



2017/2052(INI)

1.2.2018

AMENDMENTS

273 - 477

Draft report

Jan Olbrycht, Isabelle Thomas

(PE615.478v01-00)

The next MFF: Preparing the Parliament's position on the MFF post-2020
(2017/2052(INI))

Amendment 273

Jordi Solé, Heidi Hautala

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 50

Motion for a resolution

50. Advocates also a real simplification of *sectoral* implementation rules for beneficiaries and a reduction of administrative burdens;

Amendment

50. Advocates also a real simplification of *sectorial* implementation rules for beneficiaries and a reduction of administrative burdens *whilst ensuring a harmonised interpretation and incorporate easier access to grants through less administrative burden and simpler procedures across all funding instruments, together with more capacity building, information and technical assistance especially for small applicants, while ensuring proper use of fund through clear spending rules, monitoring and evaluation at the same time;*

Or. en

Amendment 274

Petri Sarvamaa, Ingeborg Gräßle

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 50

Motion for a resolution

50. Advocates also a real simplification of sectoral implementation rules for beneficiaries and a reduction of administrative burdens;

Amendment

50. Advocates also a real simplification of sectoral implementation rules for beneficiaries and a reduction of administrative burdens; *calls for a move towards a risk-based evaluation whereby control resources could be focused more on those regions and policy fields where the risks of irregularities have proven to be more significant;*

Or. en

Amendment 275
Siegfried Mureşan

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 50

Motion for a resolution

50. Advocates also a real simplification of sectoral implementation rules for beneficiaries and a reduction of administrative burdens;

Amendment

50. Advocates also a real simplification of sectoral implementation rules for beneficiaries and a reduction of administrative burdens ***through further standardisation and simplification of programming documents at national level;***

Or. en

Amendment 276
Indrek Tarand

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 51

Motion for a resolution

51. Recalls that the principle of unity, whereby all items of revenue and expenditure of the Union shall be shown in the budget, is both a Treaty requirement and a basic democratic precondition if the budget is to be transparent, legitimate and accountable; regrets that this principle has increasingly gone unobserved, from the historical legacy of the European Development Fund, through the setting up of the European Stability Mechanism, to the recent inflation of off-budget mechanisms in the form of innovative financial instruments and external trust funds or facilities;

Amendment

51. Recalls that the principle of unity, whereby all items of revenue and expenditure of the Union shall be shown in the budget, is both a Treaty requirement and a basic democratic precondition if the budget is to be transparent, legitimate and accountable; regrets that this principle has increasingly gone unobserved, from the historical legacy of the European Development Fund, through the setting up of the European Stability Mechanism, to the recent inflation of off-budget mechanisms in the form of innovative financial instruments and external trust funds or facilities; ***reiterates the importance of a budget publicly available to all citizens, to ensure transparency and accountability, particularly in cases of shared management with Member States;***

Amendment 277**Jordi Solé**

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution**Paragraph 52***Motion for a resolution*

52. Questions the justification and added value of establishing instruments outside the Union budget; considers that decisions to set up or maintain such instruments are in reality driven by attempts to conceal the real financial needs and to bypass the constraints of the MFF and own resources ceilings; deplores that they often also result in bypassing Parliament in its triple responsibility as legislative, budgetary and control authority;

Amendment

52. Questions the justification and added value of establishing instruments outside the Union budget; considers that decisions to set up or maintain such instruments are in reality driven by attempts to conceal the real financial needs and to bypass the constraints of the MFF and own resources ceilings; deplores that they often also result in bypassing Parliament in its triple responsibility as legislative, budgetary and control authority; ***is therefore of the firm opinion that all instruments should be established inside the EU budget with an adjustment of the MFF ceilings accordingly;***

Or. en

Amendment 278**Gérard Deprez, Jean Arthuis, Urmas Paet, Louis Michel, Anneli Jäätteenmäki, Nils Torvalds, Pavel Telička, Jan Huitema, Gerben-Jan Gerbrandy, Nedzhmi Ali, Fredrick Federley****Motion for a resolution****Paragraph 52***Motion for a resolution*

52. Questions the justification and added value of establishing instruments outside the Union budget; considers that decisions to set up or maintain such instruments are in reality driven by attempts to conceal the real financial needs

Amendment

52. Questions the justification and added value of establishing instruments outside the Union budget; considers that decisions to set up or maintain such instruments are in reality driven by attempts to conceal the real financial needs

and to bypass the constraints of the MFF and own resources ceilings; deplors that they often also result in bypassing Parliament in its triple responsibility as legislative, budgetary and control authority;

and to bypass the constraints of the MFF and own resources ceilings; deplors that they often also result in bypassing Parliament in its triple responsibility as legislative, budgetary and control authority ***and lead to less transparency towards the general public and beneficiaries;***

Or. en

Amendment 279
Eider Gardiazabal Rubial

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 52

Motion for a resolution

52. Questions the justification and added value of establishing instruments outside the Union budget; considers that decisions to set up or maintain such instruments are in reality driven by attempts to conceal the real financial needs and to bypass the constraints of the MFF and own resources ceilings; deplors that they often also result in bypassing Parliament in its triple responsibility as legislative, budgetary and control authority;

Amendment

52. Questions the justification and added value of establishing instruments outside the Union budget; considers that decisions to set up or maintain such instruments are in reality driven by attempts to conceal the real financial needs and to bypass the constraints of the MFF and own resources ceilings; deplors that they often also result in bypassing Parliament in its triple responsibility as legislative, budgetary and control authority ***and lead to less transparency towards the general public and beneficiaries;***

Or. en

Amendment 280
Marco Zanni, André Elissen, Stanisław Żółtek

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 53

Motion for a resolution

53. Reiterates, therefore, its long-standing position that the European Development Fund, alongside other

Amendment

deleted

instruments outside the MFF, should be integrated into the Union budget; underlines, however, that their respective financial envelopes should be added on top of the agreed MFF ceilings so that the budgetisation of these instruments has no detrimental impact either on their financing, or on other EU policies and programmes; welcomes, in principle, the proposal to incorporate the European Stability Mechanism in the Union's finances in the form of a European Monetary Fund, without prejudice to its future design;

Or. en

Amendment 281

Marco Valli

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 53

Motion for a resolution

53. Reiterates, therefore, its long-standing position that the European Development Fund, alongside other instruments outside the MFF, should be integrated into the Union budget; *underlines, however, that their respective financial envelopes should be added on top of the agreed MFF ceilings so that the budgetisation of these instruments has no detrimental impact either on their financing, or on other EU policies and programmes; welcomes, in principle, the proposal to incorporate the European Stability Mechanism in the Union's finances in the form of a European Monetary Fund, without prejudice to its future design;*

Amendment

53. Reiterates, therefore, its long-standing position that the European Development Fund, alongside other instruments outside the MFF, should be integrated into the Union budget;

Or. it

Amendment 282

Jordi Solé

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 54

Motion for a resolution

54. Considers also that, when a certain share of off-budget operations is deemed necessary to achieve certain specific objectives, for example through the use of financial instruments or trust funds, these should be kept at a limited level, be fully transparent, and backed by strong decision-making and accountability provisions;

Amendment

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 283

Monica Macovei, Indrek Tarand

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 54

Motion for a resolution

54. Considers also that, when a certain share of off-budget operations is deemed necessary to achieve certain specific objectives, for example through the use of financial instruments or trust funds, these should be kept at a limited level, be fully transparent, and backed by strong decision-making and accountability provisions;

Amendment

54. Considers also that, when a certain share of off-budget operations is deemed necessary to achieve certain specific objectives, for example through the use of financial instruments or trust funds, these should be kept at a limited level, be fully transparent, and backed by strong decision-making and accountability provisions; ***recalls that EU trust funds should only support actions outside the Union; recalls that Parliament should be able to exercise its power of scrutiny not only over the creation of such EU trust funds, but also over their entire disbursement;***

Or. en

Amendment 284

Eider Gardiazabal Rubial, Elly Schlein, Arne Lietz, Doru-Claudian Frunzulică

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 54

Motion for a resolution

54. Considers also that, when a certain share of off-budget operations is deemed necessary to achieve certain specific objectives, for example through the use of financial instruments or trust funds, these should be kept at a limited level, be fully transparent, and backed by strong decision-making and accountability provisions;

Amendment

54. Considers also that, when a certain share of off-budget operations is deemed necessary to achieve certain specific objectives, for example through the use of financial instruments or trust funds, these should be kept at a limited level ***and duration***, be fully transparent, and backed by strong decision-making and accountability provisions;

Or. en

Amendment 285

John Howarth

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 55

Motion for a resolution

55. Believes that, under the next MFF, the Union budget should display with greater accuracy the extent of assigned revenues and their impact on actual expenditure, in particular those stemming from third countries' contributions; underlines that this is even more relevant in view of the UK's wish to participate in some Union budgetary programmes of the new MFF post-2020 as a non-Member State, as expressed in the context of the negotiations on its withdrawal from the Union;

Amendment

55. Believes that, under the next MFF, the Union budget should display with greater accuracy the extent of assigned revenues and their impact on actual expenditure, in particular those stemming from third countries' contributions; underlines that this is even more relevant in view of the UK's wish to participate in some Union budgetary programmes of the new MFF post-2020 as a non-Member State, as expressed in the context of the negotiations on its withdrawal from the Union; ***highlights in this regard that third country participation in EU programmes should be supported where participation brings substantial benefits to the EU as well as the third country/countries;***

Or. en

Amendment 286
Pina Picierno, Luigi Morgano

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 55

Motion for a resolution

55. Believes that, under the next MFF, the Union budget should display with greater accuracy the extent of assigned revenues and their impact on actual expenditure, in particular those stemming from third countries' contributions; underlines that this is even more relevant in view of the UK's wish to participate in some Union budgetary programmes of the new MFF post-2020 as a non-Member State, as expressed in the context of the negotiations on its withdrawal from the Union;

Amendment

55. Believes that, under the next MFF, the Union budget should display with greater accuracy the extent of assigned revenues and their impact on actual expenditure, in particular those stemming from third countries' contributions; underlines that this is even more relevant in view of the UK's wish to participate in some Union budgetary programmes of the new MFF post-2020 as a non-Member State, as expressed in the context of the negotiations on its withdrawal from the Union; ***stresses, however, that the linkage between specific items of revenue and items of expenditure should be avoided in the budget;***

Or. en

Amendment 287
Jordi Solé, Sven Giegold
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 55 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

55a. Believes^{1a} that it is important to strengthen the transparency of the MFF in the next period, and, in this regard, highlights the untapped potential to connect with the EU's digital agenda by using innovative European digital platforms to make information about the objectives, beneficiaries and results of EU spending and lending more visible and

accessible to citizens and investors;

*1^a AM suggested by NABU -
Naturschutzbund Deutschland e.V.*

Or. en

Amendment 288
Eider Gardiazabal Rubial, Jens Geier

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 56

Motion for a resolution

56. Recalls the build-up of a backlog of unpaid bills at the end of the previous MFF that spilled over into the current one, reaching an unprecedented peak of EUR 24.7 billion at the end of 2014, mostly in the field of cohesion policy, due to the late take-off of the previous programmes, under-budgeting and insufficient payment ceilings; regrets that the focus on the absorption of this backlog linked to the 2007-2013 period resulted in deliberate efforts to delay the start of some of the 2014-2020 programmes and has contributed to the opposite trend of under-execution in the 2016 and 2017 budgets; asks the Commission and the Member States to come up with concrete measures to accelerate the implementation of the 2014-2020 programmes, and warns against a repetition of the payment crisis in the transition between two MFFs;

Amendment

56. Recalls the build-up of a backlog of unpaid bills at the end of the previous MFF that spilled over into the current one, reaching an unprecedented peak of EUR 24.7 billion at the end of 2014, mostly in the field of cohesion policy, due to the late take-off of the previous programmes, under-budgeting and insufficient payment ceilings; regrets that the focus on the absorption of this backlog linked to the 2007-2013 period resulted in deliberate efforts to delay the start of some of the 2014-2020 programmes and has contributed to the opposite trend of under-execution in the 2016 and 2017 budgets; asks the Commission and the Member States to come up with concrete measures to accelerate the implementation of the 2014-2020 programmes, and warns against a repetition of the payment crisis in the transition between two MFFs; *invites national finance ministers of all Member states to attend the format of regular payments meetings between the three EU institutions, in order to have a fruitful discussion on possible measures to improve the implementation of programs under shared management;*

Or. en

Amendment 289

Tamás Deutsch

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 56

Motion for a resolution

56. Recalls the build-up of a backlog of unpaid bills at the end of the previous MFF that spilled over into the current one, reaching an unprecedented peak of EUR 24.7 billion at the end of 2014, mostly in the field of cohesion policy, due to the late take-off of the previous programmes, under-budgeting and insufficient payment ceilings; regrets that the focus on the absorption of this backlog linked to the 2007-2013 period resulted in deliberate efforts to delay the start of some of the 2014-2020 programmes and has contributed to the opposite trend of under-execution in the 2016 and 2017 budgets; asks the Commission and the Member States to come up with concrete measures to accelerate the implementation of the 2014-2020 programmes, and warns against a repetition of the payment crisis in the transition between two MFFs;

Amendment

56. Recalls the build-up of a backlog of unpaid bills at the end of the previous MFF that spilled over into the current one, reaching an unprecedented peak of EUR 24.7 billion at the end of 2014, mostly in the field of cohesion policy, due to the late take-off of the previous programmes, under-budgeting and insufficient payment ceilings; regrets that the focus on the absorption of this backlog linked to the 2007-2013 period resulted in deliberate efforts to delay the start of some of the 2014-2020 programmes and has contributed to the opposite trend of under-execution in the 2016 and 2017 budgets; asks the Commission and the Member States to come up with concrete measures to accelerate the implementation of the 2014-2020 programmes, and warns against a repetition of the payment crisis in the transition between two MFFs; ***reminds the Commission that simplification of the implementation rules, minimising the administrative burden on MSs and at the same time building on existing structures can shorten the time under which new programmes reach cruising speed;***

Or. en

Amendment 290

Marco Valli

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 56

Motion for a resolution

56. Recalls the build-up of a backlog of unpaid bills at the end of the previous MFF that spilled over into the current one, reaching an unprecedented peak of EUR 24.7 billion at the end of 2014, mostly in the field of cohesion policy, due to the late take-off of the previous programmes, ***under-budgeting and insufficient payment ceilings***; regrets that the focus on the absorption of this backlog linked to the 2007-2013 period resulted in deliberate efforts to delay the start of some of the 2014-2020 programmes and has contributed to the opposite trend of under-execution in the 2016 and 2017 budgets; asks the Commission and the Member States to come up with concrete measures to accelerate the implementation of the 2014-2020 programmes, and warns against a repetition of the payment crisis in the transition between two MFFs;

Amendment

56. Recalls the build-up of a backlog of unpaid bills at the end of the previous MFF that spilled over into the current one, reaching an unprecedented peak of EUR 24.7 billion at the end of 2014, mostly in the field of cohesion policy, due to the late take-off of the previous programmes; regrets that the focus on the absorption of this backlog linked to the 2007-2013 period resulted in deliberate efforts to delay the start of some of the 2014-2020 programmes and has contributed to the opposite trend of under-execution in the 2016 and 2017 budgets; asks the Commission and the Member States to come up with concrete measures to accelerate the implementation of the 2014-2020 programmes, and warns against a repetition of the payment crisis in the transition between two MFFs;

Or. it

Amendment 291

Jordi Solé

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 58

Motion for a resolution

58. Calls for the future payment ceilings to be set at ***an appropriate level, leaving only a limited and realistic gap between the level of commitment and payment appropriations and taking*** into account the need to honour the commitments stemming from the current financial period that will turn into payments only after 2020;

Amendment

58. Calls for the future payment ceilings to be set at ***the same level of the commitment ceilings; reminds that the payments are a logic and legal consequences of the commitments and therefore commitments will become payments; stresses that the payment ceilings does not fix the level of payment for the annual budgets, to be adopted by the two branches of the budgetary authority, but the maximum level which***

could be agreed upon; therefore considers that any technical measures should be used in order to avoid payment to be made; - especially in order take into account the need to honour the commitments stemming from the current financial period that will turn into payments only after 2020;

Or. en

Amendment 292

Marco Valli

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 59

Motion for a resolution

59. *Emphasises that the EU budget has at its disposal a wide range of instruments that finance the European project and that can be regrouped in two categories: grants and financial instruments in form of guarantees, loans, risk-sharing or equity; points also to the European Fund for Strategic Investment, the aim of which is to mobilise private capital across the EU in support of projects in key areas for the EU economy that should complement limited public funds;*

Amendment

59. Points also to the European Fund for Strategic Investment, *which has failed to close the gap between demand, employment and inflation, particularly in the outlying countries of the euro area, which clearly need to increase public investment in sectors with high potential;*

Or. it

Amendment 293

Bernd Kölmel

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 59

Motion for a resolution

59. Emphasises that the EU budget has at its disposal a wide range of instruments

Amendment

59. Emphasises that the EU budget has at its disposal a wide range of instruments

that finance *the European project* and that can be regrouped in two categories: grants and financial instruments in form of guarantees, loans, risk-sharing or equity; *points also to the European Fund for Strategic Investment, the aim of which is to mobilise private capital across the EU in support of projects in key areas for the EU economy that should complement limited public funds;*

that finance *activities at the EU-level* and that can be regrouped in two categories: grants and financial instruments in form of guarantees, loans, risk-sharing or equity; *believes that such instruments should complement limited public funds;*

Or. en

Amendment 294

José Manuel Fernandes

Motion for a resolution Paragraph 59

Motion for a resolution

59. Emphasises that the EU budget has at its disposal a wide range of instruments that finance the European project and that can be regrouped in two categories: grants and financial instruments in form of guarantees, loans, risk-sharing or equity; *points also to the European Fund for Strategic Investment, the aim of which is to mobilise private capital across the EU in support of projects in key areas for the EU economy that should complement limited public funds;*

Amendment

59. Emphasises that the EU budget has at its disposal a wide range of instruments that finance the European project and that can be regrouped in two categories: grants and financial instruments in form of guarantees, loans, risk-sharing or equity; *points also to the European Fund for Strategic Investment, the aim of which is to mobilise private **and public** capital across the EU in support of projects in key areas for the EU economy, **in order to** complement limited **funding**;*

Or. en

Amendment 295

Jordi Solé

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution Paragraph 60

Motion for a resolution

60. **Recognises the** potential of financial instruments to increase the economic and political impact of the Union budget; highlights, however, that they can be applied only for revenue-generating projects and therefore constitute only a complementary ***rather than*** an alternative form of funding as compared to grants, as some projects can be financed only through subsidies;

Amendment

60. **Recognises the** potential of financial instruments to increase the economic and political impact of the Union budget; highlights, however, that they can be applied only for revenue-generating projects and therefore constitute only a complementary ***option to the grant system and should not be seen as*** an alternative form of funding as compared to grants, as some projects can be financed only through subsidies; ***stresses therefore that Financial Instruments are not bound by the Objectives and goals; calls on the Commission to revise all Financial Instruments, including EFSI, in order to make them bound by the post EU2020 strategy, goals and objectives and compatible with the Paris Climate agreement and/or the Agenda 2030;***

Or. en

Amendment 296

Petri Sarvamaa, Ingeborg Gräßle

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 60

Motion for a resolution

60. Recognises the potential of financial instruments to increase the economic and political impact of the Union budget; highlights, however, that they can be applied only for revenue-generating projects and therefore constitute only a complementary rather than an alternative form of funding as compared to grants, as some projects can be financed only through subsidies;

Amendment

60. Recognises the potential of financial instruments to increase the economic and political impact of the Union budget; ***calls for more flexibility in cross-sectoral use of different financial instruments, so as to overcome the restrictive rules preventing recipients from taking advantage of multiple programmes for projects with matching goals;*** highlights, however, that they can be applied only for revenue-generating projects and therefore constitute only a complementary rather than an alternative form of funding as compared to grants, as

some projects can be financed only through subsidies;

Or. en

Amendment 297
Marco Valli

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 60

Motion for a resolution

60. ***Recognises the potential of financial instruments to increase the economic and political impact of the Union budget; highlights, however, that they can be applied only for revenue-generating projects and therefore constitute only a complementary rather than an alternative form of funding as compared to grants, as some projects can be financed only through subsidies;***

Amendment

60. ***Highlights that financial instruments can be applied only for revenue-generating projects and therefore constitute only a complementary rather than an alternative form of funding as compared to grants, as some projects can be financed only through subsidies; points out that, in certain cases, the use of financial instruments could be a way to have the public bear financial losses incurred by private companies;***

Or. it

Amendment 298
Eider Gardiazabal Rubial

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 60

Motion for a resolution

60. Recognises the potential of financial instruments to increase the economic and political impact of the Union budget; highlights, however, that they can be applied only ***for revenue-generating projects and therefore constitute only a complementary rather than an alternative form of funding as compared to grants, as some projects can be financed only through subsidies;***

Amendment

60. Recognises the potential of financial instruments to increase the economic and political impact of the Union budget; highlights, however, that they can be applied only ***in case of suboptimal investment condition or market failure for financially viable investment; recalls that they should not aim at replacing already existing public schemes or private funding;***

Amendment 299**Gérard Deprez, Jean Arthuis, Louis Michel, Gerben-Jan Gerbrandy****Motion for a resolution****Paragraph 61***Motion for a resolution*

61. Recalls its request to the Commission *to* identify EU policy areas where grants could be combined with financial instruments and to reflect on a proper balance between the two; *is convinced that subsidies should remain the predominant way of funding the EU project in the next MFF*; underlines that loans, guarantees, risk-sharing and equity financing *should be used with caution, based on appropriate ex-ante assessments and only when their use can demonstrate a clear added value and a leverage effect*;

Amendment

61. Recalls its request to the Commission *for clearer division between instruments. The Commission should* identify EU policy areas, *which are best served by grants, those that could be better suited by financial instruments, and those* where grants could be combined with financial instruments and to reflect on a proper balance between the two; underlines that *the use of* loans, guarantees, risk-sharing and equity financing *in the next MFF must be accompanied by major efforts to facilitate access to these instruments for beneficiaries, in particular for start-ups, SMEs and mid-caps*;

Amendment 300**Ivana Maletić, Lambert van Nistelrooij****Motion for a resolution****Paragraph 61***Motion for a resolution*

61. Recalls its request to the Commission to identify EU policy areas where grants could be combined with financial instruments and to reflect on a proper balance between the two; is convinced that subsidies should remain the predominant way of funding the EU project in the next MFF; underlines that

Amendment

61. Recalls its request to the Commission to identify EU policy areas where grants could be combined with financial instruments and to reflect on a proper balance between the two; is convinced that subsidies should remain the predominant way of funding the EU project in the next MFF; *notes that the*

loans, guarantees, risk-sharing and equity financing should be used with caution, based on appropriate ex-ante assessments and only when their use can demonstrate a clear added value and a leverage effect;

take-up of financial instruments and synergies with grants can be improved; highlights, in this context, that special attention should be paid to state aid rules, so as to provide a level playing field for grants and financial instruments;
underlines that loans, guarantees, risk-sharing and equity financing should be used with caution, based on appropriate ex-ante assessments and only when their use can demonstrate a clear added value and a leverage effect;

Or. en

Amendment 301

Jordi Solé

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 61

Motion for a resolution

61. Recalls its request to the Commission to identify EU policy areas where grants could be combined with financial instruments and to reflect on a proper balance between the two; is convinced that subsidies should remain the predominant way of funding the EU project in the next MFF; *underlines* that loans, guarantees, risk-sharing and equity financing should be used with caution, based on appropriate ex-ante assessments and only when their use can demonstrate a clear added value and a leverage effect;

Amendment

61. Recalls its request to the Commission to identify EU policy areas where grants could be combined with financial instruments and to reflect on a proper balance between the two; is convinced that subsidies should remain the predominant way of funding the EU project in the next MFF; *stresses the lack of accountability and the low level of reporting on results achieved in the real economy and the high management fees of the financial instruments; therefore considers* that loans, guarantees, risk-sharing and equity financing should be used with caution, based on appropriate ex-ante assessments and only when their use can demonstrate a clear added value and a leverage effect;

Or. en

Amendment 302

Marco Valli

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 61

Motion for a resolution

61. Recalls its request to the Commission to identify EU policy areas where grants could be combined with financial instruments and to reflect on a proper balance between the two; is convinced that subsidies should remain the predominant way of funding the EU project in the next MFF; underlines that loans, guarantees, risk-sharing and equity financing should be used with caution, based on appropriate ex-ante assessments and only when their use can demonstrate a clear added value and a leverage effect;

Amendment

61. Recalls its request to the Commission to identify EU policy areas where grants could be combined with financial instruments and to reflect on a proper balance between the two; is convinced that subsidies should remain the predominant way of funding the EU project in the next MFF; underlines that loans, guarantees, risk-sharing and equity financing should be used with caution, based on appropriate *independent* ex-ante assessments and only when their use can demonstrate a clear added value and a leverage effect;

Or. it

Amendment 303

Monika Hohlmeier

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 62

Motion for a resolution

62. Calls on the Commission to simplify and harmonise the rules governing the use of financial instruments in the next MFF in order to maximise their efficient application; considers the option of a single fund that would integrate financial instruments at EU level that are centrally managed under such programmes as the Connecting Europe Facility (CEF), Horizon 2020, COSME, Creative Europe and the Employment and Social Innovation programme (EaSI) on the one hand and the European Fund for

Amendment

deleted

Strategic Investments (EFSI) on the other, a proposal to be discussed further; is of the opinion that such an umbrella solution should provide for a clear structure for the choice of different types of financial instruments for different policy areas and types of actions; underlines, however, that such a fund could never integrate financial instruments managed by Member States under cohesion policy;

Or. en

Amendment 304
Pina Picierno, Luigi Morgano

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 62

Motion for a resolution

62. Calls on the Commission to simplify and harmonise the rules governing the use of financial instruments in the next MFF in order to maximise their efficient application; considers *the option of* a single fund that would integrate financial instruments at EU level that are centrally managed under such programmes as the Connecting Europe Facility (CEF), Horizon 2020, COSME, Creative Europe and the Employment and Social Innovation programme (EaSI) on the one hand and the European Fund for Strategic Investments (EFSI) on the other, *a proposal to be discussed further*; is of the opinion that such an umbrella solution should provide for a clear structure for the choice of different types of financial instruments for different policy areas and types of actions; underlines, however, that such a fund could never integrate financial instruments managed by Member States under cohesion policy;

Amendment

62. Calls on the Commission to simplify and harmonise the rules governing the use of financial instruments in the next MFF in order to maximise their efficient application; considers *that* a single fund that would integrate financial instruments at EU level that are centrally managed under such programmes as the Connecting Europe Facility (CEF), Horizon 2020, COSME, Creative Europe and the Employment and Social Innovation programme (EaSI) on the one hand and the European Fund for Strategic Investments (EFSI) on the other, *could allow to reach objectives of efficiency, simplicity, and flexibility in the management of the EU budget*; is of the opinion that such an umbrella solution should provide for a clear structure for the choice of different types of financial instruments for different policy areas and types of actions; *believes that, in order to guarantee true additionality of a single fund integrating all financial instruments, its governance structure should allow for leveraging*

local knowledge by supporting the EIB and national promotional banks or institutions to originate and develop operations, while ensuring a level playing field among them; underlines, however, that such a fund could never integrate financial instruments managed by Member States under cohesion policy;

Or. en

Amendment 305

Eider Gardiazabal Rubial, Agnes Jongerius, Georgi Pirinski, Javi López

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 62

Motion for a resolution

62. Calls on the Commission to simplify and harmonise the rules governing the use of financial instruments in the next MFF in order to maximise their efficient application; *considers the option of* a single fund that would integrate financial instruments at EU level that are centrally managed *under such programmes as the Connecting Europe Facility (CEF), Horizon 2020, COSME, Creative Europe and the Employment and Social Innovation programme (EaSI) on the one hand and the European Fund for Strategic Investments (EFSI) on the other*, a proposal *to be discussed further; is of the opinion that such an umbrella solution should provide for a clear structure for the choice of different types of financial instruments for different policy areas and types of actions;* underlines, however, that such a fund could never integrate financial instruments managed by Member States under cohesion policy;

Amendment

62. Calls on the Commission to simplify and harmonise the rules governing the use of financial instruments in the next MFF in order to maximise their efficient application; *takes note that the Commission may propose* a single fund that would integrate financial instruments at EU level that are centrally managed; *warns that such* a proposal *would need thorough discussion;* underlines, however, that such a fund could never integrate financial instruments managed by Member States under cohesion policy;

Or. en

Amendment 306

José Manuel Fernandes, Marian-Jean Marinescu

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 62

Motion for a resolution

62. Calls on the Commission to simplify and harmonise the rules governing the use of financial instruments in the next MFF in order to maximise their efficient application; ***considers the option of a single fund that would integrate financial instruments at EU level that are centrally managed under such programmes as the Connecting Europe Facility (CEF), Horizon 2020, COSME, Creative Europe and the Employment and Social Innovation programme (EaSI) on the one hand and the European Fund for Strategic Investments (EFSI) on the other, a proposal to be discussed further;*** is of the opinion that ***such an umbrella solution should provide for*** a clear structure for the choice of different types of financial instruments for different policy areas and types of actions; underlines, however, that ***such a fund could never integrate*** financial instruments managed by Member States under cohesion policy;

Amendment

62. Calls on the Commission to simplify and harmonise the rules governing the use of financial instruments in the next MFF in order to maximise their efficient application; is of the opinion that a clear structure for the choice of different types of financial instruments for different policy areas and types of actions ***should be provided and that the relevant financial instruments should continue to be budgeted under separate budget lines, in order to provide for clarity of investment;*** underlines, however, that ***any such harmonisation of rules cannot affect the*** financial instruments managed by Member States under cohesion policy;

Or. en

Amendment 307

Ivana Maletić

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 62

Motion for a resolution

62. Calls on the Commission to simplify and harmonise the rules governing the use of financial instruments in the next MFF in order to maximise their efficient application; considers the option of a single

Amendment

62. Calls on the Commission to simplify and harmonise the rules governing the use of financial instruments in the next MFF in order to maximise their efficient application; considers the option of a single

fund that would integrate financial instruments at EU level that are centrally managed under such programmes as the Connecting Europe Facility (CEF), Horizon 2020, COSME, Creative Europe and the Employment and Social Innovation programme (EaSI) on the one hand and the European Fund for Strategic Investments (EFSI) on the other, a proposal to be discussed further; is of the opinion that such an umbrella solution should provide for a clear structure for the choice of different types of financial instruments for different policy areas and types of actions; underlines, however, that such a fund could never integrate financial instruments managed by Member States under cohesion policy;

fund that would integrate financial instruments at EU level that are centrally managed under such programmes as the Connecting Europe Facility (CEF), Horizon 2020, COSME, Creative Europe and the Employment and Social Innovation programme (EaSI) on the one hand and the European Fund for Strategic Investments (EFSI) on the other, a proposal to be discussed further; is of the opinion that such an umbrella solution should provide for a clear structure for the choice of different types of financial instruments for different policy areas and types of actions; underlines, however, that such a fund could never integrate financial instruments managed by Member States under cohesion policy; ***stresses that within MFF post 2020 structure these programmes cannot be included under one single line for horizontal (financial) instruments supporting investments;***

Or. en

Amendment 308

Jordi Solé

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 62

Motion for a resolution

62. Calls on the Commission to simplify and harmonise the rules governing the use of financial instruments in the next MFF in order to maximise their efficient application; considers the option of a single fund that would integrate financial instruments at EU level that are centrally managed under such programmes as the Connecting Europe Facility (CEF), Horizon 2020, COSME, Creative Europe and the Employment and Social Innovation programme (EaSI) on the one hand and the European Fund for Strategic Investments

Amendment

62. Calls on the Commission to simplify and harmonise the rules governing the use of financial instruments in the next MFF in order to maximise their efficient application; considers the option of a single fund that would integrate financial instruments at EU level that are centrally managed under such programmes as the Connecting Europe Facility (CEF), Horizon 2020, COSME, Creative Europe and the Employment and Social Innovation programme (EaSI) on the one hand and the European Fund for Strategic Investments

(EFSI) on the other, a proposal to be discussed further; is of the opinion that such an umbrella solution should provide for a clear structure for the choice of different types of financial instruments for different policy areas and types of actions; underlines, however, that such a fund could never integrate financial instruments managed by Member States under cohesion policy;

(EFSI) on the other, a proposal to be discussed further; is of the opinion that such an umbrella solution should provide for a clear structure for the choice of different types of financial instruments for different policy areas and types of actions; underlines, however, that such a fund could never integrate financial instruments managed by Member States under cohesion policy ***or fund projects at odds with the EU's domestic and international commitments***;

Or. en

Amendment 309

Péter Niedermüller, Claude Moraes

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 62

Motion for a resolution

62. Calls on the Commission to simplify and harmonise the rules governing the use of financial instruments in the next MFF in order to maximise their efficient application; considers the option of a single fund that would integrate financial instruments at EU level that are centrally managed under such programmes as the Connecting Europe Facility (CEF), ***Horizon 2020***, COSME, Creative Europe and the Employment and Social Innovation programme (EaSI) on the one hand and the European Fund for Strategic Investments (EFSI) on the other, a proposal to be discussed further; is of the opinion that such an umbrella solution should provide for a clear structure for the choice of different types of financial instruments for different policy areas and types of actions; underlines, however, that such a fund could never integrate financial instruments managed by Member States under cohesion policy;

Amendment

62. Calls on the Commission to simplify and harmonise the rules governing the use of financial instruments in the next MFF in order to maximise their efficient application; considers the option of a single fund that would integrate financial instruments at EU level that are centrally managed under such programmes as the Connecting Europe Facility (CEF), ***Horizon2020***, COSME, Creative Europe and the Employment and Social Innovation programme(EaSI) on the one hand and the European Fund for Strategic Investments (EFSI)on the other, ***as well as a future internal European fund for democracy and human rights***, a proposal to be discussed further; is of the opinion that such an umbrella solution should provide for a clear structure for the choice of different types of financial instruments for different policy areas and types of actions; underlines, however, that such a fund could never integrate financial instruments managed by Member States under cohesion

policy;

Or. en

Amendment 310

Bernd Kölmel

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 62

Motion for a resolution

62. Calls on the Commission to simplify and harmonise the rules governing the use of financial instruments in the next MFF in order to maximise their efficient application; considers the option of a single fund that would integrate financial instruments at EU level that are centrally managed under such programmes as the Connecting Europe Facility (CEF), Horizon 2020, COSME, Creative Europe and the Employment and Social Innovation programme (EaSI) ***on the one hand and the European Fund for Strategic Investments (EFSI) on the other***, a proposal to be discussed further; is of the opinion that such an umbrella solution should provide for a clear structure for the choice of different types of financial instruments for different policy areas and types of actions; underlines, however, that such a fund could never integrate financial instruments managed by Member States under cohesion policy;

Amendment

62. Calls on the Commission to simplify and harmonise the rules governing the use of financial instruments in the next MFF in order to maximise their efficient application; considers the option of a single fund that would integrate financial instruments at EU level that are centrally managed under such programmes as the Connecting Europe Facility (CEF), Horizon 2020, COSME, ***and the*** Creative Europe and the Employment and Social Innovation programme (EaSI), a proposal to be discussed further; is of the opinion that such an umbrella solution should provide for a clear structure for the choice of different types of financial instruments for different policy areas and types of actions; underlines, however, that such a fund could never integrate financial instruments managed by Member States under cohesion policy;

Or. en

Amendment 311

Marita Ulvskog

on behalf of the Committee on Employment and Social Affairs

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 62

Motion for a resolution

62. Calls on the Commission to simplify and harmonise the rules governing the use of financial instruments in the next MFF in order to maximise their efficient application; considers the option of a single fund that would integrate financial instruments at EU level that are centrally managed under such programmes as the Connecting Europe Facility (CEF), Horizon 2020, COSME, Creative Europe and the Employment and Social Innovation programme (EaSI) on the one hand and the European Fund for Strategic Investments (EFSI) on the other, a proposal to be discussed further; is of the opinion that such an umbrella solution **should** provide for a clear structure for the choice of different types of financial instruments for different policy areas and types of actions; underlines, however, that such a fund could never integrate financial instruments managed by Member States under cohesion policy;

Amendment

62. Calls on the Commission to simplify and harmonise the rules governing the use of financial instruments in the next MFF in order to maximise their efficient application; considers the option of a single fund that would integrate financial instruments at EU level that are centrally managed under such programmes as the Connecting Europe Facility (CEF), Horizon 2020, COSME, Creative Europe and the Employment and Social Innovation programme (EaSI) on the one hand and the European Fund for Strategic Investments (EFSI) on the other, a proposal to be discussed further; is of the opinion that such an umbrella solution **could** provide for a clear structure for the choice of different types of financial instruments for different policy areas and types of actions; underlines, however, that such a fund could never integrate financial instruments managed by Member States under cohesion policy;

Or. en

Amendment 312

Monica Macovei, Indrek Tarand

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 64

Motion for a resolution

64. Considers that the structure of the MFF should provide for the increased visibility of EU political and budgetary priorities for European citizens, and calls for a clearer presentation of all areas of EU expenditure; is convinced that the main pillars of future EU spending outlined in this resolution should be reflected accordingly;

Amendment

64. ***Points out that the structure of the new MFF should better correspond to the top five political priorities of the EU;*** considers that the structure of the MFF should provide for the increased visibility of EU political and budgetary priorities for European citizens, and calls for a clearer presentation of all areas of EU expenditure; is convinced that the main pillars of future EU spending outlined in this resolution

should be reflected accordingly; *calls for more coherence between the funding of the EU budget and its objectives, if needed by breaking the 1% glass ceiling of Member State GDP contributions and/or by adapting and reducing the EU's objectives;*

Or. en

Amendment 313
Helga Trüpel

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 64 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

64a. *Calls on the Commission to establish a digital cluster under the next MFF, which integrates all EU programmes that provide complementary answers to digital challenges in the Union, comprising activities such as digitising industry, advanced digital skills, research in the digital sector, media innovation and multimedia actions, media literacy, high-performance computing, cyber security, eGovernment and digital service infrastructure;*

Or. en

Amendment 314
Jordi Solé
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 64 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

64a. *Considers that the structure of the MFF should also reflect the EU economy and include programme and instruments*

supporting entrepreneurship and all of its business forms from individual projects to joint projects, such as cooperative enterprises;

Or. en

Amendment 315

Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Czesław Adam Siekierski, Stanisław Ożóg

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 64 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

64a. Takes the view, therefore, that the current presentation of the headings does require some improvement, but is against any unjustified radical changes, and with that in mind calls for expenditure on the common agricultural policy to be maintained as a separate budget heading;

Or. pl

Amendment 316

Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Czesław Adam Siekierski, Stanisław Ożóg

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 65

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

65. Believes, therefore, that the current presentation of the headings requires some improvements, but is against any unjustified radical changes; proposes, as a result, the following structure for the MFF post-2020;

deleted

Heading 1: A stronger and sustainable economy

Including programmes and instruments supporting:

under direct management:

- *research and innovation*
- *industry, entrepreneurship and small and medium-sized enterprises*
- *large-infrastructure projects*
- *transport, digitalisation, energy*
- *environment and climate change adaptation*
- *agriculture and rural development*
- *maritime affairs and fisheries*
- *horizontal (financial) instruments supporting investments in Europe (possible umbrella financial instrument at EU level, incl. EFSI)*

Heading 2: Stronger cohesion and solidarity in Europe

Including programmes and instruments supporting:

- *economic, social and territorial cohesion (under shared management):*

▪ *investments in innovation, digitalisation, reindustrialisation, SMEs, transport, climate change adaptation*

▪ *employment, social affairs and social inclusion*

- *education and life-long learning*

- *culture, citizenship and communication*

- *health and food safety*

- *asylum, migration and integration, justice and consumers*

- *support to and coordination with national administrations*

Heading 3: Stronger responsibility in the world

Including programmes and instruments supporting:

- *international cooperation and development*

- *neighbourhood*

- *enlargement*
- *humanitarian aid*
- *trade*
- *contribution to EU trust funds and external relations facilities*

Heading 4: Security, peace and stability for all

Including programmes and instruments supporting:

- *security*
- *crisis response and stability*
- *common foreign and security policy*
- *defence*

Heading 5: An efficient administration at the service of Europeans

- *financing EU staff*
- *financing the buildings and equipment of EU institutions*

Or. pl

**Amendment 317
Tamás Deutsch**

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 65**

Motion for a resolution

65. Believes, therefore, that the current presentation of the headings requires some improvements, but is against any unjustified radical changes; proposes, as a result, *the following* structure for the MFF post-2020;

Amendment

65. Believes, therefore, that the current presentation of the headings requires some improvements, but is against any unjustified radical changes; proposes, as a result, *a transparent* structure for the MFF post-2020, *where different priorities have separate Headings; supports the maintenance of separate Headings for: economic, social and territorial cohesion; agriculture and rural development, maritime affairs and fisheries;*

Heading 1: A stronger and sustainable economy

Including programmes and instruments supporting:

under direct management:

- **research and innovation**
- **industry, entrepreneurship and small and medium-sized enterprises**
- **large-infrastructure projects**
- **transport, digitalisation, energy**
- **environment and climate change adaptation**
- **agriculture and rural development**
- **maritime affairs and fisheries**
- **horizontal (financial) instruments**

supporting investments in Europe

(possible umbrella financial instrument at EU level, incl. EFSI)

Heading 2: Stronger cohesion and solidarity in Europe

Including programmes and instruments supporting:

- **economic, social and territorial cohesion (under shared management):**
 - **investments in innovation, digitalisation, reindustrialisation, SMEs, transport, climate change adaptation**
 - **employment, social affairs and social inclusion**
- **education and life-long learning**
- **culture, citizenship and communication**
- **health and food safety**
- **asylum, migration and integration, justice and consumers**
- **support to and coordination with national administrations**

Heading 3: Stronger responsibility in the world

Including programmes and instruments supporting:

- **international cooperation and development**
- **neighbourhood**
- **enlargement**
- **humanitarian aid**

- *trade*
- *contribution to EU trust funds and external relations facilities*

Heading 4: Security, peace and stability for all

Including programmes and instruments supporting:

- *security*
- *crisis response and stability*
- *common foreign and security policy*
- *defence*

Heading 5: An efficient administration at the service of Europeans

- *financing EU staff*
- *financing the buildings and equipment of EU institutions*

Or. en

Amendment 318

Gérard Deprez, Jean Arthuis, Urmas Paet, Louis Michel, Anneli Jäätteenmäki, Nils Torvalds, Pavel Telička, Jan Huitema, Gerben-Jan Gerbrandy, Nedzhmi Ali, Fredrick Federley

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 65

Motion for a resolution

65. Believes, therefore, that the current presentation of the headings requires some improvements, but is against any unjustified radical changes; ***proposes, as a result, the following*** structure for the MFF post-2020;

Heading 1: A stronger and sustainable economy

Including programmes and instruments supporting:

under direct management:

- *research and innovation*
- *industry, entrepreneurship and small and medium-sized enterprises*
- *large-infrastructure projects*

Amendment

65. Believes, therefore, that the current presentation of the headings requires some improvements, but is against any unjustified radical changes; ***calls on the Commission to propose a new*** structure for the MFF post-2020;

- *transport, digitalisation, energy*
- *environment and climate change adaptation*
- *agriculture and rural development*
- *maritime affairs and fisheries*
- *horizontal (financial) instruments*

*supporting investments in Europe
(possible umbrella financial instrument at EU level, incl. EFSI)*

Heading 2: Stronger cohesion and solidarity in Europe

Including programmes and instruments supporting:

- *economic, social and territorial cohesion (under shared management):*
 - *investments in innovation, digitalisation, reindustrialisation, SMEs, transport, climate change adaptation*
 - *employment, social affairs and social inclusion*
- *education and life-long learning*
- *culture, citizenship and communication*
- *health and food safety*
- *asylum, migration and integration, justice and consumers*
- *support to and coordination with national administrations*

Heading 3: Stronger responsibility in the world

Including programmes and instruments supporting:

- *international cooperation and development*
- *neighbourhood*
- *enlargement*
- *humanitarian aid*
- *trade*
- *contribution to EU trust funds and external relations facilities*

Heading 4: Security, peace and stability for all

Including programmes and instruments supporting:

- *security*

- *crisis response and stability*
- *common foreign and security policy*
- *defence*

Heading 5: An efficient administration at the service of Europeans

- *financing EU staff*
- *financing the buildings and equipment of EU institutions*

Or. en

Amendment 319

Bernd Kölmel

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 65

Motion for a resolution

65. Believes, therefore, that the current presentation of the headings requires *some* improvements, *but is against any unjustified radical changes*; proposes, as a result, the following structure for the MFF post-2020;

Heading 1: A stronger and sustainable economy

Including programmes and instruments supporting:

under direct management:

- *research and innovation*
- *industry, entrepreneurship and small and medium-sized enterprises*
- *large-infrastructure projects*
- *transport, digitalisation, energy*
- *environment and climate change adaptation*

- *agriculture and rural development*
- *maritime affairs and fisheries*
- *horizontal (financial) instruments*

supporting investments in Europe (possible umbrella financial instrument at EU level, incl. EFSI)

Heading 2: Stronger cohesion and solidarity in Europe

Including programmes and instruments

Amendment

65. Believes, therefore, that the current presentation of the headings requires improvements; proposes, as a result, the following structure for the MFF post-2020;

Heading 1: Security in Europe

Including programmes and instruments supporting:

- *asylum and migration*
- *security and counter-terrorism*
- *crisis response and stability*

Heading 2: A competitive and innovative Europe

Including programmes and instruments

supporting:

- *economic, social and territorial cohesion (under shared management):*
 - *investments in innovation, digitalisation, reindustrialisation, SMEs, transport, climate change adaptation*
 - *employment, social affairs and social inclusion*
- *education and life-long learning*
- *culture, citizenship and communication*
- *health and food safety*
- *asylum, migration and integration, justice and consumers*
- *support to and coordination with national administrations*

Heading 3: Stronger responsibility in the world

Including programmes and instruments supporting:

- *international cooperation and development*
- *neighbourhood*
- *enlargement*
- *humanitarian aid*
- *trade*
- *contribution to EU trust funds and external relations facilities*

Heading 4: Security, peace and stability for all

Including programmes and instruments supporting:

- *security*
- *crisis response and stability*
- *common foreign and security policy*
- *defence*

Heading 5: An efficient administration at the service of Europeans

- *financing EU staff*
- *financing the buildings and equipment of EU institutions*

supporting:

- *research and innovation*
- *industry, entrepreneurship and small and medium-sized enterprises*
- *large-infrastructure projects*
- *transport, digitalisation, energy*
- *trade*

Heading 3: Reform of the Eurozone for sustainability and economic growth

Including programmes and instruments supporting:

- *Eurozone stabilisation mechanism*
- *Programme to support Member States in a negotiated exit from the Euro*

Heading 4: A cohesive society and a strong rural landscape in Europe

Including programmes and instruments supporting:

- *economic, social and territorial cohesion*
- *agriculture and rural development*
- *maritime affairs and fisheries*

Heading 5: Global Europe

- *humanitarian aid*
- *international cooperation and development*
- *contribution to EU trust funds*

Heading 6: Administration

Amendment 320**Janusz Lewandowski****Motion for a resolution****Paragraph 65***Motion for a resolution*

65. Believes, therefore, that the current presentation of the headings requires some improvements, but is against any unjustified radical changes; proposes, as a result, the following structure for the MFF post-2020;

Heading 1: A stronger and sustainable economy***Including programmes and instruments supporting:******under direct management:***

- *research and innovation*
- *industry, entrepreneurship and small and medium-sized enterprises*
- *large-infrastructure projects*
- *transport, digitalisation, energy*
- *environment and climate change*

adaptation

- *agriculture and rural development*
- *maritime affairs and fisheries*
- *horizontal (financial) instruments*

supporting investments in Europe***(possible umbrella financial instrument at EU level, incl. EFSI)******Heading 2: Stronger cohesion and solidarity in Europe******Including programmes and instruments supporting:***

- *economic, social and territorial cohesion (under shared management):*
 - *investments in innovation, digitalisation, reindustrialisation, SMEs,*

Amendment

65. Believes, therefore, that the current presentation of the headings requires some improvements, but is against any unjustified radical changes; proposes, as a result, the following structure for the MFF post-2020;

Heading 1: Smart and Inclusive Growth

- a. ***Competitiveness for growth and jobs***
- b. ***Economic, social and territorial cohesion***

Heading 2: Sustainable Growth: Natural Resources

transport, climate change adaptation
▪ *employment, social affairs and social inclusion*
- *education and life-long learning*
- *culture, citizenship and communication*
- *health and food safety*
- *asylum, migration and integration, justice and consumers*
- *support to and coordination with national administrations*

Heading 3: Stronger responsibility in the world

Including programmes and instruments supporting:

- *international cooperation and development*
- *neighbourhood*
- *enlargement*
- *humanitarian aid*
- *trade*
- *contribution to EU trust funds and external relations facilities*

Heading 4: Security, peace and stability for all

Including programmes and instruments supporting:

- *security*
- *crisis response and stability*
- *common foreign and security policy*
- *defence*

Heading 5: An efficient administration at the service of Europeans

- *financing EU staff*
- *financing the buildings and equipment of EU institutions*

Heading 3: Security, citizenship and defence

Heading 4: Global Europe

Heading 5: Administration

Or. en

Amendment 321

José Manuel Fernandes, Marian-Jean Marinescu, Giovanni La Via

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 65

65. Believes, therefore, that the current presentation of the headings requires some improvements, but is against any unjustified radical changes; proposes, as a result, the following structure for the MFF post-2020;

Heading 1: A stronger and sustainable economy

Including programmes and instruments supporting:

under direct management:

- research and innovation
- industry, entrepreneurship and small and medium-sized enterprises

- large-infrastructure projects
- transport, **digitalisation**, energy
- environment and climate change adaptation
- **agriculture and rural development**
- **maritime affairs and fisheries**
- *horizontal (financial) instruments supporting investments in Europe (possible umbrella financial instrument at EU level, incl. EFSI)*

Heading 2: Stronger cohesion and solidarity in Europe

Including programmes and instruments supporting:

- economic, social and territorial cohesion (under shared management):
 - investments in innovation, digitalisation, reindustrialisation, SMEs, transport, climate change adaptation
 - employment, social affairs and social inclusion
- education and life-long learning
- culture, citizenship and communication
- health and food safety
- asylum, migration and integration, justice and consumers
- support to and coordination with national administrations

65. Believes, therefore, that the current presentation of the headings requires some improvements, but is against any unjustified radical changes; proposes, as a result, the following structure for the MFF post-2020;

Heading 1: A stronger, sustainable and digital economy

Including programmes and instruments supporting:

under direct management:

- research and innovation
- industry, entrepreneurship and small and medium-sized enterprises
- **digital transformation of economy and society**
- large-infrastructure projects
- transport, energy
- environment and climate change adaptation

- *horizontal (financial) instruments supporting investments in Europe (possible umbrella financial instrument at EU level, incl. EFSI)*

Heading 2: Stronger cohesion and solidarity in Europe

Including programmes and instruments supporting:

- economic, social and territorial cohesion (under shared management):
 - investments in innovation, digitalisation, reindustrialisation, SMEs, transport, climate change adaptation
 - employment, social affairs and social inclusion
- education and life-long learning
- culture, citizenship, **media** and communication
- health and food safety
- asylum, migration and integration, justice and consumers
- support to and coordination with national administrations

Heading 2 a (new): Stronger and

Heading 3: Stronger responsibility in the world

Including programmes and instruments supporting:

- international cooperation and development
- neighbourhood
- enlargement
- humanitarian aid
- trade
- *contribution to EU trust funds and external relations facilities*

Heading 4: Security, peace and stability for all

Including programmes and instruments supporting:

- security
- crisis response and stability
- common foreign and security policy
- defence

Heading 5: An efficient administration at the service of Europeans

- financing EU staff
- financing the buildings and equipment of EU institutions

sustainable agriculture and fisheries
Including programmes and instruments supporting:

- *agriculture and rural development*
- *maritime affairs and fisheries*

Heading 3: Stronger responsibility in the world

Including programmes and instruments supporting:

- international cooperation and development
- neighbourhood
- enlargement
- humanitarian aid
- trade
- *contribution to EU trust funds and external relations facilities*

Heading 4: Security, peace and stability for all

Including programmes and instruments supporting:

- security
- crisis response and stability, ***including the civil protection***
- common foreign and security policy
- defence ***research and innovation***

Heading 5: An efficient administration at the service of Europeans

- financing EU staff
- financing the buildings and equipment of EU institutions

Or. en

Amendment 322

Ivana Maletić

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 65

Motion for a resolution

65. Believes, therefore, that the current presentation of the headings requires some improvements, but is against any unjustified radical changes; proposes, as a

Amendment

65. Believes, therefore, that the current presentation of the headings requires some improvements, but is against any unjustified radical changes; proposes, as a

result, the following structure for the MFF post-2020;

Heading 1: A stronger and *sustainable economy*

Including programmes and instruments supporting:

under direct management:

- research and innovation
- industry, entrepreneurship and small and medium-sized enterprises
- large-infrastructure projects
- transport, digitalisation, energy
- *environment and climate change adaptation*
- *agriculture and rural development*
- *maritime affairs and fisheries*
- *horizontal (financial) instruments*

supporting investments in Europe

(possible umbrella financial instrument at EU level, incl. EFSI)

Heading 2: Stronger cohesion and solidarity in Europe

Including programmes and instruments supporting:

- economic, social and territorial cohesion (under shared management):
 - investments in innovation, digitalisation, reindustrialisation, SMEs, transport, climate change adaptation
 - employment, social affairs and social inclusion
- education and life-long learning
- culture, citizenship and communication
- health and food safety
- asylum, migration and integration, justice and consumers
- support to and coordination with national administrations

Heading 3: Stronger responsibility in the

result, the following structure for the MFF post-2020;

Heading 1: A stronger and *competitive economy*

Including programmes and instruments supporting:

under direct management:

- research and innovation
- industry, entrepreneurship and small and medium-sized enterprises
- large-infrastructure projects
- transport, digitalisation, energy

Heading 2: *Sustainable development, preservation and management of natural resources*

- *agriculture and rural development*
- *maritime affairs and fisheries*
- *environment and climate change adaptation*

Heading 3: Stronger cohesion and solidarity in Europe

Including programmes and instruments supporting:

- economic, social and territorial cohesion (under shared management):
 - investments in innovation, digitalisation, reindustrialisation, SMEs, transport, climate change adaptation
 - employment, social affairs and social inclusion
- education and life-long learning
- culture, citizenship and communication
- health and food safety
- asylum, migration and integration, justice and consumers
- support to and coordination with national administrations

Heading 4: Stronger responsibility in the

world
Including programmes and instruments
supporting:

- international cooperation and development
- neighbourhood
- enlargement
- humanitarian aid
- trade
- *contribution to EU trust funds and external relations facilities*

Heading 4: Security, peace and stability
for all

Including programmes and instruments
supporting:

- security
- crisis response and stability
- common foreign and security

policy

- defence

Heading 5: An efficient administration at
the service of Europeans

- financing EU staff
- financing the buildings and
equipment of EU institutions

world
Including programmes and instruments
supporting:

- international cooperation and development
- neighbourhood
- enlargement
- humanitarian aid
- trade
- *contribution to EU trust funds and external relations facilities*

Heading 5: Security, peace and stability
for all

Including programmes and instruments
supporting:

- security
- crisis response and stability
- common foreign and security

policy

- defence

Heading 6: An efficient administration at
the service of Europeans

- financing EU staff
- financing the buildings and
equipment of EU institutions

Or. en

Amendment 323

Jens Geier, Josef Weidenholzer, Miroslav Poche, Péter Niedermüller, Claude Moraes, Derek Vaughan, Clare Moody, Soraya Post, Eider Gardiazabal Rubial

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 65

Motion for a resolution

65. Believes, therefore, that the current presentation of the headings requires some improvements, but is against any unjustified radical changes; proposes, as a result, the following structure for the MFF post-2020;

Heading 1: A stronger and sustainable

Amendment

65. Believes, therefore, that the current presentation of the headings requires some improvements, but is against any unjustified radical changes; proposes, as a result, the following structure for the MFF post-2020;

Heading 1: A stronger and sustainable

economy

Including programmes and instruments supporting:

under direct management:

- research and innovation
- industry, entrepreneurship and small and medium-sized enterprises
- large-infrastructure projects
- transport, **digitalisation**, energy
- environment and climate change adaptation
- agriculture and rural development
- maritime affairs and fisheries
- *horizontal (financial) instruments supporting investments in Europe (possible umbrella financial instrument at EU level, incl. EFSI)*

Heading 2: Stronger cohesion and solidarity in Europe

Including programmes and instruments supporting:

- economic, social and territorial cohesion (under shared management):
 - investments in innovation, digitalisation, **reindustrialisation**, SMEs, transport, climate change adaptation
 - employment, social affairs and social inclusion
- education and life-long learning
- culture, citizenship and communication
- health and food safety
- asylum, migration and integration, justice and consumers

economy

Including programmes and instruments supporting:

under direct management:

- research and innovation
- **digital transformation of European society and economy**
- industry, entrepreneurship and small and medium-sized enterprises
- large-infrastructure projects
- transport, energy, **space**
- environment and climate change **mitigation and** adaptation
- agriculture and rural development
- maritime affairs and fisheries
- *horizontal (financial) instruments supporting investments in Europe (possible umbrella financial instrument at EU level, incl. EFSI)*

Heading 2: Stronger cohesion and solidarity in Europe

Including programmes and instruments supporting:

- economic, social and territorial cohesion (under shared management):
 - investments in innovation, **research**, digitalisation, **industrial transition**, SMEs, transport, climate change adaptation **and mitigation, energy and environment**
 - employment, **education**, social affairs and social inclusion, **capacity building**
- education, **youth** and life-long learning
- **democracy, rule of law, fundamental rights**
- culture, citizenship and communication
- health and food safety
- asylum, migration and integration, justice and consumers

- support to and coordination with national administrations

Heading 3: Stronger responsibility in the world

Including programmes and instruments supporting:

- international cooperation and development
- neighbourhood
- enlargement
- humanitarian aid
- trade

- *contribution to EU trust funds and external relations facilities*

Heading 4: Security, peace and stability for all

Including programmes and instruments supporting:

- security
- crisis response and stability
- common foreign and security policy
- defence

Heading 5: An efficient administration at the service of Europeans

- financing EU staff
- financing the buildings and equipment of EU institutions

- support to and coordination with national administrations

gender equality

Heading 3: Stronger responsibility in the world

Including programmes and instruments supporting:

- ***human rights, democracy and the rule of law***
- international cooperation and development
- neighbourhood
- enlargement
- humanitarian aid
- trade

- ***gender equality***
- *contribution to EU trust funds and external relations facilities*

Heading 4: Security, peace and stability for all

Including programmes and instruments supporting:

- security
- ***cybersecurity***
- crisis response and stability
- common foreign and security policy
- defence

Heading 5: An efficient administration at the service of Europeans

- financing EU staff
- financing the buildings and equipment of EU institutions

Or. en

Amendment 324

Marita Ulvskog

on behalf of the Committee on Employment and Social Affairs

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 65

Motion for a resolution

65. Believes, therefore, that the current presentation of the headings requires some improvements, but is against any unjustified radical changes; proposes, as a result, the following structure for the MFF post-2020;

Heading 1: A stronger and sustainable economy

Including programmes and instruments supporting:

under direct management:

- research and innovation
- industry, entrepreneurship and small and medium-sized enterprises
- large-infrastructure projects
- transport, digitalisation, energy
- environment and climate change adaptation

- agriculture and rural development
- maritime affairs and fisheries
- *horizontal (financial) instruments supporting investments in Europe (possible umbrella financial instrument at EU level, incl. EFSI)*

Heading 2: Stronger cohesion and solidarity in Europe

Including programmes and instruments supporting:

- economic, social and territorial cohesion (under shared management):
 - investments in innovation, digitalisation, reindustrialisation, SMEs, transport, climate change adaptation

 - employment, social affairs and social inclusion

Amendment

65. Believes, therefore, that the current presentation of the headings requires some improvements, but is against any unjustified radical changes; proposes, as a result, the following structure for the MFF post-2020;

Heading 1: A stronger and sustainable economy

Including programmes and instruments supporting:

under direct management:

- research and innovation
- industry, entrepreneurship and small and medium-sized enterprises
- large-infrastructure projects
- transport, digitalisation, energy
- environment and climate change adaptation

- agriculture and rural development
- maritime affairs and fisheries
- *horizontal (financial) instruments supporting investments in Europe (possible umbrella financial instrument at EU level, incl. EFSI)*

Heading 2: Stronger cohesion and solidarity in Europe

Including programmes and instruments supporting:

- economic, social and territorial cohesion (under shared management):
 - investments in innovation, digitalisation, reindustrialisation, SMEs, transport, climate change adaptation **and demographic challenges**

 - employment, social affairs and social inclusion, **reducing inequalities and combating poverty**

 - **matching skills and qualifications with labour market needs**

 - **reducing differences in**

- education and life-long learning
- culture, citizenship and communication
- health and food safety
- asylum, migration and integration, justice and consumers
- support to and coordination with national administrations

Heading 3: Stronger responsibility in the world

Including programmes and instruments supporting:

- international cooperation and development
- neighbourhood
- enlargement
- humanitarian aid
- trade
- *contribution to EU trust funds and external relations facilities*

Heading 4: Security, peace and stability for all

Including programmes and instruments supporting:

- security
- crisis response and stability
- common foreign and security policy
- defence

Heading 5: An efficient administration at the service of Europeans

- financing EU staff
- financing the buildings and equipment of EU institutions

employment performance between Member States and candidate countries;

- education, *with special emphasis on digital and entrepreneurial skills*, and life-long learning
- culture, citizenship and communication
- health and food safety
- asylum, migration and integration, justice and consumers
- support to and coordination with national administrations

Heading 3: Stronger responsibility in the world

Including programmes and instruments supporting:

- international cooperation and development
- neighbourhood
- enlargement
- humanitarian aid
- trade
- *contribution to EU trust funds and external relations facilities*

Heading 4: Security, peace and stability for all

Including programmes and instruments supporting:

- security
- crisis response and stability
- common foreign and security policy
- defence

Heading 5: An efficient administration at the service of Europeans

- financing EU staff
- financing the buildings and equipment of EU institutions

Or. en

Amendment 325
Tiemo Wölken

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 65

Motion for a resolution

65. Believes, therefore, that the current presentation of the headings requires some improvements, but is against any unjustified radical changes; proposes, as a result, the following structure for the MFF post-2020;

Heading 1: A stronger and sustainable economy

Including programmes and instruments supporting:

under direct management:

- research and innovation
- industry, entrepreneurship and small and medium-sized enterprises
- large-infrastructure projects
- transport, digitalisation, energy
- environment and climate change adaptation

- agriculture and rural development
- maritime affairs and fisheries
- *horizontal (financial) instruments supporting investments in Europe (possible umbrella financial instrument at EU level, incl. EFSI)*

Heading 2: Stronger cohesion and solidarity in Europe

Including programmes and instruments supporting:

- economic, social and territorial cohesion (under shared management):
 - investments in innovation, digitalisation, reindustrialisation, SMEs, transport, climate change adaptation
 - employment, social affairs and social inclusion

Amendment

65. Believes, therefore, that the current presentation of the headings requires some improvements, but is against any unjustified radical changes; proposes, as a result, the following structure for the MFF post-2020;

Heading 1: A stronger and sustainable economy

Including programmes and instruments supporting, *in line with the SDGs and the objectives of the Paris Agreement, promoting a sustainable economy and decarbonisation:*

under direct management:

- research and innovation
- industry, entrepreneurship and small and medium-sized enterprises
- large-infrastructure projects
- transport, digitalisation, energy
- environment, *including nature funding to halt biodiversity loss* and climate change adaptation

- agriculture and rural development
- maritime affairs and fisheries
- *horizontal (financial) instruments supporting investments in Europe (possible umbrella financial instrument at EU level, incl. EFSI)*

Heading 2: Stronger cohesion and solidarity in Europe

Including programmes and instruments supporting:

- economic, social and territorial cohesion (under shared management):
 - investments in innovation, digitalisation, reindustrialisation, SMEs, transport, climate change adaptation
 - employment, social affairs and social inclusion

- education and life-long learning
- culture, citizenship and communication
- health and food safety
- asylum, migration and integration, justice and consumers
- support to and coordination with national administrations

Heading 3: Stronger responsibility in the world

Including programmes and instruments supporting:

- international cooperation and development
- neighbourhood
- enlargement
- humanitarian aid
- trade
- *contribution to EU trust funds and external relations facilities*

Heading 4: Security, peace and stability for all

Including programmes and instruments supporting:

- security
- crisis response and stability
- common foreign and security policy
- defence

Heading 5: An efficient administration at the service of Europeans

- financing EU staff
- financing the buildings and equipment of EU institutions

- education and life-long learning
- culture, citizenship and communication
- health and food safety
- asylum, migration and integration, justice and consumers
- support to and coordination with national administrations

Heading 3: Stronger responsibility in the world

Including programmes and instruments supporting:

- international cooperation and development
- neighbourhood
- enlargement
- humanitarian aid
- trade
- *contribution to EU trust funds and external relations facilities*

Heading 4: Security, peace and stability for all

Including programmes and instruments supporting:

- security
- crisis response and stability
- common foreign and security policy
- defence

Heading 5: An efficient administration at the service of Europeans

- financing EU staff
- financing the buildings and equipment of EU institutions

Or. en

Amendment 326

Paul Rübzig

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 65

65. Believes, therefore, that the current presentation of the headings requires some improvements, but is against any unjustified radical changes; proposes, as a result, the following structure for the MFF post-2020;

Heading 1: A stronger and sustainable economy

Including programmes and instruments supporting:

under direct management:

- research and innovation
- industry, entrepreneurship and small and medium-sized enterprises
- large-infrastructure projects
- transport, digitalisation, energy
- environment and climate change

adaptation

- agriculture and rural development
- maritime affairs and fisheries
- *horizontal (financial) instruments supporting investments in Europe (possible umbrella financial instrument at EU level, incl. EFSI)*

Heading 2: Stronger cohesion and solidarity in Europe

Including programmes and instruments supporting:

- economic, social and territorial cohesion (under shared management):
 - investments in innovation, digitalisation, reindustrialisation, SMEs, transport, climate change adaptation
 - employment, social affairs and social inclusion
- education and life-long learning
- culture, citizenship and communication
- health and food safety
- asylum, migration and integration, justice and consumers
- support to and coordination with national administrations

Heading 3: Stronger responsibility in the world

Including programmes and instruments

65. Believes, therefore, that the current presentation of the headings requires some improvements, but is against any unjustified radical changes; proposes, as a result, the following structure for the MFF post-2020;

Heading 1: A stronger and sustainable economy

Including programmes and instruments supporting:

under direct management:

- research and innovation
- industry, entrepreneurship and small and medium-sized enterprises
- large-infrastructure projects
- transport, digitalisation, energy
- environment and climate change

adaptation

- agriculture and rural development
- maritime affairs and fisheries
- *horizontal (financial) instruments supporting investments in Europe (possible umbrella financial instrument at EU level, incl. EFSI)*

Heading 2: Stronger cohesion and solidarity in Europe

Including programmes and instruments supporting:

- economic, social and territorial cohesion (under shared management):
 - investments in innovation, digitalisation, reindustrialisation, SMEs, transport, climate change adaptation
 - employment, social affairs and social inclusion
- education and life-long learning
- culture, citizenship and communication
- health and food safety
- asylum, migration and integration, justice and consumers
- support to and coordination with national administrations

Heading 3: Stronger responsibility in the world

Including programmes and instruments

supporting:

- international cooperation and development
- neighbourhood
- enlargement
- humanitarian aid
- trade
- *contribution to EU trust funds and external relations facilities*

Heading 4: Security, peace and stability for all

Including programmes and instruments

supporting:

- security
- crisis response and stability
- common foreign and security

policy

- defence

Heading 5: An efficient administration at the service of Europeans

- financing EU staff
- financing the buildings and equipment of EU institutions

supporting:

- international cooperation and development
- neighbourhood
- enlargement
- humanitarian aid
- trade
- *contribution to EU trust funds and external relations facilities*

Heading 4: Security, peace and stability for all

Including programmes and instruments

supporting:

- security
- crisis response and stability
- common foreign and security

policy

- defence *research and innovation*

Heading 5: An efficient administration at the service of Europeans

- financing EU staff
- financing the buildings and equipment of EU institutions

Or. en

Amendment 327

Jordi Solé

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 66 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

66a. *Insists on the fact that the Paris Climate agreement should be the main axis of the post 2020 MFF; therefore considers that all policies and programs should be deeply reformed in order to make them the most COP21compatible in order to get at least 50% Climate-related spending in the post-2020 MFF;*

Amendment 328

Jordi Solé

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 66 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

66b. *Is of the opinion that in order to protect our Planet, to take care of the people and to invest in our future in the EU and for the World, nuclear-related, gas-related, road-related and defence-related expenditures should be excluded from any kind of direct or indirect support from the EU budget; consequently, the EU budget should no longer finance directly or indirectly fossil fuel infrastructures in EU and abroad;*

Or. en

Amendment 329

Liadh Ní Riada, Xabier Benito Ziluaga, Marie-Pierre Vieu, Younous Omarjee

on behalf of the GUE/NGL Group

Motion for a resolution

Subheading 16

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

A stronger and sustainable economy

A stronger, *more equitable*^{1a} and sustainable economy

^{1a} *In spite of the joint declaration attached to the 2014-2020 MFF on gender mainstreaming, there has been little progress in this field and no clear gender equality strategy with specific objectives, concrete targets and allocations, has emerged from the MFF 2014-2020. An*

iconic reference underlining the potential in which the overall financial framework of the Union may contribute to increase gender equality and ensure gender mainstreaming is of the utmost importance, in the context of this report.

Or. en

Amendment 330

José Manuel Fernandes, Marian-Jean Marinescu

Motion for a resolution

Subheading 16

Motion for a resolution

A stronger *and* sustainable economy

Amendment

A stronger, sustainable *and digital* economy

Or. en

Amendment 331

Ivana Maletić

Motion for a resolution

Subheading 16

Motion for a resolution

A stronger and *sustainable* economy

Amendment

A stronger and *competitive* economy

Or. en

Amendment 332

Jordi Solé

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Subheading 16

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

A stronger and sustainable economy

Protecting the Planet

Or. en

Amendment 333

Gérard Deprez, Jean Arthuis, Urmas Paet, Louis Michel, Nils Torvalds, Pavel Telička, Dominique Riquet, Jan Huitema, Gerben-Jan Gerbrandy, Nedzhmi Ali

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 67

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

67. Highlights the importance of completing the European research area, the energy union and the digital single market as fundamental elements of the European single market;

67. Highlights the importance of completing the European research area, the energy union, ***the Single European Transport Area*** and the digital single market as fundamental elements of the European single market ***and reiterates the need for appropriate funding of the Connecting Europe Facility as strategic tool to support the attainment of these objectives;***

Or. en

Amendment 334

Marco Valli

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 67

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

67. Highlights the importance of completing the European research area, ***the energy union and the digital single market*** as fundamental elements of the European single market;

67. Highlights the importance of completing ***the energy union, with a forward-looking climate-change policy, the digital single market*** and the European research area, as fundamental elements of the single market;

Or. it

Amendment 335

Jordi Solé

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 67

Motion for a resolution

67. Highlights the importance of completing the European research area, the energy union and the digital single market as fundamental elements of the European single market;

Amendment

67. Highlights^{1a} the importance of completing the European research area, the energy union and the digital single market as fundamental elements of the European single market;

^{1a} paragraph to be placed under the chapter "Investing in the future"

Or. en

Amendment 336

Marco Valli, David Borrelli, Dario Tamburrano

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 67 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

67a. Stresses the need for greater coherence between EU funding policies and its commitment under the Paris Agreement to direct all funding flows in line with long-term climate objectives; underlines in this context the capacity of the MFF to stimulate a rapid transition to a net-zero carbon economy in Europe by 2050; calls for fossil fuel support to be banned from 2020 and for at least half of MFF funding to be earmarked for climate-related expenditure; urges that a new instrument be proposed for the purpose of accelerating energy transition with support for local authorities, energy

*cooperatives, active energy consumers
and businesses;*

Or. it

Amendment 337

Nils Torvalds, Gerben-Jan Gerbrandy

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 68

Motion for a resolution

68. Believes that the next MFF should see a greater concentration of budgetary resources in areas that demonstrate a clear European added value and stimulate economic growth, competitiveness and employment; stresses, in this context, the importance of research and innovation in creating a sustainable, world-leading, knowledge-based economy, and regrets that, due to the lack of adequate financing, only a small proportion of high-quality projects in this field has received EU funding under the current MFF;

Amendment

68. Believes that the next MFF should see a greater concentration of budgetary resources in areas that demonstrate a clear European added value and stimulate *sustainable* economic growth, competitiveness and employment; stresses, in this context, the importance of research and innovation in creating a sustainable, world-leading, knowledge-based economy, and regrets that, due to the lack of adequate financing, only a small proportion of high-quality projects, *in particular for key issues such as resource efficiency, natural capital and ecosystem services, green jobs and financing, have* in this field has received EU funding under the current MFF;

Or. en

Amendment 338

Jordi Solé, Sven Giegold

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 68

Motion for a resolution

68. Believes *that* the next MFF should see a greater concentration of budgetary resources in areas that demonstrate a clear

Amendment

68. Believes^{1a} *that*^{2a} the next MFF should see a greater concentration of budgetary resources in areas that

European added value *and stimulate economic growth*, competitiveness *and employment*; stresses, in this context, the importance of research and innovation in creating a sustainable, world-leading, knowledge-based economy, and regrets that, due to the lack of adequate financing, only a small proportion of high-quality projects in this field has received EU funding under the current MFF;

demonstrate a clear European added value *make the European economy stronger and more resilient by promoting long-term* competitiveness, *sustainability and solidarity*; stresses, in this context, the importance of research and innovation in creating a sustainable, world-leading, knowledge-based economy, and regrets that, due to the lack of adequate financing, only a small proportion of high-quality projects in this field has received EU funding under the current MFF;

^{1a} paragraph to be placed under the chapter "Investing in the future"

^{2a} AM suggested by NABU - Naturschutzbund Deutschland e.V.

Or. en

Amendment 339

Marita Ulvskog

on behalf of the Committee on Employment and Social Affairs

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 68

Motion for a resolution

68. Believes that the next MFF should see a greater concentration of budgetary resources in areas that demonstrate a clear European added value and stimulate economic *growth*, competitiveness and employment; *stresses, in this context, the importance of research and innovation in creating a sustainable, world-leading, knowledge-based economy, and regrets that, due to the lack of adequate financing, only a small proportion of high-quality projects in this field has received EU funding under the current MFF;*

Amendment

68. Believes that the next MFF should see a greater concentration of budgetary resources in areas that demonstrate a clear European added value and stimulate economic *development and social inclusion*, competitiveness and employment;

Or. en

Amendment 340

Marco Valli

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 68

Motion for a resolution

68. Believes that the next MFF should see a greater concentration of budgetary resources in areas that demonstrate a clear European added value and stimulate ***economic growth***, competitiveness and employment; stresses, in this context, the importance of research and innovation in creating a sustainable, world-leading, knowledge-based economy, and regrets that, due to the lack of adequate financing, only a small proportion of high-quality projects in this field has received EU funding under the current MFF;

Amendment

68. Believes that the next MFF should see a greater concentration of budgetary resources in areas that demonstrate a clear European added value, ***help achieve climate and energy objectives*** and stimulate ***prosperity***, competitiveness and employment; stresses, in this context, the importance of research and innovation in creating a sustainable, world-leading, knowledge-based economy, and regrets that, due to the lack of adequate financing, only a small proportion of high-quality projects in this field has received EU funding under the current MFF;

Or. it

Amendment 341

Eider Gardiazabal Rubial, Alfred Sant

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 68

Motion for a resolution

68. Believes that the next MFF should see a greater concentration of budgetary resources in areas that demonstrate a clear European added value and stimulate economic growth, competitiveness and employment; stresses, in this context, the importance of research and innovation in creating a sustainable, world-leading, knowledge-based economy, and regrets that, due to the lack of adequate financing, only a small proportion of high-quality projects in this field has received EU

Amendment

68. Believes that the next MFF should see a greater concentration of budgetary resources in areas that demonstrate a clear European added value and ***as much as possible*** stimulate ***an even*** economic growth, competitiveness and employment ***across all the regions of the EU***; stresses, in this context, the importance of research and innovation in creating a sustainable, world-leading, knowledge-based economy, and regrets that, due to the lack of adequate financing, only a small proportion of high-

funding under the current MFF;

quality projects in this field has received EU funding under the current MFF;

Or. en

Amendment 342
Eider Gardiazabal Rubial

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 68

Motion for a resolution

68. Believes that the next MFF should see a greater concentration of budgetary resources in areas that demonstrate a clear European added value and stimulate economic growth, competitiveness and employment; stresses, in this context, the importance of research and innovation in creating a sustainable, world-leading, knowledge-based economy, and regrets that, due to the lack of adequate financing, only a small proportion of high-quality projects in this field has received EU funding under the current MFF;

Amendment

68. Believes that the next MFF should see a greater concentration of budgetary resources in areas ***to promote sustainable development and decent jobs*** that demonstrate a clear European added value and stimulate economic growth, competitiveness and employment; stresses, in this context, the importance of research and innovation in creating a sustainable, world-leading, knowledge-based economy, and regrets that, due to the lack of adequate financing, only a small proportion of high-quality projects in this field has received EU funding under the current MFF;

Or. en

Amendment 343
Younous Omarjee, Marie-Pierre Vieu

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 68

Motion for a resolution

68. Believes that the next MFF should see a greater concentration of budgetary resources in areas that demonstrate a clear ***European*** added value ***and stimulate economic growth, competitiveness and employment***; stresses, in this context, the importance of research and innovation in

Amendment

68. Believes that the next MFF should see a greater concentration of budgetary resources in areas that demonstrate a clear added value ***in order to make the European economy more virtuous by promoting solidarity and sustainability***; stresses, in this context, the importance of

creating a sustainable, world-leading, knowledge-based economy, and regrets that, due to the lack of adequate financing, only a small proportion of high-quality projects in this field has received EU funding under the current MFF;

research and innovation in creating a sustainable, world-leading, knowledge-based economy, and regrets that, due to the lack of adequate financing, only a small proportion of high-quality projects in this field has received EU funding under the current MFF;

Or. fr

Amendment 344

Liadh Ní Riada, Xabier Benito Ziluaga, Marie-Pierre Vieu
on behalf of the GUE/NGL Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 68

Motion for a resolution

68. **Believes that** the next MFF should see a greater concentration of budgetary resources in areas that demonstrate a clear European added value and stimulate economic growth, **competitiveness and** employment; stresses, in this context, the importance of research and innovation in creating a sustainable, world-leading, knowledge-based economy, and regrets that, due to the lack of adequate financing, only a small proportion of high-quality projects in this field has received EU funding under the current MFF;

Amendment

68. The next MFF should see a greater concentration of budgetary resources in areas that demonstrate a clear European added value and stimulate **convergent economic and sustainable green** growth, **quality and long-term** employment; stresses, in this context, the importance of research and innovation in creating a sustainable, world-leading, knowledge-based economy, and regrets that, due to the lack of adequate financing, only a small proportion of high-quality projects in this field has received EU funding under the current MFF;

Or. en

Amendment 345

Christofer Fjellner, Gunnar Hökmark

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 69

Motion for a resolution

69. Calls, therefore, for a substantial

Amendment

69. Calls, therefore, for a substantial

increase in the overall budget earmarked for the FP9 programme in the next MFF that should be set at a level of at least EUR **120** billion; considers this level to be appropriate for securing Europe's global competitiveness, scientific and industrial leadership, for responding to societal challenges, and for helping to achieve the EU's climate goals;

increase in the overall budget earmarked for the FP9 programme in the next MFF that should be set at a level of at least EUR **160** billion; considers this level to be appropriate for securing Europe's global competitiveness, scientific and industrial leadership, for responding to societal challenges, and for helping to achieve the EU's climate goals;

Or. sv

Amendment 346
Paul Rübzig, Christian Ehler

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 69

Motion for a resolution

69. Calls, therefore, for a substantial increase in the overall budget earmarked for the FP9 programme in the next MFF that should be *set at a level of* at least **EUR 120 billion**; considers this level to be appropriate for securing Europe's global competitiveness, scientific and industrial leadership, for responding to societal challenges, and for helping to achieve the EU's climate goals;

Amendment

69. Calls, therefore, for a substantial increase in the overall budget earmarked for the FP9 programme in the next MFF that should be **doubled** at least; considers this level to be appropriate for securing Europe's global competitiveness, scientific and industrial leadership, for responding to societal challenges, and for helping to achieve the EU's climate goals;

Or. de

Amendment 347
Marco Valli, David Borrelli, Dario Tamburrano

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 69

Motion for a resolution

69. Calls, therefore, for a substantial increase in the overall budget earmarked for the FP9 programme in the next MFF that should be set at a level of at least EUR

Amendment

69. Calls, therefore, for a substantial increase in the overall budget earmarked for the FP9 programme in the next MFF that should be set at a level of at least EUR

120 billion; considers this level to be appropriate for securing Europe's global competitiveness, scientific and industrial leadership, for responding to societal challenges, and for helping to achieve the EU's climate goals;

120 billion ***and not be cut or reduced in the course of its implementation***; considers this level to be appropriate for securing Europe's global competitiveness, scientific and industrial leadership, for responding to societal challenges, and for helping to achieve the EU's climate goals; ***reiterates in this context the need to maintain financial support (grants) for academic, collaborative and basic research in particular***;

Or. it

Amendment 348

Eider Gardiazabal Rubial, Elly Schlein, Arne Lietz, Doru-Claudian Frunzulică

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 69

Motion for a resolution

69. Calls, therefore, for a substantial increase in the overall budget earmarked for the FP9 programme in the next MFF that should be set at a level of at least EUR 120 billion; considers this level to be appropriate for securing Europe's global competitiveness, scientific and industrial leadership, for responding to societal challenges, and for helping to achieve the EU's climate goals;

Amendment

69. Calls, therefore, for a substantial increase in the overall budget earmarked for the FP9 programme in the next MFF that should be set at a level of at least EUR 120 billion; considers this level to be appropriate for securing Europe's global competitiveness, scientific and industrial leadership, for responding to societal challenges, and for helping to achieve the EU's climate goals ***and the Sustainable Development Goals***;

Or. en

Amendment 349

Jordi Solé

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 69

Motion for a resolution

69. Calls, therefore, for a substantial increase in the overall budget earmarked for the **FP9** programme in the next MFF that should be set at a level of at least EUR **120** billion; considers this level to be appropriate for securing Europe's global competitiveness, scientific and industrial leadership, for responding to societal challenges, and for helping to achieve the EU's climate goals;

Amendment

69. Calls^{1a}, therefore, for a substantial increase in the overall budget earmarked for the **Horizon 2030** programme in the next MFF that should be set at a level of at least EUR **105** billion; considers this level to be appropriate for securing Europe's global competitiveness, scientific and industrial leadership, for responding to societal challenges, and for helping to achieve the EU's climate goals;

1a paragraph to be placed under the chapter "Investing in the future"

Or. en

Amendment 350

José Manuel Fernandes, Marian-Jean Marinescu

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 69

Motion for a resolution

69. Calls, therefore, for a substantial increase in the overall budget earmarked for the FP9 programme in the next MFF that should be set at a level of at least EUR 120 billion; considers this level to be appropriate for securing Europe's global competitiveness, scientific and industrial leadership, for responding to societal challenges, and for helping to achieve the EU's climate goals;

Amendment

69. Calls, therefore, for a substantial increase in the overall budget earmarked for the FP9 programme in the next MFF that should be set at a level of at least EUR 120 billion; considers this level to be appropriate for securing Europe's global competitiveness, scientific, **technological** and industrial leadership, for responding to societal challenges, and for helping to achieve the EU's climate goals;

Or. en

Amendment 351

Liadh Ní Riada, Xabier Benito Ziluaga, Marie-Pierre Vieu, Younous Omarjee
on behalf of the GUE/NGL Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 69 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

69a. *Notes that the next MFF must support the EU and its member states in reaching their climate goals as laid out in the Paris Agreement; stresses that EU funds should support the renewable energy transition to lower emissions source; stresses the importance of guaranteeing biodiversity funding, through programmes such as the LIFE Programme and ensuring its continuation in the next MFF;*

Or. en

Amendment 352
Michał Boni, Paul Rübzig

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 69 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

69a. *Provide regulatory, non-regulatory framework and funding to harness the competitiveness and growth of the medical and digital health technologies European market by supporting the industry (large and small companies), to compete at international level;*

Or. en

Amendment 353
Janusz Lewandowski, Barbara Kudrycka

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 70

Motion for a resolution

70. Calls, furthermore, for a greater focus on implementing research and innovation through *joint undertakings* and for supporting investment in key technologies to close the investment gap in innovation; emphasises that the increase in funds must be coupled with a simplification of funding procedures; welcomes the Commission's efforts in this respect and insists that these should continue under the next programming period;

Amendment

70. Calls, furthermore, for a greater focus on implementing research and innovation through *diverse instruments* and for supporting investment in key technologies to close the investment gap in innovation; emphasises that the increase in funds must be coupled with a simplification of funding procedures; welcomes the Commission's efforts in this respect and insists that these should continue under the next programming period; ***notes the large geographic imbalances in the use of funds from the Framework Programme; calls for comprehensive budgetary solutions to ensure that all EU Member States and regions engage in research, development and innovation;***

Or. en

Amendment 354

José Manuel Fernandes, Marian-Jean Marinescu

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 70

Motion for a resolution

70. Calls, furthermore, for a greater focus on implementing research and innovation through joint undertakings and for supporting investment in key technologies to close the investment gap in innovation; emphasises that the increase in funds must be coupled with a simplification of funding procedures; welcomes the Commission's efforts in this respect and insists that these should continue under the next programming period;

Amendment

70. Calls, furthermore, for a greater focus on implementing research and innovation through joint undertakings and for supporting investment in key technologies to close the investment gap in innovation; emphasises that the increase in funds must be coupled with a simplification of funding procedures; welcomes the Commission's efforts in this respect and insists that these should continue under the next programming period ***in order to provide better access and a level playing field for the applicants from all Member States through a new system for evaluation of applications***

based on a proposal added value and possible results;

Or. en

Amendment 355

Eider Gardiazabal Rubial, Georgi Pirinski

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 70

Motion for a resolution

70. Calls, furthermore, for a greater focus on implementing research and innovation through joint undertakings and for supporting investment in key technologies to close the investment gap in innovation; emphasises that the increase in funds must be coupled with a simplification of funding procedures; welcomes the Commission's efforts in this respect and insists that these should continue under the next programming period;

Amendment

70. Calls, furthermore, for a greater focus on implementing research and innovation through joint undertakings and for supporting investment in key technologies to close the investment gap in innovation; emphasises that the increase in funds must be coupled with a simplification of funding procedures; welcomes the Commission's efforts in this respect and insists that these should continue under the next programming period; ***together with efforts to introduce mechanisms ensuring balanced participation of stakeholders from all Member States;***

Or. en

Amendment 356

Miroslav Poche, Pavel Poc

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 70

Motion for a resolution

70. Calls, furthermore, for a greater focus on implementing research and innovation through joint undertakings and for supporting investment in key technologies to close the investment gap in innovation; emphasises that the increase in

Amendment

70. Calls, furthermore, for a greater focus on implementing research and innovation through joint undertakings and for supporting investment in key technologies to close the investment gap in innovation ***and thus make Europe the***

funds must be coupled with a simplification of funding procedures; welcomes the Commission's efforts in this respect and insists that these should continue under the next programming period;

champion of major research, demonstration, and deployment; emphasises that the increase in funds must be coupled with a simplification of funding procedures ***and effective control mechanisms***; welcomes the Commission's efforts in this respect and insists that these should continue under the next programming period;

Or. en

Amendment 357

Marco Valli

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 70

Motion for a resolution

70. Calls, furthermore, for a greater focus on implementing research and innovation ***through joint undertakings*** and for supporting investment in key technologies to close the investment gap in innovation; emphasises that the increase in funds must be coupled with a simplification of funding procedures; ***welcomes the Commission's*** efforts in this respect and insists that these should continue under the next programming period;

Amendment

70. Calls, furthermore, for a greater focus on implementing research and innovation and for supporting investment in key technologies, ***especially enabling technologies***, to close the investment gap in innovation; emphasises that the increase in funds must be coupled with ***adequate support*** and a simplification of funding procedures; ***calls on the Commission to step up its*** efforts in this respect and insists that these should continue under the next programming period;

Or. it

Amendment 358

Jordi Solé

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 70

Motion for a resolution

70. Calls, furthermore, for a greater

Amendment

70. Calls^{1a}, furthermore, for a greater

focus on implementing research and innovation through joint undertakings and for supporting investment in key technologies to close the investment gap in innovation; emphasises that the increase in funds must be coupled with a simplification of funding procedures; welcomes the Commission's efforts in this respect and insists that these should continue under the next programming period;

focus on implementing research and innovation through joint undertakings and for supporting investment in key technologies to close the investment gap in innovation; emphasises that the increase in funds must be coupled with a simplification of funding procedures; welcomes the Commission's efforts in this respect and insists that these should continue under the next programming period;

1^a paragraph to be placed under the chapter "Investing in the future"

Or. en

Amendment 359
Jens Geier

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 70 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

70a. Welcomes the recent Commission proposal to ensure the financing of the Research Fund for Coal and Steel for the upcoming years; highlights the importance of this fund for financing research in this industry sector; believes, therefore, that a longer-term solution is needed that ensures the financing beyond 2020 and also incorporates the fund to the Union budget in order to allow for the Parliament to live up to its role as budgetary control authority;

Or. en

Amendment 360
Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Czesław Adam Siekierski, Stanisław Ożóg

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 70 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

70a. *Draws attention to the need to ensure geographical balance in the use of EU research and innovation funding by introducing national envelopes or additional project selection criteria that ensure a fair distribution of support among Member States;*

Or. pl

Amendment 361
Michal Boni, Paul Rübig

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 70 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

70a. *Ensures coherence between the EU' industrial and digital agenda as industry 4.0, the 'industrial internet', it is essential to re-launching industrial investment and it is equally appropriate to create a win-win situation with environmental policy objectives;*

Or. en

Amendment 362
Liadh Ní Riada, Xabier Benito Ziluaga, Marie-Pierre Vieu, Younous Omarjee
on behalf of the GUE/NGL Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 70 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

70a. *Insists on the Union's commitment to peace and calls upon the Member States to agree upon a ban on the use of*

the EU budget to finance or guarantee any dual technological development projects with military applicability;

Or. en

Amendment 363
Michał Boni, Paul Rübzig

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 70 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

70b. Highlights the need to focus R&D financing instruments on connected care to industrialise care through standards and evidence-based practices, while enabling precision medicine and personalised treatment, and technologies to support definitive diagnosis, preventions, biomedical modelling and treatment optimisation;

Or. en

Amendment 364
Marco Valli, David Borrelli, Dario Tamburrano

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 71

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

71. Stresses that SMEs are key drivers of **economic** growth, innovation and employment and recognises their important role in ensuring the recovery and boosting of a sustainable EU economy; recalls that there are more than 20 million SMEs in the EU and that they account for 99 % of all businesses; considers that improving access to finance for SMEs should continue to remain an important policy objective for the next MFF and calls,

71. Stresses that SMEs are key drivers of **sustainable** growth, innovation and employment and recognises their important role in ensuring the recovery and boosting of a sustainable EU economy; recalls that there are more than 20 million SMEs in the EU and that they account for 99 % of all businesses; considers that improving **and simplifying** access to finance for SMEs, **focusing on micro-enterprises in particular**, should continue to remain an

therefore, for a doubling of the COSME programme's financial envelope in order for it to correspond to the actual needs of the EU economy and the significant demand for participation;

important policy objective for the next MFF and calls, therefore, for a doubling *at least* of the COSME programme's financial envelope in order for it to correspond to the actual needs of the EU economy and the significant demand for participation;

Or. it

Amendment 365

Eider Gardiazabal Rubial, Ramón Jáuregui Atondo

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 71

Motion for a resolution

71. Stresses that SMEs are key drivers of economic growth, innovation and employment and recognises their important role in ensuring the recovery and boosting of a sustainable EU economy; recalls that there are more than 20 million SMEs in the EU and that they account for 99 % of all businesses; considers that improving access to finance for SMEs should continue to remain an important policy objective for the next MFF and calls, therefore, for a doubling of the COSME programme's financial envelope in order for it to correspond to the actual needs of the EU economy and the significant demand for participation;

Amendment

71. Stresses that SMEs *and micro-enterprises, including social economy enterprises*, are key drivers of economic growth, innovation and employment and recognises their important role in ensuring the recovery and boosting of a sustainable EU economy; recalls that there are more than 20 million SMEs in the EU and that they account for 99 % of all businesses; considers that improving access to finance for SMEs should continue to remain an important policy objective for the next MFF and calls, therefore, for a doubling of the COSME programme's financial envelope in order for it to correspond to the actual needs of the EU economy and the significant demand for participation;

Or. en

Amendment 366

Jordi Solé

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 71

Motion for a resolution

71. Stresses that SMEs are key drivers of economic growth, innovation and employment and recognises their important role in ensuring the recovery and boosting of a sustainable EU economy; recalls that there are more than 20 million SMEs in the EU and that they account for 99 % of all businesses; considers that improving access to finance for SMEs should continue to remain an important policy objective for the next MFF and calls, therefore, for a doubling of the COSME programme's financial envelope in order for it to correspond to the actual needs of the EU economy and the significant demand for participation;

Amendment

71. Stresses^{1a} that SMEs, **including cooperatives**, are key drivers of economic growth, innovation and employment and recognises their important role in ensuring the recovery and boosting of a sustainable EU economy; recalls that there are more than 20 million SMEs in the EU and that they account for 99 % of all businesses; considers that improving access to finance for SMEs should continue to remain an important policy objective for the next MFF and calls, therefore, for a doubling of the COSME programme's financial envelope in order for it to correspond to the actual needs of the EU economy and the significant demand for participation;

1a paragraph to be placed under the chapter "Investing in the future"

Or. en

Amendment 367
Inese Vaidere

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 71

Motion for a resolution

71. Stresses that SMEs are key drivers of economic growth, innovation and employment and recognises their important role in ensuring the recovery and boosting of a sustainable EU economy; recalls that there are more than 20 million SMEs in the EU and that they account for 99 % of all businesses; considers that improving access to finance for SMEs should continue to remain an important policy objective for the next MFF and calls, therefore, for a doubling of the COSME programme's financial envelope in order

Amendment

71. Stresses that SMEs are key drivers of economic growth, innovation and employment and recognises their important role in ensuring the recovery and boosting of a sustainable EU economy; recalls that there are more than 20 million SMEs in the EU and that they account for 99 % of all businesses; considers that improving access to finance for SMEs, **across all member states**, should continue to remain an important policy objective for the next MFF and calls, therefore, for a doubling of the COSME programme's financial

for it to correspond to the actual needs of the EU economy and the significant demand for participation;

envelope in order for it to correspond to the actual needs of the EU economy and the significant demand for participation;

Or. en

Amendment 368

José Manuel Fernandes

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 71

Motion for a resolution

71. Stresses that SMEs are key drivers of economic growth, innovation and employment and recognises their important role in ensuring the recovery and boosting of a sustainable EU economy; recalls that there are more than 20 million SMEs in the EU and that they account for 99 % of all businesses; considers that improving access to finance for SMEs should continue to remain an important policy objective for the next MFF **and calls, therefore, for a doubling of the COSME programme's financial envelope in order for it to correspond to the actual needs of the EU economy and the significant demand for participation;**

Amendment

71. Stresses that SMEs are key drivers of economic growth, innovation and employment and recognises their important role in ensuring the recovery and boosting of a sustainable EU economy; recalls that there are more than 20 million SMEs in the EU and that they account for 99 % of all businesses; considers that improving access to finance for SMEs should continue to remain an important policy objective for the next MFF;

Or. en

Amendment 369

José Manuel Fernandes

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 71 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

71a. Welcomes the success of the dedicated EU programme for the competitiveness of enterprises and small and medium-sized enterprises (COSME)

under the current MFF; notes that the Parliament has consistently fought for a further increase of the allocation earmarked for this programme in the context of the annual budgetary procedure; notes with pleasure the high level of implementation of this programme pointing to its capacity to absorb even more; calls, therefore, for a doubling of the COSME programme's financial envelope in order for it to correspond to the actual needs of the EU economy and the significant demand for participation;

Or. en

Amendment 370

Marco Zanni, André Elissen, Stanislaw Żółtek

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 72

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

72. Reiterates its strong commitment to EFSI that aims at mobilising EUR 500 billion in new investment in the real economy under the current MFF; believes that EFSI has already delivered a powerful and targeted boost to economic sectors that are conducive to sustainable growth and jobs; welcomes, therefore, the Commission's intention to put forward a legislative proposal for the continuation and improvement of this investment scheme under the new MFF; stresses that any legislative proposal should be based on the conclusions of a Commission review and independent evaluation;

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 371

Bernd Kölmel

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 72

Motion for a resolution

72. Reiterates its strong commitment to EFSI that aims at mobilising EUR 500 billion in new investment in the real economy under the current MFF; believes that EFSI has already delivered a powerful and targeted boost to economic sectors that are conducive to sustainable growth and jobs; welcomes, therefore, the Commission's intention to put forward a legislative proposal for the continuation and improvement of this investment scheme under the new MFF; stresses that any legislative proposal should be based on the conclusions of a Commission review and independent evaluation;

Amendment

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 372
Tamás Deutsch

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 72

Motion for a resolution

72. Reiterates its strong commitment to EFSI that aims at mobilising EUR 500 billion in new investment in the real economy under the current MFF; believes that EFSI has already delivered a powerful and targeted boost to economic sectors that are conducive to sustainable growth and jobs; welcomes, therefore, the Commission's intention to put forward a legislative proposal for the continuation and improvement of this investment scheme under the new MFF; stresses that any legislative proposal should be based on the conclusions of a Commission review and independent evaluation;

Amendment

72. Reiterates its strong commitment to EFSI that aims at mobilising EUR 500 billion in new investment in the real economy under the current MFF; believes that EFSI has already delivered a powerful and targeted boost to economic sectors that are conducive to sustainable growth and jobs; welcomes, therefore, the Commission's intention to put forward a legislative proposal for the continuation and improvement of this investment scheme under the new MFF; stresses that any legislative proposal should be based on the conclusions of a Commission review and independent evaluation; **highlights**

that any legislative proposal should improve significantly the geographical coverage of the EFSI; recalls that the EFSI should remain an additional tool for boosting investments as cohesion policy should remain the investment policy of the European Union;

Or. en

Amendment 373

Inese Vaidere

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 72

Motion for a resolution

72. Reiterates its strong commitment to EFSI that aims at mobilising EUR 500 billion in new investment in the real economy under the current MFF; believes that EFSI has already delivered a powerful and targeted boost to economic sectors that are conducive to sustainable growth and jobs; welcomes, therefore, the Commission's intention to put forward a legislative proposal for the continuation and improvement of this investment scheme under the new MFF; stresses that any legislative proposal should be based on the conclusions of a Commission review and independent evaluation;

Amendment

72. Reiterates its strong commitment to EFSI that aims at mobilising EUR 500 billion in new investment in the real economy under the current MFF; believes that EFSI has already delivered a powerful and targeted boost to economic sectors that are conducive to sustainable growth and jobs; ***yet, this economic boost has been mainly selective to the EU 15 Member States, thus equal access to the investment opportunities across all Member States must be ensured***; welcomes, therefore, the Commission's intention to put forward a legislative proposal for the continuation and improvement of this investment scheme under the new MFF; stresses that any legislative proposal should be based on the conclusions of a Commission review and independent evaluation;

Or. en

Amendment 374

Jordi Solé

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 72

Motion for a resolution

72. Reiterates its strong commitment to EFSI that aims at mobilising EUR 500 billion in new investment in the real economy under the current MFF; believes that EFSI has already delivered a powerful and targeted boost to economic sectors that are conducive to sustainable growth and jobs; welcomes, therefore, the Commission's intention to put forward a legislative proposal for the continuation and improvement of this investment scheme under the new MFF; stresses that any legislative proposal should be based on the conclusions of a Commission review and independent evaluation;

Amendment

72. Reiterates^{1a} its strong commitment to EFSI that aims at mobilising EUR 500 billion in new investment in the real economy under the current MFF; believes that EFSI has already delivered a powerful and targeted boost to economic sectors that are conducive to sustainable growth and jobs; welcomes, therefore, the Commission's intention to put forward a legislative proposal for the continuation and improvement of this investment scheme under the new MFF; stresses that any legislative proposal should be based on the conclusions of a Commission review and independent evaluation ***and that all spending at odds with the EU's domestic and international commitments should be excluded from eligibility***;

^{1a} paragraph to be placed under the chapter "Investing in the future"

Or. en

Amendment 375
Alfred Sant

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 72

Motion for a resolution

72. Reiterates its strong commitment to EFSI that aims at mobilising EUR 500 billion in new investment in the real economy under the current MFF; believes that EFSI has already delivered a powerful and targeted boost to economic sectors that are conducive to sustainable growth and jobs; welcomes, therefore, the Commission's intention to put forward a

Amendment

72. Reiterates its strong commitment to EFSI that aims at mobilising EUR 500 billion in new investment in the real economy under the current MFF; believes that EFSI has already delivered a powerful and targeted boost to economic sectors that are conducive to sustainable growth and jobs ***and that more can be done so that its benefits are felt in all the regions of the***

legislative proposal for the continuation and improvement of this investment scheme under the new MFF; stresses that any legislative proposal should be based on the conclusions of a Commission review and independent evaluation;

EU; welcomes, therefore, the Commission's intention to put forward a legislative proposal for the continuation and improvement of this investment scheme under the new MFF; stresses that any legislative proposal should be based on the conclusions of a Commission review and independent evaluation;

Or. en

Amendment 376

Eider Gardiazabal Rubial, Georgi Pirinski

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 72

Motion for a resolution

72. Reiterates its strong commitment to EFSI that aims at mobilising EUR 500 billion in new investment in the real economy under the current MFF; ***believes that EFSI has already delivered a powerful and targeted boost to economic sectors that are conducive to sustainable growth and jobs***; ***welcomes***, therefore, the ***Commission's intention to put*** forward a legislative proposal for the continuation ***and improvement*** of this investment scheme under the new MFF; stresses that any legislative proposal should be based on the conclusions of a Commission review and independent evaluation;

Amendment

72. Reiterates its strong commitment to EFSI that aims at mobilising EUR 500 billion in new investment in the real economy under the current MFF; ***invites***, therefore, the ***Commission to overcome the shortcomings of EFSI implementation in its first stage and to improve it when putting*** forward a legislative proposal for the continuation of this investment scheme under the new MFF ***in order to boost its role as an important instrument for decreasing structural inequalities and enhancing convergence and cohesion***; stresses that any legislative proposal should be based on the conclusions of a Commission review and independent evaluation;

Or. en

Amendment 377

Eider Gardiazabal Rubial, Josef Weidenholzer

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 72 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

72a. *Is of the view that the next MFF should better reflect the political priority given to the digital transformation of the European society and economy; calls for investment in essential technologies and infrastructures, in innovation and research as well as in digital skills with a view to maintain and further enhance EU's competitiveness and to improve the quality of life of our citizens; believes that more support should be directed to cross-border initiatives of critical importance for Europe such as cybersecurity capacity, development and access to Artificial Intelligence, Robotics and High Performance Computing technologies;*

Or. en

Amendment 378

Jordi Solé

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 72 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

72a. *Is^{1a} of the opinion that the CEF 2030 should become the main EU investment tool directly managed by the European Commission and should focus on cross-border connections for sustainable modes of transports, including renovation, missing links, intermodality and modernisation of infrastructures related to the new digitalisation and decarbonisation solutions, on electricity infrastructure, renewables and the smart grids in order to foster the energy transition, and on the high-speed broadband in the ICT sector;*

1a Paragraph to be placed under the chapter "investing in the future"

Or. en

Amendment 379
Younous Omarjee

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 72 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

72a. Considers it necessary to ensure that EFSI's place in the budget and in the political priorities of the Union does not undermine the pursuit of European cohesion policy objectives; takes the view that the activities financed by EFSI must be dependent on the objective of reducing disparities of wealth in Europe and the objectives of social cohesion and sustainability;

Or. fr

Amendment 380
José Manuel Fernandes

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 72 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

72a. Underlines, moreover, the positive impact that EFSI has on providing finance to SMEs, reaching currently more than 500 000 SMEs and mid-cap companies across the Union; stresses that, due to a very strong uptake reflecting the high market demand, the SME Window was further reinforced already through the first stage of implementation of the programme;

Amendment 381

José Manuel Fernandes, Marian-Jean Marinescu

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 72 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

72b. Stresses the need for the next MFF to better reflect the policy priority given to the digital transformation of the European economy and society and the need to invest in essential technologies and infrastructures and in digital skills to maintain and enhance our competitiveness, our quality of life and social fabric; believes that more support should be directed to cross border initiatives of critical importance for Europe, in particular in the area of development and access to latest technologies from Artificial Intelligence to High Performance Computing, and digital skills, while maintaining a strong support for research and innovation in digital technologies as part of the Research Framework Programme.;

Or. en

Amendment 382

Inese Vaidere

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 73

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

73. Insists on the importance of the MFF for sectors relying on long-term investment, such as the transport sector; highlights that transport infrastructures are the backbone of the single market and the

73. Insists on the importance of the MFF for sectors relying on long-term investment, such as the transport sector; highlights that transport infrastructures are the backbone of the single market and the

basis for sustainable growth and job creation; notes that accomplishing a single European transport area connected to neighbouring countries requires major transport infrastructure and must be treated as a key priority in terms of the EU's competitiveness and for economic, social and territorial cohesion, including for peripheral areas; considers, therefore, that the next MFF should provide for sufficient funding for projects that contribute in particular to the completion of the TEN-T core network and its corridors, which should be further extended; stresses that an updated and more effective CEF programme should cover all modes of transport and focus on interconnections and the completion of the network in peripheral areas while using common standards;

basis for sustainable growth and job creation, ***and stimulates the closure of the cohesion gap***; notes that accomplishing a single European transport area connected to neighbouring countries requires major transport infrastructure and must be treated as a key priority in terms of the EU's competitiveness and for economic, social and territorial cohesion, including for peripheral areas; considers, therefore, that the next MFF should provide for sufficient funding for projects that contribute in particular to the completion of the TEN-T core network and its corridors, which should be further extended; ***for economic, social and territorial cohesion it is important to ensure that regional development centres are connected to the TEN-T network, otherwise they cannot benefit from access to single market***; stresses that an updated and more effective CEF programme should cover all modes of transport and focus on interconnections and the completion of the network in peripheral areas while using common standards; ***especially focusing on large infrastructure cross-border projects under CEF programme, for example, Rail Baltic which will further diminish the cohesion gap, connect Europe's north and south and lessen dependency on Russia***;

Or. en

Amendment 383
Marco Valli

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 73

Motion for a resolution

73. Insists on the importance of the MFF for sectors relying on long-term investment, such as the transport sector; highlights that transport infrastructures are ***the backbone*** of the single market and the

Amendment

73. Insists on the importance of the MFF for sectors relying on long-term investment, such as the transport sector; highlights that transport infrastructures are ***a cornerstone*** of the single market and the

basis for sustainable growth and job creation; notes that accomplishing a single European transport area connected to neighbouring countries requires major transport infrastructure and must be treated as a key priority in terms of the EU's competitiveness and for economic, social and territorial cohesion, including for peripheral areas; considers, therefore, that the next MFF should provide for sufficient funding for projects that contribute in particular to the completion of the TEN-T core network and its corridors, **which should be further extended**; stresses that an updated and more effective CEF programme should cover all modes of transport and focus on interconnections and the completion of the network in peripheral areas while using common standards;

basis for sustainable growth and job creation; notes that accomplishing a single European transport area connected to neighbouring countries requires major transport infrastructure and must be treated as a key priority in terms of the EU's competitiveness and for economic, social and territorial cohesion, including for peripheral areas; considers, therefore, that the next MFF should provide for sufficient funding for projects that contribute in particular to the completion of the TEN-T core network and its corridors; **calls on the Commission accordingly to review the funding of financially questionable projects that are also harmful terms of public health, such as the Turin-Lyon railway**; stresses that an updated and more effective CEF programme should cover all modes of transport and focus on interconnections and the completion of the network in peripheral areas while using common standards; **underlines the need to prioritise projects that promote the decarbonisation and digitisation of transport systems**;

Or. it

Amendment 384

Jordi Solé, Sven Giegold, Heidi Hautala
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution **Paragraph 73**

Motion for a resolution

73. Insists **on** the importance of the MFF for sectors relying on long-term investment, such as the transport sector; highlights that transport infrastructures are the backbone of the single market and the basis for sustainable **growth** and job creation; notes that accomplishing a single European transport area connected to neighbouring countries requires major

Amendment

73. Insists^{1a} **on**^{2a} the importance of the MFF for sectors relying on long-term investment, such as the **sustainable** transport sector; highlights that transport infrastructures are the backbone of the single market and the basis for sustainable **development** and job creation; notes that accomplishing a single European transport area connected to neighbouring countries

transport infrastructure and must be treated as a key priority in terms of the EU's competitiveness and for economic, social and territorial cohesion, including for peripheral areas; considers, therefore, that the next MFF should provide for sufficient funding for projects that contribute in particular to the completion of the TEN-T core network and its corridors, which should be further extended; stresses that an updated and more effective CEF programme should cover *all* modes of transport and focus on interconnections and the completion of the network in peripheral areas while using common standards;

requires major transport infrastructure and must be treated as a key priority in terms of the EU's competitiveness and for economic, social and territorial cohesion, including for peripheral areas; considers, therefore, that the next MFF should provide for sufficient funding for projects that contribute in particular to the completion of the TEN-T core network and its corridors, which should be further extended; stresses that an updated and more effective CEF programme should cover modes of transport *in line with the EU's climate and energy commitments* and focus on interconnections and the completion of the network in peripheral areas while using common standards; *to further connectivity and restoration of habitats, the trans-European Network for Green Infrastructure (TEN-G) should be established and financed inter alia through CEF;*

1^a paragraph to be placed under the chapter "Investing in the future"

2^a AM suggested by NABU - Naturschutzbund Deutschland e.V.

Or. en

Amendment 385

Eider Gardiazabal Rubial, Georgi Pirinski, Alfred Sant

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 73

Motion for a resolution

73. Insists on the importance of the MFF for sectors relying on long-term investment, such as the transport sector; highlights that transport infrastructures are the backbone of the single market and the basis for sustainable growth and job creation; notes that accomplishing a single European transport area connected to

Amendment

73. Insists on the importance of the MFF for sectors relying on long-term investment, such as the transport sector; highlights that *the* transport infrastructures are the backbone of the single market and the basis for sustainable growth and job creation; notes that accomplishing a single European transport area connected to

neighbouring countries requires major transport infrastructure and must be treated as a key priority *in terms of the* EU's competitiveness and for economic, social and territorial cohesion, including for peripheral areas; considers, therefore, that the next MFF should provide for sufficient funding for projects that contribute in particular to the completion of the TEN-T core network and its corridors, which should be further extended; stresses that an updated and more effective CEF programme should cover all modes of transport and focus on interconnections and the completion of the network in peripheral areas while using common standards;

neighbouring countries requires major transport infrastructure *especially in peripheral and less developed regions* and must be treated as a key priority *for* EU's competitiveness and for economic, social and territorial cohesion, including for peripheral *and insular* areas; considers therefore that the next MFF should provide for sufficient funding for projects that contribute in particular to the completion of the TEN-T core network and its corridors, which should be further extended; *recognizes the importance of the Cohesion Fund in this regard and insists on its continuation in the new MFF*; stresses that an updated and more effective CEF programme should cover all modes of transport and focus on interconnections and the completion of the network in peripheral areas while using common standards;

Or. en

Amendment 386

Anneli Jäätteenmäki, Gesine Meissner, Urmas Paet, Nedzhmi Ali, Jean Arthuis, Fredrick Federley, Nils Torvalds

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 73

Motion for a resolution

73. Insists on the importance of the MFF for sectors relying on long-term investment, such as the transport sector; highlights that transport infrastructures are the backbone of the single market and the basis for sustainable growth and job creation; notes that accomplishing a single European transport area connected to neighbouring countries requires major transport infrastructure and must be treated as a key priority in terms of the EU's competitiveness and for economic, social and territorial cohesion, including for peripheral areas; considers, therefore, that

Amendment

73. Insists on the importance of the MFF for sectors relying on long-term investment, such as the transport sector; highlights that transport infrastructures are the backbone of the single market and the basis for sustainable growth and job creation; notes that accomplishing a single European transport area connected to neighbouring countries requires major transport infrastructure and must be treated as a key priority in terms of the EU's competitiveness and for economic, social and territorial cohesion, including for peripheral areas; considers, therefore, that

the next MFF should provide for sufficient funding for projects that contribute in particular to the completion of the TEN-T core network and its corridors, which should be further extended; stresses that an updated and more effective CEF programme should cover all modes of transport and focus on interconnections and the completion of the network in peripheral areas while using common standards;

the next MFF should provide for sufficient funding for projects that contribute in particular to the completion of the TEN-T core network and its corridors, which should be further extended; stresses that an updated and more effective CEF programme should cover all modes of transport, *in particular railway and other modes of transport, which contribute to reducing CO₂ emissions*, and focus on interconnections and the completion of the network in peripheral areas while using common standards;

Or. en

Amendment 387 **Tiemo Wölken**

Motion for a resolution **Paragraph 73**

Motion for a resolution

73. Insists on the importance of the MFF for sectors relying on long-term investment, such as the transport sector; highlights that transport infrastructures are the backbone of the single market and the basis for sustainable growth and job creation; notes that accomplishing a single European transport area connected to neighbouring countries requires major transport infrastructure and must be treated as a key priority in terms of the EU's competitiveness and for economic, social and territorial cohesion, including for peripheral areas; considers, therefore, that the next MFF should provide for sufficient funding for projects that contribute in particular to the completion of the TEN-T core network and its corridors, which should be further extended; stresses that an updated and more effective CEF programme should cover all modes of transport and focus on interconnections and the completion of the network in peripheral

Amendment

73. Insists on the importance of the MFF for sectors relying on long-term investment, such as the transport sector; highlights that transport infrastructures are the backbone of the single market and *that sustainable infrastructure is* the basis for sustainable growth and job creation; notes that accomplishing a single European transport area connected to neighbouring countries requires major transport infrastructure and must be treated as a key priority in terms of the EU's competitiveness and for economic, social and territorial cohesion, including for peripheral areas; considers, therefore, that the next MFF should provide for sufficient funding for projects that contribute in particular to the completion of the TEN-T core network and its corridors, which should be further extended; stresses that an updated and more effective CEF programme should cover all modes of transport and focus on interconnections and

areas while using common standards;

the completion of the network in peripheral areas while using common standards;

Or. en

Amendment 388

José Manuel Fernandes, Marian-Jean Marinescu

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 73

Motion for a resolution

73. Insists on the importance of the MFF for sectors relying on long-term investment, such as the transport sector; highlights that transport infrastructures are the backbone of the single market and the basis for sustainable growth and job creation; notes that accomplishing a single European transport area connected to neighbouring countries requires major transport infrastructure and must be treated as a key priority in terms of the EU's competitiveness and for economic, social and territorial cohesion, including for peripheral areas; considers, therefore, that the next MFF should provide for sufficient funding for projects that contribute in particular to the completion of the TEN-T core network and its corridors, which should be further extended; ***stresses that an updated and more effective CEF programme should cover all modes of transport and focus on interconnections and the completion of the network in peripheral areas while using common standards;***

Amendment

73. Insists on the importance of the MFF for sectors relying on long-term investment, such as the transport sector; highlights that transport infrastructures are the backbone of the single market and the basis for sustainable growth and job creation; notes that accomplishing a single European transport area connected to neighbouring countries requires major transport infrastructure and must be treated as a key priority in terms of the EU's competitiveness and for economic, social and territorial cohesion, including for peripheral areas; considers, therefore, that the next MFF should provide for sufficient funding for projects that contribute in particular to the completion of the TEN-T core network and its corridors, which should be further extended;

Or. en

Amendment 389

José Manuel Fernandes, Marian-Jean Marinescu

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 73 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

73a. *Stresses that an updated and more effective CEF programme should cover all modes of transport and focus on interconnections and the completion of the network in peripheral areas while using common standards; CEF should promote greater links between comprehensive networks horizontal priorities such as Motorways of the Sea; stresses that Commission coordination should aim to incentivise the participation of investors and that the deployment of the ERTMS should maximise the benefits in terms of interoperability; considers that a specific budget line for tourism should be created in order to move towards a genuine European tourism policy; calls for the establishment of a top-down approach in order to ensure efficient implementation of the projects regarding the navigability on inland waterways; calls for financing, as part of the SESAR programme of research for the completion of the European Digital ATM;*

Or. en

Amendment 390
Michal Boni, Paul Rübzig

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 73 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

73a. *Calls on the European Commission to urgently promote investment in developing the next generation technologies and promoting their deployment. European structural funds and the funding for broadband and Trans-European Telecommunication*

Networks must be based on the criteria of tomorrow;

Or. en

Amendment 391
Giovanni La Via

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 73 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

73a. *Calls for the creation of a budget line for tourism in the next multiannual financial framework. In the context of the necessity for growth and job creation, tourism has to be one of our priorities;*

Or. en

Amendment 392
Marco Valli

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 74

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

74. Underlines the importance of ensuring financing for completing the digital single market by making full use of the spectrum, 5G deployment and gigabit connectivity, and by making further progress on the harmonisation of EU telecom rules to create the right regulatory framework for the improvement of internet connectivity throughout the Union; stresses that CEF Telecom should continue to support the Digital Service Infrastructures and the broadband networks by enabling their accessibility, including in remote regions and rural areas, and by improving digital literacy, interconnectivity and interoperability;

74. Underlines the importance of ensuring financing for completing the digital single market by making full use of the spectrum, 5G deployment and gigabit connectivity, and by making further progress on the harmonisation of EU telecom rules to create the right regulatory framework for the improvement of internet connectivity throughout the Union; stresses that CEF Telecom should continue to support the Digital Service Infrastructures and the broadband networks by enabling their accessibility, including in remote regions and rural areas, and by improving digital literacy, interconnectivity and interoperability; ***stresses that the CEF***

should also support digital telecommunications models that promote connectivity and peer-to-peer exchanges, as well as decentralised data storage;

Or. it

Amendment 393

José Manuel Fernandes, Marian-Jean Marinescu

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 74

Motion for a resolution

74. Underlines the importance of ensuring financing for completing the digital single market by making full use of the spectrum, 5G deployment and gigabit connectivity, and by making further progress on the harmonisation of EU telecom rules to create the right regulatory framework for the improvement of internet connectivity throughout the Union; stresses that CEF Telecom should continue to support the Digital Service Infrastructures and the broadband networks by enabling their accessibility, including in remote regions and rural areas, and by improving digital literacy, interconnectivity and interoperability;

Amendment

74. Underlines the importance of ensuring financing for completing the digital single market by making full use of the spectrum, 5G deployment and gigabit connectivity, and by making further progress on the harmonisation of EU telecom rules to create the right regulatory framework for the improvement of internet connectivity throughout the Union; stresses that CEF Telecom should ***have appropriate resources and*** continue to support the Digital Service Infrastructures and the ***increasing investment needs in high speed*** broadband networks by enabling their accessibility, including in remote regions and rural areas, and by improving digital literacy, interconnectivity and interoperability;

Or. en

Amendment 394

Michał Boni, Paul Rübzig

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 74

Motion for a resolution

74. Underlines the importance of

Amendment

74. Underlines the importance of

ensuring financing for completing the digital single market by making full use of the spectrum, 5G deployment and gigabit connectivity, and by making further progress on the harmonisation of EU telecom rules to create the right regulatory framework for the improvement of internet connectivity throughout the Union; stresses that CEF Telecom should continue to support the Digital Service Infrastructures and the broadband networks by enabling their accessibility, including in remote regions and rural areas, and by improving digital literacy, interconnectivity and interoperability;

ensuring financing for completing the digital single market by making full use of the spectrum, ***upgrade of fixed and densification of mobile networks***, 5G deployment and gigabit connectivity ***until 2025***, and by making further progress on the harmonisation of EU telecom rules to create the right regulatory framework for the improvement of internet connectivity throughout the Union; stresses that CEF Telecom should continue to support the Digital Service Infrastructures and the broadband networks by enabling their accessibility, including in remote regions and rural areas, and by improving digital literacy, interconnectivity and interoperability;

Or. en

Amendment 395

Marita Ulvskog

on behalf of the Committee on Employment and Social Affairs

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 74

Motion for a resolution

74. Underlines the importance of ensuring financing for completing the digital single market by making full use of the spectrum, 5G deployment and gigabit connectivity, and by making further progress on the harmonisation of EU telecom rules to create the right regulatory framework for the improvement of internet connectivity throughout the Union; stresses that CEF Telecom should continue to support the Digital Service Infrastructures and the broadband networks by enabling their accessibility, including in remote regions and rural areas, and by improving digital literacy, interconnectivity and interoperability;

Amendment

74. Underlines the importance of ensuring financing for completing the digital single market by making full use of the spectrum, 5G deployment and gigabit connectivity, and by making further progress on the harmonisation of EU telecom rules to create the right regulatory framework for the improvement of internet connectivity throughout the Union; stresses that CEF Telecom should continue to support the Digital Service Infrastructures and the broadband networks by enabling their accessibility, including in remote regions and rural areas, and by improving digital literacy, interconnectivity and interoperability; ***underlines the importance of improving the digital skills***

Amendment 396

Jordi Solé

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 74

Motion for a resolution

74. Underlines the importance of ensuring financing for completing the digital single market by making full use of the spectrum, 5G deployment and gigabit connectivity, and by making further progress on the harmonisation of EU telecom rules to create the right regulatory framework for the improvement of internet connectivity throughout the Union; stresses that CEF Telecom should continue to support the Digital Service Infrastructures and the broadband networks by enabling their accessibility, including in remote regions and rural areas, and by improving digital literacy, interconnectivity and interoperability;

Amendment

74. Underlines^{1a} the importance of ensuring financing for completing the digital single market by making full use of the spectrum, 5G deployment and gigabit connectivity, and by making further progress on the harmonisation of EU telecom rules to create the right regulatory framework for the improvement of internet connectivity throughout the Union; stresses that CEF Telecom should continue to support the Digital Service Infrastructures and the broadband networks by enabling their accessibility, including in remote regions and rural areas, and by improving digital literacy, interconnectivity and interoperability;

^{1a} paragraph to be placed under the chapter "Investing in the future"

Amendment 397

Michał Boni, Paul Rübzig

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 74 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

74a. Calls on the Commission to

ensure, maintain and develop financing for the 5G Action Plan at the appropriate level within the horizon of the next Multiannual Financial Framework 2020-2027;

Or. en

Amendment 398
Nils Torvalds

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 75

Motion for a resolution

75. Considers it essential to secure a *sustainable and affordable* energy supply in Europe; calls, therefore, for continuous support for investments *ensuring the diversification of* energy sources, *increasing* energy security and *enhancing* energy *efficiency, including by CEF Energy; stresses in particular the importance of providing for comprehensive* support, *especially for carbon-intensive regions, energy transition, transition to a low-carbon economy, the modernisation of power generation and grids, carbon capture storage and utilisation technologies, and the modernisation of district heating; considers that the transformation of the energy sector in the light of the climate objectives should be supported by the creation of an Energy Transition Fund under the next MFF that would facilitate the structural changes in energy-intensive industries and carbon-intensive electricity production plants, and create incentives for low-carbon investments and innovative solutions;*

Amendment

75. Considers it essential to secure a *clean, stable and competitive* energy supply in Europe; calls, therefore, for *the* continuous support for *sustainable* investments *that stimulate renewable* energy sources, *putting energy efficiency first, increase* energy security and *energy independence from import of* energy sources *and that* support *new and innovative energy and industrial technologies that are in line with Europe's long-term climate commitments;*

Or. en

Amendment 399

Gérard Deprez, Gerben-Jan Gerbrandy, Louis Michel

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 75

Motion for a resolution

75. Considers it essential to secure a **sustainable and affordable** energy supply in Europe; calls, therefore, for continuous support for investments **ensuring the diversification of** energy sources, **increasing** energy security and **enhancing energy efficiency, including by CEF Energy; stresses in particular the importance of providing for comprehensive support, especially for carbon-intensive regions, energy transition, transition to a low-carbon economy, the modernisation of power generation and grids, carbon capture storage and utilisation technologies, and the modernisation of district heating; considers that the transformation of the energy sector in the light of the climate objectives should be supported by the creation of an Energy Transition Fund under the next MFF that would facilitate the structural changes in energy-intensive industries and carbon-intensive electricity production plants, and create incentives for low-carbon investments and innovative solutions;**

Amendment

75. Considers it essential to secure a **clean, stable and competitive** energy supply in Europe; calls, therefore, for **the** continuous support for **sustainable** investments **that stimulate renewable** energy sources, **enhance energy efficiency as a first fuel, increase** energy security and **energy independence from import of energy sources and that** support **new and innovative** energy and industrial technologies **that are in line with Europe's long-term climate commitments;**

Or. en

Amendment 400

Janusz Lewandowski, Jerzy Buzek

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 75

Motion for a resolution

75. Considers it essential to secure a sustainable and affordable energy supply in

Amendment

75. Considers it essential to secure a sustainable and affordable energy supply in

Europe; calls, therefore, for continuous support for investments ensuring the diversification of energy sources, increasing energy security and enhancing energy efficiency, including by CEF Energy; stresses in particular the importance of providing for comprehensive support, especially for **carbon-intensive regions**, energy transition, transition to a **low-carbon** economy, the modernisation of power generation and grids, carbon capture storage and utilisation technologies, and the modernisation of district heating; considers that the **transformation of the energy sector in the light of the climate objectives should be supported by the creation of an Energy Transition Fund under the next MFF that would facilitate the structural changes in energy-intensive industries and carbon-intensive electricity production plants, and create incentives for low-carbon investments and innovative solutions;**

Europe; calls, therefore, for continuous support for investments ensuring the diversification of energy sources **and routes**, increasing energy security and enhancing energy efficiency, including by CEF Energy; stresses in particular the importance of providing for comprehensive support, especially for energy transition, transition to a **low-emission** economy, the modernisation of power generation and grids, carbon capture storage and utilisation technologies, and the modernisation of district heating; considers that the **Union's coal-dependent regions and countries need targeted support, including adequate funds, to effectively contribute to a strategic transition to low-emission economy and calls for establishing comprehensive instrument for such regions and countries in order to support a just transition, in particular through development and deployment of renewable sources, energy efficiency solutions, energy storage, electro-mobility solutions and infrastructures, modernization of power generation and grids, advanced power generation technologies, including CCS, CCU and coal gasification, modernisation of district heating, including high-efficiency cogeneration, early adaptation to future environmental standards, restructuring of energy-intensive industries as well as addressing societal, socio-economic and environmental impacts;**

Or. en

Amendment 401

John Howarth, Theresa Griffin, Kathleen Van Brempt

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 75

Motion for a resolution

75. Considers it essential to secure a

PE616.896v01-00

Amendment

75. Considers it essential to secure a

94/146

AM\1144763EN.docx

sustainable and affordable energy supply in Europe; calls, therefore, for continuous support for investments ensuring the diversification of energy sources, increasing energy security and enhancing energy efficiency, **including by** CEF Energy; stresses in particular the importance of providing for comprehensive support, especially for carbon-intensive regions, energy transition, transition to a low-carbon economy, the modernisation of power generation and grids, carbon capture storage and utilisation technologies, and the modernisation of district heating; considers that the transformation of the energy sector in the light of the climate objectives should be supported by the creation of an Energy Transition Fund under the next MFF that would facilitate the structural changes in energy-intensive industries and carbon-intensive electricity production plants, and create incentives for low-carbon investments and innovative solutions;

sustainable and affordable energy supply in Europe; calls, therefore, for continuous support for investments ensuring the diversification of energy sources, increasing energy security and enhancing energy efficiency; **asks to focus the support towards the objectives of Article 194(1) TFEU; stresses therefore the need to reorient CEF Energy support in gas infrastructure to investments aimed at decarbonizing the gas supply (biogas, green hydrogen, synthetic methane,...)**; stresses in particular the importance of providing for comprehensive support, especially for carbon-intensive regions, energy transition, transition to a low-carbon economy, the modernisation of power generation and grids, carbon capture storage and utilisation technologies, and the modernisation of district heating; considers that the transformation of the energy sector in the light of the climate objectives should be supported by the creation of an Energy Transition Fund under the next MFF that would facilitate the structural changes in energy-intensive industries and carbon-intensive electricity production plants, and create incentives for low-carbon investments and innovative solutions;

Or. en

Amendment 402

Marco Valli, David Borrelli, Dario Tamburrano

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 75

Motion for a resolution

75. Considers it essential to secure a **sustainable and affordable** energy supply in Europe; calls, therefore, for continuous support for investments ensuring **the diversification of energy sources, increasing energy security and enhancing**

Amendment

75. Considers it essential to secure **efficient and waste-free energy use and energy supply based on renewable sources** in Europe; calls, therefore, for continuous support for investments ensuring **increasing energy efficiency, the use of**

energy efficiency, including by CEF Energy; stresses in particular the importance of providing for comprehensive support, especially for carbon-intensive regions, energy transition, transition to a **low-carbon** economy, the modernisation of power generation and grids, **carbon capture, storage and utilisation** technologies, and the modernisation of district heating; considers that the transformation of the energy sector in the light of the climate objectives should be supported by the creation of an Energy Transition Fund under the next MFF that would facilitate the structural changes in energy-intensive industries and carbon-intensive electricity production plants, **and create incentives for low-carbon investments and innovative solutions**;

decentralised renewable energy sources, their distribution and storage and enhanced energy security, including by CEF Energy; stresses in particular the importance of providing for comprehensive support, especially for carbon-intensive regions, transition to a **zero-carbon** economy **by 2050**, the modernisation of power generation and grids, **energy** storage technologies, and the modernisation of district heating; considers that the transformation of the energy sector in the light of the climate objectives should be supported **also** by the creation of **a suitable** Energy Transition Fund under the next MFF that would **create incentives for investment in energy efficiency, renewable energies and innovative solutions, focusing on support for the local authorities, energy cooperatives, active energy consumers and businesses, and** facilitate the structural changes in energy-intensive industries and carbon-intensive electricity production plants;

Or. it

Amendment 403 **Jens Geier**

Motion for a resolution **Paragraph 75**

Motion for a resolution

75. Considers it essential to secure a sustainable and affordable energy supply in Europe; calls, therefore, for continuous support for investments ensuring the diversification of energy sources, increasing energy security and enhancing energy efficiency, including by CEF Energy; stresses in particular the importance of providing for comprehensive support, especially for carbon-intensive regions, energy transition, transition to a low-carbon economy, the modernisation of

Amendment

75. Considers it essential to secure a sustainable and affordable energy supply in Europe; calls, therefore, for continuous support for investments ensuring the diversification of energy sources, increasing energy security and enhancing energy efficiency **and the use of renewable energy**, including by CEF Energy, **which should especially focus on renewable energy infrastructure**; stresses in particular the importance of providing for comprehensive support, especially for

power generation and grids, carbon capture storage and utilisation technologies, and the modernisation of district heating; considers that the transformation of the energy sector in the light of the climate objectives should be supported by the creation of an Energy Transition Fund under the next MFF that would facilitate the structural changes in energy-intensive industries and carbon-intensive electricity production plants, and create incentives for low-carbon investments and innovative solutions;

carbon-intensive regions, energy transition, transition to a low-carbon economy, the modernisation of power generation and grids, carbon capture storage and utilisation technologies, and the modernisation of district heating; considers that the transformation of the energy sector in the light of the climate objectives should be supported by the creation of an Energy Transition Fund under the next MFF that would facilitate the structural changes in energy-intensive industries and carbon-intensive electricity production plants, and create incentives for low-carbon investments and innovative solutions;

Or. en

Amendment 404

Miroslav Poche, Pavel Poc

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 75

Motion for a resolution

75. Considers it essential to secure a sustainable and affordable energy supply in Europe; calls, therefore, for continuous support for investments ensuring the diversification of energy sources, increasing energy security and enhancing energy efficiency, including by CEF Energy; stresses in particular the importance of providing for comprehensive support, especially for carbon-intensive regions, energy transition, transition to a low-carbon economy, the modernisation of power generation *and* grids, carbon capture storage and utilisation technologies, and the modernisation of district heating; considers that the transformation of the energy sector in the light of the climate objectives should be supported by the creation of an Energy Transition Fund under the next MFF that would facilitate the structural changes in energy-intensive

Amendment

75. Considers it essential to secure a sustainable and affordable energy supply in Europe; calls, therefore, for continuous support for investments ensuring the diversification of energy sources, increasing energy security and enhancing energy efficiency, including by CEF Energy; stresses in particular the importance of providing for comprehensive support, especially for carbon-intensive regions, energy transition, transition to a low-carbon economy, the modernisation of power generation, *improvement of cross-border interconnections and deployment of smart* grids, carbon capture storage and utilisation technologies, and the modernisation of district heating; considers that the transformation of the energy sector in the light of the climate objectives should be supported by the creation of an Energy Transition Fund under the next MFF that

industries and carbon-intensive electricity production plants, and create incentives for low-carbon investments and innovative solutions;

would facilitate the structural changes in energy-intensive industries and carbon-intensive electricity production plants, and create incentives for low-carbon investments and innovative solutions;

Or. en

Amendment 405

Eider Gardiazabal Rubial, Miroslav Poche

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 75

Motion for a resolution

75. Considers it essential to secure a sustainable and affordable energy supply in Europe; calls, therefore, for continuous support for investments ensuring the diversification of energy sources, increasing energy security and enhancing energy efficiency, including by CEF Energy; stresses in particular the importance of providing for comprehensive support, especially for carbon-intensive regions, energy transition, transition to a low-carbon economy, the modernisation of power generation *and* grids, carbon capture storage and utilisation technologies, and the modernisation of district heating; considers that the transformation of the energy sector in the light of the climate objectives should be supported by the creation of an Energy Transition Fund under the next MFF that would facilitate the structural changes in energy-intensive industries and carbon-intensive electricity production plants, and create incentives for low-carbon investments and innovative solutions;

Amendment

75. Considers it essential to secure a sustainable and affordable energy supply in Europe; calls, therefore, for continuous support for investments ensuring the diversification of energy sources, increasing energy security and enhancing energy efficiency, including by CEF Energy; stresses in particular the importance of providing for comprehensive support, especially for carbon-intensive regions, energy transition, transition to a low-carbon economy, the modernisation of power generation, ***improvement of cross-border interconnections and deployment of smart*** grids, carbon capture storage and utilisation technologies, and the modernisation of district heating; considers that the transformation of the energy sector in the light of the climate objectives should be supported by the creation of an Energy Transition Fund under the next MFF that would facilitate the structural changes in energy-intensive industries and carbon-intensive electricity production plants, and create incentives for low-carbon investments and innovative solutions;

Or. en

Amendment 406
Tiemo Wölken

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 75

Motion for a resolution

75. Considers it essential to secure a sustainable and affordable energy supply in Europe; calls, therefore, for continuous support for investments ensuring the diversification of energy sources, increasing energy security and enhancing energy efficiency, including by CEF Energy; stresses in particular the importance of providing for comprehensive support, especially for carbon-intensive regions, energy transition, transition to a low-carbon economy, the modernisation of power generation and grids, **carbon capture storage** and utilisation technologies, and the modernisation of district heating; considers that the transformation of the energy sector in the light of the climate objectives should be supported by the creation of an Energy Transition Fund under the next MFF that would facilitate the structural changes in energy-intensive industries and carbon-intensive electricity production plants, and create incentives for low-carbon investments and innovative solutions;

Amendment

75. Considers it essential to secure a sustainable and affordable energy supply in Europe; calls, therefore, for continuous support for investments ensuring the diversification of energy sources, increasing energy security and enhancing energy efficiency, including by CEF Energy; stresses in particular the importance of providing for comprehensive support, especially for carbon-intensive regions, energy transition, transition to a low-carbon economy, the modernisation of power generation and grids and utilisation technologies, and the modernisation of district heating; considers that the transformation of the energy sector in the light of the climate objectives should be supported by the creation of an Energy Transition Fund under the next MFF that would facilitate the structural changes in energy-intensive industries and carbon-intensive electricity production plants, and create incentives for low-carbon investments and innovative solutions; ***while ending subsidies in fossil fuel infrastructure, in line with the Paris Agreement;***

Or. en

Amendment 407
Jordi Solé, Sven Giegold
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 75

Motion for a resolution

75. Considers *it* essential to secure a sustainable and affordable energy supply in Europe; calls, therefore, for continuous support for investments ensuring the diversification of energy sources, increasing energy security and enhancing energy efficiency, including by CEF Energy; stresses in particular the importance of providing for comprehensive support, especially for carbon-intensive regions, energy transition, transition to a low-carbon economy, the modernisation of power generation and grids, **carbon capture storage and utilisation technologies**, and the modernisation of district heating; considers that the transformation of the energy sector in the light of the climate objectives *should* be supported by the creation of an Energy Transition Fund under the next MFF that would facilitate the structural changes in energy-intensive industries and carbon-intensive electricity production plants, and create incentives for low-carbon investments and innovative solutions;

Amendment

75. Considers^{1a} *it*^{2a} essential to secure a sustainable and affordable energy supply in Europe; calls, therefore, for continuous support for investments ensuring the diversification of energy sources, increasing energy security and enhancing energy efficiency, including by CEF Energy; stresses in particular the importance of providing for comprehensive support, especially for carbon-intensive regions, energy transition, transition to a low-carbon **and nuclear-free** economy, the modernisation of power generation and **smart** grids, and the modernisation of district heating; considers that the transformation of the energy sector in the light of the climate objectives *shall* be supported by the creation of an Energy Transition Fund under the next MFF that would facilitate the structural changes in energy-intensive industries and carbon-intensive electricity production plants, and create incentives for low-carbon, **nuclear-free** investments and innovative solutions;

^{1a} paragraph to be placed under the chapter "Investing in the future"

^{2a} AM partly suggested by NABU - Naturschutzbund Deutschland e.V.

Or. en

Amendment 408

Younous Omarjee, Marie-Pierre Vieu

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 75 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

75a. Takes the view that European islands, Outermost Regions (ORs) and

Overseas Countries and Territories (OCTs) share the same climate change challenges as some of the least developed countries (LDCs) and small island developing states (SIDS), which benefit from the mechanism for financing adaptation to climate change through the Global Climate Change Alliance (GCCA+); considers it necessary, therefore, to create a European Fund for the adaptation of European islands, ORs and OCTs to the challenges of climate change, which would make it possible to mitigate the impact of climate change on them;

Or. fr

Amendment 409

Eider Gardiazabal Rubial, Theresa Griffin, John Howarth

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 75 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

75a. Emphasises the need for a European wide investment plan in favour of energy efficiency in light of the ambitious objectives the EU has set itself for 2030; is convinced that such an investment program would have a very positive effect in terms of job creation, affordable housing and fight against energy poverty ; is therefore convinced that the next MFF should encompass a visible and "easy to access" Energy Efficiency EU wide investment program that would enable local public authorities to get administrative and financial support to carry out energy efficiency plans in the housing and building sector;

Or. en

Amendment 410
Marco Valli, David Borrelli, Dario Tamburrano

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 75 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

75a. Reiterates that, in the next MFF, financial instruments cannot replace grants for the funding of energy efficiency, renewable energy and generally publicly driven R&I projects, as only grants can maximise output on the ground and ensure wider involvement of stakeholders such as academia, the local authorities, SMEs and civil society organisations;

Or. it

Amendment 411
Eider Gardiazabal Rubial, Theresa Griffin, John Howarth

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 75 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

75b. Considers that under the next MFF, the transition of the energy sector in the light of the climate objectives should be supported by the creation of a Just Transition Fund with the aim of supporting workers and communities adversely affected by this transition to a sustainable low-carbon economy; in this respect urges the Commission to set up a financing platform at Union level for this initiative, drawing lessons from the current experiences and ensuring sufficient additional resources to cover needs in the affected areas; furthermore stresses that this fund should focus on the creation of decent and sustainable jobs together with retraining and new skills in clean processes and technologies, and on

*enhancing social protection schemes,
including active labour market policies;*

Or. en

Amendment 412

Paul Rübzig

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 76

Motion for a resolution

76. Underlines the strategic importance of large-scale infrastructure projects such as the International Thermonuclear Experimental Reactor (ITER), the European Geostationary Navigation Overlay (EGNOS), the Global Satellite Navigation System (Galileo) and the Earth Observation Programme (Copernicus) for the EU's future competitiveness; considers that the financing of these large-scale projects should be secured in the EU budget but, at the same time, ring-fenced, so as to ensure that possible cost overruns do not threaten the funding and successful implementation of other Union policies, as was the case in the previous MFF; recalls that, for this purpose, the maximum amount for these projects is currently fixed in the MFF Regulation, and calls for similar provisions in the new regulation;

Amendment

76. Underlines the strategic importance of large-scale infrastructure projects such as the International Thermonuclear Experimental Reactor (ITER), the European Geostationary Navigation Overlay (EGNOS), the Global Satellite Navigation System (Galileo) and the Earth Observation Programme (Copernicus) for the EU's future competitiveness; ***highlights the significant contribution that the European GNSS Agency (GSA) makes to bolstering competitiveness and technological innovation; underlines the need for sufficient funding for the GSA in the next MFF, in order to ensure that European GNS programmes operate smoothly with adequate security and that full use is made of them;*** considers that the financing of these large-scale projects should be secured in the EU budget but, at the same time, ring-fenced, so as to ensure that possible cost overruns do not threaten the funding and successful implementation of other Union policies, as was the case in the previous MFF; recalls that, for this purpose, the maximum amount for these projects is currently fixed in the MFF Regulation, and calls for similar provisions in the new regulation;

Or. de

Amendment 413

Jens Geier

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 76

Motion for a resolution

76. Underlines the strategic importance of large-scale infrastructure projects such as the International Thermonuclear Experimental Reactor (ITER), the European Geostationary Navigation Overlay (EGNOS), the Global Satellite Navigation System (Galileo) and the Earth Observation Programme (Copernicus) for the EU's future competitiveness; considers that the financing of these large-scale projects should be secured in the EU budget but, at the same time, ring-fenced, so as to ensure that possible cost overruns do not threaten the funding and successful implementation of other Union policies, as was the case in the previous MFF; recalls that, for this purpose, the maximum amount for these projects is currently fixed in the MFF Regulation, and calls for similar provisions in the new regulation;

Amendment

76. Underlines the strategic importance of large-scale infrastructure projects such as the International Thermonuclear Experimental Reactor (ITER), the European Geostationary Navigation Overlay (EGNOS), the Global Satellite Navigation System (Galileo) and the Earth Observation Programme (Copernicus) for the EU's future competitiveness; considers that the financing of these large-scale projects should be secured in the EU budget but, at the same time, ring-fenced, so as to ensure that possible cost overruns do not threaten the funding and successful implementation of other Union policies, as was the case in the previous MFF; recalls that, for this purpose, the maximum amount for these projects is currently fixed in the MFF Regulation, and calls for similar provisions in the new regulation; ***notes that the current planning of ITER makes necessary a Union contribution of EUR 6.07 billion within the years 2021-2027, compared to EUR 2.99 billion in the years 2014-2020; expects these additional needs to be matched by additional resources;***

Or. en

Amendment 414

José Manuel Fernandes, Marian-Jean Marinescu

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 76

Motion for a resolution

76. Underlines the strategic importance

Amendment

76. Underlines the strategic importance

of large-scale infrastructure projects such as the International Thermonuclear Experimental Reactor (ITER), the European Geostationary Navigation Overlay (EGNOS), the Global Satellite Navigation System (Galileo) **and** the Earth Observation Programme (Copernicus) for the EU's future competitiveness; considers that the financing of these large-scale projects should be secured in the EU budget but, at the same time, ring-fenced, so as to ensure that possible cost overruns do not threaten the funding and successful implementation of other Union policies, as was the case in the previous MFF; recalls that, for this purpose, the maximum amount for these projects is currently fixed in the MFF Regulation, and calls for similar provisions in the new regulation;

of large-scale infrastructure projects such as the International Thermonuclear Experimental Reactor (ITER), the European Geostationary Navigation Overlay (EGNOS), the Global Satellite Navigation System (Galileo), the Earth Observation Programme (Copernicus) **and the future Governmental Satellite Communications (GOVSATCOM)** for the EU's future competitiveness; considers that the financing of these large-scale projects should be secured in the EU budget but, at the same time, ring-fenced, so as to ensure that possible cost overruns do not threaten the funding and successful implementation of other Union policies, as was the case in the previous MFF; recalls that, for this purpose, the maximum amount for these projects is currently fixed in the MFF Regulation, and calls for similar provisions in the new regulation;

Or. en

Amendment 415
Miroslav Poche, Pavel Poc

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 76

Motion for a resolution

76. Underlines the strategic importance of large-scale infrastructure projects **such as** the International Thermonuclear Experimental Reactor (ITER), the European Geostationary Navigation Overlay (EGNOS), the Global Satellite Navigation System (Galileo) and the Earth Observation Programme (Copernicus) for the EU's future competitiveness; **considers** that the financing of these large-scale projects **should** be secured in the EU budget but, at the same time, ring-fenced, so as to ensure that possible cost overruns do not threaten the funding and successful implementation of other Union policies, as

Amendment

76. Underlines the strategic importance of large-scale infrastructure projects, **namely** the International Thermonuclear Experimental Reactor (ITER), the European Geostationary Navigation Overlay (EGNOS), the Global Satellite Navigation System (Galileo) and the Earth Observation Programme (Copernicus) for the EU's future competitiveness, **security and political power; points out** that the financing of these large-scale projects **needs to** be secured in the EU budget but, at the same time, ring-fenced, so as to ensure that possible cost overruns do not threaten the funding and successful

was the case in the previous MFF; recalls that, for this purpose, the maximum amount for these projects is currently fixed in the MFF Regulation, and calls for similar provisions in the new regulation;

implementation of other Union policies, as *exemplified* in the previous MFF *in certain individual cases*; recalls that, for this purpose, the maximum amount for these projects is currently fixed in the MFF Regulation, and calls for similar provisions in the new regulation;

Or. en

Amendment 416

Marco Valli

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 76

Motion for a resolution

76. Underlines the strategic importance of large-scale infrastructure projects *such as the International Thermonuclear Experimental Reactor (ITER)*, the European Geostationary Navigation Overlay (EGNOS), the Global Satellite Navigation System (Galileo) and the Earth Observation Programme (Copernicus) for the EU's future competitiveness; considers that the financing of these large-scale projects should be secured in the EU budget but, at the same time, ring-fenced, so as to ensure that possible cost overruns do not threaten the funding and successful implementation of other Union policies, as was the case in the previous MFF; recalls that, for this purpose, the maximum amount for these projects is currently fixed in the MFF Regulation, and calls for similar provisions in the new regulation;

Amendment

76. Underlines the strategic importance of large-scale infrastructure projects, the European Geostationary Navigation Overlay (EGNOS), the Global Satellite Navigation System (Galileo) and the Earth Observation Programme (Copernicus) for the EU's future competitiveness; considers that the financing of these large-scale projects should be secured in the EU budget but, at the same time, ring-fenced, so as to ensure that possible cost overruns do not threaten the funding and successful implementation of other Union policies, as was the case in the previous MFF; recalls that, for this purpose, the maximum amount for these projects is currently fixed in the MFF Regulation, and calls for similar provisions in the new regulation;

Or. it

Amendment 417

Jordi Solé

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 76

Motion for a resolution

76. Underlines the strategic importance of large-scale infrastructure projects such as *the International Thermonuclear Experimental Reactor (ITER)*, the European Geostationary Navigation Overlay (EGNOS), the Global Satellite Navigation System (Galileo) and the Earth Observation Programme (Copernicus) for the EU's future competitiveness; considers that the financing of these large-scale projects should be secured in the EU budget but, at the same time, ring-fenced, so as to ensure that possible cost overruns do not threaten the funding and successful implementation of other Union policies, as was the case in the previous MFF; recalls that, for this purpose, the maximum amount for these projects is currently fixed in the MFF Regulation, and calls for similar provisions in the new regulation;

Amendment

76. Underlines^{1a} the strategic importance of large-scale infrastructure projects such as the European Geostationary Navigation Overlay (EGNOS), the Global Satellite Navigation System (Galileo) and the Earth Observation Programme (Copernicus) for the EU's future competitiveness; considers that the financing of these large-scale projects should be secured in the EU budget but, at the same time, ring-fenced, so as to ensure that possible cost overruns do not threaten the funding and successful implementation of other Union policies, as was the case in the previous MFF; recalls that, for this purpose, the maximum amount for these projects is currently fixed in the MFF Regulation, and calls for similar provisions in the new regulation;

^{1a} *paragraph to be placed under the chapter "Investing in the future"*

Or. en

Amendment 418
Marco Valli, David Borrelli, Dario Tamburrano

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 76 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

75a. Stresses that additional appropriations for ITER (over and above those already approved) cannot be justified, given the lack of concrete short and medium-term results on the one hand and the excessive and increasing costs on the other, and that the funding should be

Amendment

redirected towards energy storage and distributed generation technologies in particular, which can make a direct contribution to combating climate change and ensuring compliance with commitments entered into under the Paris Agreement;

Or. it

Amendment 419
Tamás Deutsch

Motion for a resolution
Subheading 16 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Common Agriculture Policy and Fisheries Policy

Or. en

Amendment 420
Ivana Maletić

Motion for a resolution
Subheading 16 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Sustainable development, preservation and management of natural resources

Or. en

Amendment 421
Jordi Solé
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 77

Motion for a resolution

77. Affirms that the common agricultural ***policy is fundamental for food security and autonomy, the preservation of rural populations, sustainable development and the provision of high-quality and affordable food products for Europeans; points out that food requirements have increased, as has the need to develop environmentally friendly farming practices and the need to tackle*** climate change; underlines that the CAP is one of the most integrated policies and is mainly financed at EU level and, therefore, replaces national spending;

Amendment

77. Affirms that the common agricultural ***policy's objectives should be radically reviewed to reflect social demands and the finite limits of our environment and planetary resources, and to take into account*** climate change, ***extinction and ecosystem collapse, and depletion of resources that affects us all. We believe that the CAP should be used at reinvigorating local economies in order to get vibrant rural communities; focusing on healthy diets and organic farming; and supporting farmers' autonomy and their role in the transition;*** underlines that the CAP is one of the most integrated policies and is mainly financed at EU level and, therefore, replaces national spending;

Or. en

Amendment 422

Anneli Jäätteenmäki, Ulrike Müller, Hannu Takkula, Pavel Telička, Nedzhmi Ali, Fredrick Federley, Nils Torvalds

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 77**

Motion for a resolution

77. Affirms that the common agricultural policy is fundamental for food security and autonomy, the preservation of rural populations, sustainable development and the provision of high-quality and affordable food products for Europeans; points out that food requirements have increased, as has the need to develop environmentally friendly farming practices and the need to tackle climate change; underlines that the CAP is one of the most integrated policies and is mainly financed at EU level and, therefore, replaces national spending;

Amendment

77. Affirms that the common agricultural policy is fundamental for food security and autonomy, the preservation of rural populations, sustainable development and the provision of high-quality and affordable food products for Europeans; points out that food requirements have increased, as has the need to develop environmentally friendly farming practices and the need to tackle climate change; ***recognises the role of sustainable agriculture and forestry as key components of EU's work in tackling climate change, biodiversity loss and furthering environmental sustainability;***

*recalls that the next MFF should steer the CAP post-2020 towards a fair, effective efficient farming policy which has as its core objective to facilitate the transition towards sustainable food and farming system in Europe and stresses that sufficient funding for reaching the targets must be provided; welcomes the Commission's strategic approach presented in the communication *The Future of Food and Farming* to provide more flexibility to the Member States to find appropriate means to steer their agricultural sector towards reaching the common environmental targets in the most effective way; underlines that the CAP is one of the most integrated policies and is mainly financed at EU level and, therefore, replaces national spending;*

Or. en

Amendment 423
Răzvan Popa

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 77

Motion for a resolution

77. Affirms that the common agricultural policy is fundamental for food security and autonomy, the preservation of rural populations, sustainable development and the provision of high-quality and affordable food products for Europeans; points out that food requirements have increased, as has the need to develop environmentally friendly farming practices and the need to tackle climate change; underlines that the CAP is one of the most integrated policies and is mainly financed at EU level and, therefore, replaces national spending;

Amendment

77. Affirms that the common agricultural policy is fundamental for food security and autonomy, the preservation of rural populations, sustainable development and the provision of high-quality and affordable food products for Europeans; points out that food requirements have increased, as has the need to develop environmentally friendly farming practices and the need to tackle climate change; underlines that the CAP is one of the most integrated policies and is mainly financed at EU level and, therefore, replaces national spending; ***stresses that CAP should be able to meet the challenges ahead as it is one of the fundamental pillars of the EU and considers that an***

updated and simplified two-pillars CAP post 2020 should act in a balanced way, supplying food at reasonable prices to consumers and contributing to sustainability in rural areas, without its revision hindering the very essence of CAP;

Or. en

Amendment 424
Maria Noichl, Jens Geier

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 77

Motion for a resolution

77. Affirms that the common agricultural policy is fundamental for food security and autonomy, the preservation of rural populations, sustainable development and the provision of high-quality and affordable food products for Europeans; points out that food requirements have increased, as has the need to develop environmentally friendly farming practices and the need to tackle climate change; underlines that the CAP is one of the most integrated policies and is mainly financed at EU level and, therefore, replaces national spending;

Amendment

77. Affirms that the common agricultural policy is fundamental for food security and autonomy, the preservation of rural populations, sustainable development and the provision of high-quality and affordable food products for Europeans; ***points out the necessity to directly link agricultural payments to the delivery of public goods such as water, biodiversity and climate protection as well as husbandry according to animal welfare principles has increased***; points out that food requirements have increased, as has the need to develop environmentally friendly farming practices and the need to tackle climate change; underlines that the CAP is one of the most integrated policies and is mainly financed at EU level and, therefore, replaces national spending;

Or. en

Amendment 425
Alain Lamassoure, Michel Dantin

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 77

Motion for a resolution

77. Affirms that the common agricultural policy is fundamental for food security and autonomy, the preservation of rural **populations**, sustainable development and the provision of high-quality and affordable food products for Europeans; points out that food requirements have increased, as has the need to **develop** environmentally friendly farming practices **and the need to tackle** climate change; underlines that the CAP **is** one of the most integrated policies **and** is mainly financed at EU level **and, therefore**, replaces national spending;

Amendment

77. Affirms that the common agricultural policy is fundamental for food security and autonomy **in the EU**, the preservation **and development** of **its** rural **territories**, sustainable development and the provision of high-quality and affordable food products for Europeans; points out that food **and health** requirements have increased, as has the need to **support the transition of European agriculture to more** environmentally friendly farming practices **that contribute to tackling** climate change; underlines that the CAP, **as** one of the most integrated **European** policies, is mainly financed at EU level, **and European funding in this area thus** replaces national spending;

Or. fr

Amendment 426

Jean-Paul Denanot, Paul Brannen, Eric Andrieu

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 77

Motion for a resolution

77. Affirms that the common agricultural policy is fundamental for food security and autonomy, the preservation of rural populations, sustainable development and the provision of high-quality and affordable food products for Europeans; points out that food requirements have increased, as has the need to develop environmentally friendly farming practices and the need to tackle climate change; underlines that the CAP is one of the most integrated policies and is mainly financed at EU level and, therefore, replaces national spending;

Amendment

77. Affirms that the common agricultural policy is fundamental for food security and autonomy, the preservation of rural populations, sustainable development and the provision of high-quality and affordable food products for Europeans; **points out the necessity of having a common agriculture policy supporting farmers' income security, environment, climate and health** ; points out that food requirements have increased, as has the need to develop environmentally friendly farming practices and the need to tackle climate change; underlines that the CAP is one of the most integrated policies and is

mainly financed at EU level and, therefore, replaces national spending;

Or. en

Amendment 427

Anneli Jäätteenmäki, Ulrike Müller, Hannu Takkula, Pavel Telička, Gérard Deprez, Urmas Paet, Nedzhmi Ali, Nils Torvalds

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 77

Motion for a resolution

77. Affirms that the common agricultural policy is fundamental for food security and autonomy, the preservation of rural populations, sustainable development and the provision of high-quality and affordable food products for Europeans; points out that food requirements have increased, as has the need to develop environmentally friendly farming practices and the need to tackle climate change; underlines that the CAP is one of the most integrated policies and is mainly financed at EU level and, therefore, replaces national spending;

Amendment

77. Affirms that the common agricultural policy is fundamental for food security and autonomy, the preservation of rural populations, sustainable development and the provision of high-quality and affordable food products for Europeans; ***stresses the need to secure agricultural production in all parts of the EU as well as in the areas of natural constraints***; points out that food requirements have increased, as has the need to develop environmentally friendly farming practices and the need to tackle climate change; underlines that the CAP is one of the most integrated policies and is mainly financed at EU level and, therefore, replaces national spending;

Or. en

Amendment 428

Eider Gardiazabal Rubial, Alfred Sant, Maria Noichl

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 77

Motion for a resolution

77. Affirms that the common agricultural policy is fundamental for food security and autonomy, the preservation of

Amendment

77. Affirms that the common agricultural policy is fundamental for food security and autonomy, the preservation of

rural populations, sustainable development and the provision of high-quality and affordable food products for Europeans; points out that food requirements have increased, as has the need to develop environmentally friendly farming practices and the need to tackle climate change; underlines that the CAP is one of the most integrated policies and is mainly financed at EU level and, therefore, replaces national spending;

rural populations ***and environmental resources***, sustainable development and the provision of high-quality and affordable food products for Europeans; points out that food requirements have increased, as has the need to develop environmentally friendly farming practices and the need to tackle climate change; underlines that the CAP is one of the most integrated policies and is mainly financed at EU level and, therefore, replaces national spending; ***adds that a higher priority to small-scale farmers should be established;***

Or. en

Amendment 429
Maria Noichl, Jens Geier

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 77

Motion for a resolution

77. Affirms that the common agricultural policy is fundamental for food security and autonomy, the preservation of rural populations, sustainable development and the provision of high-quality and affordable food products for Europeans; points out that food requirements have increased, as has the need to develop environmentally friendly farming practices and the need to tackle climate change; underlines that the CAP is one of the most integrated policies and is mainly financed at EU level and, therefore, replaces national spending;

Amendment

77. Affirms that the common agricultural policy is fundamental for food security and autonomy, the preservation of rural populations, sustainable development and the provision of high-quality and affordable food products for Europeans; points out that food requirements have increased, as has the need to develop environmentally friendly farming practices and the need to tackle climate change; ***so that EU citizens understand the rationale behind the agricultural payments;*** underlines that the CAP is one of the most integrated policies and is mainly financed at EU level and, therefore, replaces national spending;

Or. en

Amendment 430
Tiemo Wölken

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 77

Motion for a resolution

77. Affirms that the common agricultural policy *is* fundamental for food security and autonomy, the preservation of rural populations, ***sustainable development and the provision of high-quality and affordable food products for Europeans***; points out that food requirements have increased, as has the need to develop environmentally friendly farming practices and the need to tackle climate change; underlines that the CAP is one of the most integrated policies and is mainly financed at EU level and, therefore, replaces national spending;

Amendment

77. Affirms that the common agricultural policy ***has been*** fundamental for food security and autonomy, the preservation of rural populations; points out that food requirements have increased, as has the need to develop environmentally friendly farming practices and the need to tackle climate change; underlines that the CAP is one of the most integrated policies and is mainly financed at EU level and, therefore, replaces national spending; ***is therefore convinced that the policy has to evolve in order to tackle these challenges, to become a true land use policy covering food and farming***

Or. en

Amendment 431
Inese Vaidere

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 77

Motion for a resolution

77. Affirms that the common agricultural policy is fundamental for food security and autonomy, the preservation of rural populations, sustainable development and the provision of high-quality and affordable food products for Europeans; points out that food requirements have increased, as has the need to develop environmentally friendly farming practices and the need to tackle climate change; underlines that the CAP is one of the most integrated policies and is mainly financed at EU level and, therefore, replaces national spending;

Amendment

77. Affirms that the common agricultural policy is fundamental for food security and autonomy, the preservation of rural populations ***and employment***, sustainable development and the provision of high-quality and affordable food products for Europeans; points out that food requirements have increased, as has the need to develop environmentally friendly farming practices and the need to tackle climate change; underlines that the CAP is one of the most ***successfully*** integrated policies and is mainly financed at EU level and, therefore, replaces national spending;

Amendment 432
Maria Noichl, Jens Geier

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 77

Motion for a resolution

77. Affirms that the common agricultural policy is fundamental for food security and autonomy, the preservation of rural populations, sustainable development and the provision of high-quality and affordable food products for Europeans; points out that food requirements have increased, as has the need to develop environmentally friendly farming practices and the need to tackle climate change; underlines that the CAP is one of the most integrated policies and is mainly financed at EU level and, therefore, replaces national spending;

Amendment

77. Affirms that the common agricultural policy is fundamental for food security and autonomy, the preservation of rural populations, sustainable development and the provision of high-quality, **healthy, sustainable** and affordable food products for Europeans; points out that food requirements have increased, as has the need to develop environmentally friendly farming practices and the need to tackle climate change; underlines that the CAP is one of the most integrated policies and is mainly financed at EU level and, therefore, replaces national spending;

Or. en

Amendment 433
Christofer Fjellner, Gunnar Hökmark

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 77

Motion for a resolution

77. Affirms that the common agricultural policy **is fundamental for** food security **and autonomy**, the preservation of rural populations, sustainable development and the provision of high-quality and affordable food products for Europeans; points out that food requirements have increased, as has the need to develop environmentally friendly farming practices and the need to tackle climate change;

Amendment

77. Affirms that the common agricultural policy **contributes to** food security, the preservation of rural populations, sustainable development and the provision of high-quality and affordable food products for Europeans; points out that food requirements have increased, as has the need to develop environmentally friendly farming practices and the need to tackle climate change;

underlines that the CAP is one of the most integrated policies and is mainly financed at EU level and, therefore, replaces national spending;

underlines that the CAP is one of the most integrated policies and is mainly financed at EU level and, therefore, replaces national spending;

Or. sv

Amendment 434
Bernd Kölmel

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 77

Motion for a resolution

77. Affirms that the common agricultural policy *is* fundamental for food security and autonomy, the preservation of rural populations, sustainable development and the provision of high-quality and affordable food products for Europeans; points out that food requirements have increased, as has the need to develop environmentally friendly farming practices and the need to tackle climate change; ***underlines that the CAP is one of the most integrated policies and is mainly financed at EU level and, therefore, replaces national spending;***

Amendment

77. Affirms that the common agricultural policy ***has been*** fundamental for food security and autonomy, the preservation of rural populations, sustainable development and the provision of high-quality and affordable food products for Europeans; points out that food requirements have increased, as has the need to develop environmentally friendly farming practices and the need to tackle climate change; ***considers however that many CAP actions can be better performed at the national level and questions their European added value;***

Or. en

Amendment 435
Ingeborg Gräßle

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 77 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

77a. Recalls its remarks^{1a} of the unsustainable structure of CAP expenditure: 44.7 % of all Union farms had an annual income of less than EUR 4000, and in 2016 on average the upper 10% of the beneficiaries of CAP direct

Amendment

support received around 60% of the payments^{1b}; points out that in times of volatility or crisis, larger farms do not necessarily need the same degree of support for stabilising farm incomes as smaller farms do, since they often benefit from potential economies of scale that are likely to make them more resilient; considers that the CAP financing schemes could focus more on farmers under special constraints: small farms, in particular those with less than 15ha of land, climatically and geographically challenging areas, and sparsely populated regions, and that for farms with more than 100ha of land there should be a gradual reduction of payments;

^{1a} See paragraph 207 of its resolution of 27 April 2017 with observations forming an integral part of the decisions on discharge in respect of the implementation of the general budget of the European Union for the financial year 2015, Section III – Commission and executive agencies (Texts adopted, P8_TA(2016)0309).

^{1b} See the Indicative figures on the distribution of aid, by size-class of aid, received in the context of direct aid paid to the producers according to Council Regulation (EC) No 1307/2013 (financial year 2016).

Or. en

Amendment 436
Petri Sarvamaa, Ingeborg Gräßle

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 77 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

77a. Recalls its remarks^{1a} of the unsustainable structure of CAP

expenditure: 44.7 % of all Union farms had an annual income of less than EUR 4000, and on average 80 % of the beneficiaries of CAP direct support received around 20 % of the payments; points out that in times of volatility or crisis, larger farms do not necessarily need the same degree of support for stabilising farm incomes as smaller farms do, since they often benefit from potential economies of scale that are likely to make them more resilient; considers that the CAP financing schemes could focus more on farmers under special constraints: small farms, climatically and geographically challenging areas and sparsely populated regions

^{1a} See paragraph 207 of its resolution of 27 April 2017 with observations forming an integral part of the decisions on discharge in respect of the implementation of the general budget of the European Union for the financial year 2015, Section III – Commission and executive agencies (Texts adopted, P8_TA(2016)0309).

Or. en

Amendment 437
Petri Sarvamaa, Ingeborg Gräßle

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 77 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

77b. Calls upon the Commission, as it reflects on a simplified and modernised CAP, to assess whether a different policy design, or a different model of distribution of direct payments, could provide a better means of targeting public funds to agri-environment and climate action objectives; stresses, however, the need to

provide financial compensation to cover the costs of maintaining high standards in food production, and the high production costs associated with the challenging climate condition in some geographical areas, as the farmers in Europe often struggle with global competition;

Or. en

Amendment 438

Bernd Kölmel

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 78

Motion for a resolution

78. Expects the *global amount of direct payments to be kept intact under the next MFF, as they generate clear EU added value and strengthen the single market by avoiding distortions of competition between Member States; opposes any renationalisation and any national co-financing in that respect; stresses the need to increase funding in line with responses to the various cyclical crises in sensitive sectors, to create new instruments that can mitigate price volatility and to increase funding for Programmes of Options Specifically Relating to Remoteness and Insularity (POSEI); concludes, therefore, that the CAP budget in the next MFF should be at least maintained at its current level for the EU-27;*

Amendment

78. Expects the *overall envelope of the CAP to be reduced by up to 30% of its current level in the next MFF; welcomes attempts to renationalise and co-finance the CAP where no added value can be demonstrated;*

Or. en

Amendment 439

Jordi Solé

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 78

Motion for a resolution

78. *Expects the global amount of direct payments to be kept intact under the next MFF, as they generate clear EU added value and strengthen the single market by avoiding distortions of competition between Member States; opposes any renationalisation and any national co-financing in that respect; stresses the need to increase funding in line with responses to the various cyclical crises in sensitive sectors, to create new instruments that can mitigate price volatility and to increase funding for Programmes of Options Specifically Relating to Remoteness and Insularity (POSEI); concludes, therefore, that the CAP budget in the next MFF should be at least maintained at its current level for the EU-27;*

Amendment

78. *stresses that 30% of the CAP subsidies go to 1% of the farmers receiving more than 50 000€ per annum and per beneficiary; proposes therefore to cap direct payments at 50 000€ per annum and per beneficiary; insists that part of those savings should be redistributed in a fair and sustainable way in the CAP budget mainly for new and smaller farmers, for organic farming...; insists on the fact that only 1% of the EU farmers would be impacted by such capping; opposes any renationalisation and any national co-financing in that respect; concludes, therefore, that the CAP budget in the next MFF should be reduced slightly in a fair and sustainable way ;*

Or. en

Amendment 440
Alain Lamassoure, Michel Dantin

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 78

Motion for a resolution

78. *Expects the global amount of direct payments to be kept intact under the next MFF, as they generate clear EU added value and strengthen the single market by avoiding distortions of competition between Member States; opposes any renationalisation and any national co-financing in that respect; stresses the need to increase funding in line with responses to the various cyclical crises in sensitive sectors, to create new instruments that can mitigate price volatility and to increase*

Amendment

78. *Calls for the global amount of direct payments to be kept intact under the next MFF, as they have clear EU added value and strengthen the single market by avoiding distortions of competition between Member States and providing an initial level of income for farmers, which is essential for the viability of farms; opposes any renationalisation of the common agricultural policy and any form of national co-financing of direct payments; stresses the need to reform the*

funding for Programmes of Options Specifically Relating to Remoteness and Insularity (POSEI); concludes, therefore, that the CAP budget in the next MFF should be at least maintained at its current level for the EU-27;

agricultural crisis reserve to make it into a fund for agricultural crises, the management of which would be placed outside the principle of budget annuality, thus allowing for transfers of appropriations from one year to another, in order to provide effective responses to the various cyclical crises affecting sensitive agricultural sectors; considers it essential to support the development of instruments for crisis and risk management and sectoral organisation that can mitigate price volatility; calls for an increase in funding for Programmes of Options Specifically Relating to Remoteness and Insularity (POSEI); concludes, therefore, that the CAP budget in the next MFF should be at least maintained at its current level for the EU-27;

Or. fr

Amendment 441
Tiemo Wölken

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 78

Motion for a resolution

78. *Expects the global amount of direct payments to be kept intact under the next MFF, as they generate clear EU added value and strengthen the single market by avoiding distortions of competition between Member States; opposes any renationalisation and any national co-financing in that respect; stresses the need to increase funding in line with responses to the various cyclical crises in sensitive sectors, to create new instruments that can mitigate price volatility and to increase funding for Programmes of Options Specifically Relating to Remoteness and Insularity (POSEI); concludes, therefore, that the*

Amendment

78. Opposes any renationalisation of the future Land Use Policy; stresses the need to increase funding in line with responses to the various cyclical crises in sensitive sectors, to create new instruments that can mitigate price volatility and to increase funding for Programmes of Options Specifically Relating to Remoteness and Insularity (POSEI);

CAP budget in the next MFF should be at least maintained at its current level for the EU-27;

Or. en

Amendment 442

Răzvan Popa

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 78

Motion for a resolution

78. Expects the global amount of direct payments to be kept intact under the next MFF, as they generate clear EU added value and strengthen the single market by avoiding distortions of competition between Member States; opposes any renationalisation and any national co-financing in that respect; stresses the need to increase funding in line with responses to the various cyclical crises in sensitive sectors, to create new instruments that can mitigate price volatility and to increase funding for Programmes of Options Specifically Relating to Remoteness and Insularity (POSEI); concludes, therefore, that the CAP budget in the next MFF should be at least maintained at its current level for the EU-27;

Amendment

78. Expects the global amount of direct payments to be kept intact under the next MFF, as they generate clear EU added value and strengthen the single market by avoiding distortions of competition between Member States; opposes any renationalisation and any national co-financing in that respect; stresses the need to increase funding in line with responses to the various cyclical crises in sensitive sectors, to create new instruments that can mitigate price volatility and to increase funding for Programmes of Options Specifically Relating to Remoteness and Insularity (POSEI); concludes, therefore, that the CAP budget in the next MFF should be at least maintained at its current level for the EU-27; ***stresses that the convergence of direct payments is essential in reaching a fair single market in the agriculture area with measures towards reaching convergence and uncapped direct payments are essential principles for reaching the objective of an equal level playing field;***

Or. en

Amendment 443

Anneli Jäätteenmäki, Ulrike Müller, Hannu Takkula, Pavel Telička, Nedzhmi Ali, Nils Torvalds

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 78

Motion for a resolution

78. Expects the global amount of direct payments to be kept intact under the next MFF, as they generate clear EU added value and strengthen the single market by avoiding distortions of competition between Member States; opposes any renationalisation and any national co-financing in that respect; stresses the need to increase funding in line with responses to the various cyclical crises in sensitive sectors, to create new instruments that can mitigate price volatility and to increase funding for Programmes of Options Specifically Relating to Remoteness and Insularity (POSEI); concludes, therefore, that the CAP budget in the next MFF should be at least maintained at its current level for the EU-27;

Amendment

78. Expects the global amount of direct payments to be kept intact under the next MFF, as they generate clear EU added value and strengthen the single market by avoiding distortions of competition between Member States; opposes any renationalisation and any national co-financing in that respect; ***while bearing in mind the importance to maintain the variety of measures, including voluntary coupled support, available to Member States to maintain the production on sectors vital for vulnerable areas without distortion effect on internal market*** stresses the need to increase funding in line with responses to the various cyclical crises in sensitive sectors, to create new instruments that can mitigate price volatility and to increase funding for Programmes of Options Specifically Relating to Remoteness and Insularity (POSEI); concludes, therefore, that the CAP budget in the next MFF should be at least maintained at its current level for the EU-27;

Or. en

Amendment 444

Zbigniew Kuźmiuk, Stanisław Ożóg, Czesław Adam Siekierski

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 78

Motion for a resolution

78. Expects the global amount of direct payments to be kept intact under the next MFF, as they generate clear EU added value and strengthen the single market by avoiding distortions of competition

Amendment

78. Expects the global amount of direct payments to be kept intact under the next MFF, as they generate clear EU added value and strengthen the single market by avoiding distortions of competition

between Member States; opposes any renationalisation and any national co-financing in that respect; stresses the need to increase funding in line with responses to the various cyclical crises in sensitive sectors, to create new instruments that can mitigate price volatility and to increase funding for Programmes of Options Specifically Relating to Remoteness and Insularity (POSEI); concludes, therefore, that the CAP budget in the next MFF should be at least maintained at its current level for the EU-27;

between Member States; opposes any renationalisation and any national co-financing in that respect; ***points out, furthermore, that equalising the level of direct payments among the Member States is essential in order to ensure a level playing field in the EU's single market;*** stresses the need to increase funding in line with responses to the various cyclical crises in sensitive sectors, to create new instruments that can mitigate price volatility and to increase funding for Programmes of Options Specifically Relating to Remoteness and Insularity (POSEI); concludes, therefore, that the CAP budget in the next MFF should be at least maintained at its current level for the EU-27;

Or. pl

Amendment 445

José Manuel Fernandes, Marian-Jean Marinescu, Sofia Ribeiro

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 78

Motion for a resolution

78. ***Expects*** the global amount of direct payments ***to be kept intact under the next MFF, as they*** generate clear EU added value and strengthen the single market by avoiding distortions of competition between Member States; opposes any renationalisation and any national co-financing ***in that respect***; stresses the need to increase funding in line with responses to the various cyclical crises in sensitive sectors, to create new instruments that can mitigate price volatility and to increase funding for Programmes of Options Specifically Relating to Remoteness and Insularity (POSEI); ***concludes, therefore, that the CAP budget in the next MFF should be at least maintained at its current level*** for the EU-27;

Amendment

78. ***Stresses that the CAP budget in the next MFF should be at least maintained at its current level for the EU-27 in current prices, including both*** the global amount of direct payments ***and of rural development; underlines that direct payments*** generate clear EU added value and strengthen the single market by avoiding distortions of competition between Member States; opposes any renationalisation and any national co-financing ***for direct payments***; stresses the need to increase funding in line with responses to the various cyclical crises in sensitive sectors, to create new instruments that can mitigate price volatility and to increase funding for Programmes of Options Specifically Relating to Remoteness and Insularity (POSEI); ***calls***

on the Commission to ensure the necessary financial and legal framework for the food supply chain, in order to combat unfair trading practices;

Or. en

Amendment 446
Petri Sarvamaa, Ingeborg Gräßle

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 78

Motion for a resolution

78. *Expects the global amount of direct payments to be kept intact under the next MFF, as they generate clear EU added value and strengthen the single market by avoiding distortions of competition between Member States; opposes any renationalisation and any national co-financing in that respect; stresses the need to increase funding in line with responses to the various cyclical crises in sensitive sectors, to create new instruments that can mitigate price volatility and to increase funding for Programmes of Options Specifically Relating to Remoteness and Insularity (POSEI); concludes, therefore, that the CAP budget in the next MFF should be at least maintained at its current level for the EU-27;*

Amendment

78. *Questions any renationalisation and any national co-financing of direct payments; stresses the need to increase funding in line with responses to the various cyclical crises in sensitive sectors, to create new instruments that can mitigate price volatility and to increase funding for Programmes of Options Specifically Relating to Remoteness and Insularity (POSEI); concludes, therefore, that the CAP budget in the next MFF should be at sufficient level to deal with the real needs of European agriculture*

Or. en

Amendment 447
John Howarth, Paul Brannen

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 78

Motion for a resolution

78. Expects the global amount of direct payments to be kept intact under the next MFF, as they generate clear EU added value and strengthen the single market by avoiding distortions of competition between Member States; opposes any renationalisation and any national co-financing in that respect; stresses the need to increase funding in line with responses to the various cyclical crises in sensitive sectors, to create new instruments that can mitigate price volatility and to increase funding for Programmes of Options Specifically Relating to Remoteness and Insularity (POSEI); concludes, therefore, that the CAP budget in the next MFF should be at least maintained at its current level for the EU-27;

Amendment

78. Expects the global amount of direct payments to be kept intact under the next MFF, as they generate clear EU added value and strengthen the single market by avoiding distortions of competition between Member States; opposes any renationalisation and any national co-financing in that respect; stresses the need to increase funding in line with responses to the various cyclical crises in sensitive sectors, to create new instruments that can mitigate price volatility and to increase funding for Programmes of Options Specifically Relating to Remoteness and Insularity (POSEI); concludes, therefore, that the CAP budget in the next MFF should be at least maintained at its current level for the EU-27; ***subject to an examination of the basis of CAP payments to more effectively address agricultural, rural, and environmental issues and obligations on the sector;***

Or. en

Amendment 448
Maria Noichl, Jens Geier

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 78

Motion for a resolution

78. Expects the global amount of direct payments to be kept intact under the next MFF, as they generate clear EU added value and strengthen the single market by avoiding distortions of competition between Member States; opposes any renationalisation and any national co-financing in that respect; stresses the need to increase funding in line with responses to the various cyclical crises in sensitive sectors, to create new instruments that can

Amendment

78. Expects the global amount of direct payments to be kept intact under the next MFF, as they generate clear EU added value and strengthen the single market by avoiding distortions of competition between Member States; opposes any renationalisation and any national co-financing in that respect; stresses the need to increase funding in line with responses to the various cyclical crises in sensitive sectors, to create new instruments that can

mitigate price volatility and to increase funding for Programmes of Options Specifically Relating to Remoteness and Insularity (POSEI); concludes, therefore, that the CAP budget in the next MFF should be at least maintained at its current level for the EU-27;

mitigate price volatility and to increase funding for Programmes of Options Specifically Relating to Remoteness and Insularity (POSEI); ***stresses the fact that public money for public goods delivered from agriculture should be in the centre of the next MFF***; concludes, therefore, that the CAP budget in the next MFF should be at least maintained at its current level for the EU-27;

Or. en

Amendment 449
Inese Vaidere

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 78

Motion for a resolution

78. Expects the global amount of direct payments to be kept intact under the next MFF, as they generate clear EU added value and strengthen the single market **by** avoiding distortions of competition between Member States; opposes any renationalisation and any national co-financing in that respect; stresses the need to increase funding in line with responses to the various cyclical crises in sensitive sectors, to create new instruments that can mitigate price volatility and to increase funding for Programmes of Options Specifically Relating to Remoteness and Insularity (POSEI); concludes, therefore, that the CAP budget in the next MFF should be at least maintained at its current level for the EU-27;

Amendment

78. Expects the global amount of direct payments to be kept intact under the next MFF, as they generate clear EU added value and strengthen the single market **with completing the convergence of common agricultural policy's direct payments within the next MFF period, thus** avoiding distortions of competition between Member States; opposes any renationalisation and any national co-financing in that respect; stresses the need to increase funding in line with responses to the various cyclical crises in sensitive sectors, to create new instruments that can mitigate price volatility and to increase funding for Programmes of Options Specifically Relating to Remoteness and Insularity (POSEI); concludes, therefore, that the CAP budget in the next MFF should be at least maintained at its current level for the EU-27;

Or. en

Amendment 450
Ingeborg Gräßle

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 78

Motion for a resolution

78. Expects the global amount of direct payments to be kept intact under the next MFF, as they generate clear EU added value and strengthen the single market by avoiding distortions of competition between Member States; opposes any renationalisation and any national co-financing in that respect; stresses the need to increase funding in line with responses to the various cyclical crises in sensitive sectors, to create new instruments that can mitigate price volatility and to increase funding for Programmes of Options Specifically Relating to Remoteness and Insularity (POSEI); ***concludes, therefore, that the CAP budget in the next MFF should be at least maintained at its current level for the EU-27;***

Amendment

78. Expects the global amount of direct payments to be kept intact under the next MFF, as they generate clear EU added value and strengthen the single market by avoiding distortions of competition between Member States; opposes any renationalisation and any national co-financing in that respect; stresses the need to increase funding in line with responses to the various cyclical crises in sensitive sectors, to create new instruments that can mitigate price volatility and to increase funding for Programmes of Options Specifically Relating to Remoteness and Insularity (POSEI);

Or. en

Amendment 451
Eider Gardiazabal Rubial, Maria Noichl

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 78

Motion for a resolution

78. Expects the global amount of direct payments to be kept intact under the next MFF, as they generate clear EU added value and strengthen the single market by avoiding distortions of competition between Member States; opposes any renationalisation and ***any national co-financing*** in that respect; stresses the need to increase funding in line with responses to the various cyclical crises in sensitive

Amendment

78. Expects the global amount of direct payments to be kept intact under the next MFF, as they generate clear EU added value and strengthen the single market by avoiding distortions of competition between Member States; opposes any renationalisation and ***extension of national cofinancing*** in that respect; ***stresses the fact that the new challenges need a sound financial allocation;*** stresses the need to

sectors, to create new instruments that can mitigate price volatility and to increase funding for Programmes of Options Specifically Relating to Remoteness and Insularity (POSEI); concludes, therefore, that the CAP budget in the next MFF should be at least maintained at its current level for the EU-27;

increase funding in line with responses to the various cyclical crises in sensitive sectors, to create new instruments that can mitigate price volatility and to increase funding for Programmes of Options Specifically Relating to Remoteness and Insularity (POSEI); concludes, therefore, that the CAP budget in the next MFF should be at least maintained at its current level for the EU-27;

Or. en

Amendment 452

Gérard Deprez, Jean Arthuis, Urmas Paet, Louis Michel, Nils Torvalds, Pavel Telička, Jan Huitema, Nedzhmi Ali, Gerben-Jan Gerbrandy

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 78

Motion for a resolution

78. Expects the global amount of direct payments to be kept intact under the next MFF, as they generate clear EU added value and strengthen the single market by avoiding distortions of competition between Member States; opposes any renationalisation **and any national co-financing** in that respect; stresses the need to increase funding in line with responses to the various cyclical crises in sensitive sectors, to create new instruments that can mitigate price volatility and to increase funding for Programmes of Options Specifically Relating to Remoteness and Insularity (POSEI); concludes, therefore, that the CAP budget in the next MFF should ***be at least maintained at its current level*** for the EU-27;

Amendment

78. Expects the global amount of direct payments to be kept intact under the next MFF, as they generate clear EU added value and strengthen the single market by avoiding distortions of competition between Member States; opposes any ***total*** renationalisation in that respect; stresses the need to increase funding in line with responses to the various cyclical crises in sensitive sectors, to create new instruments that can mitigate price volatility and to increase funding for Programmes of Options Specifically Relating to Remoteness and Insularity (POSEI); concludes, therefore, that the CAP budget in the next MFF should ***receive an adequate funding*** for the EU-27 ***based on an analysis of the needs and taking into account the evaluation of the implementation of the policy***;

Or. en

Amendment 453
Maria Noichl, Jens Geier

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 78

Motion for a resolution

78. Expects the global amount of *direct* payments to be kept intact under the next MFF, as they generate clear EU added value and strengthen the single market by avoiding distortions of competition between Member States; opposes any renationalisation and any national co-financing in that respect; stresses the need to increase funding in line with responses to the various cyclical crises in sensitive sectors, to create new instruments that can mitigate price volatility and to increase funding for Programmes of Options Specifically Relating to Remoteness and Insularity (POSEI); concludes, therefore, that the CAP budget in the next MFF should be at least maintained at its current level for the EU-27;

Amendment

78. Expects the global amount of *CAP* payments to be kept intact under the next MFF, as they generate clear EU added value and strengthen the single market by avoiding distortions of competition between Member States; opposes any renationalisation and any national co-financing in that respect; stresses the need to increase funding in line with responses to the various cyclical crises in sensitive sectors, to create new instruments that can mitigate price volatility and to increase funding for Programmes of Options Specifically Relating to Remoteness and Insularity (POSEI); concludes, therefore, that the CAP budget in the next MFF should be at least maintained at its current level for the EU-27;

Or. en

Amendment 454
Marco Valli

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 78

Motion for a resolution

78. Expects the global amount of direct payments to be kept intact under the next MFF, as they generate *clear* EU added value and strengthen the single market by avoiding distortions of competition between Member States; opposes any renationalisation and any national co-financing in that respect; stresses the need to increase funding in line with responses to the various cyclical crises in sensitive

Amendment

78. Expects the global amount of direct payments to be kept intact under the next MFF, as they generate EU added value and strengthen the single market by avoiding distortions of competition between Member States; opposes any renationalisation and any national co-financing in that respect; stresses the need to increase funding in line with responses to the various cyclical crises in sensitive

sectors, to create new instruments that can mitigate price volatility and to increase funding for Programmes of Options Specifically Relating to Remoteness and Insularity (POSEI); concludes, therefore, that the CAP budget in the next MFF should be at least maintained at its current level for the EU-27;

sectors, to create new instruments that can mitigate price volatility and to increase funding for Programmes of Options Specifically Relating to Remoteness and Insularity (POSEI); concludes, therefore, that the CAP budget in the next MFF should be at least maintained at its current level for the EU-27;

Or. it

Amendment 455
Nicola Caputo

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 78

Motion for a resolution

78. Expects the global amount of direct payments to be kept intact under the next MFF, as they generate clear EU added value and strengthen the single market by avoiding distortions of competition between Member States; opposes any renationalisation and any national co-financing in that respect; stresses the need to increase funding in line with responses to the various cyclical crises in sensitive sectors, to create new instruments that can mitigate price volatility and to increase funding for Programmes of Options Specifically Relating to Remoteness and Insularity (POSEI); concludes, therefore, that the CAP budget in the next MFF should be *at least maintained at its current level for the EU-27*;

Amendment

78. Expects the global amount of direct payments to be kept intact under the next MFF, as they generate clear EU added value and strengthen the single market by avoiding distortions of competition between Member States; opposes any renationalisation and any national co-financing in that respect; stresses the need to increase funding in line with responses to the various cyclical crises in sensitive sectors, to create new instruments that can mitigate price volatility and to increase funding for Programmes of Options Specifically Relating to Remoteness and Insularity (POSEI); concludes, therefore, that the CAP budget in the next MFF should be *increased*;

Or. it

Amendment 456
Nicola Caputo, Kathleen Van Brempt

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 78 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

78a. *considers that the next MFF should provide sufficient funding to halt biodiversity loss, through the strengthening of the LIFE programme and the establishment of a funding line for the Trans European Natura 2000 Network(TEN-N); calls on the Commission to ensure that future financial instruments for agriculture, rural and regional development contain dedicated envelopes for biodiversity and management of the Natura 2000 network, under co-management by national and regional environmental authorities;*

Or. en

Amendment 457

Younous Omarjee, Marie-Pierre Vieu

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 78 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

78a. *Takes the view that the next MFF should include sufficient funding to prevent loss of biodiversity, by strengthening the LIFE programme and creating a line for green infrastructure and a biodiversity financing instrument to manage Natura 2000, among others;*

Or. fr

Amendment 458

Janusz Lewandowski, Czesław Adam Siekierski, Jarosław Kalinowski

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 78 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

78a. *Underlines the key role of convergence of the direct payments between Member States to ensure a level playing field in the single European market, equal treatment of EU citizens and the implementation of the Treaty objectives in terms of economic and social cohesion;*

Or. en

Amendment 459

Janusz Lewandowski, Czesław Adam Siekierski, Jarosław Kalinowski

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 78 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

78b. *Points out that the numerous EU rural areas face serious problems such as low employment, underdevelopment of technical and social infrastructure, lack of or low level of basic services, which in turn leads to their rapid depopulation; stresses, therefore, that these areas need more support while the criteria for the distribution of the funds should continue to take into account differences in the level of rural development in Member States;*

Or. en

Amendment 460

Younous Omarjee, Marie-Pierre Vieu

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 79

Motion for a resolution

79. Stresses the socioeconomic and ecological importance of the **fisheries sector**, the ‘blue economy’ and their contribution to the food autonomy of the EU; points out that the common fisheries policy **is an exclusive EU competence**; emphasises, in this respect, the need to keep a specific, substantial, independent and accessible **fisheries** fund to implement **this policy**; calls, **at least**, for the level of financial appropriations dedicated to the **fisheries sector** under the current MFF to be maintained **and, if new needs arise, to increase the financial appropriations for maritime affairs**; **warns about the possible negative impacts of a hard Brexit on this sector**; notes that other financial instruments, in addition to non-repayable aid, could provide complementary financing possibilities;

Amendment

79. Stresses the socioeconomic and ecological importance of the **marine environment**, the ‘blue economy’ and their contribution to the food autonomy of the EU; points out that **the EU must achieve good environmental status for its seas as set out in the Marine Strategy Framework Directive, including through** the common fisheries policy **and the integrated maritime policy**; emphasises, in this respect, the need to keep a specific, substantial, independent and accessible **sustainable oceans** fund to implement **the objectives of the Marine Strategy Framework Directive**; calls for the level of financial appropriations dedicated to the **European Maritime and Fisheries Fund** under the current MFF to be maintained **with the aim of achieving sustainable and exemplary fisheries in the EU**; notes that other financial instruments, in addition to non-repayable aid, could provide complementary financing possibilities;

Or. fr

Amendment 461

Jordi Solé

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 79

Motion for a resolution

79. Stresses the socioeconomic and ecological importance of the fisheries sector, the ‘blue economy’ and their contribution to the food autonomy of the EU; points out that the common fisheries policy is an exclusive EU competence; emphasises, in this respect, the need to keep a specific, substantial, independent and accessible fisheries fund to implement this policy; calls, at least, for the level of

Amendment

79. Stresses the socioeconomic and ecological importance of the fisheries sector, the ‘blue economy’ and their contribution to the food autonomy of the EU; points out that the common fisheries policy is an exclusive EU competence; emphasises, in this respect, the need to keep a specific, substantial, independent and accessible fisheries fund to implement this policy; calls, at least, for the level of

financial appropriations dedicated to the fisheries sector under the current MFF to be maintained and, if new needs arise, to increase the financial appropriations for maritime affairs; warns about the possible negative impacts of a hard Brexit on this sector; notes that other financial instruments, in addition to non-repayable aid, could provide complementary financing possibilities;

financial appropriations dedicated to the fisheries sector under the current MFF to be maintained and, if new needs arise, to increase the financial appropriations for maritime affairs; warns about the possible negative impacts of a hard Brexit on this sector; notes that other financial instruments, in addition to non-repayable aid, could provide complementary financing possibilities; ***stresses that the fisheries policy is a critically important fund for promoting the transformation of fishing into an environmentally sustainable industry that maintains fish at abundant levels and allows profitable fishing that no longer requires financial support; is of the opinion that the Fund must continue its transformation away from direct subsidies to the fleet to measures to reduce the environmental impact of fishing, provide safe and healthy food and ensure sufficient money for scientific research and fisheries controls;***

Or. en

Amendment 462
Marco Valli

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 79

Motion for a resolution

79. Stresses the socioeconomic and ecological importance of the fisheries sector, the ‘blue economy’ and their contribution to the food autonomy of the EU; points out that the common fisheries policy is an exclusive EU competence; emphasises, in this respect, the need to keep a specific, substantial, independent and accessible fisheries fund to implement this policy; calls, at least, for the level of financial appropriations dedicated to the fisheries sector under the current MFF to

Amendment

79. Stresses the socioeconomic and ecological importance of the fisheries sector, the ‘blue economy ***and blue growth***’ and their contribution to the food autonomy of the EU; points out that the common fisheries policy is an exclusive EU competence, ***while at the same time encouraging regionalisation, particularly when it comes to fish stock management;*** emphasises, in this respect, the need to keep a specific, substantial, independent and accessible fisheries fund, ***available for***

be maintained and, if new needs arise, to increase the financial appropriations for maritime affairs; warns about the possible negative impacts of a hard Brexit on this sector; notes that other financial instruments, in addition to non-repayable aid, could provide complementary financing possibilities;

use by small-scale coastal fishermen also, to implement this policy; calls, at least, for the level of financial appropriations dedicated to the fisheries sector under the current MFF to be maintained, *for access to funding to be simplified and accelerated* and, if new needs arise, to increase the financial appropriations for maritime affairs; warns about the possible negative impacts of a hard Brexit on this sector; notes that other financial instruments, in addition to non-repayable aid, could provide complementary financing possibilities;

Or. it

Amendment 463

José Manuel Fernandes, Sofia Ribeiro, Cláudia Monteiro de Aguiar, Gabriel Mato, Maurice Ponga

Motion for a resolution Paragraph 79

Motion for a resolution

79. Stresses the socioeconomic and ecological importance of the fisheries sector, the ‘blue economy’ and their contribution to the food autonomy of the EU; points out that the common fisheries policy is an exclusive EU competence; emphasises, in this respect, the need to keep a specific, substantial, independent and accessible fisheries fund to implement this policy; calls, at least, for the level of financial appropriations dedicated to the fisheries sector under the current MFF to be maintained and, if new needs arise, to increase the financial appropriations for maritime affairs; warns about the possible negative impacts of a hard Brexit on this sector; notes that other financial instruments, in addition to non-repayable aid, could provide complementary financing possibilities;

Amendment

79. Stresses the socioeconomic and ecological importance of the fisheries sector, the ‘blue economy’ and their contribution to the food autonomy of the EU; points out that the common fisheries policy is an exclusive EU competence; emphasises, in this respect, the need to keep a specific, substantial, independent and accessible fisheries fund to implement this policy; *calls for the reestablishment of the Program of Options Specifically relating to Remoteness and Insularity in Fisheries (POSEI Fisheries), as this is a very important program for the European Outermost Regions*; calls, at least, for the level of financial appropriations dedicated to the fisheries sector under the current MFF to be maintained and, if new needs arise, to increase the financial appropriations for maritime affairs; warns about the possible negative impacts of a

hard Brexit on this sector; notes that other financial instruments, in addition to non-repayable aid, could provide complementary financing possibilities;

Or. en

Amendment 464
Eider Gardiazabal Rubial

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 79

Motion for a resolution

79. Stresses the socioeconomic and ecological importance of the fisheries sector, the ‘blue economy’ and their contribution to the food autonomy of the EU; points out that the common fisheries policy is an exclusive EU competence; emphasises, in this respect, the need to keep a specific, substantial, independent and accessible fisheries fund to implement this policy; calls, at least, for the level of financial appropriations dedicated to the fisheries sector under the current MFF to be maintained and, if new needs arise, to increase the financial appropriations for maritime affairs; warns about the possible negative impacts of a hard Brexit on this sector; notes that other financial instruments, in addition to non-repayable aid, could provide complementary financing possibilities;

Amendment

79. Stresses the socioeconomic and ecological importance of the fisheries sector, the ‘blue economy’ and their contribution to the *sustainable* food autonomy of the EU; points out that the common fisheries policy is an exclusive EU competence; emphasises, in this respect, the need to keep a specific, substantial, independent and accessible fisheries fund to implement this policy; calls, at least, for the level of financial appropriations dedicated to the fisheries sector under the current MFF to be maintained and, if new needs arise, to increase the financial appropriations for maritime affairs; warns about the possible negative impacts of a hard Brexit on this sector; notes that other financial instruments, in addition to non-repayable aid, could provide complementary financing possibilities;

Or. en

Amendment 465
Liadh Ní Riada
on behalf of the GUE/NGL Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 79 a (new)

79a. *Underlines socio-economic objectives and increased regionalization to be central tenets of the new Common Fisheries Policy, without prejudice to environmental sustainability; this shall include broadening the scope of the European Maritime & Fisheries Fund, easier access to financing, the simplification of application procedures for funding mechanisms and relevant programs in particular for Fisheries Local Action Groups and cooperatives, within the context of Member States' operational programs to reduce administrative burdens and associated costs;*

Or. en

Amendment 466

Jordi Solé, Heidi Hautala

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 80

80. Stresses *the importance of the EU's leading role in tackling climate change and its internal and external biodiversity commitments and goals*; asks for appropriate financial resources to be provided to implement the Paris agreement and thorough climate mainstreaming of future EU spending; recalls that the next MFF should help the Union to achieve its 2030 climate and energy framework objectives; underlines that the EU should not finance projects and investments that are contrary to the achievement of these goals;

80. Stresses *that LIFE + is one key programme in order to contribute to the shift towards a resource-efficient, low-carbon and climate- resilient economy, to the protection and improvement of the quality of the environment and to halting and reversing biodiversity loss, including the support of the Natura 2000 network and tackling the degradation of ecosystems; asks for tripling its budget and to spend, at least, 1% of the EU budget for LIFE +; furthermore* asks for appropriate financial resources to be provided to implement the Paris agreement, *the Agenda 2030 for sustainable development and the targets*

of the Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD) and thorough climate and environment mainstreaming of future EU spending; recalls that the next MFF should help the Union to achieve its 2030 climate and energy framework objectives; underlines that the EU should not finance projects and investments that are contrary to the achievement of these goals;

Or. en

Amendment 467

Anneli Jäätteenmäki, Ulrike Müller, Gérard Deprez, Nedzhmi Ali, Jean Arthuis, Fredrick Federley

Motion for a resolution Paragraph 80

Motion for a resolution

80. Stresses the *importance of the EU's leading role in tackling climate change and* its internal and external biodiversity commitments and goals; *asks for appropriate financial resources to be provided to implement the Paris agreement and thorough climate mainstreaming of future EU spending;* recalls that the next MFF should help the Union to achieve its 2030 climate and energy framework objectives; underlines that the EU should not finance projects and investments that are contrary to the achievement of these goals;

Amendment

80. Stresses the *need for appropriate financial resources to be provided to ensure that EU can meet its international obligations made under the Paris Agreement and UN Sustainable Development Goals as well as* its internal and external biodiversity commitments and goals; *underlines the importance of sustaining and strengthening the EU's leading role in tackling climate change;* recalls that the next MFF should help the Union to achieve its 2030 climate and energy framework objectives; underlines that the EU should not finance projects and investments that are contrary to the achievement of these goals; *calls for thorough climate mainstreaming of future EU spending;*

Or. en

Amendment 468

Nils Torvalds, Gerben-Jan Gerbrandy

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 80

Motion for a resolution

80. Stresses the importance of the EU's leading role in tackling climate change and its internal and external biodiversity commitments and goals; *asks for appropriate financial resources to be provided to implement the Paris agreement and thorough climate mainstreaming of future EU spending; recalls that the next MFF should help the Union to achieve its 2030 climate and energy framework objectives; underlines that the EU should not finance projects and investments that are contrary to the achievement of these goals;*

Amendment

80. Stresses the importance of ***preserving, protecting and improving the quality of the environment; underlines*** the EU's leading role in tackling climate change and its internal and external biodiversity commitments and goals; ***considers that stable and appropriate funding is essential to achieving the European Union's international commitments, in particular the 2030 Agenda, the Paris agreement and the Convention on Biological Diversity; recognises that new financing tools and different approaches to current investment policy, such as the phase out of environmentally harmful subsidies and high-emission projects, are necessary for the achievement of these goals;***

Or. en

Amendment 469
Eider Gardiazabal Rubial

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 80

Motion for a resolution

80. Stresses the importance of the EU's leading role in tackling climate change and its internal and external biodiversity commitments and goals; asks for appropriate financial resources to be provided to implement the Paris agreement and thorough climate mainstreaming of future EU spending; recalls that the next MFF should help the Union to achieve its 2030 climate and energy framework objectives; underlines that the EU should not finance projects and investments that are contrary to the achievement of these

Amendment

80. Stresses the importance of the EU's leading role in tackling climate change and its internal and external biodiversity commitments and goals; asks for appropriate financial resources to be provided to implement the Paris agreement and thorough climate mainstreaming of future EU spending; recalls that the next MFF should help the Union to achieve its 2030 climate and energy framework objectives; underlines that the EU should not finance projects and investments that are contrary to the achievement of these

goals;

goals; *especially asks to properly fund programmes safeguarding nature conservation, biodiversity and protecting the environment such as LIFE+ to be continued and strengthened;*

Or. en

Amendment 470

Miroslav Poche, Pavel Poc

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 80

Motion for a resolution

80. Stresses the importance of the EU's leading role in tackling climate change and its internal and external biodiversity commitments and goals; asks for appropriate financial resources to be provided to implement the Paris agreement and thorough climate mainstreaming of future EU spending; recalls that the next MFF should help the Union to achieve its 2030 climate and energy framework objectives; underlines that the EU should not finance projects and investments that are contrary to the achievement of these goals;

Amendment

80. Stresses the importance of the EU's leading role in tackling climate change and its internal and external biodiversity commitments and goals; asks for appropriate financial resources to be provided to implement the Paris agreement and thorough climate mainstreaming of future EU spending; recalls that the next MFF should help the Union to achieve its 2030 climate and energy framework objectives *and should contribute to transition to low-carbon economy by 2050*; underlines that the EU should not finance projects and investments that are contrary to the achievement of these goals;

Or. en

Amendment 471

Marco Valli

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 80

Motion for a resolution

80. Stresses the importance of the EU's leading role in tackling climate change and its internal and external biodiversity

Amendment

80. Stresses the importance of the EU's leading role in tackling climate change and its internal and external biodiversity

commitments and goals; asks for appropriate financial resources to be provided to implement the Paris agreement and thorough climate mainstreaming of future EU spending; recalls that the next MFF should help the Union to achieve its 2030 climate and energy framework objectives; underlines that the EU should not finance projects and investments that are contrary to the achievement of these goals;

commitments and goals; asks for appropriate financial resources to be provided to implement the Paris agreement and thorough climate mainstreaming of future EU spending; recalls that the next MFF should help the Union to achieve its 2030 climate and energy framework objectives; underlines that the EU should not finance projects and investments that are contrary to *or hamper* the achievement of these goals;

Or. it

Amendment 472

Anneli Jäätteenmäki, Frédérique Ries, Gérard Deprez, Urmaz Paet, Nedzhmi Ali, Fredrick Federley, Nils Torvalds

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 80 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

80a. Draws attention to the first recommendations of the High Level Expert Group on Sustainable Finance^{1a}, including those relating to the multiannual financial framework, and emphasize that the sustainability objectives must be supported by a financial system capable of promoting long-term, sustainable growth; calls on the Commission also to examine and address these recommendations for the next Multiannual Financial Framework, including the need to develop a 'sustainability test' for all future EU financial regulations and policies, and for financial instruments to achieve greater policy steering effects;

1a

https://ec.europa.eu/info/sites/info/files/170713-sustainable-finance-report_en.pdf

Or. en

Amendment 473

Jens Geier

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 80 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

80a. *Takes note that OLAF repeatedly found severe cases of customs fraud in Member states which have created a significant loss of income for the Union budget; points to the ECA special report 19/2017 on import procedures and is concerned that fraudsters will continue to find the ‘weakest link’ among Member states as their points of entry to the customs union, and that losses to the Union budget will continue even during the next MFF; asks those Member states that objected to the Union legal framework for customs infringements and sanctions to reconsider their position in order to allow for a speedy solution of this problem;*

Or. en

Amendment 474

Nils Torvalds, Gerben-Jan Gerbrandy

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 80 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

80a. *Reiterates that since at least 20% of the EU budget for 2014-2020 should be spent on climate change related action; considers that the next MFF should offset the lower allocations from the first half of the current MFF and that overall target be increased to 30%; furthermore calls on the Commission to guarantee that the mechanism and methodology of climate*

change mainstreaming is fully optimised;

Or. en

Amendment 475

Eider Gardiazabal Rubial, Arndt Kohn

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 80 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

80a. *Calls for measures to improve the protection of the EU's traditional own revenue sources from fraud; calls to propose an EU action programme within the new Multiannual Financial Framework to contribute to the completion and financial sustainability of the customs European Information Systems;*

Or. en

Amendment 476

Nils Torvalds, Gerben-Jan Gerbrandy

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 80 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

80b. *Points out that all expenditure under the next MFF should be consistent with the EU's objective, in the context of necessary reductions according to the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change by developed countries as a group, to reduce emissions by 80-95% by 2050 compared to 1990 levels and the objective under the Paris Agreement to reach a balance between anthropogenic emissions by sources and removals by sinks of greenhouse gases in the second half of this century;*

Amendment 477
Nils Torvalds, Gerben-Jan Gerbrandy

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 80 c (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

80c. Stresses the environmental significance and socio-economic benefits of biodiversity, for example through ecosystem services and natural capital; notes that biodiversity loss will not be reversed without substantial additional efforts at a local, regional, national and supranational level; calls on the Commission to establish a tracking mechanism for EU biodiversity spending in order to improve transparency, accountability and effectiveness and integrate these into the next MFF;