

**Question for written answer E-004522/2016
to the Commission**

Rule 130

Javier Couso Permuy (GUE/NGL)

Subject: Vigo hospital - Follow-up to Commission answer

On 30 October 2015 I put a parliamentary question (E-014374/2015) on the new hospital in Vigo (Spain), asking for a written answer from the Commission. I asked the Commission what its view was of the fact that money from the European Investment Bank was being spent on a hospital that, one month after opening, was proving to be operating inadequately.

The elements that I deemed to be inadequate included broken pipes, loose roofing, the planned number of beds being cut almost in half, the lack of sterilisation and insufficient and obsolete equipment, etc.

In his answer of 25 April 2016, Mr Moscovici, on behalf of the Commission, pointed out that 'According to the information provided to the EIB by the borrower and the lenders' technical advisor, the project has been completed as expected and on time.'

His answer does not demonstrate or prove that it was actually completed as expected and on time.

Is there to be no investigation into how European citizens' money has been invested, following complaints from unions, journalists and healthcare staff regarding inadequate facilities, insufficient equipment, and a delay of over a year?