



2015/2205(DEC)

15.12.2015

AMENDMENTS

1 - 11

Draft opinion

David Casa

(PE571.662v01-00)

on discharge in respect of the implementation of the budget of the European Union agencies for the financial year 2014: performance, financial management and control
(2015/2205(DEC))

Amendment 1
Marian Harkin, Ivo Vajgl

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Reaffirms that the discussion of the draft annual work programmes and the multiannual strategies of the agencies in the committees responsible helps to ensure that the programmes and strategies reflect the actual political priorities;

Amendment

2. Reaffirms that the discussion of the draft annual work programmes and the multiannual strategies of the agencies in the committees responsible helps to ensure that the programmes and strategies reflect the actual political priorities ***but stresses the need to align the EU budgetary cycle with the EU 2020 Strategy in order to be able to fully monitor and comprehensively report on the performance of each of the agencies in the context of their contribution to achieving EU 2020 objectives;***

Or. en

Amendment 2
Georgi Pirinski

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

2 a. Acknowledges that staffing cuts have been implemented with great difficulty and opposes further cuts which would limit the agencies' ability to carry out their mandates;

Or. en

Amendment 3
Tamás Meszerics

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

3. Acknowledges the good ***practices of synergies and cooperation among the agencies on*** living and working conditions ***and*** vocational training, which maximise ***the purpose of mutual*** complementarity ***between the agencies***;

Amendment

3. Acknowledges the good ***practice of collaboration among the agencies, in the areas of*** living and working conditions, vocational training ***and occupational safety and health***, which maximise ***synergies and cooperation and enhance*** complementarity;

Or. en

Amendment 4
Amjad Bashir

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

3. Acknowledges the good practices of synergies and cooperation among the agencies on living and working conditions and vocational training, which maximise the purpose of mutual complementarity between the agencies;

Amendment

3. Acknowledges the good practices of synergies and cooperation among the agencies on living and working conditions and vocational training, which maximise the purpose of mutual complementarity between the agencies; ***stresses however that more synergies can be found with a view to ensuring cost-efficiency***;

Or. en

Amendment 5
Georgi Pirinski

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

3. Acknowledges the good practices of

Amendment

3. Acknowledges the good practices of

synergies and cooperation among the agencies on living and working conditions and vocational training, which maximise the purpose of mutual complementarity between the agencies;

synergies and cooperation among the agencies on living and working conditions and vocational training, which maximise the purpose of mutual complementarity between the agencies; *welcomes as well the exchange of good practices between the Commission and the agencies and encourages them to continue such exchanges;*

Or. en

Amendment 6
Amjad Bashir

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

3 a. Highlights that the European Centre for the Development of Vocational Training and the European Training Foundation have a combined annual budget of over €37 million; considers that separately funding two agencies with such a similar remit represents an inefficient use of public funds;

Or. en

Amendment 7
Amjad Bashir

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

3 b. Calls upon the Commission to come forth with proposals for the European Centre for Vocational Training and the European Training Foundation to be merged and located in one single location; stresses that this would

considerably increase efficiency, further enable the sharing of best practice and provide considerable cost savings;

Or. en

Amendment 8
Georgi Pirinski

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

4 a. Welcomes in this regard the guidelines issued by the Commission in consultation with the agencies on performance indicators aiming to assess the results achieved by agencies' Executive Directors, as well as the guidelines developed by the Commission for the adoption of the implementing rules of the agencies related to the employment of career staff and expects that they will provide further simplification related to saving of time and resources;

Or. en

Amendment 9
Georgi Pirinski

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

4 b. Urges the agencies which still have not adopted a framework of conflict of interest in line with the guidelines of the Commission to do so without delay;

Or. en

Amendment 10
Georgi Pirinski

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4 c (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

4 c. Insists that agencies without anti-fraud strategies adopt such strategies as soon as possible;

Or. en

Amendment 11
Georgi Pirinski

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4 d (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

4 d. Draws attention in principle to the importance of the social aspects of the Agencies' Headquarter Agreement with their hosting country such as predictability of staff's social and living conditions (schooling fees, status questions, etc.); urges, therefore, those hosting Member States which have not yet signed such an agreement to do it as soon as possible;

Or. en