



2016/2047(BUD)

26.7.2016

AMENDMENTS

1 - 62

Draft opinion

Peter Jahr

(PE585.709v01-00)

General Budget of the European Union for the financial year 2017 – all sections
(2016/2047(BUD))

Amendment 1
José Bové

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. Takes note of the proposed EUR 58.9 billion in commitments (-5.7% compared to 2016, with the impact of the reprogramming neutralised) and EUR 55.2 billion in payments (+0.2%) under the Draft Budget (DB) 2017 for Heading 2;

Amendment

1. Takes note of the proposed EUR 58.9 billion in commitments ***and deplores this -5.7% decrease*** compared to 2016 (with the impact of the reprogramming neutralised), and EUR 55.2 billion in payments (+0.2%) under the Draft Budget (DB) 2017 for Heading 2;

Or. en

Amendment 2
Miguel Viegas

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Regrets that, given the ceiling imposed by the 2014-2020 Multiannual Financial Framework (MFF) for Heading 2, major categories of Common Agricultural Policy (CAP) spending are likely, to be cut in 2017, including rural development, direct payments and market measures;

Amendment

2. Regrets that, given the ceiling imposed by the 2014-2020 Multiannual Financial Framework (MFF) for Heading 2, major categories of Common Agricultural Policy (CAP) spending are likely, to be cut in 2017, including rural development, direct payments and market measures, ***notwithstanding the ongoing crisis as a result of successive revisions of the CAP that geared for the liberalisation of markets;***

Or. en

Amendment 3
Viorica Dăncilă, Daniel Buda

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

3. Regrets in particular the proposed cuts in rural development in commitments and payments; **rejects therefore** the 23.1% reduction in commitments and 4.6% reduction in payments; stresses that funding for rural development under the European Agricultural Fund for Rural Development (EAFRD) has a high value added to the economics of rural areas across the Union;

Amendment

3. Regrets in particular the proposed cuts in rural development in commitments and payments; **deplores** the 23.1% reduction in commitments and 4.6% reduction in payments; **notes that the higher amounts are due to the offset of the 2014 commitments to the budgetary years 2015 and 2016**; stresses that funding for rural development under the European Agricultural Fund for Rural Development (EAFRD) has a high value added to the economics of rural areas across the Union;

Or. en

Amendment 4
Norbert Erdős

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

3. Regrets in particular the proposed cuts in rural development in commitments and payments; rejects therefore the 23.1% reduction in commitments and 4.6% reduction in payments; stresses that funding for rural development under the European Agricultural Fund for Rural Development (EAFRD) has a high value added to the economics of rural areas across the Union;

Amendment

3. Regrets in particular the proposed cuts in rural development in commitments and payments; rejects therefore the 23.1% reduction in commitments and 4.6% reduction in payments; stresses that funding for rural development under the European Agricultural Fund for Rural Development (EAFRD) has a high value added to the economics of rural areas across the Union **including a real potential for maintaining jobs and creating new ones**;

Or. en

Amendment 5
Annie Schreijer-Pierik

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

3. Regrets in particular the proposed cuts in rural development in commitments and payments; rejects therefore the 23.1% reduction in commitments and 4.6% reduction in payments; stresses that funding for rural development under the European Agricultural Fund for Rural Development (EAFRD) has a high *value* added *to the economics of* rural areas across the Union;

Amendment

3. Regrets in particular the proposed cuts in rural development in commitments and payments; rejects therefore the 23.1% reduction in commitments and 4.6% reduction in payments; stresses that funding for rural development under the European Agricultural Fund for Rural Development (EAFRD) has a high added *value for the economy and public services in* rural areas across the Union;

Or. en

Amendment 6

Paolo De Castro, Tibor Szanyi, Eric Andrieu, Clara Eugenia Aguilera García, Viorica Dăncilă, Nicola Caputo, Michela Giuffrida, Ricardo Serrão Santos

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3 a (new)

Draft opinion

3a. Welcomes the farmers' support package announced in July 2016 and considers it a step toward better management of production; regrets, nevertheless, that the portion allocated to Union-level measures, compared with the amount allocated to national envelopes, is too small when production management must be Union-wide in order to avoid the risk of re-nationalisation and maintain a level playing field; considers that structural measures should be anticipated and integrated into the budgets of future years;

Amendment

Or. en

Amendment 7
Nicola Caputo, Ricardo Serrão Santos

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

3a. Highlights the objectives of increasing the competitiveness and sustainability of Union agriculture, and asks for resources to be provided in order to fulfil these objectives; recalls the potential of agriculture policy in terms of job creation, technical and social innovation and sustainable development, particularly in the rural areas where they promote regional development;

Or. en

Amendment 8
José Bové

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

3a. Condemns year-on-year decreases in rural development commitment and spending.

Or. en

Amendment 9
José Bové

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

3b. Urges Member States to consider, in the opportunity afforded by the CAP's

mid-term review, reversing decisions made at the beginning of the programming period that transferred allocations from pillar two to pillar one, so as to strengthen their rural development; highlights the new opportunities available for rapid approval of changed rural development measures.

Or. en

Amendment 10
Peter Jahr

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Notes with regret that DB 2017 leaves a massively reduced unallocated margin of 0.64 billion under Heading 2; insists and notwithstanding any urgencies in other budgetary Headings that the allocated contingency margin of 0.65 billion must remain in Heading 2 to address the continuing crisis in agricultural markets and any possible forthcoming crises and frequently occurring price volatility;

Amendment

4. Notes with regret that DB 2017 leaves a massively reduced unallocated margin of 0.64 billion under Heading 2; insists and notwithstanding any urgencies in other budgetary Headings that the allocated contingency margin of 0.65 billion must remain in Heading 2 to address the continuing crisis in agricultural markets and any possible forthcoming crises and frequently occurring price volatility; *highlights that a foreign policy decision has led to the Russian embargo, which subsequently placed farmers to bear the brunt of that decision and triggered the crisis; insists therefore that the entire margin remains within Heading 2;*

Or. en

Amendment 11
Notis Marias

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Notes with regret that DB 2017 leaves a massively reduced unallocated margin of 0.64 billion under Heading 2; insists and notwithstanding any urgencies in other budgetary Headings that the allocated contingency margin of 0.65 billion must remain in Heading 2 to address the continuing crisis in agricultural markets **and** any possible forthcoming crises and frequently occurring price volatility;

Amendment

4. Notes with regret that DB 2017 leaves a massively reduced unallocated margin of 0.64 billion under Heading 2; insists and notwithstanding any urgencies in other budgetary Headings that the allocated contingency margin of 0.65 billion must remain in Heading 2 to address the continuing crisis in agricultural markets ***especially in Member States such as Greece, Italy, Spain and Portugal, but also to address*** any possible forthcoming crises and frequently occurring price volatility;

Or. en

Amendment 12

Nicola Caputo, Ricardo Serrão Santos

Draft opinion

Paragraph 4 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

4a. Notes that Union agriculture has increasingly been exposed to crises in recent years; calls therefore on the Commission to reconsider the system of contingency funding and to create a new instrument that allows rapid political intervention in the event of a crisis, without impacting on the annual direct payments;

Or. en

Amendment 13

Nicola Caputo, Ricardo Serrão Santos

Draft opinion

Paragraph 4 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

4b. Calls on the Commission and the Member States to monitor, in a timely way, the price volatility of agricultural products, with a particular focus on the dairy sector, which has adverse effects on farmers' incomes, and to react promptly and effectively when needed, giving farmers directly the option of combating such price volatility;

Or. en

Amendment 14

Nicola Caputo, Ricardo Serrão Santos

Draft opinion

Paragraph 4 c (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

4c. Stresses that it is essential that funds earmarked for research in the agri-food sector, in particular from the Horizon 2020 budget, remain fully available as such in order to stimulate innovation in the agricultural sector;

Or. en

Amendment 15

Marijana Petir

Draft opinion

Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

Amendment

5. Regrets the proposed cuts for intervention in the agricultural markets, especially for milk and milk products compared to 2016; sees a continued financial effort being necessary to combat

5. Regrets the proposed cuts for intervention in the agricultural markets, especially for milk and milk products compared to 2016; sees a continued financial effort being necessary to combat

the crisis in the milk market; asks the Commission to extend emergency measures related to sales difficulties; is concerned that further markets intervention will be necessary;

the crisis in the milk market; asks the Commission to extend emergency measures related to sales difficulties; is concerned that further markets intervention will be necessary; ***believes that all measures and interventions should take more into account the specific characteristics and needs of different Member States and should be aimed at helping small and medium milk producers on family farms who suffered the most serious consequences of the abolition of the milk quota in 2015;***

Or. en

Amendment 16 **Miguel Viegas**

Draft opinion **Paragraph 5**

Draft opinion

5. Regrets the proposed cuts for intervention in the agricultural markets, especially for milk and milk products compared to 2016; sees a continued financial effort being necessary to combat the crisis in the milk market; asks the Commission to extend emergency measures related to sales difficulties; is concerned that further markets intervention will be necessary;

Amendment

5. Regrets the proposed cuts for intervention in the agricultural markets, especially for milk and milk products compared to 2016; sees a continued financial effort being necessary to combat the crisis in the milk market; asks the Commission to extend emergency measures related to sales difficulties; is concerned that further markets intervention will be necessary; ***calls for a review of the CAP and the creation of a new instrument that will ensure production in order to normalise prices;***

Or. en

Amendment 17 **Notis Marias**

Draft opinion **Paragraph 5**

PE587.423v01-00

10/31

AM\1101052EN.doc

Draft opinion

5. Regrets the proposed cuts for intervention in the agricultural markets, especially for milk and milk products compared to 2016; sees a continued financial effort being necessary to combat the crisis in the milk market; asks the Commission to extend emergency measures related to sales difficulties; is concerned that further markets intervention will be necessary;

Amendment

5. Regrets the proposed cuts for intervention in the agricultural markets, especially for milk and milk products compared to 2016; sees a continued financial effort being necessary to combat the crisis in the milk market; asks the Commission to extend emergency measures related to sales difficulties *and especially for the protection of the traditional character of certain milk products such as Greek feta and Greek yogurt*; is concerned that further markets intervention will be necessary;

Or. en

Amendment 18

José Bové

Draft opinion

Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

5. Regrets the proposed cuts for intervention in the agricultural markets, especially for milk and milk products compared to 2016; sees a continued financial effort being necessary to combat the crisis in the milk market; asks the Commission to extend emergency measures related to sales difficulties; is concerned that further markets intervention will be necessary;

Amendment

5. Regrets the proposed cuts for intervention in the agricultural markets, especially for milk and milk products compared to 2016; sees a continued financial effort being necessary to combat the crisis in the milk market, *in particular Union funding for mandatory supply management to reduce milk volume during periods of critically low milk prices*; asks the Commission to extend emergency measures related to sales difficulties; is concerned that further markets intervention will be necessary;

Or. en

Amendment 19

Viorica Dăncilă, Daniel Buda

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

5. Regrets the proposed cuts for intervention in the agricultural markets, especially for milk and milk products compared to 2016; sees a continued financial effort being necessary to combat the crisis in the milk market; asks the Commission to extend emergency measures related to sales difficulties; is concerned that further markets intervention will be necessary;

Amendment

5. ***Regrets that the measures proposed have not fully reached their objectives;*** regrets the proposed cuts for intervention in the agricultural markets, especially for milk and milk products compared to 2016; sees a continued financial effort being necessary to combat the crisis in the milk market; asks the Commission to extend emergency measures related to sales difficulties; is concerned that further markets intervention will be necessary;

Or. en

Amendment 20

Hannu Takkula, Ivan Jakovčić, Anneli Jäätteenmäki, Ulrike Müller, Urmaz Paet, Nils Torvalds, Paavo Väyrynen

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

5. Regrets the proposed cuts for intervention in the agricultural markets, especially for milk and milk products compared to 2016; sees a continued financial effort being necessary to combat the crisis in the milk market; asks the Commission to extend emergency measures related to sales difficulties; is concerned that further markets intervention will be necessary;

Amendment

5. Regrets the proposed cuts for intervention in the agricultural markets, especially for milk and milk products compared to 2016; sees a continued financial effort being necessary to combat the crisis in the milk market; asks the Commission to extend emergency measures related to ***both*** sales difficulties ***and consequences of the Russian embargo***; is concerned that further markets intervention will be necessary;

Or. en

Amendment 21
Annie Schreijer-Pierik

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

5. Regrets the proposed cuts for intervention in the agricultural markets, *especially for milk and milk products* compared to 2016; sees a continued financial effort being necessary to combat the crisis *in the milk market*; asks the Commission to extend emergency measures related to sales difficulties; is concerned that further markets intervention will be necessary;

Amendment

5. Regrets the proposed cuts for intervention in the agricultural markets compared to 2016; sees a continued financial effort being necessary to combat the crisis; asks the Commission to extend emergency measures related to sales difficulties; is concerned that further markets intervention will be necessary;

Or. en

Amendment 22
Norbert Erdős

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

5. **Regrets** the proposed cuts for intervention in the agricultural markets, especially for milk and milk products compared to 2016; sees a continued financial effort being necessary to combat the crisis in the milk market; asks the Commission to extend emergency measures related to sales difficulties; is concerned that further markets intervention will be necessary;

Amendment

5. **Rejects** the proposed cuts for intervention in the agricultural markets, especially for milk and milk products compared to 2016; sees a continued financial effort being necessary to combat the crisis in the milk market; asks the Commission to extend emergency measures related to sales difficulties; is concerned that further markets intervention will be necessary;

Or. en

Amendment 23
Eric Andrieu, Jean-Paul Denanot

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

5a. Requests that the appropriations intended for the fight against the medical crises in the plant and animal fields, currently EUR 20 million, are very strongly increased because they are insufficient to cope with the reappearance of diseases such as *Xylella Fastidiosa* and Bird Influenza, and with the appearance of contagious nodular dermatosis, a new infectious disease affecting cattle, already present in Greece and Bulgaria and threatening several other countries.

Or. en

Amendment 24
Viorica Dăncilă, Daniel Buda

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

5a. Insists that Member States implement without delay a support programme with the objective of reducing milk production; believes that Member States should coordinate their actions in order to reduce the competition between milk producers from different Member States; considers that the best way to have an effective production management would be at Union level, thus ensuring a level playing field;

Or. en

Amendment 25
Nicola Caputo, Ricardo Serrão Santos

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

5a. *Notes the effects of the abolition of milk quotas and takes the view that preparatory measures are necessary to avoid market imbalances following the abolition of sugar quotas in September 2017;*

Or. en

Amendment 26
Notis Marias

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6

Draft opinion

Amendment

6. Welcomes the continued support for the school milk scheme of EUR 75 million in the DB for 2017; regrets the cuts of EUR 20 million to the school fruit and vegetables scheme; reiterates the vital importance of both schemes to farmers and Members States and underlines their importance given the current crisis and levels of child malnutrition in the Union; calls on the Commission to reduce bureaucracy in both schemes;

6. Welcomes the continued support for the school milk scheme of EUR 75 million in the DB for 2017; regrets the cuts of EUR 20 million to the school fruit and vegetables scheme; reiterates the vital importance of both schemes to farmers and Members States and underlines their importance given the current crisis and levels of child malnutrition in the Union; ***reiterates the vital importance of schemes for the free distribution of fruits and vegetables withdrawn from sale for larger families*** calls on the Commission to reduce bureaucracy in both schemes;

Or. en

Amendment 27
Anja Hazekamp, Stefan Eck

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6

Draft opinion

6. ***Welcomes the continued support for the school milk scheme of EUR 75 million in the DB for 2017;*** regrets the cuts of EUR 20 million to the school fruit and vegetables scheme; reiterates the vital importance of ***both schemes*** to farmers and Members States and underlines ***their*** importance given the current crisis and levels of child malnutrition in the Union; calls on the Commission to reduce bureaucracy ***in both schemes***;

Amendment

6. Regrets the cuts of EUR 20 million to the school fruit and vegetables scheme; reiterates the vital importance of ***school fruit and vegetables scheme*** to farmers and Members States and underlines ***its*** importance given the current crisis and levels of child malnutrition in the Union; calls on the Commission to reduce bureaucracy ***related to this scheme***;

Or. en

Amendment 28
Norbert Erdős

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6

Draft opinion

6. Welcomes the continued support for the school milk scheme of EUR 75 million in the DB for 2017; ***regrets*** the cuts of EUR 20 million to the school fruit and vegetables scheme; reiterates the vital importance of both schemes to farmers and Members States and underlines their importance given the current crisis and levels of child malnutrition in the Union; calls on the Commission to reduce bureaucracy in both schemes;

Amendment

6. Welcomes the continued support for the school milk scheme of EUR 75 million in the DB for 2017; ***rejects*** the cuts of EUR 20 million to the school fruit and vegetables scheme; reiterates the vital importance of both schemes to farmers and Members States and underlines their importance given the current crisis and levels of child malnutrition in the Union; calls on the Commission to reduce bureaucracy in both schemes;

Or. en

Amendment 29
Miguel Viegas

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

6a. *Calls for the need to strengthen the school scheme taking into account the oversupply, the need to promote healthy habits in children, and the need to promote the consumption;*

Or. en

Amendment 30
Maria Lidia Senra Rodríguez

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

6a. *Points out the need to allocate a budget line to promote in all areas, including schools, the consumption of organic and local products;*

Or. en

Amendment 31
Bas Belder

Draft opinion
Paragraph 7 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

7a. *Stresses that the reduction of staffing levels by 5 % over five years to which the Commission is committed should lead to improved efficiency without disproportionately reducing staffing levels in the agricultural and rural development policy area in which the Union carries out a comprehensive policy which meets an increasing number of societal challenges;*

Or. en

Amendment 32
Notis Marias

Draft opinion
Paragraph 7 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

7a. Calls for better synergies between the CAP and other Union policies, in particular regarding energy, water supply, land use, biodiversity and ecosystems, the development of remote islands and mountainous areas and the reorganisation of primary production;

Or. en

Amendment 33
Notis Marias

Draft opinion
Paragraph 7 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

7b. Urges the Commission and the Member States to take measures in response to the significant price volatility of agricultural products;

Or. en

Amendment 34
Notis Marias

Draft opinion
Paragraph 7 c (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

7c. Calls on the Commission to ensure that Member States, in particular Greece,

pay farmers and stockbreeders on time and in full the subsidies and aid to which they are entitled under the CAP, paying special attention to compensatory allowances;

Or. en

Amendment 35
Nicola Caputo, Ricardo Serrão Santos

Draft opinion
Paragraph 8

Draft opinion

8. Welcomes the increase in operational funds for producer organisations; regrets the massive cuts in aid to producer groups for preliminary recognition; welcomes the prolongation of exceptional measures for the fruit & vegetable sector especially in light of the crisis and the Russian embargo that severely hit producers;

Amendment

8. Welcomes the increase in operational funds for producer organisations; regrets the massive cuts in aid to producer groups for preliminary recognition; welcomes the prolongation of exceptional measures for the fruit & vegetable sector especially in light of the crisis and the Russian embargo that severely hit producers; *calls for those measures to remain in force for as long as the Russian embargo lasts and for them to be widened to cover all crop and livestock sectors affected; points out that the milk sector requires particular attention because the Russian embargo is adding to the uncertainty for producers by exacerbating the price fall seen since the quota scheme was abolished;*

Or. en

Amendment 36
Miguel Viegas

Draft opinion
Paragraph 8

Draft opinion

8. Welcomes the increase in operational funds for producer organisations; regrets the massive cuts in aid to producer groups for preliminary recognition; welcomes the prolongation of exceptional measures for the fruit & vegetable sector especially in light of the crisis and the Russian embargo that severely hit producers;

Amendment

8. Welcomes the increase in operational funds for producer organisations, ***nonetheless notes that it is not enough to support the needs and demands of this organisations*** ; regrets the massive cuts in aid to producer groups for preliminary recognition; welcomes the prolongation of exceptional measures for the fruit & vegetable sector especially in light of the crisis and the Russian embargo that severely hit producers; ***regrets that the funds intended to withstand the effects of the Russian embargo have been withdrawn from the CAP budget insofar that the situation was created by a political measure of Union external policy***;

Or. en

Amendment 37
Notis Marias

Draft opinion
Paragraph 8

Draft opinion

8. Welcomes the increase in operational funds for producer organisations; regrets the massive cuts in aid to producer groups for preliminary recognition; welcomes the prolongation of exceptional measures for the fruit & vegetable sector especially in light of the crisis and the Russian embargo that severely hit producers;

Amendment

8. Welcomes the increase in operational funds for producer organisations; regrets the massive cuts in aid to producer groups for preliminary recognition; welcomes the prolongation of exceptional measures for the fruit & vegetable sector especially in light of the crisis and the Russian embargo that severely hit producers; ***calls on the Commission to increase the funds intended to compensate losses caused by the Russian embargo, so long as Union sanctions against Russia as a result of the Ukrainian crisis remain in place***;

Or. en

Amendment 38
Norbert Erdős

Draft opinion
Paragraph 8

Draft opinion

8. Welcomes the increase in operational funds for producer organisations; **regrets** the massive cuts in aid to producer groups for preliminary recognition; welcomes the prolongation of exceptional measures for the fruit & vegetable sector especially in light of the crisis and the Russian embargo that severely hit producers;

Amendment

8. Welcomes the increase in operational funds for producer organisations; **opposes** the massive cuts in aid to producer groups for preliminary recognition; welcomes the prolongation of exceptional measures for the fruit & vegetable sector especially in light of the crisis and the Russian embargo that severely hit producers;

Or. en

Amendment 39
Nicola Caputo, Ricardo Serrão Santos

Draft opinion
Paragraph 8 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

8a. Underscores the importance of developing new markets for maintaining competitiveness and increasing the resistance of Union agriculture to market crises such as in the case of Russian embargo; calls for the financial support of market development, inter alia through the use of funds from the superlevy;

Or. en

Amendment 40
Bas Belder

Draft opinion
Paragraph 8 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

8a. Welcomes the allocations for research and innovation related to agriculture under Horizon 2020, to secure sufficient supplies of safe and high quality food and other bio-based products; underlines the need to prioritize projects that involve primary producers;

Or. en

Amendment 41
Maria Lidia Senra Rodríguez

Draft opinion
Paragraph 8 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

8a. Calls for the allocation of a sufficient budget line for farmers' organisations at Union level to strengthen their role of dialogue and negotiation with Union institutions;

Or. en

Amendment 42
Viorica Dăncilă, Daniel Buda

Draft opinion
Paragraph 9

Draft opinion

Amendment

9. Calls on the Commission and Member States to ensure that funds allocated to the reserve for crises in the agricultural sector in the 2017 budget, which are subsequently left unspent remain in full in Heading 2 for the following

9. Calls on the Commission and Member States to ensure that funds allocated to the reserve for crises in the agricultural sector in the 2017 budget, which are subsequently left unspent remain in full in Heading 2 for the following

budget year for direct payments to farmers
as provided in Regulation (EU)
No1306/2013;

budget year for direct payments to farmers
as provided in Regulation (EU)
No1306/2013; *stresses the need to provide
financial and technical support for
reconversion addressed to farmers most
affected by the current crisis;*

Or. en

Amendment 43
Anja Hazekamp, Stefan Eck

Draft opinion
Paragraph 9

Draft opinion

9. Calls on the Commission and Member States to ensure that funds allocated to the reserve for crises in the agricultural sector in the 2017 budget, which are subsequently left unspent remain in full in Heading 2 for the following budget year for *direct payments to farmers as provided in Regulation (EU) No1306/2013;*

Amendment

9. Calls on the Commission and Member States to ensure that funds allocated to the reserve for crises in the agricultural sector in the 2017 budget, which are subsequently left unspent remain in full in Heading 2 for the following budget year for *rural development, measures to improve animal welfare and measures to safeguard the environment;*

Or. en

Amendment 44
Anja Hazekamp, Stefan Eck

Draft opinion
Paragraph 9 a (new)

Draft opinion

9a. Welcomes the trend of shifting the priorities of the CAP with less spending in areas of direct payments and market measures; calls in this respect for a shift of allocation of CAP funding from direct payments and market measures, towards measures guiding improvements in the agricultural sector in the fields of social-

Amendment

economic and environmental sustainability, animal welfare and biodiversity; calls for the creation of measurable milestones on these improvements, with regular monitoring by the Commission and reporting to the Parliament;

Or. en

Amendment 45
Notis Marias

Draft opinion
Paragraph 10

Draft opinion

10. Insists on the need to provide funds to compensate for the economic losses suffered by farmers due to market crises or other effects; reiterates the need to use the available margins under Heading 2 to this effect;

Amendment

10. Insists on the need to provide funds to compensate for the economic losses suffered by farmers due to market crises or other effects *especially by farmers from Member States such as Greece, Italy, Spain and Portugal*; reiterates the need to use the available margins under Heading 2 to this effect;

Or. en

Amendment 46
José Bové

Draft opinion
Paragraph 10

Draft opinion

10. Insists on the need to provide funds to compensate for the economic losses suffered by farmers due to market crises or other effects; reiterates the need to use the available margins under Heading 2 to this effect;

Amendment

10. Insists on the need to provide funds to compensate for the economic losses suffered by farmers due to market crises or other effects; reiterates the need to use the available margins under Heading 2 to this effect *in particular a supply management fund*;

Amendment 47
Nicola Caputo, Ricardo Serrão Santos

Draft opinion
Paragraph 10 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

10a. Insists on the need to provide funds to compensate for the economic losses suffered by farmers due to market crises and sanitary or phytosanitary crises such as Xylella fastidiosa, and reiterates the need to use the available margins under Heading 2 to this effect; insists that compensation for eradication should also cover rehabilitation of agroecosystems including the soil, as well as establishing robust biological diversity, especially ensuring genetic diversity of the planting stock that ideally includes resistance to or tolerance of the disease or pest; indeed, considers that one of the aims of any aid granted should be to ensure balanced, biologically diverse agroecosystems and landscapes that are less susceptible to future attacks; calls on the Commission and the Council to take all the necessary measures to combat the deterioration of those markets;

Or. en

Amendment 48
Maria Lidia Senra Rodríguez

Draft opinion
Paragraph 10 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

10a. Calls for a budget line to be set, or retrieved , where appropriate, which will

enable public services to support the agriculture and farms as regards animal and plant health, by grants, licenses and other means as well as by legal and technical advice;

Or. en

Amendment 49
Peter Jahr

Draft opinion
Paragraph 10 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

10a. Disagrees with the Commission's decision to increase the funds for voluntary coupled support, as this leads to market distortions amongst Member States in certain agricultural markets; disagrees also with the reduction in support for young farmers;

Or. en

Amendment 50
Daniel Buda

Draft opinion
Paragraph 10 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

10a. Stresses the need to provide financial and technical support for reconversion to farmers most affected by the current agricultural crisis; insists that Member States implement such support measures.

Or. en

Amendment 51
Daniel Buda, Viorica Dăncilă

Draft opinion
Paragraph 10 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

10a. *Asks the Commission to create market observatories for meat and other products affected by the volatility of prices in order to establish prognosis and to avoid overproduction;*

Or. en

Amendment 52
Miguel Viegas

Draft opinion
Paragraph 10 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

10a. *Stresses the need to strengthen the budget in lines aimed at combating animal diseases and plant pests whose prevalence has been increasing every year;*

Or. en

Amendment 53
Nicola Caputo, Ricardo Serrão Santos

Draft opinion
Paragraph 10 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

10b. *Calls for additional funding to be earmarked for the olive-growing and olive oil sector to offset losses to farmers caused by the Xylella fastidiosa outbreak, to step up prevention measures in the*

Union, to combat the spread of this devastating disease and to restructure the sector and consolidate scientific research into the pathogen and its carrier;

Or. en

Amendment 54
Maria Lidia Senra Rodríguez

Draft opinion
Paragraph 10 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

10b. Stresses the need to provide funds which would enable the implementation of a production model based on agroecology and prioritisation of local markets and markets in proximity, strengthening the Union internal market as a key factor in overcoming the current crisis and contributing to the fight against climate change;

Or. en

Amendment 55
Nicola Caputo, Ricardo Serrão Santos

Draft opinion
Paragraph 10 c (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

10c. Notes generally that investment is needed to make our agroecosystems resilient to climate change and to the establishment and spread of invasive species, notably through biologically diverse farmed landscapes and living, healthy soils that contain predators and beneficial species, allowing for natural regulation of pest populations;

Amendment 56

José Bové

Draft opinion

Paragraph 11

Draft opinion

11. Welcomes the slight increase in funds allocated to support beekeeping, as Parliament has consistently viewed beekeeping as a priority for the future of agriculture and for the conservation of biodiversity.

Amendment

11. Welcomes the slight increase in funds allocated to support beekeeping, as Parliament has consistently viewed beekeeping as a priority for the future of agriculture and for the conservation of biodiversity *in the face of indirect and non-target effects of pesticide use, decreased food sources from both crops and wild flowers and climate change;*

Or. en

Amendment 57

Norbert Erdős

Draft opinion

Paragraph 11

Draft opinion

11. Welcomes the slight increase in funds allocated to support beekeeping, as Parliament has consistently viewed beekeeping as a priority for the future of agriculture and for the conservation of biodiversity.

Amendment

11. Welcomes the slight increase in funds allocated to support beekeeping *and seeks more funding*, as Parliament has consistently viewed beekeeping as a priority for the future of agriculture and for the conservation of biodiversity.

Or. en

Amendment 58

Notis Marias

Draft opinion
Paragraph 11

Draft opinion

11. Welcomes the slight increase in funds allocated to support beekeeping, as Parliament has *consistently* viewed beekeeping as a priority for the future of agriculture and for the conservation of biodiversity.

Amendment

11. Welcomes the slight increase in funds allocated to support beekeeping, as Parliament has viewed beekeeping as a priority for the future of agriculture and for the conservation of biodiversity.

Or. en

Amendment 59
Peter Jahr

Draft opinion
Paragraph 11 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

11a. Demands that the Commission monitors the significant price volatility of agricultural products, which has adverse effects on farmers' incomes, and acts swiftly and effectively when needed;

Or. en

Amendment 60
Viorica Dăncilă, Daniel Buda

Draft opinion
Paragraph 11 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

11a. Suggests that the Commission explores the possibility to replace certain financial aid to developing countries with food products affected by overproduction

Or. en

Amendment 61
Peter Jahr

Draft opinion
Paragraph 11 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

11b. Advocates that the objectives of increasing the competitiveness and sustainability of European agriculture are upheld, and asks for resources to be provided in order to fulfil these objectives;

Or. en

Amendment 62
Peter Jahr

Draft opinion
Paragraph 11 c (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

11c. Disagrees with the Commission's decision to delay the presentation of its draft budget for 2017 by more than a month, due to the UK referendum, as it severely disrupts the budgetary procedure;

Or. en