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Amendment 1
Elżbieta Rafalska

Draft opinion
Recital B

Draft opinion

B. whereas the European Parliament has repeatedly asked the Commission to promote and implement the use of gender mainstreaming, gender budgeting and gender impact assessment in the relevant Union policy areas and the Court of Auditors to incorporate a gender perspective, including gender-disaggregated data, into its reports on the implementation of the Union budget;

Amendment

deleted

Or. pl

Amendment 2
Monika Vana
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion
Recital B

Draft opinion

B. whereas the European Parliament has repeatedly asked the Commission to promote and implement the use of gender mainstreaming, gender budgeting and gender impact assessment in the relevant Union policy areas and the Court of Auditors to incorporate a gender perspective, including gender-disaggregated data, into its reports on the implementation of the Union budget;

Amendment

B. whereas the European Parliament has repeatedly asked the Commission to promote and implement the use of gender mainstreaming, gender budgeting and gender impact assessments in all the Union policy areas and the Court of Auditors to incorporate a gender perspective, including gender-disaggregated data, into its reports on the implementation of the Union budget;

Or. en

Amendment 3
Irène Tolleret, María Soraya Rodríguez Ramos, Susana Solís Pérez
B. whereas the European Parliament has repeatedly asked the Commission to promote and implement the use of gender mainstreaming, gender budgeting and gender impact assessment in **the relevant** Union policy areas and the Court of Auditors to incorporate a gender perspective, including gender-disaggregated data, into its reports on the implementation of the Union budget;

---

Amendment 4
Frances Fitzgerald, Evelyn Regner

Draft opinion
Recital B a (new)

Draft opinion

B a. Whereas equality and the rule of law are founding values of the EU and the European institutions shall aim to promote them according to article 13 of the Treaty of the European Union (TEU); whereas this responsibility should be also shared by member states according to the principle of sincere cooperation enshrined in article 4(3) of the TEU;

---

Amendment 5
Irène Tolleret, María Soraya Rodríguez Ramos, Susana Solís Pérez

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1
Draft opinion

1. Calls on the integration of women’s rights and gender equality into all policy areas; reiterates therefore its call for the implementation of gender budgeting in the budgetary process, including the implementation of the budget and assessment of its implementation;

Amendment

1. Calls for the integration of a women’s rights and gender equality perspective into all policy areas; reiterates therefore its call for the implementation of gender budgeting at all stages of the budgetary process, including the implementation of the budget and assessment of its implementation; reiterates its demand to include in the common set of result indicators for the implementation of the EU budget also gender-specific indicators;

Or. en

Amendment 6
Elżbieta Rafalska

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. Calls on the integration of women’s rights and gender equality into all policy areas; reiterates therefore its call for the implementation of gender budgeting in the budgetary process, including the implementation of the budget and assessment of its implementation;

Amendment

1. Calls for the integration of women’s rights and gender equality into all relevant policy areas;

Or. pl

Amendment 7
Vilija Blinkevičiūtė

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. Calls on the integration of women’s rights and gender equality into all policy areas; reiterates therefore its call for

Amendment

1. Stresses that women's rights and gender equality should be integrated and ensured into all policy areas; reiterates
the implementation of gender budgeting in the budgetary process, including the implementation of the budget and assessment of its implementation; therefore its call for the implementation of gender budgeting in the budgetary process, including the implementation of the budget and assessment of its implementation;

Or. en

Amendment 8
Frances Fitzgerald, Evelyn Regner

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

1 a.  Calls on the Commission to continue its efforts to strengthen the rule of law in the Union, in particular those legal proposals aimed at protecting the EU budget in case of generalised deficiencies as regards the rule of law in member states. As such, calls on the Commission to explore the core mission of those to whom EU funds are granted; to withhold funding from any group who seeks to use EU funds for the purposes of rolling back rights, particularly women’s rights;

Or. en

Amendment 9
Monika Vana
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

1 a.  Notes that gender budgeting is part of an overall strategy on gender equality and stresses, therefore, that the commitment of EU institutions on that area is fundamental; regrets in this context that no EU gender equality
strategy 2016-2020 was adopted and calls on the Commission to enhance the status of its new Strategic engagement for gender equality by adopting it as a Communication;

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1 b (new)

1 b. Expresses its concern at the interrelation between the attacks on the rule of law and the backlash on gender equality and women’s rights; calls for this issue to be addressed through the article 7 procedure against those Member States concerned.

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3

3. Reiterates its call to have a separate budget line for the Daphne specific objective of REC; it takes note of the evolution of the development of an EU-wide survey, with a common methodology and questionnaire, to gather comparable gender-based violence data, on a regular basis, across EU Member States; it expects to acknowledge the first outcomes of the pilot exercise of the survey by 2019 in order to comply with the foreseen

3. Regrets the tendency of the last years to cut EU funds for combating all forms of violence against women and girls and reaffirms its request to increase resources for the Daphne specific objective; reiterates its call to have a separate budget line for the Daphne specific objective of REC; it takes note of the evolution of the development of an EU-wide survey, with a common methodology and questionnaire, to gather comparable
implementation of the survey from 2020-2021 onwards; it expects to acknowledge the first outcomes of the pilot exercise of the survey by 2019.

---


---

Draft opinion

Paragraph 3

3. Reiterates its call to have a separate budget line for the Daphne specific objective of REC; it takes note of the evolution of the development of an EU-wide survey, with a common methodology and questionnaire, to gather comparable gender-based violence data, on a regular basis, across EU Member States; it expects to acknowledge the first outcomes of the pilot exercise of the survey by 2019 in order to comply with the foreseen implementation of the survey from 2020-2021 onwards; it expects to acknowledge the first outcomes of the pilot exercise of the survey by 2019 in order to comply with the foreseen implementation of the survey from 2020-2021 onwards.

---


---

Amendment

3. Reiterates its call to have a separate budget line for the Daphne specific objective of REC and to reverse the decrease of funds dedicated to Daphne during the 2014-2020 period; it takes note of the evolution of the development of an EU-wide survey, with a common methodology and questionnaire, to gather comparable gender-based violence data, on a regular basis, across EU Member States; it expects to acknowledge the first outcomes of the pilot exercise of the survey by 2019 in order to comply with the foreseen implementation of the survey from 2020-2021 onwards.

---


---

Or. en
Amendment 13
Isabella Tovaglieri

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3

*Draft opinion*

3. Reiterates its call to have a separate budget line for the Daphne specific objective of REC; it takes note of the evolution of the development of an EU-wide survey, with a common methodology and questionnaire, to gather comparable gender-based violence data, on a regular basis, across EU Member States; it expects to acknowledge the first outcomes of the pilot exercise of the survey by 2019 in order to comply with the foreseen implementation of the survey from 2020-2021 onwards¹;

________________________________________________________

¹ Programme Statements of operational expenditure COM(2019) 400 - June 2019 - page 360

*Amendment*

3. Reiterates its call to have a separate budget line for the Daphne specific objective of REC; it takes note of the evolution of the development of an EU-wide survey, with a common methodology and questionnaire, to gather comparable data on violence against women, on a regular basis, across EU Member States; it expects to acknowledge the first outcomes of the pilot exercise of the survey by 2019 in order to comply with the foreseen implementation of the survey from 2020-2021¹ onwards;

________________________________________________________

¹ Programme Statements of operational expenditure COM(2019) 400 - June 2019 - page 360

Or. it

Amendment 14
Frances Fitzgerald

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3 a (new)

*Draft opinion*

3 a. Calls for the examination of synergies between those internal and external programmes of the Union to ensure a coherent and continuous approach to policies both inside and outside of the Union, such as in the case of Female Genital Mutilation (FGM).

*Amendment*

3 a. Calls for the examination of synergies between those internal and external programmes of the Union to ensure a coherent and continuous approach to policies both inside and outside of the Union, such as in the case of Female Genital Mutilation (FGM).

Or. en
Amendment 15
Irène Tolleret, María Soraya Rodríguez Ramos, Susana Solís Pérez

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3 a (new)

Draft opinion  Amendment

3 a. Calls for increased transparency, targeted actions and specific budget lines in order to meeting the goals of gender equality and women’s rights in EU external action, including sexual and reproductive health and rights;

Or. en

Amendment 16
Monika Vana
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3 a (new)

Draft opinion  Amendment

3 a. Calls for gender impact assessments as part of general ex-ante conditionality for EU funds;

Or. en

Amendment 17
Monika Vana
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3 b (new)

Draft opinion  Amendment

3 b. Recalls that a very significant number of refugees and asylum seekers entering the EU are women and children; highlights that gender mainstreaming is also among the founding principles of the
Asylum, Migration and Integration Fund (AMIF) and reiterates its call for the gender dimension to also be taken into account in migration and asylum policies by allocating specific funds dedicated to prevent gender-based violence and ensuring access to health and reproductive health and rights;

Amendment 18
Vilija Blinkevičiūtė

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Highlights the need to make a greater effort in the integration of the gender perspective in the fields of research and integration; in this sense it welcomes the fact that, up to January 2019 the share of women participants in Horizon 2020 projects was 42% of the total workforce; by contrast, it regrets the fact that women only represented 28% of projects coordinators and only 32.4% of grants took into account the gender dimension in the research and innovation content\(^2\);

________________________
2 Idem page 74

Amendment 19
Elżbieta Rafalska

Draft opinion

4. Highlights the need to make a greater effort in the integration of the gender perspective in the fields of research and integration; in this sense it welcomes the fact that, up to January 2019 the share of women participants in Horizon 2020 projects was 42% of the total workforce; by contrast, it regrets the fact that women only represented 28% of projects coordinators and only 32.4% of grants took into account the gender dimension in the research and innovation content\(^2\); also calls for the inclusion of targeted actions on women's rights and gender equality with specific budget lines in order to take into account the gender dimension within migration and asylum policies;

________________________
2 Idem page 74
Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Highlights the need to make a greater effort in the integration of the gender perspective in the fields of research and integration; in this sense it welcomes the fact that, up to January 2019 the share of women participants in Horizon 2020 projects was 42% of the total workforce; by contrast, it regrets the fact that women only represented 28% of projects coordinators and only 32.4% of grants took into account the gender dimension in the research and innovation content²;

Amendment

4. Highlights the need to make a greater effort in the integration of the gender perspective in the fields of research and integration; in this sense it welcomes the fact that, up to January 2019 the share of women participants in Horizon 2020 projects was 42% of the total workforce;

² Idem page 74

Amendment 20
Isabella Tovaglieri

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Highlights the need to make a greater effort in the integration of the gender perspective in the fields of research and integration; in this sense it welcomes the fact that, up to January 2019 the share of women participants in Horizon 2020 projects was 42% of the total workforce; by contrast, it regrets the fact that women only represented 28% of projects coordinators and only 32.4% of grants took into account the gender dimension in the research and innovation content²;

Amendment

4. Stresses the importance of the full involvement of both men and women in research and innovation; in this sense it welcomes the fact that, up to January 2019 the share of women participants in Horizon 2020 projects was 42% of the total workforce; by contrast, it regrets the fact that women only represented 28% of projects coordinators and only 32.4% of grants took into account the gender dimension in the research and innovation content²;

² Idem page 74

Or. it
Amendment 21
Vilija Blinkevičiūtė

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4 a (new)

Draft opinion

4 a. calls for a renewed commitment by the Parliament, the Council and the Commission to gender equality in the next MFF and regrets that, in spite of the joint declaration having been attached to the MFF on gender mainstreaming, there has been little progress in this field and no clear gender equality strategy with specific objectives, concrete targets and allocations, has emerged from the MFF 2014-2020;

Or. en

Amendment 22
Irène Tolleret, María Soraya Rodríguez Ramos, Susana Solís Pérez

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4 a (new)

Draft opinion

4 a. Calls on the Commission to employ gender budgeting through the entire budgetary procedure, the implementation of the budget and the assessment of its execution in order to promote equal access to EU funded programmes and to encourage women’s participation;

Or. en

Amendment 23
Vilija Blinkevičiūtė
Draft opinion
Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

5. Calls for the collection of data disaggregated by gender on beneficiaries and participants of EU funded programmes.

Amendment

5. Calls for the collection of data disaggregated by gender on beneficiaries and participants of EU funded programmes; *reiterates its demand to include in the common set of result indicators for the implementation of the Union budget also gender-specific indicators, with due regards to the principle of sound financial management, namely in accordance with the principles of economy, efficiency and effectiveness*;

Or. en

Amendment 24
Monika Vana
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5 a (new)

Draft opinion

5 a. Reiterates its demand to include in the common set of result indicators for the implementation of the Union budget also gender-specific indicators based on a greater focus on the Equality, Equity and Ecology principle on top of the classic trystic (Economy, Efficiency, and Effectiveness);

Or. en

Amendment 25
Vilija Blinkevičiūtė
Draft opinion

Amendment

5 a. Deplores the fact that the European Fund for Strategic Investments (EFSI) does not include a gender perspective due to the lack of specific data regarding the gender of applications submitted and/or approved for EFSI funding

Or. en

Amendment 26
Monika Vana
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5 b (new)

5 b. Calls for a renewed commitment by Parliament, the Council and the Commission to gender equality in the next MFF by including a gender budgeting clause and a gender responsive review in the new MFF Regulation;

Or. en