



2017/2003(INI)

3.2.2017

AMENDMENTS

1 - 156

Draft opinion
Joachim Schuster
(PE592.420v01-00)

European Agenda for the collaborative economy
(2017/2003(INI))

AM_Com_NonLegOpinion

Amendment 1

Anne Sander, David Casa, Eduard Kukan, Sofia Ribeiro, Sven Schulze, Heinz K. Becker, Jérôme Lavrilleux, Dieter-Lebrecht Koch, Georges Bach, Deirdre Clune, Claude Rolin, Krzysztof Hetman, Tom Vandenkendelaere, Agnieszka Kozłowska-Rajewicz, Danuta Jazłowiecka

Draft opinion

Recital -A (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

-A. whereas the collaborative economy offers many opportunities for growth and jobs, especially for people who are distant from the labour market, such as young people, students, women and seniors, and provides innovative new services for citizens and consumers;

Or. en

Amendment 2

Joëlle Mélin, Mara Bizzotto, Dominique Martin

Draft opinion

Recital A

Draft opinion

Amendment

A. whereas the Commission communication entitled ‘A European Agenda for the collaborative economy’ stresses the increasing significance of the platform economy and its impact on economic and employment policies;

A. whereas the Commission communication entitled ‘A European Agenda for the collaborative economy’ stresses the increasing significance of the platform economy and its impact on economic and employment policies, *leading to a change which is already predictable and not under control, to the disadvantage of participants in the traditional economy;*

Or. fr

Amendment 3

Anne Sander, David Casa, Eduard Kukan, Sofia Ribeiro, Sven Schulze, Heinz K. Becker, Jérôme Lavrilleux, Dieter-Lebrecht Koch, Ádám Kósa, Georges Bach, Deirdre Clune, Claude Rolin, Krzysztof Hetman, Tom Vandenkendelaere, Agnieszka Kozłowska-Rajewicz, Danuta Jazłowiecka

Draft opinion
Recital A

Draft opinion

A. whereas the Commission communication entitled ‘A European Agenda for the collaborative economy’ stresses the increasing significance of the platform economy and its impact on economic and employment policies;

Amendment

A. whereas the Commission communication entitled ‘A European Agenda for the collaborative economy’ stresses the increasing significance of the platform economy, ***its important contribution to growth and jobs by providing new opportunities for citizens and innovative entrepreneurs***, and its impact on economic and employment policies;

Or. en

Amendment 4
Tania González Peñas, Paloma López Bermejo, Kostadinka Kuneva

Draft opinion
Recital A

Draft opinion

A. whereas the Commission communication entitled ‘A European Agenda for the collaborative economy’ stresses the increasing significance of the platform economy and its impact on economic and employment policies;

Amendment

A. whereas the Commission communication entitled ‘A European Agenda for the collaborative economy’ stresses the increasing significance of the platform economy and its impact on economic and employment policies ***and recognises the need for regulation by the Member States***;

Or. es

Amendment 5

Anne Sander, David Casa, Sofia Ribeiro, Heinz K. Becker, Sven Schulze, Jérôme Lavrilleux, Dieter-Lebrecht Koch, Georges Bach, Deirdre Clune, Krzysztof Hetman, Tom Vandenkendelaere, Agnieszka Kozłowska-Rajewicz, Danuta Jazłowiecka

Draft opinion
Recital A a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

Aa. whereas with the Communication on a European agenda for the collaborative economy, the EU provides welcomed guidelines on applicable EU rules and recommendations to help citizens, businesses and EU countries to fully benefit from these new business models and promote a balanced and fair development of the collaborative economy;

Or. en

Amendment 6
Marian Harkin

Draft opinion
Recital A a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

Aa. whereas the flexibility and ease of entry inherent in platform economy models can provide employment opportunities for groups traditionally excluded from the labour market in particular women, young people and migrants and in areas predominantly impacted by high unemployment such as disadvantaged or rural areas;

Or. en

Amendment 7
Ádám Kósa

Draft opinion
Recital A a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

Aa. whereas the collaborative economy offers many opportunities for growth and jobs, especially for people who are distant from the labour market, such as young people, students, women, seniors and persons with disabilities, and provides innovative new services for citizens and consumers;

Or. en

Amendment 8
Tania González Peñas, Paloma López Bermejo, Kostadinka Kuneva

Draft opinion
Recital A a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

Aa. whereas the term ‘collaborative economy’ covers individual or social initiatives that seek to share or collaborate on a non-profit basis, and it needs to be differentiated from the profit-making digital economy or online platforms;

Or. es

Amendment 9
Joëlle Mélin, Mara Bizzotto, Dominique Martin

Draft opinion
Recital A a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

Aa. whereas the deregulation policies pursued by the EU in the past 50 years, in conjunction with this new type of

economy, make it difficult to protect traditional economic activities, which are at the mercy of unfair competition and ultra-liberalism;

Or. fr

Amendment 10
Agnieszka Kozłowska-Rajewicz

Draft opinion
Recital A a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

Aa. whereas the collaborative economy models can help to boost participation of women in the labour market and economy, by providing opportunities of flexible forms of entrepreneurship and employment;

Or. en

Amendment 11
Heinz K. Becker

Draft opinion
Recital A a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

Aa. whereas the forms of work in the collaborative economy can be differentiated in physical services as work on demand and virtual services as crowdwork, which is only transmitted via internet

Or. en

Amendment 12

Joachim Schuster, Brando Benifei, Sergio Gutiérrez Prieto, Claudiu Ciprian Tănăsescu, Jutta Steinruck, Vilija Blinkevičiūtė, Javi López, Georgi Pirinski, Siôn Simon, Elena Gentile

Draft opinion
Recital A a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

Aa. whereas the rates of self-employment (2006: 3.7%, 2016: 5,6%) and the proportion of workers taking up second jobs (2002: 3.6%, 2016: 4,2%) are on the rise in the EU;

Or. en

Amendment 13
Laura Agea, Tiziana Beghin, Dario Tamburrano

Draft opinion
Recital A a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

Aa. whereas most global companies which are valued at more than USD 1 billion are listed platform companies;

Or. it

Amendment 14
Tania González Peñas, Paloma López Bermejo, Kostadinka Kuneva

Draft opinion
Recital A b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

Ab. whereas the collaborative economy covers such diverse models as crowdfunding, the exchange of goods, timebanking, self-consumption groups and the shared use of goods, among other things, and consequently it does not

always operate in a digital environment but also operates in community-based contexts, frequently on a non-profit basis, it is not only practised on a global scale but also on a local one, and it is not exclusively restricted to the economic area but places the emphasis on social, environmental and accessibility aspects;

Or. es

Amendment 15

Joachim Schuster, Sergio Gutiérrez Prieto, Brando Benifei, Claudiu Ciprian Tănăsescu, Jutta Steinruck, Vilija Blinkevičiūtė, Javi López, Georgi Pirinski, Siôn Simon, Elena Gentile

Draft opinion

Recital A b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

Ab. whereas European labour markets are rapidly evolving towards 'atypical' or 'non-standard' forms of employment, such as temporary work, part-time work, casual work, seasonal work, on-demand work or work on online platforms, which show many features of employment but do not bring the benefits normally associated with employment;

Or. en

Amendment 16

Anne Sander, David Casa, Sofia Ribeiro, Sven Schulze, Heinz K. Becker, Jérôme Lavrilleux, Dieter-Lebrecht Koch, Georges Bach, Deirdre Clune, Claude Rolin, Krzysztof Hetman, Tom Vandenkendelaere, Agnieszka Kozłowska-Rajewicz, Danuta Jazłowiecka

Draft opinion

Recital A b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

Ab. whereas the Commission communication on collaborative economy is a starting point of the EU contribution to a balanced and fair development of the collaborative economy, given the rapid evolution of this economy and its related regulatory loopholes and uncertainties that still must be clarified;

Or. en

Amendment 17
Marian Harkin

Draft opinion
Recital A b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

Ab. whereas access to high speed broadband is essential to participate in the platform economy and a lack of broadband provision potentially excludes groups such as older persons or those living in rural areas and outermost regions from benefiting from new opportunities;

Or. en

Amendment 18
Laura Agea, Tiziana Beghin, Dario Tamburrano

Draft opinion
Recital A b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

Ab. whereas all collaborative economies are identified by resource sharing, the active empowerment of citizens, innovation and the intensive use of information and communication technologies (ICTs);

Amendment 19
Agnieszka Kozłowska-Rajewicz

Draft opinion
Recital A b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

Ab. Points out that collaborative economy offers many opportunities in the labour market, especially for those who are looking for flexible work schedules, cheaper and convenient choices as a result of more competition;

Or. en

Amendment 20
Anne Sander, David Casa, Sofia Ribeiro, Sven Schulze, Heinz K. Becker, Jérôme Lavrilleux, Dieter-Lebrecht Koch, Ádám Kósa, Georges Bach, Deirdre Clune, Claude Rolin, Krzysztof Hetman, Tom Vandenkendelaere, Agnieszka Kozłowska-Rajewicz, Danuta Jazłowiecka

Draft opinion
Recital A c (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

Ac. whereas the European Commission and the Member States need to address more deeply the social dimension of the collaborative economy by providing in depth analysis and data on new forms of employment, by monitoring the evolving regulatory environment and by encouraging the exchange of good practices among EU countries, in order to overcome the social challenges related to this new economy;

Or. en

Amendment 21

Joachim Schuster, Brando Benifei, Sergio Gutiérrez Prieto, Claudiu Ciprian Tănăsescu, Jutta Steinruck, Vilija Blinkevičiūtė, Javi López, Georgi Pirinski, Siôn Simon, Elena Gentile

Draft opinion

Recital A c (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

Ac. whereas non-standard forms of employment often involve economic insecurity and bad working conditions, notably in terms of lower and less certain incomes, lack of possibilities to defend one's rights, lack of social and health insurance, lack of career prospects, and difficulties in reconciling on-demand work with private and family life;

Or. en

Amendment 22

Tania González Peñas, Paloma López Bermejo, Kostadinka Kuneva

Draft opinion

Recital A c (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

Ac. whereas the platform economy is based on new technologies and is developing at a faster pace than tax and employment regulation; whereas there is an urgent need for regulation governing these platforms;

Or. es

Amendment 23

Tania González Peñas, Paloma López Bermejo, Kostadinka Kuneva

Draft opinion
Recital A d (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

Ad. whereas the importance of ensuring sufficient capacities to provide adequate social protection at Member State level for people in all forms of employment, standard and non-standard employment relationships as well as self-employment was highlighted in the report on the European Pillar of Social Rights;

Or. es

Amendment 24

Joachim Schuster, Brando Benifei, Sergio Gutiérrez Prieto, Claudiu Ciprian Tănăsescu, Jutta Steinruck, Vilija Blinkevičiūtė, Javi López, Georgi Pirinski, Siôn Simon, Marju Lauristin, Elena Gentile

Draft opinion
Recital A d (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

Ad. whereas promoting social justice and protection, as defined in Article 3 TEU and Article 9 TFEU, are also objectives of the EU internal market;

Or. en

Amendment 25

Tania González Peñas, Paloma López Bermejo, Kostadinka Kuneva

Draft opinion
Recital A e (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

Ae. whereas many of the new forms of employment that digitalisation and in particular digital platforms are creating are operating outside the contractual

context (in employment and administrative terms), i.e. in the context of undeclared work;

Or. es

Amendment 26

Tania González Peñas, Paloma López Bermejo, Kostadinka Kuneva

Draft opinion

Recital A f (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

Af. whereas there are no official statistics on the volume of employment in the platform economy, but it is estimated that it comprises 100 000 workers, which represents 0.05% of all EU employees at the end of 2015;

Or. es

Amendment 27

Joachim Schuster, Brando Benifei, Sergio Gutiérrez Prieto, Claudiu Ciprian Tănăsescu, Jutta Steinruck, Vilija Blinkevičiūtė, Javi López, Georgi Pirinski, Siôn Simon, Elena Gentile

Draft opinion

Paragraph -1 (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

-1. Introduction

Or. en

Amendment 28

Joachim Schuster, Brando Benifei, Claudiu Ciprian Tănăsescu, Jutta Steinruck, Vilija Blinkevičiūtė, Javi López, Marju Lauristin, Emilian Pavel, Elena Gentile

Draft opinion

Paragraph -1 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

-1a. *Notes that there is no common definition of the digital economy based on online platforms ('platform economy', 'collaborative economy', 'sharing economy' etc.); points out that the use of the terminology 'platform economy' seems to be the most objective description and calls on the Commission to ensure the usage of coherent terminology;*

Or. en

Amendment 29

Anne Sander, David Casa, Sofia Ribeiro, Sven Schulze, Heinz K. Becker, Jérôme Lavrilleux, Georges Bach, Deirdre Clune, Claude Rolin, Krzysztof Hetman, Danuta Jazłowiecka

Draft opinion

Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. Stresses the need for a clear distinction between **'professional' and 'non-professional' platforms and to recognise activities in the platform economy that are properly categorised as 'work'**;

Amendment

1. Stresses the need for a clear distinction between **platforms with a lucrative business model and those based on the sharing of costs, resources or skills, in order to ensure a level playing field for EU businesses and citizens; in parallel, calls for a clear distinction between non-professional peer-to-peer activity and service providers acting in their professional capacity by further developing sector specific criteria and thresholds, in collaboration with relevant stakeholders; amongst service providers, underlines the need to distinguish employees and self-employed, in order to apply them rights and duties accordingly;**

Or. en

Amendment 30

Joachim Schuster, Brando Benifei, Sergio Gutiérrez Prieto, Claudiu Ciprian Tănăsescu, Jutta Steinruck, Vilija Blinkevičiūtė, Javi López, Marju Lauristin, Siôn Simon, Emilian Pavel, Elena Gentile

Draft opinion

Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. Stresses the need for a clear distinction between **‘professional’ and ‘non-professional’** platforms **and** to recognise activities in the platform economy that are properly categorised as ‘work’;

Amendment

1. Stresses the need for a clear distinction between **‘commercial’ and ‘non-commercial’** platforms **as well as between professional and occasional users/workers; underlines the importance** to recognise **remunerated** activities in the platform economy that are properly categorised as ‘work’ **regardless of the terminology (‘gigs’, ‘tasks’, ‘giving rides’ etc.)**;

Or. en

Amendment 31

Tania González Peñas, Paloma López Bermejo, Kostadinka Kuneva

Draft opinion

Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. Stresses the need for a clear distinction between **‘professional’ and ‘non-professional’** platforms and to recognise activities in the platform economy that are properly categorised as ‘work’;

Amendment

1. Stresses the need for a clear distinction between **the collaborative economy in the stricter sense and the market economy conducted through online** platforms and to recognise activities in the platform economy that are properly categorised as ‘work’;

Or. es

Amendment 32

Marian Harkin

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. Stresses the need for a clear distinction between ‘professional’ and ‘non-professional’ platforms and to recognise activities in the platform economy that are properly categorised as ‘work’;

Amendment

1. Stresses the need for a clear distinction between 'professional' and 'non-professional' platforms and to recognise activities in the platform economy that are properly categorised as 'work'; ***In this regard encourages Member States to establish minimum thresholds to differentiate between occasional peer-to-peer activity and professional service provision;***

Or. en

Amendment 33
Karima Delli
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. Stresses the need for a clear distinction between ‘professional’ and ‘non-professional’ platforms and to recognise activities in the platform economy that are properly categorised as ‘work’;

Amendment

1. Stresses the need for a clear distinction between 'professional' and 'non-professional' platforms and to recognise activities in the platform economy that are properly categorised as 'work'; ***stresses that an important criteria to distinguish a peer from a professional is whether the user is making a profit or only sharing costs;***

Or. en

Amendment 34
Karima Delli
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

1a. Points out that new forms of work based on digitalisation and on the principles of the collaborative economy often uncouple the place of work from the enterprise; stresses that in such cases the principle of equal pay for equal work at the same workplace is being challenged while it is of utmost importance to ensure just and fair wages; stresses that digitalisation and the collaborative economy have the potential to challenge the principle of lex loci laboris - which determines the law applicable to the worker's social protection according to connecting criteria related to the workplace - as regards wages and working conditions; considers that the protection of workers should not be reduced when applying the relevant rules of choice of law to new forms of work;

Or. en

Amendment 35

Tania González Peñas, Paloma López Bermejo, Kostadinka Kuneva

Draft opinion

Paragraph 1 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

1a. Recognises that the collaborative economy can be used for social purposes and stresses the need for a clear distinction between the various types of platform; calls on the Commission and Member States to take action to combat the digital divide, guarantee access for everyone without discrimination and protect non-profit platforms;

Or. es

Amendment 36
Sven Schulze, David Casa

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

1a. Whereas the servers and business headquarters of many platforms are located outside the EU and thus fall outside the scope of European law;

Or. de

Amendment 37
Joachim Schuster, Brando Benifei, Sergio Gutiérrez Prieto, Claudiu Ciprian Tănăsescu, Jutta Steinruck, Vilija Blinkevičiūtė, Javi López, Siôn Simon, Elena Gentile

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

1a. Employment relationships

Or. en

Amendment 38
Karima Delli
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

1b. Calls for specific and tailor-made approaches to new forms of work, based on a common understanding of what the different emerging forms of employment are and how to apply the relevant labour and social legislation; calls on the

Commission to promote a national and cross-national exchange of information and experience, including between governments and social partners, of these to support an EU-wide understanding of and provide guidance on different new and atypical forms of employment and self-employment;

Or. en

Amendment 39

Tania González Peñas, Paloma López Bermejo, Kostadinka Kuneva

Draft opinion

Paragraph 1 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

***1b.** Stresses that the lack of clarity surrounding the existence of an employment relationship in profit-making platforms leaves workers without protection; deplores the fact that low-cost services are being offered to consumers at the expense of precarious conditions and unfair competition in certain sectors, often in sectors that are of strategic importance for the economy of some Member States;*

Or. es

Amendment 40

Tania González Peñas, Paloma López Bermejo, Kostadinka Kuneva

Draft opinion

Paragraph 1 c (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

***1c.** Calls on the Commission and Member States to guarantee that the developing online platform economy in*

the Union is socially and environmentally sustainable, respects labour rights and complies with minimum guarantees regarding platform quality;

Or. es

Amendment 41

Tania González Peñas, Paloma López Bermejo, Kostadinka Kuneva

Draft opinion

Paragraph 1 d (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

1d. Stresses that the existence of legal loopholes and grey areas may open the way to breaches of the social and labour rights of workers carrying out activities for these platforms; calls on the Commission to urge Member States to regulate aspects such as the minimum wage, working time, collective agreement cover, the right to social security, health and safety at work and maternity and paternity leave;

Or. es

Amendment 42

Joachim Schuster, Brando Benifei, Sergio Gutiérrez Prieto, Claudiu Ciprian Tănăsescu, Jutta Steinruck, Vilija Blinkevičiūtė, Javi López, Marju Lauristin, Elena Gentile

Draft opinion

Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

Amendment

2. States that all workers in the platform economy are either employed or self-employed based on the primacy of facts; *recalls in this context that the Court of Justice has defined the concept of ‘worker’ on the basis of an employment*

2. States that all workers in the platform economy are either employed or self-employed based on the primacy of facts *regardless of how the contractual relationship is defined; highlights that all work in the platform economy should be*

relationship characterised by certain criteria such as subordination, remuneration and the nature of work;

classified accordingly;

Or. en

Amendment 43
Dieter-Lebrecht Koch

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. States that all workers in the platform economy are either employed or self-employed based on the primacy of facts; *recalls* in this context that the Court of Justice has defined the concept of ‘worker’ *on the basis of an employment relationship characterised by certain criteria such as subordination, remuneration and the nature of work;*

Amendment

2. States that all workers in the platform economy are either employed or self-employed based on the primacy of facts; *notes* in this context that the *European* Court of Justice has defined the concept of ‘worker’ *for the purposes of the application of EU law; notes that the power to decide who counts as a worker lies exclusively with the Member States;*

Or. de

Amendment 44
Sven Schulze, David Casa

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. States that all workers in the platform economy are either employed or self-employed based on the primacy of facts; recalls in this context that *the Court of Justice has defined* the concept of ‘worker’ *on the basis of an employment relationship characterised by certain criteria such as subordination, remuneration and the nature of work;*

Amendment

2. States that all workers in the platform economy are either employed or self-employed based on the primacy of facts; recalls in this context that *an EU-level definition of* the concept of ‘worker’ *would lead to disruption in the Member States’ national legislation and is in conflict with the principle of subsidiarity; notes that all that exists in this area is a case-law approach to the status of*

‘worker’;

Or. de

Amendment 45
Alessandra Mussolini

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. States that all workers in the platform economy are either *employed* or *self-employed based on the primacy of facts*; recalls in this context that the Court of Justice has defined the concept of ‘worker’ on the basis of an employment relationship characterised by certain criteria such as subordination, remuneration and the nature of work;

Amendment

2. States that all workers in the platform economy are either *self-employed* or *subordinate workers in accordance with different types of work contracts that are determined by each Member State by virtue of their sovereignty over labour law*; recalls in this context that the Court of Justice *of the European Union* has defined the concept of ‘worker’ on the basis of an employment relationship characterised by certain criteria such as subordination, remuneration and the nature of work;

Or. it

Amendment 46
Marita Ulvskog

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. States that all *workers* in the platform economy are either employed or self-employed based on the primacy of facts; recalls in this context that the Court of Justice has defined the concept of ‘worker’ on the basis of an employment relationship characterised by certain criteria such as subordination, remuneration and the nature of work;

Amendment

2. States that all *who perform work* in the platform economy are either employed or self-employed based on the primacy of facts; recalls in this context that the Court of Justice has defined the concept of ‘worker’ on the basis of an employment relationship characterised by certain criteria such as subordination, remuneration and the nature of work;

Amendment 47

Tania González Peñas, Paloma López Bermejo, Kostadinka Kuneva

Draft opinion

Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. States that all workers in the platform economy are either employed or self-employed based on the primacy of facts; recalls in this context that the Court of Justice has defined the concept of ‘worker’ on the basis of an employment relationship characterised by certain criteria such as subordination, remuneration and the nature of work;

Amendment

2. States that all workers in the platform economy are either employed or self-employed based on the primacy of facts; ***regrets that the profit-making platform economy is contributing to the spread of social dumping and precarious employment with various forms of atypical work, the proliferation of bogus self-employment and crowdworking;*** recalls in this context that the Court of Justice has defined the concept of ‘worker’ on the basis of an employment relationship characterised by certain criteria such as subordination, remuneration and the nature of work, ***and ILO Recommendation No 198 concerning the employment relationship establishes specific indicators recognising that the conditions for determining the existence of an employment relationship include a situation of subordination or dependence;***

Or. es

Amendment 48

Anne Sander, Sofia Ribeiro, Jérôme Lavrilleux, Ádám Kósa, Georges Bach, Deirdre Clune, Claude Rolin, Krzysztof Hetman, Tom Vandenkendelaere, Danuta Jazłowiecka

Draft opinion

Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. States that all workers in the platform economy are either employed or self-employed based on the primacy of

Amendment

2. States that all workers in the platform economy are either employed or self-employed based on the primacy of

facts; recalls in this context that the Court of Justice has defined the concept of ‘worker’ on the basis of an employment relationship characterised by certain criteria such as subordination, remuneration and the nature of work;

facts; recalls in this context that, *for the purpose of applying EU law*, the Court of Justice has defined the concept of ‘worker’ on the basis of an employment relationship characterised by certain criteria such as *the existence of a subordination link, the presence of a remuneration and the nature of work, even so EU Member States are responsible for deciding who is to be considered a worker in their national legal order; calls also to clarify self-employment in order to prevent bogus self-employment and to ensure the rights of self-employed workers*;

Or. en

Amendment 49

Joëlle Mélin, Mara Bizzotto, Dominique Martin

Draft opinion

Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. States that all workers in the platform economy are either employed or self-employed based on the primacy of facts; recalls in this context that the Court of Justice has defined the concept of ‘worker’ on the basis of an employment relationship characterised by certain criteria such as subordination, remuneration and the nature of work;

Amendment

2. States that all workers in the platform economy are either employed or self-employed based on the primacy of facts; recalls in this context that the Court of Justice has defined the concept of ‘worker’ on the basis of an employment relationship characterised by certain criteria such as subordination, remuneration and the nature of work *and a proper employment contract or cooperation agreement*;

Or. fr

Amendment 50

Karima Delli

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion

Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. States that all workers in the platform economy are either employed or self-employed based on the primacy of facts; recalls in this context that the Court of Justice has defined the concept of 'worker' on the basis of an employment relationship characterised by certain criteria such as subordination, remuneration and the nature of work;

Amendment

2. States that all workers in the platform economy are either employed or self-employed based on the primacy of facts; recalls in this context that the Court of Justice has defined the concept of 'worker' on the basis of an employment Relationship characterised by certain criteria such as subordination, remuneration and the nature of work^{1a};

^{1a} see ECJ C 596/12, Paragraph 17 and ECJ C 232/09, Paragraph 39

Or. en

Amendment 51

Joachim Schuster, Brando Benifei, Sergio Gutiérrez Prieto, Claudiu Ciprian Tănăsescu, Jutta Steinruck, Vilija Blinkevičiūtė, Javi López, Marju Lauristin, Siôn Simon, Emilian Pavel, Elena Gentile

Draft opinion

Paragraph 2 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

2a. Takes note of the Commission's communication on 'A European Agenda for the collaborative economy' which provides amongst others indicators for employment relationships in the digital labour market; recalls in this context that the European Court of Justice has defined the concept of 'worker' on the basis of an employment relationship characterised by certain criteria such as subordination, remuneration and the nature of work; considers the approach by the Commission insufficient to provide adequate protection to workers in the platform economy and calls for decisive steps to ensure legal certainty on what

*constitutes 'employment' for work
intermediated by online platforms, taking
into account the ILO Recommendation
No 198 regarding the determination of an
employment relationship;*

Or. en

Amendment 52

Karima Delli

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion

Paragraph 2 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

*2a. Stresses that all workers need to
enjoy adequate protection and security in
terms of working conditions, wages, social
protection and health and safety and that
the quality of their employment has to be
guaranteed at all times, irrespective of
whether they perform their work through
a platform or not;*

Or. en

Amendment 53

Joëlle Mélin, Mara Bizzotto, Dominique Martin

Draft opinion

Paragraph 2 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

*2a. Calls on Member States to combat
the growing job insecurity arising from
the collaborative economy, in order to
give workers secure employment;*

Or. fr

Amendment 54

Joachim Schuster, Brando Benifei, Sergio Gutiérrez Prieto, Claudiu Ciprian Tănăsescu, Jutta Steinruck, Vilija Blinkevičiūtė, Javi López, Marju Lauristin, Georgi Pirinski, Siôn Simon, Emilian Pavel, Elena Gentile

Draft opinion

Paragraph 2 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

2b. Calls on the Commission and the Member States to increase its efforts to tackle bogus self-employment; notes that there are cases where self-employed platform workers meet the ECJ criteria defining an employment relationship, and which should therefore be classified as employees; calls on the Commission and the Member States to work towards a common definition of self-employed which also applies to the platform economy;

Or. en

Amendment 55

Karima Delli

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion

Paragraph 2 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

2b. Stresses that working in the collaborative economy and organising work through a platform may not lead to avoidance of taxes and social contributions nor to non-compliance with employment and social regulations; calls on the Commission and Member States to closely monitor the application of the legislation concerned and to take firm actions in case of their violation;

Or. en

Amendment 56
Dieter-Lebrecht Koch

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

3. Calls *for a framework directive on working conditions in the platform economy in order to guarantee the legal situation of platform workers and to ensure that all platform workers have the same social and employment rights and health and safety protection as workers in the traditional economy;*

Amendment

3. Calls *on the Member States to examine their national labour law provisions taking account of the specific needs of the platform economy, in order to promote competitiveness and at the same time guarantee fair working conditions and appropriate social and labour protection;*

Or. de

Amendment 57
Sven Schulze, David Casa

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

3. Calls for *a framework directive on working conditions in the platform economy in order to guarantee the legal situation of platform workers and to ensure that all platform workers have the same social and employment rights and health and safety protection as workers in the traditional economy;*

Amendment

3. Calls for working conditions in the platform economy to *be observed*, and *experience with this new type of activity collected, with a view to adjusting existing labour law at a later date where necessary;*

Or. de

Amendment 58
Marita Ulvskog

Draft opinion

Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

3. ***Calls for a framework directive on working conditions in the platform economy in order to guarantee the legal situation of platform workers and to ensure that all platform workers have the same social and employment rights and health and safety protection as workers in the traditional economy;***

Amendment

3. ***Underlines that the Member States are responsible for ensuring that those who perform work in the platform economy have corresponding social and employment rights and health and safety protection to workers in the traditional economy;***

Or. en

Amendment 59

Anne Sander, David Casa, Sofia Ribeiro, Heinz K. Becker, Jérôme Lavrilleux, Georges Bach, Deirdre Clune, Krzysztof Hetman, Tom Vandenkendelaere

Draft opinion Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

3. ***Calls for a framework directive on working conditions in the platform economy in order to guarantee the legal situation of platform workers and to ensure that all platform workers have the same social and employment rights and health and safety protection as workers in the traditional economy;***

Amendment

3. ***Calls on the Commission and the Member States, in cooperation with social partners, to assess the impact of the collaborative economy on forms of employment and working conditions, to check if the current legislations are able to cover platform workers and, if necessary, to consider accordingly the need to update the current social acquis, to better enforce legal frameworks on working conditions to the platform economy, in order to guarantee the legal situation of platform workers, to ensure that all platform workers have the same social and employment rights and health and safety protection as workers in the traditional economy and to ensure a level playing field for businesses; Underlines that digital technologies are evolving so fast that policy making should not be based on a catch-up approach but rather on a logic of anticipation;***

Amendment 60
Danuta Jazłowiecka

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

3. Calls *for* a framework directive on working conditions in the platform economy in order to guarantee the legal situation of platform workers and to ensure that all platform workers have *the same* social and employment rights *and* health and safety protection *as workers in the traditional* economy;

Amendment

3. Calls *on the European Commission, in cooperation with Member States and social partners, to carefully evaluate the influence of the collaborative economy on the forms of employment and working conditions and consider taking necessary actions such as* a framework directive on working conditions in the platform economy in order to guarantee the legal situation of platform workers and to ensure that all platform workers have *adequate* social and employment rights *as well as* health and safety protection *comparable to other forms of employment taking into account specificities of the collaborative* economy;

Amendment 61
Joachim Schuster, Brando Benifei, Sergio Gutiérrez Prieto, Claudiu Ciprian Tănăsescu, Jutta Steinruck, Vilija Blinkevičiūtė, Javi López, Marju Lauristin, Georgi Pirinski, Siôn Simon, Elena Gentile

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

3. *Calls* for a framework directive on working conditions in the platform economy in order to *guarantee* the legal situation of platform workers and to ensure that all platform workers have the same social and employment rights *and* health

Amendment

3. *Reiterates its call in the framework of the European Pillar of Social Rights* for a framework directive on *decent* working conditions *including* in the platform economy, in order to *define* the legal situation of platform workers and to

and safety protection as workers in the traditional economy;

ensure, *in line with national law and practice*, that all platform workers have the same social and employment rights *as well as* health and safety protection as workers in the traditional economy; *underlines the importance of such a directive, taking into account the mobility and delocalisation of digital work, with regard to the creation of a level playing field*;

Or. en

Amendment 62

Joëlle Mélin, Mara Bizzotto, Dominique Martin

Draft opinion

Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

3. *Calls for a framework directive* on working conditions in the platform economy in order to guarantee the legal situation of platform workers and to ensure that all platform workers have the same social and employment rights and health and safety protection as workers in the traditional economy;

Amendment

3. *Suggests that Member States legislate* on working conditions in the platform economy in order to guarantee the legal situation of platform workers, *who are often managed by multinational undertakings and by businesses based outside Europe*, and to ensure that all platform workers have the same social and employment rights and health and safety protection as workers in the traditional economy, *and recalls that labour law remains a prerogative of the Member States*;

Or. fr

Amendment 63

Tania González Peñas, Paloma López Bermejo, Kostadinka Kuneva

Draft opinion

Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

Amendment

3. Calls for a framework directive on working conditions in the platform economy in order to guarantee the legal situation of platform workers and to ensure that all platform workers have the same social and employment rights and health and safety protection as workers in the traditional economy;

3. Calls for a framework directive on ***decent*** working conditions in the economy ***of platforms based on transparency and access to information*** in order to guarantee the legal situation of platform workers and to ensure that all platform workers have the same social and employment rights and ***the same social***, health and safety protection ***and access to lifelong learning*** as workers in the traditional economy ***and to ensure non-discrimination and gender equality; stresses that workers on these platforms should be covered by collective bargaining agreements; stresses the need to involve trade unions and other social stakeholders in drawing up this directive;***

Or. es

Amendment 64

Karima Delli

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion

Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

3. Calls for a framework directive on working conditions ***in*** the platform economy in order to guarantee the legal situation of platform workers and to ensure that all platform workers have the same social and employment rights and health and safety protection as workers in the traditional economy;

Amendment

3. Calls for a framework directive on ***decent*** working conditions ***for all forms of employment, in the context of the European pillar of Social Rights, extending existing minimum standards to new kinds of employment relationships, including for*** the platform economy in order to guarantee the legal situation of platform workers and to ensure that all platform workers have the same social and employment rights and health and safety protection as workers in the traditional economy;

Or. en

Amendment 65
Marian Harkin

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

3. Calls for a framework directive on working conditions in the platform economy in order to **guarantee** the legal situation of platform workers and to ensure that all platform workers have the same social and employment rights and health and safety protection as workers in the traditional economy;

Amendment

3. Calls for a framework directive on working conditions in the platform economy in order to **encourage innovation and sustainable growth while guaranteeing** the legal situation of platform workers and to ensure that all platform workers have the same social and employment rights and health and safety protection as workers in the traditional economy;

Or. en

Amendment 66
Alessandra Mussolini

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

3. Calls for a framework directive on working conditions in the platform economy in order to guarantee the legal situation of platform workers and to ensure that all platform workers have the same social and employment rights and health and safety protection as workers in the traditional economy;

Amendment

3. Calls for a framework directive on working conditions in the platform economy in order to guarantee the legal situation of platform workers and to ensure that all platform workers have the same social and employment rights and health and safety protection as workers in the traditional economy, ***within the limits of, and in compliance with, the principle of subsidiarity, without prejudice to the fact that each Member State remains responsible for the regulation of its own work relationships;***

Or. it

Amendment 67
Jérôme Lavrilleux

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

3. Calls for a framework directive on working conditions in the platform economy in order to guarantee the legal situation of platform workers and to ensure that all platform workers have the same social and employment rights and health and safety protection as workers in the traditional economy;

Amendment

3. Calls for a framework directive on working conditions in the platform economy in order to guarantee the legal situation of platform workers and to ensure that all platform workers have the same social and employment rights and health and safety protection as workers in the traditional economy, ***with the aim of preventing the development of jobs in the shadow economy to which labour law would not in practice apply;***

Or. fr

Amendment 68
Georgi Pirinski

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

3. Calls for a framework directive on working conditions in the platform economy in order to guarantee the legal situation of platform workers and to ensure that all platform workers have the same social and employment rights and health and safety protection as workers in the traditional economy;

Amendment

3. Calls for a framework directive on working conditions in the platform economy in order to guarantee the legal situation of platform workers and to ensure that all platform workers have the same social and employment rights, ***including the right for unionization and collective bargaining,*** and health and safety protection as workers in the traditional economy;

Or. en

Amendment 69

Tania González Peñas, Paloma López Bermejo, Kostadinka Kuneva

Draft opinion

Paragraph 3 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

3a. Urges the Member States to take the necessary steps to punish, by means of labour inspections tailored to suit these new forms of work, the use of undeclared recruitment practices and breaches of workplace health and safety standards;

Or. es

Amendment 70

Anne Sander, David Casa, Sofia Ribeiro, Sven Schulze, Heinz K. Becker, Jérôme Lavrilleux, Dieter-Lebrecht Koch, Georges Bach, Deirdre Clune, Claude Rolin, Krzysztof Hetman, Tom Vandenkendelaere, Agnieszka Kozłowska-Rajewicz, Danuta Jazłowiecka

Draft opinion

Paragraph 3 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

3a. Takes note of the multiplication of national and local regulatory initiatives affecting the collaborative economy; Stresses the importance of rapid clarification at European level of the applicable rules in order to limit the fragmentation of the internal market;

Or. en

Amendment 71

Laura Agea, Tiziana Beghin, Dario Tamburrano

Draft opinion

Paragraph 3 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

3a. *Notes the need to ensure that self-employed workers and professionals who work for platform companies receive professional-level pay and certain time frames for payment;*

Or. it

Amendment 72

Karima Delli

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion

Paragraph 3 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

3a. *Highlights the need to adapt existing social protection schemes to the needs of the workers in the collaborative economy to ensure the adequate coverage of the workers concerned;*

Or. en

Amendment 73

Tania González Peñas, Paloma López Bermejo, Kostadinka Kuneva

Draft opinion

Paragraph 3 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

3b. *Calls on the Commission to undertake to develop and enshrine in EU law appropriate definitions of the terms ‘worker’, ‘service provider’, ‘intermediary’ and ‘user’ so as to combat loopholes which allow for abuse; calls on the Commission and the Member States to open a broad social dialogue on new forms of employment and to set up a forum for negotiation in which to agree on amendments to EU legislation to*

redefine the status of worker and entrepreneur in the various branches of the new digital economy; takes the view that this forum should also establish models for labour relations, including administrative aspects thereof, determine the role that should be played by platforms, by ascertaining, for instance, who should apply for and pay social security contributions and future pensions, as well as working time arrangements, rest periods, occupational risk prevention guarantees, gender equality and curtail the rise of undeclared work;

Or. es

Amendment 74

Laura Agea, Tiziana Beghin, Dario Tamburrano

Draft opinion

Paragraph 3 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

3b. *Calls on the Commission and on Member States to open up non-exclusive, experimentation-oriented spaces for collaborative economies while fostering digital connectivity and literacy;*

Or. it

Amendment 75

Joachim Schuster, Brando Benifei, Sergio Gutiérrez Prieto, Claudiu Ciprian Tănăsescu, Jutta Steinruck, Vilija Blinkevičiūtė, Javi López, Marju Lauristin, Siôn Simon, Elena Gentile

Draft opinion

Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

Amendment

4. ***Underlines the need*** to ensure adequate social security for self-employed workers, who are key players in the digital labour market; ***stresses that freedom of association and collective action are fundamental rights which must apply to all workers;***

4. ***Calls on the Member States*** to ensure adequate social security for self-employed workers, who are key players in the digital labour market;

Or. en

Amendment 76

Tania González Peñas, Paloma López Bermejo, Kostadinka Kuneva

Draft opinion

Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Underlines the need to ensure adequate social security for self-employed workers, ***who are key players in the digital labour market***; stresses that freedom of association and collective action are fundamental rights which must apply to all workers;

Amendment

4. Underlines the need to ensure ***an*** adequate social security ***and tax regime*** for self-employed workers; ***the platforms shall be responsible for compliance, and not only the workers, who often operate without any formal labour relationship with or administrative links to the platforms***; ***calls on the Member States to provide sufficient resources to enable the labour inspections required to monitor this new type of activity***; stresses that freedom of association and collective action, ***including collective bargaining***, are fundamental rights which must apply to all workers; ***calls on the Commission to devise, with the involvement of the social partners, an appropriate framework for monitoring and follow-up of the new parameters of the collaborative economy***;

Or. es

Amendment 77

Karima Delli

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Underlines the need to ensure adequate social security for self-employed workers, who are key players in the digital labour market; stresses that freedom of association and collective action are fundamental rights which must apply to all workers;

Amendment

4. Underlines the need to ensure adequate social security for self-employed workers, who are key players in the digital labour market; ***calls on Member States and the EU to urgently develop new mechanisms of protection which are adequate to the working and career patterns shaped by digitalisation***; stresses that freedom of association and collective action are fundamental rights which must apply to all workers;

Or. en

Amendment 78
Laura Agea, Tiziana Beghin, Dario Tamburrano

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Underlines the need to ensure adequate social security for self-employed workers, who are key players in the digital labour market; stresses that freedom of association and collective action are fundamental rights which must apply to all workers;

Amendment

4. Underlines the need to ensure adequate social security for self-employed workers, who are key players in the digital labour market, ***safety guarantees for the customers of collaborative economies and cohabitation synergies with traditional business models***; stresses, ***in addition***, that freedom of association and collective action are fundamental rights which must apply to all workers;

Or. it

Amendment 79
Joëlle Mélin, Mara Bizzotto, Dominique Martin

Draft opinion

Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Underlines the need to ensure adequate social security for self-employed workers, who are key players in the digital labour market; stresses that freedom of association and collective action are fundamental rights which must apply to all workers;

Amendment

4. Underlines the ***urgent*** need to ensure adequate social security for self-employed workers, who are key players in the digital labour market, ***and stresses that only Member States can meet that need rapidly***; stresses that freedom of association and collective action are fundamental rights which must apply to all workers;

Or. fr

Amendment 80 Jérôme Lavrilleux

Draft opinion Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Underlines the need to ensure adequate social security for self-employed workers, who are key players in the digital labour market; stresses that freedom of association and collective action are fundamental rights which must apply to all workers;

Amendment

4. Underlines the need to ensure adequate social security for self-employed workers, who are key players in the digital labour market; stresses that freedom of association and collective action are fundamental rights which must apply to all workers, ***whether they are employees or self-employed, on the most egalitarian basis possible***;

Or. fr

Amendment 81 Heinz K. Becker

Draft opinion Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Underlines the need to ensure

Amendment

4. Underlines the need to ensure

adequate social security for self-employed workers, who are key players in the digital labour market; stresses that freedom of association and collective action are fundamental rights which must apply to all workers;

adequate social security for self-employed **and "quasi self-employed"** workers, who are key players in the digital labour market; stresses that freedom of association and collective action are fundamental rights which must apply to all workers;

Or. en

Amendment 82

Elena Gentile, Flavio Zanonato, Silvia Costa, Brando Benifei

Draft opinion

Paragraph 4 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

4a. Notes that the platform economy, offers new opportunities for people to earn an additional income, for the employment of young people (in particular those seeking casual work and flexible forms of employment allowing them to combine work with study), for the better reconciliation of work and private life, and for the reduction of underemployment and unemployment; points out, however, that, in some circumstances, this development can also lead to precarious situations; stresses that flexible employment must be covered by existing health and safety provisions, as well as by social protection measures, in order to avoid long-term social and financial implications, and must exclude potential risks such as worker overload and pay levels that are not commensurate with performance; highlights, therefore, the need for labour market flexibility, on the one hand, and for economic and social security for workers on the other; stresses that reducing costs should not undermine working conditions or employment standards;

Or. en

Amendment 83

Karima Delli

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion

Paragraph 4 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

4a. *Is concerned that the absence of clear regulation of the status of people working in the collaborative economy increases the risk of false self-employment and evasion of social contributions and wage levels defined by legislation and/or collective agreements; considers it necessary also for Member States to clearly identify false self-employment and sanction employers if such cases are identified and proven^{1a}; recalls that bogus self-employment should be turned into wage earning when criteria of remuneration and subordination are fulfilled;*

^{1a} *Eurofound EurWork Digitalisation and working life: lessons from the Uber cases around Europe, 2016*

Or. en

Amendment 84

Tania González Peñas, Paloma López Bermejo, Kostadinka Kuneva

Draft opinion

Paragraph 4 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

4a. *Deplores the worsening economic situation and the erosion of labour rights which have forced many jobseekers to work inadvertently for platforms in conditions of precariousness and a lack of*

protection and job security, factors which affect women, migrants and people with disabilities disproportionately;

Or. es

Amendment 85

Joachim Schuster, Brando Benifei, Sergio Gutiérrez Prieto, Claudiu Ciprian Tănăsescu, Jutta Steinruck, Vilija Blinkevičiūtė, Javi López, Siôn Simon, Elena Gentile

Draft opinion

Paragraph 4 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

4a. Collective bargaining and competition law

Or. en

Amendment 86

Joachim Schuster, Brando Benifei, Sergio Gutiérrez Prieto, Claudiu Ciprian Tănăsescu, Jutta Steinruck, Vilija Blinkevičiūtė, Javi López, Georgi Pirinski, Siôn Simon, Elena Gentile

Draft opinion

Paragraph 4 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

4b. Stresses that freedom of association and collective action are fundamental rights which must apply to all workers; is concerned that the right of workers to freely associate may be called to question if they are regarded as self-employed and collective bargaining could be regarded as forming a cartel, which could put them in conflict with EU rules on anti-competitive practices; underlines in this context the need to adjust European and national competition law accordingly; urges the Commission to exclude individual platform workers from

anti-cartel-measures; calls on the Commission, the Member States and the social partners to increase collective bargain coverage, union density and to empower workers in the platform economy to bargain;

Or. en

Amendment 87

Joachim Schuster, Brando Benifei, Sergio Gutiérrez Prieto, Claudiu Ciprian Tănăsescu, Jutta Steinruck, Vilija Blinkevičiūtė, Javi López, Siôn Simon, Elena Gentile

Draft opinion

Paragraph 4 c (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

4c. Level playing field

Or. en

Amendment 88

Joachim Schuster, Brando Benifei, Sergio Gutiérrez Prieto, Claudiu Ciprian Tănăsescu, Jutta Steinruck, Vilija Blinkevičiūtė, Javi López, Marju Lauristin, Georgi Pirinski, Siôn Simon, Elena Gentile

Draft opinion

Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

Amendment

5. Calls *for* more reliable data on jobs and working conditions in the platform economy *and for the adjustment of related policies to create a level playing field between the platform and traditional economies;*

5. Calls *on the Commission and the Member States to gather* more reliable data on jobs and working conditions in the platform economy; *calls on the Commission, the Member States and social partners to provide adequate information to workers on working conditions and workers' rights throughout the supply-chain of online platforms;*

Or. en

Amendment 89
Dieter-Lebrecht Koch

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

5. Calls for more reliable data on jobs and working conditions in the platform economy and for the adjustment of related policies to create a level playing field between *the platform* and traditional economies;

Amendment

5. Calls for more reliable data on jobs, *qualifications* and working conditions in the platform economy, *for the exchange of best practice* and for the adjustment of related policies to create a level playing field between *platforms* and traditional economies;

Or. de

Amendment 90
Joëlle Mélin, Mara Bizzotto, Dominique Martin

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

5. Calls for more reliable data on jobs and working conditions in the platform economy and for the adjustment of related policies to *create a level playing field* between the platform and traditional economies;

Amendment

5. Calls for more reliable data on jobs and working conditions in the platform economy and for the adjustment of related policies to *bring about a necessary rebalancing* between the platform and traditional economies;

Or. fr

Amendment 91
Anne Sander, Sofia Ribeiro, Sven Schulze, Heinz K. Becker, Jérôme Lavrilleux, Georges Bach, Deirdre Clune, Claude Rolin, Krzysztof Hetman, Tom Vandenkendelaere, Agnieszka Kozłowska-Rajewicz, Danuta Jazłowiecka

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

5. Calls for more reliable data on jobs and working conditions in the platform economy and for the adjustment of related policies to create a level playing field between the *platform* and traditional *economies*;

Amendment

5. Calls for more reliable data *and evidence* on jobs, *skills* and working conditions in the platform economy and, *if necessary*, for the adjustment of related policies to create a level playing field between the *platforms* and traditional *businesses, especially SMEs, in particular in the field of consumer protection, taxation and working conditions*;

Or. en

Amendment 92

Karima Delli

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion

Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

5. Calls for more reliable data on jobs and working conditions in the platform economy and for the adjustment of related policies to create a level playing field between the platform and traditional economies;

Amendment

5. Calls for more reliable *and comprehensive* data on jobs and working conditions in the platform economy and for the adjustment of related policies to create a level playing field between the platform and traditional economies *and to ensure the adequate protection of employment and labour rights of all workers, irrespective of their official status or qualification as employee or self-employed*;

Or. en

Amendment 93

Marian Harkin

Draft opinion

Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

5. Calls for more reliable data on jobs and working conditions in the platform economy and for the adjustment of related policies to create a level playing field between the platform and traditional economies;

Amendment

5. Calls for more reliable data on jobs and working conditions in the platform economy and for the adjustment of related policies to create a level playing field between the platform and traditional economies; ***in this regard believes this data should include the employment status and history of platform workers, interviews with platform workers as well as platform collected data and that metrics should be developed which take into account job quality, income stability and work-life balance;***

Or. en

Amendment 94
Jérôme Lavrilleux

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

5. Calls for more reliable data on jobs and working conditions in the platform economy and for the adjustment of related policies to create a level playing field between the platform and traditional economies;

Amendment

5. Calls for more reliable data on jobs and working conditions in the platform economy and for the adjustment of related policies to create a level playing field between the platform and traditional economies ***and for no worker, whatever his or her status, to suffer any encroachment on his or her rights, while all should have the same access to employment in the platform economy and the traditional economy;***

Or. fr

Amendment 95
Tania González Peñas, Paloma López Bermejo, Kostadinka Kuneva

Draft opinion

Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

5. Calls for more reliable data on jobs **and** working conditions in the platform economy and for the adjustment of related policies to create a level playing field between the platform and traditional economies;

Amendment

5. Calls for more reliable data on jobs, working conditions **and aspects such as social and environmental consequences** in the platform economy and for the adjustment of related policies to create a level playing field between the platform and traditional economies;

Or. es

Amendment 96

Sven Schulze, David Casa

Draft opinion

Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

5. Calls for more reliable data on jobs and working conditions in the platform economy and for the adjustment of related policies to create a level playing field between the platform and traditional economies;

Amendment

5. Calls for more reliable data on jobs, **activities** and working conditions in the platform economy and for the adjustment of related policies to create a level playing field between the platform and traditional economies;

Or. de

Amendment 97

Tania González Peñas, Paloma López Bermejo, Kostadinka Kuneva

Draft opinion

Paragraph 5 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

5a. Stresses that the concentration of data generated by users in the hands of a small number of private intermediation platforms undermines the privacy of users and competition in the market; calls on the Commission and the Member States to

take the appropriate measures to stop any operator from assuming a dominant position in the digital market and ensure adequate safeguards for the privacy and personal information of users and workers, in such a way as to guarantee that data are only ever collected with explicit and informed consent and never by default;

Or. es

Amendment 98

Karima Delli

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion

Paragraph 5 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

5a. Points out that the growth of the platform economy, and reactions to it, reveal the opportunities, limits, challenges and ways of addressing the development of forms of contracting work and activities that do not easily fit into the traditional categories of subordinated and independent work; therefore calls for a clarification of how these activities will align with the more established labour market models^{1a};

^{1a} Eurofound EurWork Digitalisation and working life: lessons from the Uber cases around Europe, 2016

Or. en

Amendment 99

Anne Sander, David Casa, Eduard Kukan, Sofia Ribeiro, Sven Schulze, Heinz K. Becker, Jérôme Lavrilleux, Ádám Kósa, Georges Bach, Deirdre Clune, Claude Rolin,

Krzysztof Hetman, Tom Vandenkendelaere, Agnieszka Kozłowska-Rajewicz, Danuta Jazłowiecka

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

5a. *Calls for Member States to adapt their education and training policies to the new skills requested in the collaborative economy; Encourages Member States to include digital trainings in their school programs, from primary school; underlines the need to develop lifelong learning programs to be able to acquire new skills related to technological developments all along the professional career;*

Or. en

Amendment 100
Laura Agea, Tiziana Beghin, Dario Tamburrano

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

5a. *Calls for, with particular reference to working conditions and labour costs, regarding both employees and the self-employed, the adoption and immediate implementation of rules which ensure a level playing field, including anti-dumping measures, between platform companies based in non-EU countries and traditional companies based in the Member States;*

Or. it

Amendment 101

Joachim Schuster, Brando Benifei, Sergio Gutiérrez Prieto, Claudiu Ciprian Tănăsescu, Jutta Steinruck, Vilija Blinkevičiūtė, Javi López, Marju Lauristin, Georgi Pirinski, Siôn Simon, Emilian Pavel, Elena Gentile

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

5a. Stresses that possible efficiency advantages of the online platforms over the traditional economy should not rely on wage dumping and unfair competition; calls therefore for the adjustment of related policies to create a level playing field between the platform and traditional economies;

Or. en

Amendment 102
Georgi Pirinski

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

5a. Is concerned that platform economy creates prerequisites for undeclared work; Calls therefore to the Commission and the Member States to include the issue of undeclared work in the platform economy on the agenda of the European platform to tackle undeclared work;

Or. en

Amendment 103
Laura Agea, Tiziana Beghin, Dario Tamburrano

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

5b. *Stresses the importance of teleworking and smartworking in connection with the collaborative economy and advocates, in this regard, the need to place these ways of working on an equal footing with traditional ones;*

Or. it

Amendment 104

Anne Sander, David Casa, Eduard Kukan, Sofia Ribeiro, Sven Schulze, Heinz K. Becker, Jérôme Lavrilleux, Dieter-Lebrecht Koch, Ádám Kósa, Georges Bach, Deirdre Clune, Krzysztof Hetman, Tom Vandenkendelaere, Agnieszka Kozłowska-Rajewicz, Danuta Jazłowiecka

Draft opinion

Paragraph 5 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

5b. *Underlines that the collaborative economy fosters entrepreneurship; Stresses the need to adapt training mechanisms to this new kind of businesses;*

Or. en

Amendment 105

Joachim Schuster, Brando Benifei, Sergio Gutiérrez Prieto, Claudiu Ciprian Tănăsescu, Jutta Steinruck, Vilija Blinkevičiūtė, Javi López, Marju Lauristin, Siôn Simon, Elena Gentile

Draft opinion

Paragraph 5 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

5b. *Recalls that online platforms must comply with pertinent labour-law provisions including working time and*

occupational health;

Or. en

Amendment 106

Joachim Schuster, Brando Benifei, Sergio Gutiérrez Prieto, Claudiu Ciprian Tănăsescu, Jutta Steinruck, Vilija Blinkevičiūtė, Javi López, Marju Lauristin, Georgi Pirinski, Siôn Simon, Emilian Pavel, Elena Gentile

Draft opinion

Paragraph 5 c (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

5c. Calls on the Commission and the Member States to ensure that all mandatory contributions are paid for all forms of work and examine to what extent social security systems have to be adjusted to provide appropriate protection for platform workers; calls on the Commission and the Member States to ensure the portability and accumulation of social security entitlements;

Or. en

Amendment 107

Anne Sander, David Casa, Sofia Ribeiro, Sven Schulze, Heinz K. Becker, Jérôme Lavrilleux, Georges Bach, Deirdre Clune, Claude Rolin, Krzysztof Hetman, Tom Vandenkendelaere, Danuta Jazłowiecka

Draft opinion

Paragraph 5 c (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

5c. Calls on the national public employment services and the EURES Network to communicate better on the opportunities offered by the collaborative economy;

Or. en

Amendment 108

Joachim Schuster, Brando Benifei, Sergio Gutiérrez Prieto, Claudiu Ciprian Tănăsescu, Jutta Steinruck, Vilija Blinkevičiūtė, Javi López, Marju Lauristin, Georgi Pirinski, Siôn Simon, Elena Gentile

Draft opinion

Paragraph 5 d (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

5d. Calls on the Commission to examine in how far existing EU regulations are applicable to the digital labour market and ensure the adequate implementation and enforcement; calls on the Commission and the Member States to assess the need for the modernisation of existing legislation to stay abreast of such changes and to encourage social partners to update collective agreements where necessary so that existing protection standards can also be maintained in the digital world of work;

Or. en

Amendment 109

Joachim Schuster, Brando Benifei, Sergio Gutiérrez Prieto, Claudiu Ciprian Tănăsescu, Jutta Steinruck, Vilija Blinkevičiūtė, Javi López, Georgi Pirinski, Siôn Simon, Elena Gentile

Draft opinion

Paragraph 5 e (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

5e. Calls on the Commission to examine in how far the Temporary Agency Work Directive is applicable to specific online platforms; considers that many intermediating online platforms are structurally similar to temporary work agencies (triangular contractual relationship between temporary agency

worker/ platform worker - temporary work agency/ online platform - user undertaking/ client) and should therefore analogously fall under the same regulations as temporary work agencies;

Or. en

Amendment 110

Joachim Schuster, Sergio Gutiérrez Prieto, Claudiu Ciprian Tănăsescu, Jutta Steinruck, Vilija Blinkevičiūtė, Javi López, Georgi Pirinski, Siôn Simon, Elena Gentile

Draft opinion

Paragraph 5 f (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

5f. *Calls on the Commission to broaden the Written Statement Directive (91/533/EEC) to cover all forms of employment and employment relationships;*

Or. en

Amendment 111

Joachim Schuster, Brando Benifei, Sergio Gutiérrez Prieto, Claudiu Ciprian Tănăsescu, Jutta Steinruck, Vilija Blinkevičiūtė, Javi López, Marju Lauristin, Georgi Pirinski, Siôn Simon, Elena Gentile

Draft opinion

Paragraph 5 g (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

5g. *Stresses that the socially and environmentally sustainable online platforms organized on cooperative basis should be promoted and supported;*

Or. en

Amendment 112

Joachim Schuster, Brando Benifei, Sergio Gutiérrez Prieto, Claudiu Ciprian Tănăsescu, Jutta Steinruck, Vilija Blinkevičiūtė, Javi López, Siôn Simon, Elena Gentile

Draft opinion

Paragraph 5 h (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

5h. Skills requirements

Or. en

Amendment 113

Joachim Schuster, Brando Benifei, Sergio Gutiérrez Prieto, Claudiu Ciprian Tănăsescu, Jutta Steinruck, Vilija Blinkevičiūtė, Javi López, Marju Lauristin, Georgi Pirinski, Siôn Simon, Emilian Pavel, Elena Gentile

Draft opinion

Paragraph 5 i (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

5i. Points out that as job and skills profiles become more complex, new demands – especially regarding information and communications technology (ICT) skills – are being placed on training as well as on further education and life-long learning to promote digital literacy and to tackle the existing gender and generational gaps, especially for disadvantaged persons in this context; emphasises the importance of stronger synergies involving the social partners and various educational and training institutions in order to bring teaching and studies' contents up to date and develop skills strategies linking the world of education with the world of work;

Or. en

Amendment 114

Joachim Schuster, Brando Benifei, Sergio Gutiérrez Prieto, Claudiu Ciprian Tănăsescu, Jutta Steinruck, Vilija Blinkevičiūtė, Javi López, Marju Lauristin, Georgi Pirinski, Siôn Simon, Emilian Pavel, Elena Gentile

Draft opinion

Paragraph 5 j (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

5j. *Insists that public investment in vocational education and lifelong learning is necessary in order to ensure that the EU workforce, is equipped with the right skills for the digital age; stresses that education and training must be accessible for all workers; believes that new funding opportunities for lifelong learning and training are needed, especially for micro and small enterprises;*

Or. en

Amendment 115

Joachim Schuster, Brando Benifei, Sergio Gutiérrez Prieto, Claudiu Ciprian Tănăsescu, Jutta Steinruck, Vilija Blinkevičiūtė, Javi López, Georgi Pirinski, Elena Gentile

Draft opinion

Paragraph 5 k (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

5k. *Is concerned about the quality of the services intermediated or provided by online platforms; stresses that the quality of services must fulfil the same requirements as in the traditional economy to prevent health and safety risks; calls on the Commission, the Member States and the social partners to develop protective mechanisms regarding the monitoring of qualifications;*

Or. en

Amendment 116

Joachim Schuster, Brando Benifei, Sergio Gutiérrez Prieto, Claudiu Ciprian Tănăsescu, Jutta Steinruck, Vilija Blinkevičiūtė, Javi López, Siôn Simon, Elena Gentile

Draft opinion

Paragraph 51 (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

51. Reporting duties and transparency obligations for platform operators

Or. en

Amendment 117

Joëlle Mélin, Mara Bizzotto, Dominique Martin

Draft opinion

Paragraph 6

Draft opinion

Amendment

6. Calls for *EU* standards on transparency and disclosure obligations for platform operators *in order* to monitor tax payments, social security contributions and practices regarding the rating of work on platforms;

6. Calls for standards on transparency and disclosure obligations for platform operators *to be set by Member States so that those operators cease to evade national legislation with the sole aim of increasing their profits. These standards should make it possible* to monitor tax payments, social security contributions and practices regarding the rating of work on platforms;

Or. fr

Amendment 118

Dieter-Lebrecht Koch

Draft opinion

Paragraph 6

Draft opinion

Amendment

6. *Calls for EU standards* on transparency and disclosure obligations for

6. *Stresses the importance of adequate assessment mechanisms* on

platform operators in order to monitor tax payments, social security contributions and practices regarding the rating of work on platforms;

transparency and disclosure obligations for platform operators in order to monitor tax payments, social security contributions and practices regarding the rating of work on platforms;

Or. de

Amendment 119

Tania González Peñas, Paloma López Bermejo, Kostadinka Kuneva

Draft opinion

Paragraph 6

Draft opinion

6. Calls for EU standards on transparency **and** disclosure obligations for platform operators in order to monitor tax payments, social security contributions and practices regarding the rating of work on platforms;

Amendment

6. Calls for EU standards on transparency, disclosure **and privacy** obligations for platform operators in order to monitor tax payments, social security contributions and practices regarding the rating of work on platforms; ***calls on the Member States to ensure that all for-profit online platforms comply with the same obligations as their ‘traditional’ competitors and that tax is collected from professional online platforms;***

Or. es

Amendment 120

Marian Harkin

Draft opinion

Paragraph 6

Draft opinion

6. Calls for EU standards on transparency and disclosure obligations for platform operators in order to monitor tax payments, social security contributions and practices regarding the rating of work on platforms;

Amendment

6. Calls for EU standards on transparency and disclosure obligations for platform operators in order to monitor tax payments, social security contributions and practices regarding the rating of work on platforms; ***believes that Member States***

should facilitate platform workers in their self-reporting obligations with simplified tax declarations for platform work and should work with online platforms to communicate annual worker income via recorded electronic transactions to help combat tax evasion and undeclared work;

Or. en

Amendment 121

Joachim Schuster, Brando Benifei, Sergio Gutiérrez Prieto, Claudiu Ciprian Tănăsescu, Jutta Steinruck, Vilija Blinkevičiūtė, Javi López, Georgi Pirinski, Elena Gentile

Draft opinion

Paragraph 6

Draft opinion

6. Calls for EU standards on transparency and disclosure obligations for platform operators in order to monitor tax payments, social security contributions and practices regarding the rating of work on platforms;

Amendment

6. Calls for EU standards on transparency and disclosure obligations for platform operators in order to monitor tax payments, social security contributions and practices regarding the rating of work on platforms *and to ensure that all relevant information is available to national authorities; criticizes the information asymmetries paramount on many online platforms whereby platforms and clients have access to much more information on workers than vice versa;*

Or. en

Amendment 122

Georgi Pirinski

Draft opinion

Paragraph 6

Draft opinion

6. Calls for EU standards on transparency and disclosure obligations for

Amendment

6. Calls for EU standards on transparency and disclosure obligations for

platform operators in order to monitor tax payments, social security contributions and practices regarding the rating of work on platforms;

platform operators in order to monitor tax payments, social security contributions and practices regarding the rating of work on platforms; ***Calls to the Member States to ensure that all platform operators perform their activities in strict compliance with EU and national legislation;***

Or. en

Amendment 123

Karima Delli

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion

Paragraph 6

Draft opinion

6. Calls for EU standards on transparency and disclosure obligations for platform operators in order to monitor tax payments, social security contributions and practices regarding the rating of work on platforms;

Amendment

6. Calls for EU standards on transparency and disclosure obligations for platform operators in order to monitor tax payments, social security contributions and practices regarding the rating of work on platforms ***and how it affects the working conditions as well as the social rights and entitlements of workers;***

Or. en

Amendment 124

Elena Gentile, Flavio Zanonato, Silvia Costa, Brando Benifei

Draft opinion

Paragraph 6 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

6a. Stresses that the platform economy, like any other economy, must pay tax and social contributions, comply with employment and social legislation, and ensure consumer protection; believes that the high transparency potential of the

platform economy allows for good traceability, in line with the aim of enforcing existing legislation; calls on the Commission to publish guidelines on how EU law applies to the various types of platform business models in order to fill, where necessary, regulatory gaps in the area of employment and social security; calls on the Member States to carry out sufficient inspections and to impose sanctions where rules have been breached;

Or. en

Amendment 125

Anne Sander, David Casa, Sofia Ribeiro, Sven Schulze, Heinz K. Becker, Jérôme Lavrilleux, Dieter-Lebrecht Koch, Georges Bach, Deirdre Clune, Claude Rolin, Krzysztof Hetman, Tom Vandenkendelaere, Agnieszka Kozłowska-Rajewicz, Danuta Jazłowiecka

Draft opinion

Paragraph 6 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

6a. *Calls on the European Commission and the Member States to reflect on the appropriate means to ensure that digital platforms inform providers on the regulatory framework that has to be complied with, when offering services, as individuals using platforms are sometimes not even aware of the requirements they should fulfil; considers that digital platforms should play a more proactive role in checking whether the service provider fulfils its legal requirements; Calls on Member States to operate specific controls in order to check if operators stick to the rules, especially in terms of taxation, consumer protection, working conditions and skills requirements;*

Or. en

Amendment 126
Marian Harkin

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

6a. Notes the potential for unfair practices regarding work rating mechanisms, such as account deactivation or search result relegation by platforms based on an arbitrary number of negative client reviews, which may not necessarily reflect work quality and which cannot be challenged which impact on workers' ability to obtain jobs; believes platforms should notify and provide an arbitration process for platform workers before taking such action as a result of ratings scores;

Or. en

Amendment 127
Joachim Schuster, Brando Benifei, Sergio Gutiérrez Prieto, Claudiu Ciprian Tănăsescu, Jutta Steinruck, Vilija Blinkevičiūtė, Javi López, Marju Lauristin, Georgi Pirinski, Emilian Pavel, Elena Gentile

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

6a. Stresses the need to ensure the portability of ratings of platform workers which constitute their digital market value and are important to avoid dependency of workers on certain platforms since ratings are important determinants in the allocation of tasks; calls on the Commission and the Member States to ensure the transferability and accumulation of ratings across platforms;

Amendment 128

Tania González Peñas, Paloma López Bermejo, Kostadinka Kuneva

Draft opinion

Paragraph 6 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

6a. Recommends assessing quality standards and imposing mandatory accreditation and licensing for activities carried out by online platforms, just as in the traditional economy;

Or. es

Amendment 129

Laura Agea, Tiziana Beghin, Dario Tamburrano

Draft opinion

Paragraph 6 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

6a. Stresses the need to adopt and implement specific, more effective anti tax avoidance rules for platform companies which provide services and/or sell goods on the territory of the European Union;

Or. it

Amendment 130

Sven Schulze, David Casa

Draft opinion

Paragraph 6 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

6a. *Calls on the Member States to examine how social security systems can be fashioned so as to also allow them to participate in work intermediated by platforms;*

Or. de

Amendment 131
Agnieszka Kozłowska-Rajewicz

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

6a. *Points out that collaborative economy enhance trust between citizens, which is a crucial element of civil society;*

Or. en

Amendment 132
Anne Sander, David Casa, Sofia Ribeiro, Sven Schulze, Heinz K. Becker, Jérôme Lavrilleux, Ádám Kósa, Georges Bach, Deirdre Clune, Claude Rolin, Danuta Jazłowiecka

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

6b. *Emphasizes that the economic model of collaborative economy is based on users' trust, particularly in online comments; calls in this context on the European Commission to propose tools for certification of online consumer opinions in order to allow users to have reliable and fair information on the quality of the services offered on collaborative platforms;*

Or. en

Amendment 133
Agnieszka Kozłowska-Rajewicz

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

6b. Underlines the fact that consumers should enjoy a high and effective level of protection, regardless of whether services are provided by professionals or peers; highlights, in particular, the importance of protecting consumers in peer-to-peer transactions and looking for solutions which improve security;

Or. en

Amendment 134
Joachim Schuster, Brando Benifei, Sergio Gutiérrez Prieto, Claudiu Ciprian Tănăsescu, Jutta Steinruck, Vilija Blinkevičiūtė, Javi López, Georgi Pirinski, Siôn Simon, Elena Gentile

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

6b. Calls on the Commission and the Member States to take decisive steps to prohibit discriminatory and opaque algorithms and software developed or used by online platforms which do not comply with European and national legislation and set up monitoring structures accordingly;

Or. en

Amendment 135
Tania González Peñas, Paloma López Bermejo, Kostadinka Kuneva

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

6b. *Believes it necessary to take action to enable Member States' governments to impose limits on the scope of action of these platforms, in the public interest;*

Or. es

Amendment 136
Tania González Peñas, Paloma López Bermejo, Kostadinka Kuneva

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6 c (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

6c. *Recalls that the report on the European Pillar of Social Rights called on the Member States to take legislative action to oblige digital platforms and other intermediaries to report all work undertaken through them to the competent authorities for the purpose of ensuring adequate contributions and protection through social and health insurance for all workers;*

Or. es

Amendment 137
Joachim Schuster, Brando Benifei, Sergio Gutiérrez Prieto, Claudiu Ciprian Tănăsescu, Jutta Steinruck, Vilija Blinkevičiūtė, Javi López, Marju Lauristin, Georgi Pirinski, Elena Gentile

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6 c (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

6c. *Seeks the Commission and the Member States in collaboration with the social partners to ensure that workers and users are able to rate online platforms;*

Or. en

Amendment 138

Joachim Schuster, Brando Benifei, Sergio Gutiérrez Prieto, Claudiu Ciprian Tănăsescu, Jutta Steinruck, Vilija Blinkevičiūtė, Javi López, Siôn Simon, Elena Gentile

Draft opinion

Paragraph 6 d (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

6d. *Health and Safety*

Or. en

Amendment 139

Joachim Schuster, Brando Benifei, Sergio Gutiérrez Prieto, Claudiu Ciprian Tănăsescu, Jutta Steinruck, Vilija Blinkevičiūtė, Javi López, Marju Lauristin, Georgi Pirinski, Siôn Simon, Emilian Pavel, Elena Gentile

Draft opinion

Paragraph 6 e (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

6e. *Believes that the effects of digitalisation on health and safety at work need to be assessed and existing health and safety provisions adapted accordingly;*

Or. en

Amendment 140

Sven Schulze, David Casa

Draft opinion

Paragraph 7

Draft opinion

7. Underlines that *constant accessibility represents a serious health and safety risk in the platform economy; advocates the establishment of a ‘right to log off’.*

Amendment

7. Underlines that *the platform economy opens the opportunity to work sharing and promises a simple and rapid access to work;*

Or. de

Amendment 141

Anne Sander, David Casa, Sofia Ribeiro, Sven Schulze, Heinz K. Becker, Jérôme Lavrilleux, Ádám Kósa, Georges Bach, Deirdre Clune, Tom Vandenkendelaere, Danuta Jazłowiecka

Draft opinion Paragraph 7

Draft opinion

7. Underlines that *constant accessibility represents a serious health and safety risk in the platform economy; advocates the establishment of a ‘right to log off’.*

Amendment

7. Underlines that *connectivity can help for a better work life balance by facilitating working time arrangements; acknowledges however the need to assess the effects of collaborative economy on health and safety at work and to adapt accordingly the existing health and safety framework;*

Or. en

Amendment 142

Dieter-Lebrecht Koch

Draft opinion Paragraph 7

Draft opinion

7. Underlines that constant accessibility *represents a serious* health and safety risk in the platform economy; *advocates the establishment of a ‘right to*

Amendment

7. Underlines that constant accessibility *may represent a* health and safety risk in the platform economy; *notes at the same time that connectivity may*

log off.

contribute to a better balance between family and working life, by permitting a flexible choice of the time and place of work.

Or. de

Amendment 143
Karima Delli

Draft opinion
Paragraph 7

Draft opinion

7. Underlines that *constant accessibility* represents a serious health and safety risk in the platform economy; advocates the establishment of a 'right to log off'.

Amendment

7. Underlines that *permanent availability* represents a serious health and safety risk in the platform economy *and jeopardises a stable work-life balance*; advocates the establishment of a 'right to log off'.

Or. en

Amendment 144
Joachim Schuster, Brando Benifei, Sergio Gutiérrez Prieto, Claudiu Ciprian Tănăsescu, Jutta Steinruck, Vilija Blinkevičiūtė, Javi López, Marju Lauristin, Georgi Pirinski, Siôn Simon, Elena Gentile

Draft opinion
Paragraph 7

Draft opinion

7. Underlines that constant accessibility *represents* a serious health and safety risk in the platform economy; advocates the establishment of a 'right to log off'.

Amendment

7. Underlines that *work-related mental health problems such as burnout or depressions caused by* constant accessibility, *the erosion of traditional working time arrangements and social isolation represent* a serious health and safety risk in the platform economy; advocates *therefore full compliance with the prescribed rest times and stresses the need to respect working time arrangements in order to maintain the boundaries of working time as defined by*

the labour laws of the individual Member States; advocates the establishment of a 'right to log off' for worker outside the agreed working hours;

Or. en

Amendment 145

Tania González Peñas, Paloma López Bermejo, Kostadinka Kuneva

Draft opinion

Paragraph 7

Draft opinion

7. Underlines that constant accessibility represents a serious health and safety risk in the platform economy; *advocates the establishment of a 'right to log off'.*

Amendment

7. Underlines that constant accessibility represents a serious health and safety risk in the platform economy; *recalls workers' rights to rest and paid leave; recommends that Member States establish legislation governing the 'right to log off,' and ensuring that these workers, particularly women, have adequate resources to strike a balance between their personal, family and professional lives; is aware of how important it is for the social partners to conduct regular examinations of newly introduced technologies, processes and subcontracting agreements to ensure compliance with employment and social regulations and the right to log off;*

Or. es

Amendment 146

Laura Agea, Tiziana Beghin, Dario Tamburrano

Draft opinion

Paragraph 7

Draft opinion

7. Underlines that constant

Amendment

7. Underlines that *in the platform*

accessibility represents a serious *health and safety risk in the platform economy*; advocates the establishment of a ‘right to log off’.

economy, ‘value is produced by interaction rather than hours of presence’ and constant accessibility represents a serious risk to ‘well-being’ and to health and safety; advocates the establishment of a ‘right to log off’.

Or. it

Amendment 147

Joëlle Mélin, Mara Bizzotto, Dominique Martin

Draft opinion Paragraph 7

Draft opinion

7. Underlines that constant accessibility represents a serious health and safety risk in the platform economy; advocates the establishment of a ‘right to log off’.

Amendment

7. Underlines that constant accessibility represents a serious health and safety risk in the platform economy; advocates the establishment of a ‘right to log off’, *as defined by each Member State*.

Or. fr

Amendment 148

Marian Harkin

Draft opinion Paragraph 7

Draft opinion

7. Underlines that constant accessibility represents a serious health and safety risk in the platform economy; advocates the establishment of a ‘right to log off’.

Amendment

7. Underlines that constant accessibility represents a serious health and safety risk in the platform economy; advocates the establishment of a ‘right to log off’ *without impacting on platform workers' ratings*.

Or. en

Amendment 149

Tania González Peñas, Paloma López Bermejo, Kostadinka Kuneva

Draft opinion

Paragraph 7 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

7a. *Recalls that the flexibility, volatility and instability of these jobs passes the risks of the market on to workers and gives rise to greater social inequality and causes a higher incidence of psychological morbidity than in other jobs; stresses that the sector does not provide sufficient numbers of jobs for the unemployed, the most excluded groups in society and rural people, owing to barriers such as the digital divide or a lack of technological skills;*

Or. es

Amendment 150

Anne Sander, David Casa, Sofia Ribeiro, Sven Schulze, Heinz K. Becker, Jérôme Lavrilleux, Georges Bach, Deirdre Clune, Claude Rolin, Krzysztof Hetman, Tom Vandenkendelaere, Agnieszka Kozłowska-Rajewicz, Danuta Jazłowiecka

Draft opinion

Paragraph 7 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

7a. *Highlights that the collaborative economy has flourished mainly so far in urban areas; Stresses therefore the importance of deploying broadband in rural areas in order to enable all EU territories to benefit from the potential of the collaborative economy, especially in terms of employment; Calls for Member States to strengthen financial and human resources to enable people from rural areas to acquire basic digital skills;*

Or. en

Amendment 151

Karima Delli

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion
Paragraph 7 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

7a. Stresses the urgent need to discuss and develop better policy frameworks as regards data ownership of employees at the workplace; points to the risk that constant supervision using digital techniques can have for the working environment and for stress at work; points out in this context that research clearly indicates that higher pressure and supervision do not increase performance but health risks, mistakes and accidents;

Or. en

Amendment 152
Heinz K. Becker

Draft opinion
Paragraph 7 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

7a. States that crowdworkers are rather valued by ratings than the proof of qualifications; stresses that ratings on platforms are not fully reliable and therefore not a credible measure of quality to judge workers are judged; calls for more transparency on qualifications and the right to remove unfair and unjustified ratings;

Or. en

Amendment 153
Elena Gentile, Flavio Zanonato, Silvia Costa, Brando Benifei

Draft opinion

Paragraph 7 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

7a. Calls on the Commission and the Member States to promote social economy models in the platform economy and to exchange best practices in this regard, as social enterprises have proven more resilient during times of economic crisis than other business models;

Or. en

Amendment 154

Joachim Schuster, Brando Benifei, Sergio Gutiérrez Prieto, Claudiu Ciprian Tănăsescu, Jutta Steinruck, Vilija Blinkevičiūtė, Javi López, Marju Lauristin, Georgi Pirinski, Siôn Simon, Elena Gentile

Draft opinion

Paragraph 7 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

7a. Calls on the Commission to produce a study on the spillover effects of digitalisation, on workers' psychological wellbeing and private life;

Or. en

Amendment 155

Karima Delli

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion

Paragraph 7 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

7b. Recommends that new forms of employment and the protection of the workers concerned should also be included in policy areas other than labour and social protection, such as internal

*market policies, regional development,
sectorial development and business
development;*

Or. en

Amendment 156

**Joachim Schuster, Brando Benifei, Sergio Gutiérrez Prieto, Claudiu Ciprian Tănăsescu,
Jutta Steinruck, Vilija Blinkevičiūtė, Javi López, Georgi Pirinski, Siôn Simon, Elena
Gentile**

Draft opinion

Paragraph 7 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

**7b. Stresses that the EU should
support the rapid development of the
platform economy through the
establishment of a comprehensive legal
framework and shape its course in a
socially just and sustainable way.**

Or. en