



2017/2121(INI)

22.9.2017

AMENDMENTS

1 - 9

Draft opinion
Jean Arthuis
(PE609.504v01-00)

Annual Report on the implementation of the Common Foreign and Security
Policy
(2017/2121(INI))

Amendment 1
Bernd Kölme

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. Notes that while the budgetary appropriations adopted under the common foreign and security policy (CFSP) for 2016 amounted to EUR 326.8 million in commitments and EUR 298.1 million in payments, the proportion of transfers from the CFSP chapter reached an unprecedented EUR 124.4 million in commitments and EUR 69 million in payments in 2016;

Amendment

1. Notes that while the budgetary appropriations adopted under the common foreign and security policy (CFSP) for 2016 amounted to EUR 326.8 million in commitments and EUR 298.1 million in payments, the proportion of transfers from the CFSP chapter reached an unprecedented EUR 124.4 million in commitments and EUR 69 million in payments in 2016, ***which means that in fact no proper plan for the chapter had been drawn up;***

Or. de

Amendment 2
Bernd Kölme

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Recalls that CFSP appropriations represented 3.6 % of the Heading 4 commitments in 2016 and 0.2 % of the whole EU budget; regrets that the size and under-implementation of and systematic transfers from the CFSP chapter ***reveal a persistent lack of ambition for the EU to act as a global player;***

Amendment

2. Recalls that CFSP appropriations represented 3.6 % of the Heading 4 commitments in 2016 and 0.2 % of the whole EU budget; regrets that the size and under-implementation of and systematic transfers from the CFSP chapter ***show that a plan should be drawn up before budget appropriations are allocated;***

Or. de

Amendment 3
Nicola Caputo

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Recalls that CFSP appropriations represented 3.6 % of the Heading 4 commitments in 2016 and 0.2 % of the whole EU budget; regrets that the size and under-implementation of and systematic transfers from the CFSP chapter reveal a persistent lack of ambition for the EU to act as a global player;

Amendment

2. Recalls that CFSP appropriations represented 3.6 % of the Heading 4 commitments in 2016 and 0.2 % of the whole EU budget; regrets that the size and under-implementation of and systematic transfers from the CFSP chapter reveal a persistent lack of ambition for the EU to act as a global player; ***points out that, if the EU is to overcome the challenges it faces, and in particular security threats, it needs to focus its resources on strategic priorities;***

Or. it

Amendment 4
Urmas Paet

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

3. Reiterates its call for the full utilisation of the Treaty tools for raising funds under the CFSP and the common security and defence policy (CSDP), namely Permanent Structured Cooperation and the start-up fund for the initial phase of the missions; reiterates the need for synergies in order to improve cost efficiency; calls on the Member States to review and broaden substantially the Athena financing mechanism and to take full advantage of the cooperative projects proposed by the European Defence Agency;

Amendment

3. Reiterates its call for the full utilisation of the Treaty tools for raising funds under the CFSP and the common security and defence policy (CSDP), namely Permanent Structured Cooperation and the start-up fund for the initial phase of the missions; reiterates the need for synergies in order to improve cost efficiency; ***believes that European defence cooperation must be strengthened by looking for further avenues for joint purchase, maintenance and upkeep of forces and material as it would lead to boosting EU assets and capabilities and having positive potential effects on defence research and industrial matters; notes that because of Member States'***

financial constraints there should be more coordination and clearer choices about which capabilities to maintain, so that Member States can specialise in certain capabilities; calls on the Member States to review and broaden substantially the Athena financing mechanism and to take full advantage of the cooperative projects proposed by the European Defence Agency;

Or. en

Amendment 5
Bernd Kölmel

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

3. Reiterates its call for the full utilisation of the Treaty tools for raising funds under the CFSP and the common security and defence policy (CSDP), namely Permanent Structured Cooperation and the start-up fund for the initial phase of the missions; reiterates the need for synergies in order to improve cost efficiency; calls on the Member States to review and broaden substantially the Athena financing mechanism and to take full advantage of the cooperative projects proposed by the European Defence Agency;

Amendment

3. Reiterates its call for the full utilisation of the Treaty tools for raising funds under the CFSP and the common security and defence policy (CSDP), namely Permanent Structured Cooperation and the start-up fund for the initial phase of the missions; reiterates the need for synergies in order to improve cost efficiency; calls on the Member States to review and broaden substantially the Athena financing mechanism and to take full advantage of the cooperative projects proposed by the European Defence Agency, ***provided that they generate added value for Europe;***

Or. de

Amendment 6
Bernd Kölmel

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Calls on the Commission to fully reflect the growing security challenges in its proposal for the next multiannual financial framework; considers that both the size and the flexibility of the CFSP budget must match, inter alia, EU citizens' expectations on the EU's role as a security provider, and the likely challenges and opportunities for the EU's standing as a strategic actor **following Brexit**; insists on the need for a global vision for EU policy and instruments in the field of security, including fruitful coordination with the proposed European Defence Fund;

Amendment

4. Calls on the Commission to fully reflect the growing security challenges in its proposal for the next multiannual financial framework; considers that both the size and the flexibility of the CFSP budget must match, inter alia, EU citizens' expectations on the EU's role as a security provider, and the likely challenges and opportunities for the EU's standing as a strategic actor; insists on the need for a global vision for EU policy and instruments in the field of security, including fruitful coordination with the proposed European Defence Fund;

Or. de

Amendment 7

John Howarth, Clare Moody

Draft opinion

Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Calls on the Commission to fully reflect the growing security challenges in its proposal for the next multiannual financial framework; considers that both the size and the flexibility of the CFSP budget must match, inter alia, EU citizens' expectations on the EU's role as a security provider, and the likely challenges and opportunities for the EU's standing as a strategic actor following Brexit; insists on the need for a global vision for EU policy and instruments in the field of security, including fruitful coordination with the proposed European Defence Fund;

Amendment

4. Calls on the Commission to fully reflect the growing security challenges in its proposal for the next multiannual financial framework; considers that both the size and the flexibility of the CFSP budget must match, inter alia, EU citizens' expectations on the EU's role as a security provider, and the likely challenges and opportunities for the EU's standing as a strategic actor following Brexit; **recognises in this regard the importance to continue strong cooperation between the EU and the UK to provide security for the citizens of Europe**; insists on the need for a global vision for EU policy and instruments in the field of security, including fruitful coordination with the proposed European Defence Fund;

Or. en

Amendment 8
Urmas Paet

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Calls on the Commission to fully reflect the growing security challenges in its proposal for the next multiannual financial framework; considers that both the size and the flexibility of the CFSP budget must match, inter alia, EU citizens' expectations on the EU's role as a security provider, and the likely challenges and opportunities for the EU's standing as a strategic actor following Brexit; insists on the need for a global vision for EU policy and instruments in the field of security, including fruitful coordination with the proposed European Defence Fund;

Amendment

4. Calls on the Commission to fully reflect the growing security challenges in its proposal for the next multiannual financial framework; considers that both the size and the flexibility of the CFSP budget must match, inter alia, EU citizens' expectations on the EU's role as a security provider, and the likely challenges and opportunities for the EU's standing as a strategic actor following Brexit; insists on the need for a global vision for EU policy and instruments in the field of security, including fruitful coordination with the proposed European Defence Fund; ***calls on the Member States to aim for the target of 2 % of GDP for defence spending, and to spend 20 % of their defence budgets on equipment identified as necessary through the EDA;***

Or. en

Amendment 9
Jean-Paul Denanot

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Calls on the Commission to fully reflect the growing security challenges in its proposal for the next multiannual financial framework; considers that both the size and the flexibility of the CFSP budget must match, inter alia, EU citizens' expectations on the EU's role as a security provider, and the likely challenges and

Amendment

4. Calls on the Commission to fully reflect the growing security challenges in its proposal for the next multiannual financial framework; considers that both the size and the flexibility of the CFSP budget must match, inter alia, EU citizens' expectations on the EU's role as a security provider, and the likely challenges and

opportunities for the EU's standing as a strategic actor following Brexit; insists on the need for a global vision for EU policy and instruments in the field of security, including fruitful coordination with the proposed European Defence Fund;

opportunities for the EU's standing as a strategic actor following Brexit; insists on the need for a global vision for EU policy and instruments in the field of security, including fruitful coordination with the proposed European Defence Fund; ***points out, further, that any new policy must be backed by funding from new sources;***

Or. fr