



EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT

2014 - 2019

Committee on the Environment, Public Health and Food Safety

2014/2228(INI)

24.2.2015

AMENDMENTS

157 - 314

Draft opinion

Bart Staes

(PE544.393v01-00)

on recommendations to the European Commission on the negotiations for the Transatlantic Trade and Investment Partnership (TTIP)
(2014/2228(INI))

AM\1051164EN.doc

PE549.151v02-00

EN

United in diversity

EN

AM_Com_NonLegReport

Amendment 157 Julie Girling

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

Amendment

4. Agrees with Commissioner Malmström that all areas where the EU and the US have very different rules or approaches should be excluded from the negotiations¹²; *deleted*

¹² See speech by EU Trade Commissioner Cecilia Malmström of 11 December 2014.

Or. en

Amendment 158
Elisabetta Gardini

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

Amendment

4. Agrees with Commissioner Malmström that all areas where the EU and the US have very different rules or approaches should be excluded from the negotiations¹²; *deleted*

¹² See speech by EU Trade Commissioner Cecilia Malmström of 11 December 2014.

Or. it

Amendment 159
Josu Juaristi Abaunz

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Agrees with Commissioner Malmström that all areas where the EU and the US have very different rules or approaches should be excluded from the negotiations¹²;

¹² See speech by EU Trade Commissioner Cecilia Malmström of 11 December 2014.

Amendment

4. Agrees with Commissioner Malmström **and with *European civil society*** that all areas where the EU and the US have very different rules or approaches should be excluded from the negotiations¹²;

¹² See speech by EU Trade Commissioner Cecilia Malmström of 11 December 2014.

Or. es

Amendment 160
Christofer Fjellner

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Agrees with Commissioner Malmström that all areas ***where the EU and the US have very different rules or approaches*** should be ***excluded from*** the negotiations¹² ;

¹² ***See speech by EU Trade Commissioner Cecilia Malmström of 11 December 2014.***

Amendment

4. Agrees with Commissioner Malmström that all areas ***stated in the Member States' mandate for the negotiations*** should be ***included in*** the negotiations;

Or. en

Amendment 161
Georg Mayer

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Agrees with Commissioner Malmström that all areas where the EU and the US have very different rules or approaches should be excluded from the negotiations¹²;

¹² See speech by EU Trade Commissioner Cecilia Malmström of 11 December 2014.

Amendment

4. Agrees with Commissioner Malmström that all areas where the EU and the US have very different rules or approaches should be excluded from the negotiations¹²; ***points out in this regard the importance of the precautionary principle in order to guarantee protection against harmful substances***;

¹² See speech by EU Trade Commissioner Cecilia Malmström of 11 December 2014.

Or. de

Amendment 162
Susanne Melior

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. ***Agrees with*** Commissioner Malmström ***that*** all areas where the EU and the US have very different rules or approaches ***should be excluded from the negotiations***¹² ;

¹² See speech by EU Trade Commissioner Cecilia Malmström of 11 December 2014.

Amendment

4. ***Calls on*** Commissioner Malmström ***to negotiate in respect of*** all areas where the EU and the US have very different rules or approaches ***with the aim of preserving the precautionary principle of Europe, and if this aim cannot be achieved, to exclude these areas from the negotiations***;

¹² See speech by EU Trade Commissioner Cecilia Malmström of 11 December 2014.

Or. de

Amendment 163
Tom Vandenkendelaere

**Draft opinion
Paragraph 4**

Draft opinion

4. Agrees with Commissioner Malmström that *all areas where the EU and the US have very different rules or approaches should be excluded from the negotiations*¹² ;

¹² See speech by EU Trade Commissioner Cecilia Malmström of 11 December 2014.

Amendment

4. Agrees with Commissioner Malmström that *there can be no trade-off between our economic goals and our people's health and safety, the environment or financial stability*¹² ;

¹² See speech by EU Trade Commissioner Cecilia Malmström of 11 December 2014.

Or. en

**Amendment 164
József Nagy**

**Draft opinion
Paragraph 4**

Draft opinion

4. Agrees with Commissioner Malmström that all areas where the EU and the US have very different rules or approaches should be excluded from the negotiations¹² ;

¹² See speech by EU Trade Commissioner Cecilia Malmström of 11 December 2014.

Amendment

4. Agrees with Commissioner Malmström that all areas where the EU and the US have very different rules or approaches should be excluded from the negotiations¹²; *where there is no hope for the parties' to arrive at a mutually acceptable and advantageous consensus;*

¹² See speech by EU Trade Commissioner Cecilia Malmström of 11 December 2014.

Or. hu

**Amendment 165
Gerben-Jan Gerbrandy, Jan Huitema, Anneli Jäätteenmäki**

**Draft opinion
Paragraph 4**

Draft opinion

4. **Agrees with Commissioner Malmström that all** areas where the EU and the US have **very** different rules or approaches **should** be excluded from the negotiations¹² ;

¹² See speech by EU Trade Commissioner Cecilia Malmström of 11 December 2014.

Amendment

4. **Notes that there may be** areas where the EU and the US have **such** different rules or approaches **that they may** be excluded from the negotiations **at some point during the process**;

Or. en

Amendment 166
Davor Ivo Stier

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Agrees with Commissioner Malmström that all areas where the EU and the US have very different rules or approaches should be excluded from the negotiations¹² ;

¹² See speech by EU Trade Commissioner Cecilia Malmström of 11 December 2014.

Amendment

4. Agrees with Commissioner Malmström that all areas where the EU and the US have very different rules or approaches should be excluded from the negotiations, **including laws on genetically modified organisms and hormones in beef, as the Commission assured**¹² ;

¹² See speech by EU Trade Commissioner Cecilia Malmström of 11 December 2014.

Or. en

Amendment 167
Merja Kyllönen

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Agrees with Commissioner Malmström that all areas where the EU and the US have very different rules or approaches should be excluded from the negotiations¹² ;

¹² See speech by EU Trade Commissioner Cecilia Malmström of 11 December 2014.

Amendment

4. Agrees with Commissioner Malmström **and with the European civil society** that all areas where the EU and the US have very different rules or approaches should be excluded from the negotiations¹² ;

¹² See speech by EU Trade Commissioner Cecilia Malmström of 11 December 2014.

(Identical to Josu Juaristi Abaunz am 3;)

Or. en

Amendment 168

Herbert Dorfmann, Elisabeth Köstinger, Renate Sommer, Angélique Delahaye, Michel Dantin, Andrey Kovatchev, Françoise Grossetête, György Hölvényi, Aldo Patriciello, Giovanni La Via, Norbert Lins, Alojz Peterle, Alberto Cirio, Peter Liese

**Draft opinion
Paragraph 4**

Draft opinion

4. Agrees with Commissioner Malmström that ***all areas where the EU and the US*** have very different rules ***or approaches should be excluded from the negotiations***¹² ;

¹² ***See speech by EU Trade Commissioner Cecilia Malmström of 11 December 2014.***

Amendment

4. Agrees with Commissioner Malmström that ***there should not be a trade-off between the economic goals and the people's health, safety and the environment; agrees further with the Commissioner that where Europe and the United States*** have very different rules, ***there will be no agreement, such as GMOs, the use of hormone in bovine sector, REACH and Cloning;***

Or. en

Amendment 169
Frédérique Ries

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Agrees with Commissioner Malmström that **all** areas where the EU and the US have very different rules or approaches should be excluded from the negotiations¹² ;

¹² See speech by EU Trade Commissioner Cecilia Malmström of 11 December 2014.

Amendment

4. Agrees with Commissioner Malmström that **the most sensitive** areas where the EU and the US have very different rules or approaches should be excluded from the negotiations **such as the EU's integrated approach to food safety, all the legislative package on chemicals products and legislations on extraction, transportation or use of fossil fuels**;

¹² See speech by EU Trade Commissioner Cecilia Malmström of 11 December 2014.

Or. en

Amendment 170

Pavel Poc, Tibor Szanyi, Gilles Pargneaux, Massimo Paolucci, Biljana Borzan, Iratxe García Pérez, Claudiu Ciprian Tănăsescu, Renata Briano, Nicola Caputo, Nikos Androulakis, Christel Schaldemose, Jo Leinen

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. **Agrees with** Commissioner **Malmström** that all areas where the EU and the US have very different rules or approaches should be excluded from the negotiations¹² ;

¹² See speech by EU Trade Commissioner Cecilia Malmström of 11 December 2014.

Amendment

4. **Endorses** Commissioner **Malmström's statement** that all areas where the EU and the US have very different rules or approaches should be excluded from the negotiations¹² ;

¹² See speech by EU Trade Commissioner Cecilia Malmström of 11 December 2014.

Or. en

Amendment 171

Eleonora Forenza, Lynn Boylan, Marco Affronte, Eleonora Evi, Piernicola Pedicini, Teresa Rodriguez-Rubio

Draft opinion

Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Agrees *with Commissioner Malmström* that all areas where the EU and the US have very different rules or approaches should be excluded from the negotiations¹² ;

¹² See speech by EU Trade Commissioner Cecilia Malmström of 11 December 2014.

Amendment

4. Agrees that all areas where the EU and the US have very different rules or approaches should be excluded from the negotiations¹² ;

¹² See speech by EU Trade Commissioner Cecilia Malmström of 11 December 2014.

Or. en

Amendment 172

Herbert Dorfmann, Elisabeth Köstinger, Angélique Delahaye, Michel Dantin, Andrey Kovatchev, Françoise Grossetête, Aldo Patriciello, Giovanni La Via, Norbert Lins, Elisabetta Gardini, Alberto Cirio

Draft opinion

Paragraph 4 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

4 a. Asks for an adequate legal protection of European GIs in the US market and the end of misleading use of GIs;

Or. en

Amendment 173

Eleonora Forenza, Lynn Boylan, Marco Affronte, Eleonora Evi, Piernicola Pedicini, Teresa Rodriguez-Rubio

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

4 a. Calls on the Commission to protect the right of each party to establish its own levels of domestic environmental protection and environmental development priorities, and to adopt or modify them accordingly with its environmental laws and policies,

Or. en

Amendment 174
Nicola Caputo

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

4 a. Urges the European Commission to exclude from the TTIP negotiations any provisions which may undermine the precautionary principle and thus jeopardise an appropriate level of environmental and public health protection;

Or. it

Amendment 175
Elisabetta Gardini, Alberto Cirio

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

4 a. Stresses the importance of maintaining the ban on importing to the EU for food purposes cloned animals,

their progeny and products derived therefrom and not allowing hormone-treated meat to be placed on EU markets, in accordance with current EU legislation;

Or. it

Amendment 176

Herbert Dorfmann, Tom Vandenkendelaere, Christofer Fjellner, Angélique Delahaye, Michel Dantin, Andrey Kovatchev, Françoise Grossetête, Aldo Patriciello, Giovanni La Via, Norbert Lins, Alojz Peterle, Elisabetta Gardini, Alberto Cirio, Peter Liese

Draft opinion

Paragraph 4 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

4 b. Calls for a regulatory framework on pesticides, which puts consumers safety first, enhances cooperation between authorities in the assessment procedures and methodologies for risk evaluation, and establishes a pest management cooperation in order to avoid animal and plant pests, which can cause unproportionate trade barriers;

Or. en

Amendment 177

Eleonora Forenza, Lynn Boylan, Teresa Rodriguez-Rubio

Draft opinion

Paragraph 4 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

4 b. Calls the Commission to negotiate provisions that would support the achievement of the goal to reduce Europe's dependence on imported oil and cut carbon emissions in transport by 60% by 2050; transition away from

*conventionally-fuelled cars in cities;
reduce carbon emissions from aviation
and shipping; and facilitate transport
modal shift.*

Or. en

Amendment 178
Elisabetta Gardini

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

*4 b. Is of the opinion that all Community
legislation which sets forth rules for the
traceability of meat, especially those
relating to information which can be
displayed on food labels, should be
safeguarded so as not to deceive
consumers and to avoid unfair
competition practices;*

Or. it

Amendment 179
**Herbert Dorfmann, Tom Vandenkendelaere, Christofer Fjellner, Andrey Kovatchev,
Françoise Grossetête, Aldo Patriciello, Giovanni La Via, Norbert Lins, Alojz Peterle,
Elisabetta Gardini, Alberto Cirio**

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4 c (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

*4 c. Underlines that the negotiations on
the health and pharmaceutical
sector shall deliver benefits for citizens by
having access to high quality medical
products and services through affordable
prices, by an increased cross-border
scientific knowledge and through the
removal of unnecessary and burdensome*

approval processes and inspections; calls for a speeding up of the approval of new medicines and medical devices and the establishment of a regulatory cooperation, which creates synergies and avoids burdensome approval processes and inspections in the sector while keeping the highest quality of services and products;

Or. en

Amendment 180

Eleonora Forenza, Lynn Boylan, Teresa Rodriguez-Rubio

Draft opinion

Paragraph 4 c (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

4 c. Calls the Commission to support in the negotiations the fullest right for each party to assess legislation in its own method. Furthermore calls the commission to defend the EU aquis concerning the precautionary principle that enables rapid response in the face of a possible danger to human, animal or plant health, or to protect the environment.

Or. en

Amendment 181

Elisabetta Gardini, Alberto Cirio

Draft opinion

Paragraph 4 c (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

4 c. Is of the opinion that the import into the EU of poultry meat treated in antimicrobial solutions containing sodium hypochlorite should be prevented;

Amendment 182

Herbert Dorfmann, Renate Sommer, Christofer Fjellner, Angélique Delahaye, Michel Dantin, Andrey Kovatchev, Françoise Grossetête, Aldo Patriciello, Giovanni La Via, Norbert Lins, Elisabetta Gardini, Alberto Cirio, Peter Liese

Draft opinion

Paragraph 4 d (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

4 d. Calls on the US side to lift the ban on beef imports from the EU;

Or. en

Amendment 183

Elisabetta Gardini, Alberto Cirio

Draft opinion

Paragraph 4 d (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

4 d. Invites the European Commission to defend the EU's high-quality agri-food heritage, which is an expression of its territorial identity, against counterfeiting on the US market;

Or. it

Amendment 184

Julie Girling

Draft opinion

Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

Amendment

5. Calls on the Commission to exclude

deleted

any terms in all the horizontal chapters and all the sectoral annexes of the TTIP that would

- involve regulation of chemicals and pesticides,*
- affect the EU’s integrated approach to food safety, including EU legislation on GMOs,*
- encourage or facilitate the extraction, transportation or use of fossil fuels, in particular unconventional ones, or hinder the achievement of EU or US climate and energy targets;*

Or. en

Amendment 185
Frédérique Ries

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

Amendment

5. Calls on the Commission to exclude any terms in all the horizontal chapters and all the sectoral annexes of the TTIP that would

deleted

- involve regulation of chemicals and pesticides,*
- affect the EU’s integrated approach to food safety, including EU legislation on GMOs,*
- encourage or facilitate the extraction, transportation or use of fossil fuels, in particular unconventional ones, or hinder the achievement of EU or US climate and energy targets;*

Or. en

Amendment 186

Herbert Dorfmann, Renate Sommer, Andrey Kovatchev, Norbert Lins, Elisabetta Gardini, Alberto Cirio, Peter Liese

Draft opinion

Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

Amendment

5. Calls on the Commission to exclude any terms in all the horizontal chapters and all the sectoral annexes of the TTIP that would

deleted

– involve regulation of chemicals and pesticides,

– affect the EU’s integrated approach to food safety, including EU legislation on GMOs,

– encourage or facilitate the extraction, transportation or use of fossil fuels, in particular unconventional ones, or hinder the achievement of EU or US climate and energy targets;

Or. en

Amendment 187

Josu Juaristi Abaunz

Draft opinion

Paragraph 5 – introductory part

Draft opinion

Amendment

5. Calls on the Commission to exclude any terms in all the horizontal chapters and all the sectoral annexes of the TTIP that would:

5. Urges the Commission to exclude any terms in all the horizontal chapters and all the sectoral annexes of the TTIP that would:

Or. es

Amendment 188

Christofer Fjellner

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5 – introductory part

Draft opinion

5. Calls on the Commission to ***exclude any terms in all the horizontal chapters and all the sectoral annexes of the TTIP that would***

Amendment

5. Calls on the Commission to ***respect the sensitivity*** of the ***following areas***:

Or. en

Amendment 189
Michel Dantin, Angélique Delahaye, Françoise Grossetête

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5 – introductory part

Draft opinion

5. Calls on the Commission ***to exclude any terms in all the horizontal chapters and all the sectoral annexes of the TTIP that would***:

Amendment

5. Calls on the Commission ***to pay particular attention to the provisions of the TTIP which might have an impact on the following European policies***:

Or. fr

Amendment 190
Gerben-Jan Gerbrandy, Jan Huitema, Anneli Jäätteenmäki

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5 – introductory part

Draft opinion

5. ***Calls on*** the Commission ***to exclude any terms in all the horizontal chapters and all the sectoral annexes of the TTIP that would***

Amendment

5. ***Notes that*** the Commission ***has stated that the EU and US positions on chemicals are too far apart to create a harmonised system^{13 a}; recalls the statements by the Commission that EU legislation regarding Genetically Modified Organisms will not be affected by TTIP;^{13 b}***

13 a

http://trade.ec.europa.eu/doclib/docs/2014/may/tradoc_152468.pdf

13 b http://europa.eu/rapid/press-release_SPEECH-14-1921_en.htm,
http://trade.ec.europa.eu/doclib/docs/2013/july/tradoc_151605.pdf

Or. en

Amendment 191
Merja Kyllönen

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5 – introductory part

Draft opinion

5. ***Calls*** on the Commission to exclude any terms in all the horizontal chapters and all the sectoral annexes of the TTIP that would

Amendment

5. ***Urges*** the Commission to exclude any terms in all the horizontal chapters and all the sectoral annexes of the TTIP that would
(Identical to Josu Juaristi Abaunz am 4;)

Or. en

Amendment 192
Andrzej Grzyb

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5 – introductory part

Draft opinion

5. Calls on the Commission to exclude any terms in all the horizontal chapters and all the sectoral annexes of the TTIP that would

Amendment

5. Calls on the Commission to exclude any terms in all the horizontal chapters and all the sectoral annexes of the TTIP that would
affect the EU's integrated approach to food safety, including EU legislation on GMOs,

Or. en

Amendment 196
Andrzej Grzyb

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5 – indent 1

Draft opinion

Amendment

– involve regulation of chemicals and pesticides,

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 197
Josu Juaristi Abaunz

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5 – indent 1

Draft opinion

Amendment

– involve regulation of chemicals and pesticides,

Does not affect the English version

Or. es

Amendment 198
Christofer Fjellner

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5 – indent 1

Draft opinion

Amendment

– involve regulation of chemicals and pesticides,

– regulations and standards in the area of chemicals and pesticides,

Or. en

Amendment 199
Michel Dantin, Angélique Delahaye, Françoise Grossetête

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5 – indent 1

Draft opinion

– *involve* regulation of chemicals and pesticides,

Amendment

– regulation of chemicals and pesticides,

Or. fr

Amendment 200
Annie Schreijer-Pierik

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5 – indent 1

Draft opinion

– involve regulation of chemicals and pesticides;

Amendment

– involve regulation of *the use of* chemicals and pesticides *within the European Union*;

(To clarify the somewhat ambiguous original formulation. The European Union should have as little right to undermine the sovereignty of the United States within its territory as the US does within the EU. The Commission has already guaranteed that existing EU standards on human health, food safety and the environment will not be lowered (cf. above). TTIP relates to the trade in goods and services, not to the involvement in the internal policy of the negotiating partner.)

Or. nl

Amendment 201
Nicola Caputo

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5 – indent 1 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

- encourage the import into the EU of poultry meat treated in antimicrobial solutions containing sodium hypochlorite;

Or. it

Amendment 202

Glenis Willmott, Pavel Poc

Draft opinion

Paragraph 5 – indent 1 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

- delay or influence the implementation of Regulation EU No. 536/2014 of the European Parliament and of the Council on clinical trials on medicinal products for human use, and in particular the requirement for full clinical study reports of all clinical trials to be published on a publically accessible database once the authorisation process has been completed;

Or. en

Amendment 203

Glenis Willmott, Pavel Poc

Draft opinion

Paragraph 5 – indent 1 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

- affect the application of EU legislation on food information to consumers, including the possible future adoption of measures on labelling of alcoholic beverages or country of origin labelling,

Amendment 204

Gerben-Jan Gerbrandy, Jan Huitema, Anneli Jäätteenmäki

Draft opinion

Paragraph 5 – indent 2

Draft opinion

Amendment

– affect the EU’s integrated approach to food safety, including EU legislation on GMOs,

deleted

Amendment 205

Julie Girling

Draft opinion

Paragraph 5 – indent 2

Draft opinion

Amendment

– affect the EU’s integrated approach to food safety, including EU legislation on GMOs,

deleted

Amendment 206

Andrzej Grzyb

Draft opinion

Paragraph 5 – indent 2

Draft opinion

Amendment

– affect the EU’s integrated approach to food safety, including EU legislation on GMOs,

deleted

(this hyphen should be incorporated into

main part of p.5)

Or. en

Amendment 207
Christofer Fjellner

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5 – indent 2

Draft opinion

– *affect the EU's* integrated approach to food safety, including *EU legislation on* GMOs,

Amendment

– *the EU's* integrated approach to food safety, including GMOs,

Or. en

Amendment 208
Tom Vandenkendelaere

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5 – indent 2

Draft opinion

– affect the EU's integrated approach to food safety, *including EU legislation on* **GMOs**,

Amendment

– affect the EU's integrated approach to food safety,

Or. en

Amendment 209
Michel Dantin, Angélique Delahaye, Françoise Grossetête

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5 – indent 2

Draft opinion

– *affect the EU's integrated approach to* food safety, *including EU legislation on*

Amendment

– European *legislation* on food safety *and relating to* GMOs,

GMOs,

Or. fr

Amendment 210
Stefan Eck

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5 – indent 2

Draft opinion

– affect the EU’s integrated approach to food safety, including EU legislation on GMOs,

Amendment

– affect the EU’s integrated approach to food safety, including EU legislation on *animal welfare and* GMOs,

Or. en

Amendment 211
Lynn Boylan, Eleonora Forenza, Teresa Rodriguez-Rubio

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5 – indent 2

Draft opinion

– affect the EU’s integrated approach to food safety, including EU legislation on GMOs,

Amendment

– affect the EU’s integrated approach to food safety, including EU legislation on GMOs *and novel foods*,

Or. en

Amendment 212
Bart Staes

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5 – indent 2 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

- affect EU rules on food labelling,

Amendment 213
Jadwiga Wiśniewska, Bolesław G. Piecha

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5 – indent 3

Draft opinion

Amendment

*– encourage or facilitate the extraction,
transportation or use of fossil fuels, in
particular unconventional ones, or hinder
the achievement of EU or US climate and
energy targets;* *deleted*

Or. pl

Amendment 214
Gerben-Jan Gerbrandy, Jan Huitema, Anneli Jäätteenmäki

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5 – indent 3

Draft opinion

Amendment

*– encourage or facilitate the extraction,
transportation or use of fossil fuels, in
particular unconventional ones, or hinder
the achievement of EU or US climate and
energy targets;* *deleted*

Or. en

Amendment 215
Julie Girling

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5 – indent 3

Draft opinion

Amendment

– encourage or facilitate the extraction, transportation or use of fossil fuels, in particular unconventional ones, or hinder the achievement of EU or US climate and energy targets;

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 216

Andrzej Grzyb

Draft opinion

Paragraph 5 – indent 3

Draft opinion

Amendment

– encourage or facilitate the extraction, transportation or use of fossil fuels, in particular unconventional ones, or hinder the achievement of EU or US climate and energy targets;

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 217

Christofer Fjellner

Draft opinion

Paragraph 5 – indent 3

Draft opinion

Amendment

– encourage or facilitate the extraction, transportation or use of fossil fuels, in particular unconventional ones, or hinder the achievement of EU or US climate and energy targets;

– the EU's ambitions in renewable energy, green technology, and the achievement of EU climate and energy targets;

Or. en

Amendment 218

Michel Dantin, Angélique Delahaye, Françoise Grossetête

Draft opinion

Paragraph 5 – indent 3

Draft opinion

– encourage or facilitate the extraction, transportation or use of fossil fuels, in particular unconventional ones, or hinder the achievement of EU or US climate and energy targets;

Amendment

– the achievement of EU climate and energy targets;

Or. fr

Amendment 219

Josu Juaristi Abaunz

Draft opinion

Paragraph 5 – indent 3 a (new)

Draft opinion

- use pollutants such as cyanide in mega mining,

Amendment

Or. es

Amendment 220

Bart Staes

Draft opinion

Paragraph 5 – indent 3 a (new)

Draft opinion

- impair public access to data on clinical trials of medicines, in particular as laid down in Regulation (EU) No 536/2014 on clinical trials on medicinal products for human use, and as provided for in corresponding policies by the European Medicines Agency,

Amendment

Amendment 221
Nicola Caputo

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5 – indent 3 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

- lower the EU's animal and plant health standards, causing a subsequent deterioration of public health;

Or. it

Amendment 222
Marco Affronte, Eleonora Evi, Piernicola Pedicini, Eleonora Forenza

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5 – indent 3 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

- the placing on EU markets of foodstuffs containing cloned animal meat or products derived from the progeny of cloned animals;

Or. it

Amendment 223
Pavel Poc, Tibor Szanyi, Gilles Pargneaux, Massimo Paolucci, Biljana Borzan, Susanne Melior, Simona Bonafè, Claudiu Ciprian Tănăsescu, Renata Briano, Nicola Caputo, Liisa Jaakonsaari, Nikos Androulakis, Jytte Guteland, Christel Schaldemose, Nessa Childers, Jo Leinen

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5 – indent 3 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

- lead to a weakening of existing European safety standards in the sanitary and phytosanitary area, including rules on hormones and growth promoters or using chemicals to decontaminate poultry

Or. en

Amendment 224

Eleonora Forenza, Lynn Boylan, Marco Affronte, Eleonora Evi, Piernicola Pedicini, Teresa Rodriguez-Rubio

Draft opinion

Paragraph 5 – indent 3 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

- maintains the organisational autonomy in the area of water supply and sanitation: public services such as water services should remain firmly outside of the scope of the TTIP agreement.

Or. en

Amendment 225

Josu Juaristi Abaunz

Draft opinion

Paragraph 5 – indent 3 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

- affect the European energy recovery plan that establishes the eco design requirements for energy efficiency-related products.

Or. es

Amendment 226
Bart Staes

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5 – indent 3 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

- limit directly or indirectly the competence of Member States with regard to pricing and reimbursement of medicinal products,

Or. en

Amendment 227
Marco Affronte, Eleonora Evi, Piernicola Pedicini, Eleonora Forenza

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5 – indent 3 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

- limit the consumer's right to correct information, e.g. revoking rules relating to the traceability of ingredients or labelling;

Or. it

Amendment 228
Pavel Poc, Tibor Szanyi, Gilles Pargneaux, Massimo Paolucci, Biljana Borzan, Simona Bonafè, Iratxe García Pérez, Claudiu Ciprian Tănăsescu, Renata Briano, Nicola Caputo, Liisa Jaakonsaari, Nikos Androulakis, Jytte Guteland, Christel Schaldemose, Nessa Childers, Jo Leinen

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5 – indent 3 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

- water down or weaken the design of regulatory measures or the implementation of existing regulations on

Amendment 229

Eleonora Forenza, Lynn Boylan, Teresa Rodriguez-Rubio

Draft opinion

Paragraph 5 – indent 3 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

- impair EU developments – in particular under the new EU Clinical Trial Regulation and at the European Medicines Agency - to move towards proactive public access to medicines safety and efficacy data;

Amendment 230

Marco Affronte, Eleonora Evi, Piernicola Pedicini, Eleonora Forenza

Draft opinion

Paragraph 5 – indent 3 c (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

- limit the circulation of and access to generic medicines under national health programmes, to the advantage of large pharmaceutical companies;

Amendment 231

Pavel Poc, Tibor Szanyi, Gilles Pargneaux, Massimo Paolucci, Biljana Borzan, Soledad Cabezón Ruiz, Simona Bonafè, Iratxe García Pérez, Claudiu Ciprian Tănăsescu, Renata Briano, Nicola Caputo, Nikos Androulakis, Christel Schaldemose, Glenis Willmott, Nessa Childers, Jo Leinen

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5 – indent 3 c (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

- impair EU developments - in particular under the new EU Clinical Trials Regulation and at the European Medicines agency - to move towards ensuring proactive public access to safety and efficacy data of medicines;

Or. en

Amendment 232
Eleonora Forenza, Lynn Boylan, Teresa Rodriguez-Rubio

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5 – indent 3 c (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

- limit directly or indirectly the national competence of Member States to tailor their pricing and reimbursement policies to ensure sustainable access to affordable medicines.

Or. en

Amendment 233
Pavel Poc, Tibor Szanyi, Gilles Pargneaux, Massimo Paolucci, Biljana Borzan, Soledad Cabezón Ruiz, Simona Bonafè, Iratxe García Pérez, Claudiu Ciprian Tănăsescu, Renata Briano, Nicola Caputo, Nikos Androulakis, Christel Schaldemose, Glenis Willmott, Nessa Childers, Jo Leinen

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5 – indent 3 d (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

- limit directly or indirectly the national competence of Member States to tailor their pricing and reimbursement policies

to ensure sustainable access to affordable medicines

Or. en

Amendment 234

Marco Affronte, Eleonora Evi, Piernicola Pedicini

Draft opinion

Paragraph 5 – indent 3 d (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

- enable ingredients which are banned in the EU from being used in cosmetics, in light of broader US regulations;

Or. it

Amendment 235

Marco Affronte, Eleonora Evi, Piernicola Pedicini, Eleonora Forenza

Draft opinion

Paragraph 5 – indent 3 e (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

- authorise animal testing of cosmetics, as currently provided for under US rules;

Or. it

Amendment 236

Pavel Poc, Ismail Ertug, Tibor Szanyi, Gilles Pargneaux, Massimo Paolucci, Biljana Borzan, Susanne Melior, Soledad Cabezón Ruiz, Simona Bonafè, Iratxe García Pérez, Claudiu Ciprian Tănăsescu, Renata Briano, Nicola Caputo, Nikos Androulakis, Christel Schaldemose, Glenis Willmott, Nessa Childers, Jo Leinen

Draft opinion

Paragraph 5 – indent 3 e (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

- affect the organisation of the health systems and their regulatory mechanisms in the Member States

Or. en

Amendment 237

Marco Affronte, Eleonora Evi, Piernicola Pedicini, Eleonora Forenza

Draft opinion

Paragraph 5 – indent 3 f (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

- weaken the principle of the protection of the diversity and territoriality of products, to the detriment of the PDO and PGI labels which guarantee the quality and recognisability of EU products;

Or. it

Amendment 238

Christofer Fjellner

Draft opinion

Paragraph 5 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

5 a. Is convinced that the EU will benefit from including food safety in the negotiations with the US; calls on the Commission to use its leverage to pressure the US regarding their excessive use of antibiotics in their agriculture and cattle industry;

Or. en

Amendment 239
Bart Staes

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

5 a. Considers that TTIP could be beneficial with regard to harmonized implementation of the UNECE 1958 Agreement concerning the Adoption of Uniform Technical Prescriptions and the 1998 Agreement on UN Global Technical Regulations;

Or. en

Amendment 240
Bart Staes, Keith Taylor, Molly Scott Cato, Jean Lambert

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

5 a. Calls on the Commission to safeguard the future of European public healthcare services by their explicit exclusion from the scope of application of TTIP, in the same way that there is already a carve-out in TTIP for the audio-visual sector and reflecting the existing carve-out of healthcare services from the Services Directive *;

**** see recital 22 and Article 2(f) of Directive 2006/123/EC***

Or. en

Amendment 241
Eleonora Forenza, Lynn Boylan, Teresa Rodriguez-Rubio

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

5 a. Calls the commission to firmly protect the EU's "farm to fork" approach to food hygiene whereby good hygienic practices (GHP) must be in place all along the production chain to guarantee that food sold to the final consumer is safe. Furthermore the negotiations should not pave the way to delegate food control tasks to private operators. It should also stand firm on the contention that a food system based on third-party safety audits cannot be deemed equivalent to a system based on public independent inspections.

Or. en

Amendment 242
Nicola Caputo

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

5 a. Stresses that the TTIP negotiations should not undermine the introduction of EU measures which may contribute to combatting the growing threat of antimicrobial resistance (AMR), ensuring the efficiency of antibiotics for both humans and animals;

Or. it

Amendment 243
Bart Staes

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

5 b. Calls for the TTIP to implement the uniform introduction of an improved test cycle in both the EU and the US based on the Worldwide Harmonized Light vehicles Test Procedures developed by UNECE, and to ensure market surveillance, conformity of production certification and in-use compliance tests, and transparency of the results;

Or. en

**Amendment 244
Bart Staes, Keith Taylor**

**Draft opinion
Paragraph 5 b (new)**

Draft opinion

Amendment

5 b. Expresses concern that the published draft EU textual proposal on SPS measures does not make it explicit that the long term goal of aligning regulatory standards with regard to animal welfare should be to raise standards to the highest level rather than lowering them; draws attention to the fact that enforcement mechanisms of animal welfare provisions appear to be lacking; can only accept to include animal welfare matters in the negotiations, provided that the Commission insists on explicit recognition of the need to harmonise standards to the highest level, and to include animal welfare production standards as a requirement for importing goods into the EU;

Or. en

Amendment 245
Eleonora Forenza, Teresa Rodriguez-Rubio

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

5 b. Calls the Commission to strengthen the food traceability provision in TTIP: this commitment should also include animal identification systems as animals for food are transported on a global scale.

Or. en

Amendment 246
Bart Staes

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5 c (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

5 c. Calls for the TTIP to work through the UNECE process to introduce a global vehicle classification system for light and heavy duty vehicles;

Or. en

Amendment 247
Eleonora Forenza, Lynn Boylan, Marco Affronte, Eleonora Evi, Piernicola Pedicini, Teresa Rodriguez-Rubio

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5 c (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

5 c. Calls the Commission to avoid that the TBT Chapter in TTIP restricts EU and its Member States options to adopt measures with the aim of reducing

consumption of certain products such as tobacco, foods high in fat, salt and sugar and harmful use of alcohol:

Or. en

Amendment 248
Bart Staes

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5 d (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

5 d. Calls for the TTIP to end fuel tax exemptions for commercial aviation in line with the G20 commitments to phase out fossil fuel subsidies;

Or. en

Amendment 249
Eleonora Forenza, Marco Affronte, Eleonora Evi, Piernicola Pedicini, Teresa Rodriguez-Rubio

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5 d (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

5 d. Calls the commission to make sure that TTIP do not lower the GMOs standards at EU and member states level: in this regards the GMO labelling system should be considered a non-negotiable instrument to ensure consumer's right to choose. Furthermore it urges EU negotiators to preserve the right of the European legislators to introduce further regulations on OGMs products including those derived from animals (meat and dairy) fed with GMOs..

Or. en

Amendment 250

Eleonora Forenza, Lynn Boylan, Teresa Rodriguez-Rubio

Draft opinion

Paragraph 5 e (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

5 e. Call on the Commission to stand for the recognition and protection of all PDOs and PGOs, refusing the "common food names" approach in TTIP negotiations and partial lists of protection as accepted in CETA.

Or. en

Amendment 251

Eleonora Forenza, Marco Affronte, Teresa Rodriguez-Rubio

Draft opinion

Paragraph 5 f (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

5 f. Calls the Commission to ensure that TTIP provisions will continue to allow the EU to ban the use of veterinary drugs for growth promotion and of the import and sale of foods from animals that have been undergoing such treatments.

Or. en

Amendment 252

Jadwiga Wiśniewska, Bolesław G. Piecha

Draft opinion

Paragraph 6

Draft opinion

Amendment

6. Is opposed to the inclusion of ISDS in the TTIP, as ISDS risks fundamentally undermining the sovereign rights of the EU, its Member States and regional and local authorities to adopt regulations on public health, food safety and the environment;

deleted

Or. pl

**Amendment 253
Andrey Kovatchev**

**Draft opinion
Paragraph 6**

Draft opinion

Amendment

6. Is opposed to the inclusion of ISDS in the TTIP, as ISDS risks fundamentally undermining the sovereign rights of the EU, its Member States and regional and local authorities to adopt regulations on public health, food safety and the environment;

deleted

Or. en

**Amendment 254
Julie Girling**

**Draft opinion
Paragraph 6**

Draft opinion

Amendment

6. Is opposed to the inclusion of ISDS in the TTIP, as ISDS risks fundamentally undermining the sovereign rights of the EU, its Member States and regional and local authorities to adopt regulations on

deleted

public health, food safety and the environment;

Or. en

Amendment 255
Elisabetta Gardini

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6

Draft opinion

Amendment

6. Is opposed to the inclusion of ISDS in the TTIP, as ISDS risks fundamentally undermining the sovereign rights of the EU, its Member States and regional and local authorities to adopt regulations on public health, food safety and the environment;

deleted

Or. it

Amendment 256
Christofer Fjellner

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6

Draft opinion

Amendment

6. Is opposed to the inclusion of ISDS in the TTIP, as ISDS risks fundamentally undermining the sovereign rights of the EU, its Member States and regional and local authorities to adopt regulations on public health, food safety and the environment;

6. Considers a comprehensive chapter on investment, containing provisions on investment liberalisation and investment protection, essential to encourage and safeguard investments in renewable energy and green technology;

Or. en

Amendment 257
Josu Juaristi Abaunz

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6

Draft opinion

6. Is opposed to the inclusion of ISDS in the TTIP, as ISDS risks fundamentally undermining the sovereign rights of the EU, its Member States and **regional** and local authorities to adopt regulations on public health, food safety and the environment;

Amendment

6. Is opposed to the inclusion of ISDS in the TTIP, as ISDS risks fundamentally undermining the sovereign rights of the EU, its Member States and local authorities and **nations with their own powers** to adopt regulations on public health, food safety and the environment;

Or. es

Amendment 258
József Nagy

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6

Draft opinion

6. ***Is opposed*** to the inclusion of ISDS in the ***TTIP***, as ISDS risks ***fundamentally undermining*** the sovereign rights of the EU, its Member States and regional and local authorities to adopt regulations on public health, food safety and the environment;

Amendment

6. ***Wishes to*** arrange for the inclusion of the ***ISDS in TTIP in a form that does not undermine*** the sovereign rights of the EU, its Member States and regional and local authorities to adopt regulations on public health, food safety and the environment; ***but at the same time guarantees legal certainty, predictability and consistency in order to avoid protectionist ad hoc amendments to legislation and the introduction of administrative obstacles, and subjects legislative amendments to the availability of predictable, preliminary technical/professional impact assessments and strategic documents;***

Or. hu

Amendment 259
György Hölvényi

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6

Draft opinion

6. Is opposed to the inclusion of ISDS in the TTIP, as ISDS risks fundamentally undermining the sovereign rights of the EU, its Member States and regional and local authorities to adopt regulations on public health, food safety and the environment;

Amendment

6. Is opposed to the inclusion of ISDS in the TTIP, as ISDS risks fundamentally undermining the sovereign rights of the EU, its Member States and regional and local authorities to adopt regulations on public health, food safety and the environment. ***The jurisdictional system is sufficiently mature and stable both in the EU and the US so any disputes between foreign investors and host states can be appropriately settled in national courts;***

Or. en

Amendment 260
Glenis Willmott, Pavel Poc

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6

Draft opinion

6. Is opposed to the inclusion of ISDS in the TTIP, as ISDS risks fundamentally undermining the sovereign rights of the EU, its Member States and regional and local authorities to adopt regulations on public health, food safety and the environment;

Amendment

6. Is opposed to the inclusion of ISDS in the TTIP, as ISDS risks fundamentally undermining the sovereign rights of the EU, its Member States and regional and local authorities to adopt regulations on public health, food safety, ***consumer information*** and the environment;

Or. en

Amendment 261
Massimo Paolucci, Enrico Gasbarra, Renata Briano, Simona Bonafè

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6

Draft opinion

6. *Is opposed to the inclusion of ISDS* in the TTIP, *as ISDS risks* fundamentally undermining the sovereign rights of the EU, its Member States and regional and local authorities to adopt regulations on public health, food safety and the environment;

Amendment

6. *The possible inclusion of an ISDS mechanism* in TTIP *is dependent on a substantial reform of the instrument which, if not carried out, may* fundamentally *undermine* the sovereign rights of the EU, its Member States and regional and local authorities to adopt regulations on public health, food safety and the environment;

Or. it

Amendment 262
Andrzej Grzyb

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6

Draft opinion

6. *Is opposed to the inclusion of ISDS* in the TTIP, *as ISDS risks fundamentally undermining the* sovereign rights of the EU, its Member States and regional and local authorities to adopt *regulations on public health, food safety and the environment;*

Amendment

6. *Is of the opinion that ISDS mechanism* in the TTIP, *has to respect* sovereign rights of the EU, its Member States and regional and local authorities to adopt *internal regulations and international agreements;*

Or. en

Amendment 263
Ulrike Müller

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6

Draft opinion

6. Is opposed to the inclusion of ISDS in

Amendment

6. Is opposed to the inclusion of ISDS in

the TTIP, as **ISDS** risks fundamentally **undermining** the sovereign rights of the EU, its Member States **and** regional and local authorities to adopt regulations on public health, food safety and the environment;

the TTIP as, ***on the one hand, this mechanism risks to*** fundamentally ***undermine*** the sovereign rights of the EU, its Member States, regional and local authorities to adopt regulations on public health, food safety and the environment, ***and on the other hand, it is the courts of the Member States providing effective legal protection based on democratic legitimacy to decide all expectable dispute cases competently, efficiently and in a cost-saving manner;***

Or. en

Amendment 264

Pavel Poc, Ismail Ertug, Tibor Szanyi, Gilles Pargneaux, Biljana Borzan, Susanne Melior, Guillaume Balas, Iratxe García Pérez, Claudiu Ciprian Tănăsescu, Nicola Caputo, Nikos Androulakis, Christel Schaldemose, Glenis Willmott, Jo Leinen, Nessa Childers

Draft opinion Paragraph 6

Draft opinion

6. Is opposed to the inclusion of ISDS in the TTIP, as ISDS risks fundamentally undermining the sovereign rights of the EU, its Member States and regional and local authorities to adopt regulations on public health, food safety and the environment;

Amendment

6. Is ***strongly*** opposed to the inclusion of ISDS in the TTIP, as ISDS risks fundamentally undermining the sovereign rights of the EU, its Member States and regional and local authorities to adopt regulations on public health, food safety and the environment;

Or. en

Amendment 265

Herbert Dorfmann, Renate Sommer, Alberto Cirio

Draft opinion Paragraph 6

Draft opinion

6. Is opposed to the inclusion of ISDS in the TTIP, as ISDS risks fundamentally undermining *the* sovereign rights of the EU, *its* Member States *and* regional and local authorities *to adopt regulations on public health, food safety and the environment*;

Amendment

6. Calls on the Commission to improve the existing ISDS in trade with the US in order to avoid *the* undermining of sovereign rights of the EU, *the* Member States, *and the* regional and local authorities,

Or. en

**Amendment 266
Karin Kadenbach**

**Draft opinion
Paragraph 6 a (new)**

Draft opinion

Amendment

(1) Calls on the Commission to enshrine in the provisions on regulatory cooperation the prohibition of the lowering of standards and the precautionary principle.

Or. de

**Amendment 267
György Hölvényi**

**Draft opinion
Paragraph 6 a (new)**

Draft opinion

Amendment

6 a. Calls on the Commission to guarantee that the TTIP will be without prejudice to the right of the EU and Member States to adopt and enforce, in accordance with their respective competence, measures necessary to pursue legitimate public policy objectives

such as social, environmental, public health and safety in a non-discriminatory manner.

Or. en

Amendment 268
Christofer Fjellner

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

6 a. Notes that the EU has the highest number of Bilateral Investment Treaties including investment protection whilst having the highest level of environmental protection; believes thusly that there does not seem to be any evidence for the claim that there is a causal relationship between investment protection and undermining environmental protection;

Or. en

Amendment 269
Julie Girling

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

6 a. Recalls that the inclusion of any possible protection mechanisms should not be at the expense of Member States or the EU's ability to introduce measures necessary to pursue legitimate public policy objectives; these provisions should look to form a new 'gold standard' for investment protection in international investment fora;

Amendment 270

Lynn Boylan, Teresa Rodriguez-Rubio, Eleonora Forenza

Draft opinion

Paragraph 6 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

6 a. Underlines the importance of removing the ISDS clause for civil society as evidenced by the vast majority of respondents to the Commission's consultation expressing opposition to the mechanism as a threat to democracy and public policy

Or. en

Amendment 271

Michel Dantin, Angélique Delahaye, Françoise Grossetête

Draft opinion

Paragraph 6 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

6a. The fight against the development of antimicrobial resistance being a priority issue for public and animal health, calls for the Agreement on the Application of Sanitary and Phytosanitary Measures (SPS) of the World Trade Organisation (WTO) to be integrated with negotiation of the TTIP.

Or. fr

Amendment 272

Massimo Paolucci, Enrico Gasbarra, Renata Briano, Simona Bonafè

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

6 a. Calls for a reform of the ISDS mechanism featuring, amongst other things: a written explanation of the contracting parties' right to legislate; stringent selection and control mechanisms for arbitrators which guard against any potential conflict of interest and ensure their neutrality and partiality; clear identification of the relationship between national courts and ISDS; the opportunity to review rulings via an appeals mechanism; the establishment of a permanent court of judges; public access to documents and information relating to the opening of a dispute and hearings open to the public.

Or. it

Amendment 273
Nicola Caputo

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

6 a. Considers that the TTIP should intensify the level of cooperation between the EU and the US in the area of e-health with the objective of improving the efficiency of their respective healthcare services;

Or. it

Amendment 274
Lynn Boylan, Teresa Rodriguez-Rubio, Eleonora Forenza

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

6 b. Stresses that the Commission should not dismiss widespread public opposition to the ISDS mechanism

Or. en

Amendment 275
Nicola Caputo

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

6 b. Acknowledges and welcomes the efforts of the European Commission and Commissioner Cecilia Malmström in moving towards greater transparency in the TTIP negotiations;

Or. it

Amendment 276
Herbert Dorfmann, Renate Sommer, Christofer Fjellner, Andrey Kovatchev, Giovanni La Via, Norbert Lins, Alberto Cirio, Peter Liese

Draft opinion
Paragraph 7

Draft opinion

Amendment

7. Is deeply concerned about the lack of transparency in the negotiations, and urges the Commission to give all Members of the European Parliament access to the negotiation texts, in particular the consolidated ones.

deleted

Or. en

Draft opinion

7. Is deeply concerned about the lack of transparency in the negotiations, and urges the Commission to give all Members of the European Parliament access to the negotiation texts, in particular the consolidated ones.

Amendment

7. Welcomes the new transparency initiative of the European Commission which has made available to the public a large number of texts and given all MEPs access to a EU textual proposals and position papers, stresses however that more is needed to involve all stakeholders, such as more access to texts and more public meetings; Notes also, that access to American text proposals would increase transparency;

Or. en

**Amendment 280
Bart Staes**

**Draft opinion
Paragraph 7**

Draft opinion

7. Is deeply concerned about the lack of transparency in the negotiations, and urges the Commission to give all Members of the European Parliament access to the negotiation texts, in particular the consolidated ones.

Amendment

7. Welcomes the Commission's recent decision to make the TTIP negotiations more transparent, but regrets that its implementation is still rather patchy, as only very few and non-recent negotiation texts have been made publicly available, and insists that further steps need to be taken in line with the recommendations by the European Ombudsman, in particular by giving all Members of the European Parliament as well as the public in general access to updated negotiation texts, in particular the consolidated ones.

Or. en

**Amendment 281
Christofer Fjellner**

Draft opinion
Paragraph 7

Draft opinion

7. Is deeply concerned about the lack of transparency in the negotiations, and urges the Commission to give all Members of the European Parliament access to the negotiation texts, in particular the consolidated ones.

Amendment

7. Welcomes Commissioner Malmström's transparency initiative; urges the Commission to continue publishing the negotiation texts as soon as possible.

Or. en

Amendment 282

Iratxe García Pérez, Inmaculada Rodríguez-Piñero Fernández

Draft opinion
Paragraph 7

Draft opinion

7. Is deeply concerned about the lack of transparency in the negotiations, and urges the Commission to give all Members of the European Parliament access to the negotiation texts, in particular the consolidated ones.

Amendment

7. Considers that there should be a significant increase in the degree of transparency with which the negotiations are being conducted, and urges the Commission to give all Members of the European Parliament access to the negotiation texts, in particular the consolidated ones.

Or. es

Amendment 283

József Nagy

Draft opinion
Paragraph 7

Draft opinion

7. Is deeply concerned about the lack of transparency in the negotiations, and urges the Commission to give all Members of the

Amendment

7. Encourages improving transparency in the course of negotiations and urges the Commission to give all Members of the

European Parliament access to the negotiation texts, in particular the consolidated ones.

European Parliament access to the negotiation texts, in particular the consolidated ones.

Or. hu

Amendment 284
Nicola Caputo

Draft opinion
Paragraph 7

Draft opinion

7. Is deeply concerned about the lack of transparency in the negotiations, and urges the Commission to give all Members of the European Parliament access to the negotiation texts, in particular the consolidated ones.

Amendment

7. Urges the European Commission to further improve transparency in the negotiations and give all Members of the European Parliament *easy access* to the negotiation texts.

Or. it

Amendment 285
Mara Bizzotto

Draft opinion
Paragraph 7

Draft opinion

7. Is deeply concerned about the lack of transparency in the negotiations, and urges the Commission to give all Members of the European Parliament access to the negotiation texts, in particular the consolidated ones.

Amendment

7. Is deeply concerned about the lack of transparency in the negotiations, and urges the Commission to give all Members of the European Parliament access to the negotiation texts, in particular the consolidated ones.

Or. it

Amendment 286
Lampros Fountoulis

Draft opinion
Paragraph 7

Draft opinion

7. Is deeply concerned about the lack of transparency in the negotiations, and urges the Commission to give all Members of the European Parliament access to the negotiation texts, in particular the consolidated ones.

Amendment

7. Is deeply concerned about the lack of transparency in the negotiations, and urges the Commission to give all Members of the European Parliament, ***Members of National Parliaments, as well as all European citizens***, access to the negotiation texts, in particular the consolidated ones.

Or. el

Amendment 287

Michel Dantin, Angélique Delahaye, Françoise Grossetête

Draft opinion
Paragraph 7

Draft opinion

7. Is deeply concerned about the lack of transparency in the negotiations, and urges the Commission to give all Members of the European Parliament access to the negotiation texts, in particular the consolidated ones

Amendment

7. Urges the Commission to give all Members of the European Parliament access to the texts of the negotiations, especially to the consolidated texts.

Or. fr

Amendment 288

Josu Juaristi Abaunz, Anne-Marie Mineur

Draft opinion
Paragraph 7

Draft opinion

7. Is deeply concerned about the lack of transparency in the negotiations, and

Amendment

7. Calls for these and other similar negotiations to be conducted with absolute

urges the Commission to give all Members of the European Parliament access to the negotiation texts, in particular the consolidated ones.

transparency, and urges the Commission to give all Members of the European Parliament access to the negotiation texts, in particular the consolidated ones, **as requested in the Report on the European Ombudsman's public consultation in relation to the transparency of the TTIP negotiations of 7 January 2015.**

<http://www.ombudsman.europa.eu/en/cases/correspondence.faces/en/58643/html.bookmark>

Or. es

Amendment 289
Merja Kyllönen

Draft opinion
Paragraph 7

Draft opinion

7. Is deeply concerned about the lack of transparency in the negotiations, and urges the Commission to give all Members of the European Parliament access to the negotiation texts, in particular the consolidated ones.

Amendment

7. Demands that this and similar negotiations are conducted with absolute transparency, and urges the Commission to give all Members of the European Parliament access to the negotiation texts, in particular the consolidated ones, **as requested by the European Ombudsman on the consultation Transparency and Public Participation report of January 7th, 2015**

<http://www.ombudsman.europa.eu/cases/correspondence.faces/es/58643/html.bookmark>.

(Identical to Josu Juaristi Abaunz am 9)

Or. en

Amendment 290
Annie Schreijer-Pierik

Draft opinion
Paragraph 7

Draft opinion

7. Is **deeply** concerned about the lack of transparency in the negotiations, **and** urges the Commission to give all Members of the European Parliament access to **the** negotiation texts, in particular the consolidated ones.

Amendment

7. Is concerned about the lack of transparency in the negotiations **so far, and therefore** urges the Commission to give all Members of the European Parliament access to **all** negotiation texts, in particular the consolidated ones, **on condition of strict confidentiality if publication of this or any negotiating position of the European Commission would damage the European negotiating position.**

(Access to all texts is requested even more unambiguously, with a requirement of strict confidentiality, if necessary, to safeguard the general European negotiating interest.)

Or. nl

Amendment 291
Julie Girling

Draft opinion
Paragraph 7

Draft opinion

7. Is deeply concerned about the lack of transparency in **the** negotiations, and **urges the Commission to give all Members of the European Parliament access to the negotiation texts, in particular the consolidated ones.**

Amendment

7. Welcomes the Commission's communication of 25 November 2014 concerning transparency in **TTIP** negotiations, and **considers this a good step to further developing transparency, stakeholder consultation and access to documents alike, while retaining the degree of confidentiality necessary for trade negotiators to reach a good final deal; expresses its willingness to work together with the Commission to implement the provisions of this**

Communication as soon possible in early 2015;

Or. en

Amendment 292

Simona Bonafè, Enrico Gasbarra, Massimo Paolucci, Renata Briano, Paolo De Castro, Caterina Chinnici

Draft opinion

Paragraph 7

Draft opinion

7. Is deeply concerned about the lack of transparency in the negotiations, and urges the Commission to give all Members of the European Parliament access to the negotiation texts, in particular the consolidated ones.

Amendment

7. Welcomes the Commission's decision to make the negotiating mandate public and hopes for more transparency and communication between the different European Institutions involved.

Or. it

Amendment 293

Frédérique Ries

Draft opinion

Paragraph 7

Draft opinion

7. Is deeply concerned about the lack of transparency in the negotiations, and urges the Commission to give all Members of the European Parliament access to the negotiation texts, in particular the consolidated ones.

Amendment

7. Welcomes the huge and recent progress made by the Commission in order to ensure transparency in the negotiations and urges the counterpart US negotiators to do the same.

Or. en

Amendment 294

Andrzej Grzyb

Draft opinion
Paragraph 7

Draft opinion

7. Is deeply concerned about the lack of transparency in the negotiations, and urges the Commission to give all Members of the European Parliament access to the negotiation texts, in particular the consolidated ones.

Amendment

7. Is of the opinion that Members of the European Parliament shall have access to the negotiation texts, in particular the consolidated ones. Underlines that in line with legitimate expectations of representatives of civil society and media, the directions and results of negotiations shall be communicated to the public in clear and transparent manner.

Or. en

Amendment 295
Karin Kadenbach

Draft opinion
Paragraph 7 a (new)

Draft opinion

(1) Calls for public and social services to be unequivocally excluded from all provisions of the agreement; moreover there must be no negative lists, hybrid approaches or ‘ratchet clauses’.

Amendment

Or. de

Amendment 296
Karin Kadenbach

Draft opinion
Paragraph 7 a (new)

Draft opinion

(2) Competition in relation to social and environmental standards must be ruled

Amendment

out. Provision should therefore be made for the core labour standards and the more stringent ILO labour standards to be ratified, transposed and applied. In the event of breaches, sanctions must be imposed. Mandatory compliance with the multilateral environmental agreements (Montreal Protocol (ozone), Basel Convention (hazardous wastes), Stockholm Convention (persistent organic pollutants), Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species of Wild Fauna and Flora, Convention on Biological Diversity, Rotterdam Convention (hazardous chemicals and pesticides)), including the Kyoto Protocol, must also be ensured.

Or. de

Amendment 297
Susanne Melior

Draft opinion
Paragraph 7 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

7 a. Advocates the integration of the existing EU and US early warning systems in the food sector and the improvement of product traceability in the transatlantic trade chain in order to be able to take more rapid action to protect health in the event of a food scare;

Or. de

Amendment 298
Zoltán Balczó

Draft opinion
Paragraph 7 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

7 a. Expresses concern about the TTIP's likely impacts on the EU labour market, considering the fact that lessons drawn from former free trade agreements do not definitely prove any increase in the number of jobs; what is more, jobs are actually expected to be lost in certain sectors

Or. hu

Amendment 299

Ivan Jakovčić

Draft opinion

Paragraph 7 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

7 a. Calls on the Commission to insist, within the TTIP negotiations, on the inclusion of European standards and practices in the key areas for the protection of health and the environment, food safety and consumer information, considering unacceptable the potential decrease of the achieved European standards;

Or. en

Amendment 300

Jadwiga Wiśniewska, Bolesław G. Piecha

Draft opinion

Paragraph 7 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

7 a. Objects to any reductions in the customs tariffs for those energy-intensive

products for which the costs of the EU climate and environmental policies and administrative costs lead to a significant reduction in competitiveness in relation to the US;

Or. pl

Amendment 301

Gerben-Jan Gerbrandy, Jan Huitema, Anneli Jäätteenmäki

Draft opinion

Paragraph 7 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

7 a. Recalls the Commission's intention to set up a formal dialogue on animal welfare with US government regulators^{1 a}, urges the Commission in particular to pay attention to housing requirements of animals in order to ensure a genuine level playing field.

1 a

http://trade.ec.europa.eu/doclib/docs/2015/january/tradoc_153004.3%20Food%20safety,%20a+p%20health%20%28SPS%29.pdf

Or. en

Amendment 302

Julie Girling

Draft opinion

Paragraph 7 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

7 a. Welcomes the Commission's publication of the draft legal text on 7 January 2015, and considers this a

positive step to further increase transparency of the TTIP negotiations; expresses its commitment to working with the Commission and Member States in order to achieve improved transparency, while recognising the need for existing confidentiality arrangements in order to achieve the best result for European citizens;

Or. en

Amendment 303
Catherine Bearder

Draft opinion
Paragraph 7 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

7 a. Calls for no lowering of the existing EU air quality limits that protect public health and should standards be found to be non-aligned to be levelled at the highest level of protection, including effective real world driving limits for both diesel and petrol vehicles.

Or. en

Amendment 304
Anneli Jäätteenmäki, Nils Torvalds, Frédérique Ries, Ulrike Müller, Catherine Bearder

Draft opinion
Paragraph 7 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

7 a. Urges the Commission to work with their U.S. counterparts on compatible traceability provisions to ensure that processed and unprocessed foods containing products of animal origin can be effectively traced throughout the entire

food chain.

Or. en

Amendment 305
Liisa Jaakonsaari

Draft opinion
Paragraph 7 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

7 a. Calls on the Commission to clearly define sustainable development, including green public procurement in the context of TTIP, and strive for common standards for resource and energy efficient products to enable the transition to a low carbon economy.

Or. en

Amendment 306
Pavel Poc, Tibor Szanyi, Gilles Pargneaux, Jo Leinen, Biljana Borzan, Susanne Melior, Iratxe García Pérez, Claudiu Ciprian Tănăsescu, Liisa Jaakonsaari, Nikos Androulakis, Jytte Guteland, Christel Schaldemose, Nessa Childers

Draft opinion
Paragraph 7 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

7 a. Calls on the Commission to maintain the highest possible level of transparency of the negotiations, including access to the negotiating texts, and consultation with civil society throughout the process

Or. en

Amendment 307
Nicola Caputo

Draft opinion
Paragraph 7 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

7 a. Stresses that greater transparency would have a positive impact on the TTIP negotiations, strengthening their legitimacy and improving confidence in civil society.

Or. it

Amendment 308
Susanne Melior

Draft opinion
Paragraph 7 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

7 b. Calls on the Commission to take steps towards closer cooperation between the EU and the US with regard to measures to combat obesity, in particular in children;

Or. de

Amendment 309

Pavel Poc, Tibor Szanyi, Gilles Pargneaux, Massimo Paolucci, Jo Leinen, Biljana Borzan, Daciana Octavia Sârbu, Simona Bonafè, Iratxe García Pérez, Claudiu Ciprian Tănăsescu, Renata Briano, Nicola Caputo, Jytte Guteland, Christel Schaldemose, Nessa Childers

Draft opinion
Paragraph 7 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

7 b. Calls on the Commission to pay particular attention to ensuring that a future TTIP allows both parties to maintain the level of environmental,

health and food safety protection they deem appropriate, as set forth in the negotiating guidelines

Or. en

Amendment 310

Pavel Poc, Tibor Szanyi, Gilles Pargneaux, Massimo Paolucci, Jo Leinen, Susanne Melior, Iratxe García Pérez, Claudiu Ciprian Tănăsescu, Renata Briano, Nicola Caputo, Jytte Guteland, Christel Schaldemose, Nessa Childers

Draft opinion

Paragraph 7 c (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

7 c. Highlights the fact that the TTIP agreement should support setting out a pathway to reduce both the US and Europe's dependence on fossil fuels and that the agreement must not undermine any EU and/or international processes leading to decarbonisation in the transport

Or. en

Amendment 311

Pavel Poc, Tibor Szanyi, Gilles Pargneaux, Massimo Paolucci, Jo Leinen, Biljana Borzan, Guillaume Balas, Iratxe García Pérez, Claudiu Ciprian Tănăsescu, Renata Briano, Nicola Caputo, Nikos Androulakis, Christel Schaldemose, Nessa Childers

Draft opinion

Paragraph 7 d (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

7 d. Calls on the Commission to conduct Trade Sustainability Impact Assessment on the TTIP agreement

Or. en

Amendment 312

Pavel Poc, Ismail Ertug, Tibor Szanyi, Gilles Pargneaux, Massimo Paolucci, Biljana Borzan, Iratxe García Pérez, Claudiu Ciprian Tănăsescu, Renata Briano, Nicola Caputo, Nikos Androulakis, Christel Schaldemose, Jo Leinen, Nessa Childers

Draft opinion

Paragraph 7 e (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

7 e. Highlights that any proposed clause should be thoroughly reviewed and assessed with a view to its potential impact on the regulatory acquis and the EU's freedom to pursue non-economic policy goals in the future and calls on the Commission to assess any proposed clause with a view to its necessity and whether the purported aim could be reached equally well through other means

Or. en

Amendment 313

Pavel Poc, Tibor Szanyi, Gilles Pargneaux, Jo Leinen, Biljana Borzan, Iratxe García Pérez, Claudiu Ciprian Tănăsescu, Nicola Caputo, Christel Schaldemose

Draft opinion

Paragraph 7 f (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

7 f. Calls on the Commission to avoid ambiguities to prevent expansive interpretation by arbitration tribunals by ensuring that the essential terms used in the agreement are clearly defined

Or. en

Amendment 314

Pavel Poc, Ismail Ertug, Tibor Szanyi, Gilles Pargneaux, Jo Leinen, Biljana Borzan, Guillaume Balas, Iratxe García Pérez, Claudiu Ciprian Tănăsescu, Nicola Caputo, Glenis Willmott, Christel Schaldemose, Nessa Childers

Draft opinion
Paragraph 7 g (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

7 g. Encourages the Commission to support the work on alternative methods to animal testing and to push for the progressive phase-out of animal tests worldwide and calls on the Commission to encourage closer future cooperation on animal welfare issues

Or. en