

**Question for written answer E-002378/2016  
to the Commission**

Rule 130

**Mark Demesmaeker (ECR), Helga Stevens (ECR) and Sander Loones (ECR)**

Subject: Use of European migration resources in and outside the Union

A report of the European Court of Auditors on the efficiency of EU expenditure on external migration policy shows that it is not clear what happens with the resources the EU invests. The conclusions are damning. Among other things it appears to be impossible to determine what the total expenditure is; projects have hardly any concrete impact, and the goals are vague.

1. The EU founded an emergency fund in which EUR 3.6 billion was paid to countries in Africa, in exchange for a more active prevention of illegal migration and the taking back of migrants from the EU. Given the conclusions drawn, does the Commission intend to propose changes in the organisation or follow-up of that fund?
2. How will the Commission process these conclusions in its proposal for the EU budget 2017?

Recently grants amounting to EUR 700 million were granted to 200 NGOs for the improvement of reception capacity in Greece. Nevertheless it appears, according to the table attached to the Migration agenda, that the Greek government is leading in receiving EU support. For the period 2014-2020 an amount of EUR 446 million has been transferred from the AMIF and ISF funds. Parliament has therefore repeatedly been asked to approve budget amendments.

3. How will the Commission pursue transparency, and ensure that Parliament monitors the volume and use of these financial resources?