

**Question for written answer E-001459/2019
to the Commission**

Rule 130

Fabio Massimo Castaldo (EFDD), Laura Agea (EFDD), Dario Tamburrano (EFDD) and Giovanni La Via (PPE)

Subject: Proper enforcement of company law

Conorzio 906, the Italian consortium which is the beneficiary of grants under CEF programme calls for proposals, has accrued the right to reimbursement of its reported expenses. The INEA agency, which is the technical and financial body responsible for the CEF programme, has expressed the view that the expenses of the members of the consortium are not eligible for reimbursement as such entities are not formally included in the 'Grant Agreements'.

Following this dispute, the Italian consortium commissioned a legal study, sending it to INEA, which clarifies that Conorzio 906 may be regarded, under company law, as a 'single undertaking', since it is the sole decision-making centre and the only legally accountable body.

Despite the clarification provided, INEA, however, still continues to refuse to reimburse the costs incurred, even though the consortium complies with EU law pursuant to Article 54 TFEU.

Can the Commission say:

- 1) whether it does not agree that INEA's interpretation of the concept of undertaking, which underpins its refusal to pay, is contrary to Article 54 TFEU and Article 107 TFEU;
- 2) what measures it will take, in respect of the entities involved, to ensure that EU law is fully enforced by recognising the rights of Conorzio 906?

Supporter¹

¹ This question is supported by Members other than the authors: Rosa D'Amato (EFDD).