## Question for written answer E-010427/2015 to the Commission Rule 130 Bart Staes (Verts/ALE)

Subject: The Juncker investment plan should not support the Oosterweel link

The Flemish Government identifies six priority areas in the context of the European Fund for Strategic Investments (EFSI).<sup>1</sup> One of these priorities is the construction of the Oosterweel link, to complete the Antwerp ring road. This forms part of the Masterplan  $2020^2$ . The environmental impact report on the Oosterweel link shows that the route scores very poorly in terms of quality of life.<sup>3</sup> One consequence would be higher NO<sub>2</sub> emissions. Meanwhile, alternatives have become available which would guarantee the quality of life in the city.<sup>4</sup>

Antwerp already fails to meet the European standard on nitrogen levels (40  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>). Should the Oosterweel link therefore be eligible for EFSI support?

How will the Commission weigh the ecological sustainability of projects against the economic benefits?

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> http://www2.vlaanderen.be/pps/documenten/150526\_PM\_EFSI\_prioriteiten\_VLAREG.pdf

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> http://www.vlaanderen.be/nl/mobiliteit-en-openbare-werken/wegen/masterplan-2020-voor-de-mobiliteit-enrond-antwerpen

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> https://www.oosterweelverbinding.be/\_webdata/media-library/OWVAlgemeen/plan-mer1.pdf

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> http://ringland.be/