



EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT

2014 - 2019

Committee on Employment and Social Affairs

2014/2145(INI)

2.2.2015

AMENDMENTS

1 - 140

Draft opinion
Anne Sander
(PE544.340v01-00)

The review of the economic governance framework: stocktaking and challenges
(2014/2145(INI))

AM\1048145EN.doc

PE546.881v01-00

EN

United in diversity

EN

AM_Com_NonLegOpinion

Amendment 1
Sergio Gutiérrez Prieto

Draft opinion
Recital A (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

A. whereas, pursuant to Article 3(3) of the Treaty on European Union, the Union ‘shall work for the sustainable development of Europe based on balanced economic growth and price stability, a highly competitive social market economy, aiming at full employment and social progress, and a high level of protection’;

Or. es

Amendment 2
Sergio Gutiérrez Prieto

Draft opinion
Recital B (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

B. whereas the governance mechanisms introduced with the ‘2-pack’ and the ‘6-pack’ have been unable to guarantee a balance between the social pillar of EMU (Article 148 of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union) and the economic pillar (Article 121 TFEU), which means it is vital to guarantee a social dimension for economic governance at the various levels of the Union, as laid down in Article 9 TFEU;

Or. es

Amendment 3
Sergio Gutiérrez Prieto

Draft opinion
Recital C (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

C. whereas in its resolution of 1 December 2011 on the European Semester for Economic Policy Coordination, Parliament stated that ‘any new or upgraded organisation and decision-making process within the Council and/or the Commission must go hand in hand with upgraded democratic legitimacy and appropriate accountability to the European Parliament’;

Or. es

Amendment 4
Joëlle Mélin

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

Amendment

1. Is pleased with the European economic governance framework, which, by coordinating policies more closely in order to prevent major imbalances, fosters smart, sustainable and inclusive growth in line with the targets set by the Europe 2020 strategy;

deleted

Or. fr

Amendment 5
Guillaume Balas

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. ***Is pleased with the*** European economic governance framework, which, by coordinating policies more closely in order to prevent major imbalances, fosters smart, sustainable and inclusive growth in line with the targets set by the Europe 2020 strategy;

Amendment

1. ***Advocates strongly the introduction of a*** European economic governance framework, which, by coordinating policies more closely in order to prevent major imbalances, fosters smart, sustainable and inclusive growth in line with the targets set by the Europe 2020 strategy;

Or. fr

Amendment 6
Jutta Steinruck

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. Is pleased with the European economic governance framework, ***which, by coordinating policies more closely*** in order to ***prevent major imbalances, fosters smart, sustainable and inclusive growth in line with the targets set by the Europe 2020 strategy;***

Amendment

1. Is pleased with the European economic governance framework, ***but calls for closer coordination of policies in order to ensure that European governance can dovetail to more useful effect with the targets set by the Europe 2020 strategy and in that way genuinely serve to foster smart, sustainable and inclusive growth;***

Or. de

Amendment 7
Jérôme Lavrilleux

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. Is pleased with the European economic governance framework, which, by coordinating policies more closely in order

Amendment

1. Is pleased with the European economic governance framework, which, by coordinating policies more closely in order

to prevent major imbalances, fosters smart, sustainable and inclusive growth in line with the targets set by the Europe 2020 strategy;

to prevent major imbalances, **ensures fully coherent public policy**, fosters smart, sustainable and inclusive growth in line with the targets set by the Europe 2020 strategy;

Or. fr

Amendment 8
Zdzisław Krasnodębski

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. Is **pleased with** the European **economic** governance framework, which, by coordinating policies more closely in **order to prevent major imbalances, fosters** smart, sustainable and inclusive growth in line with the targets set by the Europe 2020 strategy;

Amendment

1. Is **concerned that** the **current** European governance framework, which **aims to prevent major imbalances in the economic development of Member States** by coordinating policies more closely, **has not resulted** in smart, sustainable and inclusive growth in line with the targets set by the Europe 2020 strategy;

Or. pl

Amendment 9
Laura Agea, Tiziana Beghin

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. **Is pleased with** the European economic governance framework, **which, by coordinating** policies more closely in order to prevent major imbalances, **fosters** smart, sustainable and inclusive growth in line with the targets set by the Europe 2020 strategy;

Amendment

1. **Deplores the fact that** the European economic governance framework **has failed to coordinate** policies more closely in order to prevent major imbalances **and hence to foster** smart, sustainable and inclusive growth in line with the targets set by the Europe 2020 strategy, **which to date have not yet been met**;

Amendment 10
Georgi Pirinski

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. *Is pleased with the* European economic governance framework, *which, by coordinating* policies more closely in order to prevent major imbalances, *fosters* smart, sustainable and inclusive growth in line with the targets set by the Europe 2020 strategy;

Amendment

1. *Draws attention to the fact that the* European economic governance framework, *meant to coordinate* policies more closely in order to prevent major imbalances, *has not proven sufficiently effective for fostering* smart, sustainable and inclusive growth in line with the targets set by the Europe 2020 strategy;

Or. en

Amendment 11
Mara Bizzotto

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. *Is pleased with* the European economic governance framework, which, by *coordinating* policies more closely in order to prevent major imbalances, *fosters smart, sustainable and inclusive growth in line with* the targets set by the Europe 2020 strategy;

Amendment

1. *Takes note of* the European economic governance framework, which, by *setting out to coordinate* policies more closely in order to prevent major imbalances, *seeks to promote* the targets set by the Europe 2020 strategy;s

Or. it

Amendment 12
Monika Vana
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. *Is pleased with* the European economic governance framework, *which, by coordinating policies more closely in order to prevent major imbalances, fosters smart, sustainable and inclusive* growth in line with the targets set by the *Europe 2020 strategy*;

Amendment

1. *Regrets that* the European economic governance framework *has not contributed to fostering smart, sustainable and inclusive growth and points out that the EU's Annual GDP Growth is projected at below 1.5%; stresses that* growth in recent years *has been modest or stagnated, the EU 2020 targets on employment and poverty are far from being achieved and that inequality as well as poverty are continuing to be unacceptably high*; ^{1 a}

^{1 a} *EU Economic Forecast 2014*
http://ec.europa.eu/economy_finance/publications/european_economy/2014/pdf/ee7_en.pdf

Or. en

Amendment 13
Maria Arena, Hugues Bayet

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. *Is pleased with the* European economic governance framework, which, *by coordinating policies more closely in order to prevent major imbalances, fosters* smart, sustainable and inclusive growth in line with the targets set by the Europe 2020 strategy;

Amendment

1. *Is in favour of a* European economic governance framework, which, *while coordinating policies more closely in order to prevent major imbalances, must foster* smart, sustainable and inclusive growth in line with the targets set by the Europe 2020 strategy;

Or. fr

Amendment 14
Enrique Calvet Chambon

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. Is pleased with the European economic governance framework, which, by coordinating policies more closely in order to prevent major imbalances, fosters **smart, sustainable and inclusive** growth in line with the targets set by the Europe 2020 strategy;

Amendment

1. Is pleased with the European economic governance framework, which, by coordinating policies more closely in order to prevent major imbalances, fosters growth, **meaning that it ought to be strengthened** in line with the targets set by the Europe 2020 strategy;

Or. es

Amendment 15
Ulla Tørnæs, Martina Dlabajová, Enrique Calvet Chambon, Renate Weber

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. Is pleased with the European economic governance framework, which, by coordinating policies more closely in order to prevent major imbalances, fosters smart, sustainable and inclusive growth in line with the targets set by the Europe 2020 strategy;

Amendment

1. Is pleased with the European economic governance framework, which, by coordinating policies more closely in order to prevent major imbalances, fosters smart, sustainable and inclusive growth in line with the targets set by the Europe 2020 strategy; **Is pleased that the European economic governance framework has shown progress in addressing fiscal consolidation with EU28 overall debt falling from 4.5 % of GDP in 2011 to a forecast of 3 % of GDP in 2014;**

Or. en

Amendment 16
Sergio Gutiérrez Prieto

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. ***Is pleased with*** the European economic governance framework, ***which, by coordinating policies more closely*** in order to prevent major imbalances, ***fosters*** smart, sustainable and inclusive growth in line with the targets set by the Europe 2020 strategy;

Amendment

1. ***Regrets the fact that*** the European economic governance framework, ***conceived as a tool to coordinate economic and social policies, has been solely focusing on adjustment*** policies; ***Stresses that*** in order to prevent major imbalances, ***it needs to foster*** smart, sustainable and inclusive growth in line with the targets set by the Europe 2020 strategy;

Or. en

Amendment 17
Emilian Pavel

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. ***Is pleased with*** the European economic governance framework, ***which, by coordinating policies more closely in order*** to prevent major imbalances, ***fosters*** smart, sustainable and inclusive growth in line with the targets set by the Europe 2020 strategy;

Amendment

1. ***Notes that*** the European economic governance framework, ***can have the potential*** to prevent major imbalances, ***foster*** smart, sustainable and inclusive growth in line with the targets set by the Europe 2020 strategy;

Or. en

Amendment 18
Inês Cristina Zuber

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. ***Is pleased with*** the European economic governance framework, ***which, by coordinating policies more closely in order to prevent major imbalances, fosters smart, sustainable and inclusive growth in line with the targets set by the Europe 2020 strategy;***

Amendment

1. ***Rejects*** the European economic governance framework ***because it violates the democratic and sovereign rights of peoples and Member States; proposes that the Stability and Growth Pact and the Fiscal Compact be revoked and replaced by a pact for sustainable development and social progress;***

Or. pt

Amendment 19

Brando Benifei, Maria João Rodrigues

Draft opinion

Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. ***Is pleased with*** the European economic governance framework, which, by coordinating policies more closely in order to prevent major imbalances, ***fosters*** smart, sustainable and inclusive growth ***in line with the targets set by the Europe 2020 strategy;***

Amendment

1. ***Calls on the Commission to put forward a review of*** the European economic governance framework, which, by coordinating ***Member States'*** policies more closely in order to prevent major imbalances, ***can deliver*** smart, sustainable and inclusive growth ***by enhancing, at the same time, the democratic legitimacy and scrutiny of the system as a whole, including a revision of the Europe 2020 employment and social targets and the European Semester;***

Or. en

Amendment 20

Jutta Steinruck

Draft opinion

Paragraph 1 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

1a. Calls for economic and monetary union to be made more social in order to improve economic governance, reduce unemployment, poverty, and social exclusion, overcome social dumping, and prevent a race to the bottom in terms of social standards; calls in addition for a social progress pact laying down binding common standards for employment, education, and research and development;

Or. de

Amendment 21

Monika Vana

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion

Paragraph 1 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

1a. Deeply regrets that the Commission Communication does not do justice to the fact that the 6-pack failed and calls on the Commission to propose a revision of the 6-pack; calls on the European Commission to live up to President Juncker's commitment to a social Triple A rating by refocussing the economic governance framework on the delivery of the EU2020 targets, with economic, employment, education and poverty and social exclusion targets on an equal footing and to put an end to those policies in the economic governance framework which have been counterproductive to achieving the 2020 targets;

Or. en

Amendment 22
Thomas Mann

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

1a. Underlines that the European Union's economic governance framework is an indispensable steering instrument to detect, prevent, and correct problematic economic trends such as excessive government deficits or public debt levels, which have proved in the debt crisis to cause sharp reductions in growth, enormous unemployment and which put the European economies and the European project at a risk;

Or. en

Amendment 23
Emilian Pavel

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

1a. Is concerned that the current economic governance framework does not give due importance to employment and social considerations, thus making it much more difficult to achieve the headline targets of the EU2020 strategy;

Or. en

Amendment 24
Monika Vana
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

1b. Expresses regret that the current economic governance policy remains focused solely on economic growth without acknowledging the need for an inclusive, rights-based and sustainable approach; stresses that the benefits of growth need to be spread throughout society for it to become sustainable and inclusive;

Or. en

Amendment 25
Thomas Mann

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

1b. Emphasises, that as a principle countries in the Euro zone that received support from the partners to finance their economies must fulfil the according rules, programs and agreements; underlines that this is a matter of respect for the tax payers in the partner countries in return for their big solidarity efforts;

Or. en

Amendment 26
Emilian Pavel

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

1b. Agrees with Commissioner Thyssen's statement that countries that provide high quality jobs, better social protection and invest in human capital are more resilient to economic crises; calls on the Commission to reflect this position moving forward in all of its European semester policy and country-specific recommendations;

Or. en

**Amendment 27
Thomas Mann**

**Draft opinion
Paragraph 1 c (new)**

Draft opinion

Amendment

1c. Underlines that solidarity is the core value on which the European Union and the European Union's economic governance framework is built on; thanks therefore the people and tax payers in Europe for showing great solidarity with the people in countries effected by the debt crisis;

Or. en

**Amendment 28
Thomas Mann**

**Draft opinion
Paragraph 1 d (new)**

Draft opinion

Amendment

1d. Emphasizes that Member States which imposed the structural reforms negotiated

via the European Union's economic governance framework are successful in regaining competitiveness in the global market, in creating growth and jobs, as well as in building up confidence on the financial markets;

Or. en

Amendment 29
Thomas Mann

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1 e (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

1e. Is deeply concerned that slowing down structural reforms or withdrawing parts of them leads to capital flight, stock exchange crashes, sharp reductions in growth and high unemployment rates;

Or. en

Amendment 30
Guillaume Balas

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

Amendment

2. *Welcomes* the Commission's initiative to conduct an initial review of the framework's effectiveness with a view to assessing the effective and uniform application of governance rules by the Member States and by the Commission; also suggests that the review *presents an opportunity for an exchange of views on the ways in which the framework could be deepened;*

2. *Notes* the Commission's initiative to conduct an initial review of the *current* framework's effectiveness with a view to assessing the effective and uniform application of governance rules by the Member States and by the Commission; also suggests that the review *should lead to the updating of material relating to economic governance;*

Amendment 31
Jérôme Lavrilleux

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Welcomes the Commission's initiative to conduct an initial review of the framework's effectiveness with a view to assessing the effective and uniform application of governance rules by the Member States and by the Commission; also suggests that the review presents an opportunity for an exchange of views on the ways in which the framework could be deepened;

Amendment

2. Welcomes the Commission's initiative to conduct an initial review of the framework's effectiveness with a view to assessing the effective and uniform application of governance rules by the Member States and by the Commission; also suggests that the review presents an opportunity for an exchange of views ***with the European Parliament's committees responsible*** on the ways in which the framework could be deepened;

Amendment 32
Zdzisław Krasnodębski

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Welcomes the Commission's initiative to conduct an initial review of the framework's effectiveness with a view to assessing the ***effective and uniform*** application of governance rules by the Member States and by the Commission; ***also suggests*** that the review ***presents an opportunity for an exchange of views on the ways in which the framework could be deepened;***

Amendment

2. Welcomes the Commission's initiative to conduct an initial review of the framework's effectiveness with a view to assessing the application of governance rules by the Member States and by the Commission; ***assumes*** that the review ***will provide a basis for a critical analysis*** of the ***framework's operating rules;***

Amendment 33
Laura Agea, Tiziana Beghin

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. **Welcomes** the Commission's initiative to conduct an initial review of the framework's effectiveness with a view to assessing the effective and uniform application of governance rules by the Member States and by the Commission; also suggests that the review presents an opportunity for an exchange of views on the ways in which the framework could be deepened;

Amendment

2. **Notes** the Commission's initiative to conduct an initial review of the framework's effectiveness with a view to assessing the effective and uniform application of governance rules by the Member States and by the Commission; also suggests that the review presents an opportunity for an exchange of views on the ways in which the framework could be deepened ***and on such adjustments as might need to be made;***

Or. it

Amendment 34
Monika Vana
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Welcomes the ***Commission's*** initiative to conduct an initial review of the framework's ***effectiveness with a view to assessing the effective and uniform application of governance rules by the Member States and by the Commission;*** also suggests that the review presents an opportunity for an exchange of views on the ways in which the framework could be deepened;

Amendment

2. Welcomes the ***Commission's*** initiative to conduct an initial review of the framework; ***calls on the Commission to address in its review also the shortcomings of the framework, including with regards to the delivery on the Europe 2020 headline targets;*** also suggests that the review presents an opportunity for an exchange of views on the ways in which the framework could be deepened ***and fundamentally improved especially as regards ownership of the semester process;***

Amendment 35
Maria Arena, Hugues Bayet

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Welcomes the Commission's initiative to conduct an initial review of the framework's effectiveness with a view to assessing the effective and uniform application of governance rules by the Member States and by the Commission; also suggests that the review presents an opportunity for an exchange of views on the ways in which the framework could be **deepened**;

Amendment

2. Welcomes the Commission's initiative to conduct an initial review of the framework's effectiveness with a view to assessing the effective and uniform application of governance rules by the Member States and by the Commission, **as well as the pertinence thereof**; also suggests that the review presents an opportunity for an exchange of views on the ways in which the framework could be **updated**;

Or. fr

Amendment 36
Ádám Kósa

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Welcomes the Commission's initiative to conduct an initial review of the framework's effectiveness with a view to assessing the effective and uniform application of governance rules by the Member States and by the Commission; also suggests that the review presents an opportunity for an exchange of views on the ways in which the framework could be **deepened**;

Amendment

2. Welcomes the Commission's initiative to conduct an initial review of the framework's effectiveness with a view to assessing the effective and uniform application of governance rules by the Member States and by the Commission; also suggests that the review presents an opportunity for an exchange of views on the ways in which the **existing** framework could be **made more effective**;

Or. hu

Amendment 37

Ulla Tørnæs, Martina Dlabajová, Renate Weber, Marian Harkin

Draft opinion

Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Welcomes the Commission's initiative to conduct an initial review of the framework's effectiveness with a view to assessing the effective and uniform application of governance rules by the Member States and by the Commission; also suggests that the review presents an opportunity for an exchange of views on the ways in which the framework could be deepened;

Amendment

2. Welcomes the Commission's initiative to conduct an initial review of the framework's effectiveness with a view to assessing the effective and uniform application of governance rules by the Member States and by the Commission; also suggests that the review presents an opportunity for an exchange of views on the ways in which the framework could be deepened; ***Suggests that the review in addition focuses on measures that will enhance private trust in the economy as trust is a precondition for private investments which is a precondition for job-creation;***

Or. en

Amendment 38

Sergio Gutiérrez Prieto

Draft opinion

Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Welcomes the Commission's initiative to conduct an initial review of the framework's effectiveness with a view to assessing the effective and uniform application of governance rules by the Member States and by the Commission; ***also suggests that the review presents an opportunity for an exchange of views on the ways in which the framework could be deepened;***

Amendment

2. Welcomes the Commission's initiative to conduct an initial review of the framework's effectiveness with a view to assessing the effective and uniform application of governance rules by the Member States and by the Commission; ***recalls that in order to meet the objectives set out in Article 9 TFEU, economic policy cannot be considered in isolation from social policy, and therefore***

underlines the urgent need to improve European social governance, in a way comparable to the establishment of European economic governance;

Or. en

Amendment 39
Emilian Pavel

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Welcomes the Commission's initiative to conduct an initial review of the framework's effectiveness with a view to assessing the effective and uniform application of governance rules by the Member States and by the Commission; also suggests that the review presents an opportunity for an exchange of views on the ways in which the framework could be *deepened*;

Amendment

2. Welcomes the Commission's initiative to conduct an initial review of the framework's effectiveness with a view to assessing the effective and uniform application of governance rules by the Member States and by the Commission; also suggests that the review presents an opportunity for an exchange of views on the ways in which the framework could be *improved*;

Or. en

Amendment 40
Brando Benifei, Maria João Rodrigues

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Welcomes the Commission's initiative to conduct an initial review of the framework's effectiveness with a view to assessing the effective and uniform application of *governance* rules by the Member States and by the Commission; *also suggests that the* review presents *an* opportunity for *an exchange of views on*

Amendment

2. Welcomes the Commission's initiative to conduct an initial review of the framework's effectiveness with a view to assessing the effective and uniform application of rules by the Member States and by the Commission; *considers that such a* review presents *the* opportunity for *a deep and inclusive re-evaluation of the*

the ways in which the framework could be deepened;

overall priorities and the adequacy of the economic governance instruments, with the aim of achieving a genuine fiscal union to properly run the single currency;

Or. en

Amendment 41
Joëlle Mélin

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

2a. Notes, even in the absence of hindsight, that the European economic governance framework bears the seeds of its own ineffectiveness, given the highly unstable political and monetary terrain on which it is based;

Or. fr

Amendment 42
Maria Arena, Hugues Bayet

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

2a. Takes the view that recognition today by the Commission of the need for a massive investment programme constitutes a major shift in approach by the economic governance framework that has been discouraging investment over the last few years;

Or. fr

Amendment 43
Sergio Gutiérrez Prieto

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

2a. Believes, therefore, that this review presents an opportunity so as to develop a new socio-economic framework with a comprehensive strategy to enforce the European social Acquis; stresses the importance of a better coordination system for the Euro Area as a whole;

Or. en

Amendment 44
Brando Benifei, Mercedes Bresso, Sergio Gutiérrez Prieto, Evelyn Regner, Silvia Costa, Maria Arena, Maria João Rodrigues

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

2a. Highlights the need to develop European automatic stabilising mechanisms to address asymmetric economic shocks, such as an EMU-wide unemployment benefit scheme, as a means to maintain social cohesion, support internal demand and strengthen the sustainability of the single currency; reiterates its call on the Commission to produce a Green Paper on automatic stabilisers in the Eurozone;

Or. en

Amendment 45
Maria Arena, Hugues Bayet

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

2b. Stresses in this respect the need for a favourable public-sector investment climate; calls in particular for the neutralisation of public investment in calculating Member State debt, especially given the impact of the new SEC2010 accounting standards on the investment capacity of certain public authorities; calls for European Central Bank decision making to be adapted accordingly;

Or. fr

Amendment 46
Mara Bizzotto

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

Amendment

3. Calls for the European Semester to be strengthened and deepened as an effective way of preventing a crisis: with this in mind, welcomes the Commission's proposal to focus its action not only on budget responsibility but also on investment and structural reform in order to link the market economy with social progress;

deleted

Or. it

Amendment 47
Guillaume Balas

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

3. Calls for the European Semester to be ***strengthened and deepened as an effective way of preventing a crisis: with this in mind, welcomes the Commission's proposal to focus its action not only on budget responsibility but also on investment and structural reform in order to link the market economy with social progress;***

Amendment

3. Calls for the European Semester to be deepened ***and given firmer democratic credentials, thereby providing an effective framework for the coordination of Member States' economic and social policies;***

Or. fr

Amendment 48

Zdzisław Krasnodębski

**Draft opinion
Paragraph 3**

Draft opinion

3. Calls for the European Semester ***to be strengthened and deepened as an effective way of preventing a crisis: with this in mind, welcomes the Commission's proposal to focus its action not only on budget responsibility but also on investment and structural reform in order to link the market economy with social progress;***

Amendment

3. Calls for ***a critical analysis of the European Semester, particularly as regards its effectiveness in preventing a crisis;*** with this in mind, welcomes the Commission's proposal to focus its action not only on budget responsibility but also on investment and structural reform in order to link the market economy with social ***aspects;***

Or. pl

Amendment 49

Laura Agea, Tiziana Beghin

**Draft opinion
Paragraph 3**

Draft opinion

3. Calls for the European Semester to be

Amendment

3. Calls for the European Semester to be

strengthened and deepened as an effective way of preventing a crisis: with this in mind, welcomes the Commission's proposal to focus its action not only on budget responsibility but also on investment and structural reform *in order to link the market economy with social progress*;

strengthened and deepened as an effective way of preventing a crisis: with this in mind, welcomes the Commission's proposal to focus its action not only on budget responsibility but also on investment and structural reform, *and urges the Commission to call for practical action by Member States to eradicate the absolute poverty with which many of them are afflicted*;

Or. it

Amendment 50

Monika Vana

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion

Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

3. Calls for the European Semester to be strengthened and deepened as *an effective* way of *preventing a crisis*; with this in mind, *welcomes* the Commission's *proposal to focus its action not only on* budget responsibility *but also on* investment and structural reform in order to *link the* market economy *with* social progress;

Amendment

3. Calls for the European Semester to be strengthened and deepened as *a potential* way of *balancing fiscal sustainability and macroeconomic balance with social cohesion across the Union and delivery on the 2020 targets*; with this in mind, *calls on* the Commission *to extend its proposal beyond reductionist* budget responsibility *and move towards policies addressing social* investment and structural reform in order to *ensure that the social* market economy *is geared towards more* social progress *as well as a fairer distribution of wealth and cohesion and a better gender equality*;

Or. en

Amendment 51

Maria Arena, Hugues Bayet

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

3. Calls for the European Semester to be **strengthened and deepened** as an effective way of preventing a crisis: with this in mind, welcomes the Commission's proposal to focus its action not only on budget responsibility but also on investment and structural reform **in order to** link the market economy with social progress;

Amendment

3. Calls for the European Semester to be **reviewed** as an effective way of preventing a crisis; with this in mind, welcomes the Commission's proposal to focus its action not only on budget responsibility but also on investment and structural reform, **provided that they** link the market economy with social progress;

Or. fr

Amendment 52
Ádám Kósa

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

3. Calls for the European Semester to be strengthened and **deepened** as an effective way of preventing a crisis: with this in mind, welcomes the Commission's proposal to focus its action not only on budget responsibility but also on investment and structural reform in order to link the market economy with social progress;

Amendment

3. Calls for the European Semester to be strengthened and **made more effective** as an effective way of preventing a crisis: with this in mind, welcomes the Commission's proposal to focus its action not only on budget responsibility but also on investment and structural reform in order to link the market economy with social progress;

Or. hu

Amendment 53
Lampros Fountoulis

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

3. Calls for the European Semester to be strengthened and deepened **as an effective way of preventing** a crisis: with this in mind, welcomes the Commission's proposal to focus its action not only on budget responsibility but also on investment and structural reform in order to link the market economy with social progress;

Amendment

3. Calls for the European Semester to be strengthened and deepened as **in a bid to prevent** a crisis; with this in mind, welcomes the Commission's proposal to focus its action not only on budget responsibility but also on investment and **targeted** structural reform in order to link the market economy with social progress;

Or. el

Amendment 54
Enrique Calvet Chambon

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

3. Calls for the European Semester to be strengthened and deepened as an effective way of preventing a crisis: with this in mind, welcomes the Commission's proposal to focus its action not only on budget responsibility but also on investment and structural reform in order to link the market economy with social **progress**;

Amendment

3. Calls for the European Semester to be strengthened and deepened as an effective way of preventing a crisis: with this in mind, welcomes the Commission's proposal to focus its action not only on budget responsibility but also on investment and structural reform in order to link the market economy with social **cohesion**;

Or. es

Amendment 55
Ulla Tørnæs, Martina Dlabajová, Renate Weber, Marian Harkin

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

3. Calls for the European Semester to be

Amendment

3. Calls for the European Semester to be

strengthened and deepened as an effective way of preventing a crisis; with this in mind, welcomes the Commission's proposal to focus its action not only on budget responsibility but also on investment and structural reform in order to link the market economy with social progress;

strengthened and deepened as an effective way of preventing a crisis, **for post-crisis job-creation and for growth convergence within the EU**; with this in mind, welcomes the Commission's proposal to focus its action not only on budget responsibility but also on investment and structural reform in order to link the market economy **and job-creation** with social progress;

Or. en

Amendment 56
Sergio Gutiérrez Prieto

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

3. Calls for the European Semester to be strengthened and deepened as an effective way of preventing a crisis; with this in mind, welcomes the Commission's proposal to focus its action not only on budget responsibility but also on investment and structural reform in order to link the market economy with social progress;

Amendment

3. Calls for the European Semester to be strengthened and deepened as an effective way of preventing a crisis **and foster smart, sustainable and inclusive growth** ; with this in mind, welcomes the Commission's proposal to focus its action not only on budget responsibility but also on investment and structural reform in order to link the **social** market economy with social progress **and cohesion**;

Or. en

Amendment 57
Emilian Pavel

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

3. Calls for the European Semester to be strengthened and deepened as an effective

Amendment

3. Calls for the European Semester to be strengthened and deepened as an effective

way of preventing a crisis; with this in mind, welcomes the **Commission's** proposal to focus its action not only on budget **responsibility** but also on investment **and structural reform** in order to link the market economy with social progress;

way of preventing a crisis **and foster growth**; with this in mind, welcomes the **Commission's** proposal to focus its action not only on budget **responsibility** but also on investment in order to link the market economy with social progress;

Or. en

Amendment 58
Inês Cristina Zuber

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

3. ***Calls for*** the European Semester ***to*** be strengthened and deepened ***as an effective way of preventing a crisis: with this in mind, welcomes the Commission's proposal to focus its action not only on budget responsibility but also on investment and structural reform in order to link the market economy with social progress;***

Amendment

3. ***Maintains that*** the European Semester ***should not*** be strengthened and deepened ***and considers that if it remains in existence, the crisis will be exacerbated;***

Or. pt

Amendment 59
Brando Benifei, Jutta Steinruck, Maria João Rodrigues

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

3. Calls for the European Semester to be strengthened and deepened as an effective way of preventing a crisis; with this in mind, welcomes the Commission's proposal to focus its action not only on budget responsibility but also on

Amendment

3. Calls for the European Semester to be strengthened and deepened as an effective way of preventing a crisis; with this in mind, welcomes the Commission's proposal to focus its action not only on budget responsibility but also on

investment and structural reform in order to link the market economy with social progress;

investment, ***including social investment, high quality job creation*** and structural reform in order to link the market economy with social progress;

Or. en

Amendment 60
Joëlle Mélin

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

3a. Notes that, in view of the fragmentary, inadequate and ineffective nature of the indicators used, the very principle of the semester is out of step with the social sector;

Or. fr

Amendment 61
Maria Arena, Hugues Bayet

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

3a. Notes that the structural reforms introduced by those Member States worst affected have not borne fruit; urges that the austerity policy blindly followed in Europe be reviewed accordingly and public investment stepped up in response to the situation;

Or. fr

Amendment 62
Sergio Gutiérrez Prieto, Guillaume Balas

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

3a. Stresses that a balance between employment policy and economic policy pursuant to Article 121 TFEU and Article 148 TFEU is necessary for a positive development of the EU; calls for a social protocol to the Treaties to protect fundamental social and labour rights so that social rights are put on equal footing to economic freedoms;

Or. en

Amendment 63
Inês Cristina Zuber

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

3a. Calls for greater economic and social cohesion to be provided by strengthening the European Social Fund and the Cohesion Fund in order to preserve and create jobs with rights by supporting measures to combat unemployment and poverty;

Or. pt

Amendment 64
Jutta Steinruck

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Proposes that joint meetings between the EPSCO Council and the Ecofin Council be held with a view to promoting coordinated socio-economic policies geared towards strengthening competitiveness in Europe and giving ***growth and jobs*** a sustainable boost;

Amendment

4. Proposes that joint meetings between the EPSCO Council and the Ecofin Council be held with a view to promoting coordinated socio-economic policies geared towards strengthening competitiveness in Europe and giving a sustainable boost ***to growth and jobs alike in order to create good jobs***;

Or. de

Amendment 65

Zdzisław Krasnodębski

Draft opinion

Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Proposes that joint meetings between the EPSCO Council and the Ecofin Council be held with a view to promoting ***coordinated*** socio-economic policies geared towards strengthening competitiveness in Europe and giving growth and jobs a sustainable boost;

Amendment

4. Proposes that joint meetings between the EPSCO Council and the Ecofin Council be held with a view to promoting socio-economic policies geared towards strengthening competitiveness in Europe and giving growth and jobs a sustainable boost;

Or. pl

Amendment 66

Laura Agea, Tiziana Beghin

Draft opinion

Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Proposes that joint meetings between the EPSCO Council and the Ecofin Council be held with a view to promoting coordinated socio-economic policies geared towards

Amendment

4. Proposes that joint meetings between the EPSCO Council and the Ecofin Council be held with a view to promoting coordinated socio-economic policies geared towards

strengthening competitiveness in Europe and giving growth and jobs a sustainable boost;

strengthening competitiveness in Europe, ***eliminating endemic unemployment***, and giving growth and jobs a sustainable boost;

Or. it

Amendment 67

Monika Vana

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion

Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Proposes that joint meetings between the EPSCO Council and the Ecofin Council be held with a view to promoting coordinated socio-economic policies geared towards ***strengthening*** competitiveness in Europe and giving growth and jobs a sustainable boost;

Amendment

4. ***Stresses the need for a more balanced distribution of responsibilities and better cooperation between EPSCO and ECOFIN related bodies and therefore*** proposes that joint meetings between the EPSCO Council and the Ecofin Council be held with a view to promoting coordinated socio-economic policies geared towards ***reducing inequalities, poverty and social exclusion as well as improving*** competitiveness in Europe and giving ***sustainable*** growth and ***quality*** jobs a sustainable boost ***towards social, economic and territorial cohesion***;

Or. en

Amendment 68

Lampros Fountoulis

Draft opinion

Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Proposes that joint meetings between the EPSCO Council and the Ecofin Council be held with a view to promoting coordinated socio-economic policies geared towards

Amendment

4. Proposes that joint meetings between the EPSCO Council and the Ecofin Council be held with a view to promoting coordinated socio-economic policies geared towards

strengthening **competitiveness** in Europe and giving growth and jobs a sustainable boost;

strengthening **healthy competition** in Europe and giving growth and jobs, **especially for young people**, a sustainable boost;

Or. el

Amendment 69
Sergio Gutiérrez Prieto

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. **Proposes that** joint meetings between the EPSCO Council and the Ecofin Council **be held** with a view **to** promoting coordinated socio-economic policies geared towards strengthening competitiveness in Europe and giving growth and jobs a sustainable boost;

Amendment

4. **Recalls the importance of** joint meetings between the EPSCO Council and the Ecofin Council with a view **of** promoting coordinated socio-economic policies geared towards strengthening competitiveness in Europe and giving growth and **quality** jobs a sustainable boost;

Or. en

Amendment 70
Emilian Pavel

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. **Proposes that** joint meetings between the EPSCO Council and the Ecofin Council be held with a view **to** promoting coordinated socio-economic policies geared towards strengthening competitiveness in Europe and giving growth and jobs a sustainable boost;

Amendment

4. **Strongly believes that** joint meetings between the EPSCO Council and the Ecofin Council **should** be held with a view **of** promoting coordinated socio-economic policies geared towards strengthening competitiveness in Europe and giving growth and **quality** jobs a sustainable boost;

Or. en

Amendment 71
Jutta Steinruck

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

5. Emphasises that the social indicators scoreboard in the Alert Mechanism Report is important in order to identify, in advance, the social impact of measures designed to correct macroeconomic imbalances; calls on the Commission to ***assess its scope and effectiveness***;

Amendment

5. Emphasises that the social indicators scoreboard in the Alert Mechanism Report is ***an important first step*** in order to identify, in advance, the social impact of measures designed to correct macroeconomic imbalances; calls on the Commission to ***make the scoreboard binding for Member States and to add further indicators measuring, for example, job quality, distribution of wealth, long-term and youth unemployment, gender equality, and child poverty***;

Or. de

Amendment 72
Laura Agea, Tiziana Beghin

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

5. Emphasises that the social indicators scoreboard in the Alert Mechanism Report is important in order to identify, in advance, the social impact of measures designed to correct macroeconomic imbalances; calls on the Commission to assess its scope and effectiveness;

Amendment

5. Emphasises that the social indicators scoreboard in the Alert Mechanism Report is important in order to identify, in advance, the social impact of measures designed to correct macroeconomic imbalances, ***the object being to ensure that no instruments or measures will be implemented without taking into account the social situation in the Member States and the differences existing from one country to the next***; calls on the Commission to assess its scope and effectiveness;

Amendment 73

Monika Vana

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion

Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

5. Emphasises that the social indicators scoreboard in the Alert Mechanism Report is **important** in order to identify, in advance, the social impact of measures designed to correct macroeconomic imbalances; calls on the Commission to assess its scope and effectiveness;

Amendment

5. Emphasises that **including** the social indicators scoreboard in the Alert Mechanism Report is **a first step** in order to identify, in advance, the social impact of measures designed to correct macroeconomic imbalances; **stresses that this so far has no policy impact and therefore calls as a next step on the Commission and the Council to put all social indicators, including those regarding poverty and social exclusion, on a par with macroeconomic ones**; calls on the Commission to assess **and improve** its scope and effectiveness **as well as to make sure that the findings of the Scoreboard of employment and social indicators are taken fully into account when developing country specific recommendations and when assessing their implementation in Member States**; **calls on the Commission to put in place a system that triggers preventive and corrective actions once the social indicators reach a set threshold**;

Amendment 74

Ádám Kósa

Draft opinion

Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

5. Emphasises that the social indicators scoreboard in the Alert Mechanism Report is important in order to identify, in advance, the social impact of measures designed to correct macroeconomic imbalances; calls on the Commission to assess its scope and effectiveness;

Amendment

5. Emphasises that the social indicators scoreboard in the Alert Mechanism Report is important in order to identify, in advance, the social impact of measures designed to correct macroeconomic imbalances; calls on the Commission to assess its scope and effectiveness ***and to examine the extent to which the existing indicators and the surveys undertaken by Eurostat are effective and take into account the relevant Member State's special features, social characteristics and results achieved in terms of their own base values (at the same time as investigating the rate of recovery, the basis for recovery and growth and their sustainability)***;

Or. hu

Amendment 75
Emilian Pavel

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

5. Emphasises that the social indicators scoreboard in the Alert Mechanism Report is important in order to identify, in advance, the social impact of measures designed to correct macroeconomic imbalances; calls on the Commission to ***assess its scope and effectiveness***;

Amendment

5. Emphasises that the social indicators scoreboard in the Alert Mechanism Report is important in order to identify, in advance, the social impact of measures designed to correct macroeconomic imbalances; calls on the Commission to ***ensure that such indicators are given the same level of importance as economic ones in the European Semester***;

Or. en

Amendment 76
Joëlle Mélin

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

5a. Notes that certain essential information is missing from the scoreboard, such as quantitative, qualitative and geographical data regarding job offers and business bankruptcy rates;

Or. fr

Amendment 77
Monika Vana
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

5a. Calls on the Commission to present as soon as possible a revision of the Macroeconomic Imbalances Procedure and the Alert Mechanism in Regulations 1176/2011/EU and 1174/2011/EU addressing

(a) a change of indicators and thresholds, moving from cost competitiveness to a broader understanding of competitiveness including the quality of human capital, labour market participation, capital costs, resource efficiency, energy efficiency and inequality

(b) symmetry in the MIP procedure regarding current accounts treating surpluses similarly to deficits;

Or. en

Amendment 78
Sergio Gutiérrez Prieto

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

5a. Calls for the specific rules on binding supervision of budgetary discipline in the euro area to include employment, redistribution and social indicators such as quality employment, poverty, child poverty or access to housing, just as there are macro-economic indicators that ensure budget sustainability and the sustainability of the social model;

Or. es

Amendment 79
Monika Vana
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

5b. Stresses that excessive inequality should be a trigger within the Alert Mechanism system as it destabilizes societies and puts cohesion as well as economic performance at risk; Stresses that an increase in inequality, as experienced in the EU and documented by the country reporting in the Semester, involves major democratic risks; points to the warnings by the IMF and the ILO that a further rise in inequalities in the EU could destabilise our societies;

Or. en

Amendment 80
Zdzisław Krasnodębski

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6

Draft opinion

6. Calls on the Member States to implement the country-specific recommendations so as to support growth and jobs; calls on the Commission to submit to the European Parliament an annual assessment of the progress made as regards the effective implementation of those recommendations, and points out that the assessment could be included as an annex to the Annual Growth Report;

Amendment

6. Calls on the Commission to submit to the European Parliament an annual assessment of the progress made as regards the effective implementation of those recommendations, and points out that the assessment could be included as an annex to the Annual Growth Report;

Or. pl

Amendment 81
Laura Agea, Tiziana Beghin

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6

Draft opinion

6. Calls on the Member States to implement the country-specific recommendations so as to support growth and jobs; calls on the Commission to submit to the European Parliament an annual assessment of the progress made as regards the effective implementation of those recommendations, and points out that the assessment could be included as an annex to the Annual Growth Report;

Amendment

6. Calls on the Member States to implement the country-specific recommendations so as to support growth and jobs, ***first and foremost through provision for citizen's income and a basic income guarantee***; calls on the Commission to submit to the European Parliament an annual assessment of the progress made as regards the effective implementation of those recommendations, and points out that the assessment could be included as an annex to the Annual Growth Report;

Or. it

Amendment 82

Monika Vana

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion

Paragraph 6

Draft opinion

6. Calls on the Member States to implement the country-specific recommendations so as to support growth and **jobs**; calls on the Commission to submit to the European Parliament an annual assessment of the progress made as regards the effective implementation of those recommendations, and points out that the assessment could be included as an annex to the Annual Growth Report;

Amendment

6. Calls on the Member States to implement the country-specific recommendations so as to support **sustainable** growth and **quality jobs as well as social cohesion and to achieve progress towards the Europe 2020 goals**; calls on the Commission to submit to the European Parliament an annual assessment of the progress made as regards the effective implementation of those recommendations, and points out that the assessment could be included as an annex to the Annual Growth Report;

Or. en

Amendment 83

Maria Arena, Hugues Bayet

Draft opinion

Paragraph 6

Draft opinion

6. Calls on the **Member States to implement the country-specific recommendations so as to support growth and jobs**; calls on the Commission to submit to the European Parliament an annual assessment of the progress made as regards the effective implementation of those recommendations, and points out that the assessment could be included as an annex to the Annual Growth Report;

Amendment

6. Calls on the Commission to submit to the European Parliament an annual assessment of the progress made as regards the effective implementation of those recommendations **and their effects on the debt and deficit and on the social indicators**, and points out that the assessment could be included as an annex to the Annual Growth Report;

Or. fr

Amendment 84
Sergio Gutiérrez Prieto

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6

Draft opinion

6. Calls on the Member States to implement the country-specific recommendations so as to support growth **and jobs**; calls on the Commission to submit to the European Parliament an annual assessment of the progress made as regards the effective implementation of those recommendations, and points out that the assessment could be included as an annex to the Annual Growth Report;

Amendment

6. Calls on the Member States to implement the country-specific recommendations so as to support growth, **quality job creation and social cohesion**; calls on the Commission to submit to the European Parliament an annual assessment of the progress made as regards the effective implementation of those recommendations, and points out that the assessment could be included as an annex to the Annual Growth Report;

Or. en

Amendment 85
Monika Vana
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

6a. Reminds the Commission that while wages are considered an important element in resolving euro-area macro-economic imbalances, they are not merely a tool for economic adjustment, but above all the income that workers need to live on; calls on the Commission to ensure that recommendations in the field of wages do not increase in-work poverty or wage inequalities within Member States, or harm low-income groups;

Or. en

Amendment 86
Jérôme Lavrilleux

Draft opinion
Paragraph 7

Draft opinion

7. Calls on the Commission, in tandem with the EIB, to make it easier for SMEs – the main sources of jobs in Europe – to access financing from the European Fund for Strategic Investments, in connection with the European Investment Fund;

Amendment

7. Calls on the Commission, in tandem with the EIB, to make it easier for SMEs, ***which have created over 80% of the jobs in the EU and which drive sustainable and inclusive growth***, to access financing from the European Fund for Strategic Investments, in connection with the European Investment Fund;

Or. fr

Amendment 87
Laura Agea, Tiziana Beghin

Draft opinion
Paragraph 7

Draft opinion

7. ***Calls on*** the Commission, in tandem with the EIB, to make it easier for SMEs – the main sources of jobs in Europe – to access financing from the European Fund for Strategic Investments, in connection with the European Investment Fund;

Amendment

7. ***Urges*** the Commission, in tandem with the EIB, to make it easier for SMEs – the main sources of jobs in Europe – to access financing from the European Fund for Strategic Investments, in connection with the European Investment Fund;

Or. it

Amendment 88
Monika Vana
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion
Paragraph 7

Draft opinion

7. Calls on the Commission, in tandem with the EIB, to make it easier for SMEs – ***the main sources of jobs*** in Europe – to access financing from the European Fund for Strategic Investments, in connection with the European Investment Fund;

Amendment

7. Calls on the Commission, in tandem with the EIB, to make it easier for SMEs – ***one backbone of job creation*** in Europe – to access financing from the European Fund for Strategic Investments, in connection with the European Investment Fund; ***regrets that the European Fund for Strategic Investment does not foresee earmarking or targeting of SMEs but leaves that solely to the Steering Board; calls for the European Fund for Strategic Investments to respect the existing investment principles of the Union;***

Or. en

Amendment 89

Danuta Jazłowiecka

Draft opinion

Paragraph 7

Draft opinion

7. Calls on the Commission, in tandem with the EIB, to ***make it easier for*** SMEs – the main sources of jobs in Europe – to ***access financing from*** the European Fund for Strategic Investments, in connection with the European Investment Fund;

Amendment

7. Calls on the Commission, in tandem with the EIB, to ***lay down criteria that enable*** SMEs – the main sources of jobs in Europe – to ***participate in investments financed by*** the European Fund for Strategic Investments, in connection with the European Investment Fund; ***points out that if project eligibility criteria and participation requirements are laid down as soon as possible, this will allow for preparations to be made and make for better coordinated actions, also on the part of SMEs;***

Or. pl

Amendment 90
Lampros Fountoulis

Draft opinion
Paragraph 7

Draft opinion

7. Calls on the Commission, in tandem with the EIB, to make it easier for SMEs – the main sources of jobs in Europe – to access financing from the European Fund for Strategic Investments, in connection with the European Investment Fund;

Amendment

7. Calls on the Commission, in tandem with the EIB, to make it easier for SMEs – the main sources of jobs in Europe – to access financing from the European Fund for Strategic Investments, in connection with the European Investment Fund, ***while at the same time keeping the situation under review and ensuring that the funds are managed properly;***

Or. el

Amendment 91
Ulla Tørnæs, Martina Dlabajová, Renate Weber, Marian Harkin

Draft opinion
Paragraph 7

Draft opinion

7. Calls on the Commission, in tandem with the EIB, to make it easier for SMEs – the main sources of jobs in Europe – to access financing from the European Fund for Strategic Investments, in connection with the European Investment Fund;

Amendment

7. Calls on the Commission, in tandem with the EIB, to make it easier for SMEs – the main sources of jobs ***and job-creation*** in Europe – to access financing from the European Fund for Strategic Investments, in connection with the European Investment Fund; ***Underlines the importance of new growth and job-creation for public acceptance of the European economic governance framework, calls therefore for the Commission to take measures to increase trust in the economy and to improve the business environment with particular attention to SMEs, removal of red tape and access to finance;***

Or. en

Amendment 92
Joëlle Mélin

Draft opinion
Paragraph 7 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

7a. Deplores the EU's totally contradictory attitude of imposing liberalisation of services but increasing the number of compulsory standards in a way that is bringing the SMEs to their knees rather than protecting them;

Or. fr

Amendment 93
Emilian Pavel

Draft opinion
Paragraph 7 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

7a. Believes that the economic governance framework must help to set up the right environment in Europe to foster entrepreneurship, particularly amongst younger people; is concerned that over the course of the crisis, SMEs have experienced a decline in employment share;

Or. en

Amendment 94
Joëlle Mélin

Draft opinion
Paragraph 7 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

7b. Notes that the SMEs provide an inexhaustible supply of jobs but their financial difficulties resulting from the current economic situation have led to the increase in unemployment;

Or. fr

**Amendment 95
Emilian Pavel**

**Draft opinion
Paragraph 7 b (new)**

Draft opinion

Amendment

7b. Notes that shifting taxation away from labour can help stimulate growth and increase employment rates;

Or. en

**Amendment 96
Guillaume Balas**

**Draft opinion
Paragraph 8**

Draft opinion

Amendment

8. With a view to improving the effectiveness and focus of budget policies, calls on the Member States to press ahead with their efforts to modernise their labour markets and social security systems; with this in mind, encourages them to share best practices;

deleted

Or. fr

Amendment 97
Jérôme Lavrilleux

Draft opinion
Paragraph 8

Draft opinion

8. With a view to improving the effectiveness and focus of budget policies, calls on the Member States to press ahead with their efforts to modernise their labour markets and social security systems; with this in mind, encourages them to share best practices;

Amendment

8. With a view to improving the effectiveness and focus of budget policies, calls on the Member States to press ahead with their efforts to modernise their labour markets and social security systems; with this in mind, encourages them to share best practices; ***points out, moreover, that optimum integration of the labour markets remains a medium-term objective for promoting social progress in a balanced, competitive environment;***

Or. fr

Amendment 98
Zdzisław Krasnodebski

Draft opinion
Paragraph 8

Draft opinion

8. With a view to improving the effectiveness and focus of budget policies, calls on the Member States to press ahead with their efforts to modernise their labour markets and social security systems; with this in mind, encourages ***them*** to share ***best*** practices;

Amendment

8. With a view to improving the effectiveness and focus of budget policies, calls on the Member States to press ahead with their efforts to modernise their labour markets and social security systems; ***points out, moreover, that modernisation must not only be about lowering standards as regards protecting workers' rights and reducing social security;*** with this in mind, encourages ***Member States*** to share practices;

Or. pl

Amendment 99
Laura Agea, Tiziana Beghin

Draft opinion
Paragraph 8

Draft opinion

8. With a view to improving the effectiveness and focus of budget policies, ***calls on*** the Member States to press ahead with their efforts to modernise their labour markets and social security systems; with this in mind, encourages them to share best practices;

Amendment

8. With a view to improving the effectiveness and focus of budget policies, ***urges*** on the Member States to press ahead with their efforts to modernise their labour markets and social security systems, ***for instance by making provision for citizen's income and a basic income guarantee***; with this in mind, encourages them to share best practices;

Or. it

Amendment 100
Monika Vana
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion
Paragraph 8

Draft opinion

8. With a view to improving the effectiveness ***and focus of budget policies***, calls on the Member States to ***press ahead with*** their efforts to ***modernise*** their labour markets and social ***security*** systems; with this in mind, ***encourages them*** to share best practices;

Amendment

8. With a view to improving the effectiveness ***of fiscal policies, reducing poverty and enhancing fair mobility***, calls on the Member States to ***better coordinate*** their efforts to ***improve*** their labour markets and social ***protection*** systems ***while making sure that the structural reforms implemented in these sectors do not undermine labour standards or reduce access to adequate social protection which is a universal right and a precondition for the creation of more equal societies***; with this in mind, ***calls on the Commission and the Member States*** to share best practices ***and to further promote mutual learning also at regional and local level***;

Amendment 101
Maria Arena, Hugues Bayet

Draft opinion
Paragraph 8

Draft opinion

8. With a view to improving the effectiveness and focus of budget policies, calls on the Member States to ***press ahead with their efforts to modernise their*** labour markets and social security systems; with this in mind, encourages them to share best practices;

Amendment

8. With a view to improving the effectiveness and focus of budget policies, calls on the Member States to ***make the modernisation of*** their labour markets and social security systems ***subject to maintaining quality of employment and social protection as a means of ensuring social cohesion, competitiveness and resistance to economic and financial crises***; with this in mind, encourages them to share best practices;

Or. fr

Amendment 102
Lampros Fountoulis

Draft opinion
Paragraph 8

Draft opinion

8. With a view to improving the effectiveness and focus of budget policies, calls on the Member States to press ahead with their efforts to modernise their labour markets and social security systems; with this in mind, encourages them to share best practices;

Amendment

8. With a view to improving the effectiveness and focus of budget policies, calls on the Member States to press ahead with their efforts to modernise their labour markets and social security systems; with this in mind, encourages them to share best practices, ***investing in people and enhancing European solidarity***;

Or. el

Amendment 103
Enrique Calvet Chambon

Draft opinion
Paragraph 8

Draft opinion

8. *With a view to improving the effectiveness and focus of budget policies*, calls on the Member States to press ahead with their efforts to modernise their labour markets and social security systems; with this in mind, encourages them to share best practices;

Amendment

8. Calls on the Member States to press ahead with their efforts to modernise their labour markets and social security systems; with this in mind, encourages them to share best practices;

Or. es

Amendment 104
Ulla Tørnæs, Martina Dlabajová, Renate Weber, Marian Harkin

Draft opinion
Paragraph 8

Draft opinion

8. With a view to improving the effectiveness and focus of budget policies, calls on the Member States to press ahead with their efforts to modernise their labour markets and social security systems; with this in mind, encourages them to share best practices;

Amendment

8. With a view to improving the effectiveness and focus of budget policies, calls on the Member States to press ahead with their efforts to modernise their labour markets, *educational systems* and social security systems *through structural reforms which ensure a better match between skills and jobs*; with this in mind, encourages them to share best practices;

Or. en

Amendment 105
Sergio Gutiérrez Prieto

Draft opinion
Paragraph 8

Draft opinion

8. With a view to improving the effectiveness ***and focus of budget policies***, calls on the Member States to ***press ahead with*** their efforts to modernise their labour markets and social security systems; ***with this in mind, encourages them to share best practices***;

Amendment

8. With a view to improving the effectiveness ***of fiscal policies and enhancing fair mobility in a single labour market***, calls on the Member States to ***better coordinate*** their efforts to modernise their labour markets and social security systems ***while making sure that the structural reforms implemented in these sectors do not undermine labour standards or reduce access to adequate social protection, which is a universal right and a precondition for the creation of more equal societies***;

Or. en

Amendment 106
Inês Cristina Zuber

Draft opinion
Paragraph 8

Draft opinion

8. ***With a view to improving the effectiveness and focus of budget policies***, calls on the Member States to ***press ahead with their efforts to modernise their labour markets and*** social security ***systems***; with this in mind, encourages them to share best practices;

Amendment

8. Calls on the Member States to ***give priority to social matters by promoting jobs with rights and decent pay and widening*** social security ***to provide universal coverage***; with this in mind, encourages them to share best practices;

Or. pt

Amendment 107
Georgi Pirinski

Draft opinion
Paragraph 8 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

8a. Draws attention to proposals to ensure active involvement of Member States in implementing the Juncker Plan by means of developing a European framework that excludes expenditures for education, research and development and professional training from national deficit targets;

Or. en

Amendment 108
Joëlle Mélin

Draft opinion
Paragraph 8 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

8a. Deplores the fact that the EU does not make any provision for modernisation, and its recommendations are in fact leading to a reverse trend in the social situation;

Or. fr

Amendment 109
Monika Vana
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion
Paragraph 8 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

8a. Regrets that in its attempts to balance fiscal policies, the Commission has chosen to side-line the importance of social protection systems as key instruments for stabilising the economy,

as well as society;

Or. en

Amendment 110
Maria Arena, Hugues Bayet

Draft opinion
Paragraph 8 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

8a. Proposes to protect salary levels with regard to the inherent obligations associated with economic governance, at least at the levels negotiated in collective agreements;

Or. fr

Amendment 111
Monika Vana
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion
Paragraph 8 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

8b. Calls on the Commission to extend the wage safeguard clauses in the Two-Pack, which safeguard the right to bargain and to take action, to all existing instruments of European economic regulation; calls on social partners to increase wage coordination within the Eurozone to avoid imbalances;

Or. en

Amendment 112
Mara Bizzotto

Draft opinion
Paragraph 9

Draft opinion

9. *So as to address* the urgent situation with regard to youth unemployment, ***encourages Member States to use the available resources in an effective and focused way via the introduction of the youth employment initiative, and recommends that cross-border mobility be supported via the development of the EURES portal as a vector for youth employment;***

Amendment

9. ***Recommends that Member States tackle*** the urgent situation with regard to youth unemployment ***by implementing*** the youth employment initiative ***and using all*** available resources in ***a mutually reinforcing,*** effective, and focused way, and, ***in order to support youth employment, also advocates*** cross-border mobility, ***which should be provided*** via the development of the EURES portal ***and always viewed as a deliberate career choice and an alternative to national mobility, which must in any event be guaranteed;***

Or. it

Amendment 113
Laura Agea, Tiziana Beghin

Draft opinion
Paragraph 9

Draft opinion

9. So as to address the urgent situation with regard to youth unemployment, encourages Member States to use the available resources in an effective and focused way via the introduction of the youth employment initiative, and recommends that cross-border mobility be supported via the development of the EURES portal as a vector for youth employment;

Amendment

9. So as to address the urgent situation with regard to youth unemployment, encourages Member States to use the available resources in an effective and focused way via the introduction of the youth employment initiative, ***thereby boosting demand and supply on the single market;*** and recommends that cross-border mobility be supported via the development of the EURES portal as a vector for youth employment;

Or. it

Amendment 114
Maria Arena, Hugues Bayet

Draft opinion
Paragraph 9

Draft opinion

9. So as to address the urgent situation with regard to youth unemployment, encourages Member States to use the available resources in an effective and focused way via the introduction of the youth employment initiative, and recommends that cross-border mobility be supported via the development of the EURES portal as a vector for youth employment;

Amendment

9. So as to address the urgent situation with regard to youth unemployment, encourages ***the Commission to allow the*** Member States to use the available resources in an effective and focused way via ***precise, legally sound guidelines for*** the introduction of the youth employment initiative, and recommends that cross-border mobility be supported via the development of the EURES portal as a vector for youth employment;

Or. fr

Amendment 115
Danuta Jazłowiecka

Draft opinion
Paragraph 9

Draft opinion

9. So as to address the urgent situation with regard to youth unemployment, encourages Member States to use the available resources in an effective and focused way via the ***introduction of the*** youth employment initiative, ***and*** recommends that cross-border mobility be supported via the development of the EURES portal as a vector for youth employment;

Amendment

9. So as to address the urgent situation with regard to youth unemployment, encourages Member States to use the available resources in an effective and focused way via ***investments in human capital, and particularly in education, with a special focus on*** the youth employment initiative ***in the areas most affected by unemployment; also*** recommends that cross-border mobility be supported via ***cross-border partnerships and via*** the development of the EURES portal as a vector for youth employment;

Amendment 116
Lampros Fountoulis

Draft opinion
Paragraph 9

Draft opinion

9. So as to address the urgent situation with regard to youth unemployment, encourages Member States to use the available resources in an effective and focused way *via the introduction of the youth employment initiative, and recommends that cross-border mobility be supported via the development of the EURES portal as a vector for youth employment;*

Amendment

9. So as to address the urgent situation with regard to youth unemployment, encourages Member States to use the available resources in an effective and focused way, ***providing job-creation incentives in Member States with particularly high unemployment levels;***

Amendment 117
Ulla Tørnæs, Martina Dlabajová, Renate Weber, Marian Harkin

Draft opinion
Paragraph 9

Draft opinion

9. So as to address the urgent situation with regard to youth unemployment, encourages Member States to use the available resources in an effective and focused way via the introduction of the youth employment initiative, and recommends that cross-border mobility be supported via the development of the EURES portal as a vector for youth employment;

Amendment

9. So as to address the urgent situation with regard to youth unemployment, encourages Member States to use the available resources in an effective and focused way via the introduction of the youth employment initiative, ***enhanced use of vocational training as a tool for enhanced employability and a better match between skills and jobs*** and recommends that cross-border mobility be supported via the development of the EURES portal as a vector for youth employment;

Amendment 118

Brando Benifei, Georgi Pirinski, Sergio Gutiérrez Prieto, Evelyn Regner, Jutta Steinruck, Maria João Rodrigues

Draft opinion

Paragraph 9

Draft opinion

9. So as to address the urgent situation with regard to youth unemployment, ***encourages Member States to use the available resources in an effective and focused way via the introduction of the youth employment initiative, and recommends that cross-border mobility be supported via the development of the EURES portal as a vector for youth employment;***

Amendment

9. Urges the Member States to use at the fullest all available financial resources in the Youth Employment Initiative in an effective and focused way, so as to adequately address the urgent situation with regard to youth unemployment; with a view to this, considers it essential that Member States' co-financing contributions to youth employment measures, such as the Youth Guarantee, are excluded from the calculation of national deficits; calls on the Commission to put forward proposals to further support cross-border mobility, also by strengthening the EURES portal as an important vector for youth employment;

Or. en

Amendment 119

Joëlle Mélin

Draft opinion

Paragraph 9 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

9a. Notes that the EURES portal is not a vector for employment but simply a way of moving round work shortages;

Or. fr

Amendment 120
Danuta Jazłowiecka

Draft opinion
Paragraph 9 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

9a. In the face of ageing populations and pension system reforms, calls on the Member States to give priority to action focusing on older workers; calls on the Commission to lay down criteria and step up checks on the use of EU funding for older workers and to take more action as regards the employment of older people;

Or. pl

Amendment 121
Sergio Gutiérrez Prieto

Draft opinion
Paragraph 9 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

9a. Notes with grave concern that long term unemployment has doubled over the course of the crisis; notes also that this increase was even higher among low skilled workers; calls on the Commission to ensure that fight against long-term unemployment is reflected in its policies and country-specific recommendations;

Or. en

Amendment 122
Emilian Pavel

Draft opinion
Paragraph 9 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

9a. Notes with grave concern that long term unemployment has doubled over the course of the crisis; notes also that this increase was even higher among low skilled workers; calls on the Commission to ensure that the fight against long-term unemployment is reflected in its policies and country-specific recommendations;

Or. en

Amendment 123
Sergio Gutiérrez Prieto

Draft opinion
Paragraph 9 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

9b. Believes that the rising levels of inequality in Europe should be given the upmost importance in the context of the Union's Economic framework; believes that one of the best ways to combat this rising inequality is to redouble the efforts in creating more quality jobs in Europe;

Or. en

Amendment 124
Emilian Pavel

Draft opinion
Paragraph 9 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

9b. Believes that the rising levels of inequality in Europe should be given the upmost importance in the context of the Union's Economic framework; believes

that one of the best ways to combat this rising inequality is to redouble the efforts in creating more quality jobs in Europe;

Or. en

Amendment 125
Guillaume Balas

Draft opinion
Paragraph 10

Draft opinion

10. With a view to *stepping up* democratic scrutiny, recommends that the European Parliament, national parliaments and management and labour be more closely involved in economic dialogue between the Member States and the Commission.

Amendment

10. With a view to *ensuring full and complete* democratic scrutiny *of the European Semester procedure*, recommends that the European Parliament, national parliaments and management and labour be more closely involved in economic dialogue between the Member States and the Commission.

Or. fr

Amendment 126
Mara Bizzotto

Draft opinion
Paragraph 10

Draft opinion

10. *With a view to stepping up democratic scrutiny, recommends that* the European Parliament, national parliaments and management and labour be more closely involved in economic dialogue between the Member States and the Commission.

Amendment

10. *Points to the need for* the European Parliament, national parliaments and management and labour *to* be more closely involved in economic dialogue between the Member States and the Commission *in order to allow democratic scrutiny of the European process.*

Or. it

Amendment 127
Jérôme Lavrilleux

Draft opinion
Paragraph 10

Draft opinion

10. With a view to stepping up democratic scrutiny, recommends that the European Parliament, national parliaments and management and labour be more closely involved in economic dialogue between the Member States and the Commission.

Amendment

10. With a view to stepping up democratic scrutiny **and reducing the increasing gulf between the EU institutions and EU citizens**, recommends that the European Parliament, national parliaments and management and labour be more closely involved in economic dialogue between the Member States and the Commission.

Or. fr

Amendment 128
Jérôme Lavrilleux

Draft opinion
Paragraph 10

Draft opinion

10. With a view to stepping up democratic scrutiny, recommends that the European Parliament, national parliaments and management and labour be more closely involved in economic dialogue between the Member States and the Commission.

Amendment

10. With a view to stepping up democratic scrutiny, recommends that the European Parliament, national parliaments, **civil society** and management and labour be more closely involved in economic dialogue between the Member States and the Commission.

Or. fr

Amendment 129
Zdzisław Krasnodębski

Draft opinion
Paragraph 10

Draft opinion

10. ***With a view to stepping up*** democratic scrutiny, ***recommends that*** the European ***Parliament***, national parliaments ***and*** management and labour be ***more closely*** involved in ***economic dialogue between the Member States and the Commission***.

Amendment

10. ***Draws attention to the lack of*** democratic scrutiny ***over*** the European ***governance framework; insists that*** national parliaments, management and labour, ***and the European Parliament*** be ***actively*** involved in ***this process***.

Or. pl

Amendment 130

Monika Vana

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion

Paragraph 10

Draft opinion

10. With a view to stepping up democratic ***scrutiny***, recommends that the European Parliament, national parliaments ***and management and labour*** be more closely involved ***in economic dialogue*** between the Member States and the Commission.

Amendment

10. With a view to stepping up democratic ***accountability of the Economic governance as well as increasing the quality and ownership of the semester process***, recommends that the European Parliament, national parliaments ***as well as civil society organisations and social partners at national and EU level*** be more closely involved ***throughout the whole semester cycle*** between the Member States and the Commission; ***stresses that meaningful and structural consultation with civil society stakeholders would not only boost the democratic legitimacy of the process and the chances of reforms being acceptable to citizens and successful in their implementation, but could also strengthen the evidence base for the evaluation of reforms;***

Or. en

Amendment 131

Ulla Tørnæs, Marian Harkin, Martina Dlabajová, Renate Weber

Draft opinion
Paragraph 10

Draft opinion

10. With a view to stepping up democratic scrutiny, recommends that the European Parliament, national parliaments and ***management and labour*** be more closely involved in economic dialogue between the Member States and the Commission.

Amendment

10. With a view to stepping up democratic scrutiny, recommends that the European Parliament, national parliaments and ***the social partners*** be more closely involved in economic dialogue between the Member States and the Commission.

Or. en

Amendment 132
Sergio Gutiérrez Prieto, Javi López

Draft opinion
Paragraph 10

Draft opinion

10. With a view to stepping up democratic ***scrutiny***, recommends that the European Parliament, national parliaments ***and management and labour*** be more closely involved ***in economic dialogue*** between the Member States and the Commission.

Amendment

10. With a view to stepping up democratic ***accountability and increasing the quality and ownership of the semester process***, recommends that the European Parliament, national parliaments ***as well as civil society organizations and social partners at national and EU level*** be more closely involved ***throughout the whole semester cycle*** between the Member States and the Commission;

Or. en

Amendment 133
Brando Benifei, Georgi Pirinski, Evelyn Regner, Jutta Steinruck, Maria João Rodrigues

Draft opinion
Paragraph 10

Draft opinion

10. With a view to stepping up democratic scrutiny, recommends that the European Parliament, national parliaments **and management and labour** be more closely involved in economic dialogue between the Member States and the Commission.

Amendment

10. With a view to stepping up democratic scrutiny, recommends that the European Parliament, national parliaments **and social partners** be more closely involved in economic dialogue between the Member States and the Commission.

Or. en

Amendment 134
Sergio Gutiérrez Prieto

Draft opinion
Paragraph 10 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

10a. Recalls on the Commission and the Council to enter into an interinstitutional agreement with the European Parliament in order to fully guarantee the role of the Parliament within the whole Semester Process;

Or. en

Amendment 135
Sergio Gutiérrez Prieto

Draft opinion
Paragraph 10 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

10a. Calls for the economic governance regulations to be revised so as to make the following changes to the European Semester process:

(a) before the Annual Growth Survey is published, the Commission must hold a debate with representatives of the

European Parliament on the survey's broad guidelines;

(b) following publication and before the corresponding debate at the European Council meeting, trilogues must be held between the three institutions to agree on an economic framework, one for jobs and one for social policies;

(c) following adoption of the report on Annual Growth Survey and before the corresponding debate at the European Council meeting, trilogues must be held between the three institutions to agree on an economic framework, one for jobs and one for social policies;

Or. es

Amendment 136
Emilian Pavel

Draft opinion
Paragraph 10 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

10a. Believes that social partners should have an increased role in the economic governance framework both at European and national level; believes that such a reinforced role would increase the democratic legitimacy of the economic governance framework whilst safeguarding citizens' rights;

Or. en

Amendment 137
Sergio Gutiérrez Prieto

Draft opinion
Paragraph 10 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

10b. Points out that Member States must at least inform their national parliaments before January of the contents of their National Reform Programmes (NRPs) and Stability and Convergence Programmes (SCPs); points out, further, that alongside these programmes they should also include the record of the corresponding debate held in the national parliament; points out that, in the absence of such a record, the Commission must return the NRPs and SCPs and deem them not to have been submitted, initiating the appropriate procedure in line with the 2-pack and 6-pack regulations; recommends that national parliaments should in any case adopt the NRPs and SCPs, rather than merely being informed of them;

Or. es

Amendment 138
Sergio Gutiérrez Prieto

Draft opinion
Paragraph 10 c (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

10c. Calls for it to be mandatory for Member States to include as an annex to the NRPs and SCPs a record of the opinion of the most representative social organisations and civil society;

Or. es

Amendment 139
Sergio Gutiérrez Prieto

Draft opinion
Paragraph 10 d (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

10d. Calls for the official establishment of a debate between the Commission, the Council and the European Parliament along with social organisations and civil society at European level between the publication of the Annual Growth Survey and the March European Council, and before the European Council approves the country-specific recommendations;

Or. es

Amendment 140
Sergio Gutiérrez Prieto

Draft opinion
Paragraph 10 e (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

10e. Calls for the European Semester to include an obligation to draw up national employment programmes which assess the policies designed to promote job creation, the labour markets, quality employment, adequate wages and the required level of flexibility and security in labour law;

Or. es