

2014 - 2019

Committee on Budgetary Control

2014/2040(BUD)

24.7.2014

AMENDMENTS 1-4

Draft opinion Markus Pieper(PE536.026v01-00)

on the General budget of the European Union for the financial year 2015 – all sections (2014/2040(BUD))

AM\1032149EN.doc PE537.190v01-00

AM_Com_NonLegOpinion

AM\1032149EN.doc PE537.190v01-00 2/5 AM\



Amendment 1 Marco Valli, Marco Zanni

Draft opinion Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. Recalls its deep concern about the fact that the Commission finds it increasingly difficult to honour all payments requests in the year within the limits of the payment appropriations originally voted and stresses that one reason for this situation is that commitment appropriations have been close to the ceiling defined while payment appropriations have been kept to a minimum during each period;

Amendment

1. Recalls its deep concern about the fact that the Commission finds it increasingly difficult to honour all payment requests in the year within the limits of the payment appropriations originally voted and stresses that one reason for this situation is that commitment appropriations have been close to the ceiling defined while payment appropriations have been kept to a minimum during each period; considers, nonetheless, that the continuous requests to raise the level of payment funding during the year, through amending budgets, run counter to the principle of sound financial management and calls on the Commission to plan its spending in a more realistic manner in order to avoid continuous requests for additional funding which are not due to emergency situations and which conflict with the Member States' need to contain their budgets:

Or. it

Amendment 2 Derek Vaughan

Draft opinion Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. Recalls its deep concern about the fact that the Commission finds it increasingly difficult to honour all payments requests in the year within the limits of the payment

Amendment

1. Recalls its deep concern about the fact that the Commission finds it increasingly difficult to honour all payments requests in the year within the limits of the payment

AM\1032149EN.doc PE537.190v01-00 3/5 AM\

EN

appropriations originally voted and stresses that one reason for this situation is that commitment appropriations have been close to the ceiling defined while payment appropriations have been kept to a minimum during each period; appropriations originally voted and stresses that one reason for this situation is that commitment appropriations have been close to the ceiling defined while payment appropriations have been kept to a minimum during each period; calls on the Commission to provide information on when resulting delays in payments are likely to occur;

Or. en

Amendment 3 Marco Valli, Marco Zanni

Draft opinion Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. *Fears* that the Council will keep following its strategy during the 2014-2020 period to cut the level of payments, without taking into consideration real needs for which a sustainable solution must be found;

Amendment

2. Considers that the Council will keep following its strategy during the 2014-2020 period to cut the level of payments, without taking into consideration real needs for which a sustainable solution must be found; calls on the Commission to identify which programmes do not provide EU added value and have not performed efficiently in order to discontinue them and free up resources to be used efficiently;

Or. it

Amendment 4 Marco Valli, Marco Zanni

Draft opinion Paragraph 12 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

12a. Points out that the EU budget is never actually in balance in accordance

AM\1032149EN.doc PE537.190v01-00 4/5 AM\

with Article 310 TFEU; considers that the current amount of RAL is a significant indication of how the EU budget has, for years, been infringing this principle and takes the view that this seriously undermines the legality of the entire EU construction;

Or. it

AM\1032149EN.doc PE537.190v01-00 5/5 AM\

EN