



2018/2110(INI)

14.11.2018

AMENDMENTS

1 - 159

Draft opinion

Karin Kadenbach

(PE628.594v01-00)

Implementation report as regards Regulation 1/2005 on the protection of animals during transport within and outside the EU
(2018/2110(INI))

Amendment 1
Mark Demesmaecker

Draft opinion
Paragraph -1 (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

-1. Whereas each year more than a million cattle and sheep are transported over the Mediterranean Sea from European ports to the Middle East and the discharge of manure and dead animals from the vessels into the Mediterranean Sea is an environmental problem and forbidden according to the International Convention for the Prevention of Pollution from Ships (MARPOL 73/78);

Or. en

Amendment 2
Stefan Eck

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

Amendment

1. Notes that Regulation 1/2005¹ **has had** a positive impact on the welfare of animals during transport; welcomes the Commission's guidelines on the subject, but notes that severe problems still persist; observes that enforcement of the regulation **would appear to** be the primary concern of those involved in its implementation;

1. **Notes that millions of animals are transported live (for slaughtering or breeding) each year inside the EU and from the EU to third countries; such exports are on the increase as the farming industry is in search of new markets; it also** notes that Regulation 1/2005¹ **may have** a positive impact on the welfare of animals during transport **if correctly implemented and enforced**; welcomes the Commission's guidelines on the subject, but notes that severe problems still persist; observes that enforcement of the regulation **should** be the primary concern of those involved in its implementation;

¹ Council Regulation (EC) No 1/2005 of 22 December 2004 on the protection of animals during transport and related operations and amending Directives 64/432/EEC and 93/119/EC and Regulation (EC) No 1255/97 (OJ 3, 5.1.2005, p. 1).

¹ Council Regulation (EC) No 1/2005 of 22 December 2004 on the protection of animals during transport and related operations and amending Directives 64/432/EEC and 93/119/EC and Regulation (EC) No 1255/97 (OJ 3, 5.1.2005, p. 1).

Or. en

Amendment 3 **Anja Hazekamp**

Draft opinion **Paragraph 1**

Draft opinion

1. Notes that Regulation 1/2005¹ **has had** a positive impact on the welfare of animals during transport; welcomes the Commission's guidelines on the subject, but **notes** that severe problems still persist; observes that enforcement of the regulation would appear to be the primary concern of those involved in its implementation;

¹ Council Regulation (EC) No 1/2005 of 22 December 2004 on the protection of animals during transport and related operations and amending Directives 64/432/EEC and 93/119/EC and Regulation (EC) No 1255/97 (OJ 3, 5.1.2005, p. 1).

Amendment

1. Notes that Regulation 1/2005¹ **should have** a positive impact on the welfare of animals during transport **and, with a view to animal welfare, should minimise the long-distance transport of animals, including animals for slaughter;** welcomes the Commission's guidelines on the subject, but **considers it unacceptable that, contrary to the Regulation,** severe problems still exist **during the transport of animals inside and outside the EU;** observes that enforcement of the regulation would appear to be the primary concern of those involved in its implementation;

¹ Council Regulation (EC) No 1/2005 of 22 December 2004 on the protection of animals during transport and related operations and amending Directives 64/432/EEC and 93/119/EC and Regulation (EC) No 1255/97 (OJ 3, 5.1.2005, p. 1).

Or. nl

Amendment 4

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. Notes that Regulation 1/2005¹ has had a positive impact on the welfare of animals during transport; welcomes the Commission's guidelines on the subject, but notes that severe problems still persist; observes that enforcement of the regulation would appear to be the primary concern of those involved in its implementation;

¹ Council Regulation (EC) No 1/2005 of 22 December 2004 on the protection of animals during transport and related operations and amending Directives 64/432/EEC and 93/119/EC and Regulation (EC) No 1255/97 (OJ 3, 5.1.2005, p. 1).

Amendment

1. Notes that Regulation 1/2005¹ has had a positive impact on the welfare of animals during transport; welcomes the Commission's guidelines on the subject, but ***regrets that some of the actions planned by the Commission were delayed by up to five years including the EU guidelines on the protection of animals during transport***^{1a}; notes that severe problems ***with transport*** still persist; observes that enforcement of the regulation would appear to be the primary concern of those involved in its implementation;

¹ Council Regulation (EC) No 1/2005 of 22 December 2004 on the protection of animals during transport and related operations and amending Directives 64/432/EEC and 93/119/EC and Regulation (EC) No 1255/97 (OJ 3, 5.1.2005, p. 1).

^{1a} ***Special Report of the European Court of Auditors 31/2018: "Animal welfare in the EU: closing the gap between ambitious goals and practical implementation"***

Or. en

Amendment 5
Mireille D'Ornano

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. Notes that Regulation 1/2005¹ has had a positive impact on the welfare of

Amendment

1. Notes that Regulation 1/2005¹ has had a positive impact on the welfare of

animals during transport; welcomes the Commission's guidelines on the subject, but notes that severe problems still persist; observes that enforcement of the regulation would appear to be the primary concern of those involved in its implementation;

¹ Council Regulation (EC) No 1/2005 of 22 December 2004 on the protection of animals during transport and related operations and amending Directives 64/432/EEC and 93/119/EC and Regulation (EC) No 1255/97 (OJ 3, 5.1.2005, p. 1).

animals during transport; welcomes the Commission's guidelines on the subject, but notes that severe problems still persist, ***in particular as regards the duration of animal transport, the particular issue of animal transport during heat waves, and the issue of pregnant animals***; observes that enforcement of the regulation would appear to be the primary concern of those involved in its implementation;

¹ Council Regulation (EC) No 1/2005 of 22 December 2004 on the protection of animals during transport and related operations and amending Directives 64/432/EEC and 93/119/EC and Regulation (EC) No 1255/97 (OJ 3, 5.1.2005, p. 1).

Or. fr

Amendment 6

Keith Taylor

Draft opinion

Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. ***Notes that*** Regulation 1/2005¹ ***has had a positive impact on the welfare of animals during transport; welcomes the Commission's guidelines on the subject, but notes that severe problems still persist; observes that*** enforcement ***of the regulation would appear to be the primary concern of those involved in its implementation;***

¹ Council Regulation (EC) No 1/2005 of 22 December 2004 on the protection of animals during transport and related operations and amending Directives 64/432/EEC and 93/119/EC and Regulation (EC) No 1255/97 (OJ 3,

Amendment

1. ***Regrets the poor implementation of*** Regulation 1/2005,¹ ***which has led to persistent animal welfare problems, owing mainly to a lack of enforcement by Competent Authorities;***

¹ Council Regulation (EC) No 1/2005 of 22 December 2004 on the protection of animals during transport and related operations and amending Directives 64/432/EEC and 93/119/EC and Regulation (EC) No 1255/97 (OJ 3,

Amendment 7
Jytte Guteland

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. Notes that Regulation 1/2005¹ has had a positive impact on the welfare of animals during transport; welcomes the Commission's guidelines on the subject, but notes that severe problems still persist; ***observes that enforcement of the regulation would appear to be the primary concern of those involved in its implementation;***

¹ Council Regulation (EC) No 1/2005 of 22 December 2004 on the protection of animals during transport and related operations and amending Directives 64/432/EEC and 93/119/EC and Regulation (EC) No 1255/97 (OJ 3, 5.1.2005, p. 1).

Amendment

1. Notes that Regulation 1/2005¹ has had a positive impact on the welfare of animals during transport; welcomes the Commission's guidelines on the subject, but notes that severe ***enforcement*** problems still persist; ***to preserve animal health and welfare as well as to ensure fair competition, it urges the Commission to start infringement procedures against Member States found severely ill-compliant.***

¹ Council Regulation (EC) No 1/2005 of 22 December 2004 on the protection of animals during transport and related operations and amending Directives 64/432/EEC and 93/119/EC and Regulation (EC) No 1255/97 (OJ 3, 5.1.2005, p. 1).

Amendment 8
Eleonora Evi, Fabio Massimo Castaldo, Piernicola Pedicini, Tiziana Beghin

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. Notes that Regulation 1/2005¹ ***has had*** a positive impact on the welfare of

Amendment

1. Notes that Regulation 1/2005¹ ***may have*** a positive impact on the welfare of

animals during transport; *welcomes* the Commission's guidelines on the subject, *but notes* that severe problems still persist; *observes that enforcement of the regulation would appear to be the primary concern of those involved in its implementation;*

animals during transport *and takes note of* the Commission's guidelines on the subject; *highlights, however,* that severe *and widespread* problems still persist *with regard to its proper enforcement;*

Or. it

Amendment 9
Stefan Eck

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1 – point 1 (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

(1) Underlines that conditions in third countries are usually worse than in the EU and exported animals are no longer protected on the ground by EU laws despite of the Court of Justice's ruling which concluded that Regulation 1/2005 should apply to cases of exports to non-EU countries;

Or. en

Amendment 10
Stefan Eck

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1 – point 2 (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

(2) Acknowledges that several official and non-official investigations provided abundant evidence that many animal exports between EU countries and from the EU to third countries are not in accordance with Regulation 1/2005;

Or. en

Amendment 11

Stefan Eck

Draft opinion

Paragraph 1 – point 3 (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

(3) Investigations have also shown that Regulation 1/2005 is not respected in border areas inside EU territory;

Or. en

Amendment 12

Karin Kadenbach, Jytte Guteland

Draft opinion

Paragraph 1 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

1a. States that certain provisions of Regulation 1/2005 are a major concern, including those that disallow overcrowding, require sufficient headspace, prohibit transport in extreme heat, require water to be available during long journeys, prohibit the transport of unfit animals, and require animals to be unloaded for 24 hours rest, feed and water after a specified maximum travelling time; calls on Member States and transporters to substantially improve enforcement of, and compliance with, Regulation 1/2005;

Or. en

Amendment 13

Anja Hazekamp

Draft opinion

Paragraph 1 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

1a. Stresses that the suffering of animals during transport is causing great social concern and outrage; notes that, on 21 September 2017, the European Commission received over 1 million signatures in support of the #StopTheTrucks campaign, in which European citizens call for an end to long-distance transport;

Or. nl

Amendment 14

Eleonora Evi, Piernicola Pedicini, Fabio Massimo Castaldo, Tiziana Beghin

Draft opinion

Paragraph 1 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

1a. Notes that the most common breaches of Regulation (EC) No 1/2005 are caused by overcrowding, insufficient headroom, failure to provide the required stops for rest, food and water, inadequate ventilation and watering devices, transport in extreme heat, transport of unfit animals and insufficient bedding;

Or. en

Amendment 15

Eleonora Evi, Fabio Massimo Castaldo, Piernicola Pedicini, Tiziana Beghin

Draft opinion

Paragraph 1 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

1a. Takes the view that the shortcomings in the implementation of Regulation (EC) No 1/2005 are a clear

example of the need to put greater efforts into preventing serious incidents that have a significant impact on animal welfare and prosecuting those who infringe the law;

Or. it

Amendment 16
Sirpa Pietikäinen

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

1a. In line with recital 11 of the Council Regulation (EC) 1/2005, calls on the Commission to revise Regulation (EC) No 1/2005 to align it with current scientific knowledge;

Or. en

Amendment 17
Pavel Poc, Nicola Caputo

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

1a. Calls on the Member States to substantially improve compliance with the Regulation (EC) No 1/2005;

Or. en

Amendment 18
Karin Kadenbach, Jytte Guteland

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1 b (new)

1b. Notes that a number of issues concerning Regulation 1/2005 remain to be solved, such as: the long-distance transport of unweaned calves, the need to ascertain the state of pregnancy of live animals, the extent to which the journey logs are checked, the infringement-enforcement-penalty relationship, the 'mixed' impact of training, education and certification, and border controls; deplores that some of those issues currently remain unresolved because of different legal systems in the Member States, different priorities, or different interpretations of the regulation^{1a};

^{1a} "Regulation (EC) No 1/2005 on the protection of animals during transport and related operations - European Implementation Assessment", Study from European Parliamentary Research Service, 2018

Or. en

Amendment 19

Eleonora Evi, Fabio Massimo Castaldo, Piernicola Pedicini, Tiziana Beghin

Draft opinion

Paragraph 1 b (new)

1b. Deplores the lack of rigour displayed by the Commission in pursuing the systematic infringements of Regulation (EC) No 1/2005 which are explained in detail in the 200 or so reports sent to it by non-governmental organisations since 2007;

Or. it

Amendment 20
Anja Hazekamp

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

1b. Believes that animal transport is one of the biggest problems in intensive livestock farming; considers it necessary, therefore, to significantly reduce the number of animals bred and slaughtered in the EU;

Or. nl

Amendment 21
Karin Kadenbach, Pavel Poc, Jytte Guteland

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1 c (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

1c. Agrees with the Commission that it is good practice for competent authorities to inspect all consignments destined for non-EU countries at loading^{1a}; believes that a proportion of intra-EU consignments should also be inspected at loading; notes that at loading competent authorities can check that the Regulation's requirements on floor space and headroom are being observed, that the ventilation and water systems are operating properly, that the drinking devices are working properly and are appropriate for the species being carried, that no unfit animals are loaded, and that sufficient feed and bedding are being carried;

^{1a} Final report of an audit carried out in The Netherlands from 20 February 2017

to 24 February 2017 in order to evaluate animal welfare during transport to non-EU countries. DG(SANTE) 2017-6106

Or. en

Amendment 22

Eleonora Evi, Fabio Massimo Castaldo, Piernicola Pedicini, Tiziana Beghin

Draft opinion

Paragraph 1 c (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

1c. Recalls the numerous parliamentary questions, letters and complaints sent by Members of the European Parliament to the Commission, highlighting the systematic infringements of the regulation and condemning the unacceptable conditions of stress, pain, anguish and suffering of live animals transported within the Union and to third countries;

Or. it

Amendment 23

Anja Hazekamp

Draft opinion

Paragraph 1 c (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

1c. Notes that the UN Food and Agriculture Organisation considers intensive livestock farming responsible for almost a fifth of greenhouse gas emissions and that a drastic reduction in livestock numbers is therefore necessary to combat climate change adequately;

Or. nl

Amendment 24

Eleonora Evi, Fabio Massimo Castaldo, Piernicola Pedicini, Tiziana Beghin

Draft opinion

Paragraph 1 d (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

1d. Strongly criticises the statistics drawn up by the Commission on compliance with the regulation as regards the journeys made to transport live animals to third countries and stresses that they have been drawn up without any systematic checks on animal transport vehicles;

Or. it

Amendment 25

Anja Hazekamp

Draft opinion

Paragraph 1 d (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

1d. Notes that diminished animal product consumption will reduce the number of live animal transport operations; stresses that this will also benefit the environment and public health and be a key factor in combating climate change;

Or. nl

Amendment 26

Anja Hazekamp

Draft opinion

Paragraph 1 e (new)

1e. Points out that animal transport is a threat to animal and public health, being one of the main causes of the rapid spread of animal diseases, including those transmissible to humans; notes that, in order to prevent the spread of animal diseases, a radical change in animal husbandry methods in Europe is necessary, together with the elimination long-distance transport; calls on the Member States, in the event of an outbreak of a contagious animal disease in another Member State, to impose an immediate moratorium on imports of live animals;

Or. nl

Amendment 27

Eleonora Evi, Piernicola Pedicini, Fabio Massimo Castaldo, Tiziana Beghin

Draft opinion

Paragraph 1 e (new)

1e. Welcomes Special Report No 31/2018^{1 a} of the European Court of Auditors, which clearly identifies compliance with legislation on long-distance transport of live animals and the transport of unfit animals as issues that have not yet been resolved;

^{1 a} Report No 31/2018 of the European Court of Auditors: ‘Animal welfare in the EU: closing the gap between ambitious goals and practical implementation’.

Or. it

Amendment 28

Eleonora Evi, Fabio Massimo Castaldo, Piernicola Pedicini, Tiziana Beghin

Draft opinion

Paragraph 1 f (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

1f. Expresses concern over journeys in which animals are watered with contaminated water that is unfit for consumption and journeys in which animals are deprived of access to water because of malfunctioning or badly located watering devices; stresses that vehicles used for the transport of live animals should ensure that sufficient water is supplied during journeys, and in any case, the amount supplied should be appropriate for the specific requirements of the animals being transported and for the number of those animals;

Or. it

Amendment 29

Eleonora Evi, Fabio Massimo Castaldo, Piernicola Pedicini, Tiziana Beghin

Draft opinion

Paragraph 1 g (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

1g. Stresses that infringements are often due to the inadequacy of the ventilation systems of vehicles used for the road transport of live animals over long distances; points out that in these situations, animals are forced into small spaces which have extreme temperatures, well beyond the range of temperatures and tolerance limits set out in the regulation;

Or. it

Amendment 30

Eleonora Evi, Fabio Massimo Castaldo, Piernicola Pedicini, Tiziana Beghin

Draft opinion

Paragraph 1 h (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

1h. Is concerned about cases in which tampering with temperature control sensors inside vehicles used for the transport of live animals has been detected, and calls on the competent authorities not to approve journey logs when temperatures in the places of departure and destination, or during the journey, are forecast to be higher than 30 °C;

Or. it

Amendment 31

Eleonora Evi, Fabio Massimo Castaldo, Piernicola Pedicini, Tiziana Beghin

Draft opinion

Paragraph 1 i (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

1i. Regrets that compartments for animals do not always provide sufficient space to ensure there is adequate ventilation inside vehicles used for the transport of live animals and that natural movements for animals are prevented in all circumstances in which animals are forced to take up unnatural positions for long periods, in clear violation of the technical rules set out in Article 6 and Annex I, Chapter II, point 1.2 of the regulation;

Or. it

Amendment 32

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1 j (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

1j. Regrets the infringements of the regulation that concern the failure to properly apply the rules concerning unweaned animals, such as calves, lambs, kids and foals which are still on a milk diet, and unweaned piglets, and calls for the introduction of more detailed measures to ensure that the welfare of these animals is fully protected when they are being transported;

Or. it

Amendment 33
Mireille D'Ornano

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

Amendment

2. Insists that the transport time of animals destined for slaughter be limited to eight hours, taking account of transport conditions and loading time, irrespective of whether this takes place on land or at sea;

2. Insists that the transport time of animals destined for slaughter be limited to eight hours, taking account of transport conditions and loading time, irrespective of whether this takes place on land or at sea; ***recalls the adverse impact of the stress suffered by animals during excessively protracted transport on the quality of meat made available for consumption, and therefore the negative impact of these transport conditions on human health;***

Or. fr

Amendment 34
Sirpa Pietikäinen

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Insists that the transport time of animals ***destined for slaughter*** be limited to eight hours, taking account of transport conditions and loading time, irrespective of whether this takes place on land or at sea;

Amendment

2. Insists that the transport time of animals be limited to eight hours, taking account of transport conditions and loading time, irrespective of whether this takes place on land or at sea ***or air. Within this maximum 8 hours journey time, calls on the Commission to use Art 135 (C) of the Animal Health Law (Ref. Regulation 2016/429) to insert in Regulation 1/2005 species specific journey time;***

Or. en

Amendment 35
Jytte Guteland

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Insists that the transport time of animals destined for slaughter be limited to eight hours, taking account ***of*** transport conditions and loading time, irrespective of whether this takes place on land or at sea;

Amendment

2. Insists that the transport time of animals destined for slaughter be limited to eight hours ***in total per day, believes that unweaned animals should not be transported for journeys longer than four hours,*** taking ***into*** account transport conditions and loading time, irrespective of whether this takes place on land or at sea;

Or. en

Amendment 36
Mireille D'Ornano

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Insists that the transport time of

Amendment

2. Insists that the transport time of

animals destined for slaughter be limited to eight hours, taking account of transport conditions and loading time, irrespective of whether this takes place on land or at sea;

animals destined for slaughter be limited to eight hours, taking account of transport conditions and loading time, irrespective of whether this takes place on land or at sea;
observes that consideration could be given to reducing this maximum duration during heat waves;

Or. fr

Amendment 37
Keith Taylor

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Insists that the transport time of animals destined for slaughter be limited to eight hours, ***taking account of transport conditions and loading time***, irrespective of whether this takes place on land or at sea;

Amendment

2. Insists that the transport time of ***unweaned animals and*** animals destined for slaughter ***should*** be limited to ***four hours; calls for a ban on all other journeys over*** eight hours, irrespective of whether this takes place on land or at sea;

Or. en

Amendment 38
Joëlle Mélin, Jean-François Jalkh

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Insists that the transport time of animals destined for slaughter be limited to eight hours, taking account of transport conditions and loading time, irrespective of whether this takes place on land or at sea;

Amendment

2. Insists that the transport time of animals destined for slaughter ***or fattening*** be limited to eight hours, taking account of transport conditions and loading time, irrespective of whether this takes place on land or at sea;

Or. fr

Amendment 39

Pilar Ayuso

Draft opinion

Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Insists that the transport time of animals destined for *slaughter* be **limited to eight hours**, taking account of transport conditions and loading time, irrespective of whether this takes place on land or at sea;

Amendment

2. Insists that the transport time of animals destined for *whatever purpose* be **kept to a minimum**, taking account of transport conditions and loading time, irrespective of whether this takes place on land or at sea;

Or. es

Amendment 40

Annie Schreijer-Pierik

Draft opinion

Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. ***Insists*** that the transport time of animals destined for slaughter be limited ***to eight hours, taking account of transport conditions and loading time, irrespective of whether this takes place on land or at sea;***

Amendment

2. ***Calls for an examination of all socio-economic consequences of a request previously made by Parliament*** that the transport time of animals destined for slaughter be limited, ***taking into account the specific regional circumstances;***

Or. nl

Amendment 41

Eleonora Evi, Fabio Massimo Castaldo, Piernicola Pedicini, Tiziana Beghin

Draft opinion

Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. ***Insists that*** the transport time of animals destined for slaughter be limited to eight hours, taking account of transport conditions and loading time, irrespective of

Amendment

2. ***Calls for*** the transport time of animals destined for slaughter ***and fattening to*** be limited to eight hours, taking account of transport conditions and

whether this takes place on land or at sea;

loading time, irrespective of whether this takes place on land or at sea;

Or. it

Amendment 42
Angélique Delahaye

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Insists that the transport time of animals destined for slaughter be limited **to eight hours, taking account of** transport conditions and loading time, irrespective of whether this takes place on land or at sea;

Amendment

2. Insists that the transport time of animals destined for slaughter be limited **in time in accordance with** transport conditions and loading time, irrespective of whether this takes place on land or at sea;

Or. fr

Amendment 43
Stefan Eck

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Insists that the transport time of animals destined for slaughter be limited to **eight** hours, taking account of transport conditions and loading time, irrespective of whether this takes place on land or at sea;

Amendment

2. Insists that the transport time of animals destined for slaughter be limited to **four** hours, taking account of transport conditions and loading time, irrespective of whether this takes place on land or at sea;

Or. en

Amendment 44
Mark Demesmaeker

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Insists that the transport time of animals ***destined for slaughter*** be limited to eight hours, taking account of transport conditions and loading time, irrespective of whether this takes place on land or at sea;

Amendment

2. Insists that the transport time of animals be limited to eight hours, taking account of transport conditions and loading time, irrespective of whether this takes place on land or at sea;

Or. en

Amendment 45
Anja Hazekamp

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. ***Insists*** that the transport time of animals destined for slaughter be limited to ***eight*** hours, taking account of transport conditions and loading time, irrespective of whether this takes place on land or at sea;

Amendment

2. ***Urges*** that the transport time of animals destined for slaughter be limited to ***two*** hours, taking account of transport conditions and loading time, irrespective of whether this takes place on land or at sea;

Or. nl

Amendment 46
Anja Hazekamp

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

2a. Points out that transported livestock suffer heat stress once the inside temperature reaches 25 °C, causing distress and exhaustion; notes the provision of Regulation (EC) No 1/2005 that the temperature of the livestock car may not exceed 30 °C; calls on the Member States to prohibit all long-distance animal transport during extreme weather conditions, especially when temperatures at the places of departure or

destination or along the route are forecast to exceed 28 °C;

Or. nl

Amendment 47
Annie Schreijer-Pierik

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

2a. Points out that, for the transport of vertebrate animals in connection with an economic activity for longer than eight hours, the road transport unit used for this purpose is already required to meet specific additional requirements under Regulation (EC) No 1/2005;

Or. nl

Amendment 48
Keith Taylor

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

2a. Strongly favours the transportation of meat over live animals, which could significantly improve animal welfare, as well as reducing the volume of related transport, reducing emissions thereby having a positive impact on the environment;

Or. en

Amendment 49
Eleonora Evi, Piernicola Pedicini, Fabio Massimo Castaldo, Tiziana Beghin

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

2a. Urges the Member States to check a proportion of transports at loading, including all loadings to destinations in non-EU countries, as it is at loading that many problems can be detected and remedied before the start of the journey;

Or. en

Amendment 50
Sirpa Pietikäinen

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

2a. Given the impossibility of enforcing the Regulation 1/2005 outside EU, calls for a ban of the export of live animals destined for slaughter to Third Countries;

Or. en

Amendment 51
Keith Taylor

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

2b. Recognises the need for a more regional and sustainable model of livestock production where animals are born, fattened and slaughtered in the same region instead of being transported over long distances; calls on the Commission to present innovative

solutions to promote slaughter closer to production and point of sale including support for regional and mobile slaughterhouses, whilst ensuring the highest possible animal welfare standards;

Or. en

Amendment 52
Annie Schreijer-Pierik

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

2b. Recalls that, under the existing Regulation, a rest break at an approved control post is mandatory after the maximum period of transport of domestic Equidae, and domestic animals of the bovine, ovine, caprine and porcine species, where the transport time exceeds eight hours;

Or. nl

Amendment 53
Keith Taylor

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2 c (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

2c. Deplores the fact that, despite clear recommendations from the European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) parts of the Regulation do not meet current scientific knowledge on animal welfare, as is required by the Regulation; calls therefore for updated and improved rules on:

- *ventilation and cooling in all vehicles/vessels;*
- *appropriate drinking systems, particularly for unweaned animals; □*
- *transport of unfit animals;*
- *increased minimum headroom;*
- *reduced stocking densities;*

Or. en

Amendment 54
Annie Schreijer-Pierik

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2 c (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

2c. Stresses that, in accordance with Regulation (EC) No 1/2005, animals being transported over long distances must be offered water, feed and rest at suitable intervals and appropriate to their species and age; urges the Commission to monitor more effectively full and harmonised compliance with these legal requirements by all EU Member States;

Or. nl

Amendment 55
Eleonora Evi, Fabio Massimo Castaldo, Piernicola Pedicini, Tiziana Beghin

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

Amendment

3. Deplores the often lengthy delays at borders *and the increased pain and distress for animals that they cause*; calls on the Member States and the Commission to *improve this situation*;

3. Deplores the often lengthy delays at borders *which, for the live animals transported involve stress, pain and distress which should be avoided*; calls on the Member States and the Commission to *resolve the issue of delays by improving*

coordination and cooperation between the authorities responsible for implementing the regulation; calls on the competent authorities not to approve journey logs for exports to Turkey until facilities are built on the Turkish side of the border where, in the event of delays, animals can be unloaded and provided with food, water, rest and veterinary care;

Or. it

Amendment 56
Sirpa Pietikäinen

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

3. Deplores the often lengthy delays at borders and the increased pain and distress for animals that they cause; calls on the Member States and the Commission to improve this situation;

Amendment

3. Deplores the often lengthy delays at borders and the increased pain and distress for animals that they cause; calls on the Member States and the Commission to improve this situation; ***calls on the Member States to better plan their journeys and to communicate each-others to avoid concentration of animal trucks transporting animals at the borders, cause of severe animal health and welfare problems;***

Or. en

Amendment 57
Joëlle Mélin, Jean-François Jalkh

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

3. Deplores the often lengthy delays at borders and the increased pain and distress for animals that they cause; calls on the

Amendment

3. Deplores the often lengthy delays at ***the external*** borders and the increased pain and distress for animals that they cause;

Member States and the Commission to improve this situation;

calls on the Member States and the Commission to improve this situation, *in particular by establishing places where animals can be unloaded and supplied with food and water, and by introducing systematic veterinary checks;*

Or. fr

Amendment 58

Jytte Guteland

Draft opinion

Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

3. Deplores the often lengthy delays at borders and the increased pain and distress for animals that they cause; calls on the Member States *and the Commission to improve this situation;*

Amendment

3. Deplores the often lengthy delays at borders and the increased pain and distress for animals that they cause; calls on the Member States *to better plan their journeys and to communicate each-others to avoid concentration of animal trucks transporting animals at the borders, cause of severe animal health and welfare problems;*

Or. en

Amendment 59

Keith Taylor

Draft opinion

Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

3. Deplores the often lengthy delays at borders *and the* increased pain and distress for animals *that they cause; calls on the Member States and the Commission to improve this situation;*

Amendment

3. Deplores the often lengthy delays at borders *where the severe lack of resting, water and veterinary care facilities also result in* increased pain and distress for animals *as well as many fatalities; requires the Competent Authorities not to approve journey logs for such journeys until suitable facilities have been*

constructed;

Or. en

Amendment 60
Annie Schreijer-Pierik

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

3. Deplores the often lengthy delays at borders and the increased pain and distress for animals that they cause; calls on the Member States and the Commission to improve this situation;

Amendment

3. Deplores the often lengthy delays at ***certain*** borders and the increased pain and distress for animals that they ***could*** cause ***in certain cases, for example because of unsuitable or outdated transport facilities;*** calls on the Member States ***concerned*** and the Commission to improve this situation;

Or. nl

Amendment 61
Stefan Eck

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

3. Deplores the often lengthy delays at borders and the increased pain and distress for animals that they cause; calls on the Member States and the Commission to ***improve*** this situation;

Amendment

3. Deplores the often lengthy delays at borders and the increased pain and distress for animals that they cause - ***as journeys can last up to 14 days in cramped conditions, in trucks or ships without sufficient feed, water or veterinary care;*** calls on the Member States and the Commission to ***resolve*** this situation;

Or. en

Amendment 62

Luke Ming Flanagan

**Draft opinion
Paragraph 3**

Draft opinion

3. Deplores the often lengthy delays at borders and the increased pain and distress for animals that they cause; calls on the Member States and the Commission to improve this situation;

Amendment

3. Deplores the often lengthy delays at borders and the increased pain and distress for animals that they cause; calls on the Member States and the Commission to ***examine and to*** improve this situation, ***particularly so now on the UK/EU crossing points where there is a real threat of extended delays post-Brexit;***

Or. en

**Amendment 63
Anja Hazekamp**

**Draft opinion
Paragraph 3**

Draft opinion

3. ***Deplores*** the often lengthy delays at borders and the increased pain and ***distress*** for animals that they cause; calls on ***the Member States and*** the Commission ***to improve this situation;***

Amendment

3. ***Is deeply concerned at*** the often lengthy delays at borders and the increased pain and ***stress*** for animals that they cause , ***which is a fundamental breach of Regulation (EC) No 1/2005;*** calls on the Commission, ***therefore, to stop allowing animal transport from the EU to Turkey;***

Or. nl

**Amendment 64
Annie Schreijer-Pierik**

**Draft opinion
Paragraph 3 a (new)**

Draft opinion

Amendment

3a. Deeply regrets that the inadequate implementation and enforcement of

Regulation (EC) No 1/2005 in certain Member States, together with unfair and tendentious perceptions persistently propagated by radical activists throughout Europe regarding animal transport in general, are unjustly harming the public reputation of livestock transport firms that diligently comply with the provisions of Regulation (EC) No 1/2005 and, in many cases, seek to achieve standards of animal welfare during transport that are even higher than statutory requirements;

Or. nl

Amendment 65

Keith Taylor

Draft opinion

Paragraph 3 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

3a. Requires Member States to urgently and substantially improve their implementation of and compliance with the Regulation;

Or. en

Amendment 66

Annie Schreijer-Pierik

Draft opinion

Paragraph 3 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

3b. Urges the Commission and Member States to give greater public credit to the most progressive animal transport firms and the best practices followed by them, in order to encourage emulation;

Amendment 67
Pavel Poc, Nicola Caputo

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Notes that enforcement is particularly difficult when different Member States are responsible for approving journey **logs**; calls on all those Member States which discover breaches to notify the other Member States involved, as required by Regulation 1/2005;

Amendment

4. Notes that enforcement is particularly difficult ***when a journey passes through several Member States and*** when different Member States are responsible for approving ***the journey log, granting an authorisation to the transporter and granting a certificate of competence to the driver***; Calls on all those Member States which discover breaches to notify the other Member States involved, as required by ***Article 26 of*** Regulation 1/2005;

Or. en

Amendment 68
Joëlle Mélin, Jean-François Jalkh

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Notes that enforcement is particularly difficult when different Member States are responsible for approving journey logs; calls on all those Member States which discover breaches to notify the other Member States involved, as required by Regulation 1/2005;

Amendment

4. Notes that enforcement is particularly difficult when different Member States are responsible for approving journey logs; calls on all those Member States which discover breaches to notify the other Member States involved, as required by Regulation 1/2005, ***and to enter data on the identity and registration of the transporter in a file which can be consulted by all the inspection bodies of each Member State***;

Amendment 69

Keith Taylor

Draft opinion

Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Notes that enforcement is particularly difficult when *different* Member States *are responsible for approving journey logs*; calls on all *those* Member States *which discover* breaches to notify the other Member States involved, as required by *Regulation 1/2005*;

Amendment

4. Notes that enforcement is particularly difficult when *a journey involves many* Member States; calls on all Member States *that uncover* breaches to notify *all* the other Member States involved, as required by *the Regulation*; *calls on the Member States receiving such notifications to act on them in a timely manner to prevent recurrence of the infringements*;

Or. en

Amendment 70

Sirpa Pietikäinen

Draft opinion

Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Notes that enforcement is particularly difficult when different Member States are responsible for approving journey logs; calls on all those Member States which discover breaches to notify the other Member States involved, as required by Regulation 1/2005;

Amendment

4. Notes that enforcement is particularly difficult when different Member States are responsible for approving journey logs; calls on all those Member States which discover breaches to notify the other Member States involved, as required by Regulation 1/2005; *calls on the Commission to ensure the enforcement of the directive by the Member states*;

Or. en

Amendment 71
Anja Hazekamp

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Notes that enforcement is particularly difficult when different Member States are responsible for approving journey logs; calls on all those Member States which discover breaches to notify the other Member States involved, as required by Regulation 1/2005;

Amendment

4. Notes that enforcement is particularly difficult when ***animals are transported through different Member States*** and different Member States are responsible for approving journey logs; calls on all those Member States which discover breaches to notify the other Member States involved, as required by Regulation 1/2005;

Or. nl

Amendment 72
Luke Ming Flanagan

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Notes that enforcement is particularly difficult when different Member States are responsible for approving journey logs; calls on all those Member States which discover breaches to notify the other Member States involved, as required by Regulation 1/2005;

Amendment

4. Notes that enforcement is particularly difficult when different Member States are responsible for approving journey logs; calls on all those Member States which discover breaches to notify, ***at the earliest opportunity***, the other Member States involved, as required by Regulation 1/2005;

Or. en

Amendment 73
Eleonora Evi, Fabio Massimo Castaldo, Piernicola Pedicini, Tiziana Beghin

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

Amendment

4. Notes that enforcement is particularly difficult when different Member States are responsible for approving journey logs; calls on all those Member States which discover breaches to notify the other Member States involved, as required by Regulation 1/2005;

(Does not affect the English version.)

Or. it

Amendment 74

Pavel Poc, Nicola Caputo

Draft opinion

Paragraph 4 – point a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

(a) Notes that Article 26 of Regulation No 1/2005 gives Member States strong enforcement powers including requiring the transporter concerned to establish systems to prevent recurrence of the breach, and subjecting the transporter to extra checks such as requiring the presence of a veterinarian at loading of the animals; is concerned that many Member States do not use these powers properly; calls on the Member States to make effective use of the Article 26 powers to prevent recurrence of breaches;

Or. en

Amendment 75

Eleonora Evi, Piernicola Pedicini, Fabio Massimo Castaldo, Tiziana Beghin

Draft opinion

Paragraph 4 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

4a. Urges the competent authorities of

the Member State to act in accordance with Article 26 in case of any infringements of the Regulation by notifying without delay the competent authorities in the other concerned Member States, as the competent authority of the place of departure, the authority which granted the authorisation to the transporter or the certificate of approval of the means of transport and, where the driver is involved in the failure to observe the requirements of the Regulation, the one that issued the driver's certificate of competence;

Or. en

Amendment 76
Karin Kadenbach, Jytte Guteland

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

4a. *Is concerned that many Member States do not properly use the strong enforcement powers given to them by Article 26 of Regulation 1/2005; notes that as a result the same breaches, often by the same transporters, have been recurring on a regular basis for many years; calls on competent authorities to use their powers to require transporters who breach Regulation 1/2005 to establish systems to prevent recurrence of the breach;*

Or. en

Amendment 77
Eleonora Evi, Fabio Massimo Castaldo, Piernicola Pedicini, Tiziana Beghin

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

4a. Takes the view that, in cases in which the regulation stipulates that animals should be unloaded at a control post, before approving a journey log the competent authorities should ascertain that the organiser has made a reservation at the control post;

Or. it

**Amendment 78
Keith Taylor**

**Draft opinion
Paragraph 4 a (new)**

Draft opinion

Amendment

4a. Calls on Member States to make more effective use of the strong enforcement powers given to them under the Regulation, including the power to suspend or withdraw a transporter's authorisation in the case of serious breaches;

Or. en

**Amendment 79
Annie Schreijer-Pierik**

**Draft opinion
Paragraph 4 a (new)**

Draft opinion

Amendment

4a. Calls on the Commission and the Member States to ensure uniform compliance with Regulation (EC) No 1/2005 throughout the Union;

Or. nl

Amendment 80

Eleonora Evi, Piernicola Pedicini, Fabio Massimo Castaldo, Tiziana Beghin

Draft opinion

Paragraph 4 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

4b. Calls on the Member States to make a full use of the enforcement measures foreseen by Article 26 the Regulation, according to which the competent authorities shall require a transporter to establish systems to prevent the recurrence of observed breaches, subject a transporter to additional checks, in particular requiring the presence of a veterinarian at loading of the animals, suspend or withdraw the authorisation of the transporter or the certificate of approval of the means of transport concerned in case of infringements;

Or. en

Amendment 81

Keith Taylor

Draft opinion

Paragraph 4 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

4b. Insists that Member States, in the case of a breach of the Regulation, require the transporters to establish effective systems to prevent any further breaches and to monitor implementation of these systems and to communicate these to other Member States;

Or. en

Amendment 82
Anja Hazekamp

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

5. Stresses that the total number of checks carried out by national competent authorities pursuant to Regulation 1/2005 has fallen, despite the number of consignments in the EU containing live animals having increased; calls on the Member States to ensure *adequate* controls for live animal transport;

Amendment

5. Stresses that the total number of checks carried out by national competent authorities pursuant to Regulation 1/2005 has fallen, despite the number of consignments in the EU containing live animals having increased; ***stresses that transport poses risks to animal welfare and that meticulous checks are essential to ensure the welfare of animals during transport***; calls on the Member States to ensure ***much more stringent*** controls for live animal transport, ***as well as the presence of a veterinarian during such controls***;

Or. nl

Amendment 83
Joëlle Mélin, Jean-François Jalkh

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

5. Stresses that the total number of checks carried out by national competent authorities pursuant to Regulation 1/2005 has fallen, despite the number of consignments in the EU containing live animals having increased; calls on the Member States to ***ensure adequate*** controls ***for*** live animal transport;

Amendment

5. Stresses that the total number of checks carried out by national competent authorities pursuant to Regulation 1/2005 has fallen, despite the number of consignments in the EU containing live animals having increased; calls on the Member States to ***do their utmost to step up*** controls ***on*** live animal transport ***and to provide for severe penalties for non-compliance with the provisions of Regulation (EC) No 1/2005***;

Or. fr

Amendment 84
Annie Schreijer-Pierik

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

5. ***Stresses*** that the total number of checks carried out by national competent authorities pursuant to Regulation 1/2005 has fallen, despite the number of consignments in the EU containing live animals having increased; calls on the Member States to ensure ***adequate controls for live animal transport***;

Amendment

5. ***Notes*** that the total number of checks carried out by national competent authorities pursuant to Regulation 1/2005 has ***increased in certain Member States but fallen in others***, despite the number of consignments in the EU containing live animals having increased; calls on the Member States to ***continue to carry out proportionate controls to protect animal welfare and maintain a level playing field for livestock transport in all EU Member States***;

Or. nl

Amendment 85
Keith Taylor

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

5. Stresses that the total number of checks carried out by national competent authorities pursuant to ***Regulation 1/2005*** has fallen, despite the number of consignments ***in the EU containing*** live animals having increased; ***calls on*** the Member States to ***ensure adequate controls for live animal transport***;

Amendment

5. Stresses that the total number of checks carried out by national Competent Authorities pursuant to ***the Regulation*** has fallen, despite the number of ***intra-EU*** consignments ***of*** live animals having increased; ***urges*** the Member States to ***therefore significantly increase the minimum number of controls carried out at the time of loading as this is where many breaches can be detected and remedied***;

Or. en

Amendment 86

Stefan Eck

Draft opinion

Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

5. Stresses that the total number of checks carried out by national competent authorities pursuant to Regulation 1/2005 has fallen, despite the number of consignments in the EU containing live animals having increased; calls on the Member States to ensure adequate controls for live animal transport;

Amendment

5. Stresses that the total number of checks carried out by national competent authorities pursuant to Regulation 1/2005 has fallen, despite the number of consignments in the EU containing live animals having increased; calls on the Member States to ensure adequate controls for live animal transport, ***properly planned journeys and contingency plans – as required by Regulation 1/2005;***

Or. en

Amendment 87

Sirpa Pietikäinen

Draft opinion

Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

5. Stresses that the total number of checks carried out by national competent authorities pursuant to Regulation 1/2005 has fallen, despite the number of consignments in the EU containing live animals having increased; calls on the Member States to ensure adequate controls for live animal transport;

Amendment

5. Stresses that the total number of checks carried out by ***the Commission as well as*** national competent authorities pursuant to Regulation 1/2005 has fallen, despite the number of consignments in the EU containing live animals having increased; calls on the Member States to ensure adequate controls for live animal transport;

Or. en

Amendment 88

Eleonora Evi, Fabio Massimo Castaldo, Piernicola Pedicini, Tiziana Beghin

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

5. ***Stresses*** that the total number of checks carried out by national competent authorities pursuant to Regulation 1/2005 has fallen, despite the number of consignments in the EU containing live animals having increased; calls on the Member States to ensure ***adequate*** controls for live animal transport;

Amendment

5. ***Regrets*** that the total number of checks carried out by national competent authorities pursuant to Regulation 1/2005 has fallen, despite the number of consignments in the EU containing live animals having increased; calls on the Member States to ensure ***systematic and widespread*** controls for live animal transport;

Or. it

Amendment 89
Luke Ming Flanagan

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

5. ***Stresses*** that the total number of checks carried out by national competent authorities pursuant to Regulation 1/2005 has fallen, despite the number of consignments in the EU containing live animals having increased; calls on the Member States to ensure adequate controls for live animal transport;

Amendment

5. ***Regrets*** that the total number of checks carried out by national competent authorities pursuant to Regulation 1/2005 has fallen, despite the number of consignments in the EU containing live animals having increased; calls on the Member States to ensure adequate controls for live animal transport;

Or. en

Amendment 90
Keith Taylor

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

5a. Notes also the high increase in EU exports and calls on the Competent Authorities to inspect all consignments destined for third countries at loading; calls for official veterinarians at EU exit points to verify that every animal is fit to continue their journey beyond EU borders;

Or. en

Amendment 91
Sirpa Pietikäinen

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

5a. Calls on the Commission to ensure that Member States increase and tighten official checks of live transports and report the findings in a detailed and transparent way. To this end the Parliament urges the Commission you make TRACES publicly available;

Or. en

Amendment 92
Sirpa Pietikäinen

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

5b. Calls on the Member States and transporters to drastically improve the conditions of short journeys and to provide suitable and harmonised trainings to the operators involved;

Or. en

Amendment 93
Sirpa Pietikäinen

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5 c (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

5c. Calls on the Member States and transporters to phase out long distance live animal transportation and urgently develop a medium and long-term strategy to replace the transport of live animals with the transport of meat products and carcasses only;

Or. en

Amendment 94
Pavel Poc, Nicola Caputo

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6

Draft opinion

Amendment

6. Calls on the Member States and transporters to strictly abide by the Court of Justice's ruling which concluded that Regulation 1/2005 should apply to cases of exports to non-EU countries² ; calls on the Member States to carry out further controls;

6. Calls on the Member States and transporters to strictly abide by the Court of Justice's ruling which concluded that Regulation 1/2005 should apply to cases of exports to non-EU countries²; calls on the Member States to carry out further controls; ***calls on competent authorities not to approve journey logs where Regulation 1/2005 requires animals to be unloaded for a 24 hour rest in a non-EU country unless the organiser has identified a place for that rest that provides facilities equivalent to those of a control post; calls on official veterinarians at exit points from the EU to check, as required by Article 21 of Regulation 1/2005, that vehicles are not overcrowded, provide sufficient headroom, provide bedding, are carrying***

sufficient feed and water and that the ventilation and water devices are functioning correctly;

² Judgement of the Court of Justice of 23 April 2015, Zuchtvieh-Export GmbH v Stadt Kempten, C-424/13, ECLI:EU:C:2015:259.

² Judgement of the Court of Justice of 23 April 2015, Zuchtvieh-Export GmbH v Stadt Kempten, C-424/13, ECLI:EU:C:2015:259.

Or. en

Amendment 95
Anja Hazekamp

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6

Draft opinion

6. ***Calls on the Member States and transporters to strictly abide by*** the Court of Justice's ***ruling which*** concluded that Regulation 1/2005 should apply to cases of exports to non-EU countries²; ***calls on the Member States to carry out further controls;***

² Judgement of the Court of Justice of 23 April 2015, Zuchtvieh-Export GmbH v Stadt Kempten, C-424/13, ECLI:EU:C:2015:259.

Amendment

6. ***Stresses that*** the Court of Justice concluded that Regulation 1/2005 should apply to cases of exports to non-EU countries ***and that the protection of animal welfare does not end at EU external borders***²; ***notes, however, that it will not be possible to comply with the provisions of Regulation (EC) No 1/2005 regarding exports of animals to countries outside the EU if, for reasons of animal welfare, the long-distance transport of animals, including animals for slaughter, must be kept to a minimum; calls on the Commission, therefore, to stop allowing animals to be transported to non-EU countries and to end the import and transit through the EU of animals being transported from the United States to Asia for example;***

²Judgement of the Court of Justice of 23 April 2015, Zuchtvieh-Export GmbH v Stadt Kempten, C-424/13, ECLI:EU:C:2015:259.

Or. nl

Amendment 96
Keith Taylor

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6

Draft opinion

6. Calls on the Member States and transporters to strictly abide by the Court of Justice's ruling which concluded that **Regulation 1/2005** should apply to cases of exports to non-EU countries² ; ***calls on the Member States to carry out further controls***;

² Judgement of the Court of Justice of 23 April 2015, Zuchtvieh-Export GmbH v Stadt Kempten, C-424/13, ECLI:EU:C:2015:259.

Amendment

6. Calls on the Member States and transporters to strictly abide by the Court of Justice's ruling which concluded that ***the Regulation*** should apply to cases of exports to non-EU countries², ***until their final destination ; believes that if compliance cannot be guaranteed, live animal exports to third countries should be banned***;

² Judgement of the Court of Justice of 23 April 2015, Zuchtvieh-Export GmbH v Stadt Kempten, C-424/13, ECLI:EU:C:2015:259.

Or. en

Amendment 97
Eleonora Evi, Fabio Massimo Castaldo, Piernicola Pedicini, Tiziana Beghin

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6

Draft opinion

6. Calls on the Member States and transporters to strictly abide by the Court of Justice's ruling which concluded that Regulation 1/2005 should apply to cases of exports to non-EU countries²; calls on the Member States to carry out further controls;

Amendment

(Does not affect the English version.)

Or. it

Amendment 98

Eleonora Evi, Fabio Massimo Castaldo, Piernicola Pedicini, Tiziana Beghin

Draft opinion

Paragraph 6 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

6a. Takes the view that, in those cases in which the regulation stipulates that animals should be unloaded for a 24-hour rest period in a third country, the competent authorities should only be able to approve journey logs where it can be ascertained that the organiser has found a place for such a rest period, equipped with facilities equivalent to those of a control post and which, in any case, are able to ensure the welfare of the animals;

Or. it

Amendment 99

Keith Taylor

Draft opinion

Paragraph 6 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

6a. Stresses that when animals are required to be unloaded for a 24 hour rest period in third countries, the organiser must identify a place for rest with facilities equivalent to those of a control post; calls on Competent Authorities to regularly inspect these facilities and not to approve journey logs if the proposed place for rest has not been confirmed to have facilities equivalent to those of an EU control post;

Or. en

Amendment 100

Anja Hazekamp

**Draft opinion
Paragraph 6 a (new)**

Draft opinion

Amendment

6a. Points out that Australia has drastically reduced the number of live animals transported to Asia and the Middle East; calls on the European Commission to follow this example and to do everything possible to prevent any increase in the transport of live animals from the EU to Asia and the Middle East as a result of Australia's decision;

Or. nl

Amendment 101

Eleonora Evi, Fabio Massimo Castaldo, Piernicola Pedicini, Tiziana Beghin

**Draft opinion
Paragraph 6 b (new)**

Draft opinion

Amendment

6b. Calls for the competent authorities of the Member States to ensure that official veterinarians are present at Union exit points, having the task of checking that vehicles used to transport animals contain compartments with sufficient space to avoid overcrowding, that there is bedding, feed and water in amounts that are appropriate for the species and number of animals being transported and that the ventilation and fresh water systems for the animals work properly;

Or. it

Amendment 102

Annie Schreijer-Pierik

Draft opinion
Paragraph 7

Draft opinion

7. Urges the Commission to offer incentives to farmers to slaughter their animals at the nearest slaughter facility in order to prevent lengthy animal transportation times; calls on the Commission to present innovative solutions in this regard;

Amendment

deleted

Or. nl

Amendment 103
Stefan Eck

Draft opinion
Paragraph 7

Draft opinion

7. Urges the Commission to offer incentives to farmers to slaughter their animals at the nearest slaughter facility in order to prevent lengthy animal transportation times; calls on the Commission to present innovative solutions in this regard;

Amendment

7. Urges the Commission to offer incentives ***to public administrations for staff training (sanitary and enforcement officials), and*** to farmers to slaughter their animals at the nearest slaughter facility in order to prevent lengthy animal transportation times; calls on the Commission to present innovative solutions in this regard; ***replacing the trade in live animals with exports in meat and carcasses may be a viable alternative that presents economic opportunities and provides solutions to serious breaches to EU animal welfare rules; mobile slaughtering solutions and regional slaughterhouses should be incentivised as well;***

Or. en

Amendment 104
Mireille D'Ornano

Draft opinion
Paragraph 7

Draft opinion

7. Urges the Commission to offer incentives to farmers to slaughter their animals at the nearest slaughter facility in order to prevent lengthy animal transportation times; calls on the Commission to present innovative solutions in this regard;

Amendment

7. Urges the Commission to offer incentives to farmers to slaughter their animals at the nearest slaughter facility in order to prevent lengthy animal transportation times; calls on the Commission to present innovative solutions in this regard; ***urges the Commission to oblige carriers to provide drinking and feeding troughs for long journeys on which animals are carried, whether by land or by sea;***

Or. fr

Amendment 105

Eleonora Evi, Fabio Massimo Castaldo, Piernicola Pedicini, Tiziana Beghin

Draft opinion
Paragraph 7

Draft opinion

7. Urges the Commission to offer incentives to farmers to slaughter their animals at the nearest slaughter facility in order to prevent lengthy animal transportation times; calls on the Commission to present innovative solutions in this regard;

Amendment

7. Urges the Commission ***and the Member States*** to offer incentives to farmers to slaughter their animals at the nearest slaughter facility in order to prevent lengthy animal transportation times ***which cause unnecessary levels of stress, pain, anguish and suffering which have a substantial impact on the welfare of the live animals transported;*** calls on the Commission to present innovative solutions in this regard;

Or. it

Amendment 106

Mark Demesmaeker

Draft opinion
Paragraph 7

Draft opinion

7. Urges the Commission to offer incentives to farmers to slaughter their animals at the nearest slaughter facility in order to prevent lengthy animal transportation times; calls on the Commission to present innovative solutions in this regard;

Amendment

7. Urges the Commission to offer incentives to farmers to slaughter their animals at the nearest slaughter facility in order to prevent lengthy animal transportation times; calls on the Commission to present innovative solutions in this regard ***and to put forward a strategy to replace live animal transport with the trade of meat and carcasses only;***

Or. en

Amendment 107

Jan Huitema, Ulrike Müller, Frédérique Ries, Fredrick Federley

Draft opinion
Paragraph 7

Draft opinion

7. Urges the Commission to ***offer incentives to*** farmers to slaughter ***their*** animals at the nearest slaughter facility in order to prevent lengthy animal transportation times; calls on the Commission to present innovative solutions in this regard;

Amendment

7. Urges the Commission to ***examine how*** farmers, ***slaughterhouses and the meat processing industry can be incentivised*** to slaughter animals at the nearest slaughter facility in order to prevent lengthy animal transportation times; calls on the Commission to present innovative solutions in this regard;

Or. en

Amendment 108
Anja Hazekamp

Draft opinion
Paragraph 7

Draft opinion

7. Urges the Commission to ***offer incentives to*** farmers to ***slaughter*** their

Amendment

7. Urges the Commission ***and the Member States to oblige*** farmers to ***breed***

animals at the nearest slaughter facility in order to prevent lengthy animal *transportation times; calls on the Commission to present innovative solutions in this regard;*

and fatten their own animals and slaughter them at the nearest slaughter facility *and limit the number of transport operations to a maximum of two per animal life* in order to prevent lengthy *and numerous* animal *transport operations;*

Or. nl

Amendment 109
Angélique Delahaye

Draft opinion
Paragraph 7

Draft opinion

7. Urges the Commission to offer incentives to farmers to slaughter their animals at the nearest slaughter facility in order to prevent lengthy animal transportation times; calls on the Commission to present innovative solutions in this regard;

Amendment

7. Urges the Commission to offer incentives to farmers to slaughter their animals at the nearest slaughter facility, *depending on what animal is to be slaughtered,* in order to prevent lengthy animal transportation times; calls on the Commission to present innovative solutions in this regard;

Or. fr

Amendment 110
Sirpa Pietikäinen

Draft opinion
Paragraph 7

Draft opinion

7. Urges the Commission to offer incentives to farmers to slaughter their animals at the nearest slaughter facility in order to prevent lengthy animal transportation times; calls on the Commission to present innovative solutions in this regard;

Amendment

7. Urges the Commission to offer incentives to farmers to slaughter their animals at the nearest slaughter facility in order to prevent lengthy animal transportation times; calls on the Commission to present innovative solutions in this regard, *including mobile slaughter houses;*

Amendment 111

Joëlle Mélin, Jean-François Jalkh

Draft opinion

Paragraph 7

Draft opinion

7. Urges the Commission *to offer incentives to farmers to slaughter their animals at the nearest slaughter facility* in order to prevent lengthy animal transportation times; calls on the Commission to present innovative solutions in this regard;

Amendment

7. Urges the Commission *and Member States to encourage slaughter as close as possible to farmers, in particular by developing mobile slaughter*, in order to prevent lengthy animal transportation times; calls on the Commission to present innovative solutions in this regard;

Or. fr

Amendment 112

Annie Schreijer-Pierik

Draft opinion

Paragraph 7 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

7a. Calls on the Commission and the Member States to provide financial and fiscal incentives for European livestock transporters to step up investment in innovative animal transport facilities and solutions;

Or. nl

Amendment 113

Joëlle Mélin, Jean-François Jalkh

Draft opinion

Paragraph 7 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

7a. Calls on the Commission and Member States to find appropriate solutions in order to give preference to the import and export of carcasses rather than the import and export of live animals;

Or. fr

Amendment 114
Sirpa Pietikäinen

Draft opinion
Paragraph 7 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

7a. Calls on the Commission to define the requirements for the locations and facilities of resting places;

Or. en

Amendment 115
Sirpa Pietikäinen

Draft opinion
Paragraph 7 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

7b. Calls on the Commission to intervene with infringement procedures in the cases of violations of the Regulation 1/2005;

Or. en

Amendment 116
Joëlle Mélin, Jean-François Jalkh

Draft opinion
Paragraph 8

Draft opinion

8. Recognises that many livestock vessels should not have been approved as they are not fit to carry animals; calls on the Member States not to approve or renew the approval of livestock vessels that do not meet the requirements of Regulation 1/2005;

Amendment

8. Recognises that many livestock vessels should not have been approved as they are not fit to carry animals; calls on the Member States not to approve or renew the approval of livestock vessels that do not meet the requirements of Regulation 1/2005 ***and to require ship owners whose vessels are unsuitable to make the necessary changes to render them suitable for the transport of animals;***

Or. fr

Amendment 117
Keith Taylor

Draft opinion
Paragraph 8

Draft opinion

8. Recognises that many livestock vessels should not have been approved as they are not fit to carry animals; calls on the Member States not to approve or renew the approval of livestock vessels that do not meet the requirements of ***Regulation 1/2005;***

Amendment

8. Recognises that many livestock ***vehicles and*** vessels should not have been approved as they are not fit to carry animals; calls on the Member States ***to be more rigorous in certification, approval and renewal procedures for vehicles and/or vessels and*** not to approve or renew the approval of livestock ***vehicles and/or*** vessels that do not meet the requirements of ***the Regulation;***

Or. en

Amendment 118
Annie Schreijer-Pierik

Draft opinion
Paragraph 8

Draft opinion

8. ***Recognises that many livestock vessels should not have been approved as they are not fit to carry animals;*** calls on the Member States not to approve ***or renew the approval of*** livestock vessels that do not meet the requirements of Regulation 1/2005;

Amendment

8. Calls on the Member States not to approve livestock vessels that do not meet the requirements of Regulation 1/2005 ***and to refuse renewal only where these requirements are not met;***

Or. nl

Amendment 119
Luke Ming Flanagan

Draft opinion
Paragraph 8

Draft opinion

8. ***Recognises*** that many livestock vessels should not have been approved as they are not fit to carry animals; calls on the Member States not to approve or renew the approval of livestock vessels that do not meet the requirements of Regulation 1/2005;

Amendment

8. ***Notes and regrets*** that many livestock vessels should not have been approved as they are not fit to carry animals; calls on the Member States not to approve or renew the approval of livestock vessels that do not meet the requirements of Regulation 1/2005;

Or. en

Amendment 120
Eleonora Evi, Fabio Massimo Castaldo, Piernicola Pedicini, Tiziana Beghin

Draft opinion
Paragraph 8

Draft opinion

8. Recognises that many ***livestock vessels*** should not have been approved as they are ***not fit*** to carry ***animals***; calls on the Member States not to ***approve or renew the approval of livestock vessels that*** do not meet the requirements of Regulation 1/2005;

Amendment

8. Recognises that many ***vessels used to transport live animals*** should not have been approved as they are ***totally unfit*** to carry ***them***; calls on the Member States not to ***authorise the transport of live animals on vessels which*** do not meet the requirements of Regulation 1/2005 ***and not***

to renew such authorisation;

Or. it

Amendment 121
Angélique Delahaye

Draft opinion
Paragraph 8

Draft opinion

8. Recognises that *many* livestock vessels should not have been approved as they are not fit to carry animals; calls on the Member States not to approve or renew the approval of livestock vessels that do not meet the requirements of Regulation 1/2005;

Amendment

8. Recognises that livestock vessels should not have been approved as they are not fit to carry animals; calls on the Member States not to approve or renew the approval of livestock vessels that do not meet the requirements of Regulation 1/2005;

Or. fr

Amendment 122
Pavel Poc, Nicola Caputo

Draft opinion
Paragraph 8 – point a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

(a) Notes that Article 20 of Regulation 1/2005 requires competent authorities to inspect livestock vessels before loading; calls on competent authorities to conduct such pre-loading inspections thoroughly to ensure that nothing in the vessel or its pens is harmful to the animals and that the major systems needed to ensure the animal's well-being are operating effectively in all areas of the vessel;

Or. en

Amendment 123
Pavel Poc, Nicola Caputo

Draft opinion
Paragraph 8 – point b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

(b) Is concerned that competent authorities are often not properly carrying out the requirement in Article 20 of Regulation 1/2005 that they must inspect animals before loading onto livestock vessels to ensure that they are fit to continue their journey; this is often due to a lack of suitable facilities, such as pens where animals can rest after the road journey, to enable the competent authority to properly inspect the animals; calls on organisers not to use, and competent authorities not to approve journey logs that plan the use of, ports that do not have facilities that enable proper pre-loading inspection of animals;

Or. en

Amendment 124
Pavel Poc, Nicola Caputo

Draft opinion
Paragraph 8 – point c (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

(c) Is concerned that loading of animals onto livestock vessels is often carried out roughly with the use of sticks and electric prods, loading ramps in some cases are steeper than the maximum slope permitted by Regulation 1/2005, and the entry to vessels at the end of the loading ramp is often unlit causing animals to balk as they do not want to move from light into dark areas; calls on competent authorities to properly inspect loading operations as are required by Article 20 of

Amendment 125

Eleonora Evi, Fabio Massimo Castaldo, Piernicola Pedicini, Tiziana Beghin

Draft opinion

Paragraph 8 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

8a. Is concerned about the infringements of the regulation caused by the failure to carry out inspections, or the carrying out of perfunctory inspections, prior to the loading of live animals onto vessels transporting livestock by sea, and by the loading of animals onto vessels using cruel instruments and practices such as the use of sticks and electric prods, or through the use of loading facilities that are unsuitable for the movement of animals;

Or. it

Amendment 126

Karin Kadenbach, Jytte Guteland

Draft opinion

Paragraph 8 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

8a. Notes that many animals are exported to the Middle East and North Africa by sea; is concerned that Member States often do not fulfil their duties under Article 20 to inspect animals pre-loading to ensure they are fit to travel, and to ensure that loading is carried out in compliance with Regulation 1/2005; calls on Member States to properly carry out their duties regarding transport by

sea;

Or. en

Amendment 127

Jan Huitema, Ulrike Müller, Frédérique Ries, Fredrick Federley

Draft opinion

Paragraph 8 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

8a. Notes that farmers, transporters and competent authorities across Member States may have different interpretations of Regulation 1/2005, especially regarding the fitness of animals for transport; calls on the Commission to revise the Regulation to specify the requirements for transport where needed;

Or. en

Amendment 128

Keith Taylor

Draft opinion

Paragraph 8 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

8a. Calls on Member States to be more rigorous in determining whether to grant a certificate of competence to drivers and maintains that thorough training of drivers is vital in ensuring the proper treatment of animals;

Or. en

Amendment 129

Karin Kadenbach, Jytte Guteland

Draft opinion
Paragraph 8 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

8b. *Recognises that the requirements of Articles 20 and 21 of Regulation 1/2005 regarding transport by livestock vessels are often disregarded; calls on competent authorities to conduct the pre-loading inspections of livestock vessels required by the Regulation thoroughly; calls on them to properly carry out the pre-loading inspection of animals required by the Regulation to ensure that they are fit to continue their journey and also to ensure that loading is carried out in compliance with the Regulation without rough handling and the regular use of sticks and electric prods;*

Or. en

Amendment 130
Eleonora Evi, Fabio Massimo Castaldo, Piernicola Pedicini, Tiziana Beghin

Draft opinion
Paragraph 8 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

8b. *Considers it necessary to make it compulsory for veterinarians to be present on board ships used for the transport of livestock by sea, to report and keep count of the number of animals that die during sea journeys and to draw up emergency plans to deal with any situations at sea that might have a negative impact on the welfare of the animals being transported;*

Or. it

Amendment 131
Jan Huitema, Ulrike Müller, Frédérique Ries, Fredrick Federley

Draft opinion
Paragraph 8 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

8b. *Highlights the importance of proper training of drivers and farmers to improve animal welfare during transport; welcomes the Commissions initiatives to improve this so far, calls on the Commission to extend its efforts;*

Or. en

Amendment 132
Eleonora Evi, Fabio Massimo Castaldo, Piernicola Pedicini, Tiziana Beghin

Draft opinion
Paragraph 8 c (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

8c. *Deplores the failure to set up a European Parliament committee of inquiry into the transport of live animals within Union territory and to third countries, as requested by 223 MEPs; regrets that this request was not put to the vote by the European Parliament in plenary and that the principles of democracy, publicity and transparency – which should always inform the work of the EU institutions and, in this case, the European Parliament’s internal decision-making processes – have therefore been disregarded;*

Or. it

Amendment 133
Jan Huitema, Ulrike Müller, Frédérique Ries, Fredrick Federley

Draft opinion
Paragraph 8 c (new)

8c. Calls on the Commission to develop reliable indicators and public data to measure the development of animal welfare during transport of live animals, to be able to make science-based policy changes in the future;

Or. en

Amendment 134

Joëlle Mélin, Jean-François Jalkh

Draft opinion

Paragraph 9

Draft opinion

9. Urges the Commission, together with the Member States, to align sanction levels in the case of breaches, as ***these*** can be more than 10 times higher in some Member States than in others.

Amendment

9. Urges the Commission, together with the Member States, to align sanction levels in the case of breaches ***and to make them more stringent, instituting suspension of the carrier's Community licence as the most serious penalty available***, as ***penalties*** can be more than 10 times higher in some Member States than in others.

Or. fr

Amendment 135

Keith Taylor

Draft opinion

Paragraph 9

Draft opinion

9. Urges the Commission, together with the Member States, to align sanction levels ***in the case of breaches, as these can be more than 10 times higher in some Member States than in others.***

Amendment

9. Urges the Commission, together with the Member States, to ***undertake a mapping exercise in order to*** align sanction levels ***and to ensure that penalties are effective, proportionate and dissuasive; calls on the Commission as guardian of the Treaties to take action against Member States who fail to***

implement and enforce the Regulation;

Or. en

Amendment 136
Stefan Eck

Draft opinion
Paragraph 9

Draft opinion

9. Urges the Commission, together with the Member States, to align sanction levels in the case of breaches, as these can be more than 10 times higher in some Member States than in others.

Amendment

9. Urges the Commission, together with the Member States, to align ***and reinforce*** sanction levels in the case of breaches, as these can be more than 10 times higher in some Member States than in others; ***penalties should be effective, proportionate and dissuasive.***

Or. en

Amendment 137
Anja Hazekamp

Draft opinion
Paragraph 9

Draft opinion

9. Urges the Commission, together with the Member States, to align sanction levels in the case of breaches, as these can be more than 10 times ***higher*** in some Member States than in others.

Amendment

9. Urges the Commission, together with the Member States, to align sanction levels in the case of breaches ***to the highest level***, as these can be more than 10 times ***lower*** in some Member States than in others; ***and takes the view that, if transporters commit welfare infringements, their authorisation should be revoked;***

Or. nl

Amendment 138

Stanislav Polčák

Draft opinion
Paragraph 9

Draft opinion

9. Urges the ***Commission, together with the Member States, to align sanction levels in the case of breaches, as these can be more than 10 times higher in some Member States than in others.***

Amendment

9. Urges the ***Member States to rigorously apply sanctions*** in the case of breaches.

Or. cs

Amendment 139
Sirpa Pietikäinen

Draft opinion
Paragraph 9

Draft opinion

9. Urges the Commission, ***together with the Member States, to align sanction levels in the case of breaches, as these can be more than 10 times higher in some Member States than in others.***

Amendment

9. Urges the Commission, ***to put forward a road map*** to align sanction systems across EU Member States.

Or. en

Amendment 140
Stefan Eck

Draft opinion
Paragraph 9 – indent 1 (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

– ***Recommends a higher rate of EU investments in the extension of smaller scale, locally based animal agriculture as well as the promotion of healthy plant based diets to replace current high animal product consumption;***

Or. en

Amendment 141

Stefan Eck

Draft opinion

Paragraph 9 – indent 2 (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

- *Recalls that in the past 10 years hundreds of official documents and initiatives denounced to the EC the terrible conditions of animals transported alive; over a million European citizens have asked European institutions twice to change this reality;*

Or. en

Amendment 142

Stefan Eck

Draft opinion

Paragraph 9 – indent 3 (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

- *Recommends the set-up of a parliamentary enquiry committee to tackle in the most comprehensive way all the problems related to live animals transport;*

Or. en

Amendment 143

Keith Taylor

Draft opinion

Paragraph 9 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

- 9a. Calls on the Commission to draw up a black list of operators guilty of repetitive and serious breaches of the*

Regulation based on inspection and implementation reports; calls on the Commission to update and publish these blacklists frequently and also to facilitate the exchange between Member States of examples of best practice, both in transport and governance;

Or. en

Amendment 144
Anja Hazekamp

Draft opinion
Paragraph 9 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

9a. Notes that calves may be transported from 14 days of age; considers that it is impossible to meet the needs of very young animals during transport, such as the natural need of calves to suckle from their mothers, and therefore calls on the Commission to put an end to this practice;

Or. nl

Amendment 145
Karin Kadenbach, Jytte Guteland

Draft opinion
Paragraph 9 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

9a. Notes that the Court of Auditors^{1a} identified some outstanding animal welfare issues regarding the transport of unfit animal, which is prohibited by the legislation; although they have already improved; calls on the Member States to comply with the rules regarding the transport of unfit animals;

1^a Special Report of the European Court of Auditors 31/2018: "Animal welfare in the EU: closing the gap between ambitious goals and practical implementation"

Or. en

Amendment 146
Pilar Ayuso

Draft opinion
Paragraph 9 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

9a. *Calls on the European Commission to ensure that any future revision of legislation regarding animal welfare during transport is based on objective and scientifically sound indicators to avoid arbitrary decisions with an unjustified economic impact on livestock sectors.*****

Or. es

Amendment 147
Mark Demesmaeker

Draft opinion
Paragraph 9 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

9a. *Calls on the Commission to evaluate possible violations of the MARPOL Convention 73/78.*****

Or. en

Amendment 148
Karin Kadenbach, Jytte Guteland

Draft opinion
Paragraph 9 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

9b. Deplores that according to the Commission the data reported by Member States is not always complete, consistent, reliable or sufficiently detailed to draw conclusions on compliance at EU level ^{1a}; urges the Commission and Member States to improve the quality and consistency of the data reported by the Member States;

^{1a} Special Report of the European Court of Auditors 31/2018: "Animal welfare in the EU: closing the gap between ambitious goals and practical implementation"

Or. en

Amendment 149
Mark Demesmaeker

Draft opinion
Paragraph 9 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

9b. Calls on the Commission to evaluate possible violations of the International Convention for the Safety of Life at Sea (SOLAS), of Directive 2009/16/EC provisions and of Article 19 of Regulation (EC) 1/2005;

Or. en

Amendment 150
Anja Hazekamp

Draft opinion
Paragraph 9 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

9b. *Advocates the establishment of a kilometre-based tax on animal transport in order to reduce the number of transport operations per animal;*

Or. nl

Amendment 151
Karin Kadenbach, Jytte Guteland

Draft opinion
Paragraph 9 c (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

9c. *Regrets that Member State authorities responsible for transport inspections rarely use information from TRACES to target inspections in order to monitor intra-EU long distance and cross-border movements of animals, in part due to certain user access restrictions^{1a}; calls on the Commission and Member States to promote and simplify the use of the interactive search tool;*

^{1a} *Special Report of the European Court of Auditors 31/2018: "Animal welfare in the EU: closing the gap between ambitious goals and practical implementation"*

Or. en

Amendment 152
Anja Hazekamp

Draft opinion
Paragraph 9 c (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

9c. Calls for the provision of a GPS tracking system, regardless of transport length;

Or. nl

Amendment 153
Anja Hazekamp

Draft opinion
Paragraph 9 d (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

9d. Calls on the Commission, in the light of these systematic issues of compliance with Regulation (EC) No 1/2005, on the one hand to establish effective monitoring of compliance with the Regulation at all levels in all Member States and, on the other, to immediately launch the necessary investigations into possible breaches thereof and to initiate infringement proceedings against the Member States responsible;

Or. nl

Amendment 154
Karin Kadenbach, Jytte Guteland

Draft opinion
Paragraph 9 d (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

9d. Notes that Member State authorities usually delegate the responsibility for carrying out the risk analysis for transport inspections to local authorities^{1a}; urges the Member States to put in place systems to check the existence, quality and implementation of the local risk analyses;

1^a Special Report of the European Court of Auditors 31/2018: "Animal welfare in the EU: closing the gap between ambitious goals and practical implementation"

Or. en

Amendment 155
Karin Kadenbach, Jytte Guteland

Draft opinion
Paragraph 9 e (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

9e. Deplores that trucks are not always equipped with enough drinking devices to cater to the needs of all animals and that overcrowding makes efficient drinking on board even more difficult; calls on the Member State authorities and transporters to improve this situation;

Or. en

Amendment 156
Anja Hazekamp

Draft opinion
Paragraph 9 e (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

9e. Calls on the Commission to report annually to Parliament on its actions concerning the protection of animals during transport;

Or. nl

Amendment 157

Anja Hazekamp

Draft opinion
Paragraph 9 f (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

9f. Regrets the decision taken by the Conference of Presidents, without a plenary vote in Parliament, not to set up a parliamentary committee of inquiry on the wellbeing of animals during transport inside and outside the EU, despite the requisite support of a large number of MEPs from different political groups; recommends therefore that Parliament set up a committee of inquiry on animal transport inside and outside the EU as from the beginning of the upcoming parliamentary term in order to properly investigate and monitor cruelty in animal transport;

Or. nl

Amendment 158
Karin Kadenbach, Jytte Guteland

Draft opinion
Paragraph 9 f (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

9f. Regrets that there is a lack of good indicators for the development of animal welfare during transport of live animals^{1a}; requests the European Commission to develop ideally animal based measures^{1b}

^{1a} "Regulation (EC) No 1/2005 on the protection of animals during transport and related operations - European Implementation Assessment", Study from European Parliamentary Research Service, 2018

^{1b} see Messori et al., 2016 for a tool for evaluating horse transports. Messori, S; Visser, EK; Buonanno, M; Ferrari, P;

*Barnard, S; Borciani, M; Ferri, N (2016)
A tool for the evaluation of slaughter
horse welfare during unloading. Animal
welfare 25(1):101-113*

Or. en

Amendment 159
Karin Kadenbach, Jytte Guteland

Draft opinion
Paragraph 9 g (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

9g. Urges the Commission to assess possible violation of legislation during the transport of animals from Europe to third countries by sea, such as the prohibited discharge of dead animals from the vessels into the Mediterranean Sea (with often cut off earmarks) as there are often no possibilities for their disposal in the ports of destination;

Or. en