within the internal market, as well as viable rural areas; considers, furthermore, that increasing resilience and improving employment and quality of life in rural areas should be prioritised in order to combat rural depopulation; ***therefore calls on the Member States and their regions to shift the focus of their rural policy to job creation, and calls on the Commission to help them to achieve that objective;***

Or. fr

**Amendment 89**  
**Miguel Viegas**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 14**

*Draft opinion*

14. Is convinced that a strong CAP for the EU, both in terms of content and financing, is paramount in achieving these objectives, while guaranteeing a level playing field and transparent food chains within the internal market, as well as viable rural areas; considers, furthermore, that increasing resilience and improving employment and quality of life in rural areas should be prioritised in order to combat rural depopulation;

*Amendment*

14. Is convinced that a strong CAP for the EU, both in terms of content and financing, is paramount in achieving these objectives, while guaranteeing a level playing field and transparent food chains within the internal market, ***which would guarantee producers fair prices***, as well as viable rural areas; considers, furthermore, that increasing resilience and improving employment and quality of life in rural areas should be prioritised in order to combat rural depopulation;

Or. pt

**Amendment 90**

**Annie Schreijer-Pierik**

**Draft opinion  
Paragraph 14**

*Draft opinion*

14. Is convinced that a strong CAP for the EU, both in terms of content and financing, is paramount in achieving these objectives, while guaranteeing a level playing field and transparent food chains within the internal market, as well as viable rural areas; considers, furthermore, that increasing resilience and improving employment and quality of life in rural areas should be prioritised in order to combat rural depopulation;

*Amendment*

14. Is convinced that a strong CAP for the EU, both in terms of content and financing, is paramount in achieving these objectives, while guaranteeing a level playing field and transparent food chains within the internal market, as well as viable rural areas; considers, furthermore, that increasing resilience and improving employment and quality of life in rural areas should be prioritised in order to combat rural depopulation **and promote viability**;

Or. nl

**Amendment 91**

**Eric Andrieu, Jean-Paul Denanot, Ricardo Serrão Santos, Marc Tarabella, Maria Noichl, Paul Brannen**

**Draft opinion  
Paragraph 14**

*Draft opinion*

14. Is convinced that a strong CAP for the EU, both in terms of content and financing, is paramount in achieving these objectives, while guaranteeing a level playing field and transparent food chains within the internal market, as well as viable rural areas; considers, furthermore, that increasing resilience and improving employment and quality of life in rural areas should be prioritised in order to combat rural depopulation;

*Amendment*

14. Is convinced that a strong **and sustainable** CAP for the EU, both in terms of content and financing, is paramount in achieving these objectives, while guaranteeing a level playing field and transparent food chains within the internal market, as well as viable rural areas; considers, furthermore, that increasing resilience and improving employment and quality of life in rural areas should be prioritised in order to combat rural depopulation;

Or. fr

**Amendment 92**  
**Anja Hazekamp**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 14 a (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

***14 a. Stresses that financial resources should serve the purpose to improve the quality of agricultural products and to switch from bulk agricultural production to sustainable and value-added agricultural production;***

Or. en

**Amendment 93**

**Michel Dantin, Sofia Ribeiro, Czesław Adam Siekierski, Esther Herranz García, Ramón Luis Valcárcel Siso, Pilar Ayuso, Herbert Dorfmann, Albert Deß, Angélique Delahaye, Elisabeth Köstinger, Mairead McGuinness**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 15**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

15. Stresses that agricultural production has an extremely high added value, since it also supplies the processing sector, thereby contributing to economic and social cohesion in regions and to the EU's balanced regional development; points out that it is therefore necessary to maintain and, where appropriate, step up the support received by farmers, since this provides an incentive to increase agricultural production; stresses that the CAP contributes significantly to growth and employment in rural areas, more so than other Union policies; recalls that, in statistical terms, one farmer provides seven additional jobs in related sectors; points to the importance of maintaining the CAP's focus on supporting small-scale and family farming businesses as the cornerstone of

15. Stresses that agricultural production has an extremely high added value, since it also supplies the processing sector, thereby contributing to economic and social cohesion in regions and to the EU's balanced regional development; points out that it is therefore necessary to maintain and, where appropriate, step up the support received by farmers, since this provides an incentive to increase agricultural production; stresses that the CAP contributes significantly to growth and employment in rural areas, more so than other Union policies; recalls that, in statistical terms, one farmer provides seven additional jobs in related sectors; points to the importance of maintaining the CAP's focus on supporting small-scale and family farming businesses as the cornerstone of

agricultural production in the EU and of life in the EU's rural areas;

agricultural production in the EU and of life in the EU's rural areas; ***underlines how essential is to maintain specific measures in the framework of the CAP towards areas suffering from severe and permanent natural handicaps, notably mountainous areas and outermost regions, and other specific handicaps;***

Or. en

**Amendment 94**  
**Viorica Dăncilă**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 15**

*Draft opinion*

15. Stresses that agricultural production has an extremely high added value, since it also supplies the processing sector, thereby contributing to economic and social cohesion in regions and to the EU's balanced regional development; points out that it is therefore necessary to maintain and, where appropriate, step up the support received by farmers, since this provides an incentive to increase agricultural production; stresses that the CAP contributes significantly to growth and employment in rural areas, more so than other Union policies; recalls that, in statistical terms, one farmer provides seven additional jobs in related sectors; points to the importance of maintaining the CAP's focus on supporting small-scale and family farming businesses as the cornerstone of agricultural production in the EU and of life in the EU's rural areas;

*Amendment*

15. Stresses that agricultural production has an extremely high added value, since it also supplies the processing sector, thereby contributing to economic and social cohesion in regions and to the EU's balanced regional development; points out that it is therefore necessary to maintain and, where appropriate, step up the support received by farmers, since this provides an incentive to increase agricultural production; stresses that the CAP contributes significantly to growth and employment in rural areas, more so than other Union policies; recalls that, in statistical terms, one farmer provides seven additional jobs in related sectors; points to the importance of maintaining the CAP's focus on supporting small-scale and family farming businesses as the cornerstone of agricultural production in the EU and of life in the EU's rural areas; ***points out that solutions and concrete support also need to be offered with a view to addressing the effects that the crisis has had on agricultural undertakings, such as lack of access to credit for farmers and declining farming income;***

**Amendment 95**

**Eric Andrieu, Jean-Paul Denanot, Ricardo Serrão Santos, Marc Tarabella, Maria Noichl, Paul Brannen**

**Draft opinion  
Paragraph 15**

*Draft opinion*

15. Stresses that agricultural production has an extremely high added value, *since it also supplies the processing sector*, thereby contributing to economic and social cohesion in regions and to the EU's balanced regional development; points out that it is therefore necessary to maintain and, where appropriate, step up the support received *by farmers, since this provides an incentive to increase agricultural production*; stresses that the CAP contributes significantly to growth and employment in rural areas, more so than other Union policies; recalls that, in statistical terms, *one farmer provides seven additional* jobs in related sectors; points to the importance of maintaining the CAP's focus on supporting small-scale and family farming businesses as the cornerstone of agricultural production in the EU and of life in the EU's rural areas;

*Amendment*

15. Stresses that agricultural production has an extremely high added value, *which fosters employment, in the area of good quality food and also the supply of non-food goods and services*, since it also supplies the processing sector, thereby contributing to economic and social cohesion in regions and to the EU's balanced regional development; points out that it is therefore necessary to maintain and, where appropriate, step up the support received *by the agricultural sector and rural areas, and, above all, to increase their legitimacy as part of a CAP that meets society's requirements and is in the general interest* stresses that the CAP contributes significantly to growth and employment in rural areas, more so than other Union policies; recalls that, in statistical terms, *farmers provide* jobs in related sectors *and in rural territories*; points to the importance of maintaining the CAP's focus on supporting small-scale and family farming businesses as the cornerstone of agricultural production in the EU and of life in the EU's rural areas;

**Amendment 96**

**Philippe Loiseau, Edouard Ferrand, Laurențiu Rebega**

**Draft opinion**

## Paragraph 15

### *Draft opinion*

15. Stresses that agricultural production has an extremely high added value, since it also supplies the processing sector, thereby contributing to economic and social cohesion in regions and to the EU's **balanced** regional development; points out that it is therefore necessary to maintain and, where appropriate, step up the support received by farmers, since this provides an incentive to increase agricultural production; **stresses that the CAP contributes significantly to growth and employment in rural areas, more so than other Union policies**; recalls that, in statistical terms, one farmer provides seven additional jobs in related sectors; points to the importance of maintaining the CAP's focus on supporting small-scale and family farming businesses as the cornerstone of agricultural production in the EU and of life in the EU's rural areas;

### *Amendment*

15. Stresses that agricultural production has an extremely high added value, since it also supplies the processing sector, thereby contributing to economic and social cohesion in regions and to **every Member State's** regional development; points out that it is therefore necessary to maintain and, where appropriate, step up the support received by farmers, since this provides an incentive to increase agricultural production; recalls that, in statistical terms, one farmer provides seven additional jobs in related sectors; points to the importance of increasing the CAP's focus on supporting small-scale and family farming businesses as the cornerstone of agricultural production in the EU and of life in the EU's rural areas;

Or. fr

## Amendment 97

**Martin Häusling**

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

### **Draft opinion**

#### **Paragraph 15**

### *Draft opinion*

15. Stresses that agricultural production has an extremely high added value, since it also supplies the processing sector, thereby contributing to economic and social cohesion in regions and to the EU's balanced regional development; points out that it is therefore necessary to maintain and, where appropriate, step up the support received by farmers, since this provides an

### *Amendment*

15. Stresses that agricultural production has an extremely high added value, since it also supplies the processing sector, thereby contributing to economic and social cohesion in regions and to the EU's balanced regional development; points out that it is therefore necessary to maintain and, where appropriate, step up the support received by farmers, since this provides an

incentive to increase agricultural production; stresses that the CAP contributes significantly to growth and employment in rural areas, more so than other Union policies; recalls that, in statistical terms, one farmer provides seven additional jobs in related sectors; points to the importance of maintaining the CAP's focus on supporting small-scale and family farming businesses as the cornerstone of agricultural production in the EU and of life in the EU's rural areas;

incentive to increase agricultural production; stresses that the CAP contributes significantly to growth and employment in rural areas, more so than other Union policies; recalls that, in statistical terms, one farmer provides seven additional jobs in related sectors; points to the importance of maintaining the CAP's focus on supporting small-scale and family farming businesses as the cornerstone of agricultural production in the EU and of life in the EU's rural areas **and achieving real progress in that policy goal**;

Or. en

**Amendment 98**  
**Norbert Erdős**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 15**

*Draft opinion*

15. Stresses that agricultural production has an extremely high added value, since it also supplies the processing sector, thereby contributing to economic and social cohesion in regions and to the EU's balanced regional development; points out that it is therefore necessary to maintain and, where appropriate, step up the support received by farmers, since this provides an incentive to increase agricultural production; stresses that the CAP contributes significantly to growth and employment in rural areas, more so than other Union policies; recalls that, in statistical terms, one farmer provides seven additional jobs in related sectors; points to the importance of maintaining the CAP's focus on supporting small-scale and family farming businesses as the cornerstone of agricultural production in the EU and of life in the EU's rural areas;

*Amendment*

15. Stresses that agricultural production has an extremely high added value, since it also supplies the processing sector, thereby contributing to economic and social cohesion in regions and to the EU's balanced regional development; points out that it is therefore necessary to maintain and, where appropriate, step up the support received by farmers, since this provides an incentive to increase agricultural production; stresses that the CAP **and cohesion policy contribute** significantly to growth and employment in rural areas, more so than other Union policies; recalls that, in statistical terms, one farmer provides seven additional jobs in related sectors; points to the importance of maintaining the CAP's focus on supporting small-scale and family farming businesses as the cornerstone of agricultural production in the EU and of life in the EU's rural areas;

**Amendment 99**  
**Marc Tarabella**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 15**

*Draft opinion*

15. Stresses that agricultural production has an extremely high added value, since it also supplies the processing sector, thereby contributing to economic and social cohesion in regions and to the EU's balanced regional development; points out that it is therefore necessary to maintain and, where appropriate, step up the support received by farmers, ***since this provides an incentive to increase agricultural production***; stresses that the CAP contributes significantly to growth and employment in rural areas, more so than other Union policies; recalls that, in statistical terms, one farmer provides seven additional jobs in related sectors; points to the importance of maintaining the CAP's focus on supporting small-scale and family farming businesses as the cornerstone of agricultural production in the EU and of life in the EU's rural areas;

*Amendment*

15. Stresses that agricultural production has an extremely high added value, since it also supplies the processing sector, thereby contributing to economic and social cohesion in regions and to the EU's balanced regional development; points out that it is therefore necessary to maintain and, where appropriate, step up the support received by farmers, ***with a view to combating volatility and maintaining or even boosting employment in the sector***; stresses that the CAP contributes significantly to growth and employment in rural areas, more so than other Union policies; recalls that, in statistical terms, one farmer provides seven additional jobs in related sectors; points to the importance of maintaining the CAP's focus on supporting small-scale and family farming businesses as the cornerstone of agricultural production in the EU and of life in the EU's rural areas;

Or. fr

**Amendment 100**  
**Maria Lidia Senra Rodríguez**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 15**

*Draft opinion*

15. Stresses that agricultural production has an extremely high added value, since it

PE582.271v01-00

*Amendment*

15. Stresses that agricultural production has an extremely high added value, since it

58/73

AM\1094330EN.doc

also supplies the processing sector, thereby contributing to economic and social cohesion in regions and to the EU's balanced regional development; points out that it is therefore necessary to maintain and, where appropriate, step up the support received by farmers, *since this provides an incentive to increase agricultural production*; stresses that the CAP contributes significantly to growth and employment in rural areas, more so than other Union policies; recalls that, in statistical terms, one farmer provides seven additional jobs in related sectors; points to the importance of maintaining the CAP's focus on supporting small-scale and family farming businesses as the cornerstone of agricultural production in the EU and of life in the EU's rural areas;

also supplies the processing sector, thereby contributing to economic and social cohesion in regions and to the EU's balanced regional development; points out that it is therefore necessary to maintain and, where appropriate, step up the support received by farmers, *thereby linking them to sustainable modes of production*; stresses that the CAP contributes significantly to growth and employment in rural areas, more so than other Union policies; recalls that, in statistical terms, one farmer provides seven additional jobs in related sectors; points to the importance of maintaining the CAP's focus on supporting small-scale and family farming businesses as the cornerstone of agricultural production in the EU and of life in the EU's rural areas;

Or. es

**Amendment 101**  
**Michela Giuffrida**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 15 – subparagraph 1 (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

*stresses the need to face global economic competition through appropriate farm sizes; considers the incentive for solutions that group together agricultural holdings, such as Producers' Organisations, to be critical in this regard*

Or. it

**Amendment 102**  
**Norbert Erdős**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 15 a (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

***15a. Stresses the important role of food processing in keeping the EU's agricultural sector competitive; acknowledges that the European Union's competitive advantage on the global market is primarily in food and drink, which have a high added value and whose designated origin may be protected; considers that protecting geographical indicators should be one of the most important objectives at the international free trade negotiations; stresses that in the future considerable EU funds should be spent on establishing and developing food processing plants by means of a strong rural development pillar;***

Or. hu

**Amendment 103**

**Julie Girling**

**Draft opinion**

**Paragraph 15 a (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

***15 a. Stresses that, in order for Pillar II of the CAP to effectively address its priorities in a fair manner across all Member States, there needs to be a move away from the historical influence on national allocations towards objective criteria linked to policy objectives and coherent job creation. Highlights that a fairer reallocation is required if growth and sustainable job creation in rural areas is to become a reality across all regions and Member States;***

Or. en

**Amendment 104**

**Clara Eugenia Aguilera García, Paolo De Castro, Maria Noichl, Michela Giuffrida**

**Draft opinion**

**Paragraph 15 a (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

***15a. Notes the link between maintaining enough funds in the CAP budget and obtaining enough added value for society as a whole, in particular, so that job creation and improvements to quality of life increase the appeal of rural life compared with urban areas;***

Or. es

**Amendment 105**

**Norbert Erdős**

**Draft opinion**

**Paragraph 16**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

16. Stresses that the success of the CAP and acceptance thereof also depends on further reducing bureaucracy and limiting regulatory administrative provisions to an acceptable level;

16. Stresses that the success of the CAP and acceptance thereof also depends on further reducing bureaucracy and limiting regulatory administrative provisions to an acceptable level; ***acknowledges that the single area payment scheme (SAPS) is a simple and fair means of support which is easy to implement and therefore recommends that SAPS be kept after 2020;***

Or. hu

**Amendment 106**

**Clara Eugenia Aguilera García, Paolo De Castro, Viorica Dăncilă, Michela Giuffrida**

**Draft opinion**

**Paragraph 16**

*Draft opinion*

16. Stresses that the success of the CAP and acceptance thereof also depends on further reducing bureaucracy *and* limiting regulatory administrative provisions to an acceptable level;

*Amendment*

16. Stresses that the success of the CAP and acceptance thereof also depends on further reducing bureaucracy, limiting regulatory administrative provisions to an acceptable level; *and, what is more, stresses that there should be a more direct link between the current support system and the creation of employment and wealth in the territory where food is produced.*

Or. es

**Amendment 107**  
**Georgios Epitideios**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 16**

*Draft opinion*

16. Stresses that the success of the CAP and acceptance thereof also depends on further reducing bureaucracy *and* limiting regulatory administrative provisions to an acceptable level;

*Amendment*

16. Stresses that the success of the CAP and acceptance thereof also depends on further reducing bureaucracy, limiting regulatory administrative provisions to an acceptable level *and fully harmonising the tax rate on agricultural products in all Member States;*

Or. el

**Amendment 108**  
**Elisabeth Köstinger**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 16**

*Draft opinion*

16. Stresses that the success of the CAP and acceptance thereof also depends on further reducing bureaucracy and limiting regulatory administrative provisions to an acceptable level;

*Amendment*

16. Stresses that the success of the CAP and acceptance thereof also depends on further reducing bureaucracy and limiting regulatory administrative provisions to an acceptable level, *and a practical*

*implementation of the CAP and simplification measures should therefore have priority;*

Or. de

**Amendment 109**  
**Michela Giuffrida**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 16**

*Draft opinion*

16. Stresses that the success of the CAP and acceptance thereof also depends on further reducing bureaucracy and limiting regulatory administrative provisions to an acceptable level;

*Amendment*

16. Stresses that the success of the CAP and acceptance thereof also depends on further reducing bureaucracy and limiting regulatory administrative provisions to an acceptable level, *and also on the promptness of payments from designated national authorities;*

Or. it

**Amendment 110**  
**Martin Häusling**  
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 16**

*Draft opinion*

16. Stresses that the success of the CAP and acceptance thereof also depends on further reducing bureaucracy and limiting regulatory administrative provisions to an acceptable level;

*Amendment*

16. Stresses that the success of the CAP and acceptance thereof also depends on further reducing bureaucracy and limiting regulatory administrative provisions to an acceptable level, *while still achieving the policy objectives demanded by society that were intended;*

Or. en

**Amendment 111**  
**James Nicholson**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 16**

*Draft opinion*

16. Stresses that the success of the CAP and acceptance thereof also depends on further reducing bureaucracy and limiting regulatory administrative provisions to an acceptable level;

*Amendment*

16. Stresses that the success of the CAP and acceptance thereof also depends on further reducing bureaucracy and limiting regulatory administrative provisions to an acceptable **and manageable** level;

Or. en

**Amendment 112**  
**Maria Noichl**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 16**

*Draft opinion*

16. Stresses that the success of the CAP and acceptance thereof also depends on further reducing bureaucracy and limiting regulatory administrative provisions to an acceptable level;

*Amendment*

16. Stresses that the success of the CAP and acceptance thereof also depends on further reducing **unnecessary** bureaucracy and limiting regulatory administrative provisions to an acceptable level;

Or. de

**Amendment 113**  
**Viorica Dăncilă**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 16**

*Draft opinion*

16. Stresses that the success of the CAP and acceptance thereof also depends on further reducing bureaucracy and limiting regulatory administrative **provisions** to an acceptable level;

*Amendment*

16. Stresses that the success of the CAP and acceptance thereof also depends on further reducing bureaucracy and limiting regulatory administrative **costs** to an acceptable level;

**Amendment 114**  
**Czesław Adam Siekierski**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 16 a (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

***16a. Believes that the Cohesion Policy after 2020 should also play an essential role in supporting the development of rural areas with regard to technical infrastructure, the job market, the development of businesses and basic services, revitalising locations in rural areas and investment linked to water and sewage infrastructure.***

Or. pl

**Amendment 115**  
**Jean Arthuis**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 16 a (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

***16 a. and insists on the acceleration and the strengthening of the European Commission initiative in favour of the CAP simplification.***

Or. en

**Amendment 116**  
**Philippe Loiseau, Edouard Ferrand, Laurențiu Rebegea**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 17**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

**17. Strongly opposes any renationalisation of agricultural policies; stresses that the common nature of the EU's agricultural policy avoids distortion of competition within the internal market and generates savings for European taxpayers; affirms that a well-functioning and well-financed second pillar is essential for the success of the CAP and for the economic well-being of the Union's rural areas;**

*deleted*

Or. fr

**Amendment 117**  
**Georgios Epitideios**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 17**

*Draft opinion*

17. Strongly opposes any renationalisation of agricultural policies; stresses that the common nature of the EU's agricultural policy avoids distortion of competition within the internal market and generates savings for European taxpayers; affirms that a well-functioning and well-financed second pillar is essential for the success of the CAP and for the economic well-being of the Union's rural areas;

*Amendment*

17. Strongly opposes any renationalisation of agricultural policies; stresses that the common nature of the EU's agricultural policy avoids distortion of competition within the internal market and generates savings for European taxpayers, ***provided that it takes account of and addresses the production needs and specificities for certain agricultural products which are grown in specific Member States***; affirms that a well-functioning and well-financed second pillar is essential for the success of the CAP and for the economic well-being of the Union's rural areas;

Or. el

**Amendment 118**

**Michel Dantin, Sofia Ribeiro, Czesław Adam Siekierski, Esther Herranz García, Ramón Luis Valcárcel Siso, Pilar Ayuso, Herbert Dorfmann, Albert Deß, Angélique Delahaye, Mairead McGuinness**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 17**

*Draft opinion*

17. Strongly opposes any renationalisation of agricultural policies; stresses that the common nature of the EU's agricultural policy avoids distortion of competition within the internal market and generates savings for European taxpayers; affirms that a well-functioning and well-financed second pillar is essential for the success of the CAP and for the economic well-being of the Union's rural areas;

*Amendment*

17. Strongly opposes any renationalisation of agricultural policies; stresses that the common nature of the EU's agricultural policy avoids distortion of competition within the internal market and generates savings for European taxpayers; ***is worried about the trend of renationalisation of public responses to agricultural crisis, in particular the mobilisation of targeted payments instead of real European actions*** ; affirms that a well-functioning and well-financed second pillar is essential for the success of the CAP and for the economic well-being of the Union's rural areas;

Or. en

**Amendment 119**

**Ulrike Müller, Jasenko Selimovic**

**Draft opinion**

**Paragraph 17**

*Draft opinion*

17. Strongly opposes any renationalisation of agricultural policies; ***stresses*** that the ***common nature*** of the ***EU's agricultural policy avoids*** distortion of competition within the internal market ***and generates savings for European taxpayers***; affirms that a well-functioning and well-financed second pillar is essential for the success of the CAP and for the economic well-being of the Union's rural areas;

*Amendment*

17. Strongly opposes any renationalisation of agricultural policies; ***notes*** that the ***unequal implementation*** of the ***CAP along Member States leads to*** distortion of competition within the internal market; ***calls on the Commission and Member States to ensure equal implementation throughout the Union in order to realise the objectives of the common agricultural policy***; affirms that a well-functioning and well-financed second pillar is essential for the success of the CAP and for the economic well-being of the Union's rural areas;

Or. en

**Amendment 120**  
**Miguel Viegas**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 17**

*Draft opinion*

17. Strongly opposes any renationalisation of agricultural policies; stresses that the common nature of the EU's agricultural policy avoids distortion of competition within the internal market and generates savings for European taxpayers; affirms that a well-functioning and well-financed second pillar is essential for the success of the CAP and for the economic well-being of the Union's rural areas;

*Amendment*

17. Strongly opposes any renationalisation of agricultural policies; stresses that the common nature of the EU's agricultural policy avoids distortion of competition within the internal market and generates savings for European taxpayers; ***highlights, however, the need to safeguard each Member State's right to guarantee a minimum level of food sovereignty;*** affirms that a well-functioning and well-financed second pillar is essential for the success of the CAP and for the economic well-being of the Union's rural areas;

Or. pt

**Amendment 121**  
**Martin Häusling**  
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 17**

*Draft opinion*

17. Strongly opposes any renationalisation of agricultural policies; stresses that the common nature of the EU's agricultural policy avoids distortion of competition within the internal market and generates savings for European taxpayers; affirms that a well-functioning and well-financed second pillar is essential for the success of the CAP and for the economic well-being of the Union's rural areas;

*Amendment*

17. Strongly opposes any renationalisation of agricultural policies; stresses that the common nature of the EU's agricultural policy avoids distortion of competition within the internal market and generates savings for European taxpayers; affirms that a well-functioning and well-financed second pillar is essential for the success of the CAP and for the economic well-being of the Union's rural areas; ***notes the disparity between member states in both need of rural development and its funding***

**Amendment 122**  
**John Stuart Agnew**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 17**

*Draft opinion*

17. ***Strongly opposes any renationalisation of agricultural policies;*** stresses that the common nature of the EU's agricultural policy ***avoids*** distortion of competition within the internal market ***and*** generates ***savings*** for European taxpayers; affirms that a well-functioning and well-financed second pillar is essential for the success of the CAP and for the economic well-being of the Union's rural areas;

*Amendment*

17. Stresses that the common nature of the EU's agricultural policy ***has failed to prevent*** distortion of competition within the internal market ***but*** generates ***added value*** for European taxpayers; affirms that a well-functioning and well-financed second pillar is essential for the success of the CAP and for the economic well-being of the Union's rural areas;

**Amendment 123**  
**Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Stanisław Ożóg, Jadwiga Wiśniewska, Janusz Wojciechowski**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 17 a (new)**

*Draft opinion*

***17a. Notes that in other EU policies, significant changes which influence agriculture, the food production sector and rural areas are currently being planned and introduced; those changes concern, among others, trade policy and environment and climate policy; emphasises that the new requirements for the agri-food sector for meeting non-agricultural EU objectives should, in the future, be appropriately reflected in an increased CAP budget.***

*Amendment*

**Amendment 124**  
**Nicola Caputo**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 17 a (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

*17a. Stresses the need to tackle the challenge of employment in rural areas using aid from the EARDF and other EU funds; notes that the second pillar of the CAP is particularly important and that it should be well-funded in order to guarantee the long-term sustainability of rural areas;*

Or. it

**Amendment 125**  
**Philippe Loiseau, Edouard Ferrand, Laurențiu Rebega**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 17 a (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

*17a. Notes that the EU's Common Agricultural Policy encourages social and environmental dumping between the Member States, thereby making competition unfair for farmers in some countries;*

Or. fr

**Amendment 126**  
**Ulrike Müller, Jasenko Selimovic**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 18**

*Draft opinion*

18. Points out that the *ever-increasing* challenges faced by the CAP call for *increased* financial resources; calls, therefore, for an *increase in the* funding under Heading 2 *in order to meet these challenges should they arise*; calls also for adequate compensation measures to deal with unforeseen events and market failures resulting from political decisions;

*Amendment*

18. Points out that the challenges faced by the CAP call for *adequate* financial resources; calls, therefore, for an *appropriate* funding under Heading 2 *and in particular for funds to ensure an adequate implementation of the Natura 2000 directive by providing financial compensation to landowners for the restrictions they face*; calls also for adequate compensation measures to deal with unforeseen events and market failures resulting from political decisions;

Or. en

**Amendment 127**  
**Marc Tarabella**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 18**

*Draft opinion*

18. Points out that the ever-increasing challenges faced by the CAP call for increased financial resources; calls, therefore, for an increase in the funding under Heading 2 in order to meet these challenges should they arise; calls also for adequate compensation measures to deal with unforeseen events and market failures resulting from political decisions;

*Amendment*

18. Points out that the ever-increasing challenges faced by the CAP, *such as food security and combatting price volatility*, call for increased financial resources; calls, therefore, for an increase in the funding under Heading 2 in order to meet these challenges should they arise; calls also for adequate compensation measures to deal with unforeseen events and market failures resulting from political decisions;

Or. fr

**Amendment 128**  
**John Stuart Agnew**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 18**

*Draft opinion*

18. Points out that the ever-increasing challenges faced by the CAP call for **increased** financial resources; calls, therefore, for **an increase in the funding under Heading 2** in order to meet these challenges should they arise; calls also for adequate compensation measures to deal with unforeseen events and market failures resulting from political decisions;

*Amendment*

18. Points out that the ever-increasing challenges faced by the CAP call for **more adaptable** financial resources; calls, therefore, for **vital flexible tools to be made available to farmers** in order to meet these challenges should they arise; calls also for adequate compensation measures to deal with unforeseen events and market failures resulting from political decisions;

Or. en

**Amendment 129**

**Czesław Adam Siekierski**

**Draft opinion**

**Paragraph 18 a (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

**18a. Points out the difficult situation with regard to agricultural markets and the new and growing challenges that European agriculture is facing; warns against the consequences of the current crisis in agricultural markets, the weakening position of farmers in the food chain and in current and future trade negotiations; believes, furthermore, that adopting over-ambitious reduction targets linked to the energy-climate package and the NEC directive will cause the costs of agricultural production to rise.**

Or. pl

**Amendment 130**

**Marc Tarabella, Tibor Szanyi, Nicola Caputo, Paul Brannen, Eric Andrieu**

**Draft opinion**

**Paragraph 18 a (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

*18a. notes that additional efforts can be made to facilitate the use of innovative financial instruments; to this end, calls on the Commission to work with the European Investment Bank with a view to offering new financing options to Member States, but also offering funding opportunities to young farmers so that they can develop their businesses.*

Or. fr

**Amendment 131**  
**Jean Arthuis**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 19 a (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

*19 a. Notes that in case of additional financial needs from the MFF, credits' limitation in the "greening measures" pillar have to be considered and he is in favour of the creation of a European agricultural insurance fund mobilisable during crisis context.*

Or. en