

**Question for written answer E-012778/2015
to the Commission**

Rule 130

Estefanía Torres Martínez (GUE/NGL) and Lola Sánchez Caldentey (GUE/NGL)

Subject: Use of EAGGF funds for irrigation in Argos de Calasparra

2006 saw the finalisation of phase I of the plan to improve, modernise and consolidate the irrigation of land fed by the Argos de Calasparra reservoir in Murcia, Spain.

This project was funded by the European Union's EAGGF which, in theory, can only stump up 60% of a project's cost. However, there is reason to believe that the cost of this project was borne entirely by the Fund.

1. What percentage of the work was funded by the EU?
2. Given that traditional irrigators did not benefit from the project, on 29 May 2013 a majority of irrigators voted against proceeding with phase II. Nevertheless, the project is proceeding. What control does the Commission have over support for the supposed beneficiaries of projects funded out of the ERDF?