



2015/2327(INI)

24.10.2016

AMENDMENTS

1 - 238

Draft report
Milan Zver
(PE587.695v01-00)

Implementation report on Erasmus+
(2015/2327(INI))

Amendment 1
Notis Marias

Motion for a resolution
Citation 1 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

- *having regard to Protocol (No 1) of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union (TFEU) on the role of national Parliaments in the European Union,*

Or. el

Amendment 2
Notis Marias

Motion for a resolution
Citation 1 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

- *having regard to Protocol (No 2) to the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union (TFEU) on the application of the principles of subsidiarity and proportionality,*

Or. el

Amendment 3
Angel Dzhambazki

Motion for a resolution
Citation 24

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

— *having regard to its resolution of* *deleted*

Or. en

Amendment 4
Dominique Bilde

Motion for a resolution
Recital A

Motion for a resolution

A. whereas Erasmus+ is one of the most successful Union programmes **and the major tool to support** activities in the fields of education, training, youth and sport;

Amendment

A. whereas Erasmus+ is one of the most successful Union programmes, **enjoying the greatest visibility among EU citizens with regard to** activities in the fields of education, training, youth and sport;

Or. fr

Amendment 5
Curzio Maltese, Martina Michels, Tania González Peñas

Motion for a resolution
Recital A

Motion for a resolution

A. whereas Erasmus+ is one of the most successful Union programmes and **the major** tool to support activities in the fields of education, training, youth and sport;

Amendment

A. whereas Erasmus+ is one of the most successful Union programmes and **an essential** tool to support activities in the fields of education, training, youth and sport, **and strengthen the concept of citizenship, facilitate students' personal development and critical thinking, the programme still remain under-recognised in Member States, socially selective and difficult to access;**

Or. en

Amendment 6
Notis Marias

Motion for a resolution
Recital A

Motion for a resolution

A. whereas Erasmus+ is one of the most successful Union programmes and the major tool to support activities in the fields of education, training, youth and sport;

Amendment

A. whereas Erasmus+ is one of the most successful Union programmes and the major tool to support activities in the fields of education, training, youth and sport ***and is designed to improve the career potential of young people and to offer social links to participants;***

Or. el

Amendment 7
Lefteris Christoforou

Motion for a resolution
Recital A

Motion for a resolution

A. whereas Erasmus+ is one of the most successful Union programmes and the major tool to support activities in the fields of education, training, youth and sport;

Amendment

A. whereas Erasmus+ is one of the most successful Union programmes and the major tool to support activities in the fields of education, training, youth and sport; ***giving over the period 2014-2020 the opportunity to study, train and volunteer in another country to more than 4 million Europeans;***

Or. en

Amendment 8
Helga Trüpel, Ernest Maragall

Motion for a resolution
Recital A a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Aa. whereas the European Commission has shown flexibility and took innovative steps to target new challenges, like a proposal for refugees, and to foster civic values within the incentives Erasmus+ develop towards a more active and participative intercultural dialogue;

Or. en

Amendment 9
Monica Macovei

Motion for a resolution
Recital A a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Aa. having regard to the recommendation from the European University Association to include input from universities, which are the main beneficiaries of EU funding programmes such as Erasmus+;

Or. en

Amendment 10
Monica Macovei

Motion for a resolution
Recital A b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Ab. having regard to the call for adjustments to Erasmus+ from the Lifelong Learning Platform, an umbrella for European organisations active in the field of education, training and youth, and which includes recommendations

from educational actors and civil society;

Or. en

Amendment 11

Curzio Maltese, Martina Michels, Tania González Peñas

Motion for a resolution

Recital B

Motion for a resolution

B. whereas the *programme's high political and economic relevance is reflected in the budget increase of 40% for the programme period, and the commitment rate of the foreseen budget which has reached nearly 100% with a high number of applications;*

Amendment

B. whereas the *Commission increased the budget by 40% for the programme period, the funds set aside for Erasmus+ are not proportional to the number of needs of potential applicants for the mobility programmes offered;*

Or. en

Amendment 12

Michaela Šojdrová

Motion for a resolution

Recital B

Motion for a resolution

B. whereas the programme's high political and economic relevance is reflected in the budget increase of 40% for the programme period, and the commitment rate of the foreseen budget which has reached nearly 100% with a high number of applications;

Amendment

B. whereas the programme's high *educational, societal*, political and economic relevance is reflected in the budget increase of 40% for the programme period, and *in* the commitment rate of the foreseen budget which has reached nearly 100% with a high number of applications;

Or. en

Amendment 13

Dominique Bilde

Motion for a resolution

Recital B

Motion for a resolution

B. whereas the programme's high political and economic relevance is reflected in the budget increase of 40 % for the programme period, and the commitment rate of the foreseen budget which has reached nearly 100 % with a high number of applications;

Amendment

B. whereas the programme's high political and economic relevance is reflected in the budget increase of 40 % for the programme period, ***although not operational until 2017***, and the commitment rate of the foreseen budget which has reached nearly 100 % with a high number of applications;

Or. fr

Amendment 14

Curzio Maltese, Martina Michels, Tania González Peñas

Motion for a resolution

Recital C

Motion for a resolution

C. whereas not all relevant data for a full quantitative and qualitative analysis of the implementation are yet available, ***whereas*** it is therefore ***too early*** to conduct a qualitative assessment of the programme's impact;

Amendment

C. whereas not all relevant data for a full quantitative and qualitative analysis of the implementation are yet available, it is therefore ***not possible*** to conduct a ***complete*** qualitative assessment of the programme's impact;

Or. en

Amendment 15

Dominique Bilde

Motion for a resolution

Recital C

Motion for a resolution

C. whereas not all relevant data for a full quantitative and qualitative analysis of the implementation are yet available, whereas it is therefore too early to conduct

Amendment

C. whereas not all relevant data for a full quantitative and qualitative analysis of the implementation are yet available, whereas it is therefore too early to conduct

a qualitative assessment of the programme's impact;

a qualitative assessment of the programme's impact; *whereas feedback nevertheless helps to provide a global overview of needs to be met, positive aspects and aspects for improvement with regard to the previous programme, in particular helping to integrate students more fully into host universities, enabling them to make real progress in learning the language and customs and ensuring an optimum class timetable;*

Or. fr

Amendment 16

Krystyna Lybacka, Sylvie Guillaume, Julie Ward, Eider Gardiazabal Rubial, Marita Ulvskog, Momchil Nekov, Petra Kammerevert

**Motion for a resolution
Recital C a (new)**

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Ca. whereas the Erasmus+ KA1 main target is the removal of barriers to mobility e.g. financial obstacles, recognition of international work/study outcomes for all targeted sectors;

Or. en

Amendment 17 Hannu Takkula

**Motion for a resolution
Recital C a (new)**

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Ca. whereas the Erasmus+ KA1 main target is the removal of barriers to mobility e.g. financial obstacles, recognition of international work/study outcomes for all targeted sectors;

Amendment 18
Isabella Adinolfi, Rosa D'Amato

Motion for a resolution
Recital C a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Ca. whereas the current deep economic and social crisis affecting the Union, having special incidence on the Mediterranean Member States, but not limited to them, makes Erasmus+ especially relevant for providing opportunities to its young citizens, opening to them actual opportunities for pursuing education, training and sport activities out of their home Member State;

Or. en

Amendment 19
Isabella Adinolfi, Rosa D'Amato

Motion for a resolution
Recital C b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Cb. whereas the success of the Erasmus+ programme and its predecessors has also been made possible by the courageous, confident contribution of many unsung modest European citizens, mostly the families and close social networks of beneficiaries, who have shown trust in the programme, and such contribution deserves public recognition and support;

Or. en

Amendment 20
Isabella Adinolfi, Rosa D'Amato

Motion for a resolution
Recital C c (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Cc. whereas transparency is always, by default, essential for any public project using taxpayers' money, but it becomes even more important for any programme that brands itself as 'educative', insofar its full managerial and financial transparency shall be interpreted as an example of true, deeply rooted European values;

Or. en

Amendment 21
Isabella Adinolfi, Rosa D'Amato

Motion for a resolution
Recital C d (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Cd. whereas a complex, articulate programme like Erasmus+ must be subject to a continuous, detailed participative scrutiny by all parties taking part in it, including individual candidates and beneficiaries, in order to identify inequalities and inefficiencies that must be tackled;

Or. en

Amendment 22
Isabella Adinolfi, Rosa D'Amato

Motion for a resolution
Recital C e (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Ce. whereas the success of the Erasmus+ programme could be facilitated providing other forms of intensified financial support, while avoiding, at the same time, a drift towards models that overload students with personal debt, something which would be intrinsically contradictory with the conception of the formation years as a moment where the whole society, through the careful and complete formation of its young citizens, invests in its own future;

Or. en

Amendment 23

Isabella Adinolfi, Rosa D'Amato

Motion for a resolution

Recital C f (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Cf. whereas the remarkable symbolic and practical successes demonstrated by the Erasmus+ programme, continuing on the wake of its predecessors, deserves to be followed with renewed ambition, effectively conveying to all European youth the formative importance of participation, and expanding destination choices for its beneficiaries;

Or. en

Amendment 24

Notis Marias

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 1

Motion for a resolution

1. Notes ***that a large majority of national agencies expect*** the Erasmus+ programme's objectives in the fields of education, training and youth to be reached;

Amendment

1. Notes ***the need for*** the Erasmus+ programme's objectives in the fields of education, training and youth to be reached;

Or. el

Amendment 25
Dominique Bilde

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1

Motion for a resolution

1. Notes that a large majority of national agencies expect the Erasmus+ programme's objectives in the fields of education, training and youth to be reached;

Amendment

1. Notes that a large majority of national agencies expect the Erasmus+ programme's objectives in the fields of education, training and youth to be reached, ***despite the fact that, according to a study carried out by the Policy B department on the decentralised implementation of Erasmus+, 75 % of national agencies experienced administrative complexity when implementing the programme;***

Or. fr

Amendment 26
Notis Marias

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 2

Motion for a resolution

2. Emphasises that the overall programme is more visible than its predecessor ***but*** that the different sectoral programmes lack visibility; recalls in this

Amendment

2. Emphasises that ***although*** the overall programme is more visible than its predecessor the different sectoral programmes ***still*** lack visibility; recalls in

context that the specific features and characteristics of the different sectors have to be taken into account during the implementation of the programme;

this context that the specific features and characteristics of the different sectors have to be taken into account during the implementation of the programme;

Or. el

Amendment 27

Petra Kammerevert, Krystyna Łybacka

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 2

Motion for a resolution

2. Emphasises that the overall programme is more visible than its predecessor but that the different sectoral programmes lack visibility; recalls in this context that the specific features and characteristics of the different sectors have to be taken into account during the implementation of the programme;

Amendment

2. Emphasises that the overall programme is more visible than its predecessor but that the different sectoral programmes lack visibility; recalls in this context that the specific features and characteristics of the different sectors have to be taken into account during the implementation of the programme ***and that sector-specific formats, such as the youth initiative, must give more importance back to structured dialogue and the Grundtvig workshops; Notes that the European Voluntary Service is an intensive learning and experience format for young people and requires a high-quality framework; emphasises that access to the Erasmus+ programme should remain reserved for civil society players;***

Or. de

Amendment 28

Sabine Verheyen

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 2

Motion for a resolution

2. Emphasises that the overall programme is more visible than its predecessor but that the different sectoral programmes lack visibility; recalls in this context that the specific features and characteristics of the different sectors have to be taken into account during the implementation of the programme;

Amendment

2. Emphasises that the overall programme is more visible than its predecessor but that the different sectoral programmes lack visibility; recalls in this context that the specific features and characteristics of the different sectors have to be taken into account during the implementation of the programme; ***Emphasizes that sector-specific formats such as Grundtvig-Workshops and national youth-initiatives should be re-introduced;***

Or. en

Amendment 29
Angel Dzhambazki

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 2

Motion for a resolution

2. Emphasises that the overall programme is more visible than its predecessor but that the different sectoral programmes lack visibility; recalls in this context that the specific features and characteristics of the different sectors have to be taken into account during the implementation of the programme;

Amendment

2. Emphasises that the overall programme is more visible than its predecessor but that the different sectoral programmes lack visibility; recalls in this context that the specific features and characteristics of the different sectors have to be taken into account during the implementation of the programme; ***further underlines that for the improvement of the visibility of the sectorial programmes different new methods should be used to increase awareness;***

Or. en

Amendment 30
Dominique Bilde

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 2

Motion for a resolution

2. Emphasises that the overall programme is more visible than its predecessor but that the different sectoral programmes lack visibility; recalls in this context that the specific features and characteristics of the different sectors have to be taken into account during the implementation of the programme;

Amendment

2. Emphasises that the overall programme is more visible than its predecessor but that the different sectoral programmes lack visibility; recalls in this context that the specific features and characteristics of the different sectors have to be taken into account during the implementation of the programme, ***particularly with regard to Erasmus apprentices;***

Or. fr

Amendment 31
Curzio Maltese, Martina Michels, Tania González Peñas

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 3

Motion for a resolution

3. Recognises that, according to reports from stakeholders at all levels, the first two and half years of programme implementation were difficult and challenging ***but improvements have been made in the meantime;***

Amendment

3. Recognises that, according to reports from stakeholders at all levels, the first two and half years of programme implementation were difficult and challenging, ***encourages the Commission to strengthen dialogue with social partners, local authorities and civil society so to ensure the widest possible access to the programme;***

Or. en

Amendment 32
Dominique Bilde

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 3

Motion for a resolution

3. Recognises that, according to reports from stakeholders at all levels, the first two and half years of programme implementation were difficult and challenging **but** improvements have been made in the meantime;

Amendment

3. Recognises that, according to reports from stakeholders at all levels, the first two and half years of programme implementation were difficult and challenging, **particularly for national agencies, half of which maintain that the new programme is more difficult to implement, despite the fact that certain** improvements have been made in the meantime;

Or. fr

Amendment 33
Michaela Šojdrová

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 3

Motion for a resolution

3. Recognises that, according to reports from stakeholders at all levels, the first two and half years of programme implementation were **difficult and** challenging but improvements have been made in the meantime;

Amendment

3. Recognises that, according to reports from stakeholders at all levels, the first two and half years of programme implementation were challenging but improvements have been made in the meantime;

Or. en

Amendment 34
Sabine Verheyen

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 3

Motion for a resolution

3. Recognises that, according to reports from stakeholders at all levels, the first two and half years of programme implementation were difficult and

Amendment

3. Recognises that, according to reports from stakeholders at all levels, the first two and half years of programme implementation were difficult and

challenging but improvements have been made in the meantime;

challenging but improvements have been made in the meantime; ***emphasizes the need for further simplifications for applications and the programme guide, which have been counteracted in the past by the "one-size-fits-all" approach;***

Or. en

Amendment 35

Petra Kammerevert, Krystyna Łybacka

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 3

Motion for a resolution

3. Recognises that, according to reports from stakeholders at all levels, the first two and half years of programme implementation were difficult and challenging but improvements have been made in the meantime;

Amendment

3. Recognises that, according to reports from stakeholders at all levels, the first two and half years of programme implementation were difficult and challenging but improvements have been made in the meantime; ***Although the simplifications introduced through the one size fits all approach for applications have in many cases had an adverse effect and the programme guide is confusing rather than informative;***

Or. de

Amendment 36

Angel Dzhambazki

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 3 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

3a. Regrets to see that the Commission does not provide any data on the quality of the successful projects; emphasises that analysing the quality of each project and the transparent exposure of the results are an evident step that the Commission

should take, which may contribute for a better success rate in the applications;

Or. en

Amendment 37

Curzio Maltese, Martina Michels, Tania González Peñas

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 4

Motion for a resolution

4. Points out that the goal of simpler, more user-friendly and more flexible implementation has not yet been reached; underlines *in this context the continuing lack of clarity and uneven level of detail in the programme guide;*

Amendment

4. Points out that the goal of simpler, more user-friendly and more flexible implementation has not yet been reached; *considers that having fewer bureaucratic obstacles would lead to have wider and more accessible programmes; Calls upon the Commission and the Member States to renovate efforts to simplify the programme's content, terms and conditions and make them more accessible;* underlines *that information on this programme has to be provided in all the official and co-official languages of the European union in order to foster greater involvement;*

Or. en

Amendment 38

Notis Marias

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 4

Motion for a resolution

4. ***Points out*** that the goal of simpler, more user-friendly and more flexible implementation has not yet been reached; ***underlines***, in this context, the continuing lack of clarity and uneven level of detail in the programme guide;

Amendment

4. ***Stresses*** that the goal of simpler, more user-friendly and more flexible implementation has not yet been reached; ***deplores***, in this context the continuing lack of clarity and uneven level of detail in the programme guide;

Amendment 39
Sabine Verheyen

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 4

Motion for a resolution

4. Points out that the goal of simpler, more user-friendly and more flexible implementation has not yet been reached; underlines in this context the continuing lack of clarity **and** uneven level of detail in the programme guide;

Amendment

4. Points out that the goal of simpler, more user-friendly and more flexible implementation has not yet been reached; underlines in this context the continuing lack of clarity, uneven level of detail in the programme guide **as well as too complicated application forms, which put smaller, in-experienced and non-professional applicants at a considerable disadvantage**;

Or. en

Amendment 40
Petra Kammerevert, Krystyna Łybacka

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 4

Motion for a resolution

4. Points out that the goal of simpler, more user-friendly and more flexible implementation has not yet been reached; underlines in this context the continuing lack of clarity and uneven level of detail in the programme guide;

Amendment

4. Points out that the goal of simpler, more user-friendly and more flexible implementation has not yet been reached; underlines in this context the continuing lack of clarity and uneven level of detail and **general user-friendliness** in the programme guide, **and the overly complicated application forms which are published in different versions for each application deadline (particularly the youth sector)**;

Or. de

Amendment 41

Curzio Maltese, Martina Michels, Tania González Peñas

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 4 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

4a. Underlines the continuing lack of clarity and uneven level of detail in the programme guide which should be written in a user-friendlier language; the programme guide should provide clear indications as to which documents are needed at each stage of the project cycle and provide samples of those documents in a clear and accessible way; Ensure that NAs and EACEA have enough resources to be able to improve the implementation of the programme;

Or. en

Amendment 42

Angel Dzhambazki

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 5

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

5. Recalls that despite the programme's significant overall budget increase, the budgetary profile in the MFF indicates a limited increase for the first half of the programme period, which has led to the rejection of many high quality projects and hence a low success rate;

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 43
Dominique Bilde

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 5

Motion for a resolution

5. Recalls that *despite the programme's* significant overall budget increase, the budgetary profile in the MFF indicates a limited increase for the first half of the programme period, which has *led* to the rejection of many high quality projects and hence a low success rate;

Amendment

5. Recalls that *the Erasmus+ programme enjoyed a* significant overall budget increase *of EUR 14.7 billion between 2014 and 2020, representing a 40% increase compared to the previous programme; notes that* the budgetary profile in the MFF indicates a limited increase for the first half of the programme period, which has *contributed* to the rejection of many high quality projects and hence a low success rate; *notes also that the new programme structure currently seems to be working in favour of large projects, particularly under Key Action 2, and that these large projects also receive a larger proportion of the budget;*

Or. fr

Amendment 44
Petra Kammerevert, Krystyna Łybacka

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 5

Motion for a resolution

5. Recalls that despite the programme's significant overall budget increase, *the budgetary profile in the MFF indicates a limited increase for the first half of the programme period*, which has led to the rejection of many high quality projects and hence a low success rate;

Amendment

5. Recalls that despite the programme's significant overall budget increase, *only a limited increase for the first half of the programme period has been provided for in the MFF*, which has led to the rejection of many high quality projects and hence a low success rate *and high dissatisfaction among applicants;*

Or. de

Amendment 45
Curzio Maltese, Martina Michels, Tania González Peñas

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 5

Motion for a resolution

5. Recalls that despite the programme's **significant** overall budget increase, the budgetary profile in the MFF indicates a limited increase for the first half of the programme period, which has led to the rejection of many high quality projects and hence a low success rate;

Amendment

5. Recalls that despite the programme's overall budget increase, the budgetary profile in the MFF indicates a limited increase for the first half of the programme period, which has led to the rejection of many high quality projects and hence a low success rate;

Or. en

Amendment 46
Monica Macovei

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 5

Motion for a resolution

5. Recalls that despite the programme's significant overall budget increase, the budgetary profile in the MFF indicates a limited increase for the first half of the programme period, which has led to the rejection of many high quality projects and hence a low success rate;

Amendment

5. Recalls that despite the programme's significant overall budget increase, the budgetary profile in the MFF indicates a limited increase for the first half of the programme period, which has led to the rejection of many high quality projects and hence a low success rate; ***stresses that lack of clarity has disadvantaged and even deterred small-sized organizations;***

Or. en

Amendment 47
Notis Marias

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 5

Motion for a resolution

5. Recalls that despite the programme's significant overall budget increase, the budgetary profile in the MFF indicates a limited increase for the first half of the programme period, which has led to the rejection of many high quality projects and hence a low success rate;

Amendment

5. Recalls that despite the programme's significant overall budget increase, the budgetary profile in the MFF indicates a limited increase for the first half of the programme period, which has led, *unfortunately*, to the rejection of many high quality projects and hence a low success rate;

Or. el

Amendment 48

Isabella Adinolfi, Rosa D'Amato

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 5 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

5a. Observes that when a competently prepared, high quality candidacy does not meet the expected success, due to a lack of resources in the programme, there is both a material loss, concerning the time and other resources invested in the preparation of the application, and an immaterial loss, that could concern frustration and disaffection of the candidates, which in turn could be translated in a future reduced rate of participation, reducing the pool of highly qualified participants; accordingly, invites the Commission, on the one hand, to pursue the search for innovative measures that could mitigate such negative consequences, and on the other hand to enforce maximum transparency through all steps of the selection process, thus avoiding that the rejected candidates could nurture perceptions of unfairness or opacity of the process;

Or. en

Amendment 49
Angel Dzhambazki

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 5 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

5a. *welcomes the increase of funds available for the Erasmus+ programme for the year 2017 by almost €300 million compared to 2016; further underlines the necessity to use these funds partly for improving the weak parts of the programme and mostly for increasing the amount of successful quality projects;*

Or. en

Amendment 50
Notis Marias

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 6

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

6. Believes that the 12.7 % increase in the total budget in 2017 compared to 2016 and further annual increases in the remaining programme years will result in higher success rates and greater satisfaction among applicants; **welcomes the** Commission's intention to allocate an additional EUR 200 million for the remaining programme period;

6. Believes that the 12.7 % increase in the total budget in 2017 compared to 2016 and further annual increases in the remaining programme years will result in higher success rates and greater satisfaction among applicants; **expects the implementation of the** Commission's intention to allocate an additional EUR 200 million for the remaining programme period;

Or. el

Amendment 51
Dominique Bilde

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 6

Motion for a resolution

6. Believes that the 12.7 % increase in the total budget in 2017 compared to 2016 and further annual increases in the remaining programme years **will** result in higher success rates and greater satisfaction among applicants; **welcomes** the Commission's intention to allocate an additional EUR 200 million for the remaining programme period;

Amendment

6. Believes that the 12.7 % increase in the total budget in 2017 compared to 2016 and further annual increases in the remaining programme years **should** result in higher success rates and greater satisfaction among applicants, **as these budget increases will be managed responsibly; notes** the Commission's intention to allocate an additional EUR 200 million for the remaining programme period, **but stresses that increased funds alone will not be sufficient to ensure the efficiency of the programme, particularly with regard to the need for greater equality between small, medium-sized and large projects;**

Or. fr

Amendment 52
Isabella Adinolfi, Rosa D'Amato

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 6

Motion for a resolution

6. Believes that the 12.7% increase in the total budget in 2017 compared to 2016 and further annual increases in the remaining programme years will result in higher success rates and greater satisfaction among applicants; welcomes the Commission's intention to allocate an additional EUR 200 million for the remaining programme period;

Amendment

6. Believes that the 12.7% increase in the total budget in 2017 compared to 2016 and further annual increases in the remaining programme years will result in higher success rates and greater satisfaction among applicants; welcomes the Commission's intention to allocate an additional EUR 200 million for the remaining programme period; **underlines the need to maintain a continuous monitoring of the programme with a view to identify those areas and sectors (e.g. adult mobility, youth) affected by an absolute or comparative scarcity of funding, in order to adopt corrective**

measures as soon as possible;

Or. en

Amendment 53

Krystyna Lybacka, Sylvie Guillaume, Damian Drăghici, Julie Ward, Eider Gardiazabal Rubial, Marita Ulvskog, Momchil Nekov, Petra Kammerevert, Helga Trüpel, Ernest Maragall

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 6

Motion for a resolution

6. Believes that the 12.7% increase in the total budget in 2017 compared to 2016 and further annual increases in the remaining programme years will result in higher success rates and greater satisfaction among applicants; welcomes the Commission's intention to allocate an additional EUR 200 million for the remaining programme period;

Amendment

6. Believes that the 12.7% increase in the total budget in 2017 compared to 2016 and further annual increases in the remaining programme years will result in higher success rates and greater satisfaction among applicants; welcomes the Commission's intention to allocate an additional EUR 200 million for the remaining programme period; ***encourages the European Commission to analyse the programme key actions and sectors that are underfunded, such as KA1 Youth Workers Mobility, KA2, school education, adult education, youth, and those that could benefit the most from the budgetary increase;***

Or. en

Amendment 54

Angel Dzhambazki

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 6

Motion for a resolution

6. Believes that the 12.7% increase in the total budget in 2017 compared to 2016 and further annual increases in the remaining programme years will result in

Amendment

6. Believes that the 12.7% increase in the total budget in 2017 compared to 2016 and further annual increases in the remaining programme years will result in

higher success rates and greater satisfaction among applicants; welcomes the Commission's intention to allocate an additional EUR 200 million for the remaining programme period;

higher success rates and greater satisfaction among applicants; welcomes the Commission's intention to allocate an additional EUR 200 million for the remaining programme period; ***encourages the European Commission to analyse the programme key actions and sectors that are underfunded, such as KA1 Youth Workers Mobility, KA2, school education, adult education, youth, and those that could benefit the most from the budgetary increase;***

Or. en

Amendment 55
Sabine Verheyen

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 6

Motion for a resolution

6. Believes that the 12.7% increase in the total budget in 2017 compared to 2016 and further annual increases in the remaining programme years will result in higher success rates and greater satisfaction among applicants; welcomes the Commission's intention to allocate an additional EUR 200 million for the remaining programme period;

Amendment

6. Believes that the 12.7% increase in the total budget in 2017 compared to 2016 and further annual increases in the remaining programme years will result in higher success rates and greater satisfaction among applicants; welcomes the Commission's intention to allocate an additional EUR 200 million for the remaining programme period, ***emphasizes that, due to sector specific needs, special budget lines for different sectors are necessary and access to this specific budget lines should be restricted to organizations from the specific sector;***

Or. en

Amendment 56
Petra Kammerevert, Krystyna Łybacka

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 6

PE592.383v01-00

28/117

AM\1107846EN.docx

Motion for a resolution

6. Believes that the 12.7 % increase in the total budget in 2017 compared to 2016 and further annual increases in the remaining programme years will result in higher success rates and greater satisfaction among applicants; welcomes the Commission's intention to allocate an additional EUR 200 million for the remaining programme period;

Amendment

6. Believes that the 12.7 % increase in the total budget in 2017 compared to 2016 and further annual increases in the remaining programme years will result in higher success rates and greater satisfaction among applicants; welcomes the Commission's intention to allocate an additional EUR 200 million for the remaining programme period; - ***points out that the budget is to be used exclusively as part of the programme provisions;***

Or. de

Amendment 57
Eider Gardiazabal Rubial

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 6

Motion for a resolution

6. Believes that the 12.7% increase in the total budget in 2017 compared to 2016 and further annual increases in the remaining programme years will result in higher success rates and greater satisfaction among applicants; welcomes the Commission's intention to allocate an additional EUR 200 million for the remaining programme period;

Amendment

6. Believes that the 12.7% increase in the total budget in 2017 compared to 2016 and further annual increases in the remaining programme years will result in higher success rates and greater satisfaction among applicants; welcomes the Commission's intention to allocate an additional EUR 200 million for the remaining programme period, ***though a bigger budgetary effort is needed;***

Or. en

Amendment 58
Isabella Adinolfi, Rosa D'Amato

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 6 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

6a. *Underlines that a key indicator for measuring the success of the financial aspects of the programme is, very simply said, the time that elapses till the funding reaches the pocket of the individual beneficiary, taking into account that she or he is usually a young person with limited income, often dependent on his or her family, and accordingly financially vulnerable;*

Or. en

Amendment 59
Dominique Bilde

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 7

Motion for a resolution

7. Stresses that virtual means **are** one way to support the dissemination and exploitation of results, but that personal contacts and face-to-face activities play a very important role in the success of a project and of the overall programme;

Amendment

7. Stresses that virtual means **may be** one way to support the dissemination and exploitation of results, but that personal contacts and face-to-face activities play a very important role in the success of a project and of the overall programme; ***stresses in this connection that 61.1 % of the national agencies surveyed said that the new programme has not improved support for individuals with specific needs, and believes that, for this group of people, personal contact is essential if their projects are to succeed;***

Or. fr

Amendment 60
Angel Dzhambazki

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 7

PE592.383v01-00

30/117

AM\1107846EN.docx

Motion for a resolution

7. Stresses that virtual means are one way to support the dissemination and exploitation of results, but that personal contacts and face-to-face activities play a very important role in the success of a project and of the overall programme;

Amendment

7. Stresses that virtual means are one way to support the dissemination and exploitation of results, but that personal contacts and face-to-face activities play a very important role in the success of a project and of the overall programme; ***in this regards believes that awareness campaigns in the Member States should include seminars and activities that meet personally the potential participants;***

Or. en

Amendment 61

Helga Trüpel, Ernest Maragall

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 7 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

7a. Stresses also that a strong component for all participants in Erasmus+ is the development of their linguistic skills; welcomes therefore the on-line linguistic tools that are proposed by the Commission, but reminds that an accompanying (national, regional, local) framework has to be put into place to make their mobility a success, in particular for school-aged pupils, VET students, and also staff, to help their insertion into the different environments;

Or. en

Amendment 62

Michaela Šojdrová

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 7 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

7a. *Stresses the importance of informal and non-formal education, youth workers, participation in sports and volunteering in the Erasmus+ Programme as a way to stimulate the development of civic, social and intercultural competences, to foster social inclusion and active citizenship of young people and to contribute to the development of their human and social capital;*

Or. en

Amendment 63

Eider Gardiazabal Rubial, Terry Reintke, Brando Benifei, Eva Paunova

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 7 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

7a. *Stresses the important role of non-formal education, youth work, participation in sports and volunteer activities in the Erasmus+ programme as a way to stimulate the development of civic, social and intercultural competences, to foster social inclusion and active citizenship of young people and to contribute to the development of their human and social capital;*

Or. en

Amendment 64

Curzio Maltese, Martina Michels, Tania González Peñas

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 8

Motion for a resolution

8. Notes that the Student Loan Guarantee Facility was **only** launched in February 2015 after signature of the delegation agreement with the European Investment Fund (EIF) in December 2014, **and that to date there are only three banks in France and Spain participating in this innovative tool;**

Amendment

8. Notes that the Student Loan Guarantee Facility was launched in February 2015 after signature of the delegation agreement with the European Investment Fund (EIF) in December 2014, **considers to be inadmissible that students have to take out bank loans in order to take part in Erasmus+ programmes; considers that indebtedness has a negative impact on the personal development and integration in the labour market; inclusive and widely available grants must be provided so that no student is excluded on account of not having sufficient income; requests the Commission to expand the system of mobility grants to cover students, regardless of their socio-economic background, wishing to take their Master's degree in another participating country;**

Or. en

Amendment 65

Helga Trüpel, Ernest Maragall

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 8

Motion for a resolution

8. Notes that the Student Loan Guarantee Facility was only launched in February 2015 after signature of the delegation agreement with the European Investment Fund (EIF) in December 2014, and that to date there are only three banks in France and Spain participating in this **innovative tool;**

Amendment

8. Notes that the Student Loan Guarantee Facility was only launched in February 2015 after signature of the delegation agreement with the European Investment Fund (EIF) in December 2014, and that to date there are only three banks in France and Spain participating in this **financial scheme; another bank in UK will join, but it is clear that this financial tool is far from reaching the expected results, to date only 130 Master students are participating; calls for critical assessment of the Loan Guarantee**

Facility, considering purpose and accessibility throughout Europe and urges the Commission, in consultation with the European Parliament, to propose a strategy to re-allocate part of the budget line that more likely will not be used by 2020;

Or. en

Amendment 66
Milan Zver

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 8

Motion for a resolution

8. Notes that the Student Loan Guarantee Facility was only launched in February 2015 after signature of the delegation agreement with the European Investment Fund (EIF) in December 2014, and that to date there are only **three** banks in France **and Spain** participating in this innovative tool;

Amendment

8. Notes that the Student Loan Guarantee Facility was only launched in February 2015 after signature of the delegation agreement with the European Investment Fund (EIF) in December 2014, and that to date there are only **four** banks in France, **Spain and Ireland** participating in this innovative tool;

Or. en

Amendment 67
Angel Dzhambazki

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 8

Motion for a resolution

8. Notes that the Student Loan Guarantee Facility was **only** launched in February 2015 after signature of the delegation agreement with the European Investment Fund (EIF) in December 2014, and that to date there are only three banks in France and Spain participating in this innovative tool;

Amendment

8. Notes that the Student Loan Guarantee Facility was launched in February 2015 after signature of the delegation agreement with the European Investment Fund (EIF) in December 2014, and that to date there are only three banks in France and Spain participating in this innovative tool;

Amendment 68

Isabella Adinolfi, Rosa D'Amato

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 8

Motion for a resolution

8. Notes that the Student Loan Guarantee Facility was only launched in February 2015 after signature of the delegation agreement with the European Investment Fund (EIF) in December 2014, and that to date there are only three banks in France and Spain participating in this innovative tool;

Amendment

8. Notes that the Student Loan Guarantee Facility was only launched in February 2015 after signature of the delegation agreement with the European Investment Fund (EIF) in December 2014, and that to date there are only three banks in France and Spain participating in this innovative tool; ***underlines that the overall rate of student indebtedment should be monitored in order to avoid an uncontrolled, undesirable increase, and to guarantee that the comprehensive financial instruments used by the programme translate into more individuals helped, with less individual debt load;***

Amendment 69

Dominique Bilde

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 8

Motion for a resolution

8. Notes that the Student Loan Guarantee Facility was only launched in February 2015 after signature of the delegation agreement with the European Investment Fund (EIF) in December 2014, and that to date there are only three banks in France and Spain participating in this innovative tool;

Amendment

8. Notes that the Student Loan Guarantee Facility was only launched in February 2015 after signature of the delegation agreement with the European Investment Fund (EIF) in December 2014, and that to date there are only three banks in France and Spain participating in this innovative tool; ***stresses that a cautious***

approach should be taken towards this facility and that care should be taken to ensure that it does not come to substitute the scholarship scheme in any way; notes that experiences with student loans in Europe and abroad have been inconclusive, meaning that there is a real risk of drawing speculative conclusions;

Or. fr

Amendment 70
Fernando Ruas

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 8

Motion for a resolution

8. Notes that the Student Loan Guarantee Facility was only launched in February 2015 after signature of the delegation agreement with the European Investment Fund (EIF) in December 2014, and that to date there are only three banks in France and Spain participating in this innovative tool;

Amendment

8. Notes that the Student Loan Guarantee Facility was only launched in February 2015 after signature of the delegation agreement with the European Investment Fund (EIF) in December 2014, and that to date there are only three banks in France and Spain participating in this innovative tool; *Stresses the importance of the rapid expansion of this mechanism to more banks and Member States;*

Or. pt

Amendment 71
Isabella Adinolfi, Rosa D'Amato

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 8 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

8a. Stresses that the design of financial instruments must also take into consideration that since the inception of the Erasmus+ programme predecessors till today, families and other local actors

Amendment

close to beneficiaries have provided a significant source of co-financing; accordingly, they deserve recognition and support;

Or. en

Amendment 72
Helga Trüpel, Ernest Maragall

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9

Motion for a resolution

9. *Regrets that organisations representing amateur sportspeople at local level are highly underrepresented as project participants in the implementation of grassroots sports projects; welcomes the introduction of Small Collaborative Partnerships with reduced administrative requirements as an important step in enabling smaller grassroots sports organisations to take part in the programme;*

Amendment

9. *Welcomes the particular involvement of the Erasmus+ programme in cooperation and activities in grassroots sport; encourages the European Commission to improve the accessibility and participation in the programme of grassroots actors such as sports clubs; invites the European Commission to enhance a cross-sectoral approach on grassroots sport across all relevant actions of Erasmus+ and to coordinate actions in this field in order to ensure their effectiveness and desired impact;*

Or. en

Amendment 73
Dominique Bilde

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9

Motion for a resolution

9. Regrets that organisations representing amateur sportspeople at local level are highly underrepresented as project participants in the implementation of grassroots sports projects; welcomes the introduction of Small Collaborative

Amendment

9. Regrets that organisations representing amateur sportspeople, *and disabled sportspeople in particular*, at local level are highly underrepresented as project participants in the implementation of grassroots sports projects; welcomes the

Partnerships with reduced administrative requirements as an important step in enabling smaller grassroots sports organisations to take part in the programme;

introduction of Small Collaborative Partnerships with reduced administrative requirements as an important step in enabling smaller grassroots sports organisations to take part in the programme ***and enriching them further;***

Or. fr

Amendment 74

Isabella Adinolfi, Rosa D'Amato

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 9

Motion for a resolution

9. Regrets that organisations representing amateur sportspeople at local level are highly underrepresented as project participants in the implementation of grassroots sports projects; welcomes the introduction of Small Collaborative Partnerships with reduced administrative requirements as an important step in enabling smaller grassroots sports organisations to take part in the programme;

Amendment

9. Regrets that organisations representing amateur sportspeople at local level are highly underrepresented as project participants in the implementation of grassroots sports projects; welcomes the introduction of Small Collaborative Partnerships with reduced administrative requirements as an important step in enabling smaller grassroots sports organisations to take part in the programme; ***underlines that intersectoral action, in this case linking more closely sport and education, can contribute to tackle this shortcoming;***

Or. en

Amendment 75

Hannu Takkula, Yana Toom, Bogdan Brunon Wenta

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 9

Motion for a resolution

9. Regrets that organisations representing amateur sportspeople at local level are highly underrepresented as project

Amendment

9. Regrets that organisations representing amateur sportspeople at local level are highly underrepresented as project

participants in the implementation of grassroots sports projects; welcomes the introduction of Small Collaborative Partnerships with reduced administrative requirements as an important step in enabling smaller grassroots sports organisations to take part in the programme;

participants in the implementation of grassroots sports projects; welcomes the introduction of Small Collaborative Partnerships with reduced administrative requirements as an important step in enabling smaller grassroots sports organisations to take part in the programme; ***notes that the practice should be extended to other branches of the Erasmus+ project funding especially for volunteer organisations;***

Or. en

Amendment 76

Eider Gardiazabal Rubial, Terry Reintke, Brando Benifei, Eva Paunova

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 9 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

9a. Acknowledges the importance of the EU Structured Dialogue on youth, a participatory process that gives young people and youth organisations the possibility to be engaged in and influence EU youth policy making and welcomes the support the programme is providing to the process through the support to National Working Groups and the KA3 Structured Dialogue projects;

Or. en

Amendment 77

Michaela Šojdrová

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 9 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

9a. Acknowledges the importance of the EU Structured Dialogue on youth, a

participatory process that gives young people and youth organisations the possibility to be engaged in and to influence EU youth policy-making and welcomes the support the programme is providing to National Working Groups and the KA3 Structured Dialogue projects;

Or. en

Amendment 78

Krystyna Lybacka, Sylvie Guillaume, Giorgos Grammatikakis, Damian Drăghici, Marlene Mizzi, Julie Ward, Eider Gardiazabal Rubial, Momchil Nekov, Marita Ulvskog, Milan Zver, Bogdan Brunon Wentă, Petra Kammerevert

Motion for a resolution Paragraph 9 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

9a. Emphasises the added value of Erasmus+ VET actions in supporting the integration or re-integration of disadvantaged groups into educational/vocational training opportunities in order to enhance their transition to the labour market;

Or. en

Amendment 79

Helga Trüpel, Ernest Maragall

Motion for a resolution Paragraph 10

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

10. Asks the Commission to fully exploit the *potential to foster and encourage* cross-sectoral cooperation under Erasmus+, *which is much higher than under the predecessor programmes, and to evaluate* cross-sectoral cooperation

10. Asks the Commission to fully exploit the *lifelong learning dimension of the programme by fostering and encouraging* cross-sectoral cooperation under Erasmus+ *as foreseen initially in the programme by extending the possibility to*

in the programme's midterm evaluation presented at the end of 2017;

submit cross-sectoral strategic partnerships under KA2 to NGOs while ensuring the evaluators have a broad understanding of educational areas including non-formal education;

Or. en

Amendment 80
Dominique Bilde

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 10

Motion for a resolution

10. Asks the Commission to fully exploit the potential to foster and encourage cross-sectoral cooperation under Erasmus+, which is much higher than under the predecessor programmes, and to evaluate cross-sectoral cooperation in the programme's midterm evaluation presented at the end of 2017;

Amendment

10. Asks the Commission to fully exploit the potential to foster and encourage cross-sectoral cooperation under Erasmus+, which is much higher than under the predecessor programmes, ***as cooperation is clearly defined and more easy to understand for national agencies and stakeholders***, and to evaluate cross-sectoral cooperation in the programme's midterm evaluation presented at the end of 2017;

Or. fr

Amendment 81
Jeroen Lenaers

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 10

Motion for a resolution

10. Asks the Commission to fully exploit the potential to foster and encourage cross-sectoral cooperation under Erasmus+, which is much higher than under the predecessor programmes, and to evaluate cross-sectoral cooperation in the programme's midterm evaluation presented

Amendment

10. Asks the Commission to fully exploit the potential to foster and encourage cross-sectoral cooperation under Erasmus+, which is much higher than under the predecessor programmes, and to evaluate cross-sectoral cooperation in the programme's midterm evaluation presented

at the end of 2017;

at the end of 2017; *calls for better opportunities for VET students to follow an internship or part of their studies in neighbouring countries, for example by financing the travel expenses of students who continue to live in their own country;*

Or. nl

Amendment 82

Krystyna Łybacka, Sylvie Guillaume, Giorgos Grammatikakis, Damian Drăghici, Marlene Mizzi, Julie Ward, Eider Gardiazabal Rubial, Marita Ulvskog, Petra Kammerevert

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 10 a (new)**

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

10a. Points out the continued social selectivity in enrolment to mobility in some Member States; indicates the high percentage of students in mobility programmes supported by third parties (family, parents, partners); notes that many working students resign from their participation in the mobility programme due to the potential loss in income; encourages the European Commission to further increase financial aid for those who are unable to participate due to financial constraints, and looks for further possibilities to facilitate their mobility in order to make the Erasmus+ truly accessible to all;

Or. en

Amendment 83

Curzio Maltese, Martina Michels, Tania González Peñas

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 10 a (new)**

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

10a. *Believes that education is a fundamental human right and a public good, regrets that growing inequality within and between Member States are making access to the programme difficult as they create barriers for applicants, especially for students with a lower income, people with disabilities and learners from remote regions, calls on the Commission and the Member States to ensure gender equality and equal access to the programmes;*

Or. en

Amendment 84

Petra Kammerevert, Krystyna Łybacka

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 10a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

10a. *Calls on the Commission to guarantee Europe-wide mobility even in times of crisis and to provide options which make it possible for countries participating in the European Higher Education Area to access the Erasmus+ programme.*

Or. de

Amendment 85

Michaela Šojdrová

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 11

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

11. Considers the long-standing brand names (Comenius, Erasmus, Erasmus

11. Considers *that merging of* the long-standing brand names Comenius, Erasmus,

Mundus, Leonardo da Vinci, Grundtvig and Youth in Action) *as important tools in promoting the variety of the programme; calls on all stakeholders to continue to use them in order to maintain and strengthen the identity of the sectoral programmes, to ensure better recognition and to overcome any confusion among beneficiaries;*

Erasmus Mundus, Leonardo da Vinci, Grundtvig and Youth in Action, *into one programme may have created certain confusion among stakeholders and beneficiaries and suggests that the Commission should further highlight the relationship of Erasmus+ programme to the previous brand names and variety of its sub-programmes;*

Or. en

Amendment 86

Eider Gardiazabal Rubial, Brando Benifei

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 11

Motion for a resolution

11. Considers the long-standing brand names (Comenius, Erasmus, Erasmus Mundus, Leonardo da Vinci, Grundtvig and Youth in Action) as important tools in promoting the variety of the programme; *calls on all stakeholders to continue to use them* in order to maintain and strengthen the identity of the *sectoral* programmes, *to ensure* better recognition and to overcome any confusion among beneficiaries;

Amendment

11. Considers the long-standing brand names (Comenius, Erasmus, Erasmus Mundus, Leonardo da Vinci, Grundtvig and Youth in Action) as important tools in promoting the variety of the programme; *considers as well the name of "Erasmus+" is becoming the most known specially for new comers; calls to add the name of "Erasmus" to the individual programmes (so the they will be "Erasmus Comenius", "Erasmus", "Erasmus Mundus", "Erasmus Leonardo da Vinci", "Erasmus Grundtvig" and "Erasmus Youth In Action"),* in order to maintain and strengthen the identity of the *sectorial* programmes *under Erasmus+ programme at the same time ensuring* better recognition and to overcome any confusion among beneficiaries; *calls on all stakeholders to use them, especially in publications and brochures.*

Or. en

Amendment 87
Angel Dzhambazki

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 11

Motion for a resolution

11. Considers the long-standing brand names (Comenius, Erasmus, Erasmus Mundus, Leonardo da Vinci, Grundtvig and Youth in Action) as **important** tools in promoting the variety of the programme; **calls on all stakeholders to continue to use them in order** to maintain and strengthen the identity of the sectoral programmes, to ensure better recognition and to overcome any confusion among beneficiaries;

Amendment

11. Considers the long-standing brand names (Comenius, Erasmus, Erasmus Mundus, Leonardo da Vinci, Grundtvig and Youth in Action) as **necessary** tools in promoting the variety of the programme **in the coming years; emphasis that the new programme should defend its new name Erasmus+ and should further use different methods to promote awareness**, to maintain and strengthen the identity of the sectoral programmes, to ensure better recognition and to overcome any confusion among beneficiaries;

Or. en

Amendment 88
Isabella Adinolfi, Rosa D'Amato

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 11

Motion for a resolution

11. Considers the long-standing brand names (Comenius, Erasmus, Erasmus Mundus, Leonardo da Vinci, Grundtvig and Youth in Action) as important tools in promoting the variety of the programme; calls on all stakeholders to continue to use them in order to maintain and strengthen the identity of the sectoral programmes, to ensure better recognition and to overcome any confusion among beneficiaries;

Amendment

11. Considers the long-standing brand names (Comenius, Erasmus, Erasmus Mundus, Leonardo da Vinci, Grundtvig and Youth in Action) as important tools in promoting the variety of the programme; calls on all stakeholders to continue to use them in order to maintain and strengthen the identity of the sectoral programmes, to ensure better recognition and to overcome any confusion among beneficiaries; **underlines that new creative ideas, where IT technologies can play an important role, are needed in order to reinforce such visibility, linking it not just to the general brand of the programme but also to the**

actual passage of concrete individual beneficiaries through a visited institution, leaving memory of such a passage as a recognition to their contribution and an inspiration for future participants;

Or. en

Amendment 89
Hermann Winkler

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 11

Motion for a resolution

11. Considers the long-standing brand names (Comenius, Erasmus, Erasmus Mundus, Leonardo da Vinci, Grundtvig and Youth in Action) as important tools in promoting the variety of the programme; calls on all stakeholders to continue to use them in order to maintain and strengthen the identity of the sectoral programmes, to ensure better recognition and to overcome any confusion among beneficiaries;

Amendment

11. Considers the long-standing brand names (Comenius, Erasmus, Erasmus Mundus, Leonardo da Vinci, Grundtvig and Youth in Action) ***and their logos*** as important tools in promoting the variety of the programme; calls on all stakeholders to continue to use them in order to ***directly*** maintain and strengthen the identity of the ***non-formal learning*** sectoral programmes, ***so that people do not only associate the Erasmus+ programme with formal education and*** to ensure better recognition and to overcome any confusion among beneficiaries; ***calls in that connection for proven sector-specific formats and national youth initiatives to be reintroduced to structured dialogue or Grundtvig workshops or be recognised;***

Or. de

Amendment 90
Petra Kammerevert, Krystyna Łybacka

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 11

Motion for a resolution

11. Considers the long-standing brand names (Comenius, Erasmus, Erasmus Mundus, Leonardo da Vinci, Grundtvig and Youth in Action) as important tools in promoting the variety of the programme; calls on all stakeholders to continue to use them in order to maintain and strengthen the identity of the sectoral programmes, to ensure better recognition and to overcome any confusion among beneficiaries;

Amendment

11. Considers the long-standing brand names (Comenius, Erasmus, Erasmus Mundus, Leonardo da Vinci, Grundtvig and Youth in Action) **and the corresponding logos** as important tools in promoting the variety of the programme; calls on all stakeholders to continue to use them in order to maintain and strengthen the identity of the sectoral programmes, to ensure better recognition and to overcome any confusion among beneficiaries;

Or. de

Amendment 91
Sabine Verheyen

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 11

Motion for a resolution

11. Considers the long-standing brand names (Comenius, Erasmus, Erasmus Mundus, Leonardo da Vinci, Grundtvig and Youth in Action) as important tools in promoting the variety of the programme; calls on all stakeholders to continue to use them in order to maintain and strengthen the identity of the sectoral programmes, to ensure better recognition and to overcome any confusion among beneficiaries;

Amendment

11. Considers the long-standing brand names (Comenius, Erasmus, Erasmus Mundus, Leonardo da Vinci, Grundtvig and Youth in Action) **and their logos** as important tools in promoting the variety of the programme; calls on all stakeholders to continue to use them in order to maintain and strengthen the identity of the sectoral programmes, to ensure better recognition and to overcome any confusion among beneficiaries;

Or. en

Amendment 92
Dominique Bilde

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 11

Motion for a resolution

11. Considers the long-standing brand names (Comenius, Erasmus, Erasmus Mundus, Leonardo da Vinci, Grundtvig and Youth in Action) as important tools in promoting the variety of the programme; calls on all stakeholders to continue to use them in order to maintain and strengthen the identity of the sectoral programmes, to ensure better recognition and to overcome any confusion among beneficiaries;

Amendment

11. Considers the long-standing brand names (Comenius, Erasmus, Erasmus Mundus, Leonardo da Vinci, Grundtvig and Youth in Action) as important tools in promoting the variety of the programme; calls on all stakeholders *who wish to do so, and according to the results obtained on the ground*, to continue to use them in order to maintain and strengthen the identity of the sectoral programmes, to ensure better recognition and to overcome any confusion among beneficiaries;

Or. fr

Amendment 93

Krystyna Lybacka, Sylvie Guillaume, Marlene Mizzi, Julie Ward, Marita Ulvskog, Milan Zver, Bogdan Brunon Wentz, Petra Kammerevert

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 11

Motion for a resolution

11. Considers the long-standing brand names (Comenius, Erasmus, Erasmus Mundus, Leonardo da Vinci, Grundtvig and Youth in Action) as important tools in promoting the variety of the programme; calls on all stakeholders to continue to use them in order to maintain and strengthen the identity of the sectoral programmes, to ensure better recognition and to overcome any confusion among beneficiaries;

Amendment

11. Considers the long-standing brand names (Comenius, Erasmus, Erasmus Mundus, Leonardo da Vinci, Grundtvig and Youth in Action) as important tools in promoting the variety of the programme; calls on all stakeholders to continue to use them, *especially in publications and brochures*, in order to maintain and strengthen the identity of the sectoral programmes, to ensure better recognition and to overcome any confusion among beneficiaries;

Or. en

Amendment 94

Petra Kammerevert, Krystyna Lybacka

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 11a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

11a. *Calls on the Commission to structure the Erasmus+ guide with the aid of the long-standing brand names and use those labels rigorously in the guide.*

Or. de

Amendment 95
Curzio Maltese, Martina Michels, Tania González Peñas

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 12

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

12. Encourages the Commission to ***continue*** its efforts towards an open, consultative and transparent way of working and to further improve its cooperation with partners at all levels of implementation;

12. Encourages the Commission to ***strengthen*** its efforts towards an open, consultative and transparent way of working and to further improve its cooperation with ***the social partners and the civil society (including, where appropriate, associations of parents, students, teachers, non-teaching staff and youth organisations)*** at all levels of implementation;

Or. en

Amendment 96
Michaela Šojdrová

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 12

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

12. Encourages the Commission to ***continue*** its efforts towards an open, consultative and transparent way of working and to further improve its

12. Encourages the Commission to ***improve*** its efforts towards an open, consultative and transparent way of working and to further improve its

cooperation with partners at all levels of implementation;

cooperation with partners at all levels of implementation;

Or. en

Amendment 97

Notis Marias

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 12

Motion for a resolution

12. **Encourages** the Commission to continue its efforts towards an open, consultative and transparent way of working and to further improve its cooperation with partners at all levels of implementation;

Amendment

12. **Calls on** the Commission to continue its efforts towards an open, consultative and transparent way of working and to further improve its cooperation with partners at all levels of implementation;

Or. el

Amendment 98

Dominique Bilde

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 12

Motion for a resolution

12. Encourages the Commission to continue its efforts towards an open, consultative and transparent way of working and to further improve its cooperation with partners at all levels of implementation;

Amendment

12. Encourages the Commission to continue its efforts towards an open, consultative and transparent way of working and to further improve its cooperation with partners at all levels of implementation; ***notes in this connection that nearly 19.4 % of national agencies are dissatisfied with cooperation with the Executive Agency and the Commission, and that this is particularly true for the national agencies responsible for the chapter on youth and the other types of national agency;***

Or. fr

Amendment 99
Isabella Adinolfi, Rosa D'Amato

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 12

Motion for a resolution

12. Encourages the Commission to continue its efforts towards an open, consultative and transparent way of working and to further improve its cooperation with partners at all levels of implementation;

Amendment

12. Encourages the Commission to continue its efforts towards an open, consultative and transparent way of working and to further improve its cooperation with partners at all levels of implementation; ***stresses that the Erasmus+ programme should become a transparency flagship for the European Union, recognized as such by its citizens, evolving towards a situation where 100% of its decisions and processes shall become fully transparent, especially regarding their financial dimensions; reminds that fully transparent decisions provide a better perspective for those projects and individuals whose applications have not been successful;***

Or. en

Amendment 100
Luigi Morgano, Krystyna Lybacka, Eva Paunova, Eider Gardiazabal Rubial, Brando Benifei

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 12

Motion for a resolution

12. Encourages the Commission to continue its efforts towards an open, consultative and transparent way of working and to further improve its cooperation with partners at all levels of implementation;

Amendment

12. Encourages the Commission to continue its efforts towards an open, consultative and transparent way of working and to further improve its cooperation with partners at all levels of implementation; ***stresses, to this end, the need to involve stakeholders and beneficiaries in the Programme***

Committee meetings; highlights that, in line with Regulation (EU) No 1288/2013, this could be facilitated by the establishment of standing sub-committees involving representatives of stakeholders and beneficiaries, sectorial National Agencies, Members of the European Parliament and representatives from the Member States;

Or. en

Amendment 101
Hannu Takkula, Yana Toom, Bogdan Brunon Wentu

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 12 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

12a. Stresses the important role of the Programme Committee, as imposed in the regulation 1288/2013 establishing Erasmus+, as a key actor in the implementation of the programme and furthering the European added value through an enhanced complementarity and synergy with the Erasmus+ and policies at national level; calls a stronger role of the Programme committee and its role in policy decisions; invites the Commission to share detailed information about the distribution of centralized funds to the Programme Committee;

Or. en

Amendment 102
Isabella Adinolfi, Rosa D'Amato

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 12 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

12a. Underlines that IT tools should not be understood only as a vector for management, application and administrative processes, but they can provide also valuable ways of keeping in touch with beneficiaries, and for facilitating peer-to-peer contact amongst them, potentially providing support for many other processes, e.g. feedback from beneficiaries, reciprocal mentoring, and enhancing the visibility of the programme;

Or. en

Amendment 103
Dominique Bilde

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 13

Motion for a resolution

13. Calls on the Commission to ensure the regular exchange of information and good cooperation between the implementation bodies at European level and national agencies on both decentralised and centralised programme actions;

Amendment

13. Calls on the Commission to ensure the regular exchange of information and good cooperation between the implementation bodies at European level and national agencies on both decentralised and centralised programme actions; ***notes with concern that 57.1 % of national agencies in northern Europe say that they are very dissatisfied with this cooperation and that the majority of national agencies are suffering from a total lack of collaboration with the Executive Agency;***

Or. fr

Amendment 104
Petra Kammerevert, Krystyna Łybacka

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 13

Motion for a resolution

13. Calls on the Commission to ensure the regular exchange of information and good cooperation between the implementation bodies at European level and national agencies on both decentralised and centralised programme actions;

Amendment

13. Calls on the Commission to ensure the regular exchange of information and good cooperation between the implementation bodies ***and civil society organisations*** at European level and national agencies on both decentralised and centralised programme actions; ***calls on the NA to provide all the necessary information on their homepages in the same format and with the same content;***

Or. de

Amendment 105

Hannu Takkula, Yana Toom, Bogdan Brunon Wenta

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 13

Motion for a resolution

13. Calls on the Commission to ensure the regular exchange of information and good cooperation between the implementation bodies at European level and national agencies on both decentralised and centralised programme actions;

Amendment

13. Calls on the Commission to ensure the regular exchange of information and good cooperation between ***national authorities***, the implementation bodies at European level and national agencies on both decentralised and centralised programme actions;

Or. en

Amendment 106

Petra Kammerevert, Krystyna Łybacka

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 13a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

13a. Calls on the Commission to review

the payment modalities to national agencies, the deadlines for applications and the appropriation periods and to adjust them accordingly; points out that greater flexibility in mobility grants and administrative costs in favour of longer stays abroad should be made possible for the national agencies;

Or. de

Amendment 107
Hannu Takkula

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 13 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

13a. Encourages the facilitating of contacts among European Commission, NAs, programme beneficiaries, representatives of civil society organisations and EACEA by developing a communication platform for exchanging information and good practices where all related stakeholders can receive quality information, as well as share their experiences and suggestions for further programme improvements;

Or. en

Amendment 108
Giorgos Grammatikakis, Krystyna Lybacka

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 13 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

13a. Calls on the European Commission to develop, in collaboration with National Agencies, European implementation guidelines for the

National Agencies of the Erasmus+ programme. The aim of this is to increase the transparency of the application and evaluation procedures and ensure that extensive quality feedback is given to all applicants;

Or. en

Amendment 109
Hermann Winkler

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 13a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

13a. Calls on the Commission, the EACEA and the national agencies to find ways and provide the necessary support to increase awareness of the Erasmus+ programme among potential applicants and provide or strengthen specific offers of support in the application process;

Or. de

Amendment 110

Krystyna Lybacka, Sylvie Guillaume, Giorgos Grammatikakis, Damian Drăghici, Marlene Mizzi, Julie Ward, Eider Gardiazabal Rubial, Marita Ulvskog, Momchil Nekov, Milan Zver, Bogdan Brunon Wenta, Petra Kammerevert, Helga Trüpel, Ernest Maragall, Silvia Costa

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 13 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

13a. Encourages further fostering of cooperation between the NAs and EACEA in order to promote centralised actions of the Erasmus+ programme, provide additional information about them to potential applicants and exchange feedback on improving their

implementation process;

Or. en

Amendment 111

Eider Gardiazabal Rubial, Terry Reintke, Brando Benifei

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 13 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

13a. Encourages the European Commission to give more flexibility to NAs to move funds within KAs in order to overcome the potential funding gaps based on beneficiaries needs, for instance between KA1 Youth Exchanges and Youth Workers mobility;

Or. en

Amendment 112

Michaela Šojdrová

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 13 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

13a. Encourages the European Commission to give more flexibility to national agencies to move funds within key actions in order to overcome the potential funding gaps between the individual actions, based on beneficiaries needs;

Or. en

Amendment 113

Krystyna Łybacka, Sylvie Guillaume, Giorgos Grammatikakis, Damian Drăghici, Marlene Mizzi, Julie Ward, Eider Gardiazabal Rubial, Marita Ulvskog, Momchil

Nekov, Milan Zver, Bogdan Brunon Wenta, Petra Kammerevert, Helga Trüpel, Ernest Maragall, Silvia Costa

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 13 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

13b. Encourages the facilitating of contacts among European Commission, NAs, programme beneficiaries, representatives of civil society organisations and EACEA by developing a communication platform for exchanging information and good practices where all related stakeholders can receive quality information, as well as share their experiences and suggestions for further programme improvements;

Or. en

Amendment 114
Petra Kammerevert, Krystyna Łybacka

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 13b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

13b. Is concerned over the decreasing number of pool projects under Leonardo and calls for the national agencies to have more decision-making scope on the amount of administrative expenditure subsidies, so that they can take national particularities such as the dual system into account more effectively;

Or. de

Amendment 115
Dominique Bilde

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 14

Motion for a resolution

14. Suggests that the organisational structure of the relevant Commission services be aligned with the structure of the programme;

Amendment

14. Suggests that the organisational structure of the relevant Commission services be aligned with the structure of the programme, *a prerequisite for the success of the programme*;

Or. fr

Amendment 116
Hermann Winkler

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 14a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

14a. Calls for further efforts to be made to reduce bureaucracy across the project cycle, in order to simplify the programme guide, registration, application forms, financing rules, reporting requirements, etc. and to set the costs appropriately and in relation to the budget or type of project; suggests that the programme guide be set out in a more user-friendly and clear way, for example according to target group; suggests that application forms be made available earlier in future, that possible changes, provided they are necessary, be made known and that the forms be published in all official languages in order to make it easier for all applicants, particularly new and small organisations with limited resources to fill them out;

Or. de

Amendment 117

Krystyna Łybacka, Sylvie Guillaume, Giorgos Grammatikakis, Damian Drăghici, Julie Ward, Eider Gardiazabal Rubial, Marita Ulvskog, Momchil Nekov, Milan Zver, Bogdan

Brunon Wenta, Petra Kammerevert, Helga Trüpel, Ernest Maragall, Silvia Costa

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 14 a (new)**

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

14a. Calls on the European Commission to ensure the consistent application of programme rules and regulations across the National Agencies, observing common quality standards, project evaluation, and administrative procedures, thus guaranteeing the uniform and coherent implementation of Erasmus+ programme;

Or. en

**Amendment 118
Angel Dzhambazki**

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 14 a (new)**

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

14a. Calls on the European Commission to ensure the consistent application of programme rules and regulations across the National Agencies, observing common quality standards, project evaluation, and administrative procedures, thus guaranteeing the uniform and coherent implementation of Erasmus+ programme;

Or. en

Amendment 119

Krystyna Łybacka, Sylvie Guillaume, Damian Drăghici, Marlene Mizzi, Julie Ward, Eider Gardiazabal Rubial, Marita Ulvskog, Momchil Nekov, Milan Zver, Bogdan Brunon Wenta, Petra Kammerevert, Helga Trüpel, Ernest Maragall

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 14 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

14b. *Underlines the necessity of pursuing improvements in the programme, making it more user-friendly, taking into consideration the importance of differentiating among various sectors and groups of beneficiaries; encourages the European Commission to transform the programme guide and make it more user-orientated and sector-specific;*

Or. en

Amendment 120
Hannu Takkula

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 14 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

14a. *Encourages the European Commission to work on improving programme attractiveness by simplifying administrative procedures and requirements, especially for schools and smaller-scale organisations, VET school, adult education, the youth sector and newcomers who often find the programme challenging to participate in;*

Or. en

Amendment 121

Krystyna Lybacka, Sylvie Guillaume, Damian Drăghici, Marlene Mizzi, Julie Ward, Eider Gardiazabal Rubial, Marita Ulvskog, Momchil Nekov, Petra Kammerevert, Helga Trüpel, Ernest Maragall, Silvia Costa

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 14 c (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

14c. *Encourages the European Commission to work on improving programme attractiveness by simplifying administrative procedures and requirements, especially for schools and smaller-scale organisations, VET school, adult education, the youth sector and newcomers who often find the programme challenging to participate in;*

Or. en

Amendment 122
Angel Dzhambazki

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 14 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

14b. *Encourages the European Commission to work on improving programme attractiveness by simplifying administrative procedures and requirements, especially for schools and smaller-scale organisations, VET school, adult education, the youth sector and newcomers who often find the programme challenging to participate in;*

Or. en

Amendment 123
Hannu Takkula

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 14 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

14b. *Welcomes the actions of the European Commission to simplify*

application procedures, project management, accounting and reporting; encourages further reduction of administrative burdens by streamlining forms, making them promptly available in all official languages, and providing clear indication regarding documents needed at each stage;

Or. en

Amendment 124

Krystyna Lybacka, Sylvie Guillaume, Damian Drăghici, Marlene Mizzi, Julie Ward, Eider Gardiazabal Rubial, Marita Ulvskog, Momchil Nekov, Milan Zver, Bogdan Brunon Wenta, Petra Kammerevert, Helga Trüpel, Ernest Maragall

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 14 d (new)**

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

14d. Welcomes the actions of the European Commission to simplify application procedures, project management, accounting and reporting; encourages further reduction of administrative burdens by streamlining forms, making them promptly available in all official languages, and providing clear indication regarding documents needed at each stage;

Or. en

**Amendment 125
Angel Dzhambazki**

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 14 c (new)**

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

14c. Welcomes the actions of the European Commission to simplify application procedures, project

management, accounting and reporting; encourages further reduction of administrative burdens by streamlining forms, making them promptly available in all official languages, and providing clear indication regarding documents needed at each stage;

Or. en

Amendment 126

Curzio Maltese, Martina Michels, Tania González Peñas

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 15

Motion for a resolution

15. Calls for *further* improvement of the relevant IT tools and for the focus to be put on streamlining and improving connections between the different tools rather than developing new ones;

Amendment

15. Calls for improvement of the relevant IT tools and for the focus to be put on streamlining and improving connections between the different tools rather than developing new ones;

Or. en

Amendment 127

Dominique Bilde

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 15

Motion for a resolution

15. Calls for further improvement of the relevant IT tools and for the focus to be put on streamlining and improving connections between the different tools rather than developing new ones;

Amendment

15. Calls for further improvement of the relevant IT tools, *which have been criticised by more than 55.6 % of national agencies*, and for the focus to be put on streamlining and improving connections between the different tools rather than developing new ones; *notes that the opaqueness of IT tools puts small-scale candidates and small projects at a particular disadvantage, as they often have access to fewer economic and*

human resources with which to overcome administrative difficulties;

Or. fr

Amendment 128

Petra Kammerevert, Krystyna Łybacka

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 15

Motion for a resolution

15. Calls for further improvement of the relevant IT tools and for the focus to be put on streamlining and improving connections between the different tools rather than developing new ones;

Amendment

15. Calls for further improvement of the relevant IT tools and for the focus to be put on streamlining, *user-friendliness* and improving connections between the different tools rather than developing new ones;

Or. de

Amendment 129

Isabella Adinolfi, Rosa D'Amato

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 15

Motion for a resolution

15. Calls for further improvement of the relevant IT tools and for the focus to be put on streamlining and improving connections between the different tools rather than developing new ones;

Amendment

15. Calls for further improvement of the relevant IT tools and for the focus to be put on streamlining and improving connections between the different tools rather than developing new ones; *invites, in such a context, to remember that portable applications are amongst the favourite means of interacting with the web used by our young citizens;*

Or. en

Amendment 130
Monica Macovei

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 16

Motion for a resolution

16. Calls on the Commission to develop further the eTwinning, School Education Gateway, Open Education Europe, EPALE, European Youth Portal and VALOR IT platforms in order to make them more attractive and user-friendly; asks the Commission to include an evaluation of these platforms in the Erasmus+ mid-term evaluation;

Amendment

16. Calls on the Commission to develop further the eTwinning, School Education Gateway, Open Education Europe, EPALE, European Youth Portal and VALOR IT platforms in order to make them more attractive and user-friendly; asks the Commission to include an evaluation of these platforms in the Erasmus+ mid-term evaluation; ***in particular, calls for clarifying and simplifying the financial section of the e-form;***

Or. en

Amendment 131
Angel Dzhambazki

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 16

Motion for a resolution

16. Calls on the Commission to develop further the eTwinning, School Education Gateway, Open Education Europe, EPALE, European Youth Portal and VALOR IT platforms in order to make them more attractive and user-friendly; asks the Commission to include an evaluation of these platforms in the Erasmus+ mid-term evaluation;

Amendment

16. Calls on the Commission to develop further the eTwinning, School Education Gateway, Open Education Europe, EPALE, European Youth Portal and VALOR IT platforms in order to make them more attractive and user-friendly; asks the Commission to include an evaluation of these platforms in the Erasmus+ mid-term evaluation, ***to be presented at the end of 2017;***

Or. en

Amendment 132
Dominique Bilde

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 16

Motion for a resolution

16. Calls on the Commission to develop further the eTwinning, School Education Gateway, Open Education Europe, EPALE, European Youth Portal and VALOR IT platforms in order to make them more attractive *and* user-friendly; asks the Commission to include an evaluation of these platforms in the Erasmus+ mid-term evaluation;

Amendment

16. Calls on the Commission to develop further the eTwinning, School Education Gateway, Open Education Europe, EPALE, European Youth Portal and VALOR IT platforms in order to make them more attractive, user-friendly, *intelligible and accessible*; asks the Commission to include an evaluation of these platforms in the Erasmus+ mid-term evaluation;

Or. fr

Amendment 133
Helga Trüpel, Ernest Maragall

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 16 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

16a. Calls on the European Commission to strengthen the school education dimension of the programme, allowing for more mobility for pupils, school staff, for more school class exchanges, as well as simplification of funding and administrative procedures for schools and non-formal education providers, whereby taking advantage of the general intention of Erasmus+ to foster cross-sectorial cooperation, and with a view to encourage youth organisations or activities to involve partnerships with schools;

Amendment

Or. en

Amendment 134

Eider Gardiazabal Rubial, Terry Reintke, Brando Benifei, Eva Paunova

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 16 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

16a. Calls on the Commission to strengthen the school education dimension of the programme, allowing for more mobility of pupils, simplification of funding and administrative procedures for schools and for non-formal education providers to support schools in organising pupil mobility, exploiting the benefits of cross-sectoral cooperation;

Or. en

Amendment 135

Petra Kammerevert, Krystyna Łybacka

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 16a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

16a. Calls on the Commission to publish the Erasmus+ guide at the same time as the application forms to make it more accessible and make the deadline easier to meet;

Or. de

Amendment 136

Michaela Šojdrová

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 16 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

16a. Calls on the Commission to

simplify and develop user-friendly administrative procedures and to consider gradual acceleration of the application procedure within Erasmus+;

Or. en

Amendment 137
Michaela Šojdrová

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 16 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

16b. Encourages the Commission to strengthen youth work and informal and non-formal education development practices within the programme by supporting youth organisations and other youth work providers;

Or. en

Amendment 138
Eider Gardiazabal Rubial, Eva Paunova, Brando Benifei, Terry Reintke

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 16 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

16b. Encourages the Commission to strengthen youth work and non-formal education development practices within the programme by supporting youth organisations and other youth work providers, as well as by continuing the support to the EU-Council of Europe youth partnership;

Or. en

Amendment 139

Eider Gardiazabal Rubial, Terry Reintke, Brando Benifei, Eva Paunova

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 17

Motion for a resolution

17. Welcomes the introduction of two types of strategic partnerships as a first and important positive step towards increasing the chances for *small-sized* organisations to participate in the programme; calls on the Commission to make further improvements in order to include more *small-sized* organisations in programme activities;

Amendment

17. Welcomes the introduction of two types of strategic partnerships as a first and important positive step towards increasing the chances for *voluntary-based* organisations to participate in the programme; calls on the Commission to make further improvements in order to include more *voluntary-based* organisations in programme activities, *for example by reintroducing the national youth initiatives open to informal groups and by making the transnational youth initiatives more easily accessible*;

Or. en

Amendment 140

Fernando Ruas

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 17

Motion for a resolution

17. Welcomes the introduction of two types of strategic partnerships as a first and important positive step towards *increasing the chances for* small-sized organisations to participate in the programme; calls on the Commission to *make further* improvements in order to include more small-sized organisations in programme activities;

Amendment

17. Welcomes the introduction of two types of strategic partnerships as a first and important positive step towards *incentivising* small-sized organisations to participate in the programme; calls on the Commission to *carry out improvements that will make the programme even more appealing and streamlined* in order to include more small-sized organisations in programme activities;

Or. pt

Amendment 141

Notis Marias

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 17

Motion for a resolution

17. **Welcomes** the introduction of two types of strategic partnerships **as a first and important positive step towards increasing the chances for** small-sized organisations to participate in the programme; calls on the Commission to make further improvements in order to include more small-sized organisations in programme activities;

Amendment

17. **Notes that** the introduction of two types of strategic partnerships **should encourage** small-sized organisations to participate in the programme; calls on the Commission to make further improvements in order to include more small-sized organisations in programme activities;

Or. el

Amendment 142

Curzio Maltese, Martina Michels, Tania González Peñas

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 17

Motion for a resolution

17. Welcomes the introduction of two types of strategic partnerships as a first and important positive step towards increasing the chances for small-sized organisations to participate in the programme; calls on the Commission to make **further** improvements in order to **include more** small-sized organisations in programme activities;

Amendment

17. Welcomes the introduction of two types of strategic partnerships as a first and important positive step towards increasing the chances for small-sized organisations to participate in the programme; calls on the Commission to make improvements in order to **ensure access to** small-sized organisations in programme activities;

Or. en

Amendment 143

Isabella Adinolfi, Rosa D'Amato

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 17

Motion for a resolution

17. Welcomes the introduction of two types of strategic partnerships as a first and important positive step towards increasing the chances for small-sized organisations to participate in the programme; calls on the Commission to make further improvements in order to **include more** small-sized organisations in programme activities;

Amendment

17. Welcomes the introduction of two types of strategic partnerships as a first and important positive step towards increasing the chances for small-sized organisations to participate in the programme; calls on the Commission to make further improvements in order to **ensure that a minimum predefined share of** small-sized organisations **are included** in programme activities, **considering that medium- and large-sized organizations are already advantaged in many dimensions**;

Or. en

Amendment 144
Dominique Bilde

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 17

Motion for a resolution

17. Welcomes the introduction of two types of strategic partnerships as a first and important positive step towards increasing the chances for small-sized organisations to participate in the programme; calls on the Commission to make further improvements in order to include more small-sized organisations in programme activities;

Amendment

17. Welcomes the introduction of two types of strategic partnerships as a first and important positive step towards increasing the chances for small-sized organisations to participate in the programme; calls on the Commission to make further improvements in order to include more small-sized organisations in programme activities; **highlights, however, the fact that many national agencies responsible for the chapter on youth are concerned about the removal of the ‘national youth initiatives’ sub-section, a decision which works in favour of transnational projects and to the detriment of local, regional and national projects, thus putting small projects or projects run by informal groups of young people at a disadvantage**;

Or. fr

Amendment 145
Sabine Verheyen

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 17

Motion for a resolution

17. Welcomes the introduction of two types of strategic partnerships as a first and important positive step towards increasing the chances for small-sized organisations to participate in the programme; calls on the Commission to make further improvements in order to include more small-sized organisations in programme activities;

Amendment

17. Welcomes the introduction of two types of strategic partnerships as a first and important positive step towards increasing the chances for small-sized organisations to participate in the programme; calls on the Commission to make further improvements in order to include more small-sized organisations in programme activities, ***Emphasizes the need to involve diverse participating organisations as other actors into the programme activities, such as civil society organisations, public bodies, enterprises, commercial service providers and to keep a balance among them;***

Or. en

Amendment 146
Hermann Winkler

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 17

Motion for a resolution

17. Welcomes the introduction of two types of strategic partnerships as a first and important positive step towards increasing the chances for small-sized organisations to participate in the programme; calls on the Commission to make further improvements in order to include more small-sized organisations in programme activities;

Amendment

17. Welcomes the introduction of two types of strategic partnerships as a first and important positive step towards increasing the chances for small-sized organisations to participate in the programme; calls on the Commission to make further improvements in order to include more small-sized organisations in programme activities, ***as they often have difficulties meeting the requirements and are thereby discriminated against, which detracts from the programme's reputation and***

persuasiveness;

Or. de

Amendment 147
Angel Dzhambazki

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 17

Motion for a resolution

17. Welcomes the introduction of two types of strategic partnerships as a first and important positive step towards increasing the chances for small-sized organisations to participate in the programme; calls on the Commission to make further improvements in order to include more small-sized organisations in programme activities;

Amendment

17. Welcomes the introduction of two types of strategic partnerships as a first and important positive step towards increasing the chances for small-sized organisations to participate in the programme; calls on the Commission to make further improvements in order to include more small-sized organisations in programme activities *with the final goal to increase their share in the programme keeping in mind quality requirements;*

Or. en

Amendment 148
Hermann Winkler

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 17a (new)

Motion for a resolution

17a. recommends that subsidy amounts in the school cooperation sector be reduced to the benefit of the amount of subsidised projects, in order to subsidise school exchanges directly and thus make more personal encounters between people of different cultures and languages possible; underlines the significance of personal experiences with people of different cultural backgrounds with regard to the promotion of a European

identity and the basic idea of European integration and recommends attempts be made to let the greatest possible number of people participate, which should certainly be the case for all programme aims; welcomes in that connection the improvements which have already taken place but expects the rules to be made more flexible as part of the strategic partnership by the national agencies and the Commission;

Or. de

Amendment 149
Luigi Morgano

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 17 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

17a. Notes, in the context of new societal challenges for Europe, the need to strengthen a European approach to face common European challenges by supporting large scale innovation projects in the field of education, training and youth carried out by European Civil Society networks; points out that this could be best done by allocating 5% of overall KA2 "Cooperation for innovation and the exchange of good practices" of Erasmus+ funding to centralised actions;

Or. en

Amendment 150
Eider Gardiazabal Rubial, Terry Reintke, Brando Benifei, Eva Paunova

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 17 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

17a. *Encourages the European Commission to come up with innovative solutions to maximise as much as possible the impact of the programme by proposing new eligible actions, which could be done, for example, by introducing large scale youth exchanges based on the structure of the large scale EVS in the framework of KA1;*

Or. en

Amendment 151
Dominique Bilde

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 18

Motion for a resolution

18. *Welcomes* the introduction of the unit cost system into the programme in order to minimise the administrative burden; *welcomes also the adjustments made in 2016 and planned for 2017 by the Commission; considers the further increase in unit cost rates to be necessary to provide sufficient financial support for project participants;*

Amendment

18. *Notes that stakeholders have welcomed* the introduction of the unit cost system into the programme in order to minimise the administrative burden, *despite the emergence of the fact that the system could cause problems in the areas furthest away; notes also the adjustments made in 2016 and planned for 2017 by the Commission;*

Or. fr

Amendment 152
Petra Kammerevert, Krystyna Łybacka

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 18

Motion for a resolution

18. Welcomes the introduction of the *unit cost* system into the programme in

Amendment

18. Welcomes the introduction of the *consolidation* system into the programme

order to minimise the administrative burden; welcomes also the adjustments made in 2016 and planned for 2017 by the Commission; considers the further increase in *unit cost* rates to be necessary to provide sufficient financial support for project participants;

in order to minimise the administrative burden; welcomes also the adjustments made in 2016 and planned for 2017 by the Commission; considers the further increase in *consolidation* rates to be necessary to provide sufficient financial support for project participants *and calls for guarantees that participants and organisations from remote regions and border areas will not be disadvantaged by the consolidation being introduced;*

Or. de

Amendment 153
Hermann Winkler

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 18

Motion for a resolution

18. Welcomes the introduction of the unit cost system into the programme in order to minimise the administrative burden; welcomes also the adjustments made in 2016 and planned for 2017 by the Commission; considers the further increase in unit cost rates to be necessary to provide sufficient financial support for project participants;

Amendment

18. Welcomes the introduction of the unit cost system into the programme in order to minimise the administrative burden, *but recommends improvements to the assessment of calculation methods and accounts of which specific costs can be provided from the allowances in order to guarantee fairness*; welcomes also the adjustments made in 2016 and planned for 2017 by the Commission; considers the further increase in unit cost rates, *particularly management allowances or subsidies for personal costs*, to be necessary to provide sufficient financial support for project participants *given the high application and administrative expenses*;

calls for the high personal engagement, particularly of the many volunteers and teachers, and of all other applicants to be rewarded appropriately as part of the application process or acknowledged elsewhere if it is not explicitly provided for;

calls for the (re)introduction of project initiation in financing and making contact with potential cooperation partners or preparatory meetings, or a sufficient total allowance to cover those costs, for example;

Or. de

Amendment 154

Isabella Adinolfi, Rosa D'Amato

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 18

Motion for a resolution

18. Welcomes the introduction of the unit cost system into the programme in order to minimise the administrative burden; welcomes also the adjustments made in 2016 and planned for 2017 by the Commission; considers the further increase in unit cost rates to be necessary to provide sufficient financial support for project participants;

Amendment

18. Welcomes the introduction of the unit cost system into the programme in order to minimise the administrative burden; welcomes also the adjustments made in 2016 and planned for 2017 by the Commission; considers the further increase in unit cost rates to be necessary to provide sufficient financial support for project participants; *underlines that transparency in this area is an essential component of the transparency requirements and targets for the overall Erasmus+ programme;*

Or. en

Amendment 155

Sabine Verheyen

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 18

Motion for a resolution

18. Welcomes the introduction of the unit cost system into the programme in order to minimise the administrative burden; welcomes also the adjustments made in 2016 and planned for 2017 by the

Amendment

18. Welcomes the introduction of the unit cost system into the programme in order to minimise the administrative burden; welcomes also the adjustments made in 2016 and planned for 2017 by the

Commission; considers the further increase in unit cost rates to be necessary to provide sufficient financial support for project participants;

Commission; considers the further increase in unit cost rates to be necessary to provide sufficient financial support for project participants *and emphasizes the need to ensure that participants and organisations from remote areas and border regions are not disadvantaged;*

Or. en

Amendment 156
Michaela Šojdrová

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 18 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

18a. Welcomes the simplification introduced by the use of lump-sum and flat-rate funding; notes, however, the need to increase the operational support to European networks under KA3 "Support for policy reform" in order to maximise the promotion and dissemination of the opportunities offered by Erasmus+;

Or. en

Amendment 157
Angel Dzhambazki

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 18 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

18a. Encourages the Commission to look for ways to further improve the complicated administrative procedure for the applicants to the different sectors of the programme;

Or. en

Amendment 158

Luigi Morgano, Terry Reintke, Eider Gardiazabal Rubial, Brando Benifei

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 18 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

18a. Welcomes, furthermore, the simplification introduced by the use of lump-sum and flat-rate funding;

Or. en

Amendment 159

Luigi Morgano, Eider Gardiazabal Rubial, Brando Benifei

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 18 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

18b. Notes the need to increase the operational support to European networks under KA3 "Support for policy reform" in order to maximise the promotion and dissemination of the opportunities offered by Erasmus+;

Or. en

Amendment 160

Hannu Takkula, Yana Toom, Bogdan Brunon Wentta

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 19

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

19. Calls for volunteering to be eligible as a source of own contributions to the project budget, bearing in mind that Erasmus+ enables the recognition of

19. Calls for **searching possible ways for** volunteering to be eligible as a source of own contributions to the project budget **especially in Sport**, bearing in mind that

volunteer time as co-financing in the form of contributions in kind;

Erasmus+ enables the recognition of volunteer time as co-financing in the form of contributions in kind;

Or. en

Amendment 161

Eider Gardiazabal Rubial, Terry Reintke, Brando Benifei

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 19

Motion for a resolution

19. Calls for volunteering to be eligible as a source of own contributions to the project budget, bearing in mind that Erasmus+ enables the recognition of volunteer time as co-financing in the form of contributions in kind;

Amendment

19. Calls for ***taking concrete steps for*** volunteering to be eligible as a source of own contributions to the project budget, bearing in mind that Erasmus+ enables the recognition of volunteer time as co-financing in the form of contributions in kind ***and that the new European Commission proposal for financial guidelines includes this as a possibility;***

Or. en

Amendment 162

Michaela Šojdrová

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 19

Motion for a resolution

19. Calls for volunteering to be eligible as a source of own contributions to the project budget, bearing in mind that Erasmus+ enables the recognition of volunteer time as co-financing in the form of contributions in kind;

Amendment

19. Calls ***on the Commission to take relevant steps*** for volunteering to be eligible as a source of own contributions to the project budget, bearing in mind that Erasmus+ enables the recognition of volunteer time as co-financing in the form of contributions in kind;

Or. en

Amendment 163
Isabella Adinolfi, Rosa D'Amato

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 19

Motion for a resolution

19. Calls for volunteering to be eligible as a source of own contributions to the project budget, bearing in mind that Erasmus+ enables the recognition of volunteer time as co-financing in the form of contributions in kind;

Amendment

19. Calls for volunteering to be eligible as a source of own contributions to the project budget, bearing in mind that Erasmus+ enables the recognition of volunteer time as co-financing in the form of contributions in kind; ***stresses that volunteer contribution must be recognized and granted visibility, due to its special significance for the programme; underlines that the opening towards the above mentioned eligibility must go hand in hand with attentive monitoring of the overall volunteering share, since it would not be fair to burden civil society with responsibilities that must be fundamentally met with public resources;***

Or. en

Amendment 164
Hannu Takkula, Yana Toom, Krystyna Łybacka, Bogdan Brunon Wenta

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 19 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

19a. Calls on the Commission to allocate more funds to sport with a focus on grassroots sport and education to enhance its visibility, to promote physical activity and to make sport more accessible to all citizen in EU;

Or. en

Amendment 165
Eider Gardiazabal Rubial, Brando Benifei

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 19 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

19a. Welcomes the European Commission's proposition to set up a European Solidarity Corps; encourages the Commission to involve volunteer organizations in developing this new initiative in order to ensure its added and complementary value in strengthening volunteering in the European Union; encourages the Commission to make a budgetary effort to accommodate this new initiative without underfunding other current and priority programmes and calls to explore possibilities to integrate it under the EVS in order to strengthen volunteering in the EU without duplicating initiatives and programmes;

Or. en

Amendment 166
Hermann Winkler

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 19a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

19a. Calls for the decision-making period to be kept as short as possible, for the evaluation of applications to be done in a coherent and coordinated way and for a transparent and understandable justification to be provided with rejected applications, so that there is not a drastic loss of incentive among users of EU programmes;

Or. de

Amendment 167

Krystyna Łybacka, Sylvie Guillaume, Damian Drăghici, Julie Ward, Eider Gardiazabal Rubial, Marita Ulvskog, Momchil Nekov, Petra Kammerevert

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 19 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

19a. Welcomes the European Commission's proposition to set up a European Solidarity Corps; encourages the Commission to involve volunteer organizations in developing this new initiative in order to ensure its added and complementary value in strengthening volunteering in the European Union;

Or. en

Amendment 168

Krystyna Łybacka, Sylvie Guillaume, Marlene Mizzi, Julie Ward, Eider Gardiazabal Rubial, Marita Ulvskog, Momchil Nekov, Milan Zver, Bogdan Brunon Wenta, Petra Kammerevert, Helga Trüpel, Ernest Maragall

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 19 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

19b. Notes the importance of strengthening the local dimension of the EVS; suggests providing the EVS volunteers with stronger support not only before departure, but also upon their return to their local communities in the forms of post-orientated and post-integrated trainings in order to help them to share their European expertise by promoting volunteering at the local level;

Or. en

Amendment 169
Dominique Bilde

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 20

Motion for a resolution

20. Asks the Commission to *harmonise* the indicated pre-financing rates as much as possible throughout the programme in order to give all beneficiaries the same advantages and to facilitate project implementation, especially for small-sized organisations;

Amendment

20. Asks the Commission to *make proportionate adjustments commensurate with specific situations within the different chapters and according to national demand for* the indicated pre-financing rates as much as possible throughout the programme in order to give all beneficiaries the same advantages and to facilitate project implementation, especially for small-sized organisations;

Or. fr

Amendment 170
Eider Gardiazabal Rubial, Terry Reintke, Brando Benifei

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 20

Motion for a resolution

20. Asks the Commission to harmonise the indicated pre-financing rates as much as possible throughout the programme in order to give all beneficiaries the same advantages and to facilitate project implementation, especially for *small-sized* organisations;

Amendment

20. Asks the Commission to harmonise the indicated pre-financing rates as much as possible throughout the programme in order to give all beneficiaries the same advantages and to facilitate project implementation, especially for *voluntary-based* organisations;

Or. en

Amendment 171
Hannu Takkula

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 20 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

20a. *Encourages the further increase of grant rates as well as their adjustment to the cost of living in the country of mobility in order to encourage the participation of socio-economically disadvantaged students, students and staff with special needs, and students and staff from distant regions;*

Or. en

Amendment 172

Krystyna Lybacka, Sylvie Guillaume, Giorgos Grammatikakis, Julie Ward, Eider Gardiazabal Rubial, Marita Ulvskog, Momchil Nekov, Milan Zver, Bogdan Brunon Wenta, Petra Kammerevert

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 20 a (new)**

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

20a. *Notes grant discrepancies between countries and method of its allocations; encourages the European Commission to investigate the outcomes of such differences in an effort to minimise socio-economic disparities in the European Union;*

Or. en

Amendment 173

Angel Dzhambazki

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 20 a (new)**

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

20a. *Notes grant discrepancies between countries and method of its allocations; encourages the European Commission to*

investigate the outcomes of such differences in an effort to minimise socio-economic disparities in the European Union;

Or. en

Amendment 174
Angel Dzhambazki

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 20 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

20b. Suggests more flexibility in moving funds between KAs, and entrusts NAs in this process due to their familiarity with the potential funding gaps in their countries, thus allowing them to narrow these gaps;

Or. en

Amendment 175

Krystyna Lybacka, Sylvie Guillaume, Damian Drăghici, Julie Ward, Eider Gardiazabal Rubial, Marita Ulvskog, Momchil Nekov, Milan Zver, Bogdan Brunon Wenta, Petra Kammerevert, Helga Trüpel, Ernest Maragall

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 20 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

20b. Underlines that grants to support the mobility of individuals within the Erasmus+ programme should be exempted from taxation and social levies;

Or. en

Amendment 176

Krystyna Lybacka, Sylvie Guillaume, Damian Drăghici, Marlene Mizzi, Julie Ward,

Eider Gardiazabal Rubial, Marita Ulvskog, Momchil Nekov, Milan Zver, Bogdan Brunon Wenta, Petra Kammerevert, Helga Trüpel, Ernest Maragall

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 20 c (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

20c. Encourages the further increase of grant rates as well as their adjustment to the cost of living in the country of mobility in order to encourage the participation of socio-economically disadvantaged students, students and staff with special needs, and students and staff from distant regions;

Or. en

Amendment 177

Krystyna Lybacka, Sylvie Guillaume, Julie Ward, Eider Gardiazabal Rubial, Marita Ulvskog, Momchil Nekov, Petra Kammerevert, Helga Trüpel, Ernest Maragall

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 20 d (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

20d. Suggests more flexibility in moving funds between KAs, and entrusts NAs in this process due to their familiarity with the potential funding gaps in their countries, thus allowing them to narrow these gaps;

Or. en

Amendment 178
Notis Marias

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 21

Motion for a resolution

21. Calls on the Commission to recognise the special nature of projects and mobilities involving people with special needs and people from disadvantaged backgrounds; ***encourages stronger promotion of*** the possibilities for people with special needs and for people from disadvantaged backgrounds to engage in the programme and asks that their access thereto be facilitated;

Amendment

21. Calls on the Commission to recognise the special nature of projects and mobilities involving people with special needs and people from disadvantaged backgrounds; ***stresses that*** the possibilities for people with special needs and for people from disadvantaged backgrounds to engage in the programme ***should be promoted more strongly*** and asks that their access thereto be facilitated;

Or. el

Amendment 179
Dominique Bilde

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 21

Motion for a resolution

21. Calls on the Commission to recognise the special nature of projects and mobilities involving people with special needs and people from disadvantaged backgrounds; encourages stronger promotion of the possibilities for people with special needs and for people from disadvantaged backgrounds to engage in the programme and asks that their access thereto be facilitated;

Amendment

21. Calls on the Commission to recognise the special nature of projects and mobilities involving people with special needs and people from disadvantaged backgrounds; encourages stronger promotion of the possibilities for people with special needs, ***particularly disabled people***, and for people from disadvantaged backgrounds, ***particularly those from rural or mountainous areas***, to engage in the programme and asks that their access thereto be facilitated;

Or. fr

Amendment 180
Eider Gardiazabal Rubial

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 21

Motion for a resolution

21. Calls on the Commission to recognise the special nature of projects and mobilities involving people with special needs and people from disadvantaged backgrounds; encourages stronger promotion of the possibilities for people with special needs and for people from disadvantaged backgrounds to engage in the programme and asks that their access thereto be facilitated;

Amendment

21. Calls on the Commission to recognise the special nature of projects and mobilities involving people with special needs and people from disadvantaged backgrounds; encourages stronger promotion of the possibilities for people with special needs and for people from disadvantaged backgrounds to engage in the programme, ***including refugees***, and asks that their access thereto be facilitated;

Or. en

Amendment 181

Isabella Adinolfi, Rosa D'Amato

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 21

Motion for a resolution

21. Calls on the Commission to recognise the special nature of projects and mobilities involving people with special needs and people from disadvantaged backgrounds; encourages stronger promotion of the possibilities for people with special needs and for people from disadvantaged backgrounds to engage in the programme and asks that their access thereto be facilitated;

Amendment

21. Calls on the Commission to recognise the special nature of projects and mobilities involving people with special needs and people from disadvantaged backgrounds; encourages stronger promotion of the possibilities for people with special needs and for people from disadvantaged backgrounds to engage in the programme and asks that their access thereto be facilitated, ***ensuring a minimum share of participation, defined with ambitious criteria; underlines that the crisis currently affecting many Member States, especially throughout the Mediterranean region but not limited to it, already makes difficult for many students to pursue a project of higher education at their own Member State, and that it affects even more seriously their possibilities to participate in Erasmus+, where an additional source of co-financing often becomes necessary;***

Amendment 182

Jeroen Lenaers

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 21

Motion for a resolution

21. Calls on the Commission to recognise the special nature of projects and mobilities involving people with special needs and people from disadvantaged backgrounds; encourages stronger promotion of the possibilities for people with special needs and for people from disadvantaged backgrounds to engage in the programme and asks that their access thereto be facilitated;

Amendment

21. Calls on the Commission to recognise the special nature of projects and mobilities involving people with special needs and people from disadvantaged backgrounds; encourages stronger promotion of the possibilities for people with special needs and for people from disadvantaged backgrounds to engage in the programme and asks that their access thereto be facilitated; ***therefore points out that the cost of accommodation during temporary studies or an internship abroad can differ considerably between countries and cities; asks the Commission to verify whether the diversity in accommodation costs can be taken into account when Erasmus+ scholarships are awarded;***

Or. nl

Amendment 183

Petra Kammerevert, Krystyna Łybacka

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 21a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

21a. Calls on the Commission to significantly simplify the application process from the application to the submission of supporting documents; while application forms are simplified, they will be published at the same time as the programme guide (at least 8 weeks before the application deadline in all the

official languages), and offers of support and the programme guide will be made more user friendly as all the relevant information for each programme area will be summarised in one chapter; takes the view that the evaluation of applications should be subject to a coordinated and stringent review by a central authority with the support of independent experts;

Or. de

Amendment 184
Hannu Takkula

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 21 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

21a. Stresses that although progress has been made in recognising study periods, credits, competences and skills through non-formal and informal learning gained abroad, these challenges remain; underlines that recognition of international qualifications is essential to mobility and forms the foundation for further cooperation in the European Higher Education Area; highlights the importance of making full use of all EU tools for the validation of knowledge, skills and competences essential to the recognition of qualifications;

Or. en

Amendment 185
Sabine Verheyen

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 21 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

21a. *Calls on the Commission to simplify the process by simplifying the application forms, publishing them at the same time as the programme guide well ahead of the application deadline, making the programme-guide more user-friendly by merging all relevant information of each programme sector into one chapter, emphasises that for the evaluation of applications, a coordinated and consistent assessment by a single body is necessary, supported by independent experts;*

Or. en

Amendment 186

Curzio Maltese, Martina Michels, Tania González Peñas

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 21 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

21a. *Calls on the Commission and the Member States to promote VET programmes, points out that traineeship and internship systems are a formative opportunity that do not substitute full time professional positions, they must guarantee dignified working conditions and adequate pay for apprentices and in no instance should the competences attributed to beneficiaries be replaced by those proper to an employee;*

Or. en

Amendment 187

Krystyna Lybacka, Sylvie Guillaume, Giorgos Grammatikakis, Damian Drăghici, Marlene Mizzi, Julie Ward, Eider Gardiazabal Rubial, Marita Ulvskog, Momchil Nekov, Milan Zver, Bogdan Brunon Wentă, Petra Kammerevert, Helga Trüpel, Ernest Maragall

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 21 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

21a. *Notes more demanding implementation work for NAs under the current programme; calls on the European Commission to provide NAs with sufficient resources and necessary assistance, thus enabling more efficient programme implementation and allowing NAs to tackle new challenges resulting from the budget increase;*

Or. en

Amendment 188
Angel Dzhambazki

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 21 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

21a. *Notes more demanding implementation work for NAs under the current programme; calls on the European Commission to provide NAs with sufficient resources and necessary assistance, thus enabling more efficient programme implementation and allowing NAs to tackle new challenges resulting from the budget increase;*

Or. en

Amendment 189

Krystyna Lybacka, Sylvie Guillaume, Giorgos Grammatikakis, Damian Drăghici, Julie Ward, Eider Gardiazabal Rubial, Marita Ulvskog, Momchil Nekov, Milan Zver, Bogdan Brunon Wenta, Petra Kammerevert, Helga Trüpel, Ernest Maragall, Silvia Costa

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 21 b (new)

PE592.383v01-00

94/117

AM\1107846EN.docx

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

21b. *Calls on the European Commission to monitor the quality criteria used by the National Agencies in project evaluations and exchange best practises in this regard; encourages trainings for evaluators in order to continue their development, especially in cross-sector projects, and to allow them to provide quality feedback to all applicants in order to encourage the accomplishment of goals in future projects and to improve the performance of future applicants;*

Or. en

Amendment 190
Angel Dzhambazki

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 21 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

21b. *Calls on the European Commission to monitor the quality criteria used by the National Agencies in project evaluations and exchange best practises in this regard; encourages trainings for evaluators in order to continue their development, especially in cross-sector projects, and to allow them to provide quality feedback to all applicants in order to encourage the accomplishment of goals in future projects and to improve the performance of future applicants;*

Or. en

Amendment 191
Hannu Takkula

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 21 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

21b. *Encourages Member States to incorporate educational mobility as a part of higher or vocational education programmes in order to foster knowledge about learning, training, and youth work, improve the quality of higher education and the VET system, help individuals with upgrading their professional skills, competences and career development;*

Or. en

Amendment 192
Sabine Verheyen

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 21 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

21b. *Calls on the Commission to recognise sector-specific needs and to enable and strengthen sector-specific formats such as youth initiatives, the structured dialogue or Grundtvig-workshops, emphasises the need to keep the European Volunteer Service;*

Or. en

Amendment 193
Curzio Maltese, Martina Michels, Tania González Peñas

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 21 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

21b. *Calls on the Commission and the Member States to validate and recognise*

formal and non-formal learning and apprenticeships;

Or. en

Amendment 194
Angel Dzhambazki

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 21 c (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

21c. Urges the Commission to identify current unequal participation of VET institutions in the EU mobility programmes in countries and regions in order to diminish these differences through improved collaboration and exchange of information among national agencies for Erasmus+, supporting teamwork among VET institutions by connecting experienced VET institutions with other institutions, offering policy support measures and specific suggestions to VET institutions, and improving VET institution support systems already in place;

Or. en

Amendment 195
Hannu Takkula

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 21 c (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

21c. Encourages Member States, in order to foster the mobility of teachers, lecturers and non-academic staff, to acknowledge their participation in mobility programmes as an important part of their career progression, and if possible

introduce a reward system linked to participation in mobility programmes; for example, in the form of financial benefits or reduction of workload;

Or. en

Amendment 196

Krystyna Lybacka, Sylvie Guillaume, Giorgos Grammatikakis, Damian Drăghici, Julie Ward, Eider Gardiazabal Rubial, Marita Ulvskog, Momchil Nekov, Milan Zver, Bogdan Brunon Wentă, Petra Kammerevert, Helga Trüpel, Ernest Maragall

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 21 c (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

21c. Calls on the National Agencies to provide full transparency while evaluating projects by publishing the list of selected projects together with their ongoing progress and designated financial support;

Or. en

Amendment 197

Krystyna Lybacka, Sylvie Guillaume, Damian Drăghici, Marlene Mizzi, Julie Ward, Eider Gardiazabal Rubial, Marita Ulvskog, Momchil Nekov, Milan Zver, Bogdan Brunon Wentă, Petra Kammerevert, Helga Trüpel, Ernest Maragall, Silvia Costa

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 21 d (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

21d. Stresses that although progress has been made in recognising study periods, credits, competences and skills through non-formal and informal learning gained abroad, these challenges remain; underlines that recognition of international qualifications is essential to mobility and forms the foundation for further cooperation in the European

Higher Education Area; highlights the importance of making full use of all EU tools for the validation of knowledge, skills and competences essential to the recognition of qualifications;

Or. en

Amendment 198

Krystyna Lybacka, Sylvie Guillaume, Damian Drăghici, Julie Ward, Eider Gardiazabal Rubial, Marita Ulvskog, Momchil Nekov, Milan Zver, Bogdan Brunon Wentă, Petra Kammerevert

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 21 e (new)**

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

21e. Encourages in Key Action 1 to continue the best function practises from Comenius such as fostering school class exchanges, and the possibility for school staff members to apply individually for mobility grants under Key Action 1;

Or. en

Amendment 199

Krystyna Lybacka, Sylvie Guillaume, Damian Drăghici, Julie Ward, Eider Gardiazabal Rubial, Marita Ulvskog, Momchil Nekov, Milan Zver, Bogdan Brunon Wentă, Petra Kammerevert, Helga Trüpel, Ernest Maragall

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 21 f (new)**

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

21f. Notes that despite the high quality of projects in KA2, many of them have been refused due to limited funding; encourages the European Commission to mark these projects in order to help them attract investments from other sources; encourages Member States to acknowledge “the marked projects” by

giving them priority in accessing public funds for their realisation, if such funds are accessible;

Or. en

Amendment 200

Krystyna Łybacka, Sylvie Guillaume, Julie Ward, Eider Gardiazabal Rubial, Marita Ulvskog, Momchil Nekov, Milan Zver, Bogdan Brunon Wenta, Petra Kammerevert, Helga Trüpel, Ernest Maragall

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 21 g (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

21g. Calls on the European Commission to continue efforts to resolve the funding challenge for European organisations based in Brussels in order to further their contribution to the development of European policies in the fields of education, training, youth and sport;

Or. en

Amendment 201

Krystyna Łybacka, Sylvie Guillaume, Julie Ward, Eider Gardiazabal Rubial, Marita Ulvskog, Petra Kammerevert

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 21 h (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

21h. Notes the NAs' challenges in implementing the International Credit Mobility (ICM); calls for greater flexibility for NAs to allocate resources from some countries and regions for the purpose of meeting the cooperation priorities of the HEIs;

Or. en

Amendment 202

Krystyna Łybacka, Sylvie Guillaume, Marlene Mizzi, Julie Ward, Eider Gardiazabal Rubial, Marita Ulvskog, Milan Zver, Bogdan Brunon Wenta, Petra Kammerevert, Helga Trüpel, Ernest Maragall

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 21 i (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

21i. Encourages Member States to incorporate educational mobility as a part of higher or vocational education programmes in order to foster knowledge about learning, training, and youth work, improve the quality of higher education and the VET system, help individuals with upgrading their professional skills, competences and career development;

Or. en

Amendment 203

Krystyna Łybacka, Sylvie Guillaume, Marlene Mizzi, Julie Ward, Eider Gardiazabal Rubial, Marita Ulvskog, Milan Zver, Bogdan Brunon Wenta, Petra Kammerevert, Helga Trüpel, Ernest Maragall, Silvia Costa

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 21 j (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

21j. Encourages Member States, in order to foster the mobility of teachers, lecturers and non-academic staff, to acknowledge their participation in mobility programmes as an important part of their career progression, and if possible introduce a reward system linked to participation in mobility programmes; for example, in the form of financial benefits or reduction of workload;

Or. en

Amendment 204

Krystyna Łybacka, Sylvie Guillaume, Damian Drăghici, Marlene Mizzi, Julie Ward, Eider Gardiazabal Rubial, Marita Ulvskog, Momchil Nekov, Petra Kammerevert, Helga Trüpel, Ernest Maragall

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 21 k (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

21k. Notes the decreasing number of individual mobility participants due to preferential treatment by European HEIs of an institutionalised mobility system; encourages the European Commission and national authorities to renew opportunities for individual candidates to participate in mobility;

Or. en

Amendment 205

Krystyna Łybacka, Sylvie Guillaume, Damian Drăghici, Marlene Mizzi, Julie Ward, Eider Gardiazabal Rubial, Marita Ulvskog, Momchil Nekov, Petra Kammerevert, Helga Trüpel, Ernest Maragall

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 21 l (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

21l. Encourages the European Commission to bolster the VET system by promoting VET mobility programmes among its new organisations and smaller institutions, in addition to providing them with assistance in applying for appropriate funding by offering further guidance, on-line trainings, and personalised support in preparing high quality applications for funding through contact with national agencies for the Erasmus+ programme;

Or. en

Amendment 206

Krystyna Łybacka, Sylvie Guillaume, Damian Drăghici, Marlene Mizzi, Julie Ward, Eider Gardiazabal Rubial, Marita Ulvskog, Momchil Nekov, Milan Zver, Bogdan Brunon Wenta, Petra Kammerevert, Helga Trüpel, Ernest Maragall

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 21 m (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

21m. Urges the Commission to identify current unequal participation of VET institutions in the EU mobility programmes in countries and regions in order to diminish these differences through improved collaboration and exchange of information among national agencies for Erasmus+, supporting teamwork among VET institutions by connecting experienced VET institutions with other institutions, offering policy support measures and specific suggestions to VET institutions, and improving VET institution support systems already in place;

Or. en

Amendment 207

Krystyna Łybacka, Sylvie Guillaume, Julie Ward, Eider Gardiazabal Rubial, Marita Ulvskog, Momchil Nekov, Petra Kammerevert

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 21 n (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

21n. Encourages the promotion of the European educational system around the world, as well as the advancement of individual knowledge worldwide by enhancing all relevant stakeholders (Member States, the HEIs, associations of higher education) to make the Erasmus Mundus Joint Master Degrees more

attractive for HEIs and potential applicants;

Or. en

Amendment 208

Krystyna Łybacka, Silvia Costa, Marlene Mizzi, Momchil Nekov, Petra Kammerevert

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 21 o (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

21o. Welcomes the particular involvement of the Erasmus+ programme in cooperation and activities in grassroots sport; encourages the European Commission to improve the accessibility and participation in the programme of grassroots actors such as sports clubs; invites the Commission to enhance a cross-sectoral approach on grassroots sport across all relevant actions of Erasmus+ and to coordinate actions in this field in order to ensure their effectiveness and desired impact;

Or. en

Amendment 209

Krystyna Łybacka, Milan Zver, Momchil Nekov

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 21 p (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

21p. Suggests greater involvement of NAs in education, training, youth and sport policy development by strengthening the links among the European Commission, Member States and National Agencies;

Or. en

Amendment 210
Monica Macovei

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 21 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

21a. Welcomes the establishment of European implementation guidelines and a more detailed FAQ site to streamline answers about selection criteria and to showcase selected projects in order to clarify selection and better support small-sized organizations

Or. en

Amendment 211
Notis Marias

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 22

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

22. Suggests that the priority should be to refrain from further harmonisation and major changes in the structure of the programme, and instead to safeguard and consolidate achievements and make incremental improvements **where necessary**;

22. Suggests that the priority should be to refrain from further harmonisation and major changes in the structure of the programme, and instead to safeguard and consolidate achievements and make incremental improvements;

Or. el

Amendment 212
Hermann Winkler

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 22

Motion for a resolution

22. Suggests that the priority should be to refrain from further harmonisation and major changes in the structure of the programme, and instead to safeguard and consolidate achievements and make incremental improvements where necessary;

Amendment

22. Suggests that the priority should be to refrain from further harmonisation and major changes in the structure of the programme, and instead to safeguard and consolidate achievements *from the previous funding periods* and make incremental improvements where necessary; *takes the view that, in spite of the successful harmonisation to date, sector-specific particularities must be noted and calls in that connection for proven formats from previous funding periods, in particular formats which promote democratic understanding and youth specific formats such as the National Youth Initiative, the Participative Democracy Project and the Grundtvig Workshops, should be retained or reintroduced;*

Or. de

Amendment 213

Hannu Takkula, Yana Toom, Bogdan Brunon Wenta

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 22

Motion for a resolution

22. Suggests that the priority should be to refrain from further harmonisation and major changes in the structure of the programme, and instead to safeguard and consolidate achievements and make incremental improvements where necessary;

Amendment

22. Suggests that the priority should be to refrain from further harmonisation and major changes in the structure of the programme, and instead to safeguard and consolidate achievements and make incremental improvements where necessary *while critically examining the underused instruments such as the Erasmus Master's Loan Scheme;*

Or. en

Amendment 214
Hermann Winkler

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 22a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

22a. Recommends that the significance and visibility of non-formal education should be increased for both youth employment and adult education in Erasmus+, as non-formal education is important in the European citizenship sector, the promotion of democracy and education on values, the programme is, however, often only associated with formal education owing to its name;

Or. de

Amendment 215

Krystyna Lybacka, Sylvie Guillaume, Damian Drăghici, Marlene Mizzi, Julie Ward, Eider Gardiazabal Rubial, Marita Ulvskog, Momchil Nekov, Petra Kammerevert, Silvia Costa

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 22 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

22a. Calls on the European Commission to involve all relevant stakeholders in the work on next funding programming period, and in the introduction of possible improvements in order to ensure the programme's further success and added value;

Or. en

Amendment 216
Helga Trüpel, Ernest Maragall

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 22 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

22a. *Recommends that Erasmus+ further develops cross-sector mobility of individuals within KA 1, so that learners, teachers, educators, trainers, apprentices, workers and youth may engage fully in cross-sector mobility;*

Or. en

Amendment 217
Helga Trüpel, Ernest Maragall

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 22 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

22b. *Asks the Commission to introduce and support a structured learning component on educational mobility, that should become part of any higher or vocational education programme, in order to ease and strengthen awareness of mobility competences in all targeted sectors;*

Or. en

Amendment 218
Helga Trüpel, Ernest Maragall

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 22 c (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

22c. *Suggests greater involvement of National Agencies in education, training, youth and sport policy developments by strengthening the links to and among the*

Amendment 219
Notis Marias

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 23

Motion for a resolution

23. *Suggests* that a clear definition of cross-sectoral projects be developed in order to avoid confusion resulting from the mislabelling of projects;

Amendment

23. *Asks* that a clear definition of cross-sectoral projects be developed in order to avoid confusion resulting from the mislabelling of projects;

Or. el

Amendment 220
Angel Dzhambazki

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 24

Motion for a resolution

24. *Calls not only for the current budget level to be secured for the next programme generation under the new MFF, but considers a further budget increase to be an important step for the continued success of the programme;*

Amendment

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 221
Curzio Maltese, Martina Michels, Tania González Peñas

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 24

Motion for a resolution

24. Calls ***not only for*** the current budget level ***to be secured*** for the next programme generation under the new MFF, ***but*** considers a further budget increase to be an important step for the continued success of the programme;

Amendment

24. Calls ***on the Commission to increase*** the current budget level for the next programme generation under the new MFF, ***and*** considers ***this*** a further budget increase to be an important step for the continued success of the programme;

Or. en

Amendment 222
Dominique Bilde

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 24

Motion for a resolution

24. Calls ***not only for*** the current budget level to be secured for the next programme generation under the new MFF, ***but considers a further budget increase to be an important step for the continued success of the programme;***

Amendment

24. Calls for the current budget level to be secured for the next programme generation under the new MFF, ***accompanied by an assessment of the efficiency and effectiveness of the management of the funds committed;***

Or. fr

Amendment 223
Fernando Ruas

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 24

Motion for a resolution

24. Calls not only for the current budget level to be secured for the next programme generation under the new MFF, but ***considers a further budget increase to be an important step for the continued success of the programme;***

Amendment

24. Calls not only for the current budget level to be secured for the next programme generation under the new MFF, but ***also for a budget increase commensurate with the success that the programme achieved;***

Or. pt

Amendment 224
Sabine Verheyen

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 24

Motion for a resolution

24. Calls not only for the current budget level to be secured for the next programme generation under the new MFF, but considers a further budget increase to be an important **step** for the continued success of the programme;

Amendment

24. Calls not only for the current budget level to be secured for the next programme generation under the new MFF, but considers a further budget increase to be an important **precondition** for the continued success of the programme;

Or. en

Amendment 225
Hermann Winkler

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 24

Motion for a resolution

24. Calls not only for the current budget level to be secured for the next programme generation under the new MFF, but considers a further budget increase to be an **important** step for the continued success of the programme;

Amendment

24. Calls not only for the current budget level to be secured for the next programme generation under the new MFF, but considers a further budget increase to be an **absolutely essential** step for the continued success of the programme; **recommends that demand in the sectors be taken into account in the allocation of funding in order to prevent the severe underfunding of individual sectors and the programme's subsequent loss of reputation, which of course should not in any case counteract wide dissemination of the programme's advantages among other groups, without failing to meet the expectations of potential applicants;**

Or. de

Amendment 226

Hannu Takkula, Yana Toom, Krystyna Łybacka, Bogdan Brunon Wentka

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 24

Motion for a resolution

24. Calls not only for the current budget level to be secured for the next programme generation under the new MFF, but considers a further budget increase to be an important step for the continued success of the programme;

Amendment

24. Calls not only for the current budget level to be secured for the next programme generation under the new MFF, but considers a further budget increase to be an important step for the continued success of the programme ***with specific commitment to secure sufficient funding for both student and youth mobility in the form of increased grants, paying specific attention to increasing the mobility of underrepresented groups;***

Or. en

Amendment 227

Petra Kammerevert, Krystyna Łybacka

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 24

Motion for a resolution

24. Calls not only for the current budget level to be secured for the next programme generation under the new MFF, but considers a further budget increase to be an important step for the continued success of the programme;

Amendment

24. Calls not only for the current budget level to be secured for the next programme generation under the new MFF, but considers a further budget increase ***with regard to the total budget*** to be an important step for the continued success of the programme ***and only by doing so can the demand in the university sector, which is actually much higher, and particularly also in the adult education and youth sectors be covered;***

Or. de

Amendment 228

Eider Gardiazabal Rubial, Terry Reintke, Brando Benifei

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 24

Motion for a resolution

24. Calls not only for the current budget level to be secured for the next programme generation under the new MFF, but considers a further budget increase to be an important step for the continued success of the programme;

Amendment

24. Calls not only for the current budget level to be secured for the next programme generation under the new MFF, but considers a further budget increase, ***that ensures a level of annual funding for the next generation of programmes of at least the same level as the last year of implementation of the current framework***, to be an important step for the continued success of the programme;

Or. en

Amendment 229

Michaela Šojdrová

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 24

Motion for a resolution

24. Calls not only for the current budget level to be secured for the next programme generation under the new MFF, but considers a further budget increase to be an important step for the continued success of the programme;

Amendment

24. Calls not only for the current budget level to be secured for the next programme generation under the new MFF, but considers a further budget increase, ***particularly in the funding for youth field***, to be an important step for the continued success of the programme;

Or. en

Amendment 230

Helga Trüpel, Ernest Maragall

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 24 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

24a. *Stays in a constant dialogue with the European Commission in order to monitor the practices of autocratic governments relative to the selection of individuals for mobility;*

Or. en

Amendment 231

Petra Kammerevert, Krystyna Łybacka

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 25

Motion for a resolution

25. Calls on the Commission to keep the separate chapters and separate budgets for education and training, for youth and for sport, bearing in mind their specific characters;

Amendment

25. Calls on the Commission to keep the separate chapters and separate budgets for education and training, for youth and for sport, bearing in mind their specific characters; ***points out, however, that a different weighting of the programme areas should be carried out and the necessary minimum budget per programme area relative to the actual demand and stated priorities in the EU 2020 Strategy must be adapted and increased;***

Or. de

Amendment 232

Isabella Adinolfi, Rosa D'Amato

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 25

Motion for a resolution

25. Calls on the Commission to keep the separate chapters and separate budgets for education and training, for youth and for sport, bearing in mind their specific

Amendment

25. Calls on the Commission to keep the separate chapters and separate budgets for education and training, for youth and for sport, bearing in mind their specific

characters;

characters; *while recognizing that intersectoral projects and activities show the potential to enhance the programme's performance, reminds that clear recognisability of those chapters is essential for the adequate planning, implementation and monitoring of the overall programme;*

Or. en

Amendment 233
Dominique Bilde

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 25

Motion for a resolution

25. Calls on the Commission to keep the separate chapters and separate budgets for education and training, for youth and for sport, bearing in mind their specific characters;

Amendment

25. Calls on the Commission to keep the separate chapters and separate budgets for education and training, for youth and for sport, bearing in mind their specific characters, *in order to properly identify and clarify each chapter, as a guarantee of overall intelligibility;*

Or. fr

Amendment 234
Sabine Verheyen

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 25

Motion for a resolution

25. Calls on the Commission to keep the separate chapters and separate budgets for education and training, for youth and for sport, bearing in mind their specific characters;

Amendment

25. Calls on the Commission to keep the separate chapters and separate budgets for education and training, for youth and for sport, bearing in mind their specific characters *and to adapt the requirements on applications forms sector-specifically;*

Or. en

Amendment 235

Krystyna Łybacka, Sylvie Guillaume, Giorgos Grammatikakis, Damian Drăghici, Marlene Mizzi, Julie Ward, Eider Gardiazabal Rubial, Marita Ulvskog, Momchil Nekov, Petra Kammerevert, Helga Trüpel, Ernest Maragall, Silvia Costa

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 25 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

25a. Indicates that disadvantaged groups are only specifically targeted in the youth sector; suggests the extension of the Inclusion and Diversity Strategy to all programme sectors in order to promote social inclusion and the participation of people with special needs or with fewer opportunities in the Erasmus+ programme;

Or. en

Amendment 236

Angel Dzhambazki

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 25 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

25a. Calls on the Commission to assess whether the existing funding available for sport under ERASMUS+ is being used effectively and for the benefit of grassroots sport and, if not, to identify options for improvement with a focus on grassroots sport and education;

Or. en

Amendment 237

Krystyna Łybacka, Sylvie Guillaume, Giorgos Grammatikakis, Damian Drăghici, Marlene Mizzi, Julie Ward, Eider Gardiazabal Rubial, Marita Ulvskog, Petra

Kammerevert, Helga Trüpel, Ernest Maragall

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 25 b (new)**

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

25b. Advocates allowing mobile students to combine studies abroad with a study-related placement within the programme, thereby providing additional financial assistance abroad, decreasing social selectivity, increasing the number of mobile students, upgrading students' skills and enhancing the connections between higher education and the work environment;

Or. en

**Amendment 238
Hannu Takkula, Yana Toom, Bogdan Brunon Wenta**

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 26 a (new)**

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

26a. Suggests that the Commission re-evaluates the payment schemes of the approved Erasmus+ project grants so that smaller, often volunteer-based organisations would be able to take part in the Erasmus+ project applications;

Or. en