



EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT

2009 - 2014

Committee on Budgetary Control

2009/2127(DEC)

8.9.2010

AMENDMENTS

1 - 11

Draft report
Véronique Mathieu
(PE443.079v01-00)

on discharge in respect of the implementation of the budget of the European
Police College for the financial year 2008
(C7-0198/2009 – 2009/2127(DEC))

AM\829459EN.doc

PE448.694v01-00

EN

United in diversity

EN

AM_Com_NonLegReport

Amendment 1
Véronique Mathieu

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 2 – introductory part

Motion for a resolution

2. Considers unacceptable the lack of responsibility and professionalism of **Mr. Goranson**, the **College's** director responsible to Parliament for the implementation of the 2008 budget; stresses the following findings reported by the Czech Presidency on 18 May 2009:

Amendment

2. Considers unacceptable the lack of responsibility and professionalism of the **former** director responsible to Parliament for the implementation of the 2008 budget; stresses the following findings reported by the Czech Presidency on 18 May 2009:

Or. fr

Amendment 2
Jens Geier and Georgios Stavrakakis

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 2 – indent 2

Motion for a resolution

- Communication within the secretariat: the lack of communication and trust between the director and the staff led to prolonged disputes,

Amendment

- Communication within the secretariat: the lack of communication and trust between the director and the staff led to prolonged disputes, ***focussed in large part on the failure to establish a correct claiming system for legitimate staff travel and mobile phone costs associated with the work of the agency,***

Or. en

Amendment 3
Véronique Mathieu

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 2 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

2a. Deplores the fact that CEPOL's Governing Board did not make a proper response to the former director's managerial failings, out of concern not to harm the agency's image;

Or. fr

Amendment 4
Véronique Mathieu

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 2 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

2b. Considers it unacceptable that the Governing Board decided not to take disciplinary action mainly because of the possibility of legal action by the former director;

Or. fr

Amendment 5
Jens Geier and Georgios Stavrakakis

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 4

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

4. Suggests, therefore, ***attaching the College to Europol as a concrete solution to the College's structural and chronic problems;***

4. Suggests, therefore, ***that the Court of Auditors carry out a comprehensive review of regulatory agencies to examine, inter alia, the proportion of operating, governance and operational costs and assess ways of addressing structural or other problems, with a view to complementing the Commission's own evaluation of regulatory agencies;***

Amendment 6
Gerben-Jan Gerbrandy

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 4

Motion for a resolution

4. Suggests, therefore, attaching the College to Europol as a concrete solution to the College's structural and chronic problems;

Amendment

4. Suggests, therefore, ***examining the possibility of*** attaching the College to Europol as a concrete solution to the College's structural and chronic problems;

Or. en

Amendment 7
Jens Geier and Georgios Stavrakakis

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 7

Motion for a resolution

7. Notes that, at the request of the Parliament to adopt an Action Plan, the director of the College and its Governing Board have estimated a realistic four-year period (i.e. from 2010 to 2014) in which to meet the objectives set out in the Annex to the Parliament's abovementioned resolution of 5 May 2010; ***is, therefore, not ready to accept that the College needs four more years to reach an acceptable standard of good administration as expected from a regulatory agency;***

Amendment

7. Notes that, at the request of the Parliament to adopt an Action Plan, the director of the College and its Governing Board have estimated a realistic four-year period (i.e. from 2010 to 2014) in which to meet the objectives set out in the Annex to the Parliament's abovementioned resolution of 5 May 2010, ***and welcomes the cooperation signalled by the new Director's consultation of the Commission's Internal Audit Service during the course of production of the Action Plan;***

Or. en

Amendment 8
Gerben-Jan Gerbrandy

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 8

Motion for a resolution

8. Requests that the Director of the College inform the discharge authority on the progress of the implementation of the Action Plan every **three** months;

Amendment

8. Requests that the Director of the College inform the discharge authority on the progress of the implementation of the Action Plan every **six** months;

Or. en

Amendment 9
Véronique Mathieu

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 11

Motion for a resolution

11. Stresses that, in its special annual report for 2006, the Court of Auditors had already mentioned that the College had not established the necessary systems and procedures to enable it to prepare a Financial Regulation applicable to the Agencies;

Amendment

11. Stresses that, in its special annual report for 2006, the Court of Auditors had already mentioned that the College had not established the necessary systems and procedures to enable it to prepare a **financial report in compliance with the requirements of the framework** Financial Regulation applicable to the Agencies;

Or. en

Amendment 10
Jens Geier and Georgios Stavrakakis,

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 19

Motion for a resolution

19. **Regrets** that **the** external audit on **using** appropriations to finance private expenditure **announced by CEPOL and to**

Amendment

19. **Urges** that **a decision to commission any further** external audit on **use of** appropriations to finance private

be carried out by an external company, *has not been launched yet; is, therefore, concerned about this delay which certainly will not facilitate the work of the external company;*

expenditure by an external company *should take into consideration the need for value for money, and that the expectation of amounts to be recovered should exceed the likely audit and legal costs involved;*

Or. en

Amendment 11
Véronique Mathieu

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 20

Motion for a resolution

20. Notes that in its response following the hearing of January 25 2010, the director at that time, **Mr. Goranson**, announced to the members of the Committee on Budgetary Control that funds had been recovered; remarks, however, that GBP 2 320.77 on transport costs and taxis for 2007 seems not to have been recovered yet;

Amendment

20. Notes that in its response following the hearing of January 25 2010, the director at that time announced to the members of the Committee on Budgetary Control that funds had been recovered; remarks, however, that GBP 2 320.77 on transport costs and taxis for 2007 seems not to have been recovered yet;

Or. fr