



**2016/2223(INI)**

9.2.2017

# **AMENDMENTS**

## **1 - 172**

**Draft opinion**

**James Nicholson**

(PE595.767v01-00)

Initiative on resource efficiency: reducing food waste, improving food safety  
(2016/2223(INI))



**Amendment 1**  
**Luke Ming Flanagan**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph -1 (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

**-1** *Notes that the Draft Opinion includes in its title, resource efficiency, reducing food waste, and improving food safety; believes that the current model of industrial agriculture:*

*is neither resource efficient, in that current agricultural practices are consuming the earth's natural resources at an unsustainable rate leading to environmental degradation;*

*does not contribute to reducing food waste, in that current agricultural policy promotes mass production of products often below the cost of production, to be sold on again at discounted prices which encourages over consumption and waste;*

*neither does it improve food safety, as within a globalized food chain there is a lack of transparency in the origin of food stuffs and increased difficulty in regulating the products within it;*

Or. en

**Amendment 2**  
**Maria Lidia Senra Rodríguez, Ángela Vallina**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 1**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

1. *Emphasises that **farmers'** livelihoods depend on getting produce to the market and that loss of produce at farm level equates to loss of investment and income;*

1. *Emphasises that **the current agricultural policy and food and food marketing policy are responsible for the high level of food wastage;***

### Amendment 3

Anja Hazekamp, Miguel Viegas, Stefan Eck, Matt Carthy

#### Draft opinion

##### Paragraph 1

###### *Draft opinion*

1. Emphasises that farmers' livelihoods depend on getting produce to the market and that ***loss of produce at farm level equates to loss of investment and income;***

###### *Amendment*

1. Emphasises that farmers' livelihoods depend on getting produce to the market and that ***by ignoring the demand of the market the Common Agricultural Policy leads to destroyed produce and prices far below the cost;***

Or. en

### Amendment 4

Georgios Epitideios

#### Draft opinion

##### Paragraph 1

###### *Draft opinion*

1. Emphasises that farmers' livelihoods depend on getting produce to the market and that loss of produce at farm level equates to loss of investment and income;

###### *Amendment*

1. Emphasises that farmers' livelihoods depend on getting ***their*** produce to the market and ***the level of tax on farm incomes and*** that loss of produce at farm level equates to loss of investment, ***jobs (since, for every job in the primary sector, between five and eight jobs at least are secured and safeguarded in the secondary and tertiary sectors)*** and income;

Or. el

### Amendment 5

John Stuart Agnew

#### Draft opinion

##### Paragraph 1

*Draft opinion*

1. Emphasises that farmers' livelihoods depend on getting produce to the market and that loss of produce at farm level equates to loss of investment and income;

*Amendment*

1. Emphasises that farmers' livelihoods depend on getting produce to the market and that loss of produce at farm level equates to loss of investment and income; ***acknowledges that farm subsidies do not encourage farmers or supply chain actors to consider supply and demand dynamics and considers that the supply chain needs to take more responsibility for the commercial output of farms;***

Or. en

**Amendment 6**

**Angélique Delahaye, Elisabeth Köstinger, Michel Dantin, Marijana Petir**

**Draft opinion  
Paragraph 1**

*Draft opinion*

1. Emphasises that farmers' livelihoods depend on getting produce to the market and that loss of produce at farm level equates to loss of investment and income;

*Amendment*

1. Emphasises that farmers' livelihoods depend on getting produce to the market and that loss of produce at farm level equates to loss of investment and income; ***points out in this connection that price volatility on agricultural markets affects production and farmers' incomes and can result in food going to waste, and that appropriate tools to address price volatility therefore need to be built in to the CAP;***

Or. fr

**Amendment 7**

**Luke Ming Flanagan**

**Draft opinion  
Paragraph 1**

*Draft opinion*

1. Emphasises that farmers' livelihoods depend on getting produce to

*Amendment*

1. Emphasises that farmers' livelihoods depend on getting produce to

the market and that loss of produce at farm level equates to loss of investment and income;

the market and *realizing a return for their produce and* that loss of produce at farm level equates to loss of investment and income;

Or. en

## **Amendment 8**

**Esther Herranz García, Gabriel Mato, Ramón Luis Valcárcel Siso, Angélique Delahaye**

### **Draft opinion**

#### **Paragraph 1**

##### *Draft opinion*

1. Emphasises that farmers' livelihoods depend on getting produce to the market and that loss of produce at farm level equates to loss of investment and income;

##### *Amendment*

1. Emphasises that farmers' livelihoods depend on getting produce to the market *at remunerative prices* and that loss of produce at farm level equates to loss of investment and income;

Or. es

## **Amendment 9**

**Elisabeth Köstinger**

### **Draft opinion**

#### **Paragraph 1**

##### *Draft opinion*

1. Emphasises that farmers' livelihoods depend on getting produce to the market and that loss of produce at farm level equates to loss of investment and income;

##### *Amendment*

1. Emphasises that farmers' livelihoods depend on getting produce to the market *at fair conditions* and that loss of produce at farm level equates to loss of investment and income;

Or. de

## **Amendment 10**

**Philippe Loiseau, Edouard Ferrand, Laurențiu Rebegea**

### **Draft opinion**

#### **Paragraph 1**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

1. Emphasises that farmers' livelihoods depend on getting produce to the market and that loss of produce at farm level equates to loss of investment and income;

*(Does not affect the English version.)*

*(This amendment is linguistic.)*

Or. fr

**Amendment 11**

**Marc Tarabella, Biljana Borzan, Nicola Caputo, Tibor Szanyi, Viorica Dăncilă, Daciana Octavia Sârbu, Clara Eugenia Aguilera García, Karin Kadenbach, Jean-Paul Denanot, Nikos Androulakis, Eric Andrieu, Michela Giuffrida, Maria Noichl, Momchil Nekov, Paolo De Castro**

**Draft opinion**

**Paragraph 1 a (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

***1 a. Stresses that food waste must be analyzed in a cross-cutting manner, as it affects several policies at the same time, including agriculture, fisheries, food safety, environment, social affairs and tax policy; insists therefore on the need to better harmonize the different policies and establish the reduction of food waste as a clear objective of existing policies;***

Or. en

**Amendment 12**

**Elisabeth Köstinger**

**Draft opinion**

**Paragraph 1 a (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

***1a. Calls on the Commission to draw a clear distinction in its future policies between food wastage, which is caused by consumer behaviour and can be minimised by appropriate initiatives and***

*awareness-raising campaigns, and food loss, which is unavoidable at primary production level owing to force majeure events such as storms;*

Or. de

**Amendment 13**

**Ivan Jakovčić, Hilde Vautmans, Ulrike Müller, Jozo Radoš, Hannu Takkula, Fredrick Federley, Jens Rohde**

**Draft opinion**

**Paragraph 1 a (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

*1 a. Stresses that local and regional products, as well as community-supported agriculture schemes, enable shorter supply chains, which increase the quality standards of products and support seasonal demands, thus having considerable social, environmental and economic benefits;*

Or. en

**Amendment 14**

**Anja Hazekamp, Miguel Viegas, Stefan Eck, Matt Carthy, Luke Ming Flanagan**

**Draft opinion**

**Paragraph 1 a (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

*1 a. Stresses that around 88 million tonnes of food are wasted in the EU every year, with associated costs estimated at 143 billion euros; stresses that reducing food waste is one of the key strategies to combat hunger in the world;*

Or. en

**Amendment 15**

PE599.622v01-00

8/78

AM\1116563EN.docx

**Marijana Petir, Esther Herranz García**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 1 a (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

***1a. Points out that farmers are already being hit by loss of investment and income owing to the effects of the Russian embargo, declining market shares, natural disasters, price volatility, and unfair trading practice within the food supply chain;***

Or. hr

**Amendment 16**  
**Maria Heubuch**  
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group  
**Maria Noichl, Luke Ming Flanagan, Marco Zullo**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 1 a (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

***1 a. Recognises that many farmers are in a difficult economic situation due to low food prices and a failed agricultural policy that is based on mass production of cheap food for the world market rather than on the supply for EU demand;***

Or. en

**Amendment 17**  
**Maria Noichl, Maria Heubuch**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 1 a (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

***1a. Notes that producers to some extent accept the wastage or destruction of food as part of the balance of***

*profitability;*

Or. de

**Amendment 18**

**Angélique Delahaye, Esther Herranz García, Gabriel Mato, Ramón Luis Valcárcel Siso, Michel Dantin, Marijana Petir**

**Draft opinion**

**Paragraph 1 a (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

*1a. Points out that food wastage is caused by a range of factors and cannot be attributed solely to agricultural policy and rural development;*

Or. fr

**Amendment 19**

**Maria Heubuch**

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Maria Noichl, Marco Zullo**

**Draft opinion**

**Paragraph 1 b (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

*1 b. Calls for the establishment in EU legislation of a comprehensive food waste hierarchy with a clear focus on source prevention; stresses that prevention at source is the top priority in the waste hierarchy for food waste; notes that thereafter comes use for the human diet, and only then feedstuffs for animals, then composting and anaerobic decomposition, i.e.*

*a) source prevention;*

*b) edible food rescue, prioritising human use over animal feed and the reprocessing into non-food products;*

*c) organic recycling;*

*d) energy recovery;*

*e) disposal;*

Or. en

**Amendment 20**

**Angélique Delahaye, Elisabeth Köstinger, Esther Herranz García, Gabriel Mato, Ramón Luis Valcárcel Siso, Michel Dantin, Marijana Petir**

**Draft opinion**

**Paragraph 1 b (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

*1b. Emphasises that unfair trading practices in the supply chain can result in food wastage; draws attention to Parliament's call for the Commission to establish a legislative framework providing an effective means of addressing such practices;*

Or. fr

**Amendment 21**

**Marc Tarabella, Biljana Borzan, Nicola Caputo, Tibor Szanyi, Viorica Dăncilă, Daciana Octavia Sârbu, Clara Eugenia Aguilera García, Jean-Paul Denanot, Nikos Androulakis, Eric Andrieu, Michela Giuffrida, Maria Noichl, Momchil Nekov, Paolo De Castro**

**Draft opinion**

**Paragraph 1 b (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

*1 b. Calls on the Commission to carry out an impact assessment of the different policies to tackle food waste such as agriculture, fisheries, food safety, environment or tax policy;*

Or. en

**Amendment 22**

**Anja Hazekamp, Miguel Viegas, Stefan Eck, Matt Carthy, Luke Ming Flanagan**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 1 b (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

***1 b. Stresses that food wastage has huge environmental consequences, contributes to climate change and represents a waste of limited resources such as land, energy and water;***

Or. en

**Amendment 23**  
**Maria Noichl, Maria Heubuch**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 1 b (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

***1b. Notes that the processing industry to some extent accepts the wastage or destruction of food as part of the balance of profitability;***

Or. de

**Amendment 24**  
**Angélique Delahaye, Esther Herranz García, Gabriel Mato, Ramón Luis Valcárcel Siso, Michel Dantin, Marijana Petir**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 1 c (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

***1c. Welcomes the recent establishment of the EU Platform on Food Losses and Food Waste to allow stakeholders to share information on this issue; calls on the Commission to supply Parliament with a detailed schedule of the measures under way and the objectives and sub-objectives set, as well as a progress report on the work on a common methodology and on donations;***

**Amendment 25**

**Maria Heubuch**

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Maria Noichl, Marco Zullo**

**Draft opinion**

**Paragraph 1 c (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

*1 c. stresses that the use of food waste for energy production must not cause a clash between food and fuel, and energy needs should be met rather by using waste and by-products that are not useful in any other process higher up the waste hierarchy (e.g. organic waste ought to act as input for composting/topsoil creation);*

Or. en

**Amendment 26**

**Anja Hazekamp, Miguel Viegas, Stefan Eck, Matt Carthy**

**Draft opinion**

**Paragraph 1 c (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

*1 c. Notes that the global food production is more than enough to feed the growing world population, but highlights that the production is not sustainable and that large volumes of food go to waste;*

Or. en

**Amendment 27**

**Maria Noichl, Maria Heubuch**

**Draft opinion**

**Paragraph 1 c (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

***Ic. Notes that consumers to some extent accept the wastage or destruction of food for reasons of convenience;***

Or. de

**Amendment 28**

**Maria Heubuch**

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Marco Zullo, Luke Ming Flanagan**

**Draft opinion**

**Paragraph 1 d (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

***1 d. Stresses that the industrialization of European agriculture has led to the sector putting heavy pressure on natural resources such as water, soil and energy to an extent that is not sustainable;***

***stresses that, in terms of circular economy and resource efficiency, it is not appropriate to use natural resources for the production of food, only to subsequently waste a considerable share of it, even in order to generate additional income;***

Or. en

**Amendment 29**

**Maria Noichl, Maria Heubuch**

**Draft opinion**

**Paragraph 1 d (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

***1d. Stresses in this connection that cognitive education and practical cooking knowledge and skills are the best prevention against food wastage in the***

*private sphere;*

Or. de

**Amendment 30**  
**Maria Lidia Senra Rodríguez, Ángela Vallina**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 2**

*Draft opinion*

2. *Notes the potential for optimisation of use of former foodstuffs and by-products from the food chain in feed production and its importance for primary production, but stresses the need for increased traceability;*

*Amendment*

*deleted*

Or. es

**Amendment 31**  
**John Stuart Agnew**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 2**

*Draft opinion*

2. Notes the *potential for optimisation of use of* former foodstuffs and by-products from the food chain in feed production and its importance for primary production, *but* stresses the *need for increased traceability;*

*Amendment*

2. Notes the *extent to which* former foodstuffs and by-products from the food chain *are already used* in feed production and *nutrient recycling on farm and* its importance for primary production, *and* stresses the *difficulty that waste legislation places on producers in the recycling and processing of by-products on and off farm;*

Or. en

**Amendment 32**  
**Maria Heubuch**  
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group  
**Maria Noichl, Marco Zullo**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 2**

*Draft opinion*

2. Notes the potential for optimisation of use of **former foodstuffs** and by-products from the food chain in feed production and its importance for primary production, but stresses the need for increased traceability;

*Amendment*

2. Notes the potential for optimisation of use of **non-avoidable food waste** and by-products from the food chain in feed production and its importance for primary production, but stresses the need for increased traceability **and using separation and treatment processes that bring food safety risk down to zero;**  
**Is concerned that "clarification of relevant EU legislation related to waste, food and feed in order to facilitate food donation and utilisation of former foodstuffs for animal feed", as announced for 2016<sup>1a</sup>, has not yet been tackled;**

---

*<sup>1a</sup> Annex to the Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the regions: „Closing the loop- An action plan for the Circular Economy (2015)*

Or. en

**Amendment 33**

**Ulrike Müller, Ivan Jakovčić, Fredrick Federley, Jens Rohde**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 2**

*Draft opinion*

2. Notes the potential for optimisation of use of former foodstuffs and by-products from the food chain in feed production and its importance for primary production, **but stresses** the need for increased traceability;

*Amendment*

2. Notes the potential for optimisation of use of former foodstuffs and by-products from the food chain, **in particular those of animal origin**, in feed production and its importance for primary production; **calls on the Commission to analyze legal barriers to the use of former foodstuffs in feed production and promote research in this area, while at the same time stressing**

the need for increased traceability;

Or. en

**Amendment 34**  
**Elisabeth Köstinger**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 2**

*Draft opinion*

2. Notes the potential for optimisation of use *of former foodstuffs* and by-products from the food chain in feed production and its importance for primary production, but stresses the need for increased traceability;

*Amendment*

2. Notes the potential for optimisation of use, *in particular, of food unavoidably lost or discarded* and by-products from the food chain in feed production and its importance for primary production, but stresses the need for increased traceability;

Or. de

**Amendment 35**  
**Momchil Nekov, Marc Tarabella**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 2**

*Draft opinion*

2. Notes the potential for optimisation of use of former foodstuffs and by-products from the food chain in feed production and *its* importance for primary production, but stresses the need for increased traceability;

*Amendment*

2. Notes the potential for optimisation of use of former foodstuffs and by-products from the food chain in feed production and *production of soil improvers and their* importance for primary production, but stresses the need for increased traceability;

Or. en

**Amendment 36**  
**Georgios Epitideios**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 2**

*Draft opinion*

2. Notes the potential for optimisation of use of former foodstuffs and by-products from the food chain in feed production and its importance for primary production, but stresses the need for increased traceability;

*Amendment*

2. Notes the potential for optimisation of use of former foodstuffs and by-products from the food chain in feed production and its importance for primary production, but stresses the need for increased traceability, ***so as to secure indications of provenance in connection with processing, production and sale of the final product to consumers;***

Or. el

**Amendment 37**  
**Daniel Buda**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 2**

*Draft opinion*

2. Notes the potential for optimisation of use of former foodstuffs and by-products from the food chain in feed production and its importance for primary production, but stresses the need for increased traceability;

*Amendment*

2. Notes the potential for optimisation of use of former foodstuffs and by-products from the food chain in feed production and its importance for primary production, but stresses the need for increased traceability; ***calls on Member States to monitor and communicate in good time food losses in the consumption process;***

Or. ro

**Amendment 38**  
**Miguel Viegas, Estefanía Torres Martínez**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 2**

*Draft opinion*

2. Notes the potential for optimisation of use of former foodstuffs and by-products from the food chain in feed production and its importance for primary production, but stresses the need for

*Amendment*

2. Notes the potential for optimisation of use of former foodstuffs and by-products from the food chain in feed production and its importance for primary production, but stresses the need for

increased traceability;

increased traceability **and compliance with zootechnical and biosecurity standards;**

Or. pt

### **Amendment 39**

**Philippe Loiseau, Edouard Ferrand, Laurențiu Rebega**

#### **Draft opinion**

##### **Paragraph 2**

###### *Draft opinion*

2. Notes the potential for optimisation of use of former foodstuffs and by-products from the food chain in feed production and its importance for primary production, but stresses the need for increased traceability;

###### *Amendment*

2. Notes the potential for optimisation of use of former foodstuffs and by-products from the food chain in feed production and its importance for primary production, but stresses the need for increased traceability **and for steps to prevent improper practices ;**

Or. fr

### **Amendment 40**

**Hannu Takkula, Ivan Jakovčić**

#### **Draft opinion**

##### **Paragraph 2**

###### *Draft opinion*

2. Notes the potential for optimisation of use of former foodstuffs and by-products from the food chain in feed production and its importance for primary production, but stresses the need for increased traceability;

###### *Amendment*

2. Notes the potential for optimisation of use of former foodstuffs and by-products from the food chain in feed production and its importance for primary production, but stresses the **use of waste hierarchy and** need for increased traceability;

Or. en

### **Amendment 41**

**Maria Lidia Senra Rodríguez, Ángela Vallina**

#### **Draft opinion**

**Paragraph 2 a (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

**2a.** *Takes the view that regulation of agricultural production needs to be established as an instrument for matching supply and demand, and at the same time measures need to be introduced that will guarantee decent prices for producers and avoid the need constantly to increase the level of production in order to maintain a minimum level of income;*

Or. es

**Amendment 42**

**Daniel Buda**

**Draft opinion**

**Paragraph 2 a (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

**2a.** *Highlights the initiatives contained in the Circular Economy Action Plan covering measures for establishing a financial support platform to attract investment and innovations aimed at reducing losses, as well the guidelines addressed to the Member States for converting food losses into energy;*

Or. ro

**Amendment 43**

**Anja Hazekamp, Stefan Eck**

**Draft opinion**

**Paragraph 2 a (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

**2 a.** *Stresses that it is unacceptable to produce a surplus of animal products; calls on the Commission to put an end to buy-up schemes for these products;*

**Amendment 44**

**Anja Hazekamp, Stefan Eck**

**Draft opinion**

**Paragraph 2 b (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

**2 b. Stresses that the majority of food waste is caused by feeding animals with food that is also suitable for human consumption;**

**Amendment 45**

**Maria Lidia Senra Rodríguez, Ángela Vallina**

**Draft opinion**

**Paragraph 3**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

**3. Highlights the importance of cooperation, for example via Producer Organisations, for increased access to finance for innovation and investment in treatment technologies such as composting and anaerobic digestion or further processing of products which could allow farmers to access new market and customers;**

**deleted**

**Amendment 46**

**John Stuart Agnew**

**Draft opinion**

**Paragraph 3**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

3. Highlights the importance of  
AM\1116563EN.docx

3.  
21/78

3. Highlights the importance of  
PE599.622v01-00

*cooperation, for example via Producer Organisations, for increased access to finance for innovation and investment in treatment technologies such as composting and anaerobic digestion or further processing of products which could allow farmers to access new market and customers;*

*coherent and enabling legislation which is severely lacking in the EU, and better targetted finance for innovation and investment in treatment technologies such as **feeding waste to insects**, composting and anaerobic digestion or further processing of products, which could allow farmers to access new market and customers;*

Or. en

#### **Amendment 47**

**Maria Heubuch**

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Marco Zullo**

#### **Draft opinion**

##### **Paragraph 3**

###### *Draft opinion*

3. Highlights the importance of cooperation, for example via Producer Organisations, for increased access to finance for innovation and investment in treatment technologies such as composting and anaerobic digestion or further processing of **products** which could allow farmers to access new **market** and customers;

###### *Amendment*

3. Highlights the importance of cooperation, for example via Producer Organisations, for increased access to finance for innovation and investment in treatment technologies such as composting and anaerobic digestion or further processing of **non-avoidable food waste** which could **even in some cases** allow farmers to access new **markets** and customers;

***Stresses further that the top priority must be to avoid food waste as laid down in the Waste Framework Directive;***

***Notes that investment in infrastructure to deal with food waste demands a return on capital, which can develop its own dynamics, namely to produce more food waste instead of avoiding it in order to pay back the investments made;***

Or. en

#### **Amendment 48**

**Angélique Delahaye, Esther Herranz García, Gabriel Mato, Ramón Luis Valcárcel Siso, Michel Dantin, Marijana Petir**

PE599.622v01-00

22/78

AM\1116563EN.docx

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 3**

*Draft opinion*

3. Highlights the importance of cooperation, for example via Producer Organisations, for increased access to finance for innovation and investment in treatment technologies such as composting and anaerobic digestion or further processing of products which could allow farmers to access new market and customers;

*Amendment*

3. Highlights the importance of cooperation, for example via Producer Organisations ***or other bodies such as interbranch organisations and cooperatives***, for increased access to finance for innovation and investment in treatment technologies such as composting and anaerobic digestion or further processing of products which could allow farmers to access new market and customers; ***points out in this connection that better sectoral organisation results in better production management and more effective action against food wastage;***

Or. fr

**Amendment 49**  
**Luke Ming Flanagan**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 3**

*Draft opinion*

3. Highlights the importance of cooperation, for example via Producer Organisations, for increased access to finance for innovation and investment in treatment technologies such as composting and anaerobic digestion or further processing of products which could allow farmers to access new market and customers;

*Amendment*

3. Highlights the importance of cooperation, for example via Producer Organisations, for increased access to finance for innovation and investment in treatment technologies such as composting and anaerobic digestion or further processing of products which could allow farmers to access new market and customers; ***believes that it is essential that this is done at local or regional level to respect the proximity principle and also so that local populations can retain control of and benefit from new income streams that might be generated;***

Or. en

**Amendment 50**  
**Philippe Loiseau, Edouard Ferrand, Laurențiu Rebega**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 3**

*Draft opinion*

3. Highlights the importance of cooperation, for example via Producer Organisations, for increased access to finance for innovation and investment in treatment technologies such as composting and anaerobic digestion or further processing of products which could allow farmers to access new market and customers;

*Amendment*

3. Highlights the importance of cooperation, for example via Producer Organisations, for increased access to finance for innovation and investment in treatment technologies such as composting and anaerobic digestion or further processing of ***damaged*** products which could allow farmers to access new market and customers, ***while pointing to the importance of using waste to regenerate land that has been farmed;***

Or. fr

**Amendment 51**  
**Momchil Nekov, Marc Tarabella**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 3**

*Draft opinion*

3. Highlights the importance of cooperation, for example via Producer Organisations, for increased access to finance for innovation and investment in treatment technologies such as composting and anaerobic digestion or further processing of products which could allow farmers to access new market and customers;

*Amendment*

3. Highlights the importance of cooperation, for example via Producer Organisations, for increased access to finance for innovation and investment in treatment technologies such as composting and anaerobic digestion or further processing of products which could allow farmers to access new ***products***, market and customers;

Or. en

**Amendment 52**  
**Jean-Paul Denanot, Eric Andrieu, Marc Tarabella**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 3 a (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

**3a.** *Draws attention to the role public agencies providing services of general interest play in waste management and in efforts to combat food wastage, and calls for the Commission to recognised the efforts of undertakings, such as SMEs, that make a direct contribution to the circular economy;*

Or. fr

**Amendment 53**

**Maria Lidia Senra Rodríguez, Ángela Vallina**

**Draft opinion**

**Paragraph 3 a (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

**3a.** *Highlights the importance of redirecting aid towards production models based on agro-ecology, linking production to the land, and eliminating imports of animal feed that lead to the production of surpluses which flood the markets and destroy small farms in the European Union and in third countries;*

Or. es

**Amendment 54**

**Marc Tarabella, Biljana Borzan, Nicola Caputo, Tibor Szanyi, Viorica Dăncilă, Daciana Octavia Sârbu, Clara Eugenia Aguilera García, Jean-Paul Denanot, Nikos Androulakis, Eric Andrieu, Michela Giuffrida, Maria Noichl, Momchil Nekov, Paolo De Castro, Massimo Paolucci**

**Draft opinion**

**Paragraph 3 a (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

**3 a.** *Stresses the importance of bringing together farmers in cooperatives or professional associations in order to*

*reduce food losses by strengthening their knowledge of markets, allowing more efficient programming, economies of scale and improving their capacity to market their production;*

Or. en

**Amendment 55**

**Maria Lidia Senra Rodríguez, Ángela Vallina**

**Draft opinion**

**Paragraph 4**

*Draft opinion*

4. Notes the benefits of *access to data and forecasts and developing advance production programmes for farmers, enabling them to better match supply to demand and minimise* wastage;

*Amendment*

4. Notes the benefits of *prioritising and promoting local and community-based markets and raising awareness of their advantages in terms of quality, job creation and minimising food* wastage;

Or. es

**Amendment 56**

**John Stuart Agnew**

**Draft opinion**

**Paragraph 4**

*Draft opinion*

4. Notes the benefits of *access to* data and forecasts and developing advance production programmes for farmers, enabling them to better match supply to demand and minimise wastage;

*Amendment*

4. Notes the benefits of *vertical integration and cooperation to provide supply chain* data and forecasts and *in food traders and retailers* developing advance production programmes for farmers, enabling them to better match supply to demand and minimise wastage *and ensuring a fair distribution of risk in supply and demand management, and notes that these models are more common in the traditionally unsupported sectors of horticulture, pigs and poultry, highlighting the negative role that CAP subsidies have had in generating waste;*

**Amendment 57**

**Maria Heubuch**

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Maria Noichl, Luke Ming Flanagan, Marco Zullo**

**Draft opinion**

**Paragraph 4**

*Draft opinion*

4. Notes the benefits of access to data and forecasts and developing advance production programmes for farmers, enabling them to better match supply to demand and minimise wastage;

*Amendment*

4. Notes the benefits of access to data and forecasts and developing advance production programmes for farmers, enabling them to better match supply to demand and minimise wastage; ***emphasises the need for state-coordinated or EU supported measures for dynamic and reactive supply management in the event of a crisis induced by over-production, in order to align production with EU demand, thus stabilising prices and reducing waste;***

Or. en

**Amendment 58**

**Luke Ming Flanagan**

**Draft opinion**

**Paragraph 4**

*Draft opinion*

4. Notes the benefits of access to data and forecasts and developing advance production programmes for farmers, enabling them to better match supply to demand and minimise wastage;

*Amendment*

4. Notes the benefits of access to data and forecasts and developing advance production programmes for farmers, enabling them to better match supply to demand and minimise wastage; ***believes that short supply chains can play a vital role in reducing food waste, reducing food miles, providing higher quality food, transparent food chains and in doing so underpin the economic viability of rural communities;***

**Amendment 59**

**Daniel Buda**

**Draft opinion**

**Paragraph 4**

*Draft opinion*

4. Notes the benefits of access to data and forecasts and developing advance production programmes for farmers, enabling them to better match supply to demand and minimise wastage;

*Amendment*

4. Notes the benefits of access to data and forecasts and developing advance production programmes for farmers, enabling them to better match supply to demand and minimise wastage; ***insists that a coordinated forecasting body be established at EU level with a view to averting overproduction bottlenecks and price volatility;***

Or. ro

**Amendment 60**

**Hannu Takkula, Ivan Jakovčić**

**Draft opinion**

**Paragraph 4**

*Draft opinion*

4. Notes the benefits of access to data and forecasts and developing advance production programmes for farmers, enabling them to better match supply to demand and minimise wastage;

*Amendment*

4. Notes the benefits of access to data and forecasts and developing advance production programmes for farmers, enabling them to better match supply to demand and minimise wastage; ***stresses that as zero tolerance with food waste is challenging to achieve, effective use of food waste in bio economy shall be promoted;***

Or. en

**Amendment 61**

**Ulrike Müller, Hannu Takkula, Hilde Vautmans, Ivan Jakovčić, Fredrick Federley, Jens Rohde**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 4**

*Draft opinion*

4. Notes the benefits of access to data and forecasts and developing advance production programmes for farmers, enabling them to better match supply to demand and minimise wastage;

*Amendment*

4. Notes the benefits of **digitalization** *which allows better* access to data and forecasts and developing advance production programmes for farmers, enabling them to **better coordinate with the other sectors of the food supply chain** *and* better match supply to demand and minimise wastage;

Or. en

**Amendment 62**  
**Miguel Viegas, Estefanía Torres Martínez**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 4**

*Draft opinion*

4. Notes the benefits of access to data and forecasts and developing advance production programmes for farmers, enabling them to better match supply to demand and minimise wastage;

*Amendment*

4. Notes the benefits of access to data and forecasts and developing advance production programmes for farmers, **and highlights the need to restore public instruments to regulate production**, enabling them to better match supply to demand and minimise wastage;

Or. pt

**Amendment 63**  
**Eric Andrieu, Jean-Paul Denanot, Marc Tarabella**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 4 – subparagraph 1 (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

***Stresses the importance of making production forecasting tools available under the CAP in order to match supply as closely as possible to demand and***

*thereby avoid situations in which agricultural and food surpluses result in food wastage;*

Or. fr

**Amendment 64**

**Marco Zullo**

**Draft opinion**

**Paragraph 4 a (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

*4a. Takes the view that in order to better match product supply to demand, labelling rules that provide appropriate information on the origin of the ingredients and the production and processing techniques used would enable consumers to make more informed purchases, thereby having an indirect influence also on production factors, which would have a positive impact in environmental, economic and social terms;*

Or. it

**Amendment 65**

**Maria Heubuch**

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Maria Noichl, Luke Ming Flanagan, Marco Zullo**

**Draft opinion**

**Paragraph 4 a (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

*4 a. Stresses that agricultural incomes should be increased by providing farmers with fair and remunerative farm gate prices, using public funds to pay for services provided to society, and aligning production with EU demand (to avoid the low prices caused by over-production or foreign policy changes);*

**Amendment 66**  
**Estefanía Torres Martínez**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 4 a (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

**4a.** *Notes, likewise, the need to create cooperative channels enabling surplus crops from one farm to be used to remedy the shortage of crops on another, with the aim of guaranteeing prices in the event of surplus harvests;*

Or. es

**Amendment 67**  
**Angélique Delahaye, Elisabeth Köstinger, Esther Herranz García, Gabriel Mato, Ramón Luis Valcárcel Siso, Michel Dantin, Marijana Petir**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 4 a (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

**4a.** *Draws attention to the important role the programme for the distribution of fruit, vegetables, bananas and milk in schools plays in raising public awareness; calls on the Member States to make greater use of the opportunities afforded by these programmes;*

Or. fr

**Amendment 68**  
**Anja Hazekamp, Miguel Viegas, Stefan Eck, Matt Carthy**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 4 a (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

**4 a.** *Stresses the fact that, in general, the EU produces more food than domestic consumption demands and thereby it contributes to the food-wastage phenomenon with agricultural overproduction as one of its causes;*

Or. en

**Amendment 69**

**Anja Hazekamp, Miguel Viegas, Stefan Eck, Matt Carthy, Luke Ming Flanagan**

**Draft opinion**

**Paragraph 4 b (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

**4 b.** *Calls for a tailor-made approach in the EU agricultural production, so that production is adjusted to the demand in order to avoid over-supply and food wastage, with positive effects also on the environment;*

Or. en

**Amendment 70**

**Maria Heubuch**

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Maria Noichl, Marco Zullo**

**Draft opinion**

**Paragraph 4 b (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

**4 b.** *Calls on the EU-Commission and Member States to provide additional incentives for the prevention of food waste;*

Or. en

**Amendment 71**

**Maria Heubuch**

PE599.622v01-00

32/78

AM\1116563EN.docx

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group  
**Maria Noichl, Luke Ming Flanagan, Marco Zullo**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 4 c (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

**4 c. Calls on the Commission and Member States to better inform farmers and consumers about more efficient management of energy, water and natural resources throughout the food chain, so as to significantly reduce waste of resources and food, with the aim of reducing input costs and nutrient wastage and increasing innovation and sustainability within farming systems;**

Or. en

**Amendment 72**  
**Maria Lidia Senra Rodríguez, Ángela Vallina**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 5**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

5. Considers that increased research and information is needed on ***enabling access to secondary market opportunities and alternative uses for products which would otherwise be ploughed back into the soil or wasted;***

5. Considers that increased research and information is needed on ***use-by dates, geared to each product, along with action to promote and boost consumption of fresh and loose produce, and to reduce long-term packaging and storage;***

Or. es

**Amendment 73**  
**Maria Heubuch**  
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group  
**Maria Noichl, Marco Zullo**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 5**

*Draft opinion*

5. Considers that increased research and information is needed ***on enabling access to secondary market opportunities and alternative uses for products which would otherwise be ploughed back into the soil or wasted;***

*Amendment*

5. Considers that increased research and information is needed ***to avoid food waste in primary production and to replace resource-wasting practices in agricultural production, food processing or distribution, with environmentally-friendly methods;***  
***Notes that use as compost is a priority lower down the efficiency cascade, to be used once other options are exhausted;***

Or. en

**Amendment 74**  
**John Stuart Agnew**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 5**

*Draft opinion*

5. Considers that increased research and information is needed on enabling access to secondary market opportunities and alternative uses for ***products which would otherwise be ploughed back into the soil or wasted;***

*Amendment*

5. Considers that increased research and information is needed on enabling access to secondary market opportunities and alternative uses for ***by-products, but notes that initiatives to repurpose food waste should not replace the obligation on Member States to meet their citizens' right to food through national social security measures;***

Or. en

**Amendment 75**  
**Paolo De Castro**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 5**

*Draft opinion*

5. Considers that increased research ***and information is*** needed ***on enabling*** access to secondary market opportunities and alternative uses for ***products*** which

*Amendment*

5. Considers that increased research, ***information and incentives are*** needed ***in order to enable and promote the*** access to secondary market opportunities and

would otherwise be ploughed back into the soil or wasted;

alternative uses for *food surpluses*, which would otherwise be ploughed back into the soil or wasted, *giving priority to re-use for purpose of human consumption*;

Or. en

**Amendment 76**  
**Marco Zullo**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 5**

*Draft opinion*

5. Considers that increased research and information is needed on enabling access to secondary market opportunities and alternative uses for products which would otherwise be ploughed back into the soil or wasted;

*Amendment*

5. Considers that increased research and information is needed on enabling access to secondary market opportunities and alternative uses for products which would otherwise be ploughed back into the soil or wasted; *in this regard, those products that can still be used for non-food purposes should be clearly distinguished from that which is considered to be waste, in order not to jeopardise their re-use*;

Or. it

**Amendment 77**  
**Ulrike Müller, Hilde Vautmans, Jens Rohde**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 5**

*Draft opinion*

5. Considers that increased research and information is needed on enabling access to secondary market opportunities and alternative uses for products which would otherwise be ploughed back into the soil or wasted;

*Amendment*

5. Considers that increased research and information is needed on enabling access to secondary market opportunities and alternative uses for products which would otherwise be ploughed back into the soil or wasted, *e.g. during the Russian embargo; stresses that, in order to keep food waste to an absolute minimum, farmers should be put into a position both technically and economically to use their*

*products in the most resource efficient way;*

Or. en

**Amendment 78**  
**James Nicholson**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 5**

*Draft opinion*

5. Considers that increased research and information is needed on enabling access to secondary market opportunities and alternative uses for products which would otherwise be ploughed back into the soil or wasted;

*Amendment*

5. Considers that increased research and information is needed on enabling access to secondary market opportunities and alternative uses for products which would otherwise be ploughed back into the soil or wasted, *such as selling at lower grade for processed foods, selling at local markets or use in animal feed;*

Or. en

**Amendment 79**  
**Hannu Takkula, Ivan Jakovčić**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 5**

*Draft opinion*

5. Considers that increased research and information *is* needed on enabling access to secondary market opportunities and alternative uses for products which would otherwise be ploughed back into the soil or wasted;

*Amendment*

5. Considers that increased research and information *as well as cooperation and the role of producer organizations are* needed on enabling access to secondary market opportunities and alternative uses for products which would otherwise be ploughed back into the soil or wasted;

Or. en

**Amendment 80**  
**Valdemar Tomaševski**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 5**

*Draft opinion*

5. Considers that increased research and information is needed on enabling access to secondary market opportunities and alternative uses for products which would otherwise be ploughed back into the soil *or wasted*;

*Amendment*

5. Considers that increased research and information is needed on enabling access to secondary market opportunities and alternative uses for products which would otherwise be ploughed back into the soil, *wasted or disposed of*;

Or. pl

**Amendment 81**  
**Norbert Erdős, György Hölvényi**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 5 a (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

*5 a. Takes the view that the greatest barrier in the EU to the delivery of still edible surplus food to those in need - and this is the second level of the food-wasting hierarchy - is the shortage, or sometimes complete lack, of capacity in the distribution channel; notes that charitable organisations and state- or local government-run social work bodies do not have enough material or human resources to transport and distribute the still edible food offered for charitable purposes; notes that this is true in particular of the most disadvantaged regions;*

Or. hu

**Amendment 82**  
**Maria Heubuch**  
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group  
**Maria Noichl, Marco Zullo**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 5 a (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

**5 a.** *Notes the contamination risk involved from plastic and metal in food waste inputs to compost and soil, and onwards to freshwater and marine ecosystems, and urges that this pollution route be minimised; Recalls in addition the intention of the sewage sludge in agriculture directive, to minimise contamination in agricultural soils; calls therefore for caution when considering mixing of waste streams and for appropriate safeguards;*

Or. en

**Amendment 83**  
**Annie Schreijer-Pierik**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 5 a (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

**5 a.** *Emphasises that the Commission and the Member States should first and foremost consult with all key stakeholders - including the agricultural sector - on any proposed measures to be implemented to prevent food waste throughout the Union;*

Or. en

**Amendment 84**  
**Ulrike Müller, Hannu Takkula, Hilde Vautmans, Ivan Jakovčić, Jens Rohde**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 5 a (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

**5 a.** *Expresses concern for a binding food waste hierarchy and calls instead for the establishment of guidelines and the*

*sharing of best practices in order to determine the most resource efficient use of food waste and food loss;*

Or. en

**Amendment 85**

**Anja Hazekamp, Miguel Viegas, Estefanía Torres Martínez, Stefan Eck, Matt Carthy, Luke Ming Flanagan**

**Draft opinion**

**Paragraph 5 a (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

**5 a. Encourages Member States and the Commission to promote local and organic food and short food supply chains which can contribute to the reduction of the food waste;**

Or. en

**Amendment 86**

**Marijana Petir**

**Draft opinion**

**Paragraph 5 a (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

**5a. Considers that donated food should be exempted from VAT and that the EU VAT Directive should be amended accordingly;**

Or. hr

**Amendment 87**

**Norbert Erdős, György Hölvényi**

**Draft opinion**

**Paragraph 5 b (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

**5 b.** *Notes that, under the EU's food aid programme (FEAD), it is also possible for material resources allocated to the programme to be used for the delivery of surplus food to its destination; recommends, in the light of the above, that, when implementing FEAD, resources available under the programme be reallocated for the delivery of surplus food; notes that by reallocating resources - according to a previous model drawn up by European charitable organisations - the value of the food delivered to the most in need could be increased 14 times as compared with the current utilisation of the FEAD programme;*

Or. hu

**Amendment 88**

**Anja Hazekamp, Miguel Viegas, Estefanía Torres Martínez, Stefan Eck, Matt Carthy**

**Draft opinion**

**Paragraph 5 b (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

**5 b.** *Stresses that fight against food wastage should not compromise food safety and environmental standards, nor animal protection standards, notably animal health and welfare;*

Or. en

**Amendment 89**

**John Stuart Agnew**

**Draft opinion**

**Paragraph 6**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

**6.** *Notes the difficulty in quantifying food wastage at the primary production*

*deleted*

*stage, and calls on the Commission to identify and disseminate to Member States best practice in relation to gathering data on food loss and food waste on farms without placing an additional administrative burden on farmers;*

Or. en

#### **Amendment 90**

**Ulrike Müller, Hilde Vautmans, Ivan Jakovčić, Fredrick Federley, Jens Rohde**

#### **Draft opinion**

#### **Paragraph 6**

##### *Draft opinion*

6. Notes the difficulty in quantifying food wastage at the primary production stage, **and** calls on the Commission to identify and disseminate to Member States best practice in relation to gathering data on food loss and food waste on farms without placing an additional administrative burden on farmers;

##### *Amendment*

6. Notes the difficulty in quantifying food wastage **and food loss** at the primary production stage **due to the heterogeneous products and respective processes and due to the lack of a clear definition of food waste<sup>1a</sup>**; calls on the Commission to identify and disseminate to Member States best practice in relation to gathering data on food loss and food waste on farms without placing an additional administrative burden on farmers **and to adopt a common methodology for measurement; further calls on the Commission to swiftly adopt a common terminology and definition of food waste, taking into account the distinction of food wastage and food loss in the primary production sector;**

---

<sup>1a</sup> **FUSIONS, Estimates of European food waste levels, March 2016.**

Or. en

#### **Amendment 91**

**Jean-Paul Denanot, Eric Andrieu, Marc Tarabella**

#### **Draft opinion**

#### **Paragraph 6**

*Draft opinion*

6. Notes the difficulty in quantifying food wastage at the primary production stage, and calls on the Commission to identify and disseminate to Member States best practice in relation to gathering data on food loss and food waste on farms without placing an additional administrative burden on farmers;

*Amendment*

6. Notes the difficulty in quantifying food wastage at the primary production stage, and calls on the Commission to identify and disseminate to Member States best practice in relation to gathering data on food loss and food waste on farms ***with a view to conducting information campaigns***, without placing an additional administrative burden on farmers; ***calls on the Commission to have the proceedings of the EU Platform on Food Losses and Food Waste made available in the 24 EU languages***;

Or. fr

**Amendment 92**

**Maria Heubuch**

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Marco Zullo**

**Draft opinion**

**Paragraph 6**

*Draft opinion*

6. Notes the difficulty in quantifying food wastage at the primary production stage, and calls on the Commission to identify and disseminate to Member States best practice in relation to gathering data on food loss and food waste on farms without placing an additional administrative burden on farmers;

*Amendment*

6. Notes the difficulty in quantifying food wastage at the primary production stage, and calls on the Commission to identify and disseminate to Member States best practice in relation to gathering data on food loss and food waste on farms ***and ports*** without placing an additional administrative burden on farmers ***and fisherfolk***; ***considers that new data to be collected in the Common Fisheries Policy<sup>1a</sup> should provide better information on losses in the fishing industry***;

---

***<sup>1a</sup> The fisheries committee will not have an opinion, yet fish related food waste is an enormous issue for resource efficiency***

Or. en

**Amendment 93**  
**Miguel Viegas, Estefanía Torres Martínez**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 6**

*Draft opinion*

6. Notes the difficulty in quantifying food wastage at the primary production stage, and calls on the Commission to identify and disseminate to Member States best practice in relation to gathering data on food loss and food waste on farms without placing an additional administrative burden on farmers;

*Amendment*

6. Notes the difficulty in quantifying food wastage at the primary production stage **and the need for an agreed technical definition of 'food wastage'**, and calls on the Commission to identify and disseminate to Member States best practice in relation to gathering data on food loss and food waste on farms without placing an additional administrative burden on farmers;

Or. pt

**Amendment 94**  
**Georgios Epitideios**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 6**

*Draft opinion*

6. Notes the difficulty in quantifying food wastage at the primary production stage, and calls on the Commission to identify and disseminate to Member States best practice in relation to gathering data on food loss and food waste on farms without placing an additional administrative burden on farmers;

*Amendment*

6. Notes the difficulty in quantifying **and preventing** food wastage at the primary production stage, and calls on the Commission to identify and disseminate to Member States best practice in relation to gathering data on food loss and food waste on farms without placing an additional administrative burden on farmers;

Or. el

**Amendment 95**  
**Philippe Loiseau, Edouard Ferrand, Laurențiu Rebegea**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 6**

*Draft opinion*

6. Notes the difficulty in quantifying food wastage at the primary production stage, and calls on the Commission to identify and disseminate to Member States best practice in relation to gathering data on food loss and food waste on farms without placing an additional administrative burden on farmers;

*Amendment*

6. Notes the difficulty in quantifying food wastage at the primary production stage, and calls on the Commission to identify and disseminate to Member States best practice in relation to gathering data on food loss and food waste on farms without placing an additional administrative burden on farmers ***and saddling them with additional costs;***

Or. fr

**Amendment 96**

**Marc Tarabella, Biljana Borzan, Nicola Caputo, Tibor Szanyi, Viorica Dăncilă, Daciana Octavia Sârbu, Clara Eugenia Aguilera García, Karin Kadenbach, Jean-Paul Denanot, Nikos Androulakis, Eric Andrieu, Michela Giuffrida, Maria Noichl, Momchil Nekov, Paolo De Castro, Massimo Paolucci**

**Draft opinion**

**Paragraph 6 a (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

***6 a. Notes that food waste is a problem at every stage of the chain, whether it is production, processing, marketing, transport or consumption; insists therefore on the need to improve communication between all actors in the food supply chain, in particular between suppliers and distributors, in order to match supply and demand, the discrepancies of which are one of the main causes of food waste;***

Or. en

**Amendment 97**

**Anja Hazekamp, Miguel Viegas, Estefanía Torres Martínez, Stefan Eck, Matt Carthy, Luke Ming Flanagan**

**Draft opinion**

**Paragraph 6 a (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

**6 a.** *Calls on all stakeholders in the food production, supply and consumption chains, from consumers and retailers to the food processors and producers, including farmers, to use various best practices from the EU Member States to combat food losses and prevent food waste generation on different levels along the food production, supply and consumption chains;*

Or. en

**Amendment 98**

**Marijana Petir, Esther Herranz García**

**Draft opinion**

**Paragraph 6 a (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

**6a.** *Considers that when fruit and vegetables are damaged in a natural disaster or are destroyed or ploughed in on family farms because a market has been lost or prices are low, this amounts to a loss of investment and income for farmers;*

Or. hr

**Amendment 99**

**Nicola Caputo, Marc Tarabella**

**Draft opinion**

**Paragraph 6 a (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

**6a.** *Points out that at farm level, the products that are not placed on the market can find other outlets, such as the fertilisation of fields, conversion into feed, or use for the production of compost and energy;*

**Amendment 100**

**Ivan Jakovčić, Hilde Vautmans, Ulrike Müller, Jozo Radoš, Hannu Takkula, Fredrick Federley, Jens Rohde**

**Draft opinion**

**Paragraph 6 a (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

**6 a. Emphasises that educational and awareness-raising initiatives and measures, especially for the household sector, can significantly lower food wastage and increase social initiatives aimed at collecting excess foodstuffs;**

Or. en

**Amendment 101**

**Maria Lidia Senra Rodríguez, Ángela Vallina**

**Draft opinion**

**Paragraph 6 a (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

**6a. Considers it essential to work towards preventing food from becoming a by-product;**

Or. es

**Amendment 102**

**Nicola Caputo, Marc Tarabella**

**Draft opinion**

**Paragraph 6 b (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

**6b. Is of the view that the concepts of food wastage, food waste and discards (or residue) from a production process in the**

*food chain need to be clarified;*

Or. it

**Amendment 103**  
**Nicola Caputo, Marc Tarabella**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 6 c (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

**6c. Calls on the Commission and the Member States to encourage exchanges of best practices and to promote public awareness campaigns on the value of foodstuffs and agricultural produce and the causes and consequences of food wastage and on the ways of reducing it, whilst promoting a scientific, public-spirited culture based on the principles of sustainability and solidarity; calls on the Member States to encourage the introduction of food education courses at all educational levels, including higher education, explaining, for example, how to preserve, cook and dispose of food, thereby encouraging better behaviour; underlines the important role of local authorities and municipal enterprises, alongside that of retailers and the media, in providing information and assistance to citizens on preventing and reducing food waste;**

Or. it

**Amendment 104**  
**Maria Lidia Senra Rodríguez, Ángela Vallina**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 7**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

7. Believes that *farmer-led initiatives can offer viable economic solutions and*

7. Believes that *proposals based on food sovereignty represent viable and*

*provide value for products which might otherwise go to waste, and highlights the potential of farmer-led social innovation projects such as gleaning and donation of excess foodstuffs to food banks;*

*sustainable solutions in order to guarantee populations' access to food and the right of small farmers to produce basic foodstuffs for their communities and make a living from their work;*

Or. es

#### **Amendment 105**

**Jean-Paul Denanot, Eric Andrieu, Marc Tarabella**

#### **Draft opinion Paragraph 7**

##### *Draft opinion*

7. Believes that farmer-led initiatives can offer *viable economic* solutions and provide value for products which might otherwise go to waste, and highlights the potential of farmer-led social innovation projects such as gleaning and donation of excess foodstuffs to food banks;

##### *Amendment*

7. Believes that farmer-led initiatives can offer *sustainable and economically viable* solutions and provide value for products which might otherwise go to waste, and highlights the potential of farmer-led social innovation projects such as gleaning and donation of excess foodstuffs to food banks; *calls on the Commission to recognise practices of this kind and to promote them under the second CAP pillar; calls on Member States to promote short supply chains, which help to address the problems of over-packaging and food wastage, and to encourage the restaurant trade to make it easier for customers to take leftovers home with them;*

Or. fr

#### **Amendment 106**

**Luke Ming Flanagan**

#### **Draft opinion Paragraph 7**

##### *Draft opinion*

7. Believes that farmer-led initiatives can offer viable economic solutions and *provide value* for products which *might*

##### *Amendment*

7. Believes that farmer-led initiatives *that tailor production to demand* can offer viable economic solutions and *reduce*

*otherwise* go to waste, and highlights the potential of farmer-led social innovation projects such as gleaning and donation of excess foodstuffs to food banks;

*production* for products which go to waste, and highlights the potential of farmer-led social innovation projects such as gleaning and donation of excess foodstuffs to food banks;

Or. en

**Amendment 107**  
**John Stuart Agnew**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 7**

*Draft opinion*

7. Believes that farmer-led initiatives can offer viable economic solutions and provide value for products which might otherwise go to waste, and highlights the potential of farmer-led social innovation projects such as gleaning and donation of excess foodstuffs to food banks;

*Amendment*

7. Believes that farmer-led initiatives can offer viable economic solutions and provide value for products which might otherwise go to waste, and highlights the potential of farmer-led social innovation projects such as gleaning and donation of excess foodstuffs to food banks ***but notes that these initiatives risk devaluing food further and that programmes to repurpose food waste should not absolve the obligation of Member States to meet their citizens' right to food;***

Or. en

**Amendment 108**  
**Marc Tarabella, Biljana Borzan, Nicola Caputo, Tibor Szanyi, Viorica Dăncilă, Daciana Octavia Sârbu, Clara Eugenia Aguilera García, Karin Kadenbach, Jean-Paul Denanot, Nikos Androulakis, Eric Andrieu, Michela Giuffrida, Maria Noichl, Momchil Nekov, Paolo De Castro, Massimo Paolucci**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 7**

*Draft opinion*

7. Believes that farmer-led initiatives can offer viable economic solutions and provide value for products which might otherwise go to waste, and highlights the potential of farmer-led social innovation

*Amendment*

7. Believes that farmer-led initiatives can offer viable economic solutions and provide value for products which might otherwise go to waste, ***by developing markets for products that would normally***

projects such as gleaning and donation of excess foodstuffs to food banks;

*be excluded from the food chain*, and highlights the potential of farmer-led social innovation projects such as gleaning and donation of excess foodstuffs to food banks;

Or. en

**Amendment 109**  
**Georgios Epitideios**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 7**

*Draft opinion*

7. Believes that farmer-led initiatives can offer viable economic solutions and provide value for products which might otherwise go to waste, *and* highlights the potential of farmer-led social innovation projects such as gleaning and donation of excess foodstuffs to food banks;

*Amendment*

7. Believes that farmer-led initiatives can offer viable economic solutions and provide value for products which might otherwise go to waste; highlights the potential of farmer-led social innovation projects such as gleaning and donation of excess foodstuffs to food banks *with VAT exemption*;

Or. el

**Amendment 110**  
**Angélique Delahaye, Esther Herranz García, Gabriel Mato, Ramón Luis Valcárcel Siso, Michel Dantin, Marijana Petir**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 7**

*Draft opinion*

7. Believes that farmer-led initiatives can offer viable economic solutions and provide value for products which might otherwise go to waste, and highlights the potential of farmer-led social innovation projects such as gleaning and donation of excess foodstuffs to food *banks*;

*Amendment*

7. Believes that farmer-led initiatives can offer viable economic solutions and provide value for products which might otherwise go to waste, and highlights the potential of farmer-led social innovation projects such as gleaning and donation of excess foodstuffs to food *aid associations*;

Or. fr

**Amendment 111**  
**Jean-Paul Denanot, Eric Andrieu, Marc Tarabella**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 7 – point 1 (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

**(1) Calls on the Commission to revise the VAT Directive (2006/112/EC) with a view to ensuring that all Member States offer tax exemptions on food donations;**

Or. fr

**Amendment 112**  
**Nicola Caputo, Marc Tarabella**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 7 a (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

**7a. Calls on the Member States to contact supermarkets to make sure that any food that is about to expire is donated to charity or used for animal feed and for the production of compost for agriculture; calls, therefore, on the Member States to provide for a mandatory agreement between supermarkets and organisations; supports good examples of food waste reduction such as the Last Minute Market project in Italy, whereby unsold produce is recovered and donated to charities and the needy;**

Or. it

**Amendment 113**  
**Elisabeth Köstinger**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 7 a (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

**7a.** *Notes that unfair trade practices and price dumping in the food sector result in food often being sold at below its real value, thus leading to more wastage, and that a ban on selling at below the production price is urgently needed, partly in order to raise consumers' awareness of the true value of food;*

Or. de

**Amendment 114**

**Maria Heubuch**

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Maria Noichl, Marco Zullo**

**Draft opinion**

**Paragraph 7 a (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

**7 a.** *Stresses however that the use of stocks and food that would otherwise be wasted, either for the vulnerable poor in food banks or in energy production, does not preclude the need for good supply management and wise management of the food chain which avoids systematic structural surpluses and which matches EU production with demand;*

Or. en

**Amendment 115**

**Marc Tarabella, Biljana Borzan, Nicola Caputo, Tibor Szanyi, Viorica Dăncilă, Daciana Octavia Sârbu, Clara Eugenia Aguilera García, Karin Kadenbach, Jean-Paul Denanot, Nikos Androulakis, Eric Andrieu, Michela Giuffrida, Maria Noichl, Momchil Nekov, Paolo De Castro, Massimo Paolucci**

**Draft opinion**

**Paragraph 7 a (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

**7 a.** *Notes that the food industry has already taken initiatives to reduce food waste by strengthening cooperation with*

*food banks throughout Europe; notes, however, that there are still barriers to donations, mainly of legal nature; calls on the Commission to clarify the interpretation of the legal provisions discouraging donations;*

Or. en

**Amendment 116**

**Anja Hazekamp, Estefanía Torres Martínez, Stefan Eck, Matt Carthy**

**Draft opinion**

**Paragraph 7 a (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

*7 a. Believes in the polluter pays principle and calls on the Commission to review the VAT Directive in order to allow Member States to put sustainable products in the low VAT rate category and products that incur environmental damage and animal suffering in the high VAT rate category;*

Or. en

**Amendment 117**

**Marco Zullo**

**Draft opinion**

**Paragraph 7 a (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

*7a. Stresses that, in order to reduce wastage at the production stage, innovative techniques and technologies should be used to optimise performance in the fields and convert those products that do not meet market standards into processed goods;*

Or. it

**Amendment 118**

**Marijana Petir**

**Draft opinion**

**Paragraph 7 a (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

**7a. Calls on the EU and the Member States to establish institutional and financial means of support for social shops and supermarkets;**

Or. hr

**Amendment 119**

**Marc Tarabella, Biljana Borzan, Nicola Caputo, Tibor Szanyi, Viorica Dăncilă, Daciana Octavia Sârbu, Clara Eugenia Aguilera García, Jean-Paul Denanot, Nikos Androulakis, Eric Andrieu, Michela Giuffrida, Maria Noichl, Momchil Nekov**

**Draft opinion**

**Paragraph 7 b (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

**7 b. Stresses that, in the context of food aid, VAT on food donations is due, but Member States may consider that the value used for the calculation of VAT is low or close to zero if the donation is made close to the recommended date of consumption or if the foodstuffs are unsaleable; notes that in practice VAT on food aid is treated differently from one Member State to another; calls, therefore, on the Commission to clarify the rules on the application of the VAT Directive in the case of food aid;**

Or. en

**Amendment 120**

**Marc Tarabella, Nicola Caputo, Tibor Szanyi, Daciana Octavia Sârbu, Karin Kadenbach, Jean-Paul Denanot, Eric Andrieu, Momchil Nekov**

**Draft opinion**

**Paragraph 7 c (new)**

PE599.622v01-00

54/78

AM\1116563EN.docx

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

**7 c. Stresses that price volatility, extreme or unusual climate events and the prolongation of the Russian embargo are responsible for food waste in the agricultural sector; stresses the importance of building bridges between farmers and food banks to encourage the development of agricultural donations;**

Or. en

**Amendment 121**

**Marc Tarabella, Nicola Caputo, Tibor Szanyi, Daciana Octavia Sârbu, Clara Eugenia Aguilera García, Jean-Paul Denanot, Eric Andrieu, Michela Giuffrida, Maria Noichl, Momchil Nekov**

**Draft opinion**

**Paragraph 7 d (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

**7 d. Calls for the restoration of an effective policy to regulate agricultural markets in order to better match supply to demand and thus reduce food waste;**

Or. en

**Amendment 122**

**Georgios Epitideios**

**Draft opinion**

**Paragraph 8**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

8. Notes that some wastage at farm level is also due to the role played by retailers *in relation to retailer standards regarding product specifications, cancelled orders owing to changes in consumer demand, and over-production as a result of requirements to meet seasonal demands.*

8. Notes that some wastage at farm level is also due to the role played by retailers, *which is complicated and imprecise, affected as it is by seasonal factors, , weather conditions, the production time lag , marketing campaigns, product launches and increasingly complicated sales channels*

*(such as online sales and stores); observes that this is compounded by inadequate storage infrastructure in underdeveloped distribution networks, lack of investment in the private sector and the uncoordinated and unsystematic approach adopted by the State.*

Or. el

### **Amendment 123**

**Angélique Delahaye, Esther Herranz García, Gabriel Mato, Ramón Luis Valcárcel Siso, Michel Dantin, Marijana Petir**

#### **Draft opinion Paragraph 8**

##### *Draft opinion*

8. Notes that some wastage at farm level *is also due to the role played by retailers in relation to retailer standards regarding product specifications, cancelled orders owing* to changes in consumer demand, and over-production as a result of requirements to meet seasonal demands.

##### *Amendment*

8. Notes that some wastage at farm level *results not just from the product specifications imposed on suppliers but also from other practices such as the cancellation of orders in response* to changes in consumer demand, and over-production as a result of requirements to meet seasonal demands.

Or. fr

### **Amendment 124**

**Luke Ming Flanagan**

#### **Draft opinion Paragraph 8**

##### *Draft opinion*

8. Notes that some wastage at farm level is also due to the role played by retailers in relation to retailer standards regarding product specifications, cancelled orders owing to changes in consumer demand, and over-production as a result of requirements to meet seasonal demands.

##### *Amendment*

8. Notes that some wastage at farm level is also due to the role played by retailers in relation to retailer standards regarding product specifications, cancelled orders owing to changes in consumer demand, and over-production as a result of requirements to meet seasonal demands; *further believes that the practice of retailers in using staple food items as*

***"loss leaders" to increase market share is damaging across the entire food chain in that firstly, it encourages purchases that are not necessary and secondly it implants in consumers mind the illusion that food is cheap to produce and plentiful in supply;***

Or. en

**Amendment 125**

**Maria Heubuch**

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Marco Zullo**

**Draft opinion**

**Paragraph 8**

*Draft opinion*

8. Notes that ***some*** wastage at farm level is also due to the role played by retailers in relation to retailer standards regarding product specifications, cancelled orders owing to changes in consumer demand, and over-production as a result of requirements to meet seasonal demands.

*Amendment*

8. Notes that ***considerable*** wastage at farm level is also due to the role played by retailers in relation to ***excessive*** retailer standards regarding product specifications ***such as size/quality grades or appearance of fruit and vegetables***, cancelled orders owing to changes in consumer demand, and over-production as a result of requirements to meet seasonal demands; ***Notes that when the Commission removed the regulations on standardised fruits and vegetables, they were brought back by the retailers via their contracts with farmers;***

Or. en

**Amendment 126**

**John Stuart Agnew**

**Draft opinion**

**Paragraph 8**

*Draft opinion*

8. Notes that some wastage at farm level is also due to the role played by retailers in relation to retailer standards

*Amendment*

8. Notes that some wastage at farm level is also due to the role played by retailers in relation to retailer standards

regarding product specifications, cancelled orders owing to changes in consumer demand, and over-production as a result of requirements to meet seasonal demands.

regarding product specifications, cancelled orders owing to changes in consumer demand, and over-production as a result of requirements to meet seasonal demands;  
*applauds the role of national authorities such as the Groceries Code Adjudicator in the UK in tackling these practices where they exploit farmers.*

Or. en

### **Amendment 127**

**Jean-Paul Denanot, Eric Andrieu, Marc Tarabella**

#### **Draft opinion**

#### **Paragraph 8**

##### *Draft opinion*

8. Notes that some wastage at farm level is also due to the role played by retailers in relation to retailer standards regarding product specifications, cancelled orders owing to changes in consumer demand, and over-production as a result of requirements to meet seasonal demands.

##### *Amendment*

8. Notes that some wastage at farm level is also due to the role played by retailers in relation to retailer standards regarding product specifications, cancelled orders owing to changes in consumer demand, and over-production as a result of requirements to meet seasonal demands;  
*encourages producer groups to conclude contracts with retailers in order to obviate unwanted effects of this kind.*

Or. fr

### **Amendment 128**

**Marc Tarabella, Nicola Caputo, Tibor Szanyi, Viorica Dăncilă, Daciana Octavia Sârbu, Eric Andrieu, Michela Giuffrida, Maria Noichl, Momchil Nekov**

#### **Draft opinion**

#### **Paragraph 8**

##### *Draft opinion*

8. Notes that some wastage at farm level is also due to the role played by retailers in relation to retailer standards regarding product specifications, cancelled orders owing to changes in consumer demand, and over-production as a result of

##### *Amendment*

8. Notes that some wastage at farm level is also due to the role played by retailers in relation to retailer standards regarding product specifications, cancelled orders owing to changes in consumer demand, and over-production as a result of

requirements to meet seasonal demands.

requirements to meet seasonal demands;  
*stresses the need to revise marketing standards for quality and aesthetics for classifying agricultural products;*

Or. en

#### **Amendment 129**

**Maria Lidia Senra Rodríguez, Ángela Vallina**

#### **Draft opinion**

#### **Paragraph 8**

##### *Draft opinion*

8. Notes that some wastage at farm level is also due to the role played by retailers in relation to retailer standards regarding product specifications, cancelled orders owing to changes in *consumer* demand, and over-production as a result of requirements to meet seasonal demands.

##### *Amendment*

8. Notes that some wastage at farm level is also due to the role played by retailers in relation to retailer standards regarding product specifications, cancelled orders owing to changes in demand *imposed by distribution*, and over-production as a result of requirements to meet seasonal demands.

Or. es

#### **Amendment 130**

**Ulrike Müller, Hannu Takkula, Hilde Vautmans, Ivan Jakovčić, Fredrick Federley, Jens Rohde**

#### **Draft opinion**

#### **Paragraph 8**

##### *Draft opinion*

8. Notes that some wastage at farm level is also due to the role played by retailers in relation to retailer standards regarding product specifications, cancelled orders owing to changes in consumer demand, and over-production as a result of requirements to meet seasonal demands.

##### *Amendment*

8. Notes that some wastage *and loss* at farm level is also due to the role played by retailers in relation to retailer standards regarding product specifications, cancelled orders owing to changes in consumer demand, and over-production as a result of requirements to meet seasonal demands.

Or. en

**Amendment 131**  
**Eric Andrieu, Jean-Paul Denanot, Marc Tarabella**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 8 – subparagraph 1 (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

*Emphasises that food wastage at the production stage can also stem from the deterioration of our agricultural production base resulting from the degradation of land, biodiversity (reduced pollination) and natural resources of all kinds, and that due account needs to be taken of this in the future development of farming and the common agricultural policy.*

Or. fr

**Amendment 132**  
**James Nicholson**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 8 – point 1 (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

*(1) Notes the importance of access to plant protection products for farmers to ensure that crop quality is maintained and harvests are not lost due to adverse weather conditions, pests and diseases;*

Or. en

**Amendment 133**  
**Eric Andrieu, Jean-Paul Denanot, Marc Tarabella**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 8 – point 1 (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

*(1) Believes it important to ensure that land is properly managed under the*

*common agricultural policy and national policies in order to contain food losses caused indirectly by poorly managed urbanisation and infrastructure development or the abandonment of farmland that has become economically unattractive to farm.*

Or. fr

**Amendment 134**  
**James Nicholson**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 8 – point 2 (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

*(2) Notes the role and objectives of the EU Platform on Food Losses and Food Waste in relation to optimisation of use of former foodstuffs and by-products from the food chain in feed production and its importance for primary production;*

Or. en

**Amendment 135**  
**James Nicholson**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 8 – point 3 (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

*(3) Notes the UN Sustainable Development Goals target to reduce food waste by 2030;*

Or. en

**Amendment 136**  
**James Nicholson**

**Draft opinion**

**Paragraph 8 – point 4 (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

**(4) *Highlights that the FUSIONS project noted that there are few measurements of food losses in primary production activities such as agriculture, horticulture, aquaculture or fisheries and this may prevent an accurate assessment of the overall scale of food waste in Europe.***

Or. en

**Amendment 137**

**Philippe Loiseau, Edouard Ferrand, Laurențiu Rebegea**

**Draft opinion**

**Paragraph 8 a (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

**8a. *Notes that, under the pressure of dumping from within and outside the EU and discount wars between supermarket chains, producers are often obliged to leave their crops to rot in the fields so as not to incur further costs or sustain further losses; points out, furthermore, that contractual systems are not always a satisfactory response to improper practices of this kind; calls accordingly for swift action to introduce regulatory measures and ban excessive discounting of fresh produce in supermarkets;***

Or. fr

**Amendment 138**

**Maria Heubuch**

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Maria Noichl, Luke Ming Flanagan, Marco Zullo**

**Draft opinion**

**Paragraph 8 a (new)**

PE599.622v01-00

62/78

AM\1116563EN.docx

**8 a.** *Calls on the Member States to encourage and support initiatives geared to stimulating sustainable small- and medium-scale production that is linked to local and regional markets and consumption; acknowledges that local markets are environmentally sustainable and contribute to the stability of the primary sector; asks that the common agricultural policy earmark, in the future, the necessary funding to promote stability in the primary sector, for example by means of direct sales, local markets and all measures to promote low or zero food miles <sup>1a</sup> ;*

---

*<sup>1a</sup> EP resolution of 19.01.2012 on how to avoid food wastage: strategies for a more efficient food chain in the EU (2011/2175 INI)*

Or. en

**Amendment 139**  
**Marco Zullo**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 8 a (new)**

**8a.** *Points out that the current production system, which is largely based on industrial agriculture, has helped obscure the awareness of the intrinsic value of food, encouraging its wastage; considers, therefore, that a greater focus on family, small-scale and sustainable farming could reverse this perception and prompt farmers to produce only the quantities that are really necessary, paying greater attention to the needs of consumers and the environment;*

Or. it

**Amendment 140**  
**Marijana Petir, Karl-Heinz Florenz**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 8 a (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

*8 a. Notes that market standards contribute to food waste. Therefore, asks the Commission to promote research on the relation between marketing standards and food waste; calls upon the Commission and the Member States to influence the UNECE public standards with the aim of avoiding the waste of resources by preventing the generation of food waste.*

Or. en

**Amendment 141**  
**Eric Andrieu**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 8 a (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

*8 a. Stresses the importance of the new "school schemes programmes" and in particular the introduction of compulsory accompanying measures, such as promotion of conscious food consumption in education; stresses the importance of using these accompanying measures to convey educational messages about food waste and its prevention.*

Or. en

**Amendment 142**  
**Massimo Paolucci, Paolo De Castro, Michela Giuffrida**

**Draft opinion**

PE599.622v01-00

64/78

AM\1116563EN.docx

**Paragraph 8 a (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

**8a.** *Points out that Regulation 178/2002 of the European Parliament and of the Council includes among foods even water 'intentionally incorporated into the food during its manufacture, preparation or treatment' and that water is a key strategic resource for the entire agri-food industry;*

Or. it

**Amendment 143**

**Marc Tarabella, Biljana Borzan, Nicola Caputo, Tibor Szanyi, Viorica Dăncilă, Daciana Octavia Sârbu, Clara Eugenia Aguilera García, Karin Kadenbach, Jean-Paul Denanot, Nikos Androulakis, Eric Andrieu, Michela Giuffrida, Maria Noichl, Momchil Nekov, Paolo De Castro, Massimo Paolucci**

**Draft opinion**

**Paragraph 8 a (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

**8 a.** *Highlights the findings of the Special Report No 34 of the European Court of Auditors "Combating food waste: an opportunity for the EU to improve the use of resources in the food chain", stressing that Commission's action regarding food waste has so far been sporadic and fragmented;*

Or. en

**Amendment 144**

**Estefanía Torres Martínez**

**Draft opinion**

**Paragraph 8 a (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

**8a.** *Notes that the amount of rejected crops could be reduced if they were sold*

*closer to consumers, for example in farmers' markets and farm shops, where marketing circuits are short and the products purchased are local products with little processing;*

Or. es

**Amendment 145**  
**Nicola Caputo, Marc Tarabella**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 8 a (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

*8a. Points out that fairer pay to producers would increase the value of the products, resulting in a reduction of food wastage in the final links of the supply chain;*

Or. it

**Amendment 146**  
**Angélique Delahaye, Esther Herranz García, Gabriel Mato, Ramón Luis Valcárcel Siso, Michel Dantin, Marijana Petir**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 8 a (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

*8a. Stresses the importance of tailoring distribution, conservation and packaging procedures closely to the features of each product and to consumer needs, in order to limit product wastage;*

Or. fr

**Amendment 147**  
**Anja Hazekamp, Estefanía Torres Martínez, Stefan Eck, Matt Carthy, Luke Ming Flanagan**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 8 a (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

**8 a.** *Calls on the EU Commission and Member States to launch a campaign to raise awareness among the EU citizens on the serious economic, social and environmental implications of food wastage;*

Or. en

**Amendment 148**  
**Marijana Petir**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 8 a (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

**8a.** *Supports those methods of reducing food wastage which have hitherto proved effective, for example selling food locally and short food supply chains;*

Or. hr

**Amendment 149**  
**Nicola Caputo, Marc Tarabella**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 8 b (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

**8b.** *Believes that the quality requirements regarding appearance, laid down by both EU or national legislation and internal company rules, which stipulate the size and shape of fresh fruit and vegetables in particular, are the reason behind a great deal of unnecessary discards, which increase the amount of food wasted; calls on stakeholders to*

*know and to explain the nutritional value of agricultural products with imperfect shapes or sizes in order to reduce waste products;*

Or. it

**Amendment 150**

**Maria Heubuch**

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Maria Noichl, Marco Zullo**

**Draft opinion**

**Paragraph 8 b (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

*8 b. Notes the significant waste of resources and money, including input cost and vet bills, involved with feeding high protein grains to ruminants, especially when farmers are suffering from an overproduction in milk; notes that ruminants have evolved to eat grass and other vegetation and obtain protein by digesting bacterial fermentations; calls for information to farmers and promotion of less input-dependent systems based predominantly on grazing;*

Or. en

**Amendment 151**

**Philippe Loiseau, Edouard Ferrand, Laurențiu Rebega**

**Draft opinion**

**Paragraph 8 b (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

*8b. Calls for a comparative study to be conducted into the various means of reducing food wastage, such as:*

- ensuring that unsold food fit for human consumption may still be used by donating or processing it,*

- use in animal feed,
- use as compost for farms or to produce energy, in particular through fermentation;

Or. fr

**Amendment 152**  
**Marijana Petir, Esther Herranz García**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 8 b (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

**8b. Calls on the Commission and the Member States to continue supporting projects and promotion-oriented educational campaigns that teach children from an early age to consume fresh, wholesome locally produced food, one example being the EAThink2015 project; considers that promotion of this kind should be extended to encompass educational institutions through schemes for delivering milk, fruit, and vegetables to such institutions;**

Or. hr

**Amendment 153**  
**Anja Hazekamp, Stefan Eck**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 8 b (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

**8 b. Encourages EU citizens to change their eating habits, in particular with regards to meat and dairy products in order to minimize food wasted for production of animal feed, rationalize food purchases and do not waste food in order to contribute to the overall reduction of the food waste and thereby to**

*combat climate change;*

Or. en

**Amendment 154**

**Marc Tarabella, Biljana Borzan, Nicola Caputo, Tibor Szanyi, Daciana Octavia Sârbu, Clara Eugenia Aguilera García, Karin Kadenbach, Eric Andrieu, Michela Giuffrida, Maria Noichl, Momchil Nekov, Paolo De Castro**

**Draft opinion**

**Paragraph 8 b (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

***8 b. Regrets that the implementation of the Member States' obligation to report on their food waste has been delayed by the Commission, as well as the Commission's deadline to adopt an implementing act laying down a common methodology for the evaluation of the food quantities.***

Or. en

**Amendment 155**

**Massimo Paolucci, Paolo De Castro, Michela Giuffrida**

**Draft opinion**

**Paragraph 8 b (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

***8b. Stresses that food wastage, depending on the quality, type and quantity of water used for food production, also involves a substantial waste of water;***

Or. it

**Amendment 156**

**Angélique Delahaye, Esther Herranz García, Gabriel Mato, Ramón Luis Valcárcel Siso, Michel Dantin, Marijana Petir**

**Draft opinion**

PE599.622v01-00

70/78

AM\1116563EN.docx

**Paragraph 8 b (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

**8b.** *Calls on the Commission, the Member States and stakeholders to improve the provision of information to consumers on how best to keep and/or use food;*

Or. fr

**Amendment 157**

**Maria Heubuch**

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Marco Zullo**

**Draft opinion**

**Paragraph 8 c (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

**8 c.** *Notes also the resource waste involved in feeding grain to animals and the trophic losses and inevitable energy inefficiencies involved in rearing animals for food; notes in this context the relative resource-efficiency of reducing meat consumption from current historically high levels and replacing meat with more pulses, legumes, whole grains and seeds and for optimal human nutrition with less environmental impact;*

Or. en

**Amendment 158**

**Marijana Petir**

**Draft opinion**

**Paragraph 8 c (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

**8c.** *Calls on the Commission to determine where and to what extent food wastage occurs among individual*

*agricultural sectors and within given links of the food supply chain; calls on the Member States to exchange information and experience related to effective measures; calls on the Commission to propose guidelines based on proven data and best practice;*

Or. hr

**Amendment 159**

**Marc Tarabella, Biljana Borzan, Nicola Caputo, Tibor Szanyi, Viorica Dăncilă, Daciana Octavia Sârbu, Clara Eugenia Aguilera García, Karin Kadenbach, Jean-Paul Denanot, Eric Andrieu, Michela Giuffrida, Maria Noichl, Momchil Nekov, Paolo De Castro**

**Draft opinion**

**Paragraph 8 c (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

*8 c. Regrets the lack of a common definition of 'food waste' at the European level, despite repeated calls from the European Parliament, the Council, the Committee of the Regions and the G20; calls, therefore, on the Commission to reflect on the establishment of such a definition.*

Or. en

**Amendment 160**

**Massimo Paolucci, Paolo De Castro, Michela Giuffrida**

**Draft opinion**

**Paragraph 8 c (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

*8c. Points to the importance of improving water management in agriculture, developing 'water-smart' food production systems and increasing water and food safety and security in areas that are most at risk because of climate change;*

**Amendment 161**

**Angélique Delahaye, Esther Herranz García, Gabriel Mato, Ramón Luis Valcárcel Siso, Michel Dantin, Marijana Petir**

**Draft opinion**

**Paragraph 8 c (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

**8c. Stresses that the utilisation of by-products should be regarded as one means of combating food wastage;**

Or. fr

**Amendment 162**

**Marijana Petir**

**Draft opinion**

**Paragraph 8 d (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

**8d. Calls on the Commission and the Member States to coordinate their efforts as quickly as possible and put forward a framework for a Europe-wide solution to the problem of unfair trading practices in the food supply chain, proceeding from the recommendations set out in Parliament's resolution of 7 June 2016; believes that resolving this problem will improve the position of farmers, the weakest links in the chain, and, by lowering overproduction and the accumulation of surpluses, could help not only to stabilise prices and incomes, but also to reduce both food wastage along the entire chain and losses generated on family farms;**

Or. hr

**Amendment 163**

**Angélique Delahaye, Esther Herranz García, Gabriel Mato, Ramón Luis Valcárcel Siso, Michel Dantin, Marijana Petir**

**Draft opinion**

**Paragraph 8 d (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

***8d. Calls on the Commission and the Member States closely to monitor food donations in order to make sure that the food is not siphoned off and sold on alternative markets, as this would prevent it from reaching those in need and discourage people in the trade from making donations, on account of the risk of this resulting in unfair competition;***

Or. fr

**Amendment 164**

**Marc Tarabella, Biljana Borzan, Nicola Caputo, Tibor Szanyi, Viorica Dăncilă, Daciana Octavia Sârbu, Clara Eugenia Aguilera García, Karin Kadenbach, Nikos Androulakis, Eric Andrieu, Michela Giuffrida, Maria Noichl, Momchil Nekov, Paolo De Castro, Massimo Paolucci**

**Draft opinion**

**Paragraph 8 d (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

***8 d. Stresses that the agriculture sector has a key role to play in reducing food waste and notes with concern that the Commission has never conducted a study to determine the impact of the different reforms on the volume of agricultural production and its effect on food waste; calls, therefore, on the Commission to integrate the issue of food waste into the next review of the CAP;***

Or. en

**Amendment 165**

**Maria Heubuch**

PE599.622v01-00

74/78

AM\1116563EN.docx

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group  
**Maria Noichl, Luke Ming Flanagan, Marco Zullo**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 8 d (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

*8 d. Notes the absurdity of mass producing evermore limited range of staple food commodities and shipping them around the world where identical cargoes pass each other in container ships; notes this is the antithesis of short food chains and is completely wasteful of limited resources, yet is the basis for international trade deals like TTIP and CETA;*

Or. en

**Amendment 166**  
**Massimo Paolucci, Paolo De Castro, Michela Giuffrida**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 8 d (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

*8d. Reiterates the importance of the 'water footprint' concept for food and feed;*

Or. it

**Amendment 167**  
**Maria Heubuch**  
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group  
**Maria Noichl, Luke Ming Flanagan, Marco Zullo**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 8 e (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

*8 e. Notes that we already massively overproduce as between and third and*

*half of all food produced ends up as waste, therefore questions the presentation of the supposed need to increase production still further by 2050; therefore considers that emphasis should rather be placed on promoting less wasteful production, processing and distribution methods.*

Or. en

#### **Amendment 168**

**Marc Tarabella, Biljana Borzan, Nicola Caputo, Tibor Szanyi, Viorica Dăncilă, Daciana Octavia Sârbu, Clara Eugenia Aguilera García, Karin Kadenbach, Jean-Paul Denanot, Nikos Androulakis, Eric Andrieu, Michela Giuffrida, Maria Noichl, Momchil Nekov, Paolo De Castro, Massimo Paolucci**

**Draft opinion  
Paragraph 8 e (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

*8 e. Stresses the importance of the new "school schemes programmes" and in particular the introduction of compulsory accompanying measures, such as the promotion of conscious food consumption in education; stresses the importance of using these accompanying measures to convey educational messages about food waste and its prevention;*

Or. en

#### **Amendment 169**

**Angélique Delahaye, Michel Dantin, Marijana Petir**

**Draft opinion  
Paragraph 8 e (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

*8e. Points out that the EU provides funding to facilitate the donation of food, including under the Fund for European Aid to the Most Deprived (FEAD), which is used, inter alia, to finance storage and*

*transport facilities for food aid organisations; takes the view that Member States do not make sufficient use of the opportunities on offer in this area;*

Or. fr

**Amendment 170**  
**Marijana Petir**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 8 e (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

*8e. Calls on the Member States to support short food supply chains and in-home selling of agricultural products, thereby helping to reduce food wastage;*

Or. hr

**Amendment 171**  
**Angélique Delahaye, Michel Dantin**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 8 f (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

*8f. Calls on the Commission to bring forward an amendment to the VAT Directive that would explicitly authorise tax exemptions on food donations; calls on the Member States to follow the recommendations made by the Commission and set VAT at a lower or close-to-zero rate for donations made close to the 'best before' date or where food is unsaleable;*

Or. fr

**Amendment 172**  
**Angélique Delahaye, Michel Dantin**

**Draft opinion**  
**Paragraph 8 g (new)**

*Draft opinion*

*Amendment*

***8g. Points out that 'buy one, get one free' marketing strategies increase the risk of consumers buying more than they need, thus increasing the risk of foodstuffs that are not close to the 'use by' date going to waste; welcomes the fact that some retailers discount products coming up to the 'use by' date, and believes that this should become the general practice;***

Or. fr