



2015/2052(INI)

2.6.2015

AMENDMENTS

1 - 43

Draft opinion

Javi López

(PE557.113v01-00)

The European Structural and Investment Funds and sound economic governance: guidelines for the implementation of Article 23 of the Common Provisions Regulation
(2015/2052(INI))

AM_Com_NonLegOpinion

Amendment 1
Marian Harkin, Enrique Calvet Chambon

Draft opinion
Paragraph -1 (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

A. whereas the principle of EU solidarity which is partly expressed through the mechanism of the share out of EU funding should remain a central tenet of EU policy;

Or. en

Amendment 2
Zdzisław Krasnodębski

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

Amendment

1. ***Welcomes*** the Commission's criteria, ***highlighting*** the fact that multiannual programmes and the long-term nature of the challenges facing Member States require certainty and permanence, and that frequent reprogramming should therefore be avoided and stability preferred in order to reinforce the predictability and credibility of ESI fund programmes;

1. ***Notes*** the Commission's criteria, ***and calls for further consideration to be given to whether the current Cohesion Fund rules should be applied to the other structural and investment funds;*** ***highlights*** the fact that multiannual programmes and the long-term nature of the challenges facing Member States require certainty and permanence, and that frequent reprogramming should therefore be avoided and stability preferred in order to reinforce the predictability and credibility of ESI fund programmes;

Or. pl

Amendment 3
Csaba Sógor

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. Welcomes the Commission's criteria, highlighting the fact that ***multiannual programmes and the long-term nature of the challenges facing Member States*** require certainty and permanence, and that frequent reprogramming should therefore be avoided and stability preferred in order to reinforce the predictability and credibility of ESI fund programmes;

Amendment

1. Welcomes the Commission's criteria, highlighting the fact that ***the challenges Member States face are of long term nature and that the multiannual programmes financed by ESI funds meant to address these challenges*** require certainty and permanence, and that frequent reprogramming should therefore be avoided and stability preferred in order to reinforce the predictability and credibility of ESI fund programmes;

Or. en

Amendment 4
Ádám Kósa

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. Welcomes the Commission's criteria, highlighting the fact that multiannual programmes and the long-term nature of the challenges facing Member States require certainty and permanence, and that frequent reprogramming should therefore be avoided and stability preferred in order to reinforce the predictability and credibility of ESI fund programmes;

Amendment

1. Welcomes the Commission's criteria, highlighting the fact that multiannual programmes and the long-term nature of the challenges facing Member States require certainty and permanence, and that frequent reprogramming should therefore be avoided and stability – ***solutions based on existing institutional capacities*** – preferred in order to reinforce the predictability and credibility of ESI fund programmes;

Or. hu

Amendment 5
Eleftherios Synadinos

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. Welcomes the Commission's criteria, highlighting the fact that multiannual programmes and the long-term nature of the challenges facing Member States require certainty and permanence, and that frequent reprogramming should therefore be avoided and stability preferred in order to reinforce the predictability and credibility of ESI fund programmes;

Amendment

1. Welcomes the Commission's criteria, highlighting the fact that multiannual programmes and the long-term nature of the challenges facing Member States require certainty and permanence, and that frequent reprogramming should therefore be avoided and stability preferred in order to reinforce the predictability and credibility of ESI fund programmes;
stresses the need for the Commission to ensure that these programmes are drawn up on the basis of specific recommendations for each country;

Or. el

Amendment 6
Enrique Calvet Chambon, Marian Harkin

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. Welcomes the Commission's criteria, highlighting the fact that multiannual programmes and the long-term nature of the challenges facing Member States require certainty and permanence, and that frequent reprogramming should therefore be avoided and stability preferred in order to reinforce the predictability and credibility of ESI fund programmes;

Amendment

1. Welcomes the Commission's criteria, highlighting the fact that multiannual programmes and the long-term nature of the challenges facing Member States require certainty and permanence, and that frequent reprogramming should therefore be avoided and stability preferred in order to reinforce the predictability and credibility of ESI fund programmes; ***trust that the European Commission and the Council will be very cautious when applying the reprogramming or the suspension of funds for the programmes as foreseen by the regulation of the Common Provisions;***

Or. en

Amendment 7
Csaba Sógor

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

1a. Highlights the need for EU ESI fund financing to be supported by sound economic policies that can efficiently address new social and economic challenges when they emerge;

Or. en

Amendment 8
Enrique Calvet Chambon, Marian Harkin

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

1a. Highlights the fact that investment supported by ESI (European Structural and Investment Funds) should take place in a sound macroeconomic framework to maximised the impact on growth, development, cohesion and jobs creation in Europe, particularly in very depressed areas;

Or. en

Amendment 9
Laura Agea, Tiziana Beghin, Marco Zanni, Marco Valli

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

1a. Recalls that the EU payments under ESIF programmes are based on specific eligibility criteria linked to the level of development of EU regions; believes therefore that the decisions regarding reprogramming or suspension of payments based on other criteria related to the deficit and debt levels contradicted the principles of proportionality and equal treatment as well as the rationale behind this funds;

Or. en

Amendment 10

Laura Agea, Tiziana Beghin, Marco Zanni, Marco Valli

Draft opinion

Paragraph 1 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

1b. Believes that the decisions regarding reprogramming or suspension of ESI funds may worsen the economic and social situation in those countries who are already facing difficulties, by hindering the capability of the local and regional authorities to provide public services and raise investment for jobs and growth;

Or. en

Amendment 11

Csaba Sógor

Draft opinion

Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

Amendment

2. Highlights the fact that reprogramming

2. Calls on the Commission to use the

or suspension as regulated in Article 23 of the Common Provisions Regulation (CPR) *could undermine social and economic convergence between regions and jeopardise goals pursued by ESI funds, especially in countries with deep macroeconomic and social imbalances; asks the Commission to use the mechanism only when Member States have persistently failed to take effective action to address its requests to reprogramme funding and giving due consideration to the unemployment rate or the impact on the economies of the Member States concerned;*

mechanism of reprogramming or suspension as regulated in Article 23 of the Common Provisions Regulation (CPR) *only in cases where there is strong evidence that reprogramming could have a considerable direct impact on the correction of the challenges identified in the relevant Council recommendations;*

Or. en

Amendment 12

Zdzisław Krasnodębski

Draft opinion

Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Highlights the fact that reprogramming or suspension as regulated in Article 23 of the Common Provisions Regulation (CPR) *could undermine* social and economic convergence between regions and *jeopardise* goals pursued by ESI funds, *especially in countries* with deep macroeconomic and social imbalances; *asks the Commission to use the mechanism only when Member States have persistently failed to take effective action to address its requests to reprogramme funding and giving due consideration to the unemployment rate or the impact on the economies of the Member States concerned;*

Amendment

2. Highlights the fact that reprogramming or suspension as regulated in Article 23 of the Common Provisions Regulation (CPR) *poses a threat to* social and economic convergence between regions and *thereby jeopardises* goals pursued by ESI funds, *not only* in countries with deep macroeconomic and social imbalances, *but also in those countries, particularly in Central and Eastern Europe, which rely on the funds to compete on the single market on an equal basis; calls on the Commission, when taking decisions on using these instruments, to carry out an assessment of their impact on the unemployment rate and economic development in the countries concerned;*

Or. pl

Amendment 13
Enrique Calvet Chambon, Marian Harkin

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. **Highlights** the fact that reprogramming or suspension as regulated in Article 23 of the Common Provisions Regulation (CPR) could ***undermine social and economic convergence between regions and jeopardise goals pursued by ESI funds***, especially in countries with deep macroeconomic and social imbalances; asks the Commission to use the mechanism only when Member States have persistently failed to take effective action to address its requests to reprogramme funding and giving due consideration to the unemployment rate or the impact on the economies of the Member States concerned;

Amendment

2. **Points out** the fact that reprogramming or suspension as regulated in Article 23 of the Common Provisions Regulation (CPR) could ***have serious consequences for the respective programmes and regions severely hit by the crisis, as well as for the achievements of cohesion goal as a whole***, especially in countries with deep macroeconomic and social imbalances; asks the Commission to use the mechanism only when Member States have persistently failed to take effective action to address its requests to reprogramme funding and giving due consideration to the unemployment rate or the impact on the economies of the Member States concerned; ***when reprogramming funds the Commission and Member States should take in to account the potential of job creation of the new programmes and its contribution to achieve Country Specific Recommendations.***

Or. en

Amendment 14
Aldo Patriciello

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. **Highlights the fact** that reprogramming or suspension as regulated in Article 23 of the Common Provisions Regulation (CPR) could undermine social and economic convergence between regions and jeopardise goals pursued by ESI funds,

Amendment

2. **Considers** that reprogramming or suspension as regulated in Article 23 of the Common Provisions Regulation (CPR) could undermine social and economic convergence between regions and jeopardise goals pursued by ESI funds,

especially in countries with deep macroeconomic and social imbalances; asks the Commission to use the mechanism only when Member States have persistently failed to *take effective action to address* its requests to reprogramme funding and giving due consideration to the unemployment rate or the impact on the economies of the Member States concerned;

especially in countries with deep macroeconomic and social imbalances; asks the Commission to use the mechanism only when Member States have persistently failed to *make hard and fast commitments in respect of* its requests to reprogramme funding and giving due consideration to the unemployment rate or the impact on the economies of the Member States concerned;

Or. it

Amendment 15 **Ádám Kósa**

Draft opinion **Paragraph 2**

Draft opinion

2. Highlights the fact that reprogramming or suspension as regulated in Article 23 of the Common Provisions Regulation (CPR) could undermine social and economic convergence between regions and jeopardise goals pursued by ESI funds, *especially in countries with deep macroeconomic and social imbalances*; asks the Commission to use the mechanism only when Member States have persistently failed to take effective action to address its requests to reprogramme funding and giving due consideration to the unemployment rate or the impact on the economies of the Member States concerned;

Amendment

2. Highlights the fact that reprogramming or suspension as regulated in Article 23 of the Common Provisions Regulation (CPR) could undermine social and economic convergence between regions and jeopardise goals pursued by ESI funds; asks the Commission to use the mechanism only when Member States have persistently, *and in a manner attributable solely and unequivocally to themselves*, failed to take effective action to address its requests to reprogramme funding and giving due consideration to the unemployment *and inactivity* rate or the impact on the economies of the Member States concerned, *in conjunction with Paragraph 7 of this document*;

Or. hu

Amendment 16 **Inês Cristina Zuber**

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Highlights the fact that reprogramming or suspension as regulated in Article 23 of the Common Provisions Regulation (CPR) ***could undermine*** social and economic convergence between regions ***and jeopardise*** goals pursued by ESI funds, especially in countries with deep macroeconomic and social imbalances; asks the Commission to use the mechanism only when Member States have persistently failed to take effective action to address its requests to reprogramme funding and giving due consideration to the unemployment rate or the impact on the economies of the Member States concerned;

Amendment

2. Highlights the fact that reprogramming or suspension as regulated in Article 23 of the Common Provisions Regulation (CPR) ***not only casts doubt on*** social and economic convergence between regions, ***but also serves to aggravate inequalities from one region to another, jeopardising*** goals pursued by ESI funds, especially in countries with deep macroeconomic and social imbalances; asks the Commission to use the mechanism only when Member States have persistently failed to take effective action to address its requests to reprogramme funding and giving due consideration to the unemployment rate or the impact on the economies of the Member States concerned;

Or. pt

Amendment 17
Eleftherios Synadinos

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Highlights the fact that reprogramming or suspension as regulated in Article 23 of the Common Provisions Regulation (CPR) could undermine social and economic convergence between regions and jeopardise goals pursued by ESI funds, especially in countries with deep macroeconomic and social imbalances; asks the Commission to use the mechanism only when Member States have persistently failed to take effective action to address its requests to reprogramme funding and giving due consideration to the unemployment rate or the impact on the

Amendment

2. Highlights the fact that reprogramming or suspension as regulated in Article 23 of the Common Provisions Regulation (CPR) could undermine social and economic convergence between regions and jeopardise goals pursued by ESI funds, especially in countries with deep macroeconomic and social imbalances, ***something that might possibly have a greater impact on economically vulnerable Member States where public investments are more dependent on fund support***; asks the Commission to use the mechanism only when Member States have

economies of the Member States concerned;

persistently failed to take effective action to address its requests to reprogramme funding and giving due consideration to the unemployment rate or the impact on the economies of the Member States concerned;

Or. el

Amendment 18

Laura Agea, Tiziana Beghin, Marco Zanni, Marco Valli

Draft opinion

Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Highlights the fact that reprogramming or suspension as regulated in Article 23 of the Common Provisions Regulation (CPR) could undermine social and economic convergence between regions and jeopardise goals pursued by ESI funds, especially in countries with deep macroeconomic and social imbalances; asks the Commission to use the mechanism only when Member States have persistently failed to take effective action to address its requests to reprogramme funding and giving due consideration to the unemployment rate or the impact on the economies of the Member States concerned;

Amendment

2. Highlights the fact that reprogramming or suspension as regulated in Article 23 of the Common Provisions Regulation (CPR) could undermine social and economic convergence between regions and jeopardise goals pursued by ESI funds, especially in countries with deep macroeconomic and social imbalances; asks the Commission to use the mechanism only when Member States have persistently failed to take effective action to address its requests to reprogramme funding and giving due consideration to the unemployment rate or the impact on the economies of the Member States concerned; ***stresses that local and regional authorities shall not be punished for the mistakes made at the national level of administration;***

Or. en

Amendment 19

Javi López, Sergio Gutiérrez Prieto

Draft opinion

Paragraph 2 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

2a. Asks the Commission to consider the impact on public opinion of a penalty like those provided for in Article 23 CPR and the rejection that can generate towards the European project and calls to prioritize effectively using the ESI Funds and to avoid macroeconomic conditionality;

Or. en

Amendment 20

Marian Harkin, Enrique Calvet Chambon

Draft opinion

Paragraph 2 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

2a. Considers it counterproductive that EU funds supporting education, training and employment creation might be suspended if such funds are achieving their objectives;

Or. en

Amendment 21

Joëlle Mélin, Dominique Martin

Draft opinion

Paragraph 2 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

2a. Calls on the Commission, however, not to forget that every last cent of taxpayers' money is precious;

Or. fr

Amendment 22
Joëlle Mélin, Dominique Martin

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

2b. Points out that prolonging the agony of a desperate situation is clearly bad practice, and is not only ineffective but is above all exacerbating the situation, thereby delaying the right solution;

Or. fr

Amendment 23
Inês Cristina Zuber

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

Amendment

3. Calls on the Commission to ***be extremely cautious in*** applying ***the first strand of*** Article 23 of the CPR to all programmes coming under any of the thematic objectives of the ESI Funds, ***in particular thematic objectives (8), (9) or (10), or the employment and social targets of the Europe 2020 Strategy, to ensure that social cohesion is not undermined;*** asks the Commission to be strictly committed to applying the ESF minimum share provided for in Article 92(4) of the CPR;

3. Calls on the Commission to ***cease*** applying Article 23 of the CPR to all programmes coming under any of the thematic objectives of the ESI Funds; asks the Commission to be strictly committed to applying the ESF minimum share provided for in Article 92(4) of the CPR;

Or. pt

Amendment 24
Csaba Sógor

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

3. Calls on the Commission to be ***extremely cautious*** in applying the first strand of Article 23 of the CPR to all programmes coming under any of the thematic objectives of the ESI Funds, in particular thematic objectives (8), (9) or (10), or the employment and social targets of the Europe 2020 Strategy, ***to ensure that social cohesion is not undermined***; asks the Commission to be strictly committed to applying the ESF minimum share provided for in Article 92(4) of the CPR;

Amendment

3. Calls on the Commission to be ***careful*** in applying the first strand of Article 23 of the CPR to all programmes coming under any of the thematic objectives of the ESI Funds, in particular thematic objectives (8), (9) or (10), or the employment and social targets of the Europe 2020 Strategy, asks the Commission to be strictly committed to applying the ESF minimum share provided for in Article 92(4) of the CPR;

Or. en

Amendment 25

Ádám Kósa

Draft opinion

Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

3. Calls on the Commission to be extremely cautious in applying the first strand of Article 23 of the CPR to all programmes coming under any of the thematic objectives of the ESI Funds, in particular thematic objectives (8), (9) or (10), or the employment and social targets of the Europe 2020 Strategy, to ensure that social cohesion is not undermined; asks the Commission to be strictly committed to applying the ESF minimum share provided for in Article 92(4) of the CPR;

Amendment

3. Calls on the Commission to be extremely cautious, ***accompanying such action with the appropriate guarantees***, in applying the first strand of Article 8 of the CPR to all programmes coming under any of the thematic objectives of the ESI Funds, in particular thematic objectives (8), (9) or (10), or the employment and social targets of the Europe 2020 Strategy, to ensure that social cohesion is not undermined; asks the Commission to be strictly committed to applying the ESF minimum share provided for in Article 92(4) of the CPR;

Or. hu

Amendment 26

Diane Dodds

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

3. Calls on the Commission to be extremely cautious in applying the first strand of Article 23 of the CPR to all programmes coming under any of the thematic objectives of the ESI Funds, in particular thematic objectives (8), (9) or (10), or the employment and social targets of the Europe 2020 Strategy, to ensure that social cohesion is not undermined; asks the Commission to be strictly committed to applying the ESF minimum share provided for in Article 92(4) of the CPR;

Amendment

3. Calls on the Commission to be extremely cautious in applying the first strand of Article 23 of the CPR to all programmes coming under any of the thematic objectives of the ESI Funds, in particular thematic objectives (8), (9) or (10), or the employment and social targets of the Europe 2020 Strategy, to ensure that social cohesion is not undermined; asks the Commission to be strictly committed to applying the ESF minimum share provided for in Article 92(4) of the CPR; ***highlights in this context the plight of many ESF project recipients in Northern Ireland, from whom significant preallocated sums of money have been withheld under the 2007-2013 programme, and through no fault of their own;***

Or. en

Amendment 27
Javi López, Sergio Gutiérrez Prieto

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

3a. Before a decision of reprogramming, the Commission must provide to Member States and the European Institutions an assessment which shows that new operational programs and/or objectives will have a substantially relevant impact against those are intended to replace; the Commission must demonstrate that the new programmes don't have negative effects regarding targets of employment and social affairs foreseen by Member States; therefore, every programme with

long-term positive effects linked to social investment will remain untouched;

Or. en

Amendment 28
Marian Harkin, Enrique Calvet Chambon

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

3a. Given the long term and result oriented nature of the ESI funds and the annual cycle of the European Semester, believes that the shorter term focus of the CSRs should not jeopardise the long term goals of ESI funds;

Or. en

Amendment 29
Inês Cristina Zuber

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

Amendment

4. Calls on the Commission to *avoid any suspension of* commitments or payments provided for in the second strand of Article 23 of the CPR *where Member States are involved in any of the situations described in sub-paragraphs (a) to (e) of paragraph 1 of Annex III to the CPR;*

4. Calls on the Commission *not to suspend* commitments or payments provided for in the second strand of Article 23 of the CPR;

Or. pt

Amendment 30
Krzysztof Hetman

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Calls on the Commission to ***avoid any suspension of commitments or payments provided for in the second strand of Article 23 of the CPR where Member States are involved in any of the situations described in sub-paragraphs (a) to (e) of paragraph 1 of Annex III to the CPR;***

Amendment

4. Calls on the Commission to ***take into account situations described in sub-paragraphs (a) to (e) of paragraph 1 of Annex III to the CPR in the Member States concerned when considering any suspension of commitments or payments provided for in the second strand of Article 23 of the CPR;***

Or. en

Amendment 31
Csaba Sógor

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Calls on the Commission ***to avoid any*** suspension of ***commitments or*** payments provided for in the second strand of Article 23 of the CPR ***where*** Member States are involved in any of the situations described in sub-paragraphs (a) to (e) of paragraph 1 of Annex III to the CPR;

Amendment

4. Calls on the Commission ***that when making recourse to the*** suspension of payments provided for in the second strand of Article 23 of the CPR, ***it should take proportionate measures and consider the economic and social circumstances of the Member State concerned and should exercise caution in cases when*** Member States are involved in any of the situations described in sub-paragraphs (a) to (e) of paragraph 1 of Annex III to the CPR;

Or. en

Amendment 32
Enrique Calvet Chambon, Marian Harkin

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Calls on the Commission to ***avoid*** any suspension of commitments or payments provided for in the second strand of Article 23 of the CPR where Member States are involved in any of the situations described in sub-paragraphs (a) to (e) of paragraph 1 of Annex III to the CPR;

Amendment

4. Calls on the Commission to ***mitigate the effects of*** any suspension of commitments or payments provided for in the second strand of Article 23 of the CPR where Member States are involved in any of the situations described in sub-paragraphs (a) to (e) of paragraph 1 of Annex III to the CPR. ***The European Commission should assess the impact on unemployment and try to compensate undesirable effects on weaker population; if reprogramming were to be frequently needed, the European Commission could even design some systems of compensation for depressed and deprived areas;***

Or. en

Amendment 33

Maria Arena, Hugues Bayet, Sergio Gutiérrez Prieto

Draft opinion

Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Calls on the Commission to avoid any suspension of commitments or payments provided for in the second strand of Article 23 of the CPR where Member States are involved in any of the situations described in sub-paragraphs (a) to (e) of paragraph 1 of Annex III to the CPR;

Amendment

4. Calls on the Commission to avoid any suspension of commitments or payments provided for in the second strand of Article 23 of the CPR where Member States are involved in any of the situations described in sub-paragraphs (a) to (e) of paragraph 1 of Annex III to the CPR; ***calls for neutralisation in the calculation of debt and deficit in public investment carried out by Member States' public operators, particularly with regard to the impact of the new ESA 2010 system of accounts, which prevents Member States from paying their co-financing share to the Structural Funds (in particular the European Regional Development Fund, the European Social Fund and the Youth Employment Initiative) and thus using***

these funds to escape from the economic crisis and re-launch growth and employment;

Or. fr

Amendment 34
Javi López

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Calls on the Commission to avoid any suspension of commitments or payments provided for in the second strand of Article 23 of the CPR where Member States are involved in any of the situations described in sub-paragraphs (a) to (e) of paragraph 1 of Annex III to the CPR;

Amendment

4. Calls on the Commission to avoid any suspension of commitments or payments provided for in the second strand of Article 23 of the CPR where Member States are involved in any of the situations described in sub-paragraphs (a) to (e) of paragraph 1 of Annex III to the CPR; *and asks the Commission to draw up new guidelines on the implementation of the second strand of Article 23 CPR;*

Or. en

Amendment 35
Inês Cristina Zuber

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

5. Asks the Commission to *avoid arbitrariness in* applying Article 23 of the CPR, *to devise a transparent and democratic decision-making process, in line with Article 23(15) of the CPR, and to allow Parliament, on the basis of a formalised process, to be informed at all stages of the adoption of reprogramming requests or of any proposals and decisions on suspension of commitments or payments.*

Amendment

5. Asks the Commission to *cease* applying Article 23 of the CPR.

Amendment 36
Enrique Calvet Chambon, Marian Harkin

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

5. Asks the Commission to ***avoid arbitrariness in applying Article 23 of the CPR, to*** devise a transparent and democratic decision-making process, in line with Article 23(15) of the CPR, and to allow Parliament, on the basis of a formalised process, to be informed at all stages of the adoption of reprogramming requests or of any proposals and decisions on suspension of commitments or payments.

Amendment

5. Asks the Commission to devise a transparent and democratic decision-making process, in line with Article 23(15) of the CPR, and to allow Parliament, on the basis of a formalised process, to be informed at all stages of the adoption of reprogramming requests or of any proposals and decisions on suspension of commitments or payments.

Or. en

Amendment 37
Csaba Sógor

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

5. Asks the Commission ***to avoid arbitrariness*** in applying Article 23 of the CPR, to devise a transparent and democratic decision-making process, in line with Article 23(15) of the CPR, and to allow Parliament, on the basis of a formalised process, to be informed at all stages of the adoption of reprogramming requests or of any proposals and decisions on suspension of commitments or payments.

Amendment

5. Asks the Commission in applying Article 23 of the CPR, to devise a transparent and democratic decision-making process, in line with Article 23(15) of the CPR, and to allow Parliament, on the basis of a formalised process, to be informed at all stages of the adoption of reprogramming requests or of any proposals and decisions on suspension of commitments or payments;

Or. en

Amendment 38
Krzysztof Hetman

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

5. Asks the Commission to avoid arbitrariness in applying Article 23 of the CPR, to devise a transparent and democratic decision-making process, in line with Article 23(15) of the CPR, and to **allow** Parliament, on the basis of a formalised process, **to be informed** at all stages of the adoption of reprogramming requests or of any proposals and decisions on suspension of commitments or payments.

Amendment

5. Asks the Commission to avoid arbitrariness in applying Article 23 of the CPR, to devise a transparent and democratic decision-making process, in line with Article 23(15) of the CPR, **which would include introducing an early warning approach to inform the country concerned about the possibility of launching the reprogramming procedure immediately after publication of country-specific recommendations**; and to **inform** Parliament, on the basis of a formalised process, at all stages of the adoption of reprogramming requests or of any proposals and decisions on suspension of commitments or payments.

Or. en

Amendment 39
Eleftherios Synadinos

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

5. Asks the Commission to avoid arbitrariness in applying Article 23 of the CPR, to devise a transparent and democratic decision-making process, in line with Article 23(15) of the CPR, **and** to allow Parliament, on the basis of a formalised process, to be informed at all stages of the adoption of reprogramming requests or of any proposals and decisions on suspension of commitments or

Amendment

5. Asks the Commission to avoid arbitrariness in applying Article 23 of the CPR, to devise a transparent and democratic decision-making process, in line with Article 23(15) of the CPR; **regrets that Parliament is not involved in decisions regarding the reprogramming or suspension of disbursements; urges the Commission** to allow Parliament, on the basis of a formalised process, to be

payments.

informed at all stages of the adoption of reprogramming requests or of any proposals and decisions on suspension of commitments or payments.

Or. el

Amendment 40

Ádám Kósa

Draft opinion

Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

5. Asks the Commission to avoid arbitrariness in applying Article 23 of the CPR, to devise a transparent and democratic decision-making process, in line with Article 23(15) of the CPR, and to allow Parliament, on the basis of a formalised process, to be informed at all stages of the adoption of reprogramming requests or of any proposals and decisions on suspension of commitments or payments.

Amendment

5. Asks the Commission to avoid arbitrariness in applying Article 23 of the CPR, to devise a transparent, *effective* and democratic decision-making process, in line with Article 23(15) of the CPR, and to allow Parliament, on the basis of a formalised process, to be informed at all stages of the adoption of reprogramming requests or of any proposals and decisions on suspension of commitments or payments.

Or. hu

Amendment 41

Ádám Kósa

Draft opinion

Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

5. Asks the Commission to avoid arbitrariness in applying Article 23 of the CPR, to devise a transparent and democratic decision-making process, in line with Article 23(15) of the CPR, and to allow Parliament, on the basis of a formalised process, to be informed at all stages of the adoption of reprogramming requests or of any proposals and decisions

Amendment

5. Asks the Commission to avoid arbitrariness in applying Article 23 of the CPR, to devise a transparent and democratic decision-making process, in line with Article 23(15) of the CPR, and to allow Parliament, on the basis of a formalised process, to be informed at all stages of the adoption of reprogramming requests or of any proposals and decisions

on suspension of commitments or payments.

on suspension of commitments or payments, *at the same time providing appropriate support for them without adverse discrimination.*

Or. hu

Amendment 42

Csaba Sógor

Draft opinion

Paragraph 5 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

5a. Calls on the Commission, not to apply suspension to such programmes that should be strengthened in the course of adaptation.

Or. en

Amendment 43

Laura Agea, Tiziana Beghin, Marco Zanni, Marco Valli

Draft opinion

Paragraph 5 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

5a. Calls on the Commission to establish a timescale for the lifting of the suspension under Article 23(8) of the CPR;

Or. it