

2014 - 2019

Committee on Budgets

2014/2059(INI)

17.9.2014


AMENDMENTS 1 - 28

Draft opinion Nils Torvalds(PE537.314v01-00)

on the European Semester for economic policy coordination: implementation of 2014 priorities (2014/2059(INI))

AM\1034684EN.doc PE537.503v01-00

 $AM_Com_NonLegOpinion$



Amendment Pina Picierno

1

Draft opinion Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. Underlines, once again, the important role of the EU budget in stimulating growth, boosting job creation and reducing macroeconomic imbalances in the EU; recalls, in particular, that without even considering its role as a catalyst for investment, some 60 % of the EU budget is directly devoted to the achievement of the Europe 2020 objectives;

Amendment

1. Underlines, once again, the important role of the EU budget in stimulating growth, boosting job creation and reducing macroeconomic imbalances in the EU: recalls, in particular, that without even considering its role as a catalyst for investment, some 60 % of the EU budget is directly devoted to the achievement of the Europe 2020 objectives; underlines moreover that many 2014-20 programmes contain innovative financial instruments which have the potential to play a key role in supporting both public and private investments in the Member States, particularly in respect of long term investments which is widely recognised as a major political priority;

Or. en

Amendment 2 Monika Vana on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. Underlines, once again, the important role of the EU budget in stimulating growth, boosting job creation and reducing macroeconomic imbalances in the EU; recalls, in particular, that without even considering its role as a catalyst for investment, some 60 % of the EU budget is directly devoted to the achievement of the Europe 2020 objectives;

Amendment

1. Underlines, once again, the important role of the EU budget in stimulating *sustainable* growth, boosting job creation and reducing macroeconomic imbalances *and social inequalities* in the EU; recalls, in particular, that without even considering its role as a catalyst for investment, some 60 % of the EU budget is directly devoted to the achievement of the Europe 2020

Or. en

Amendment 3 Liadh Ní Riada

Draft opinion Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Recalls the need, at the start of the new Multiannual Financial Framework (MFF), for swift and effective implementation of the new programmes at both EU and Member State level, in order to allow these programmes to contribute to the economic recovery process; calls for a particularly speedy implementation of the programmes frontloaded to the first years of the MFF, such as Horizon 2020, COSME, Erasmus+ and the Youth Employment Initiative; stresses the fact that these programmes have a leverage effect and a synergetic and catalytic role in relation to national investment policies and growth and job creation; welcomes, in particular, the swift launch of the 2014-2020 cohesion policy (in terms of partnership agreements already signed, operational programmes agreed and prefinancing disbursed), the aim of which is to support growth and job creation;

Amendment

2. Recalls the need, at the start of the new Multiannual Financial Framework (MFF), for swift and effective implementation of the new programmes at both EU and Member State level, in order to allow these programmes to contribute to the economic recovery process; calls for a particularly speedy implementation of the programmes frontloaded to the first years of the MFF, such as Horizon 2020, COSME, Erasmus+ and the Youth Employment Initiative; stresses the fact that these programmes have a leverage effect and a synergetic and catalytic role in relation to national investment policies and growth and job creation; takes note, in particular, of the launch of the 2014-2020 cohesion policy (in terms of partnership agreements already signed, operational programmes agreed and prefinancing disbursed) while expresses its deep concern for all the delays and the lack of payment appropriations for all these programmes which aim at increasing the support of growth and job creation; furthermore, expresses its deep concerns on what might be the impact of the macroconditionality criteria and the insertion of the performance reserve on the full and timely implementation of these EU policies;

Or. en

Amendment 4 Pina Picierno

Draft opinion Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Recalls the need, at the start of the new Multiannual Financial Framework (MFF), for swift and effective implementation of the new programmes at both EU and Member State level, in order to allow these programmes to contribute to the economic recovery process; calls for a particularly speedy implementation of the programmes frontloaded to the first years of the MFF, such as Horizon 2020, COSME, Erasmus+ and the Youth Employment Initiative; stresses the fact that these programmes have a leverage effect and a synergetic and catalytic role in relation to national investment policies and growth and job creation; welcomes, in particular, the swift launch of the 2014-2020 cohesion policy (in terms of partnership agreements already signed, operational programmes agreed and prefinancing disbursed), the aim of which is to support growth and job creation;

Amendment

2. Recalls the need, at the start of the new Multiannual Financial Framework (MFF), for swift and effective implementation of the new programmes at both EU and Member State level, in order to allow these programmes to contribute to the economic recovery process; calls for a particularly speedy implementation of the programmes frontloaded to the first years of the MFF, such as Horizon 2020, COSME, Erasmus+ and the Youth Employment Initiative; stresses the fact that these programmes have a leverage effect and a synergetic and catalytic role in relation to national investment policies and growth and job creation; underlines the need for a swift launch of the 2014-2020 cohesion policy (in terms of *signing of* partnership agreements, agreement of operational programmes and disbursement of prefinancing); highlights once more the undisputed role of cohesion policy in supporting growth and job creation on the whole EU territory; expects the next European Commission due to come into office on 1st November 2014 to make concrete proposals in order to help those member states which face difficulties in mobilising the required co-financing part of their operational programmes;

Or. en

Amendment 5 Monika Vana on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion

Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Recalls the need, at the start of the new Multiannual Financial Framework (MFF), for swift and effective implementation of the new programmes at both EU and Member State level, in order to allow these programmes to contribute to the economic recovery process; calls for a particularly speedy implementation of the programmes frontloaded to the first years of the MFF. such as Horizon 2020, COSME, Erasmus+ and the Youth Employment Initiative: stresses the fact that these programmes have a leverage effect and a synergetic and catalytic role in relation to national investment policies and growth and job creation; welcomes, in particular, the swift launch of the 2014-2020 cohesion policy (in terms of partnership agreements already signed, operational programmes agreed and prefinancing disbursed), the aim of which is to support growth and job creation;

Amendment

2. Recalls the need, at the start of the new Multiannual Financial Framework (MFF), for swift and effective implementation of the new programmes at both EU and Member State level, in order to allow these programmes to contribute to the economic recovery process; calls for a particularly speedy implementation of the programmes frontloaded to the first years of the MFF, such as Horizon 2020, COSME, Erasmus+ and the Youth Employment Initiative: stresses the fact that these programmes have a leverage effect and a synergetic and catalytic role in relation to national investment policies and sustainable growth and job creation; welcomes, in particular, the swift launch of the 2014-2020 cohesion policy (in terms of partnership agreements already signed, operational programmes agreed and prefinancing disbursed), the aim of which is to support sustainable growth and job creation;

Or. en

Amendment 6 Liadh Ní Riada

Draft opinion Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

3. Supports both the EU Youth Guarantee and the Youth Employment Initiative as crucial means to fighting the dramatically high level of youth unemployment; welcomes the recent steps taken by the Commission to assist Member States in the prompt programming of measures financed under the Youth Employment Initiative;

Amendment

3. Considers that both the EU Youth Guarantee and the Youth Employment Initiative could become important means to fighting the dramatically high level of youth unemployment, if they would be restructured and allocated an adequate level of resources; takes note of the recent steps taken by the Commission to assist Member States in the prompt programming of measures financed under the Youth

PE537.503v01-00 6/21 AM\1034684EN.doc

Employment Initiative; insists that further measures need to be taken in order to fully and timely implement these programmes so that they can help in alleviating the inumerous situations of social emergency in several Member States;

Or. en

Amendment 7 Victor Negrescu

Draft opinion Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

3. Supports both the EU Youth Guarantee and the Youth Employment Initiative as crucial means to fighting the dramatically high level of youth unemployment; welcomes the recent steps taken by the Commission to assist Member States in the prompt programming of measures financed under the Youth Employment Initiative;

Amendment

3. Supports both the EU Youth Guarantee and the Youth Employment Initiative as crucial means to fighting the dramatically high level of youth unemployment; welcomes the recent steps taken by the Commission to assist Member States in the prompt programming of measures financed under the Youth Employment Initiative; Highlights the importance of initiatives in the field of employment among young people and that the proper, effective and rapid implementation of the programmes concentrated on fundings at an early stage can ensure economic growth and create jobs, particularly for youngsters;

Or. en

Amendment 8 Liadh Ní Riada

Draft opinion Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Underlines the fact that the promotion of EU jobs and *competitiveness* requires that the formation of value chains in the EU be boosted and that EU companies be more firmly integrated at all value-chain levels; recalls the fact that such policies should *cover undertakings of all sizes*, be conducive to maintaining the production chain in Europe and support sectors with high growth potential, with a specific focus on innovation, skills, entrepreneurship and creativity;

Amendment

4. Underlines the fact that the promotion of EU jobs and *sustainable growth* requires that the formation of value chains in the EU be boosted and that EU companies, *namely the micro, small and medium enterprises* be more firmly integrated at all value-chain levels; recalls the fact that such policies should *be allocated the sufficient financial resources*, be conducive to maintaining the production chain in Europe and support sectors with high growth potential, with a specific focus on innovation, skills, entrepreneurship and creativity;

Or. en

Amendment Pina Picierno

9

Draft opinion Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Underlines the fact that the promotion of EU jobs and competitiveness requires that the formation of value chains in the EU be boosted and that EU companies be more firmly integrated at all value-chain levels; recalls the fact that such policies should cover undertakings of all sizes, be conducive to maintaining the production chain in Europe and support sectors with high growth potential, with a specific focus on innovation, skills, entrepreneurship and creativity;

Amendment

4. Underlines the fact that the promotion of EU jobs and competitiveness requires that the formation of value chains in the EU be boosted and that EU companies be more firmly integrated at all value-chain levels; recalls the fact that such policies should cover undertakings of all sizes, be conducive to maintaining the production chain *and increasing jobs creation* in Europe and support sectors with high growth potential, with a specific focus on innovation, *sustainability*, skills, entrepreneurship, and creativity;

Or. en

Amendment 10 Monika Vana on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Underlines the fact that the promotion of EU jobs and competitiveness requires that the formation of value chains in the EU be boosted and that EU companies be more firmly integrated at all value-chain levels; recalls the fact that such policies should cover undertakings of all sizes, be conducive to maintaining the production chain in Europe and support sectors with high growth potential, with a specific focus on innovation, skills, entrepreneurship and creativity;

Amendment

4. Underlines the fact that the promotion of EU jobs and competitiveness requires that the formation of value chains in the EU be boosted and that EU companies be more firmly integrated at all value-chain levels; recalls the fact that such policies should cover undertakings of all sizes, be conducive to maintaining the production chain in Europe and support sectors with high *sustainable* growth potential, with a specific focus on innovation, skills, entrepreneurship and creativity;

Or. en

Amendment 11 Liadh Ní Riada

Draft opinion Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

5. Stresses the fact that, at a time when many Member States are heavily reliant on a single energy supplier, including six who are entirely dependent on Russia for their natural gas, the promotion and safeguarding of jobs also requires a reduction in the EU's vulnerability to external energy shocks, as evidenced by the ongoing crisis in Ukraine; welcomes, in this regard, the conclusions of the European Council meeting of 26-27 June 2014 and expects these conclusions to be complemented no later than October 2014 by ambitious mediumto long-term measures to enhance the EU's

Amendment

5. Stresses the fact that, at a time when many Member States are heavily reliant on a single energy supplier, including six who are entirely dependent on Russia for their natural gas, the promotion and safeguarding of jobs also requires a reduction in the EU's vulnerability to external energy shocks, as evidenced by the ongoing crisis in Ukraine; welcomes, in this regard, the conclusions of the European Council meeting of 26-27 June 2014 and expects these conclusions to be complemented no later than October 2014 by ambitious mediumto long-term measures to enhance the EU's

AM\1034684EN.doc 9/21 PE537.503v01-00

energy security;

energy security; calls upon the Commission to re-evaluate its approach and to seriously take into consideration the project to construct the South stream as soon as possible, overcoming all the current constraints, and enabling the stream which avoids Ukraine's territory, on the basis of its instability;

Or. en

Amendment 12 Pina Picierno

Draft opinion Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

5. Stresses the fact that, at a time when many Member States are heavily reliant on a single energy supplier, including six who are entirely dependent on Russia for their natural gas, the promotion and safeguarding of jobs also requires a reduction in the EU's vulnerability to external energy shocks, as evidenced by the ongoing crisis in Ukraine; welcomes, in this regard, the conclusions of the European Council meeting of 26-27 June 2014 and expects these conclusions to be complemented no later than October 2014 by ambitious mediumto long-term measures to enhance the EU's energy security;

Amendment

5. Stresses the fact that, at a time when many Member States are heavily reliant on a single energy supplier, including six who are entirely dependent on Russia for their natural gas, the promotion and safeguarding of jobs also requires a reduction in the EU's vulnerability to external energy shocks, as evidenced by the ongoing crisis in Ukraine; welcomes, in this regard, the conclusions of the European Council meeting of 26-27 June 2014 and expects these conclusions to be complemented no later than October 2014 by ambitious mediumto long-term *European* measures, including financial ones, to enhance the EU's energy security;

Or. en

Amendment 13 Victor Negrescu

Draft opinion Paragraph 6

PE537.503v01-00 10/21 AM\1034684EN.doc

Draft opinion

6. Underlines the fact that the tight 2014-2020 ceilings in payments remain a crucial problem for the EU budget, having negative effects on economic recovery given that late payments are harmful primarily to direct beneficiaries; recalls the need to ensure, in the light of implementation, the orderly progression of payments so as to concomitantly deliver on both the payments stemming from past commitments and those resulting from prefinancing to promptly launch the new programmes, and to avoid any abnormal shift of outstanding commitments (RAL) onto the 2015 budget; urges, in this connection, the Council to adopt in full draft amending budget no 3/2014, as submitted by the Commission, in order for the EU budget to have maximum impact in terms of investment on the ground;

Amendment

6. Underlines the fact that the tight 2014-2020 ceilings in payments remain a crucial problem for the EU budget, having negative effects on economic recovery given that late payments are harmful primarily to direct beneficiaries; recalls the need to ensure, in the light of implementation, the orderly progression of payments so as to concomitantly deliver on both the payments stemming from past commitments and those resulting from prefinancing to promptly launch the new programmes, and to avoid any abnormal shift of outstanding commitments (RAL) onto the 2015 budget; urges, in this connection, the Council to adopt in full draft amending budget no 3/2014, as submitted by the Commission, in order for the EU budget to have maximum impact in terms of investment on the ground; Underlines that the various flexibility mechanisms included in the MFF Regulation must be used, where appropriate; Intends to continue monitoring the overall status of payments, particularly in relation to the high level of payments still needed to complete the previous MFF programmes; Underlines that the contingency margin must be considered, where appropriate, in accordance with Article 13 of the MFF Regulation; Calls for a quicker implementation of this mechanism when needed;

Or. en

Amendment 14 Pina Picierno

Draft opinion Paragraph 6

Draft opinion

6. Underlines the fact that the tight 2014-2020 ceilings in payments remain a crucial problem for the EU budget, having negative effects on economic recovery given that late payments are harmful primarily to direct beneficiaries; recalls the need to ensure, in the light of implementation, the orderly progression of payments so as to concomitantly deliver on both the payments stemming from past commitments and those resulting from prefinancing to promptly launch the new programmes, and to avoid any abnormal shift of outstanding commitments (RAL) onto the 2015 budget; urges, in this connection, the Council to adopt in full draft amending budget no 3/2014, as submitted by the Commission, in order for the EU budget to have maximum impact in terms of investment on the ground;

Amendment

6. Underlines the fact that the tight 2014-2020 ceilings in payments remain a crucial problem for the EU budget, having negative effects on economic recovery given that late payments are harmful primarily to direct beneficiaries; recalls the need to ensure, in the light of implementation, the orderly progression of payments so as to concomitantly deliver on both the payments stemming from past commitments and those resulting from prefinancing to promptly launch the new programmes, and to avoid any abnormal shift of outstanding commitments (RAL) onto the 2015 budget; urges, in this connection, the Council to adopt in full all draft amending budget 2014, as submitted by the Commission, in order for the EU budget to have maximum impact in terms of investment on the ground; underlines that the question of the recurrent payment crisis of the EU budget is to be addressed in a sustainable manner at the occasion of the post electoral revision of the MFF 2014-2020 due to be launched - as soon as possible - by the next European Commission to come into office on 1st November 2014;

Or. en

Amendment 15 Liadh Ní Riada

Draft opinion Paragraph 6

Draft opinion

6. Underlines the fact that the tight 2014-2020 ceilings in payments remain a crucial problem for the EU budget, having negative effects on economic recovery

Amendment

6. Underlines the fact that the tight 2014-2020 ceilings in payments remain a crucial problem for the EU budget, having negative effects on economic recovery

PE537.503v01-00 12/21 AM\1034684EN.doc

given that late payments are harmful primarily to direct beneficiaries; recalls the need to ensure, in the light of implementation, the orderly progression of payments so as to concomitantly deliver on both the payments stemming from past commitments and those resulting from prefinancing to promptly launch the new programmes, and to avoid any abnormal shift of outstanding commitments (RAL) onto the 2015 budget; urges, in this connection, the Council to adopt in full draft amending budget no 3/2014, as submitted by the Commission, in order for the EU budget to have maximum impact in terms of investment on the ground;

given that late payments are harmful primarily to direct beneficiaries; recalls the need to ensure, in the light of implementation, the *duly*, *timely*, orderly progression of payments so as to concomitantly deliver on both the payments stemming from past commitments and those resulting from prefinancing to promptly launch the new programmes, and to avoid any abnormal shift of outstanding commitments (RAL) onto the 2015 budget; urges, in this connection, the Council to adopt in full draft amending budget no 3/2014, as submitted by the Commission, in order for the EU budget to have maximum impact in terms of investment on the ground;

Or. en

Amendment 16 Bernd Kölmel

Draft opinion Paragraph 7

Draft opinion

7. Finds it regrettable that the Member States continue to underestimate the role and contribution of the EU budget in strengthening economic governance and budget coordination throughout the Union, and therefore calls on them not to consider their contribution to the EU budget as an adjustment variable in their consolidation efforts and not to seek to artificially reduce the volume of the EU budget's growth-enhancing expenditure, in contradiction with the political commitments they have made at the highest level; believes, on the contrary, that *funding* at EU level can generate savings for the Member States' budgets;

Amendment

7. Believes that *where there is EU added value, growth-enhancing expenditure* at EU level can generate savings for the Member States' budgets;

Amendment 17 Pina Picierno

Draft opinion Paragraph 7

Draft opinion

7. Finds it regrettable that the Member States continue to underestimate the role and contribution of the EU budget in strengthening economic governance and budget coordination throughout the Union, and therefore calls on them not to consider their contribution to the EU budget as an adjustment variable in their consolidation efforts and not to seek to artificially reduce the volume of the EU budget's growthenhancing expenditure, in contradiction with the political commitments they have made at the highest level; believes, on the contrary, that funding at EU level can generate savings for the Member States' budgets;

Amendment

7. Finds it regrettable that the Member States continue to underestimate the role and contribution of the EU budget in strengthening economic governance and budget coordination throughout the Union, and therefore calls on them not to consider their contribution to the EU budget as an adjustment variable in their consolidation efforts and not to seek to artificially reduce the volume of the EU budget's growthenhancing expenditure, in contradiction with the political commitments they have made at the highest level; calls once again on the Commission to take full account of this recurring and dangerous trend when assessing the budgetary plans of the Member States and to propose concrete actions to reverse it; believes, on the contrary, that funding at EU level can generate savings for the Member States' budgets;

Or. en

Amendment 18 Ingeborg Gräßle

Draft opinion Paragraph 7 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

7a. Calls, in this context, on the Member States to ensure that EU funding is

directed, wherever possible, towards projects promoting the creation of jobs, in particular for young people, as well as sustainable growth and competitiveness;

Or. en

Amendment 19 Bernd Kölmel

Draft opinion Paragraph 8

Draft opinion

8. Recalls its view that the fiscal situation of Member States can be eased through a new system of own resources to finance the Union budget that will reduce gross national income (GNI) contributions, thus enabling Member States to meet their consolidation efforts without jeopardising EU funding to support investment in economic recovery and reform measures; underlines, therefore, the importance it attaches to the new high-level group on own resources, which should lead to a true reform of EU financing;

Amendment

8. Recalls its view that a new system of own resources *should be explored*, thus enabling Member States to meet their consolidation efforts without jeopardising EU funding to support investment in economic recovery and reform measures; underlines, therefore, the importance it attaches to the new high-level group on own resources;

Or. en

Amendment 20 Liadh Ní Riada

Draft opinion Paragraph 8

Draft opinion

8. Recalls its view that the fiscal situation of Member States can be eased through a new system of own resources to finance the Union budget that will reduce gross national income (GNI) contributions, thus

Amendment

8. Recalls its view that the fiscal situation of Member States can be eased through a new system of own resources, *integrating taxation of the financial sector*, to finance the Union budget *and/or the EU Member*

AM\1034684EN.doc 15/21 PE537.503v01-00

EN

enabling Member States to meet their consolidation efforts without jeopardising EU funding to support investment in economic recovery and reform measures; underlines, therefore, the importance it attaches to the new high-level group on own resources, which should lead to a true reform of EU financing;

States that will reduce gross national income (GNI) contributions, thus enabling Member States to meet their consolidation efforts without jeopardising EU funding to support investment in economic recovery and reform measures; underlines, therefore, the importance it attaches to the new highlevel group on own resources, which shall ensure the due and full participation of all EP political groups in all stages of the process, should lead to a true reform of EU financing;

Or. en

Amendment 21 Monika Vana on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion Paragraph 8

Draft opinion

8. Recalls its view that the fiscal situation of Member States can be eased through a new system of own resources to finance the Union budget that will reduce gross national income (GNI) contributions, thus enabling Member States to meet their consolidation efforts without jeopardising EU funding to support investment in economic recovery and reform measures; underlines, therefore, the importance it attaches to the new high-level group on own resources, which should lead to a true reform of EU financing;

Amendment

8. Recalls its view that the fiscal situation of Member States can be eased through a new system of own resources to finance the Union budget that will reduce gross national income (GNI) contributions, thus enabling Member States to meet their consolidation efforts without jeopardising EU funding to support investment in economic recovery and reform measures; reminds that the European Commission has tabled several legislative proposals in order to reform the own resources system and which have not been seriously discussed by the Council; underlines, therefore, the importance it attaches to the new high-level group on own resources, which should lead to a true reform of EU financing;

Or. en

Amendment 22 Victor Negrescu

Draft opinion Paragraph 8

Draft opinion

8. Recalls *its view* that the fiscal situation of Member States can be *eased* through a new system of own resources *to finance* the Union budget that will reduce gross national income (GNI) contributions, thus enabling Member States to meet their consolidation efforts without jeopardising EU funding to support investment in economic recovery and reform measures; underlines, therefore, the importance it attaches to the new high-level group on own resources, which should lead to a true reform of EU financing;

Amendment

8. Recalls that the fiscal situation of *the* Member States can be *improved* through a new system of own resources *of the EU* budget *financing*, *reducing contributions* based on gross national income, *thereby allowing* Member States to *carry out* efforts *to strengthen* without *putting threatening* EU funding to support investment in economic recovery and reform measures; *thus*, *stresses* the importance it attaches to the new highlevel group *to its* own resources, which should lead to a *genuine* reform of EU *funding*.

Or. en

Amendment 23 Victor Negrescu

Draft opinion Paragraph 9

Draft opinion

9. Urges the Commission, in the framework of its 2015 Annual Growth Survey (AGS) to be published in November 2014, to fully address and underline the role of the EU budget in the European Semester process by providing factual and concrete data on its triggering, catalytic, synergetic and complementary effects on overall public expenditure at local, regional and national level;

Amendment

9. Urges the Commission, in the framework of its 2015 Annual Growth Survey (AGS) to be published in November 2014, to fully address and underline the role of the EU budget in the European Semester process by providing factual and concrete data on its triggering, catalytic, synergetic and complementary effects on overall public expenditure at local, regional and national level; *Urges the Commission and Member States to*

monitor and report on the impact of the healthcare system reforms in order to ensure inclusion, prioritize prevention and early intervention methods and provide equal access, as recommended in the AGS;

Or. en

Amendment 24 Victor Negrescu

Draft opinion Paragraph 10

Draft opinion

10. Calls, furthermore, on the Commission, in its next AGS, to provide a full and complete picture of what has been achieved as a result of the implementation of the Compact for Growth and Jobs, adopted at the European Council meeting of June 2012, and to present new proposals on the role which the EU budget could play in further stimulating growth and job creation;

Amendment

10. Calls, furthermore, on the Commission, in its next AGS, to provide a full and complete picture of what has been achieved as a result of the implementation of the Compact for Growth and Jobs, adopted at the European Council meeting of June 2012, and to present new proposals on the role which the EU budget could play in further stimulating growth and job creation; Calls on the Commission and Member States to address the low participation in the labor market of disadvantaged groups, including persons belonging to minorities (eg Roma citizens) who come from the poorest micro or living with disabilities; Calls on the Commission and Member States also to address the unequal distribution of employment across regions and social groups and the effects of fiscal consolidation on vulnerable social groups, which require Member States to continue their efforts; stresses that efforts regarding structural reforms must still be made and the monitoring of these efforts must be ensured in order to ensure the effectiveness of reforms and consolidate progress; encourages the adoption and implementation of programmes of

structural reforms to promote convergence and competitiveness at national and European level and enhance sustainable growth and promote employment;

Or. en

Amendment 25 Monika Vana on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion Paragraph 10

Draft opinion

10. Calls, furthermore, on the Commission, in its next AGS, to provide a full and complete picture of what has been achieved as a result of the implementation of the Compact for Growth and Jobs, adopted at the European Council meeting of June 2012, and to present new proposals on the role which the EU budget could play in further stimulating growth and job creation;

Amendment

10. Calls, furthermore, on the Commission, in its next AGS, to provide a full and complete picture of what has been achieved as a result of the implementation of the Compact for Growth and Jobs, adopted at the European Council meeting of June 2012 in order to move beyond the economic and fiscal crises, and to present new proposals on the role which the EU budget could play in further creating smart, sustainable, inclusive resource-efficient and job-creating growth;

Or. en

Amendment 26 Pina Picierno

Draft opinion Paragraph 10 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

10 a. Expects the next President-elect of the Commission to stick to its promise to present within the next 3 months of the new Commission's mandate a growth, job

AM\1034684EN.doc 19/21 PE537.503v01-00

and investment package worth at least EUR 300 billion of additional public and private investment in Europe; expects this package to include new and efficient European instruments on top of those already agreed in the frame of the MFF 2014-2020;

Or. en

Amendment 27 Bernd Kölmel

Draft opinion Paragraph 11

Draft opinion

11. Welcomes the commitment by the President-elect of the Commission to deliver on the Commission's roadmap entitled 'Towards a genuine economic and monetary union' of 5 December 2012; believes that any additional funding or instruments, such as a solidarity mechanism, must be an integral part of the EU budget, but over and above the agreed MFF ceilings.

Amendment

11. Welcomes the commitment by the President-elect of the Commission to deliver on the Commission's roadmap entitled 'Towards a genuine economic and monetary union' of 5 December 2012; believes that any additional funding or instruments, such as a solidarity mechanism, must be an integral part of the EU budget.

Or. en

Amendment 28 Victor Negrescu

Draft opinion Paragraph 11

Draft opinion

11. Welcomes the commitment by the President-elect of the Commission to deliver on the Commission's roadmap entitled 'Towards a genuine economic and monetary union' of 5 December 2012;

Amendment

11. Welcomes the commitment by the President-elect of the Commission to deliver on the Commission's roadmap entitled 'Towards a genuine economic and monetary union' of 5 December 2012;

PE537.503v01-00 20/21 AM\1034684EN.doc

believes that any additional funding or instruments, such as a solidarity mechanism, must be an integral part of the EU budget, but over and above the agreed MFF ceilings.

believes that any additional funding or instruments, such as a solidarity mechanism, must be an integral part of the EU budget, but over and above the agreed MFF ceilings. Reaffirms that increased economic cooperation should be accompanied by a financial mechanism based on incentives; believes that any funding or additional tools such as a solidarity mechanism must be part of the EU budget, while remaining outside of the package agreed in the multiannual financial framework (MFF);

Or. en