



2015/2202(DEC)

4.3.2016

AMENDMENTS

1 - 6

Draft report
Marian-Jean Marinescu
(PE571.621v01-00)

on discharge in respect of the implementation of the budget of the ENIAC Joint Undertaking for the financial year 2014
(2015/2202(DEC))

Amendment 1
Marian-Jean Marinescu

Proposal for a decision 1
Paragraph 1

Proposal for a decision

1. Grants the Executive Director of the ECSEL Joint Undertaking discharge in respect of the implementation of the ENIAC Joint Undertaking's budget for the financial year 2014 / Postpones its decision on granting the Executive Director of the ECSEL Joint Undertaking discharge in respect of the implementation of the ENIAC Joint Undertaking's budget for the financial year 2014;

Amendment

1. Postpones its decision on granting the Executive Director of the ECSEL Joint Undertaking discharge in respect of the implementation of the ENIAC Joint Undertaking's budget for the financial year 2014;

Or. en

Amendment 2
Raffaele Fitto, Ryszard Czarnecki, Beata Gosiewska, Anders Primdahl Vistisen, Richard Sulík

Proposal for a decision 1
Paragraph 1

Proposal for a decision

1. Grants the Executive Director of the ECSEL Joint Undertaking discharge in respect of the implementation of the ENIAC Joint Undertaking's budget for the financial year 2014 / Postpones its decision on granting the Executive Director of the ECSEL Joint Undertaking discharge in respect of the implementation of the ENIAC Joint Undertaking's budget for the financial year 2014;

Amendment

1. Postpones its decision on granting the Executive Director of the ECSEL Joint Undertaking discharge in respect of the implementation of the ENIAC Joint Undertaking's budget for the financial year 2014;

Or. en

Amendment 3
Marian-Jean Marinescu

Proposal for a decision 2
Paragraph 1

Proposal for a decision

1. *Approves the closure of the accounts of the ENIAC Joint Undertaking for the financial year 2014* / Postpones the closure of the accounts of the ENIAC Joint Undertaking for the financial year 2014;

Amendment

1. Postpones the closure of the accounts of the ENIAC Joint Undertaking for the financial year 2014;

Or. en

Amendment 4
Raffaele Fitto, Ryszard Czarnecki, Beata Gosiewska, Anders Primdahl Vistisen, Richard Sulík

Proposal for a decision 2
Paragraph 1

Proposal for a decision

1. *Approves the closure of the accounts of the ENIAC Joint Undertaking for the financial year 2014* / Postpones the closure of the accounts of the ENIAC Joint Undertaking for the financial year 2014;

Amendment

1. Postpones the closure of the accounts of the ENIAC Joint Undertaking for the financial year 2014;

Or. en

Amendment 5
Marian-Jean Marinescu

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 4

Motion for a resolution

4. *As the Joint Undertaking merged with Artemis in June 2014, the discharge authority, calls on ECSEL JTI not to perpetuate the above, to assess the*

Amendment

4. *calls on the ECSEL Joint Undertaking, following the assessment of the procedures applied by the National Authorities, to invite the National*

procedures followed in order to avoid that the legality and regularity of the underlying transactions be put into question in the future, and to report to the discharge authority on the outcome of such an assessment;

Authorities to produce evidence that the implementation of the national procedures provides a reasonable assurance on the legality and regularity of transactions;

Or. en

Amendment 6
Marian-Jean Marinescu

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 4 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

4 a. Notes that the Court's report includes a qualified opinion which is based on the lack of information necessary to calculate either a weighted error rate or a residual error rate following the ex post audits by NFAs. Invites the European Court of Auditors to collect additional and necessary documents and information, for which the Joint Undertaking is not empowered to require, from the national audit bodies of the Member States and/or the national competent departments, in accordance with the provisions of Article 287(3) of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union. Moreover, invites the Court to use this additional information as an alternative way to justify its opinion and to report to the discharge authority on its assessment of these additional elements.

Or. en