



26.9.2018

# **AMENDMENTS**

## **1 - 85**

### **Draft position in the form of amendments**

**Iris Hoffmann**

Common provisions on the European Regional Development Fund, the European Social Fund Plus, the Cohesion Fund, and the European Maritime and Fisheries Fund and financial rules for those and for the Asylum and Migration Fund, the Internal Security Fund and the Border Management and Visa Instrument

Proposal for a regulation

(COM(2018)0375 – C8-0230/2018 – 2018/0196(COD))

AM\_Com\_LegPosition

**Amendment 1**  
**Luke Ming Flanagan**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Recital 4**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(4) The outermost regions and the northern sparsely populated regions should benefit from specific measures and from additional funding pursuant to Article 349 of the TFEU and Article 2 of Protocol No 6 to the 1994 Act of Accession.

*Amendment*

(4) The outermost regions and the northern sparsely populated regions should benefit from specific measures and from additional funding pursuant to Article 349 of the TFEU and Article 2 of Protocol No 6 to the 1994 Act of Accession; ***allowance must also be made for the fact that post-Brexit, many regions in Ireland north and south, but especially in the border areas, will be severely negatively impacted, with new measures necessary to alleviate that impact;***

Or. en

**Amendment 2**  
**Andrey Novakov, Constanze Krehl**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Recital 12**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

***(12) At Union level, the European Semester of economic policy coordination is the framework to identify national reform priorities and monitor their implementation. Member States develop their own national multiannual investment strategies in support of these reform priorities. These strategies should be presented alongside the yearly National Reform Programmes as a way to outline and coordinate priority investment projects to be supported by national and Union funding. They should also serve to use Union funding in a coherent manner and to maximise the added value of the***

*Amendment*

***deleted***

*financial support to be received notably from the Funds, the European Investment Stabilisation Function and InvestEU.*

Or. en

**Amendment 3**

**Marco Valli, Laura Agea, Rosa D'Amato**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Recital 18 a (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

***(18a) When selecting projects, it is necessary to ensure a result-driven approach; to this end, Member States should make a comparison of the applications for funding and evaluate the results on the basis of the relevant indicators included in the project. The selection of projects should be based on a direct comparison of applications, avoiding the use of the 'first come, first served' concept.***

Or. it

**Amendment 4**

**Iris Hoffmann**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Recital 19**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

(19) The Member State should carry out a mid-term review of each programme supported by the ERDF, the ESF+ and the Cohesion Fund. That review should provide a fully-fledged adjustment of programmes based on programme performance, while also providing an opportunity to take account of new challenges and relevant CSRs issued in

(19) The Member State should carry out a mid-term review of each programme supported by the ERDF, the ESF+ and the Cohesion Fund. That review should provide a fully-fledged adjustment of programmes based on programme performance, while also providing an opportunity to take account of new challenges and relevant CSRs issued in

2024. In parallel, in 2024 the Commission should, together with the technical adjustment for the year 2025, review all Member States' total allocations under the Investment for jobs **and** growth goal of cohesion policy for the years 2025, 2026 and 2027, applying the allocation method set out in the relevant basic act. That review together with the outcome of the mid-term review should result in programme amendments modifying the financial allocations for the years 2025, 2026 and 2027.

2024. In parallel, in 2024 the Commission should, together with the technical adjustment for the year 2025, review all Member States' total allocations under the Investment for jobs, growth **and social inclusion** goal of cohesion policy for the years 2025, 2026 and 2027, applying the allocation method set out in the relevant basic act. That review together with the outcome of the mid-term review should result in programme amendments modifying the financial allocations for the years 2025, 2026 and 2027.

Or. en

## **Amendment 5**

### **Iris Hoffmann**

#### **Proposal for a regulation**

#### **Recital 20**

##### *Text proposed by the Commission*

(20) Mechanisms to ensure a link between Union funding policies and the economic governance of the Union should be further refined, allowing the Commission to make a proposal to the Council to suspend all or part of the commitments for one or more of the programmes of the Member State concerned where that Member State fails to take effective action in the context of the economic governance process. In order to ensure uniform implementation and in view of the importance of the financial effects of measures being imposed, implementing powers should be conferred on the Council which should act on the basis of a Commission proposal. To facilitate the adoption of decisions which are required to ensure effective action in the context of the economic governance process, reversed qualified majority voting should be used.

##### *Amendment*

(20) Mechanisms to ensure a link between Union funding policies and the economic governance of the Union should be further refined, allowing the Commission to make a proposal to the Council to suspend all or part of the commitments for one or more of the programmes of the Member State concerned where that Member State fails to take effective action in the context of the economic governance process. In order to ensure uniform implementation and in view of the importance of the financial effects of measures being imposed, implementing powers should be conferred on the Council which should act on the basis of a Commission proposal **and after consulting the European Parliament**. To facilitate the adoption of decisions which are required to ensure effective action in the context of the economic governance process, reversed qualified majority voting should be used.

**Amendment 6**  
**Luke Ming Flanagan**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Recital 22**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(22) In order to allow for flexibility in programme implementation and reduce administrative burden, limited financial transfers should be allowed between priorities of the same programme without requiring a Commission decision amending the programme. The revised financial tables should be submitted to the Commission in order to ensure up-to-date information on financial allocations for each priority.

*Amendment*

(22) In order to allow for flexibility in programme implementation and reduce administrative burden, limited financial transfers - ***those limits to be pre-set*** - should be allowed between priorities of the same programme without requiring a Commission decision amending the programme. The revised financial tables should be submitted to the Commission in order to ensure up-to-date information on financial allocations for each priority.

**Amendment 7**  
**Luke Ming Flanagan**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Recital 27**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(27) In order to examine the performance of the programmes, the Member State should set up monitoring committees. For the ERDF, the ESF+ and the Cohesion Fund, annual implementation reports should be replaced by an annual structured policy dialogue based on the latest information and data on programme implementation made available by the Member State.

*Amendment*

(27) In order to examine the performance of the programmes, the Member State should, ***in a transparent manner***, set up monitoring committees. For the ERDF, the ESF+ and the Cohesion Fund, annual implementation reports should be replaced by an annual structured policy dialogue based on the latest information and data on programme implementation made available by the Member State.

## Amendment 8

Marco Valli, Laura Agea, Rosa D'Amato

### Proposal for a regulation

#### Recital 31

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(31) Programme authorities, beneficiaries and stakeholders in Member States ***should raise awareness of*** the achievements of Union funding and inform the general public accordingly. Transparency, communication and visibility activities are essential in making Union action visible on the ground and should be based on true, accurate and updated information. In order for these requirements to be enforceable, programme authorities and the Commission should be able to apply remedial measures in case of non-compliance.

*Amendment*

(31) ***It should be made obligatory for*** programme authorities, beneficiaries and stakeholders in Member States ***to provide full information on*** the achievements of Union funding and inform the general public accordingly. Transparency, communication and visibility activities are essential in making Union action visible on the ground and should be based on true, accurate and updated information. In order for these requirements to be enforceable, programme authorities and the Commission should be able to apply remedial measures in case of non-compliance.

Or. it

## Amendment 9

Luke Ming Flanagan

### Proposal for a regulation

#### Recital 35

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(35) To enable immediate implementation of flat-rates, any flat rate established by Member States in the 2014-2020 period based on a fair, equitable and verifiable calculation method should continue to be applied for similar operations supported under this Regulation without requiring a new calculation method.

*Amendment*

(35) To enable immediate implementation of flat-rates, any flat rate established by Member States in the 2014-2020 period based on a fair, equitable and verifiable calculation method should continue to be applied for similar operations supported under this Regulation without requiring a new calculation method, ***albeit with due allowance made for inflation.***

## Amendment 10

Iris Hoffmann

### Proposal for a regulation

#### Recital 39

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(39) With a view to improving complementarities and simplifying implementation, it should be possible to combine support from the Cohesion Fund and the ERDF with support from the ESF+ in joint programmes under the Investment for jobs **and** growth goal.

*Amendment*

(39) With a view to improving complementarities and simplifying implementation, it should be possible to combine support from the Cohesion Fund and the ERDF with support from the ESF+ in joint programmes under the Investment for jobs, growth **and social inclusion** goal.

Or. en

## Amendment 11

Marco Valli, Laura Agea, Rosa D'Amato

### Proposal for a regulation

#### Recital 45 a (new)

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

***(45a) For the purposes of fighting transnational fraud within the programmes, a fast and efficient system should be established for exchanging information by means of mutual administrative assistance between competent authorities that will make it possible to cross-check accounting records for transactions between two or more Member States, thereby ensuring a horizontal and comprehensive approach to protection of the financial interests of Member States.***

Or. it



**Amendment 12**  
**Marco Valli, Laura Agea, Rosa D'Amato**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Recital 52**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

**(52) A reduction of verifications and audit requirements should be possible where there is assurance that the programme has functioned effectively for the latest two consecutive years since this demonstrates that the Funds are being implemented effectively and efficiently over a prolonged period of time.**

*Amendment*

**deleted**

Or. it

**Amendment 13**  
**Luke Ming Flanagan**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Recital 52**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

**(52) A reduction of verifications and audit requirements should be possible where there is assurance that the programme has functioned effectively for the latest two consecutive years since this demonstrates that the Funds are being implemented effectively and efficiently over a prolonged period of time.**

*Amendment*

**(52) A reduction of verifications and audit requirements should be possible where there is assurance that the programme has functioned effectively for the latest two consecutive years since this demonstrates that the Funds are being implemented effectively and efficiently over a prolonged period of time, *while retaining the possibility of random and unannounced checks.***

Or. en

**Amendment 14**  
**Iris Hoffmann**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Recital 58**

(58) Member States should also prevent, detect and deal effectively with any irregularities including fraud committed by beneficiaries. Moreover, in accordance with Regulation (EU, Euratom) No 883/2013<sup>18</sup>, and Regulations (Euratom, EC) No 2988/95<sup>19</sup> and No 2185/96<sup>20</sup> the European Anti-Fraud Office (OLAF) may carry out administrative investigations, including on-the-spot checks and inspections, with a view to establishing whether there has been fraud, corruption or any other **illegal** activity affecting the financial interests of the Union. In accordance with Regulation (EU) 2017/1939<sup>21</sup>, the European Public Prosecutor's Office may investigate and prosecute fraud and other criminal offences affecting the financial interests of the Union as provided for in Directive (EU) 2017/1371<sup>22</sup> on the fight against fraud to the Union's financial interests by means of criminal law. Member States should take the necessary measures so that any person or entity receiving Union funds fully cooperates in the protection of the Union's financial interests, grants the necessary rights and access to the Commission, the European Anti-Fraud Office (OLAF), the European Public Prosecutor's Office (EPPO) and the European Court of Auditors (ECA) and ensures that any third parties involved in the implementation of Union funds grant equivalent rights. Member States should report to the Commission on detected irregularities including fraud, and on their follow-up as well as on the follow-up of OLAF investigations.

---

<sup>18</sup> Regulation (EU, Euratom) No 883/2013 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 11 September 2013 concerning investigations conducted by the European Anti-Fraud Office (OLAF) and repealing

(58) Member States should also prevent, detect and deal effectively with any irregularities including fraud committed by beneficiaries. Moreover, in accordance with Regulation (EU, Euratom) No 883/2013<sup>18</sup>, and Regulations (Euratom, EC) No 2988/95<sup>19</sup> and No 2185/96<sup>20</sup> the European Anti-Fraud Office (OLAF) may carry out administrative investigations, including on-the-spot checks and inspections, with a view to establishing whether there has been fraud, corruption or any other **irregular** activity affecting the financial interests of the Union. In accordance with Regulation (EU) 2017/1939<sup>21</sup>, the European Public Prosecutor's Office may investigate and prosecute fraud and other criminal offences affecting the financial interests of the Union as provided for in Directive (EU) 2017/1371<sup>22</sup> on the fight against fraud to the Union's financial interests by means of criminal law. Member States should take the necessary measures so that any person or entity receiving Union funds fully cooperates in the protection of the Union's financial interests, grants the necessary rights and access to the Commission, the European Anti-Fraud Office (OLAF), the European Public Prosecutor's Office (EPPO) and the European Court of Auditors (ECA) and ensures that any third parties involved in the implementation of Union funds grant equivalent rights. Member States should report to the Commission on detected irregularities including fraud, and on their follow-up as well as on the follow-up of OLAF investigations.

---

<sup>18</sup> Regulation (EU, Euratom) No 883/2013 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 11 September 2013 concerning investigations conducted by the European Anti-Fraud Office (OLAF) and repealing

Regulation (EC) No 1073/1999 of the European Parliament and of the Council and Council Regulation (Euratom) No 1074/1999 (OJ L 248, 18.9.2013, p. 1).

<sup>19</sup> Council Regulation (EC, Euratom) No 2988/95 of 18 December 1995 on the protection of the European Communities financial interests (OJ L 312, 23.12.1995, p. 1).

<sup>20</sup> Council Regulation (Euratom, EC) No 2185/96 of 11 November 1996 concerning on-the-spot checks and inspections carried out by the Commission in order to protect the European Communities' financial interests against fraud and other irregularities (OJ L 292, 15.11.1996, p. 2).

<sup>21</sup> Council Regulation (EU) 2017/1939 of 12 October 2017 implementing enhanced cooperation on the establishment of the European Public Prosecutor's Office ('the EPPO') (OJ L 283, 31.10.2017, p. 1).

<sup>22</sup> Directive (EU) 2017/1371 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 5 July 2017 on the fight against fraud to the Union's financial interests by means of criminal law (OJ L 198, 28.7.2017, p. 29).

Regulation (EC) No 1073/1999 of the European Parliament and of the Council and Council Regulation (Euratom) No 1074/1999 (OJ L 248, 18.9.2013, p. 1).

<sup>19</sup> Council Regulation (EC, Euratom) No 2988/95 of 18 December 1995 on the protection of the European Communities financial interests (OJ L 312, 23.12.1995, p. 1).

<sup>20</sup> Council Regulation (Euratom, EC) No 2185/96 of 11 November 1996 concerning on-the-spot checks and inspections carried out by the Commission in order to protect the European Communities' financial interests against fraud and other irregularities (OJ L 292, 15.11.1996, p. 2).

<sup>21</sup> Council Regulation (EU) 2017/1939 of 12 October 2017 implementing enhanced cooperation on the establishment of the European Public Prosecutor's Office ('the EPPO') (OJ L 283, 31.10.2017, p. 1).

<sup>22</sup> Directive (EU) 2017/1371 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 5 July 2017 on the fight against fraud to the Union's financial interests by means of criminal law (OJ L 198, 28.7.2017, p. 29).

Or. en

## **Amendment 15**

**Marco Valli, Laura Agea, Rosa D'Amato**

### **Proposal for a regulation**

#### **Recital 58**

##### *Text proposed by the Commission*

(58) Member States should also prevent, detect and deal effectively with any irregularities including fraud committed by beneficiaries. Moreover, in accordance with Regulation (EU, Euratom) No 883/2013<sup>18</sup>, and Regulations (Euratom, EC) No 2988/95<sup>19</sup> and No 2185/96<sup>20</sup> the European Anti-Fraud Office (OLAF) may

##### *Amendment*

(58) Member States should also prevent, detect and deal effectively with any irregularities including fraud committed by beneficiaries. Moreover, in accordance with Regulation (EU, Euratom) No 883/2013<sup>18</sup> and Regulations (Euratom, EC) No 2988/95<sup>19</sup> and No 2185/96<sup>20</sup> the European Anti-Fraud Office (OLAF) may

carry out administrative investigations, including on-the-spot checks and inspections, with a view to establishing whether there has been fraud, corruption or any other illegal activity affecting the financial interests of the Union. In accordance with Regulation (EU) 2017/1939<sup>21</sup>, the European Public Prosecutor's Office may investigate and prosecute fraud and other criminal offences affecting the financial interests of the Union as provided for in Directive (EU) 2017/1371<sup>22</sup> on the fight against fraud to the Union's financial interests by means of criminal law. Member States should take the necessary measures so that any person or entity receiving Union funds fully cooperates in the protection of the Union's financial interests, grants the necessary rights and access to the Commission, the European Anti-Fraud Office (OLAF), the European Public Prosecutor's Office (EPPO) and the European Court of Auditors (ECA) and ensures that any third parties involved in the implementation of Union funds grant equivalent rights. Member States should report to the Commission on detected irregularities including fraud, and on their follow-up as well as on the follow-up of OLAF investigations.

---

<sup>18</sup> Regulation (EU, Euratom) No 883/2013 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 11 September 2013 concerning investigations conducted by the European Anti-Fraud Office (OLAF) and repealing Regulation (EC) No 1073/1999 of the European Parliament and of the Council and Council Regulation (Euratom) No 1074/1999 (OJ L 248, 18.9.2013, p. 1).

<sup>19</sup> Council Regulation (EC, Euratom) No 2988/95 of 18 December 1995 on the protection of the European Communities financial interests (OJ L 312, 23.12.1995, p. 1).

<sup>20</sup> Council Regulation (Euratom, EC) No

carry out administrative investigations, including on-the-spot checks and inspections, with a view to establishing whether there has been fraud, corruption or any other illegal activity affecting the financial interests of the Union. In accordance with Regulation (EU) 2017/1939<sup>21</sup>, the European Public Prosecutor's Office may investigate and prosecute fraud and other criminal offences affecting the financial interests of the Union as provided for in Directive (EU) 2017/1371<sup>22</sup> on the fight against fraud to the Union's financial interests by means of criminal law. Member States should take the necessary measures so that any person or entity receiving Union funds fully cooperates in the protection of the Union's financial interests, grants the necessary rights and access to the Commission, the European Anti-Fraud Office (OLAF), the European Public Prosecutor's Office (EPPO) and the European Court of Auditors (ECA) and ensures that any third parties involved in the implementation of Union funds grant equivalent rights. Member States should ***be required to*** report ***each year*** to the Commission on detected irregularities including fraud, and on their follow-up as well as on the follow-up of OLAF investigations.

---

<sup>18</sup> Regulation (EU, Euratom) No 883/2013 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 11 September 2013 concerning investigations conducted by the European Anti-Fraud Office (OLAF) and repealing Regulation (EC) No 1073/1999 of the European Parliament and of the Council and Council Regulation (Euratom) No 1074/1999 (OJ L 248, 18.9.2013, p. 1).

<sup>19</sup> Council Regulation (EC, Euratom) No 2988/95 of 18 December 1995 on the protection of the European Communities financial interests (OJ L 312, 23.12.1995, p. 1).

<sup>20</sup> Council Regulation (Euratom, EC) No

2185/96 of 11 November 1996 concerning on-the-spot checks and inspections carried out by the Commission in order to protect the European Communities' financial interests against fraud and other irregularities (OJ L 292, 15.11.1996, p. 2).

<sup>21</sup> Council Regulation (EU) 2017/1939 of 12 October 2017 implementing enhanced cooperation on the establishment of the European Public Prosecutor's Office ('the EPPO') (OJ L 283, 31.10.2017, p. 1).

<sup>22</sup> Directive (EU) 2017/1371 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 5 July 2017 on the fight against fraud to the Union's financial interests by means of criminal law (OJ L 198, 28.7.2017, p. 29).

2185/96 of 11 November 1996 concerning on-the-spot checks and inspections carried out by the Commission in order to protect the European Communities' financial interests against fraud and other irregularities (OJ L 292, 15.11.1996, p. 2).

<sup>21</sup> Council Regulation (EU) 2017/1939 of 12 October 2017 implementing enhanced cooperation on the establishment of the European Public Prosecutor's Office ('the EPPO') (OJ L 283, 31.10.2017, p. 1).

<sup>22</sup> Directive (EU) 2017/1371 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 5 July 2017 on the fight against fraud to the Union's financial interests by means of criminal law (OJ L 198, 28.7.2017, p. 29).

Or. it

## **Amendment 16** **Iris Hoffmann**

### **Proposal for a regulation** **Recital 60**

#### *Text proposed by the Commission*

(60) In order to promote the objectives of the TFEU related to economic, social and territorial cohesion, the Investment for jobs **and** growth goal should support all regions. To provide balanced and gradual support and reflect the level of economic and social development, resources under that goal should be allocated from the ERDF and the ESF+ on the basis of an allocation key which is predominantly based on GDP per capita. Member States whose per capita gross national income ('GNI') is less than 90 % of that of the Union average should benefit under the Investment for jobs **and** growth goal from the Cohesion Fund.

#### *Amendment*

(60) In order to promote the objectives of the TFEU related to economic, social and territorial cohesion, the Investment for jobs, growth **and social inclusion** goal should support all regions. To provide balanced and gradual support and reflect the level of economic and social development, resources under that goal should be allocated from the ERDF and the ESF+ on the basis of an allocation key which is predominantly based on GDP per capita. Member States whose per capita gross national income ('GNI') is less than 90 % of that of the Union average should benefit under the Investment for jobs, growth goal **and social inclusion** from the Cohesion Fund.

Or. en

## **Amendment 17**

**Iris Hoffmann**

### **Proposal for a regulation**

#### **Recital 62**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(62) In order to set out an appropriate financial framework for the ERDF, the ESF+ and the Cohesion Fund, the Commission should set out the annual breakdown of available allocations per Member State under the Investment for jobs **and** growth goal together with the list of eligible regions, as well as the allocations for the European territorial cooperation goal (Interreg). Taking into account that the national allocations of Member States should be established on the basis of the statistical data and forecasts available in 2018 and given the forecasting uncertainties, the Commission should review the total allocations of all Member States in 2024 on the basis of the most recent statistics available at the time and, where there is a cumulative divergence of more than +/- 5 %, it should adjust those allocations for the years 2025 to 2027 in order for the outcomes of the mid-term review and the technical adjustment exercise to be reflected in programme amendments at the same time.

*Amendment*

(62) In order to set out an appropriate financial framework for the ERDF, the ESF+ and the Cohesion Fund, the Commission should set out the annual breakdown of available allocations per Member State under the Investment for jobs, growth **and social inclusion** goal together with the list of eligible regions, as well as the allocations for the European territorial cooperation goal (Interreg). Taking into account that the national allocations of Member States should be established on the basis of the statistical data and forecasts available in 2018 and given the forecasting uncertainties, the Commission should review the total allocations of all Member States in 2024 on the basis of the most recent statistics available at the time and, where there is a cumulative divergence of more than +/- 5 %, it should adjust those allocations for the years 2025 to 2027 in order for the outcomes of the mid-term review and the technical adjustment exercise to be reflected in programme amendments at the same time.

Or. en

## **Amendment 18**

**Luke Ming Flanagan**

### **Proposal for a regulation**

#### **Recital 66**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

(66) Within the context of the unique and specific circumstances on the island of Ireland, and with a view to supporting North-South cooperation under the Good Friday Agreement, a new 'PEACE PLUS' cross-border programme should continue and build on the work of previous programmes, Peace and INTERREG, between the border counties of Ireland and Northern Ireland. Taking into account its practical importance, this programme should be supported with a specific allocation to continue support for peace and reconciliation actions, and that an appropriate share of the Irish allocation under the European Territorial Cooperation goal (Interreg) should also be allocated to the programme.

(66) Within the context of the unique and specific circumstances on the island of Ireland, and with a view to supporting North-South cooperation under the Good Friday Agreement, a new 'PEACE PLUS' cross-border programme should continue and build on the work of previous programmes, Peace and INTERREG, between the border counties of Ireland and Northern Ireland. Taking into account its practical importance, this programme should be supported with a specific allocation to continue support for peace and reconciliation actions, and that an appropriate share of the Irish allocation under the European Territorial Cooperation goal (Interreg) should also be allocated to the programme. ***Given that the negative impact of Brexit on those border regions (and beyond) isn't yet quantifiable, it may be necessary to increase the allocation for those regions and plans should be made sooner rather than later to incorporate that possibility;***

Or. en

**Amendment 19**  
**Luke Ming Flanagan**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Recital 70**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(70) It is of particular importance that the Commission carry out appropriate consultations during its preparatory work, including at expert level, and that those consultations be conducted in accordance with the principles laid down in the Interinstitutional Agreement on Better Law-Making of 13 April 2016. In particular, to ensure equal participation in the preparation of delegated acts, the European Parliament and the Council receive all documents at the same time as

*Amendment*

(70) It is of particular importance that the Commission carry out ***transparent and*** appropriate consultations during its preparatory work, including at expert level, and that those consultations be conducted in accordance with the principles laid down in the Interinstitutional Agreement on Better Law-Making of 13 April 2016. In particular, to ensure equal participation in the preparation of delegated acts, the European Parliament and the Council receive all documents at the same time as

Member States' experts, and their experts systematically have access to meetings of Commission expert groups dealing with the preparation of delegated acts.

Member States' experts, and their experts systematically have access to meetings of Commission expert groups dealing with the preparation of delegated acts.

Or. en

**Amendment 20**  
**Iris Hoffmann**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 2 – paragraph 1 – point 12**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(12) 'output indicator' means **an** indicator to measure the specific deliverables of the intervention;

*Amendment*

(12) 'output indicator' means **a qualitative and quantitative** indicator to measure the specific deliverables of the intervention;

Or. en

**Amendment 21**  
**Iris Hoffmann**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 2 – paragraph 1 – point 13**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(13) 'result indicator' means an indicator to measure the short term effects of the interventions supported, with particular reference to the direct addressees, population targeted or users of infrastructure;

*Amendment*

(13) 'result indicator' means an **qualitative and quantitative** indicator to measure the short term effects of the interventions supported, with particular reference to the direct addressees, population targeted or users of infrastructure;

Or. en

**Amendment 22**  
**Iris Hoffmann**



**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 4 – paragraph 2 – point a**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(a) Investment for jobs **and** growth in Member States and regions, to be supported by the ERDF, the ESF+ and the Cohesion Fund; and

*Amendment*

(a) Investment for jobs, growth **and social inclusion** in Member States and regions, to be supported by the ERDF, the ESF+ and the Cohesion Fund; and

Or. en

**Amendment 23**  
**Martina Dlabajová, Wolf Klinz**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 6 – paragraph 1 – introductory part**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

1. Each Member State shall organise a partnership with the competent regional and local authorities. That partnership shall include at least the following partners:

*Amendment*

1. Each Member State shall organise a partnership with the competent regional and local authorities **in order to achieve the highest European added value possible**. That partnership shall include at least the following partners:

Or. en

**Amendment 24**  
**Martina Dlabajová, Wolf Klinz**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 6 – paragraph 2**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

2. In accordance with the multi-level governance principle, the Member State shall involve those partners in the preparation of Partnership Agreements and throughout the preparation and implementation of programmes including through participation in monitoring committees in accordance with Article 34.

*Amendment*

2. In accordance with the multi-level governance principle, the Member State shall involve **and respect** those partners in the preparation of Partnership Agreements and throughout the preparation and implementation of programmes including through participation in monitoring committees in accordance with Article 34.

**Amendment 25**

**Andrey Novakov, Constanze Krehl**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 6 – paragraph 3 a (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

**3a. The Commission shall notify the delegated act, referred to in paragraph 3 of this Article, on the European code of conduct on partnership, simultaneously to the European Parliament and to the Council by 31 July 2020. That delegated act shall not specify a date of application that is earlier than the date of its adoption.**

Or. en

**Amendment 26**

**Andrey Novakov, Constanze Krehl**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 6 – paragraph 3 b (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

**3b. An infringement of any obligation imposed on Member States either by this Article or by the delegated act adopted pursuant to paragraph 3 of this Article, shall not constitute an irregularity leading to a financial correction pursuant to Article 98.**

Or. en

**Amendment 27**

**Andrey Novakov, Constanze Krehl**

**Proposal for a regulation**

## Article 6 – paragraph 4

*Text proposed by the Commission*

4. At least once a year, the Commission shall consult the organisations which represent the partners at Union level on the implementation of programmes.

*Amendment*

4. At least once a year, the Commission shall consult the organisations which represent the partners at Union level on the implementation of programmes, ***and shall report to the European Parliament and Council on the outcome.***

Or. en

## Amendment 28

**Iris Hoffmann**

### Proposal for a regulation

#### Article 8 – paragraph 1 – point e

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(e) the amounts to be contributed to InvestEU by Fund and by category of regions;

*Amendment*

(e) ***where justified***, the amounts to be contributed to InvestEU by Fund and by category of regions;

Or. en

## Amendment 29

**Martina Dlabajová, Wolf Klinz**

### Proposal for a regulation

#### Article 9 – paragraph 1

*Text proposed by the Commission*

1. The Commission shall assess the Partnership Agreement and its compliance with this Regulation and with the Fund-specific rules. In its assessment, the Commission shall, in particular, take into account relevant country-specific recommendations.

*Amendment*

1. The Commission shall assess the Partnership Agreement and its compliance with this Regulation and with the Fund-specific rules. In its assessment, the Commission shall, in particular, take into account relevant country-specific recommendations ***and respecting the partnership and multi-level governance principles.***

**Amendment 30**

**Andrey Novakov, Constanze Krehl**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 11 – paragraph 6 a (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

**6a. In the event of a disagreement between the Commission and a Member State on the applicability of an enabling condition to the specific objective or the priorities of a programme or its fulfilment, the Commission shall bear the burden of proving the applicability or the non-fulfilment by means of a reasoned opinion.**

Or. en

**Amendment 31**

**Andrey Novakov, Constanze Krehl**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 11 – paragraph 6 b (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

**6b. The Commission shall without delay lift the suspension of interim payments for a priority where a Member State has completed actions related to the fulfilment of the enabling conditions applicable to the programme concerned which had not been fulfilled at the time of the decision of the Commission on the suspension. It shall also without delay lift the suspension where, following amendment of the programme related to the priority concerned, the enabling condition concerned is no longer applicable.**

**Amendment 32**

**Iris Hoffmann**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 14 – paragraph 1 – point c a (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

**(ca) the relevance of indicators which have been applied as yet;**

Or. en

**Amendment 33**

**Andrey Novakov, Constanze Krehl**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 15 – paragraph 6**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

**6. Where the Member State fails to take effective action in response to a request made in accordance with paragraph 1, within the deadlines set out in paragraphs 3 and 4, the Commission may suspend all or part of the payments for the programmes or priorities concerned in accordance with Article 91.**

**deleted**

Or. en

**Amendment 34**

**Andrey Novakov, Constanze Krehl**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 15 – paragraph 7 – subparagraph 1 – introductory part**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

The Commission shall make a proposal to the Council to suspend all or part of the

The Commission shall make a proposal to the Council to suspend all or part of the

commitments *or payments* for one or more of the programmes of a Member State in the following cases:

commitments for one or more of the programmes of a Member State in the following cases:

Or. en

#### **Amendment 35**

**Andrey Novakov, Constanze Krehl**

#### **Proposal for a regulation**

#### **Article 15 – paragraph 7 – subparagraph 2**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

*Priority shall be given to the suspension of commitments; payments shall be suspended only when immediate action is sought and in the case of significant non-compliance. The suspension of payments shall apply to payment applications submitted for the programmes concerned after the date of the decision to suspend.*

*deleted*

Or. en

#### **Amendment 36**

**Andrey Novakov, Constanze Krehl**

#### **Proposal for a regulation**

#### **Article 15 – paragraph 8 – subparagraph 1**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

A proposal by the Commission for the suspension of commitments shall be deemed adopted by the Council unless the Council decides, by means of an implementing act, to reject such a proposal by qualified majority within *one month* of the submission of the Commission proposal.

A proposal by the Commission for the suspension of commitments shall be deemed adopted by the Council unless the Council decides, by means of an implementing act, to reject such a proposal by qualified majority within *three months* of the submission of the Commission proposal.

Or. en

**Amendment 37**  
**Andrey Novakov, Constanze Krehl**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 15 – paragraph 8 – subparagraph 3**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

*The Council shall adopt a decision, by means of an implementing act, on a proposal by the Commission referred to in paragraph 7 in relation to the suspension of payments.*

*deleted*

Or. en

**Amendment 38**  
**Andrey Novakov, Constanze Krehl**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 15 – paragraph 9**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

9. The scope and level of the suspension of commitments *or payments* to be imposed shall be proportionate, shall respect the equality of treatment between Member States and shall take into account the economic and social circumstances of the Member State concerned, in particular the level of unemployment, the level of poverty or social exclusion of the Member State concerned in relation to the Union average and the impact of the suspension on the economy of the Member State concerned. The impact of suspensions on programmes of critical importance to address adverse economic or social conditions shall be a specific factor to be taken into account.

9. The scope and level of the suspension of commitments to be imposed shall be proportionate, shall respect the equality of treatment between Member States and shall take into account the economic and social circumstances of the Member State concerned, in particular the level of unemployment, the level of poverty or social exclusion of the Member State concerned in relation to the Union average and the impact of the suspension on the economy of the Member State concerned. The impact of suspensions on programmes of critical importance to address adverse economic or social conditions shall be a specific factor to be taken into account.

Or. en

**Amendment 39**

**Andrey Novakov, Constanze Krehl**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 15 – paragraph 10 – subparagraph 2**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

In case of persistent non-compliance, the suspension of commitments *may exceed* the maximum percentages set out in the first sub-paragraph.

*Amendment*

In case of persistent non-compliance, the suspension of commitments *is subject to a ceiling of twice* the maximum percentages set out in the first sub-paragraph.

Or. en

**Amendment 40**

**Andrey Novakov, Constanze Krehl**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 15 – paragraph 11 – subparagraph 5**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*A decision concerning the lifting of the suspension of payments shall be taken by the Council on a proposal by the Commission where the applicable conditions set out in in the first sub-paragraph are fulfilled.*

*Amendment*

*deleted*

Or. en

**Amendment 41**

**Andrey Novakov, Constanze Krehl**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 15 – paragraph 11 a (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

*11a. The procedure set out in paragraphs 7 to 11 shall be applied only where:*

*(a) economic governance tools have already been deployed,*



*(b) those tools have proven insufficient to improve macroeconomic and fiscal stability, and*

*(c) one of the cases referred to in points (a) to (e) of paragraph 7 is putting cohesion policy expenditure in that Member State at risk.*

Or. en

## **Amendment 42**

**Andrey Novakov, Constanze Krehl**

### **Proposal for a regulation**

#### **Article 15 – paragraph 12 – subparagraph 1**

##### *Text proposed by the Commission*

The Commission shall keep the European Parliament informed of the implementation of this Article. In particular, the Commission shall, when one of the conditions set out in paragraph 7 is fulfilled for a Member State, immediately inform the European Parliament and provide details of the Funds and programmes which could be subject to a suspension of commitments.

##### *Amendment*

The Commission shall keep the European Parliament informed of the implementation of this Article. In particular, the Commission shall, when one of the conditions set out in paragraph 7 is fulfilled for a Member State, immediately inform the European Parliament and provide ***reasons for its proposal and*** details of the Funds and programmes which could be subject to a suspension of commitments, ***as well as the expected impact of that suspension on the Member State's economy, for the purposes of structured dialogue to ensure a meaningful debate and facilitate a transparent enforcement process. The Commission shall inform the European Parliament before it makes a proposal on suspension of commitments.***

Or. en

## **Amendment 43**

**Andrey Novakov, Constanze Krehl**

### **Proposal for a regulation**

#### **Article 15 – paragraph 12 – subparagraph 2**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

***The European Parliament may invite the Commission for a structured dialogue on the application of this Article, having regard to the transmission of the information referred to in the first subparagraph.***

***deleted***

Or. en

#### **Amendment 44**

**Andrey Novakov, Constanze Krehl**

#### **Proposal for a regulation**

#### **Article 15 – paragraph 12 – subparagraph 3**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

The Commission shall transmit the proposal for suspension of commitments or the proposal to lift such a suspension, to the European Parliament and to the Council.

The Commission shall transmit the proposal for suspension of commitments or the proposal to lift such a suspension to the European Parliament and to the Council ***immediately after its adoption, stating the reasons for the proposal.***

Or. en

#### **Amendment 45**

**Andrey Novakov, Constanze Krehl**

#### **Proposal for a regulation**

#### **Article 15 – paragraph 13**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

13. Paragraphs 1 to 12 shall not apply to priorities or programmes under Article [4(c)(v)(ii)] of ESF+ Regulation.

13. Paragraphs 1 to 12 shall not apply to priorities or programmes under Article [4(1)(xi)] of ***the*** ESF+ Regulation.

Or. en

#### **Amendment 46**

**Andrey Novakov, Constanze Krehl**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 17 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 1 – point a – point iii**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(iii) challenges identified in relevant country-specific recommendations ***and other relevant Union recommendations addressed to the Member State;***

*Amendment*

(iii) challenges identified in relevant country-specific recommendations;

Or. en

**Amendment 47**

**Andrey Novakov, Constanze Krehl**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 17 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 2**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

Points (c) and (d) of this paragraph shall not apply to the specific objective set out in Article [4(c)(vii)] of the ESF+Regulation.

*Amendment*

Points (c) and (d) of this paragraph shall not apply to the specific objective set out in Article [4(I)(xi)] of the ESF+Regulation.

Or. en

**Amendment 48**

**Iris Hoffmann**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 20 – paragraph 1**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

1. The ERDF, the ESF+ and the Cohesion Fund may jointly provide support for programmes under the Investment for jobs ***and*** growth goal.

*Amendment*

1. The ERDF, the ESF+ and the Cohesion Fund may jointly provide support for programmes under the Investment for jobs, growth ***and social inclusion*** goal.

Or. en

**Amendment 49**  
**Andrey Novakov, Constanze Krehl**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 29 – paragraph 2 a (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

**2a. In order to avoid situations where payments are suspended, the Commission shall ensure that Member States and regions which face compliance concerns owing to a lack of administrative capacity receive adequate technical assistance to improve their administrative capacity.**

Or. en

**Amendment 50**  
**Iris Hoffmann**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 31 – paragraph 2 – point a**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

(a) for the ERDF support under the Investment for jobs **and** growth goal, and for the Cohesion Fund support: 2,5 %;

(a) for the ERDF support under the Investment for jobs, growth **and social inclusion** goal, and for the Cohesion Fund support: 2,5 %;

Or. en

**Amendment 51**  
**Marco Valli, Laura Agea, Rosa D'Amato**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 33 – paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

The Member State shall set up **a** committee to monitor the implementation of the programme ('monitoring committee') within three months of the date of notification to the Member State concerned

The Member State shall set up **an independent** committee to monitor the implementation of the programme ('monitoring committee') within three months of the date of notification to the

of the decision approving the programme.

Member State concerned of the decision approving the programme.

Or. it

#### **Amendment 52**

**Andrey Novakov, Constanze Krehl**

#### **Proposal for a regulation**

#### **Article 37 – paragraph 2 – point a**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(a) the number of selected operations, their total eligible cost, the contribution from the Funds and the total eligible expenditure declared by the beneficiaries to the managing authority, all broken down by types of intervention;

*Amendment*

(a) ***in the data transmissions due by 31 January, 31 March, 31 May, 31 July, 30 September and 30 November of each year***, the number of selected operations, their total eligible cost, the contribution from the Funds and the total eligible expenditure declared by the beneficiaries to the managing authority, all broken down by types of intervention;

Or. en

#### **Amendment 53**

**Andrey Novakov, Constanze Krehl**

#### **Proposal for a regulation**

#### **Article 37 – paragraph 2 – point b**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(b) the values of output and result indicators for selected operations and values achieved by operations.

*Amendment*

(b) ***in the data transmissions due by 31 May and 30 November of each year only***, the values of output and result indicators for selected operations and values achieved by operations.

Or. en

#### **Amendment 54**

**Marco Valli, Laura Agea, Rosa D'Amato**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 39 – paragraph 1**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

1. The managing authority shall carry out evaluations of the programme. Each evaluation shall assess the programme's effectiveness, efficiency, relevance, coherence and EU added value with the aim to improve the quality of the design and implementation of programmes.

*Amendment*

1. The managing authority shall carry out evaluations of the programme. Each evaluation shall assess the programme's effectiveness, efficiency, relevance, coherence and EU added value with the aim to improve the quality of the design and implementation of programmes, ***basing its evaluation on ex ante determined parameters and on the results achieved.***

Or. it

**Amendment 55**  
**Martina Dlabajová, Wolf Klinz**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 41 – paragraph 1 – point a**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(a) the visibility of support in all activities relating to operations supported by the Funds with particular attention to operations of strategic importance;

*Amendment*

(a) the ***efficient*** visibility of support in all activities relating to operations supported by the Funds with particular attention to operations of strategic importance;

Or. en

**Amendment 56**  
**Marco Valli, Laura Agea, Rosa D'Amato**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 44 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 1 – point g**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(g) expected ***or*** actual date of completion of the operation;

*Amendment*

(g) expected ***date and*** actual date of completion of the operation;

**Amendment 57**

**Marco Valli, Laura Agea, Rosa D'Amato**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 44 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 1 – point h**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

(h) total cost of the operation;

*Amendment*

(h) total ***expected cost and actual*** cost of the operation;

Or. it

**Amendment 58**

**Marco Valli, Laura Agea, Rosa D'Amato**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 63 – paragraph 4**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

4. Member States shall ensure the quality and reliability of the monitoring system and of data on indicators.

*Amendment*

4. Member States shall ensure the quality, ***independence*** and reliability of the monitoring system and of data on indicators.

Or. it

**Amendment 59**

**Marco Valli, Laura Agea, Rosa D'Amato**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 63 – paragraph 8 a (new)**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

***8a. The Commission shall develop a system enabling competent authorities to exchange information for the purposes of cross-checking accounting records for transactions between two or more Member States in the context of***

*implementation of programmes.*

Or. it

**Amendment 60**

**Andrey Novakov, Constanze Krehl**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 84 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1 – point b**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

(b) 2022: **0.5** %;

(b) 2022: **0.7** %;

Or. en

**Amendment 61**

**Andrey Novakov, Constanze Krehl**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 84 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1 – point c**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

(c) 2023: **0.5** %;

(c) 2023: **1** %;

Or. en

**Amendment 62**

**Andrey Novakov, Constanze Krehl**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 84 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1 – point d**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

(d) 2024: **0.5** %;

(d) 2024: **1.5** %;

Or. en

**Amendment 63**



**Andrey Novakov, Constanze Krehl**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 84 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1 – point e**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

(e) 2025: **0.5** %;

(e) 2025: **2** %;

Or. en

**Amendment 64**

**Andrey Novakov, Constanze Krehl**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 84 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1 – point f**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

(f) 2026: **0.5**

(f)  
%

Or. en

**Amendment 65**

**Andrey Novakov, Constanze Krehl**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 91 – paragraph 1 – point e**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

***(e) the Member State has failed to take the necessary action in accordance with Article 15(6).***

***deleted***

Or. en

**Amendment 66**

**Iris Hoffmann**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 102 – title**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

Geographical coverage of support for the Investment for jobs **and** growth goal

*Amendment*

Geographical coverage of support for the Investment for jobs, growth **and social inclusion** goal

Or. en

**Amendment 67**  
**Iris Hoffmann**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 102 – paragraph 1**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

1. The ERDF, the ESF+ and the Cohesion Fund shall support the Investment for jobs **and** growth goal in all regions corresponding to level 2 of the common classification of territorial units for statistics ('NUTS level 2 regions') established by Regulation (EC) No 1059/2003 as amended by Commission Regulation (EC) No 868/2014.

*Amendment*

1. The ERDF, the ESF+ and the Cohesion Fund shall support the Investment for jobs, growth **and social inclusion** goal in all regions corresponding to level 2 of the common classification of territorial units for statistics ('NUTS level 2 regions') established by Regulation (EC) No 1059/2003 as amended by Commission Regulation (EC) No 868/2014.

Or. en

**Amendment 68**  
**Iris Hoffmann**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 102 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1 – introductory part**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

Resources from the ERDF and ESF+ for the Investment for jobs **and** growth goal shall be allocated among the following three categories of NUTS level 2 regions:

*Amendment*

Resources from the ERDF and ESF+ for the Investment for jobs, growth **and social inclusion** goal shall be allocated among the following three categories of NUTS level 2 regions:

Or. en

**Amendment 69**  
**Iris Hoffmann**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 103 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

The Commission shall adopt a decision, by means of implementing act, setting out the annual breakdown of the global resources per Member State under the Investment for jobs **and** growth goal, per category of regions, together with the list of eligible regions in accordance with the methodology set out in Annex XXII.

*Amendment*

The Commission shall adopt a decision, by means of implementing act, setting out the annual breakdown of the global resources per Member State under the Investment for jobs, growth goal **and social inclusion**, per category of regions, together with the list of eligible regions in accordance with the methodology set out in Annex XXII.

Or. en

**Amendment 70**  
**Iris Hoffmann**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 104 – title**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

Resources for the Investment for jobs **and** growth goal and for the European territorial cooperation goal (Interreg)

*Amendment*

Resources for the Investment for jobs, growth **and social inclusion** goal and for the European territorial cooperation goal (Interreg)

Or. en

**Amendment 71**  
**Iris Hoffmann**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 104 – paragraph 1 – introductory part**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

1. Resources for the Investment for jobs **and** growth goal shall amount to 97.5

*Amendment*

1. Resources for the Investment for jobs, growth **and social inclusion** goal

% of the global resources (i.e., a total of EUR 322 194 388 630) and shall be allocated as follows:

shall amount to 97.5 % of the global resources (i.e., a total of EUR 322 194 388 630) and shall be allocated as follows:

Or. en

**Amendment 72**  
**Iris Hoffmann**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 104 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

In 2024, the Commission shall, in its technical adjustment for the year 2025 in accordance with Article [6] of Regulation (EU, Euratom) [...] (MFF Regulation)], review the total allocations under the Investment for jobs **and** growth goal of each Member State for 2025 to 2027.

*Amendment*

In 2024, the Commission shall, in its technical adjustment for the year 2025 in accordance with Article [6] of Regulation (EU, Euratom) [...] (MFF Regulation)], review the total allocations under the Investment for jobs, growth **and social inclusion** goal of each Member State for 2025 to 2027.

Or. en

**Amendment 73**  
**Iris Hoffmann**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 104 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 1**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

The amount of resources available for the ESF+ under the Investment for jobs **and** growth goal shall be EUR 88 646 194 590.

*Amendment*

The amount of resources available for the ESF+ under the Investment for jobs, growth **and social inclusion** goal shall be EUR 88 646 194 590.

Or. en

**Amendment 74**  
**Iris Hoffmann**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 104 – paragraph 5**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

5. EUR 500 000 000 of the resources for the Investment for jobs **and** growth goal shall be allocated to the European Urban Initiative under direct or indirect management by the Commission.

*Amendment*

5. EUR 500 000 000 of the resources for the Investment for jobs, growth **and social inclusion** goal shall be allocated to the European Urban Initiative under direct or indirect management by the Commission.

Or. en

**Amendment 75**  
**Iris Hoffmann**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 105 – paragraph 2**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

2. The total allocations to each Member State in respect of the Investment for jobs **and** growth goal and the European territorial cooperation goal (Interreg) shall not be transferable between those goals.

*Amendment*

2. The total allocations to each Member State in respect of the Investment for jobs, growth **and social inclusion** goal and the European territorial cooperation goal (Interreg) shall not be transferable between those goals.

Or. en

**Amendment 76**  
**Iris Hoffmann**

**Proposal for a regulation**  
**Article 106 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 1 – introductory part**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

The co-financing rate for the Investment for jobs **and** growth goal at the level of each priority shall not be higher than:

*Amendment*

The co-financing rate for the Investment for jobs, growth **and social inclusion** goal at the level of each priority shall not be higher than:

Or. en

**Amendment 77**

**Andrey Novakov, Constanze Krehl**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 106 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 1 – point a**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

(a) **70** % for the less developed regions;

(a) **85** % for the less developed regions;

Or. en

**Amendment 78**

**Andrey Novakov, Constanze Krehl**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 106 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 1 – point b**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

(b) **55** % for the transition regions;

(b) **60** % for the transition regions;

Or. en

**Amendment 79**

**Andrey Novakov, Constanze Krehl**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Article 106 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 1 – point c**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

(c) **40** % for the more developed regions.

(c) **50** % for the more developed regions.

Or. en

**Amendment 80**

**Andrey Novakov, Constanze Krehl**

**Proposal for a regulation**

### Article 106 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 3

*Text proposed by the Commission*

The co-financing rate for the Cohesion Fund at the level of each priority shall not be higher than **70** %.

*Amendment*

The co-financing rate for the Cohesion Fund at the level of each priority shall not be higher than **85** %.

Or. en

### Amendment 81

Andrey Novakov, Constanze Krehl

#### Proposal for a regulation

### Article 106 – paragraph 3 – subparagraph 4

*Text proposed by the Commission*

The ESF+ Regulation may establish higher co-financing rates for priorities supporting innovative actions in accordance with Article [14] of that Regulation.

*Amendment*

The ESF+ Regulation may ***in duly justified cases*** establish higher co-financing rates, ***of up to 90*** %, for priorities supporting innovative actions in accordance with Article [14] of that Regulation.

Or. en

### Amendment 82

Andrey Novakov, Constanze Krehl

#### Proposal for a regulation

### Article 106 – paragraph 4 – subparagraph 1

*Text proposed by the Commission*

The co-financing rate for Interreg programmes shall be no higher than **70** %.

*Amendment*

The co-financing rate for Interreg programmes shall be no higher than **85** %.

Or. en

### Amendment 83

Jean-François Jalkh

#### Proposal for a regulation

**Annex XXIV – point 1 – point g**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

(g) *to the amount obtained in accordance with point (f) is added, an amount resulting from the allocation of a premium of EUR 400 per person per year, applied to the population share of the region of net migration from outside the EU to the Member State since 1 January 2013.* **deleted**

Or. fr

**Amendment 84**

**Jean-François Jalkh**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Annex XXIV – point 2 – point g**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

(g) *to the amount obtained in accordance with point (f) is added, an amount resulting from the allocation of a premium of EUR 400 per person per year, applied to the population share of the region of net migration from outside the EU to the Member State since 1 January 2013.* **deleted**

Or. fr

**Amendment 85**

**Jean-François Jalkh**

**Proposal for a regulation**

**Annex XXIV – point 6**

*Text proposed by the Commission*

*Amendment*

6. *To the amounts by NUTS level 2 region obtained in accordance with point (5) is added, an amount resulting from the allocation of a premium of EUR 400 per* **deleted**



*person per year, applied to the population share of the region of net migration from outside the EU to the Member State since 1 January 2013.*

Or. fr