



2019/2028(BUD)

24.7.2019

AMENDMENTS

1 - 27

Draft opinion
Pascal Canfin
(PE639.804v01-00)

General budget of the European Union for the financial year 2020 - all sections
(COM(2019)0400 – 2019/2028(BUD))

Amendment 1
Catherine Griset

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. Underlines that 21,0 % of the total commitments in the draft budget for 2020 (2020 DB) are climate-related; regrets that the Union budget trend would deliver only 19,7 % for the current multiannual financial framework (MFF) period; ***stresses that every effort should be made to ensure that the overall EU budget target will be reached by the end of 2020; reiterates its call to have more ambitious climate mainstreaming in the next MFF period and to its alignment with the Paris agreement;***

Amendment

1. Underlines that 21,0 % of the total commitments in the draft budget for 2020 (2020 DB) are climate-related; regrets that the Union budget trend would deliver only 19,7 % for the current multiannual financial framework (MFF) period;

Or. en

Amendment 2
Bas Eickhout

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. Underlines that 21,0 % of the total commitments in the draft budget for 2020 (2020 DB) are climate-related; regrets that the Union budget trend would deliver only 19,7 % for the current multiannual financial framework (MFF) period; stresses that every effort should be made to ensure that the overall EU budget target will be reached by the end of 2020; reiterates its call to have more ambitious climate mainstreaming in the next MFF period and to its alignment with the Paris agreement;

Amendment

1. Underlines that 21,0 % of the total commitments in the draft budget for 2020 (2020 DB) are climate-related; regrets that the Union budget trend would deliver only 19,7 % for the current multiannual financial framework (MFF) period ***while the objective agreed upon before 2014 said “at least 20%” over the 2014-2020 period; highlights that, according to the Commission, an additional € 3,5 billion in climate-related spending will be needed in the budget 2020 in order to reach the 20 % target;*** stresses that every effort should be made to ensure that the overall EU budget target will be reached by the end of

2020; reiterates its call to have more ambitious climate mainstreaming in the next MFF period, **to be set at least at 50 %**, and to its alignment with the Paris agreement;

Or. en

Amendment 3 **Martin Hojsik**

Draft opinion **Paragraph 1**

Draft opinion

1. Underlines that 21,0 % of the total commitments in the draft budget for 2020 (2020 DB) are climate-related; regrets that the Union budget trend would deliver only 19,7 % for the current multiannual financial framework (MFF) period; stresses that every effort should be made to ensure that the overall EU budget target will be reached by the end of 2020; reiterates its call to have more ambitious climate mainstreaming in the next MFF period and to its alignment with the Paris agreement;

Amendment

1. Underlines that 21,0 % of the total commitments in the draft budget for 2020 (2020 DB) are climate-related; regrets that the Union budget trend would deliver only 19,7 % for the current multiannual financial framework period; stresses that every effort should be made to ensure that the overall EU budget target will be reached by the end of 2020; reiterates its call to have more ambitious climate mainstreaming, ***improved climate action tracking methodology and proofing*** in the next MFF period and to ***their*** alignment with the Paris agreement ***and EU climate action goals***;

Or. en

Amendment 4 **Eric Andrieu**

Draft opinion **Paragraph 1**

Draft opinion

1. Underlines that 21,0 % of the total commitments in the draft budget for 2020 (2020 DB) are climate-related; regrets that

Amendment

1. Underlines that 21,0 % of the total commitments in the draft budget for 2020 (2020 DB) are climate-related; regrets that

the Union budget trend would deliver only 19,7 % for the current multiannual financial framework (MFF) period; stresses that every effort should be made to ensure that the overall EU budget target will be reached by the end of 2020; reiterates its call to have more ambitious climate mainstreaming in the next MFF period and to its alignment with the Paris agreement;

the Union budget trend would deliver only 19,7 % for the current multiannual financial framework period; stresses that every effort should be made to ensure that the overall EU budget target will be reached by the end of 2020; reiterates its call to have more ambitious climate mainstreaming in the next MFF period ***whereby Parliament urged for 30 % in its November 2018 resolution*** and to its alignment with the Paris agreement;

Or. en

Amendment 5 **Pascal Canfin**

Draft opinion **Paragraph 1**

Draft opinion

1. Underlines that 21,0 % of the total commitments in the draft budget for 2020 (2020 DB) are climate-related; regrets that the Union budget trend would deliver only 19,7 % for the current multiannual financial framework (MFF) period; stresses that every effort should be made to ensure that the overall EU budget target will be reached by the end of 2020; reiterates its call to have more ambitious climate mainstreaming in the next MFF period and to its alignment with the Paris agreement;

Amendment

1. Underlines that 21,0 % of the total commitments in the draft budget for 2020 (2020 DB) are climate-related; regrets that the Union budget trend would deliver only 19,7 % for the current multiannual financial framework (MFF) period; stresses that every effort should be made to ensure that the overall EU budget target will be reached by the end of 2020; reiterates its call to have more ambitious climate mainstreaming in the next MFF period and to its alignment with the Paris agreement; ***insists that the next MFF should rely on robust methodology, set up in line with internationally established methodologies, in order to track climate action funding and to avoid the risk of overestimation of climate action; believes that green budgeting of the next MFF is key to achieve our climate objectives;***

Or. en

Amendment 6
Stanislav Polčák

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. Underlines that 21,0 % of the total commitments in the draft budget for 2020 (2020 DB) are climate-related; *regrets* that the Union budget trend would deliver only 19,7 % for the current multiannual financial framework (MFF) period; stresses that every effort should be made to ensure that the overall EU budget target will be reached by the end of 2020; reiterates its call to have more ambitious climate mainstreaming in the next MFF period and to its alignment with the Paris agreement;

Amendment

1. Underlines that 21,0 % of the total commitments in the draft budget for 2020 (2020 DB) are climate-related; *objects* that the Union budget trend would deliver only 19,7 % for the current multiannual financial framework (MFF) period; stresses that every effort should be made to ensure that the overall EU budget target will be reached by the end of 2020; reiterates its call to have more ambitious climate mainstreaming in the next MFF period and to its alignment with the Paris agreement;

Or. en

Amendment 7
Martin Hojsík

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Notes that 8,3 % of total commitments are related to reversing the decline in biodiversity; calls for sufficient and traceable resources to be allocated to ensure the long-term protection of biodiversity across the Union;

Amendment

2. Notes *with concern* that *only* 8,3 % of total commitments are related to reversing the decline in biodiversity *again, despite the unprecedented and accelerating species extinction rate observed*; calls for sufficient and traceable resources to be allocated to ensure the long-term *and coherent* protection of biodiversity across the Union;

Or. en

Amendment 8
Bas Eickhout

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. *Notes* that 8,3 % of total commitments are related to reversing the decline in biodiversity; calls for sufficient **and traceable resources** to be allocated to ensure the long-term protection of biodiversity across the Union;

Amendment

2. **Deplores** that **only** 8,3 % of total commitments are related to reversing the decline in biodiversity **which is the lowest ratio since 2015**; calls for sufficient **increases** to be allocated to ensure the long-term protection of biodiversity across the Union;

Or. en

Amendment 9
Pascal Canfin

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Notes that 8,3 % of total commitments are related to reversing the decline in biodiversity; calls for sufficient and traceable resources to be allocated to ensure the long-term protection of biodiversity across the Union;

Amendment

2. Notes that 8,3 % of total commitments are related to reversing the decline in biodiversity; calls for sufficient and traceable resources to be allocated to ensure the long-term protection of biodiversity across the Union; **insists that the next MFF should rely on a robust methodology, set up in line with internationally established methodologies, in order to track biodiversity and avoid the risk of overestimation of action towards biodiversity**;

Or. en

Amendment 10
Adina-Ioana Vălean

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

2a. *Believes that in particular in the last year of the MFF an ambitious budget in climate action related programmes and for the protection of biodiversity is needed to build a bridge to the forthcoming MFF which it is anticipated will have a slow start until all new programmes are fully operational;*

Or. en

Amendment 11
Anna Zalewska

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

Amendment

3. *Points out that sufficient resources should be allocated in the budget to implement the future European Green Deal;* **deleted**

Or. en

Amendment 12
Catherine Griset

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

Amendment

3. *Points out that sufficient resources should be allocated in the budget to implement the future European Green Deal;*

3. *Points out that sufficient resources should be allocated in the budget to **make an evaluation to assess the environmental consequences of increasing trade volumes under free trade agreements;***

Or. en

Amendment 13
Stanislav Polčák

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

3. *Points out that sufficient resources should be allocated in the budget to implement* the future European Green Deal;

Amendment

3. *Calls on the Union to commit sufficient funding to the full and efficient implementation of* the future European Green Deal;

Or. en

Amendment 14
Adina-Ioana Vălean

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

3a. *Furthermore, in the context of the Political Guidelines for the Next European Commission launched by the Commission President-elect, there is an absolute urgency to accelerate emissions reduction, and therefore it is necessary to amend the 2020 budget by enhancing those programmes aimed at supporting the switch from coal to gas in energy production;*

Or. en

Amendment 15
César Luena, Cristina Maestre Martín De Almagro, Javi López, Nicolás Gonzalez Casares

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

3a. In line with the Agenda 2030 and the commitments acquired by the Union and its Member States within the General Assembly of the United Nations, underlines the need to ensure sufficient resources in the 2020 budget for the achievement of the sustainable development goals;

Or. en

Amendment 16
Bas Eickhout

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Notes the increase of EUR 21,5 million in commitments for LIFE (+3,9 %); regrets that LIFE represents only 0,3 % of the 2020 DB;

Amendment

4. Notes the increase of EUR 21,5 million in commitments for LIFE (+3,9 %); **is convinced that the LIFE budget 2020 is insufficient (€ 294,9 million); calls for significant increases for 2020 in order to be prepared and in line with Parliament's demands to double the LIFE programme during the post-2020 MFF; deeply regrets that LIFE represents only 0,3 % of the 2020 DB;**

Or. en

Amendment 17
Anna Zalewska

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Notes the increase of EUR 21,5 million in commitments for LIFE (+3,9 %);

Amendment

4. Notes the increase of EUR 21,5 million in commitments for LIFE (+3,9 %);

regrets that LIFE represents only 0,3 % of the 2020 DB;

Or. en

Amendment 18

César Luena, Cristina Maestre Martín De Almagro, Javi López, Nicolás Gonzalez Casares

Draft opinion

Paragraph 4 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

4a. Welcomes that the new rescEU programme will receive €156,2 million in order to help it better tackle earthquakes, wildfire, forest fires and other natural disasters; stresses the need for instruments such as the Civil Protection Mechanism and the Solidarity Fund to cover environmental catastrophes and valorise the damage to the environment;

Or. en

Amendment 19

Véronique Trillet-Lenoir

Draft opinion

Paragraph 5 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

5a. Underlines that adequate resources should be allocated in the budget to elaborate and implement the future European Plan to fight against cancer; stresses that this plan is essential to promote and improve prevention, research, access to innovation and reintegration;

Or. en

Amendment 20
Catherine Griset

Draft opinion
Paragraph 8

Draft opinion

8. Notes that permanent and temporary posts authorised under the 2020 DB remain unchanged compared to the 2019 budget for the European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control (ECDC) and the European Medicines Agency (EMA), while those posts increase for the European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) (+34, following revision of the general food law), the European Environment Agency (EEA) (+1) and the European Chemicals Agency (ECHA) (+2); highlights that, *where appropriate, more financial and human resources must be allocated to those agencies in order to fulfil their mandate and execute their tasks, and in order to promote a science-based approach in the Union*; underlines that better coordination between the agencies would optimise their work, as well as the use of public funds;

Amendment

8. Notes that permanent and temporary posts authorised under the 2020 DB remain unchanged compared to the 2019 budget for the European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control (ECDC) and the European Medicines Agency (EMA), while those posts increase for the European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) (+34, following revision of the general food law), the European Environment Agency (EEA) (+1) and the European Chemicals Agency (ECHA) (+2); highlights that, *before allocating more financial and human resources to these agencies, it is necessary to ensure that they are used to the maximum of their capacities*; underlines that better coordination between the agencies would optimise their work, as well as the use of public funds;

Or. en

Amendment 21
Maria Spyraiki

Draft opinion
Paragraph 8

Draft opinion

8. Notes that permanent and temporary posts authorised under the 2020 DB remain unchanged compared to the 2019 budget for the European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control (ECDC)

Amendment

8. Notes that permanent and temporary posts authorised under the 2020 DB remain unchanged compared to the 2019 budget for the European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control (ECDC)

and the European Medicines Agency (EMA), while those posts increase for the European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) (+34, following revision of the general food law), the European Environment Agency (EEA) (+1) and the European Chemicals Agency (ECHA) (+2); **highlights** that, **where appropriate**, more financial and human resources **must** be allocated to those agencies in order to fulfil their mandate and execute their tasks, and in order to promote a science-based approach in the Union; **underlines** that better coordination between the agencies would optimise their work, as well as the use of public funds;

and the European Medicines Agency (EMA), while those posts increase for the European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) (+34, following revision of the general food law), the European Environment Agency (EEA) (+1) and the European Chemicals Agency (ECHA) (+2); **urges** that, more financial and human resources **should** be allocated to those agencies in order to fulfil their mandate and execute their tasks, and in order to promote a science-based approach in the Union; **stresses** that better coordination between the agencies would optimise their work, as well as the use of public funds;

Or. en

Amendment 22 **Anna Zalewska**

Draft opinion **Paragraph 8**

Draft opinion

8. Notes that permanent and temporary posts authorised under the 2020 DB remain unchanged compared to the 2019 budget for the European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control (ECDC) and the European Medicines Agency (EMA), while those posts increase for the European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) (+34, following revision of the general food law), the European Environment Agency (EEA) (+1) and the European Chemicals Agency (ECHA) (+2); highlights that, where appropriate, more financial and human resources must be allocated to those agencies in order to fulfil their mandate and execute their tasks, and in order to promote a science-based approach in the Union; underlines that better coordination between the agencies would optimise their work, as well as the

Amendment

8. Notes that permanent and temporary posts authorised under the 2020 DB remain unchanged compared to the 2019 budget for the European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control (ECDC) and the European Medicines Agency (EMA), while those posts increase for the European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) (+34, following revision of the general food law), the European Environment Agency (EEA) (+1) and the European Chemicals Agency (ECHA) (+2); highlights that, where appropriate, more financial and human resources must be allocated to those agencies in order to fulfil their mandate and execute their tasks **resulting from recently adopted legislation**, and in order to promote a science-based approach in the Union; underlines that better coordination between

use of public funds;

the agencies would optimise their work, as well as the use of public funds;

Or. en

Amendment 23
Ivan Vilibor Sinčić

Draft opinion
Paragraph 8

Draft opinion

8. Notes that permanent and temporary posts authorised under the 2020 DB remain unchanged compared to the 2019 budget for the European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control (ECDC) and the European Medicines Agency (EMA), while those posts increase for the European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) (+34, following revision of the general food law), the European Environment Agency (EEA) (+1) and the European Chemicals Agency (ECHA) (+2); highlights that, where appropriate, more financial and human resources must be allocated to those agencies in order to fulfil their mandate and execute their tasks, and in order to promote a science-based approach in the Union; underlines that better coordination between the agencies would optimise their work, as well as the use of public funds;

Amendment

8. Notes that permanent and temporary posts authorised under the 2020 DB remain unchanged compared to the 2019 budget for the European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control (ECDC) and the European Medicines Agency (EMA), while those posts increase for the European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) (+34, following revision of the general food law), the European Environment Agency (EEA) (+1) and the European Chemicals Agency (ECHA) (+2); highlights that, where appropriate, more financial and human resources must be allocated to those agencies ***in order to ensure their independence***, in order to fulfil their mandate and execute their tasks, and in order to promote a science-based approach in the Union; underlines that better coordination between the agencies would optimise their work, as well as the use of public funds;

Or. en

Amendment 24
Eric Andrieu

Draft opinion
Paragraph 8

Draft opinion

8. Notes that permanent and temporary posts authorised under the 2020 DB remain unchanged compared to the 2019 budget for the European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control (ECDC) and the European Medicines Agency (EMA), while those posts increase for the European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) (+34, following revision of the general food law), the European Environment Agency (EEA) (+1) and the European Chemicals Agency (ECHA) (+2); highlights that, where appropriate, more financial and human resources must be allocated to those agencies in order to fulfil their mandate and execute their tasks, and in order to promote a science-based approach in the Union; underlines that better coordination between the agencies would optimise their work, as well as the use of public funds;

Amendment

8. Notes that permanent and temporary posts authorised under the 2020 DB remain unchanged compared to the 2019 budget for the European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control (ECDC) and the European Medicines Agency (EMA), while those posts increase for the European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) (+34, following revision of the general food law), the European Environment Agency (EEA) (+1) and the European Chemicals Agency (ECHA) (+2); highlights that, where appropriate, more financial and human resources must be allocated to those agencies in order to fulfil their mandate and execute their tasks, ***to banish past malpractices, such as the ghost-writing of reports by private lobbies,*** and in order to promote a science-based approach in the Union; underlines that better coordination between the agencies would optimise their work, as well as the use of public funds;

Or. en

Amendment 25
Joanna Kopcińska

Draft opinion
Paragraph 9

Draft opinion

9. Calls on the Commission to swiftly implement pilot projects and preparatory actions (PP-PAs);

Amendment

9. Calls on the Commission to swiftly implement pilot projects and preparatory actions (PP-PAs), ***in particular all PP-PAs that concern public health or aim at improving the well-being of European citizens;***

Or. en

Amendment 26
Maria Spyra

Draft opinion
Paragraph 10

Draft opinion

10. **Recalls** that PP-PAs should receive **adequate** funding throughout their life cycle, so that they can achieve their full potential

Amendment

10. **Points** that PP-PAs should **be aligned with climate goals and should** receive **substantial** funding throughout their life cycle, so that they can achieve their full potential.

Or. en

Amendment 27
Martin Hojsik

Draft opinion
Paragraph 10

Draft opinion

10. Recalls that PP-PAs should receive adequate funding throughout their life cycle, so that they can achieve their full potential.

Amendment

10. Recalls that PP-PAs should receive adequate funding throughout their life cycle, so that they can achieve their full potential **and prepare the ground for the adoption of future measures.**

Or. en