

**Question for written answer E-003748/2015
to the Commission**
Rule 130
Richard Sulík (ECR)

Subject: Possible restriction of competition - inadequate answer from the Commission

On 4 March 2015, the Commission responded to Parliamentary Question No P-000625/2015. However, it failed to answer any of the questions asked. Consequently, I repeat my request for a Commission response to the following questions:

What arguments did Slovakia put to the Commission to justify the provisions of the Slovak draft law on waste which could restrict competition on the relevant market?

How did it justify the principle of 'one village – one contract', i.e. that every village may conclude a contract with only one authorised organisation, which could lead to monopolisation of the market and the disappearance of authorised organisations?

What is the Commission's reaction to the Slovak Ministry of Environment's statement to the effect that it would continue to discuss these issues with the Commission, in spite of the fact that the Slovak Government has already approved the draft law along with all of its disputed anti-competition provisions?