



AMENDMENTS

1 - 166

Draft report
Christel Schaldemose
(PE575.317v01-00)

Implementation of the Food Contact Materials Regulation ((EC) 1935/2004)
(2015/2259(INI))

Amendment 1

Martin Häusling

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Citation 1 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

- *having regard to Regulation (EC) No 1907/2006 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 18 December 2006 concerning the Registration, Evaluation, Authorisation and Restriction of Chemicals (REACH), establishing a European Chemicals Agency, amending Directive 1999/45/EC and repealing Council Regulation (EEC) No 793/93 and Commission Regulation (EC) No 1488/94 as well as Council Directive 76/769/EEC and Commission Directives 91/155/EEC, 93/67/EEC, 93/105/EC and 2000/21/EC(7) ('the REACH Regulation')^{1a},*

^{1a} *OJ L 396, 30.12.2006, p. 1–849*

Or. en

Amendment 2

Martin Häusling

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Citation 2 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

- *having regard to the Commission State of the Art Report on Mixture Toxicity^{1a},*

^{1a} *Kortenkamp 2009*
<http://ec.europa.eu/environment/chemical>

Amendment 3

Martin Häusling

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Citation 2 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

- *having regard to the conclusions adopted by the Council of Environment Ministers on 22 December 2009 on the combination effects of chemicals*^{1a},

1a

<http://register.consilium.europa.eu/doc/srv?l=EN&f=ST%2017820%202009%20IN>
IT

Amendment 4

Martin Häusling

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Citation 3 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

- *having regard to the Communication from the Commission to the Council on "The combination effects of chemicals-Chemical mixtures"*^{1a},

1a *COM(2012)0252 final, <http://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?qid=1463582288046&u>*

Amendment 5

Martin Häusling

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Citation 3 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

- *having regard to Decision No 1386/2013/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council of 20 November 2013 on a General Union Environment Action Programme to 2020 'Living well, within the limits of our planet' which inter alia recognises the need for the EU to address combination effects of chemicals and safety concerns related to endocrine disruptors in all relevant Union legislation^{1a},*

^{1a} *7th Environmental Action Programme: OJ L 354, 28.12.2013, p. 171–200, <http://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=CELEX:32013D1386>*

Amendment 6

Martin Häusling

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Citation 3 c (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

- *having regard to an assessment of*

the "State of the science of endocrine disrupting chemicals – 2012", prepared for the United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP) and WHO^{1a},

^{1a}

<http://www.who.int/ceh/publications/endocrine/en/>

Or. en

Amendment 7

Christel Schaldemose, Pavel Poc, Biljana Borzan, Nicola Caputo

Motion for a resolution

Citation 5 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

- *having regard to the Communication from the Commission to the Council on "The combination effects of chemicals - Chemical mixtures"^{1a},*

^{1a} *COM(2012)0252 final*

Or. en

Amendment 8

Christel Schaldemose, Pavel Poc, Biljana Borzan, Nicola Caputo

Motion for a resolution

Citation 5 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

- *having regard to the conclusions adopted by the Council of Environment Ministers on 22 December 2009 on the combination effects of chemicals^{1a},*

1a

<http://register.consilium.europa.eu/doc/srv?l=EN&f=ST%2017820%202009%20IN%20IT>

Or. en

Amendment 9

Christel Schaldemose, Pavel Poc, Biljana Borzan, Nicola Caputo

Motion for a resolution

Citation 5 c (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

- ***having regard to Decision No 1386/2013/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council of 20 November 2013 on a General Union Environment Action Programme to 2020 'Living well, within the limits of our planet' which inter alia recognises the need for the EU to address the combination effects of chemicals and the safety concerns related to endocrine disruptors in all relevant Union legislation^{1a} ;***

^{1a} ***7th Environmental Action Programme: OJ L 354, 28.12.2013, p. 171–200, <http://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=CELEX:32013D1386>***

Or. en

Amendment 10

Christel Schaldemose, Pavel Poc, Biljana Borzan, Nicola Caputo

Motion for a resolution

Citation 5 d (new)

- **having regard to Regulation (EC) No 1907/2006 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 18 December 2006 concerning the Registration, Evaluation, Authorisation and Restriction of Chemicals (REACH), establishing a European Chemicals Agency, amending Directive 1999/45/EC and repealing Council Regulation (EEC) No 793/93, and Commission Regulation (EC) No 1488/94 as well as Council Directive 76/769/EEC and Commission Directives 91/155/EEC, 93/67/EEC, 93/105/EC and 2000/21/EC(7) ('the REACH Regulation')^{1a},**

^{1a} OJ L 396, 30.12.2006, p. 1–849

Or. en

Amendment 11
Birgit Collin-Langen

Motion for a resolution
Recital A

Motion for a resolution

A. whereas Regulation (EC) No 1935/2004 ('the Framework Regulation') sets out general safety requirements for all food contact materials (FCMs) in order to ensure that substances ***migrating from the material into the food concerned*** do not endanger human health or change the composition ***or characteristics*** of the food ***itself***;

Amendment

A. whereas Regulation (EC) No 1935/2004 ('the Framework Regulation') sets out general safety requirements for all food contact materials and articles which are intended to come into contact directly or indirectly with food in order to ensure that substances ***do not migrate into food in quantities large enough to endanger human health or to bring about an unacceptable change in the composition of the food or a deterioration in its organoleptic properties***;

Or. de

Amendment 12
Pavel Poc

Motion for a resolution
Recital C a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Ca. *whereas there is a strong need for revision of current harmonised legislation, specifically Council Directive 84/500/EEC on ceramics* ^{1a};

^{1a} *OJ L 277, 20.10.1984, p.12*

Or. en

Amendment 13
Piernicola Pedicini, Marco Affronte, Eleonora Evi

Motion for a resolution
Recital D a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Da. *Whereas a system of mutual recognition of widely diverging national FCMs legislation cannot ensure the high level of health protection envisaged by the EU Treaty;*

Or. en

Amendment 14
Birgit Collin-Langen

Motion for a resolution
Recital E

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

E. *whereas materials not regulated by*

E. *whereas materials not regulated by*

specific EU measures can pose a risk to public health and give rise to loss of consumer trust, legal uncertainty and increased compliance costs for operators; ***whereas the lack of uniform measures is detrimental to the smooth functioning of the internal market and is hampering the drive towards a circular economy;***

specific EU measures can pose a risk to public health and give rise to loss of consumer trust, legal uncertainty and increased compliance costs for operators;

Or. de

Amendment 15

Martin Häusling

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Recital E

Motion for a resolution

E. whereas materials not regulated by specific EU measures can pose a risk to public health and give rise to loss of consumer trust, legal uncertainty and increased compliance costs for operators; whereas the lack of uniform measures is detrimental to the smooth functioning of the internal market and hampers the drive towards a circular economy;

Amendment

E. whereas materials not regulated by specific EU measures can pose a risk to public health and give rise to loss of consumer trust, legal uncertainty and increased compliance costs for operators; whereas ***there is broad consensus among all relevant stakeholders that*** the lack of uniform measures is detrimental to ***public health, the protection of the environment,*** the smooth functioning of the internal market and hampers the drive towards a circular economy;

Or. en

Amendment 16

Nicola Caputo

Motion for a resolution

Recital E

Motion for a resolution

E. whereas materials not regulated by

Amendment

E. whereas materials not regulated by

specific EU measures can pose a risk to public health and give rise to loss of consumer trust, legal uncertainty and increased compliance costs for operators; whereas the lack of uniform measures is detrimental to the smooth functioning of the internal market and hampers the drive towards a circular economy;

specific EU measures can pose a risk to public health and give rise to loss of consumer trust, legal uncertainty and increased compliance costs for operators, **as well as loss of competitiveness and innovation**; whereas the lack of uniform measures is detrimental to the smooth functioning of the internal market **and the application of general safety requirements** and hampers the drive towards a circular economy;

Or. it

Amendment 17
Biljana Borzan

Motion for a resolution
Recital E

Motion for a resolution

E. whereas materials not regulated by specific EU measures can pose a risk to public health and give rise to loss of consumer trust, legal uncertainty and increased compliance costs for operators; whereas the lack of uniform measures is detrimental to the smooth functioning of the internal market and hampers the drive towards a circular economy;

Amendment

E. whereas materials not regulated by specific EU measures can pose a risk to public health and give rise to loss of consumer trust, legal uncertainty and increased compliance costs for operators **which are eventually covered by end consumers**; whereas the lack of uniform measures is detrimental to the smooth functioning of the internal market and hampers the drive towards a circular economy;

Or. en

Amendment 18
Sirpa Pietikäinen

Motion for a resolution
Recital E a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Ea. Whereas particularly endocrine disruptors and genotoxic substances in FCMs are problematic both for public health and the environment; whereas currently, these activities cannot be reliably predicted from the chemical composition, and therefore biotesting should be encouraged as a an optional premonitory measure to ensure the safety of chemically complex FCMs; whereas research on the development of both analytical and toxicological testing should be encouraged to ensure robust and cost-effective safety assessment of FCMs for the benefit of consumers, environment and manufacturers;

Or. en

Amendment 19
Birgit Collin-Langen

Motion for a resolution
Recital E a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Ea. whereas the internal market for the four harmonised products groups is working well; whereas many Member States have introduced or are working on different measures for the remaining individual food contact materials and articles; whereas in respect of these national measures the principle of mutual recognition does not work and the effective functioning of the internal market is thus being impeded;

Or. de

Amendment 20
Nicola Caputo

Motion for a resolution
Recital E a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Ea. whereas the traceability of FCM should be ensured at all stages of the supply chain in order to facilitate monitoring, the recall of defective products, consumer information and the attribution of responsibility.

Or. it

Amendment 21
Piernicola Pedicini, Marco Affronte, Eleonora Evi

Motion for a resolution
Recital E a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Ea. Whereas deleterious microorganisms (pathogenic or spoilage) that may be present as contaminants of FCMs, and the biocides that may be consequently used to reduce their number, also pose a risk to public health;

Or. en

Amendment 22
Mireille D'Ornano, Sylvie Goddyn

Motion for a resolution
Recital E a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Ea. whereas the initiatives of certain Member States to ban endocrine disrupters are salutary and fill the void left by the Commission's inaction;

Amendment 23
György Hölvényi

Motion for a resolution
Recital E a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

E a. whereas current EU legislation is not unequivocal as regards whether Member States are required to register FCM producers in the interests of the monitoring of food safety;

Or. hu

Amendment 24
Mireille D'Ornano, Sylvie Goddyn

Motion for a resolution
Recital E b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Eb. whereas effective implementation of the regulation on materials intended to come into contact with food requires the publication of criteria for defining endocrine disrupters;

Or. fr

Amendment 25
Birgit Collin-Langen

Motion for a resolution
Recital E b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

***Eb.** whereas the aim of the framework regulation could be achieved if uniform EU testing methods were to be developed for all food contact materials and articles;*

Or. de

Amendment 26
Birgit Collin-Langen

Motion for a resolution
Recital E c (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

***Ec.** whereas introducing a safety check for pre-manufactured food contact articles could be one way of supplementing certain specific measures;*

Or. de

Amendment 27
Birgit Collin-Langen

Motion for a resolution
Recital E d (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

***Ed.** whereas the lack of uniform specific measures will make it impossible to achieve the aims of the framework regulation, with the result that the effective functioning of the internal market and a high degree of protection of public health and consumers' interests cannot be guaranteed;*

Or. de

Amendment 28

Birgit Collin-Langen

Motion for a resolution

Recital E e (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Ee. whereas the more effective coordination of all provisions which have a bearing on the use of food contact materials and articles could help to improve consumer health protection and reduce the impact of packaging materials on the environment, and whereas at the same time this would do much to make the circular economy more effective;

Or. de

Amendment 29

Stefan Eck

Motion for a resolution

Recital F a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Fa. whereas a European Implementation Assessment study by the European Parliamentary Research Service of May 2016 concludes that stakeholders - across businesses, consumers, environmental and health NGOs, researchers, as well as Member States' competent authorities - are in favour of specific measures at EU level for the FCMs that are not yet harmonised at EU level;

Or. en

Amendment 30

Christel Schaldemose, Pavel Poc, Biljana Borzan, Daciana Octavia Sârbu, Nicola Caputo

Motion for a resolution
Recital F a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Fa. whereas there are EU laws which aim to protect public health from exposure to chemicals in products and from the environment, but which only assess risks individually and do not provide for a comprehensive, integrated assessment of the cumulative effects from different routes of exposure and different product types;

Or. en

Amendment 31
Martin Häusling
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Recital F a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Fa. whereas the current paradigm of health and environmental risk assessment at EU level is limited to assessment of individual substances and ignores the real life conditions of combined and cumulative exposure from different routes and product types, also known as "cocktail" or "mixture" effect;

Or. en

Amendment 32
Susanne Melior

Motion for a resolution
Recital F a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Fa. whereas some foods are in contact for long periods with a wide range of packaging materials;

Or. de

Amendment 33

Martin Häusling

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Recital F b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Fb. whereas the "Better Regulation" principles should by no means delay any measure aiming at averting or reducing potentially serious or irreversible consequences for human health and/or the environment, as compelled by the precautionary principle enshrined in the EU Treaties;

Or. en

Amendment 34

Martin Häusling

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Recital F c (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Fc. whereas full transparency of the protocols, raw data and results of scientific studies is a prerequisite for trustworthy public decision making and avoiding duplicative testing on vertebrate animals;

Amendment 35
Martin Häusling
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Recital F d (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Fd. *whereas according to a recommendation by FAO/WHO (2009)^{1a}, exposure assessments should cover the general population, as well as critical groups that are vulnerable or are expected to have exposure higher than the general population (e.g. infants, children);*

^{1a} *Recent developments in the risk assessment of chemicals in food and their potential impact on the safety assessment of substances used in food contact materials - EFSA Journal 2016;14(1):4357 [28 pp.]*
<https://www.efsa.europa.eu/en/efsajournal/pub/4357>

Amendment 36
Martin Häusling
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Recital F e (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Fe. *whereas according to EFSA, nanotechnology and nanomaterials are a new technological development and FCM are one sector in which the use of nanomaterials has featured; whereas the*

specific properties of nanomaterials may affect their toxicokinetic and toxicology profiles, but limited information is available in relation to these aspects; whereas there are also uncertainties stemming from the difficulty of characterising, detecting and measuring nanomaterials in food and in biological matrices, and from the limited availability of toxicity data and test methods;

Or. en

Amendment 37
Martin Häusling
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Recital F f (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Ff. whereas labelling is a very direct and effective tool of informing the consumer about the characteristics of a product;

Or. en

Amendment 38
Martin Häusling
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Recital F g (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Fg. whereas a horizontal approach to substances across all economic sectors provides consistency of the legislation and predictability for businesses;

Or. en

Amendment 39
Notis Marias

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1

Motion for a resolution

1. Acknowledges that the Framework Regulation constitutes a *solid* legal basis, the objectives of which remain relevant;

Amendment

1. Acknowledges that the Framework Regulation constitutes a legal basis, the objectives of which remain relevant;

Or. el

Amendment 40
György Hölvényi

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 1 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

1a. Considers that placing the registration and monitoring of FCM on common foundations will create a far clearer situation; considers, at the same time, that care must be taken to ensure that the increased tasks of the authorities and public expenditure in this connection are proportionate to the aims in view;

Or. hu

Amendment 41
Stefan Eck

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 2

Motion for a resolution

2. Underlines that, while the major focus should be on the adoption of specific measures for those 13 materials not yet regulated at EU level, shortcomings exist

Amendment

2. Underlines that, while the major focus should be on the adoption of specific measures for those 13 materials not yet regulated at EU level, shortcomings exist

in the implementation and enforcement of the legislation in place;

in the implementation and enforcement of the legislation in place; *as current EU legislation of food contact materials permits chemicals that are prohibited in other products under other EU law and ignores today's science on endocrine disrupting chemicals, the EU shall seek proper regulation of all types of food contact materials, including a prohibition on the use of both endocrine disrupting chemicals and "Substances of Very High Concern", or SVHCs, as defined under Regulation (EC) No 1907/2006 concerning the Registration, Evaluation, Authorisation and Restriction of Chemicals (REACH);*

Or. en

Amendment 42

Martin Häusling

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 2

Motion for a resolution

2. Underlines that, while the major focus should be on the adoption of specific measures for those 13 materials not yet regulated at EU level, shortcomings exist in the implementation and enforcement of the legislation in place;

Amendment

2. Underlines that, while the major focus should be on the adoption of specific measures for those 13 materials not yet regulated at EU level, ***all relevant stakeholders point out that*** shortcomings exist in the implementation and enforcement of the legislation in place;

Or. en

Amendment 43

Piernicola Pedicini, Marco Affronte, Eleonora Evi

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 2 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

2a. *Proposes, in particular, to revise the existing EU specific measures on ceramics (Council Directive 84/500/EEC)^{1a} with a view to reducing the limits for cadmium and lead release; and to review the implementing measure on plastics materials (Commission Regulation (EU) No 10/2011)^{1b} in light of the current state of knowledge with a view to including provisions for colorants, solvents and all polymer production aids;*

^{1a} *OJ L 277, 20.10.1984, p. 12*

^{1b} *OJ L 12, 15.1.2011, p. 1–89*

Or. en

Amendment 44
Pavel Poc

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 2 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

2a. *Underlines, in particular, the need for strengthening the limits for lead and cadmium and include limits for other heavy metals such as cobalt in the revision of Council Directive 84/500/EEC^{1a} on ceramics as proposed by the European Commission in 2013; regrets that the revision of the Directive on ceramics has not been discussed in the European Parliament and within the Member States yet;*

^{1a} *OJ L 277, 20.10.1984, p. 12*

Or. en

Amendment 45
Stefan Eck

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 3

Motion for a resolution

3. Awaits the upcoming review by the Commission's Joint Research Centre of the national provisions adopted by Member States for non-harmonised materials; calls on the Commission to use this review as a starting-point for drawing up the required measures;

Amendment

3. Awaits the upcoming review by the Commission's Joint Research Centre of the national provisions adopted by Member States for non-harmonised materials; calls on the Commission to use this review as a starting-point for drawing up the required measures *noting that some of the migrating chemicals falling through the gaps in the legislation and threatening human health, include carcinogens, neurotoxins and endocrine disruptors.*

Or. en

Amendment 46
Martin Häusling
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 3

Motion for a resolution

3. Awaits the upcoming review by the Commission's Joint Research Centre of the national provisions adopted by Member States for non-harmonised materials; calls on the Commission to use this review as a *starting-point for drawing up the required* measures;

Amendment

3. Awaits the upcoming review by the Commission's Joint Research Centre of the national provisions adopted by Member States for non-harmonised materials; calls on the Commission to use this review as a *starting point for developing such harmonised EU measures and to follow the model of REACH implementation by including all relevant stakeholders in technical and policy meetings;*

Or. en

Amendment 47

Christel Schaldemose, Pavel Poc, Biljana Borzan, Nicola Caputo

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 3

Motion for a resolution

3. *Awaits* the upcoming review by the Commission's Joint Research Centre of the national provisions adopted by Member States for non-harmonised materials; calls on the Commission to use this review as a starting-point for drawing up the required measures;

Amendment

3. *Anticipates* the upcoming review by the Commission's Joint Research Centre of the national provisions adopted by Member States for non-harmonised materials; calls on the Commission to use this review as a starting-point for drawing up the required measures;

Or. en

Amendment 48

Birgit Collin-Langen

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 3 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

3a. Urges the Commission, when drawing up the measures required, to take account of the study conducted by the EPRS entitled 'Food Contact Materials - Regulation (EC) 1935/2004 - European Implementation Assessment' and of the national measures which are already in force or are being prepared;

Or. de

Amendment 49

Pavel Poc

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 3 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

3a. Acknowledges, on the basis of the 2015 Science and Policy Report ^{1a} by the Commission's Joint Research Centre, the issue of heavy metals migrating into food and the release of heavy metals into wastewater;

1a

<https://ec.europa.eu/jrc/en/publication/eur-scientific-and-technical-research-reports/scoping-investigations-release-metals-rim-area-decorated-articles-support-revision-ceramic>

Or. en

Amendment 50
Christofer Fjellner

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 4

Motion for a resolution

4. Believes that, given the prevalence of the materials referred to on the EU market and the risk they pose to human health, the Commission should prioritise the drawing-up of specific EU measures for paper, board, coatings, inks and adhesives;

Amendment

4. Believes that, given the prevalence of the materials referred to on the EU market and the risk they pose to human health, ***and in order to preserve the single market for food and food packaging alike***, the Commission should prioritise the drawing-up of specific EU measures for paper, board, coatings, inks and adhesives; ***believes that clear EU-level rules for food contact materials should be in line with the broader EU goal to move to a circular economy while taking into account different properties and risks for new and recycled materials;***

Or. en

Amendment 51
Piernicola Pedicini, Marco Affronte, Eleonora Evi

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 4

Motion for a resolution

4. Believes that, given the prevalence of the materials referred to on the EU market and the risk they pose to human health, the Commission should prioritise the drawing-up of specific EU measures for paper, board, *coatings, inks and adhesives*;

Amendment

4. Believes that, given the prevalence of the materials referred to on the EU market and the risk they pose to human health, the Commission should prioritise the drawing-up of specific EU measures for paper & board, *printing inks, varnishes and coatings, metals & alloys; stresses that the need for specific measures for other FCMs should also be investigated, taking as a basis the authorisation approach (positive list) followed for plastic materials*;

Or. en

Amendment 52

Anneli Jäätteenmäki, Frédérique Ries, José Inácio Faria, Jasenko Selimovic, Fredrick Federley

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 4

Motion for a resolution

4. Believes that, given the prevalence of the materials referred to on the EU market and the risk they pose to human health, the Commission should prioritise the drawing-up of specific EU measures for paper, board, coatings, inks and adhesives;

Amendment

4. Believes that, given the prevalence of the materials referred to on the EU market and the risk they pose to human health, the Commission should prioritise the drawing-up of specific EU measures for paper, board, coatings, inks and adhesives; *is of the opinion that clear rules at the European level for all food contact materials are vital for a credible transition to a circular economy*;

Or. en

Amendment 53

James Nicholson

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 4

Motion for a resolution

4. Believes that, given the prevalence of *the* materials *referred to* on the EU market *and the risk they pose to human health*, the Commission should prioritise the drawing-up of specific EU measures for *paper, board, coatings, inks and adhesives*;

Amendment

4. Believes that, given the prevalence of materials on the EU market *without specific safety requirements, and given that the full harmonization for all FCMs would be a time-consuming and resource-intensive process*, the Commission should prioritise the drawing-up of specific EU measures for *materials which pose the highest risk to human health*;

Or. en

Amendment 54

Sirpa Pietikäinen

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 4

Motion for a resolution

4. Believes that, given the prevalence of the materials referred to on the EU market and the risk they pose to human health, the Commission should prioritise the drawing-up of specific EU measures for paper, board, coatings, inks and adhesives;

Amendment

4. Believes that, given the prevalence of the materials referred to on the EU market and the risk they pose to human health, *and in order to preserve the EU single market for food contact materials and food products alike*, the Commission should prioritise the drawing-up of specific EU measures for paper, board, coatings, inks and adhesives;

Or. en

Amendment 55

Martin Häusling

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 4

Motion for a resolution

4. *Believes that, given the prevalence of the materials referred to on the EU market and the risk they pose to human health, the Commission should prioritise the drawing-up of specific EU measures for paper, board, coatings, inks and adhesives;*

Amendment

4. *Notes with concern that the Commission is currently working on a REFIT of non-REACH chemicals that only includes chemicals used in harmonised food contact materials, and urges the Commission to extend the analysis to any chemical found in FCM, including non-intentionally added substances;*

Or. en

Amendment 56

Notis Marias

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 4

Motion for a resolution

4. *Believes that, given the prevalence of the materials referred to on the EU market and the risk they pose to human health, the Commission should prioritise the drawing-up of specific EU measures for paper, board, coatings, inks and adhesives;*

Amendment

4. *Points out that, given the prevalence of the materials referred to on the EU market and the risk they pose to human health, the Commission should forthwith prioritise the drawing-up of specific EU measures for paper, board, coatings, inks and adhesives;*

Or. el

Amendment 57

Christel Schaldemose, Pavel Poc, Biljana Borzan, Nicola Caputo

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 4

Motion for a resolution

4. Believes that, given the prevalence of the materials referred to on the EU market and the risk they pose to human

Amendment

4. Believes that, given the prevalence of the materials referred to on the EU market and the risk they pose to human

health, the Commission should prioritise the drawing-up of specific EU measures for paper, board, coatings, inks and adhesives;

health, the Commission should prioritise the drawing-up of specific EU measures for paper, board, coatings, *metals*, inks and adhesives;

Or. en

Amendment 58
Birgit Collin-Langen

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 4

Motion for a resolution

4. Believes that, given the prevalence of the materials referred to on the EU market and the risk they pose to human health, the Commission should prioritise the drawing-up of specific EU measures for paper, board, coatings, inks and adhesives;

Amendment

4. Believes that, given the prevalence of the materials referred to on the EU market and the risk they pose to human health, the Commission should prioritise the drawing-up of specific EU measures for paper, board, *varnish*, coatings, inks and adhesives;

Or. de

Amendment 59
James Nicholson

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 4 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

4a. Believes that the absence of specific safety requirements for certain FCMs at the EU level has driven up the costs of compliance, which is often passed on to consumers later in the supply chain; moreover, the lack of harmonized rules has resulted in the introduction of barriers which are disruptive to the functioning of the internal market and have delayed market access for businesses;

Amendment

Amendment 60
Annie Schreijer-Pierik

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 4 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

4a. Welcomes the fact that members of the public, businesses and interbranch organisations in Member States are advocating a ban on the use of mineral oils in inks for packaging materials throughout the Union; calls on the Commission and Member States to ban this use of mineral oils in inks in the abovementioned specific EU measures;

Or. nl

Amendment 61
Sirpa Pietikäinen

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 4 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

4a. Underlines that special attention needs to be paid to those food contact materials, whether in contact with food directly or indirectly, with a higher risk of migration, such as materials surrounding liquids and high-fat foods as well as to materials that are in contact with food for a long period of time;

Or. en

Amendment 62
James Nicholson

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 4 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

4b. *Considers it imperative that Member States should involve all relevant stakeholders in the process, when specific safety requirements for FCMs are proposed;*

Or. en

Amendment 63
Annie Schreijer-Pierik

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 5

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

5. Is convinced that, in light of the EU's focus on moving towards a circular economy, specific measures at EU level should also be proposed for recycled paper and board;

5. Is convinced that, in light of the EU's focus on moving towards a circular economy, specific measures at EU level should also be proposed for recycled paper and board, *devoting particular attention to the risk of migration of mineral oils into food packaged in reused paper and board material; supports, pending a European ban on mineral oils in inks for packaging materials, research into the incorporation of functional barriers in packaging material in order to prevent this migration; supports research into methods of removing mineral oils during recycling of paper and board; supports, pending a European ban on mineral oils in inks for packaging materials, the increasing use by businesses of so-called 'low-migration inks';*

Or. nl

Amendment 64
Birgit Collin-Langen

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 5

Motion for a resolution

5. Is convinced that, *in light of* the EU's focus on moving towards a circular economy, *specific measures at EU level should also be proposed for recycled paper and board*;

Amendment

5. Is convinced that the *adoption of more specific measures at EU level can further the EU's efforts to establish a more effective* circular economy; *points out that one precondition for this would be better traceability of substances in food contact materials and articles which could pose a threat to public health*;

Or. de

Amendment 65

Anneli Jäätteenmäki, Jasenko Selimovic, Nils Torvalds, Fredrick Federley

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 5

Motion for a resolution

5. Is convinced that, in light of the EU's focus on moving towards a circular economy, specific measures at EU level should also be proposed for recycled paper and board;

Amendment

5. Is convinced that, in light of the EU's focus on moving towards a circular economy, specific measures at EU level should also be proposed for recycled paper and board; *notes that there is a limit to the number of times that recycled paper and board products may be reused, thus requiring a steady supply of fresh wood fibres*;

Or. en

Amendment 66
James Nicholson

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 5

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

5. Is convinced that, in light of the EU's focus on moving towards a circular economy, specific measures at EU level ***should also be proposed*** for recycled paper and board;

5. Is convinced that, in light of the EU's focus on moving towards a circular economy, ***better synergies between the Framework Regulation on FCMs and the circular economy should be developed, which could include*** specific measures at EU level for recycled paper and board;

Or. en

Amendment 67

Martin Häusling

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 5

Motion for a resolution

5. Is convinced that, in light of the EU's focus on moving towards a circular economy, specific measures at EU level should also be proposed ***for*** recycled ***paper and board***;

Amendment

5. Is convinced that in light of the EU's focus on moving towards a circular economy, specific measures at EU level should also be proposed ***to encourage business operators to develop safe reusable and recycled food contact materials***;

Or. en

Amendment 68

Notis Marias

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 5

Motion for a resolution

5. ***Is convinced that, in light of the EU's focus on moving towards a circular economy,*** specific measures at EU level should also be proposed for recycled paper and board;

Amendment

5. ***It is imperative that*** specific measures at EU level should also be proposed for recycled paper and board;

Or. el

Amendment 69

Christel Schaldemose, Pavel Poc, Biljana Borzan, Nicola Caputo

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 5 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

5a. Supports the increase in recycling targets for all materials in the Commission proposal for a Directive amending Directive 94/62/EC on packaging and packaging waste^{1a}, and encourages the European Parliament and the Council to include reuse in these targets; reminds the Commission, however, that targets for recycling and reuse must be accompanied by adequate control measures to ensure the safety of materials entering into contact with foods;

^{1a} COM(2015) 596 final

Or. en

Amendment 70

Birgit Collin-Langen

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 5 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

5a. Emphasises the difficult position which small and medium-sized enterprises in the production chain find themselves in, given that, in the absence of relevant legislative provisions, they are not in position to receive or pass on information which would guarantee that their products are safe;

Or. de

Amendment 71
Christofer Fjellner

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 5 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

5a. Recalls that paper and board produced from fresh fibre is free of residuals stemming from previous use; argues that this should be taken into account if the Commission is proposing specific measures at EU level concerning paper and board food contact materials;

Or. en

Amendment 72
Piernicola Pedicini, Marco Affronte, Eleonora Evi

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 5 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

5a. Believes that both the FCMs Regulation and the circular economy package should consider the safety implications of using FCMs made from recycled products, starting by the phasing out of all hazardous substances;

Or. en

Amendment 73
Stefan Eck

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 5 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

5a. *however, the re-use of materials under the principles of circular economy and resource-efficiency should, in no way, lead to a higher number of contaminants added to the final product.*

Or. en

Amendment 74
Birgit Collin-Langen

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 5 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

5b. *Emphasises the importance of further scientific research into the interaction between different chemicals (cocktail effect), into non-intentionally added substances (NIAS), into nanomaterials and into the impact of contaminants contained in recycled materials;*

Or. de

Amendment 75
Piernicola Pedicini, Marco Affronte, Eleonora Evi

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 5 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

5b. *Recognises that the current paradigm for evaluation of safety of FCMs is insufficient, as there is a general underestimation of the role of FCMs in food contamination and a lack of information on human exposure;*

Or. en

Amendment 76
James Nicholson

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 6

Motion for a resolution

6. Is aware of the important role played by the European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) in the risk assessment of substances for use in FCMs regulated by specific measures; recognises the costs involved in the risk assessment of a particular substance and EFSA's limited resources; calls on the Commission, therefore, to **increase the level** of funding for EFSA;

Amendment

6. Is aware of the important role played by the European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) in the risk assessment of substances for use in FCMs regulated by specific measures; recognises the costs involved in the risk assessment of a particular substance and EFSA's limited resources; calls on the Commission, therefore, to **take into account the operational capacity of EFSA when proposing specific measures for non-harmonized materials, and if appropriate, provide additional levels** of funding for EFSA;

Or. en

Amendment 77
Martin Häusling
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 6

Motion for a resolution

6. Is aware of the important role **played by the European Food Safety Authority (EFSA)** in the risk assessment of substances for use in FCMs regulated by specific measures; recognises the costs involved in the risk assessment of a particular substance and EFSA's limited resources; calls on the Commission, **therefore**, to increase the level of funding for EFSA;

Amendment

6. Is aware of the important role **EFSA plays** in the risk assessment of substances for use in FCMs regulated by specific measures; recognises the costs involved in the risk assessment of a particular substance and EFSA's limited resources; calls **therefore** on the Commission to increase the level of funding for EFSA **together with transparency requirements for scientific**

studies, providing for trustworthy public decision making and avoiding duplicative testing on vertebrate animals;

Or. en

Amendment 78

Piernicola Pedicini, Marco Affronte, Eleonora Evi

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 6

Motion for a resolution

6. Is aware of the important role played by the European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) in the risk assessment of substances for use in FCMs regulated by specific measures; recognises the costs involved in the risk assessment of a particular substance and EFSA's limited resources; ***calls on*** the Commission, ***therefore***, to increase the level of funding for EFSA;

Amendment

6. Is aware of the important role played by the European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) in the risk assessment of substances for use in FCMs regulated by specific measures; recognises the costs involved in the risk assessment of a particular substance and EFSA's limited resources; ***believes, however, that it would be premature for*** the Commission to increase the level of funding for EFSA ***considering the Authority's real and perceived lack of independence from commercial interests;***

Or. en

Amendment 79

Christel Schaldemose, Pavel Poc, Biljana Borzan, Nicola Caputo

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 6

Motion for a resolution

6. Is aware of the important role played by the European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) in the risk assessment of substances for use in FCMs regulated by specific measures; recognises the costs involved in the risk assessment of a

Amendment

6. Is aware of the important role played by the European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) in the risk assessment of substances for use in FCMs regulated by specific measures; recognises the costs involved in the risk assessment of a

particular substance and EFSA's limited resources; calls on the Commission, therefore, to increase the level of funding for EFSA;

particular substance and EFSA's limited resources; calls on the Commission, therefore, to increase the level of funding for EFSA *in view of the additional work involved given the increased need for risk assessments as detailed below*;

Or. en

Amendment 80
Notis Marias

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 6

Motion for a resolution

6. *Is aware of the important* role played by the European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) in the risk assessment of substances for use in FCMs regulated by specific measures; *recognises* the costs involved in the risk assessment of a particular substance and *EFSA's limited* resources; calls on the Commission, therefore, to *increase* the level of funding for EFSA;

Amendment

6. *Stresses* the *significant* role played by the European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) in the risk assessment of substances for use in FCMs regulated by specific measures; *calls on the European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) to provide information about* the costs involved in the risk assessment of a particular substance and *its* resources; calls on the Commission, therefore, to *evaluate the possibility of increasing* the level of funding for EFSA;

Or. el

Amendment 81
Susanne Melior

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 6 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

6a. Calls on the EFSA and the European Chemicals Agency (ECHA) to cooperate and coordinate their work more closely in an effort to make effective use

Amendment

of the resources available to carry out comprehensive assessments;

Or. de

Amendment 82

Martin Häusling

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 7

Motion for a resolution

7. Recognises that in order to properly assess the risks of FCMs, it is necessary to take into account **both** substances used in **their** manufacture and processing **and** non-intentionally added substances ('**NIAS**'), including impurities from the intentionally added substances and **other** substances resulting from chemical reactions; acknowledges that, to this end, starting substances must be clearly indicated to EFSA and to the relevant authorities in the Member States; stresses, accordingly, the importance of cooperation between scientific bodies/laboratories, and welcomes EFSA's intention to focus more on finished materials and articles and the manufacturing process, rather than on the substances used⁶ ;

6

http://www.efsa.europa.eu/sites/default/files/scientific_output/files/main_documents/4357.pdf

Amendment

7. Recognises that in order to properly assess the risks of FCMs, it is necessary to take into account substances used in **the** manufacture and processing **of FCMs**, non-intentionally added substances ("**NIAS**"), including impurities from the intentionally added substances and substances resulting from chemical reactions, **as well as mixture effects of combined and cumulative exposure from different routes and product types** ; acknowledges that, to this end, starting substances must be clearly indicated to EFSA and to the relevant authorities in the Member States; stresses, accordingly, the importance of cooperation between scientific bodies/laboratories, and welcomes EFSA's intention to focus more on finished materials and articles and the manufacturing process, rather than on the substances used⁶ ;

6

http://www.efsa.europa.eu/sites/default/files/scientific_output/files/main_documents/4357.pdf

Or. en

Amendment 83
Notis Marias

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 7

Motion for a resolution

7. Recognises that in order to properly assess the risks of FCMs, it is necessary to take into account both substances used in their manufacture and processing and non-intentionally added substances ('NIAS'), including impurities from the intentionally added substances and other substances resulting from chemical reactions; **acknowledges that**, to this end, starting substances **must** be clearly indicated to EFSA and to the relevant authorities in the Member States; stresses, accordingly, the importance of cooperation between scientific bodies/laboratories, and welcomes EFSA's intention to focus more on finished materials and articles and the manufacturing process, rather than on the substances used⁶;

⁶http://www.efsa.europa.eu/sites/default/files/scientific_output/files/main_documents/4357.pdf

Amendment

7. Recognises that in order to properly assess the risks of FCMs, it is necessary to take into account both substances used in their manufacture and processing and non-intentionally added substances ('NIAS'), including impurities from the intentionally added substances and other substances resulting from chemical reactions; **it is imperative**, to this end, **for** starting substances **to** be clearly indicated to EFSA and to the relevant authorities in the Member States; stresses, accordingly, the importance of cooperation between scientific bodies/laboratories, and welcomes EFSA's intention to focus more on finished materials and articles and the manufacturing process, rather than on the substances used⁶;

⁶http://www.efsa.europa.eu/sites/default/files/scientific_output/files/main_documents/4357.pdf

Or. el

Amendment 84
Christel Schaldemose, Pavel Poc, Biljana Borzan, Nicola Caputo

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 7 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

7a. Calls on the Commission to review the evidence for: (i) current assumptions made on the migration of substances through functional barriers; (ii) the 10

Amendment

ppb threshold concentration for migrating substances in food that is being used by some companies and competent authorities to decide which chemicals to risk assess; (iii) the extent to which functional barriers become less effective over long storage periods, as they may only slow down migration; (iv) current assumptions on molecular size affecting chemical absorption through the intestine;

Or. en

Amendment 85
Martin Häusling
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 7 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

7a. Calls on EFSA and the Commission to extend the concept of vulnerable groups to pregnant and breastfeeding women and to include the potentials effects of low doses exposure and non monotonic dose-responses in the risk assessment criteria;

Or. en

Amendment 86
Birgit Collin-Langen

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 7 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

7a. Calls on the Commission to study further the approach based on safety checks for pre-manufactured food contact

Amendment 87

Martin Häusling

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 8

Motion for a resolution

8. Regrets that EFSA, in its current risk assessment procedure, does not take account of the so-called ‘cocktail effect’ or multiple exposures, and urges EFSA to do so in future; also urges the Commission to consider this when determining migration limits that are considered safe for human health;

Amendment

8. Regrets that EFSA, in its current risk assessment procedure, does not take account of the so-called ‘cocktail effect’ or multiple exposures, and urges EFSA to do so in future; also urges the Commission to consider this when determining migration limits that are considered safe for human health; ***therefore calls on the Commission to require from operators that they assess the health impacts of chemicals used as food contact materials, including genotoxicity, breast tissue development, obesity, and immune systems before and after birth, on both consumers and workers from exposure during production, use, disposal, reuse and recycling;***

Amendment 88

Piernicola Pedicini, Marco Affronte, Eleonora Evi

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 8

Motion for a resolution

8. Regrets that EFSA, in its current risk assessment procedure, does not take

Amendment

8. Regrets that EFSA, in its current risk assessment procedure, does not take

account of the so-called ‘cocktail effect’ *or* multiple exposures, and urges EFSA to do so in future; also urges the Commission to consider this when determining migration limits that are considered safe for human health;

account of the so-called ‘cocktail (*or mixture*) effect’ *of* multiple exposures *which can cause adverse effects even if levels of the individual substances in the mixture are low*, and urges EFSA to do so in future; also urges the Commission to consider this when determining migration limits that are considered safe for human health;

Or. en

Amendment 89

Christel Schaldemose, Pavel Poc, Biljana Borzan, Daciana Octavia Sârbu, Nicola Caputo

Motion for a resolution Paragraph 8

Motion for a resolution

8. Regrets that EFSA, in its current risk assessment procedure, does not take account of the so-called ‘cocktail effect’ or multiple exposures, and urges EFSA to do so in future; also urges the Commission to consider this when determining migration limits that are considered safe for human health;

Amendment

8. Regrets that EFSA, in its current risk assessment procedure, does not take account of the so-called ‘cocktail effect’ or *the effect of multiple concurrent and cumulative exposures from FCMs and other sources*, and urges EFSA to do so in future; also urges the Commission to consider this when determining migration limits that are considered safe for human health;

Or. en

Amendment 90

Christofer Fjellner

Motion for a resolution Paragraph 8

Motion for a resolution

8. *Regrets* that EFSA, in its current risk assessment procedure, does not take

Amendment

8. *Notes* that EFSA, in its current risk assessment procedure, does not take

account of the so-called ‘cocktail effect’ or multiple exposures, and urges EFSA to **do so in future**; also urges the Commission to consider this when determining migration limits that are considered safe for human health;

account of the so-called ‘cocktail effect’ or multiple exposures, and urges EFSA to **review the assessment procedure and, if feasible, to take this effect into account**; also urges the Commission to consider this when determining migration limits that are considered safe for human health;

Or. en

Amendment 91
Susanne Melior

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 8

Motion for a resolution

8. Regrets that EFSA, in its current risk assessment procedure, does not take account of the so-called ‘cocktail effect’ or multiple exposures, and urges EFSA to do so in future; also urges the Commission to consider this when determining migration limits that are considered safe for human health;

Amendment

8. Regrets that EFSA, in its current risk assessment procedure, does not take account of the so-called ‘cocktail effect’ or multiple exposures, and urges EFSA to do so in future; also urges the Commission to consider this when determining migration limits that are considered safe for human health **over long periods as well**;

Or. de

Amendment 92
Notis Marias

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 8

Motion for a resolution

8. Regrets that EFSA, in its current risk assessment procedure, does not take account of the so-called ‘cocktail effect’ or multiple exposures, and **urges** EFSA to do so in future; also urges the Commission to consider this when determining migration limits that are considered safe for human

Amendment

8. Regrets that EFSA, in its current risk assessment procedure, does not take account of the so-called ‘cocktail effect’ or multiple exposures, and **exhorts** EFSA to do so in future; also urges the Commission to consider this when determining migration limits that are considered safe

health;

for human health;

Or. el

Amendment 93

Christel Schaldemose, Pavel Poc, Biljana Borzan, Nicola Caputo

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 8 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

8a. Calls on the Commission to fill the safety assessment gap between the REACH and FCM legislation by obliging companies to produce safety assessments of the human health aspects of chemicals used in food contact materials, including consumer and worker exposure during production, use, disposal, reuse and recycling; this requirement should be added to Regulation (EC) No 1935/2004;

Or. en

Amendment 94

Piernicola Pedicini, Marco Affronte, Eleonora Evi

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 8 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

8a. Further regrets that EFSA does not yet take account of the possibility of deleterious microorganisms in FCMs; therefore urges EFSA's Panel on Biological Hazards (BIOHAZ) to examine the issue of microorganisms in FCMs through preparation of an EFSA Opinion on the subject;

Or. en

Amendment 95
Lynn Boylan

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 8 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

8a. *Notes with concern the increased effect on health substances used in food contact materials can have on babies and young children;*

Or. en

Amendment 96
Piernicola Pedicini, Marco Affronte, Eleonora Evi

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 8 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

8b. *Points out that FCMs are included within the scope of Regulation (EU) No 528/2012 (the Biocidal Products Regulation, "BPR"^{1a}) as biocides may be present in food contact materials to keep their surface free from microbial contamination (disinfectants) and to have a preservative effect on the food (preservatives). However, the different types of biocides in FCMs are regulated under different legal frameworks and, depending on the type of biocide, the risk assessment has to be carried out by ECHA or EFSA or by both agencies;*

^{1a} OJ L 167, 27.6.2012, p. 1–123

Or. en

Amendment 97
Lynn Boylan

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 8 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

8b. *Notes that the use of potential endocrine disruptors is of particular concern in food contact materials given their potential to cause harm even at extremely small doses;*

Or. en

Amendment 98
Piernicola Pedicini, Marco Affronte, Eleonora Evi

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 8 c (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

8c. *Calls on the Commission to ensure the coherence between the Regulations on FCM and biocidal products and to clarify the roles of ECHA and EFSA in this respect; further calls on the Commission to work on a harmonised and consolidated approach for the overall assessment and authorisation of substances used as biocides in FCMs, with a view to avoiding overlapping, legal uncertainties and duplication of work.*

Or. en

Amendment 99
Piernicola Pedicini, Marco Affronte, Eleonora Evi

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 8 d (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

8d. *Calls on EFSA to consider that food production sites were identified by the Scientific Committee on Emerging and Newly Identified Health Risks (SCENIHR) in 2009 as one critical place promoting the development of bacteria resistant to both antibiotics and biocides; therefore FCMs containing biocides may also contribute to the occurrence of antibiotic resistant bacteria in humans;*

Or. en

Amendment 100

Piernicola Pedicini, Marco Affronte, Eleonora Evi

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 8 e (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

8e. *Underlines that FCMs are a significant source of human exposure to chemicals of concern, including perfluorinated compounds (PFCs) and endocrine disrupting chemicals (EDCs) such as phthalates and bisphenols, which have been linked to chronic diseases in animal tests and epidemiology studies; thus, calls on the Commission to include in-vitro screening for EDC activity as a mandatory requirement;*

Or. en

Amendment 101

Piernicola Pedicini, Marco Affronte, Eleonora Evi

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 9

Motion for a resolution

9. ***Calls*** on the Commission to ensure better coordination between REACH ***and FCM legislation***, especially as regards ***substances*** classified as ***SVHCs under REACH***, ***and to ensure that harmful substances*** phased out under REACH are also phased out in FCMs;

Amendment

9. ***Calls*** on the Commission to ensure better coordination between ***Regulation (EU) No 1907/2006 (REACH)***^{1a} ***and the FCM Regulation***, especially as regards ***chemicals*** classified as ***Substances of Very High Concern (SVHCs)***, ***with a view to ensuring that known hazardous chemicals*** phased out under REACH are also phased out in FCMs; ***further calls on the Commission to ensure that substances which meet the criteria to be classified as CMR (category 1A, 1B and 2) and other substances of concern (e.g. certain categories of EDCs which will be identified after the establishment of scientific criteria under the BPR Regulation) are banned in all FCMs; points out that the current REFIT evaluation on the implementation of REACH could provide the opportunity to include regular updates/reviews of chemicals on the positive list based on current scientific understanding;***

^{1a} *OJ L 396, 30.12.2006, p. 1–849*

Or. en

Amendment 102

Christel Schaldemose, Pavel Poc, Biljana Borzan, Nicola Caputo

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 9

Motion for a resolution

9. ***Calls*** on the Commission to ensure better coordination between REACH and FCM legislation, ***especially*** as regards substances classified as SVHCs under REACH, and to ensure that harmful substances phased out under REACH are also phased out in FCMs;

Amendment

9. ***Calls*** on the Commission to ensure better coordination between ***the*** REACH and FCM legislation, ***in particular*** as regards substances classified as ***CMRs (category 1A, 1B and 2) or SVHCs*** under REACH, and to ensure that harmful substances phased out under REACH are

also phased out in FCMs; *believes that the Commission and EFSA should be obliged to give a full explanation if some substances, that are banned or phased out under REACH, are still permitted in FCMs; such an explanation should be sent to the European Parliament and the Council;*

Or. en

Amendment 103
James Nicholson

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9

Motion for a resolution

9. Calls on the Commission *to* ensure better coordination between REACH and FCM legislation, *especially as regards substances classified as SVHCs under REACH, and to ensure that harmful substances phased out under REACH are also phased out in FCMs;*

Amendment

9. Calls on the Commission ensure better coordination between REACH and FCM legislation; *notes, however, the different approaches in each legislation, as highlighted by the different roles and responsibilities of the ECHA and EFSA; emphasizes that the REACH regulation relies on a hazard-based assessment of exposure to chemical substances, whereas the Framework Regulation on FCMs relies on a risk assessment of oral exposure to these substances to determine an appropriate level of consumer safety;*

Or. en

Amendment 104
Martin Häusling
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

9. Calls on the Commission to ensure better coordination between REACH and FCM legislation, especially as regards substances classified as *SVHCs* under REACH, and to ensure that *harmful* substances phased out under REACH are also phased out in FCMs;

9. Calls on the Commission to ensure better coordination between REACH and FCM legislation, especially as regards substances classified as *Substance of Very High Concern (SVHC)* under REACH, *to ensure that SVHCs under REACH are banned in FCMs unless the absence of safer alternative is demonstrated* and to ensure that substances phased out under REACH *or any other legislation* are also phased out in FCMs;

Or. en

Amendment 105
Birgit Collin-Langen

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9

Motion for a resolution

9. Calls on the Commission to ensure better coordination between REACH and FCM legislation, especially as regards substances classified as SVHCs under REACH, and to ensure that *harmful substances phased out* under REACH are *also phased out in FCMs*;

Amendment

9. Calls on the Commission to ensure better coordination between REACH and FCM legislation, especially as regards substances classified as SVHCs under REACH, and to ensure that substance-related scientific developments under REACH are *taken into account in the context of the use of certain substances in food contact materials and articles so that any danger to public health can be ruled out*;

Or. de

Amendment 106
Françoise Grossetête, Michel Dantin, Angélique Delahaye

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

9. Calls on the Commission to ensure better coordination between REACH and FCM legislation, especially as regards substances classified as SVHCs under REACH, and to ensure that harmful substances phased out under REACH are also phased out in FCMs;

9. Calls on the Commission to ensure better coordination between REACH and FCM legislation, especially as regards substances classified as SVHCs under REACH, *to consider identifying Bisphenol A (BPA) as one of the substances classified as SVHCs* and to ensure that harmful substances phased out under REACH are also phased out in FCMs;

Or. fr

Amendment 107
Susanne Melior

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9

Motion for a resolution

9. Calls on the Commission to ensure better coordination between REACH and FCM legislation, especially as regards substances classified as SVHCs under REACH, and to ensure that harmful substances phased out under REACH are also phased out in FCMs;

Amendment

9. Calls on the Commission to ensure better coordination between REACH and FCM legislation, especially as regards substances classified as SVHCs under REACH, and to ensure, *by means of regular consultation procedures*, that harmful substances phased out under REACH are also phased out in FCMs;

Or. de

Amendment 108
Stefan Eck

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

9a. *The 58 chemicals that have been placed on the list of most harmful chemicals under the REACH Regulation^{1a} (SVHC) are currently permitted in food*

Amendment

contact materials; they include chemicals that are carcinogenic, mutagenic or toxic to reproduction, or are bio-accumulative (i.e. capable of building up and persisting in the body), or have hormone disrupting or other harmful properties;

FCMs-related concern also refers to endocrine disrupting chemicals (EDCs), which are associated with hormonal cancers (breast, prostate, testes) as well as reproductive problems (infertility, adverse pregnancy outcomes), metabolic disorders (diabetes, obesity), allergies, and neurodevelopmental problems (learning disorders, autism spectrum disorders).

In addition to known hazardous chemicals, there are other chemicals (so-called non intentionally added substances) that migrate, and their identity and structure is not known (especially in plastics).

^{1a} *OJ L 396, 30.12.2006, p. 1–849*

Or. en

Amendment 109
Martin Häusling
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

9a. *Calls on the Commission to remedy as much as possible to the current illegal delay in the adoption of criteria for defining endocrine disrupting chemicals by reassessing all substances authorised or renewed in the EU since 14 December 2013 against the EDC criteria as soon as they are published and by accordingly reviewing the authorisations and*

reapprovals so far delivered;

Or. en

Amendment 110
Sirpa Pietikäinen

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

9a. Welcomes the Commission's ongoing work on defining criteria for determining the endocrine-disrupting properties of chemicals; calls for the inclusion of these criteria in the risk assessment procedure of FCMs in order to eliminate genotoxic substances and endocrine disrupting chemicals from FCMs;

Or. en

Amendment 111
Piernicola Pedicini, Marco Affronte, Eleonora Evi

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 9 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

9a. Calls on EFSA to consolidate the emerging scientific knowledge on nanomaterial use in FCMs, their migration and potential impact on human health by applying special evaluation procedures which take fully into account the peculiarities linked to their dimensions;

Or. en

Amendment 112

Christel Schaldemose, Pavel Poc, Biljana Borzan, Nicola Caputo

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 9 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

9a. Calls on the Commission to align the forthcoming identification criteria for endocrine disruptors with the FCM legislation, in particular so as to meet the general requirement that such materials must not endanger human health;

Or. en

Amendment 113

Christel Schaldemose, Pavel Poc, Biljana Borzan, Nicola Caputo

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 9 b (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

9b. Supports further research into nanomaterials as there is still scientific uncertainty regarding the effects and migration capability of these materials; Believes therefore that nanomaterials should not only be subjected to authorisation for use in plastic materials, but in all FCM materials, and should be assessed not only in their bulk form;

Or. en

Amendment 114

Christofer Fjellner

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 10

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

10. Welcomes the fact that the Commission has finally announced its plan to introduce a migration limit of 0.05 mg/kg for Bisphenol A (BPA) for packaging and containers made of plastic, as well as for varnishes and coatings used in metal containers; *considers this an improvement compared to the current migration limit of 0.6 mg/kg for BPA in plastic; regrets that this migration limit does not apply to all FCMs;*

10. Welcomes the fact that the Commission has finally announced its plan to introduce a migration limit of 0.05 mg/kg for Bisphenol A (BPA) for packaging and containers made of plastic, as well as for varnishes and coatings used in metal containers *following EFSA's latest opinion;*

Or. en

Amendment 115

Piernicola Pedicini, Marco Affronte, Eleonora Evi

Motion for a resolution Paragraph 10

Motion for a resolution

10. *Welcomes the fact* that the Commission *has finally announced its plan to introduce a migration limit of 0.05 mg/kg for Bisphenol A (BPA) for packaging and containers made of plastic, as well as for varnishes and coatings used in metal containers; considers this an improvement compared to the current migration limit of 0.6 mg/kg for BPA in plastic; regrets that this migration limit does not apply to all FCMs;*

Amendment

10. *Believes* that the Commission *should adopt a precautionary EU-wide ban on Bisphenol A (BPA), phthalates and any other compound suspected of having endocrine disrupting properties and prohibit their use in all types of FCMs until a full assessment is completed; considers that the introduction of a migration limit of 0.05 mg/kg for BPA in food packaging made of plastic and metal containers is not sufficient to safeguard public health; believes that this migration limit should apply to other FCMs;*

Or. en

Amendment 116

Martin Häusling

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 10

Motion for a resolution

10. ***Welcomes the fact that the Commission has finally announced its plan to introduce a migration limit of 0.05 mg/kg for Bisphenol A (BPA) for packaging and containers made of plastic, as well as for varnishes and coatings used in metal containers; considers this an improvement compared to the current migration limit of 0.6 mg/kg for BPA in plastic; regrets that this migration limit does not apply to all FCMs;***

Amendment

10. ***Calls on the Commission to ban Bisphenol A in FCMs and to apply the protection levels and migration limits designed for critical groups to the other substances, as it is common that women are not aware of their increased vulnerability to chemical pollution in the first weeks of pregnancy;***

Or. en

Amendment 117

Christel Schaldemose, Pavel Poc, Biljana Borzan, Nicola Caputo

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 10

Motion for a resolution

10. Welcomes the fact that the Commission has finally announced its plan to introduce a migration limit of 0.05 mg/kg for Bisphenol A (BPA) for packaging and containers made of plastic, as well as for varnishes and coatings used in metal containers; considers this an improvement compared to the current migration limit of 0.6 mg/kg for BPA in plastic; regrets that this migration limit does not apply to all FCMs;

Amendment

10. Welcomes the fact that, ***following the recent EFSA opinion***, the Commission has finally announced its plan to introduce a migration limit of 0.05 mg/kg for Bisphenol A (BPA) for packaging and containers made of plastic, as well as for varnishes and coatings used in metal containers; considers this an improvement compared to the current migration limit of 0.6 mg/kg for BPA in plastic; regrets that this migration limit does not apply to all FCMs;

Or. en

Amendment 118

Birgit Collin-Langen

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 10

Motion for a resolution

10. Welcomes the fact that the Commission has finally announced its plan to introduce a migration limit of 0.05 mg/kg for Bisphenol A (BPA) for packaging and containers made of plastic, as well as for varnishes and coatings used in metal containers; considers this an improvement compared to the current migration limit of 0.6 mg/kg for BPA in plastic; regrets that *this migration limit does not apply to* all FCMs;

Amendment

10. Welcomes the fact that the Commission has finally announced its plan to introduce a migration limit of 0.05 mg/kg for Bisphenol A (BPA) for packaging and containers made of plastic, as well as for varnishes and coatings used in metal containers; considers this an improvement compared to the current migration limit of 0.6 mg/kg for BPA in plastic; regrets that, *owing to a lack of specific measures, there are no corresponding migration limits for* all FCMs;

Or. de

Amendment 119
James Nicholson

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 10

Motion for a resolution

10. Welcomes the fact that the Commission has finally announced its plan to introduce a migration limit of 0.05 mg/kg for Bisphenol A (BPA) for packaging and containers made of plastic, as well as for varnishes and coatings used in metal containers; considers this an improvement compared to the current migration limit of 0.6 mg/kg for BPA in plastic; *regrets that this migration limit does not apply to all FCMs;*

Amendment

10. Welcomes the fact that the Commission has finally announced its plan to introduce a migration limit of 0.05 mg/kg for Bisphenol A (BPA) for packaging and containers made of plastic, as well as for varnishes and coatings used in metal containers; considers this an improvement compared to the current migration limit of 0.6 mg/kg for BPA in plastic;

Or. en

Amendment 120

Notis Marias

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 10**

Motion for a resolution

10. **Welcomes** the fact that the Commission has finally announced its plan to introduce a migration limit of 0.05 mg/kg for Bisphenol A (BPA) for packaging and containers made of plastic, as well as for varnishes and coatings used in metal containers; considers this an improvement compared to the current migration limit of 0.6 mg/kg for BPA in plastic; regrets that this migration limit does not apply to all FCMs;

Amendment

10. **Points to** the fact that the Commission has finally announced its plan to introduce a migration limit of 0.05 mg/kg for Bisphenol A (BPA) for packaging and containers made of plastic, as well as for varnishes and coatings used in metal containers; considers this an improvement compared to the current migration limit of 0.6 mg/kg for BPA in plastic; regrets that this migration limit does not apply to all FCMs;

Or. el

Amendment 121

Françoise Grossetête, Michel Dantin, Angélique Delahaye

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 10**

Motion for a resolution

10. **Welcomes** the fact that the Commission has finally announced its plan to introduce a migration limit of 0.05 mg/kg for Bisphenol A (BPA) for packaging and containers made of plastic, as well as for varnishes and coatings used in metal containers; considers **this an improvement compared to the current migration limit of 0.6 mg/kg for BPA in plastic; regrets that this migration limit does not apply to** all FCMs;

Amendment

10. **Notes** the fact that the Commission has finally announced its plan to introduce a migration limit of 0.05 mg/kg for Bisphenol A (BPA) for packaging and containers made of plastic, as well as for varnishes and coatings used in metal containers; considers, **however, that the safe daily dose of BPA is too low to make it possible to define a measurable specific migration limit (SML); calls therefore for a total ban on BPA in** all FCMs;

Or. fr

Amendment 122

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 10 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

10a. Understands that the Commission is looking at the limits for lead and cadmium in the implementing measure on ceramic articles (Council Directive 84/500/EEC)^{1a}; strongly urges the Commission to come forward with a legislative proposal introducing lower limits for the release of lead and cadmium;

^{1a} OJ L 277, 20.10.1984, p. 12

Or. en

Amendment 123
Stefan Eck

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 11

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

11. Supports research and innovation initiatives that seek to develop new substances for use in FCMs that are proven to be safe for human health;

11. Supports research and innovation initiatives that seek to develop new substances for use in FCMs that are proven to be safe for human health; **however, and for the time being, any safer alternatives should not include bisphenol S (BPS) as a substitute to bisphenol A (BPA).**

According to the Committee for Risk Assessment (RAC) of ECHA, BPS may have a toxicological profile similar to BPA and thus RAC advises against substitution with BPS. For the RAC, if substitution trend towards BPS is observed, the need to propose a restriction on BPS should be considered ^{1a}.

^{1a} *Source of the citation: Committee for Socio-economic Analysis (SEAC), Opinion on an Annex XV dossier proposing restrictions on Bisphenola. p.13.
http://www.echa.europa.eu/documents/10162/13641/bisphenol_a_seac_draft_opinion_en.pdf*

Or. en

Amendment 124

Piernicola Pedicini, Marco Affronte, Eleonora Evi

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 11

Motion for a resolution

11. Supports research and innovation initiatives that seek to develop new substances for use in FCMs that are proven to be safe for human health;

Amendment

11. Supports research and innovation initiatives that seek to develop ***not only*** new substances for use in FCMs that are proven to be safe for human health, ***but also alternative processes and product designs; thus, calls on the Commission to promote the exchange of best practices among Member States and the dissemination of successful pilot projects;***

Or. en

Amendment 125

Christel Schaldemose, Pavel Poc, Biljana Borzan, Nicola Caputo

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 11

Motion for a resolution

11. Supports research and innovation initiatives that seek to develop new substances for use in FCMs that are proven

Amendment

11. Supports research and innovation initiatives that seek to develop new substances for use in FCMs that are proven to be safe for human health; ***stresses that***

to be safe for human health;

currently approved substances should not be substituted with new substances that have not been put under scientific scrutiny;

Or. en

Amendment 126
James Nicholson

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 11

Motion for a resolution

11. Supports research and innovation initiatives that seek to develop new substances for use in FCMs that are proven to be safe for human health;

Amendment

11. Supports research and innovation initiatives that seek to develop new substances for use in FCMs that are proven to be safe for human health, *which in turn can increase the competitiveness of European products;*

Or. en

Amendment 127
Martin Häusling
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 11

Motion for a resolution

11. Supports research and innovation initiatives that seek to develop new substances for use in FCMs that are proven to be safe for human health;

Amendment

11. Supports research and innovation initiatives that seek to develop new substances for use in FCMs that are proven to be safe for human health, *and for the environment once they become waste;*

Or. en

Amendment 128

Nicola Caputo

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 11 a (new)**

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

11a. *Stresses that labelling plays an important part in the implementation of regulations on FCM and therefore has a direct impact on the effective functioning of the internal market and on the safety of FCM; observes that the information required should be exhaustive, clearly legible and indelible, and calls on Member States in whose territory articles or FCM are sold to negotiate agreements to ensure that the labels concerned are written in one or more EU official languages;*

Or. it

**Amendment 129
Biljana Borzan**

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 11 a (new)**

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

11a. *Points out that market barriers, and in particular petitioning for authorisations under differing national rules, results in loss of opportunities for food safety improvement via innovation.*

Or. en

**Amendment 130
Martin Häusling**
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 12**

Motion for a resolution

12. Believes that a Declaration of Compliance (DoC) can be an effective tool for ensuring that FCMs are compliant with the relevant rules, and recommends that all FCMs, whether harmonised or non-harmonised, are accompanied by a DoC and the appropriate documentation, as is currently the case for FCMs for which specific measures have been adopted;

Amendment

12. Believes that a Declaration of Compliance (DoC) can be an effective tool for ensuring that FCMs are compliant with the relevant rules, and recommends that all FCMs, whether harmonised or non-harmonised, are accompanied by a DoC and the appropriate documentation, as is currently the case for FCMs for which specific measures have been adopted; ***conditions of use should be better reflected in the relevant declarations of compliance;***

Or. en

Amendment 131
Birgit Collin-Langen

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 12

Motion for a resolution

12. Believes that a Declaration of Compliance (DoC) ***can be*** an effective tool for ensuring that FCMs are compliant with the relevant rules, and recommends that all FCMs, ***whether harmonised or non-harmonised,*** are accompanied by a DoC and the appropriate documentation, as is currently the case for FCMs for which specific measures have been adopted;

Amendment

12. Believes that a Declaration of Compliance (DoC) ***is*** an effective tool for ensuring that FCMs are compliant with the relevant rules, and recommends that, ***when harmonised specific measures are adopted,*** all FCMs are accompanied by a DoC and the appropriate documentation, as is currently the case for FCMs for which specific measures have been adopted;

Or. de

Amendment 132
Susanne Melior

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 12

Motion for a resolution

12. Believes that a Declaration of Compliance (DoC) can be an effective tool for ensuring that FCMs are compliant with the relevant rules, and recommends that all FCMs, whether harmonised or non-harmonised, are accompanied by a DoC and the appropriate documentation, as is currently the case for FCMs for which specific measures have been adopted;

Amendment

12. Believes that a Declaration of Compliance (DoC) can be an effective tool for ensuring that FCMs are compliant with the relevant rules, and recommends that all FCMs, whether harmonised or non-harmonised, are accompanied by a **high-quality** DoC and the appropriate documentation, as is currently the case for FCMs for which specific measures have been adopted;

Or. de

Amendment 133
Notis Marias

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 12

Motion for a resolution

12. **Believes** that a Declaration of Compliance (DoC) can be an effective tool for ensuring that FCMs are compliant with the relevant rules, and recommends that all FCMs, whether harmonised or non-harmonised, are accompanied by a DoC and the appropriate documentation, as is currently the case for FCMs for which specific measures have been adopted;

Amendment

12. **Considers** that a Declaration of Compliance (DoC) can be an effective tool for ensuring that FCMs are compliant with the relevant rules, and recommends that all FCMs, whether harmonised or non-harmonised, are accompanied by a DoC and the appropriate documentation, as is currently the case for FCMs for which specific measures have been adopted;

Or. el

Amendment 134
Notis Marias

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 14

Motion for a resolution

14. Calls for the traceability and

Amendment

14. Calls for the traceability and

compliance of FCMs imported from third countries to be enhanced by means of a requirement calling for proper and complete identification documents and DoCs; insists that imported FCMs must conform to EU standards, thus safeguarding public health and ensuring fair competition;

compliance of FCMs imported from third countries to be enhanced *forthwith* by means of a requirement calling for proper and complete identification documents and DoCs; insists that imported FCMs must conform *fully* to EU standards, thus safeguarding *above all* public health and ensuring fair competition;

Or. el

Amendment 135

Martin Häusling

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 14 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

14a. *Calls on the Commission to establish mandatory labelling of the intended presence of nanomaterials in food contact materials and to establish mandatory labelling of the composition of the food contact materials used for organic products and products intended for critical groups;*

Or. en

Amendment 136

Piernicola Pedicini, Marco Affronte, Eleonora Evi

Motion for a resolution

Subheading 4

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

Enforcement and controls

Compliance, enforcement and controls

Or. en

Amendment 137
Stefan Eck

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 15

Motion for a resolution

15. Expresses its concern that the level of enforcement of the legislation on FCMs varies greatly across the EU; highlights the importance of developing *EU guidelines* for FCMs which would *facilitate a more uniform* implementation and better enforcement in the Member States;

Amendment

15. Expresses its concern that the level of enforcement of the legislation on FCMs varies greatly across the EU; highlights the importance of developing *legally binding EU rules* for FCMs which would *ensure a harmonised* implementation and better enforcement in the *EU internal market in the absence of harmonised legislation*; Member States *shall apply mutual recognition in such a way that when two national regulations are in contradiction with each other the one that prevails is the one ensuring a higher level of protection of health*;

Or. en

Amendment 138
James Nicholson

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 15

Motion for a resolution

15. Expresses its concern that the level of enforcement of the legislation on FCMs varies greatly across the EU; highlights the importance of developing EU guidelines for FCMs which would facilitate a more uniform implementation and better enforcement in the Member States;

Amendment

15. Expresses its concern that the level of enforcement of the legislation on FCMs varies greatly across the EU; highlights the importance of developing EU guidelines for FCMs which would facilitate a more uniform implementation and better enforcement in the Member States; *believes that other non-legislative policy options, such as the experience of industry self-assessment, should supplement measures to improve the enforcement of the Framework Regulation on FCMs*;

Amendment 139
Claudiu Ciprian Tănăsescu

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 15

Motion for a resolution

15. Expresses its concern that the level of enforcement of the legislation on FCMs varies greatly across the EU; highlights the importance of developing EU guidelines for FCMs which would facilitate a more uniform implementation and better enforcement in the Member States;

Amendment

15. Expresses its concern that the level of enforcement of the legislation on FCMs varies greatly across the EU; highlights the importance of developing EU guidelines for FCMs which would facilitate a more uniform implementation and better enforcement in the Member States; ***to this end, underlines the importance of sharing data between Member States;***

Or. en

Amendment 140
Notis Marias

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 15

Motion for a resolution

15. Expresses its concern ***that*** the level of enforcement of the legislation on FCMs varies greatly across the EU; highlights the importance of developing EU guidelines for ***FCMs which would facilitate a more uniform implementation and better enforcement in*** the Member States;

Amendment

15. Expresses its concern ***about*** the level of enforcement of the legislation on FCMs ***which*** varies greatly across the EU; highlights the importance of developing EU guidelines for the Member States ***regarding FCMs;***

Or. el

Amendment 141
Tibor Szanyi

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 15

Motion for a resolution

15. Expresses its concern that the level of enforcement of the legislation on FCMs varies greatly across the EU; highlights the importance of developing EU guidelines for FCMs which would facilitate a **more** uniform implementation and better enforcement in the Member States;

Amendment

15. Expresses its concern that the level of enforcement of the legislation on FCMs varies greatly across the EU; highlights the importance of developing EU guidelines for FCMs which would facilitate a **harmonized and** uniform implementation and better enforcement in the Member States;

Or. en

Amendment 142
Mireille D'Ornano, Sylvie Goddyn

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 15 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

15a. Considers that Member States should be permitted to ban endocrine disrupters in materials intended to come into contact with food, failing the publication by the Commission of criteria for the definition of endocrine disrupters;

Or. fr

Amendment 143
Birgit Collin-Langen

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 15 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

15a. Takes the view that further harmonisation of food contact materials and articles can help to bring about a uniformly high level of public health protection;

Amendment 144
Birgit Collin-Langen

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 16

Motion for a resolution

16. Recommends the *development of a single EU standard* for analytical testing in order to ensure that *FCMs are tested by* companies and competent authorities across the EU using one and the same method;

Amendment

16. Recommends the *introduction of uniform EU standards* for analytical testing *of given categories of food contact materials and articles* in order to ensure that companies and competent authorities across the EU *can carry out tests* using one and the same method; *notes that introducing uniform testing methods would guarantee equal treatment in the internal market and thus make for improved, uniform monitoring;*

Amendment 145
Christofer Fjellner

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 16

Motion for a resolution

16. Recommends the development of a single EU standard for analytical testing in order to ensure that FCMs are tested by companies and competent authorities across the EU using one and the same method;

Amendment

16. Recommends the development of a single EU standard for analytical testing in order to ensure that FCMs are tested by companies and competent authorities across the EU using one and the same method; *considers that EU single standards should be appropriate and specifically developed for each food contact material, taking into account different risks associated with different materials;*

Amendment 146
Sirpa Pietikäinen

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 16

Motion for a resolution

16. Recommends the development of a single EU standard for analytical testing in order to ensure that FCMs are tested by companies and competent authorities across the EU using one and the same method;

Amendment

16. Recommends the development of a single EU standard for analytical testing in order to ensure that FCMs are tested by companies and competent authorities across the EU using one and the same method; ***highlights that such EU single standards and tests have to be specifically developed for each food contact material according to its final use;***

Amendment 147
Anneli Jäätteenmäki, Frédérique Ries, José Inácio Faria, Jasenko Selimovic, Nils Torvalds, Fredrick Federley

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 16

Motion for a resolution

16. Recommends the development of ***a single EU standard*** for analytical testing in order to ensure that FCMs are tested by companies and competent authorities across the EU using ***one and the same method;***

Amendment

16. Recommends the development of ***clear EU standards*** for analytical ***toxicological*** testing in order to ensure that FCMs are tested by companies and competent authorities across the EU using ***methods specifically developed for the particular characteristics of each food contact material, without compromising the purpose of the testing;***

Amendment 148
James Nicholson

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 16

Motion for a resolution

16. Recommends the development of a single EU standard for analytical testing in order to ensure that FCMs are tested by companies and competent authorities across the EU using one and the same method;

Amendment

16. Recommends the development of a single EU standard for analytical testing in order to ensure that FCMs are tested by companies and competent authorities across the EU using one and the same method; ***considers that such standards must be tailored towards the characteristics of each individual FCM;***

Or. en

Amendment 149
Christel Schaldemose, Pavel Poc, Biljana Borzan, Nicola Caputo

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 16

Motion for a resolution

16. Recommends the development of a single EU standard for analytical testing in order to ensure that FCMs are tested by companies and competent authorities across the EU using ***one and*** the same method;

Amendment

16. Recommends the development of a single EU standard for analytical testing ***for each FCM*** in order to ensure that FCMs are tested by companies and competent authorities across the EU using the same method;

Or. en

Amendment 150
Notis Marias

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 16

Motion for a resolution

16. ***Recommends*** the development of a
PE584.109v01-00

Amendment

16. ***Approves*** the development of a

74/83

AM\1097056EN.doc

single EU standard for analytical testing in order to ensure that FCMs are tested by companies and competent authorities across the EU using one and the same method;

single EU standard for analytical testing in order to ensure that FCMs are tested by companies and competent authorities across the EU using one and the same method;

Or. el

Amendment 151

Pavel Poc

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 16 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

16a. Welcomes that such a standard exists for regulated materials including ceramics, plastics etc.; believes that setting absolute limits for heavy metals in pigments and colours authorised for use in the ceramics, porcelain and glass production is of highest importance; calls for simplification of the enforcement of EU legislation in the sense of setting the standards as absolute values instead of migration limits; stresses that the migration limits for heavy metals such as lead and cadmium constitute a financial and personnel burden on competent authorities and that determining the limits by using the methodology of migration in acetic acid 4%, at 22°C for 24 hours is expensive and time consuming; underlines that modern, more sophisticated technology may be used for quick, non-invasive testing and alternatively, the limits for toxic metals in ceramics may be set further up the industry chain;

Or. en

Amendment 152

James Nicholson

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 16 a (new)**

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

16a. Believes that a single EU standard for analytical testing for each FCM is crucial as harmonized rules are developed for these FCMs, given the uncertainty caused by the principle of mutual recognition in some instances;

Or. en

**Amendment 153
Sirpa Pietikäinen**

**Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 17**

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

17. Stresses that it is the responsibility of each Member State to carry out controls on companies that produce or import FCMs; regrets, however, that some Member States do not impose the requirement for companies to register their business activity, thereby allowing such companies to circumvent conformity controls; calls on the Commission to revise the Framework Regulation, so as to require that Member States impose an obligation on all companies producing or importing FCMs to officially register their business activity;

17. Stresses that it is the responsibility of each Member State to carry out controls on companies that produce or import FCMs; regrets, however, that some Member States do not impose the requirement for companies to register their business activity, thereby allowing such companies to circumvent conformity controls; calls on the Commission to revise the Framework Regulation, so as to require that ***all remaining*** Member States impose an obligation on all companies producing or importing FCMs to officially register their business activity; ***recognises the existence of suitable registration mechanisms in several Member States which can serve as examples for Member States who still have to put a registration obligation in place;***

Or. en

Amendment 154

Anneli Jäätteenmäki, Frédérique Ries, José Inácio Faria, Jasenko Selimovic, Nils Torvalds, Fredrick Federley

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 17

Motion for a resolution

17. Stresses that it is the responsibility of each Member State to carry out controls on companies that produce or import FCMs; regrets, however, that some Member States do not impose the requirement for companies to register their business activity, thereby allowing such companies to circumvent conformity controls; calls on the Commission to revise the Framework Regulation, so as to require that Member States impose an obligation on all companies producing or importing FCMs to officially register their business activity;

Amendment

17. Stresses that it is the responsibility of each Member State to carry out controls on companies that produce or import FCMs; regrets, however, that some Member States do not impose the requirement for companies to register their business activity, thereby allowing such companies to circumvent conformity controls; calls on the Commission to revise the Framework Regulation, so as to require that Member States impose an obligation on all companies producing or importing FCMs to officially register their business activity; ***recognizes the existence of suitable registration mechanisms in several Member States which can serve as examples of best practices for regulation at the EU level;***

Or. en

Amendment 155

Birgit Collin-Langen

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 17

Motion for a resolution

17. Stresses that it is the responsibility of each Member State to carry out controls on companies that produce or import FCMs; regrets, however, that some Member States do not impose the requirement for companies to register their

Amendment

17. Stresses that it is the responsibility of each Member State to carry out controls on companies that produce or import FCMs; regrets, however, that some Member States do not impose the requirement for companies to register their

business activity, thereby allowing such companies to circumvent conformity controls; calls on the Commission to *revise the Framework Regulation, so as to* require that Member States impose an obligation on all companies producing or importing FCMs to officially register their business activity;

business activity, thereby allowing such companies to circumvent conformity controls; Calls on the Commission, *in keeping with the outcome of the revision of Regulation (EC) No 882/2004^{1a}*, to require that Member States impose an obligation on all companies producing or importing FCMs to officially register their business activity;

^{1a} *OJ L 191, 28.5.2004, pp. 1-52*

Or. de

Amendment 156

Christel Schaldemose, Pavel Poc, Biljana Borzan, Nicola Caputo

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 17

Motion for a resolution

17. Stresses that it is the responsibility of each Member State to carry out controls on companies that produce or import FCMs; regrets, however, that some Member States do not impose the requirement for companies to register their business activity, thereby allowing such companies to circumvent conformity controls; calls on the Commission to revise the Framework Regulation, so as to require that Member States impose an obligation on all companies producing or importing FCMs to officially register their business activity;

Amendment

17. Stresses that it is the responsibility of each Member State to carry out controls on companies that produce or import FCMs; regrets, however, that some Member States do not impose the requirement for companies to register their business activity, thereby allowing such companies to circumvent conformity controls; calls on the Commission to revise the Framework Regulation, so as to require that Member States *that have not already done so*, impose an obligation on all companies producing or importing FCMs to officially register their business activity;

Or. en

Amendment 157

James Nicholson

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 17

Motion for a resolution

17. Stresses that it is the responsibility of each Member State to carry out controls on companies that produce or import FCMs; regrets, however, that some Member States do not impose the requirement for companies to register their business activity, thereby allowing such companies to circumvent conformity controls; calls on the Commission to **revise the Framework Regulation, so as to require that Member States impose an obligation** on all companies producing or importing FCMs to officially register their business activity;

Amendment

17. Stresses that it is the responsibility of each Member State to carry out controls on companies that produce or import FCMs; regrets, however, that some Member States do not impose the requirement for companies to register their business activity, thereby allowing such companies to circumvent conformity controls; calls on the Commission to **require that Member States without suitable registration mechanisms in place introduce the appropriate measures** on all companies producing or importing FCMs to officially register their business activity;

Or. en

Amendment 158

Birgit Collin-Langen

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 17 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

17a. Welcomes the Commission's 'Better Training for Safer Food' platform; calls for its activities to be expanded;

Or. de

Amendment 159

Piernicola Pedicini, Marco Affronte, Eleonora Evi

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 18

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

18. Calls on the Member States to carry out controls more efficiently, on the basis

18. Calls on the Member States to carry out controls more efficiently, on the basis

of the percentage of food concerned and the length of time it has been in contact with the material in question, as well as type of FCM, temperature and any other relevant factors;

of the percentage of food concerned and the length of time it has been in contact with the material in question, as well as type of FCM, temperature and any other relevant factors; ***further calls on Member States to consider which measures can be taken if non-compliance is found, for example advise to correct, ban sales, ban import, compulsory recall from consumers or limited fines;***

Or. en

Amendment 160

Martin Häusling

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 18

Motion for a resolution

18. Calls on the Member States to ***carry out controls more efficiently***, on the ***basis of*** the percentage of food concerned and the length of time it has been in contact with the material in question, as well as type of FCM, temperature and any other relevant factors;

Amendment

18. Calls on the Member States to ***increase the frequency and efficiency of official controls, based on the risk of non-compliance***, the percentage of food concerned, ***the intended consumer*** and the length of time it has been in contact with the material in question, as well as type of FCM, temperature and any other relevant factors;

Or. en

Amendment 161

Notis Marias

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 18

Motion for a resolution

18. Calls on the Member States to carry out controls more efficiently, on the basis

Amendment

18. Calls on the Member States to carry out controls more ***systematically and***

of the percentage of food concerned and the length of time it has been in contact with the material in question, as well as type of FCM, temperature and any other relevant factors;

efficiently, on the basis of the percentage of food concerned and the length of time it has been in contact with the material in question, as well as type of FCM, temperature and any other relevant factors;

Or. el

Amendment 162

Christel Schaldemose, Pavel Poc, Biljana Borzan, Nicola Caputo

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 18

Motion for a resolution

18. Calls on the Member States to carry out controls more efficiently, on the ***basis of the percentage*** of food ***concerned*** and the length of time it has been in contact with the ***material in question***, as well as type of FCM, temperature and any other relevant factors;

Amendment

18. Calls on the Member States to carry out controls more efficiently ***based*** on the ***risks involved, taking into account the quantity*** of food and the length of time it has been in contact with the ***FCM***, as well as ***the*** type of FCM, temperature and any other relevant factors;

Or. en

Amendment 163

Martin Häusling

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Motion for a resolution

Paragraph 19

Motion for a resolution

19. Insists on the need for Member States to ensure that they have the necessary staff trained to perform uniform and robust controls;

Amendment

19. Insists on the need for Member States to ensure that they have the necessary staff trained to perform uniform and robust controls ***as well as a system of dissuasive penalties in case on non-compliance in place; calls on the Commission to establish an EU database for the reporting of non-compliance on a yearly basis and to analyse the findings***

thereof ;

Or. en

Amendment 164
Notis Marias

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 19

Motion for a resolution

19. Insists on the need for Member States to ensure that they have the necessary staff trained to perform uniform and **robust** controls;

Amendment

19. Insists on the need for Member States to ensure that they have the necessary staff trained **and schooled** to perform uniform, **robust** and **systematic** controls;

Or. el

Amendment 165
Ivan Jakovčić

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 19

Motion for a resolution

19. Insists on the need for Member States to ensure that they have the necessary staff **trained** to perform uniform and robust controls;

Amendment

19. Insists on the need for Member States to ensure that they have the necessary staff **and equipment** to perform uniform and robust controls;

Or. hr

Amendment 166
Birgit Collin-Langen

Motion for a resolution
Paragraph 19 a (new)

Motion for a resolution

Amendment

19a. Calls for more effective cooperation and coordination between the Member States and the Commission on the early warning system for foodstuffs and feedingstuffs, so that risks to public

health can be dealt with quickly and effectively;

Or. de