



2016/2052(INI)

7.9.2016

AMENDMENTS

1 - 96

Draft opinion

Lara Comi

(PE583.872v01-00)

European Defence Union

(2016/2052(INI))

Amendment 1
Notis Marias

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. Notes that a well-functioning internal market *can only positively contribute to the objectives of the Common Security and Defence Policy (CSDP)*;

deleted

Amendment

Or. el

Amendment 2
Mylène Troszczynski

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. Notes that a well-functioning internal market *can only positively contribute to the objectives of the Common Security and Defence Policy (CSDP)*;

Amendment

1. Notes that a well-functioning internal market *is certainly not a common solution for security problems faced by the EU*;

Or. en

Amendment 3
Emma McClarkin

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. Notes that a well-functioning internal market *can only positively contribute to the objectives of the Common Security and Defence Policy*

Amendment

1. Notes that a well-functioning internal market positively *contributes to objectives of improving, investing and strengthening Member State defence*

(CSDP);

investment, capabilities and development in improving and supporting multinational defence cooperation across Europe and further afield, appreciating that a strong and globally competitive Defence Industrial and Technological Base is a prerequisite to achieving this;

Or. en

Amendment 4
Igor Šoltés

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. Notes that a well-functioning internal market **can only** positively contribute to the objectives of the Common Security and Defence Policy (CSDP);

Amendment

1. Notes that a well-functioning internal market **would strongly and** positively contribute to the objectives of the Common Security and Defence Policy (CSDP) **and regrets that few progress has been made so far in this direction despite the adoption in 2009 of the defence package directives;**

Or. en

Amendment 5
Liisa Jaakonsaari

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. Notes that a well-functioning internal market can **only** positively contribute to the objectives of the Common Security and Defence Policy (CSDP);

Amendment

1. Notes that a well-functioning internal market can positively contribute to the objectives of the Common Security and Defence Policy (CSDP);

Or. en

Amendment 6
Morten Løkkegaard, Fredrick Federley

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. Notes that a well-functioning internal market can **only** positively contribute to the objectives of the Common Security and Defence Policy (CSDP);

Amendment

1. Notes that a well-functioning internal market can positively contribute to the objectives of the Common Security and Defence Policy (CSDP);

Or. en

Amendment 7
Margot Parker

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Acknowledges that the current geopolitical environment and the situation in Europe call for the Union to assume greater responsibilities in the fields of both external and internal security and to pursue more ambitious goals such as a European Army, and welcomes the European Council's conclusions of June 2015 asking for further development of both civilian and military capabilities and the strengthening of Europe's defence industry;

Amendment

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 8
Liisa Jaakonsaari

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

Amendment

2. Acknowledges that the current geopolitical environment and the situation in Europe call for the Union to assume greater responsibilities in the fields of both external and internal security and to pursue more ambitious goals such as a European Army, and welcomes the European Council's conclusions of June 2015 asking for further development of both civilian and military capabilities and the strengthening of Europe's defence industry;

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 9

Morten Løkkegaard, Fredrick Federley

Draft opinion

Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

Amendment

2. Acknowledges that the current geopolitical environment and the situation in Europe call for the Union to assume greater responsibilities in the fields of both external and internal security and to pursue more ambitious goals such as a European Army, and welcomes the European Council's conclusions of June 2015 asking for further development of both civilian and military capabilities and the strengthening of Europe's defence industry;

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 10

Notis Marias

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. *Acknowledges* that the current geopolitical environment and the situation in Europe call for the Union to assume greater responsibilities in the *fields* of *both external and internal security and to pursue more ambitious goals such as a European Army, and welcomes the European Council's conclusions of June 2015 asking for further development of both civilian and military capabilities and the strengthening of Europe's defence industry;*

Amendment

2. *Notes* that the current geopolitical environment and the situation in Europe call for the Union to assume greater responsibilities in the *field* of internal security;

Or. el

Amendment 11
Nicola Danti

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Acknowledges that the current geopolitical environment and the situation in Europe call for the Union to assume greater responsibilities in the fields of both external and internal security and *to pursue more ambitious goals such as a European Army; welcomes the European Council's conclusions of June 2015 asking for further development of both civilian and military capabilities and the strengthening of Europe's defence industry;*

Amendment

2. Acknowledges that the current geopolitical environment and the situation in Europe call for the Union to assume greater responsibilities in the fields of both external and internal security *in order to revive the common defence and security dimension, with the ambitious aim of bringing into being a European defence union and creating a European Army; welcomes the European Council's conclusions of June 2015 asking for further development of both civilian and military capabilities and the strengthening of a European defence industry which is more integrated and competitive and whose primary objective is to improve the security of supply of the Union and its Member States;*

Amendment 12

Jiří Maštálka

Draft opinion**Paragraph 2***Draft opinion*

2. Acknowledges that the current geopolitical environment and the situation in Europe call for the Union to assume greater responsibilities in the fields of both external and internal security and *to pursue more ambitious goals such as a European Army*, and *welcomes the European Council's conclusions of June 2015 asking for further development of both civilian and military capabilities* and the *strengthening of Europe's defence industry*;

Amendment

2. Acknowledges that the current geopolitical environment and the situation in Europe call for the Union to assume greater responsibilities in the fields of both external and internal security and, *in particular, to ensure the safety and security of citizens* and *to protect the external borders, to make greater use of opportunities for peace negotiations and to seek to improve collective security mechanisms; recalls, however, that further deepening and accelerating of integration is not the right answer to the crisis currently affecting the EU, and that it is necessary to focus on the practical benefits of existing instruments in order to 'bring the Union closer to the people'*;

Or. cs

Amendment 13

Mylène Troszczynski

Draft opinion**Paragraph 2***Draft opinion*

2. Acknowledges that the current geopolitical environment and the situation in Europe call for the Union to assume greater responsibilities in the fields of both external and internal security *and to pursue more ambitious goals* such as *a European Army, and welcomes the*

Amendment

2. Acknowledges that the current geopolitical environment and the situation in Europe call for the Union to assume greater responsibilities in the fields of both external and internal security such as *border controls by the member states and an efficient fight against Islamic*

European Council's conclusions of June 2015 asking for further development of both civilian and military capabilities and the strengthening of Europe's defence industry;

terrorism;

Or. en

Amendment 14
Anna Hedh

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Acknowledges that the current geopolitical environment and the situation in Europe call for the Union to assume greater responsibilities in the fields of both external and internal security and to pursue more ambitious goals *such as a European Army*, and welcomes the European Council's conclusions of June 2015 asking for further development of both civilian and military capabilities and the strengthening of Europe's defence industry;

Amendment

2. Acknowledges that the current geopolitical environment and the situation in Europe call for the Union to assume greater responsibilities in the fields of both external and internal security and to pursue more ambitious goals *in order to guarantee security and safety for people in Europe under the common foreign and security policy*, and welcomes the European Council's conclusions of June 2015 asking for further development of both civilian and military capabilities and the strengthening of Europe's defence industry;

Or. sv

Amendment 15
Sergio Gaetano Cofferati

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Acknowledges that the current geopolitical environment and the situation in Europe call for the Union to assume greater responsibilities in the fields of *both*

Amendment

2. Acknowledges that the current geopolitical environment and the situation in Europe call for the Union to assume greater responsibilities in the fields of

external and internal security and to pursue more ambitious goals such as a European Army; welcomes the European Council's conclusions of June 2015 asking for further development of both civilian and military capabilities and the strengthening of Europe's defence industry;

security, thus necessitating the progressive development of a defence Union and of a European army, in particular in order to streamline and reduce costs in the defence sector;

Or. it

Amendment 16
Lara Comi

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Acknowledges that the current geopolitical environment and the situation in Europe call for the Union to assume greater responsibilities in the fields of both external and internal security and to pursue more ambitious goals such as a European Army, and welcomes the European Council's conclusions of June 2015 asking for further development of both civilian and military capabilities and the strengthening of Europe's defence industry;

Amendment

2. Acknowledges that the current geopolitical environment and the situation in Europe, *in particular, the exposure to constant migration flows and terrorist attacks*, call for the Union to assume greater responsibilities in the fields of both external and internal security and to pursue more ambitious goals such as a European Army, and welcomes the European Council's conclusions of June 2015 asking for further development of both civilian and military capabilities and the strengthening of Europe's defence industry;

Or. en

Amendment 17
Maria Grapini, Marc Tarabella

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Acknowledges that the current

Amendment

2. Acknowledges that the current

geopolitical environment and the situation in Europe call for the Union to assume greater responsibilities in the fields of both external and internal security and to pursue more ambitious goals such as a European **Army**, and welcomes the European Council's conclusions of June 2015 asking for further development of both civilian and military capabilities and the strengthening of Europe's defence industry;

geopolitical environment and the situation in Europe call for the Union to assume greater responsibilities in the fields of both external and internal security and to pursue more ambitious goals such as ***progressing towards*** a European ***Defence Union and much deeper integrated defence initiatives***, and welcomes the European Council's conclusions of June 2015 asking for further development of both civilian and military capabilities and the strengthening of Europe's defence industry;

Or. en

Amendment 18
Anna Maria Corazza Bildt

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Acknowledges that the current geopolitical environment and the situation in Europe call for the Union to assume greater responsibilities in the fields of both external and internal security ***and to pursue more ambitious goals such as a European Army, and*** welcomes the European Council's conclusions of June 2015 asking for further development of both civilian and military capabilities and the strengthening of Europe's defence industry;

Amendment

2. Acknowledges that the current geopolitical environment and the situation in Europe call for the Union to assume greater responsibilities in the fields of both external and internal security; welcomes the European Council's conclusions of June 2015 asking for further development of both civilian and military capabilities and the strengthening of Europe's defence industry;

Or. en

Amendment 19
Eleftherios Synadinos

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Acknowledges that the current geopolitical environment and the situation in Europe call for the Union to assume greater responsibilities in the fields of both external and internal security and to pursue more ambitious goals ***such as a European Army***, and welcomes the European Council's conclusions of June 2015 asking for further development of both civilian and military capabilities and the strengthening of Europe's defence industry;

Amendment

2. Acknowledges that the current geopolitical environment and the situation in Europe call for the Union to assume greater responsibilities in the fields of both external and internal security and to pursue more ambitious goals, and welcomes the European Council's conclusions of June 2015 asking for further development of both civilian and military capabilities and the strengthening of Europe's defence industry;

Or. el

Amendment 20
Emma McClarkin

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Acknowledges that the current geopolitical environment and the situation in Europe ***call for the Union to assume greater responsibilities in the fields of both external and internal security and to pursue more ambitious goals such as a European Army, and*** welcomes the European Council's conclusions of June 2015 asking for further development of both civilian and military capabilities and the strengthening of Europe's defence industry;

Amendment

2. Acknowledges that the current geopolitical environment and the situation in Europe ***requires Member States to do more to invest, protect and strengthen national defence capabilities, budgets and improve security readiness, and as such*** welcomes the European Council's conclusions of June 2015 asking for further development of both civilian and military ***national*** capabilities and the strengthening of Europe's defence industry;

Or. en

Amendment 21
Philippe Juvin

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

2a. Stresses that, with the emergence of new threats, Member States have to commit to providing appropriate resources and investing more in military capabilities in order to guarantee the security of European citizens;

Or. fr

Amendment 22
Notis Marias

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

Amendment

3. ***Recalls*** that the internal market instruments offer solutions for effective cooperation among Member States and for building on economies of scale, ***in order to avoid duplications and make expenditure more efficient in times of budget constraints and in view of the imminent risks of the EU defence sector losing critical expertise and innovation, autonomy and competitiveness advantages;***

3. ***Notes*** that the internal market instruments offer solutions for effective cooperation among Member States and for building on economies of scale;

Or. el

Amendment 23
Igor Šoltes

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

Amendment

3. Recalls that the internal market

3. Recalls that the internal market

instruments offer solutions for effective cooperation among Member States and for building on economies of scale, in order to avoid duplications and make expenditure more efficient in times of budget constraints and in view of the imminent risks of the EU defence sector losing critical expertise and innovation, autonomy and competitiveness advantages;

instruments offer solutions for effective cooperation among Member States and for building on economies of scale, in order to avoid duplications and make expenditure more efficient in times of budget constraints and in view of the imminent risks of the EU defence sector losing critical expertise and innovation, autonomy and competitiveness advantages; ***strongly regrets the lack of transparency, or even sometimes the corruption, regarding the relations between national defence administrations and the defence industry, thereby involving inefficiency in spending public money and higher costs for tax payers;***

Or. en

Amendment 24
Philippe Juvin

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

3. Recalls that the internal market instruments offer solutions for effective cooperation among Member States and for building on economies of scale, in order to avoid duplications and make expenditure more efficient in times of budget constraints and in view of the imminent risks of the EU defence sector losing critical expertise and innovation, autonomy and competitiveness advantages;

Amendment

3. Recalls that the internal market instruments offer solutions for effective cooperation among Member States and for building on economies of scale, in order to avoid duplications and make expenditure more efficient in times of budget constraints and in view of the imminent risks of the EU defence sector losing critical expertise and innovation, autonomy and competitiveness advantages; ***is concerned at the steady decline of defence research funding across the Member States and the lack of strong European demand for armaments;***

Or. fr

Amendment 25
Maria Grapini, Marc Tarabella, Nicola Danti

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

3. Recalls that the internal market instruments offer solutions for effective cooperation among Member States and for building on economies of scale, in order to avoid duplications and make expenditure more efficient in times of budget constraints and in view of the imminent risks of the EU defence sector losing critical expertise and innovation, autonomy and competitiveness advantages;

Amendment

3. Recalls that the internal market instruments offer solutions for effective cooperation among Member States and for building on economies of scale, in order to avoid duplications and make expenditure more efficient in times of budget constraints and in view of the imminent risks of the EU defence sector losing critical expertise and innovation, autonomy and competitiveness advantages;
underlines in this context the need for advanced planning and cooperation in purchase and modernisation of defence equipment by the Member States;

Or. en

Amendment 26
Dita Charanzová, Morten Løkkegaard

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

3. Recalls that the internal market instruments ***offer solutions for*** effective cooperation among Member States and for building on economies of scale, in order to avoid duplications and make expenditure more efficient in times of budget constraints and in view of the imminent risks of the EU defence sector losing critical expertise and innovation, autonomy and competitiveness advantages;

Amendment

3. Recalls that the ***systematic use of*** internal market instruments ***enhances*** effective cooperation among Member States and for building on economies of scale, in order to avoid duplications and make expenditure more efficient in times of budget constraints and in view of the imminent risks of the EU defence sector losing critical expertise and innovation, autonomy and competitiveness advantages;
emphasizes that they can further contribute to development and strengthening of European Defence Industrial and Technological Base;

Amendment 27

Lara Comi

Draft opinion**Paragraph 3***Draft opinion*

3. Recalls that the internal market instruments offer solutions for effective cooperation among Member States and for building on economies of scale, in order to avoid duplications and make expenditure more efficient in times of budget constraints and in view of the imminent risks of the EU defence sector losing critical expertise and innovation, autonomy and competitiveness advantages;

Amendment

3. Recalls that the ***already existing*** internal market instruments offer solutions for effective cooperation among Member States and for building on economies of scale, ***working towards a greater strategic convergence***, in order to avoid duplications and make expenditure more efficient in times of budget constraints and in view of the imminent risks of the EU defence sector losing critical expertise and innovation, ***technology sovereignty***, autonomy and competitiveness advantages;

Or. en

Amendment 28

Emma McClarkin

Draft opinion**Paragraph 3***Draft opinion*

3. Recalls that the internal market instruments offer solutions for effective cooperation among Member States and for building on economies of scale, in order to ***avoid duplications and*** make expenditure more efficient in times of budget constraints ***and in view of the imminent risks of the EU defence sector losing critical expertise and innovation, autonomy and competitiveness advantages;***

Amendment

3. Recalls that the internal market instruments offer solutions for effective cooperation among Member States and for building on economies of scale, in order to make expenditure more efficient in times of budget constraints; ***notes also the potential for dual-use technologies in supporting this;***

Amendment 29
Eleftherios Synadinos

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

3. Recalls that the internal market instruments offer solutions for effective cooperation among Member States and for building on economies of scale, in order to avoid duplications and make expenditure more efficient in times of budget constraints and in view of the imminent risks of the EU defence sector losing critical expertise and innovation, autonomy and competitiveness advantages;

Amendment

3. Recalls that the internal market instruments offer solutions for effective cooperation among Member States and ***European defence industries and*** for building on economies of scale, in order to avoid duplications and make expenditure more efficient in times of budget constraints and in view of the imminent risks of the EU defence sector losing critical expertise and innovation, autonomy and competitiveness advantages;

Or. el

Amendment 30
Lara Comi

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

3a. Recalls the crucial need to maintain a viable European defence technological and industrial base and a well-functioning internal market. A genuine internal market for defence should enable defence companies to operate freely and ensure a level playing field throughout the EU. This will lead to a strong and innovative European Defence Technological and Industrial Base (EDTIB), which is essential for Europe's strategic autonomy and for a credible CSDP; furthermore, a future EU

research fund for defence in the next Multi-annual Financial Framework offers a chance to foster European military and industrial cooperation through the added value generated by the support of innovative research & technology;

Or. en

Amendment 31
Maria Grapini, Sergio Gutiérrez Prieto

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

3a. Draws attention to persisting defence market fragmentation and to the fact that the majority of contracts are still awarded at national level; draws attention also to the fact that at this moment the defence industry is mainly concentrated on 6 EU Member States, even though in the entire EU there exist specialized companies, including SMEs; Commission and European Defence Agency should intervene in identifying solutions that might contribute to reducing differences between national defence industries;

Or. en

Amendment 32
Philippe Juvin

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

3a. Calls on the Commission to provide a detailed and comprehensive analysis of the duplication that exists

today at national level in defence in different areas, such as armaments and equipment programmes, national standards and requirements, auxiliary installations, training sites and training; stresses the importance of creating economies of scale in order to remedy the existing deficiencies in terms of capacity;

Or. fr

Amendment 33
Emma McClarkin

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

3a. Identifies the future skills gap emerging as a result of the aging workforce in the defence sector, therefore welcomes the EU Commission's intention to support industrial and commercial efforts to encourage young professionals and apprentices to enter this sector, and moves to utilise wider EU projects such as the New Skills Agenda, COSME and a "Blueprint for Sectoral Co-operation on Skills" to target this gap;

Or. en

Amendment 34
Anna Maria Corazza Bildt

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

3a. Urges the Commission to step up its efforts to achieve a level playing field in European defence markets, limiting the use of justified exclusions to a strict

minimum; therefore ask the Commission to present a proposal for harmonisation of export control regulation at European level;

Or. en

Amendment 35

Maria Grapini, Marc Tarabella, Sergio Gutiérrez Prieto

Draft opinion

Paragraph 3 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

3b. Draws attention to the problems and obstacles that SMEs face when accessing contracts on the market and in the entire subcontracting chain for defence procurements, for example the lack of transparency; underlines the importance of offering qualitative and clear information which would allow business to identify the opportunities in the defence sector and to take informed decisions;

Or. en

Amendment 36

Igor Šoltes

Draft opinion

Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

Amendment

4. Urges the Member States to fully enforce Directive 2009/81/EC, concerning procurement in the fields of defence and sensitive security, and Directive 2009/43/EC, concerning the transfer of defence-related products, while noting that Member States have made little use of the available tools, for example joint purchases

4. Urges the Member States to fully enforce Directive 2009/81/EC, concerning procurement in the fields of defence and sensitive security, and Directive 2009/43/EC, concerning the transfer of defence-related products, while noting that Member States have made little use of the available tools, for example joint purchases

through central purchasing bodies such as the European Defence Agency;

through central purchasing bodies such as the European Defence Agency; ***urges to fight protectionist practices by Member States in the defence procurement and to limit the use of justified exclusions strictly to the purpose of protecting essential security interests rather than Member States' domestic industrial interests; stresses that the full phase-out of offsets is indispensable for fighting corruption, avoiding distortions of competition and ensuring the smooth and transparent functioning of the internal market in the European defence sector;***

Or. en

Amendment 37
Lara Comi, Andreas Schwab

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Urges the Member States to fully enforce Directive 2009/81/EC, concerning procurement in the fields of defence and sensitive security, and Directive 2009/43/EC, concerning the transfer of defence-related products, while noting that Member States have made little use of the available tools, for example joint purchases through central purchasing bodies such as the European Defence Agency;

Amendment

4. Urges the Member States to ***step up efforts and*** fully enforce Directive 2009/81/EC, concerning procurement in the fields of defence and sensitive security, and Directive 2009/43/EC, concerning the transfer of defence-related products, while noting that Member States have made little use of the available tools, for example joint purchases through central purchasing bodies such as the European Defence Agency. ***Acknowledges in particular that the Commission is finalising the evaluation of Directive 2009/81/EC and Directive 2009/43/EC and awaits recommendations in order to improve the implementation of the Directives at national level, also in order to improve uptake by industry and SMEs;***

Or. en

Amendment 38
Emma McClarkin

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Urges the Member States to fully enforce Directive 2009/81/EC, concerning procurement in the fields of defence and sensitive security, and Directive 2009/43/EC, concerning the transfer of defence-related products, while noting that Member States have made little use of the available tools, for example joint purchases through central purchasing bodies *such as the European Defence Agency*;

Amendment

4. Urges the Member States to fully enforce Directive 2009/81/EC, concerning procurement in the fields of defence and sensitive security, and Directive 2009/43/EC, concerning the transfer of defence-related products, while noting that Member States have made little use of the available tools, for example joint purchases through central purchasing bodies, *supports the Commission in ensuring complete implementation of these directives, but equally encourages the Commission to issue increased guidance and assistance on the implementation and interpretation of these directives at the request of Member States*;

Or. en

Amendment 39
Maria Grapini, Marc Tarabella, Nicola Danti, Sergio Gutiérrez Prieto, Liisa Jaakonsaari

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Urges the Member States to fully enforce Directive 2009/81/EC, concerning procurement in the fields of defence and sensitive security, and Directive 2009/43/EC, concerning the transfer of defence-related products, while noting that Member States have made little use of the available tools, for example joint purchases through central purchasing bodies such as the European Defence Agency;

Amendment

4. Urges the Member States to fully enforce Directive 2009/81/EC, concerning procurement in the fields of defence and sensitive security, and Directive 2009/43/EC, concerning the transfer of defence-related products, while noting that Member States have made little use of the available tools, for example joint purchases through central purchasing bodies such as the European Defence Agency; *calls on the Commission to evaluate if the*

objectives of the Directive have been reached and to take the appropriate measures in case of upgrading the legislation to the real defence market needs;

Or. en

Amendment 40
Anna Maria Corazza Bildt

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. *Urges* the Member States to fully enforce Directive 2009/81/EC, concerning procurement in the fields of defence and sensitive security, and Directive 2009/43/EC, concerning the transfer of defence-related products, while noting that Member States have made little use of the available tools, for example joint purchases through central purchasing bodies such as the European Defence Agency;

Amendment

4. *Points out that to strengthen European defence, technological innovation and make substantial savings we need to build economy of scale and have a common European market for defence procurement; urges* the Member States to fully enforce Directive 2009/81/EC, concerning procurement in the fields of defence and sensitive security, and Directive 2009/43/EC, concerning the transfer of defence-related products, while noting that Member States have made little use of the available tools, for example joint purchases through central purchasing bodies such as the European Defence Agency;

Or. en

Amendment 41
Notis Marias

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. *Urges* the Member States to fully enforce Directive 2009/81/EC, concerning

Amendment

4. *Takes the view that it is a matter for* Member States to fully enforce

procurement in the fields of defence and sensitive security, and Directive 2009/43/EC, concerning the transfer of defence-related products, *while noting that Member States have made little use of the available tools, for example joint purchases through central purchasing bodies such as the European Defence Agency;*

Directive 2009/81/EC, concerning procurement in the fields of defence and sensitive security, and Directive 2009/43/EC, concerning the transfer of defence-related products;

Or. el

Amendment 42
Jiří Maštálka

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Urges the Member States to fully enforce Directive 2009/81/EC, concerning procurement in the fields of defence and sensitive security, and Directive 2009/43/EC, concerning the transfer of defence-related products, while noting that Member States have made little use of the available tools, for example joint purchases through central purchasing bodies such as the European Defence Agency;

Amendment

4. Urges the Member States to fully enforce Directive 2009/81/EC, concerning procurement in the fields of defence and sensitive security, and Directive 2009/43/EC, concerning the transfer of defence-related products, while noting that Member States have made little use of the available tools, for example joint purchases through central purchasing bodies such as the European Defence Agency, *which should open new EU instruments and funds to SMEs in support of their access to supply and subcontracting chains and their involvement in research;*

Or. cs

Amendment 43
Andreas Schwab, Lara Comi

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Urges the Member States to fully enforce Directive 2009/81/EC, concerning procurement in the fields of defence and sensitive security, and Directive 2009/43/EC, concerning the transfer of defence-related products, while noting that Member States have made little use of the available tools, for example joint purchases through central purchasing bodies such as the European Defence Agency;

Amendment

4. Urges the Member States to fully enforce Directive 2009/81/EC, concerning procurement in the fields of defence and sensitive security, and Directive 2009/43/EC, concerning the transfer of defence-related products, ***and stresses the importance of cross-border market access for SMEs***, while noting that Member States have made little use of the available tools, for example joint purchases through central purchasing bodies such as the European Defence Agency;

Or. en

Amendment 44

Dita Charanzová, Morten Løkkegaard

Draft opinion

Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Urges the Member States to ***fully enforce*** Directive 2009/81/EC, concerning procurement in the fields of defence and sensitive security, and Directive 2009/43/EC, concerning the transfer of defence-related products, while noting that Member States have made little use of the available tools, for example joint purchases through central purchasing bodies such as the European Defence Agency;

Amendment

4. Urges the Member States to ***enforce and make full use of all the options provided in*** Directive 2009/81/EC, concerning procurement in the fields of defence and sensitive security, and Directive 2009/43/EC, concerning the transfer of defence-related products, while noting that Member States have made little use of the available tools, for example joint purchases through central purchasing bodies such as the European Defence Agency;

Or. en

Amendment 45

Lara Comi, Andreas Schwab

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

4a. *Recalls that fostering cross-border market access and the opening of supply chains is crucial for an effective European Defence Equipment Market. Competition should not be limited to the level of prime contractors; sub-suppliers, in particular SMEs, should benefit from the opening-up of national defence markets;*

Or. en

Amendment 46
Emma McClarkin

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

4a. *Recognises the success and best practice of The Letter of Intent Framework Agreement in supporting defence industrial goals and encourages the Commission to take note of the these principles and goals, primarily in the areas of security of supply and treatment of technical information;*

Or. en

Amendment 47
Igor Šoltes

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

Amendment

5. Recalls that the new CSDP

5. Recalls that the new CSDP

provides greater flexibility, for example through stronger Member State cooperation within a ‘permanent structured cooperation’ framework, which could take various forms, including joint development and procurement; calls for the creation of a structure for the exchange of information on terrorism and cybersecurity;

provides greater flexibility, for example through stronger Member State cooperation within a ‘permanent structured cooperation’ framework, which could take various forms, including joint development and procurement, ***including pooled procurement mechanisms which would encourage cooperative acquisition of defence equipment***; calls for the creation of a structure for the exchange of information on terrorism and cybersecurity;

Or. en

Amendment 48
Mylène Troszczynski

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

5. Recalls that the new CSDP ***provides greater flexibility, for example through stronger*** Member State cooperation within a ‘permanent structured cooperation’ framework, which could take various forms, including joint development and procurement; calls for ***the creation of a structure for the*** exchange of information on terrorism and cybersecurity;

Amendment

5. Recalls that the new CSDP ***must be in accordance with the constitutional laws of the member states in which a NATO membership should be excluded; suggest that a*** Member State cooperation within a ‘permanent structured cooperation’ framework ***should take place***, which could take various forms, including joint development and procurement; calls for ***an efficient*** exchange of information on terrorism and cybersecurity ***between the Member states***;

Or. en

Amendment 49
Jiří Maštálka

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

5. Recalls that the new CSDP provides greater flexibility, for example through stronger Member State cooperation within a 'permanent structured cooperation' framework, which could take various forms, including joint development and **procurement; calls for the creation of a structure for the exchange of information on terrorism and cybersecurity;**

Amendment

5. Recalls that the new CSDP provides greater flexibility, for example through stronger Member State cooperation within a 'permanent structured cooperation' framework, which could take various forms, including joint development and **joint and transparent procurement;**

Or. cs

Amendment 50
Emma McClarkin

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

5. Recalls that the new CSDP provides **greater flexibility, for example through** stronger Member State cooperation within a 'permanent structured cooperation' framework, which could take various forms, including joint development and procurement; calls for the creation of a structure for the exchange of information on terrorism and **cybersecurity;**

Amendment

5. Recalls that the new CSDP provides **the potential for flexibility and** stronger Member State cooperation within a 'permanent structured cooperation' framework, which could take various forms, including joint development and procurement; calls for the creation of a structure for the exchange of information on terrorism and **cyber security, within a framework accepted by national governments and security services;**

Or. en

Amendment 51
Eleftherios Synadinos

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

5. Recalls that the new CSDP provides greater flexibility, for example through stronger Member State cooperation within a ‘permanent structured cooperation’ framework, which could take various forms, including joint development and procurement; calls for the creation of a structure for the exchange of information on terrorism and cybersecurity;

Amendment

5. Recalls that the new CSDP provides greater flexibility, for example through stronger Member State cooperation within a ‘permanent structured cooperation’ framework, which could take various forms, including joint development and procurement; calls for the creation of a structure for the exchange of information on terrorism, ***crossborder organised crime*** and cybersecurity;

Or. el

Amendment 52

Maria Grapini, Marc Tarabella, Marlene Mizzi

**Draft opinion
Paragraph 5**

Draft opinion

5. Recalls that the new CSDP provides greater flexibility, for example through stronger Member State cooperation within a ‘permanent structured cooperation’ framework, which could take various forms, including joint development and procurement; calls for the creation of a structure for the exchange of information on terrorism and cybersecurity;

Amendment

5. Recalls that the new CSDP provides greater flexibility, for example through stronger Member State cooperation within a ‘permanent structured cooperation’ framework ***open to all Member States***, which could take various forms, including joint development and procurement; calls for the creation of a structure for the exchange of information on terrorism and cybersecurity;

Or. en

Amendment 53

Lara Comi

**Draft opinion
Paragraph 5**

Draft opinion

5. Recalls that the new CSDP provides greater flexibility, for example through stronger Member State cooperation within a ‘permanent structured cooperation’ framework, which could take various forms, including joint development and procurement; calls for the creation of a structure for the exchange of information on terrorism and cybersecurity;

Amendment

5. Recalls that the new CSDP provides greater flexibility, for example through stronger Member State cooperation within a ‘permanent structured cooperation’ framework, which could take various forms, including joint **research**, development and procurement; calls for the creation of a structure for the exchange of information on terrorism and cybersecurity;

Or. en

Amendment 54
Enrico Gasbarra

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment 55
Notis Marias

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6

Draft opinion

6. *Stresses that stimulating technological developments in Europe is vital in order to satisfy the upcoming needs of European armed forces, as is fostering a more integrated internal market through the development of joint*

Amendment

5a. *Considers it important to use the European Semester procedure to introduce forms of closer cooperation in the field of security and defence;*

Or. it

Amendment

deleted

cooperative programmes, under which the Joint Research Centre could aggregate dual-use technology efforts across the EU;

Or. el

Amendment 56
Mylène Troszczynski

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6

Draft opinion

6. Stresses that *stimulating technological developments in Europe is vital in order to satisfy the upcoming needs of European armed forces, as is fostering a more integrated internal market through the development of joint cooperative programmes, under which the Joint Research Centre could aggregate dual-use technology efforts across the EU;*

Amendment

6. Stresses that *upcoming needs of European armed forces should be determined, as the political goal of a common EU Army would be treat as an integration in the NATO pact, which is not in the interest of some member state as it would include serious violation of some member states constitutions;*

Or. en

Amendment 57
Nicola Danti

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6

Draft opinion

6. Stresses that stimulating technological developments in Europe is vital in order to satisfy the upcoming needs of European armed forces, as is fostering a more integrated internal market through the development of joint cooperative programmes, under which the Joint Research Centre could aggregate dual-use technology efforts across the EU;

Amendment

6. Stresses that stimulating technological developments in Europe is vital in order to satisfy the upcoming needs of European armed forces, as is fostering a more integrated internal market through the development of joint cooperative programmes, under which the Joint Research Centre could aggregate dual-use technology efforts across the EU, *for*

instance in the field of robotics; in particular, encourages the Commission to provide clear and specific guidelines to facilitate and improve the access of SMEs to the European Structural and Investment Funds (ESIF) in the field of dual-use technologies;

Or. it

Amendment 58
Dita Charanzová, Morten Løkkegaard

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6

Draft opinion

6. Stresses that stimulating technological developments in Europe is vital in order to satisfy the upcoming needs of European armed forces, as is fostering a more integrated internal market through the development of joint cooperative programmes, under which the Joint Research Centre could aggregate dual-use technology efforts across the EU;

Amendment

6. Stresses that stimulating technological developments in Europe is vital in order to satisfy the upcoming needs of European armed forces, as is fostering a more integrated internal market through the development of joint cooperative programmes, under which the Joint Research Centre could aggregate dual-use technology efforts across the EU; ***recalls that initiatives related to better cooperation in fields of standardization and certification are also needed in order to further develop cooperation between the Member States and enhance the European Defence Equipment Market;***

Or. en

Amendment 59
Philippe Juvin

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6

Draft opinion

6. Stresses that stimulating

Amendment

6. Stresses that stimulating

technological developments in Europe is vital in order to satisfy the upcoming needs of European armed forces, as is fostering a more integrated internal market through the development of joint cooperative programmes, under which the Joint Research Centre could aggregate dual-use technology efforts across the EU;

technological developments in Europe is vital in order to satisfy the upcoming needs of European armed forces, as is fostering a more integrated internal market through the development of joint cooperative programmes, under which the Joint Research Centre could aggregate dual-use technology efforts across the EU, ***and particularly in the light of the significant capacity deficiencies in relation to drones and satellite observation;***

Or. fr

Amendment 60

Maria Grapini, Marc Tarabella, Marlene Mizzi, Liisa Jaakonsaari

Draft opinion

Paragraph 6

Draft opinion

6. Stresses that stimulating technological developments in Europe is vital ***in order to satisfy the upcoming needs of European armed forces***, as is fostering a more integrated internal market through the development of joint cooperative programmes, under which the Joint Research Centre could aggregate dual-use technology efforts across the EU;

Amendment

6. Stresses that stimulating technological developments in Europe is vital ***for defence sector***, as is fostering a more integrated internal market through the development of joint cooperative programmes, under which the Joint Research Centre could aggregate dual-use technology efforts across the EU ***and could facilitate SMEs access to Internal Market;***

Or. en

Amendment 61

Emma McClarkin

Draft opinion

Paragraph 6

Draft opinion

6. Stresses that stimulating technological developments in Europe is vital in order to satisfy the upcoming needs

Amendment

6. Stresses that stimulating technological developments in Europe is vital in order to satisfy the upcoming needs

of **European** armed forces, as is fostering a more integrated internal market through the development of joint cooperative programmes, under which the Joint Research Centre could aggregate dual-use technology efforts across the EU;

of armed forces **across Europe**, as is fostering a more integrated internal market through the development of joint cooperative programmes, under which the Joint Research Centre could aggregate dual-use technology efforts across the EU;

Or. en

Amendment 62

Morten Løkkegaard, Fredrick Federley, Dita Charanzová

Draft opinion

Paragraph 6

Draft opinion

6. Stresses that stimulating technological developments in Europe is vital in order to ***satisfy the upcoming needs of European armed forces, as is*** fostering a more integrated internal market through the development of joint cooperative programmes, under which the Joint Research Centre could aggregate dual-use technology efforts across the EU;

Amendment

6. Stresses that stimulating technological developments in Europe is vital in order to ***foster cooperation among Member States, while*** fostering a more integrated internal market through the development of joint cooperative programmes, under which the Joint Research Centre could aggregate dual-use technology efforts across the EU;

Or. en

Amendment 63

Lara Comi

Draft opinion

Paragraph 6 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

6a. Urges the European Commission to be ambitious in its forthcoming European Defence Action Plan (EDAP) which will have to underline, as a strategic tool, the EU unique added value in the defence sector. Towards this objective it is fundamental that the EDAP contains elements that can contribute to

the fostering of the industrial cooperation towards the development of defence capabilities programmes; in particular, the funding of critical defence technologies by a future EU-funded defence research programme in the next Multi-annual Financial Framework to support a strong European industrial base will allow the retaining of critical technologies within EU that are essential for Europe's autonomy of decision and action; ensuring cross-border cooperation at all levels of the supply chain, from primes to SMEs, bringing together several Member States, industry and academia;

Or. en

Amendment 64
Emma McClarkin

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

6a. Notes the current preparatory action for defence research in the 2017-2020 budget, and hopes that this becomes a permanent research field in the multiannual financial framework as commitment of member states into defence research and development continues to decline to the detriment of the defence in, and security of, Europe, however warns of the danger and redundancy of the programme should the preparatory action inadvertently duplicate efforts of member states or international bodies, such as NATO;

Or. en

Amendment 65
Maria Grapini, Marc Tarabella, Marlene Mizzi

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

6a. *Notes that often new businesses, including SMEs, face difficulties when entering the market, especially because of high investment costs in research and innovation that are difficult to bear; underlines the need for financing in research and innovation in defence sector in order to assure the good-functioning of the market for all market participants; encourages the Commission to consolidate research in defence sector through funding in order to improve the defence industry and technology;*

Or. en

Amendment 66
Morten Løkkegaard

Draft opinion
Paragraph 7

Draft opinion

Amendment

7. *Highlights the importance of intensifying the synergies between security and defence and the synergies with other Union policies, and of building on integrated capabilities in order to develop common approaches in the areas of, for example, hybrid threats, terrorism, external border security, illegal immigration, common intelligence, cybersecurity and customs controls;*

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 67
Philippe Juvin

Draft opinion
Paragraph 7

Draft opinion

7. Highlights the importance of intensifying the synergies between security and defence and the synergies with other Union policies, and of building on integrated capabilities in order to develop common approaches in the areas of, for example, hybrid threats, terrorism, external border security, illegal immigration, common intelligence, cybersecurity and customs controls;

Amendment

7. Highlights the importance of intensifying the synergies between security and defence and the synergies with other Union policies, and of building on integrated capabilities in order to develop common approaches in the areas of, for example, hybrid threats, terrorism, ***arms and people trafficking***, external border security, illegal immigration, common intelligence, cybersecurity and customs controls; ***calls on the Member States and the European institutions to examine and address the shortcomings of the existing counter-terrorism tools***;

Or. fr

Amendment 68
Emma McClarkin

Draft opinion
Paragraph 7

Draft opinion

7. Highlights the importance of intensifying the synergies between security and defence and the synergies with other Union policies, and of building on integrated capabilities in order to develop common approaches in the areas of, for example, hybrid threats, terrorism, external border security, illegal immigration, common intelligence, cybersecurity and customs controls;

Amendment

7. Highlights the importance of intensifying the synergies between security and defence and the synergies with other Union policies, and of building on integrated capabilities in order to develop common approaches in the areas of, for example, hybrid threats, terrorism, external border security, illegal immigration, common intelligence, cybersecurity and customs controls, ***notes that value of exchanging ideas and development with NATO on these topics is mutually beneficial to European and Transatlantic security***;

Or. en

Amendment 69

Anna Hedh

Draft opinion

Paragraph 7

Draft opinion

7. Highlights the importance of intensifying the synergies between security and defence and the synergies with other Union policies, **and** of building on integrated capabilities in order to develop common approaches in the areas of, for example, hybrid threats, terrorism, external border security, **illegal immigration**, common intelligence, cybersecurity and customs controls;

Amendment

7. Highlights the importance of intensifying the synergies between security and defence and the synergies with other Union policies, of building on integrated capabilities **and of applying Security Council resolution 1325 on women, peace and security in CSDP operations** in order to develop common approaches in the areas of, for example, hybrid threats, terrorism, external border security, common intelligence, cybersecurity and customs controls;

Or. sv

Amendment 70

Notis Marias

Draft opinion

Paragraph 7

Draft opinion

7. Highlights the importance of intensifying the synergies between security and defence and the synergies with other Union policies, and of building on integrated capabilities in order to develop common approaches in the areas of, for example, **hybrid threats**, terrorism, **external border security**, illegal immigration, **common intelligence**, cybersecurity and customs controls;

Amendment

7. Highlights the importance of intensifying the synergies between security and defence and the synergies with other Union policies, and of building on integrated capabilities in order to develop common approaches in the areas of, for example, terrorism, illegal immigration, cybersecurity and customs controls;

Or. el

Amendment 71
Maria Grapini, Marc Tarabella, Marlene Mizzi

Draft opinion
Paragraph 7

Draft opinion

7. Highlights the importance of intensifying the synergies between security and defence and the synergies with other Union policies, and of building on integrated capabilities in order to develop common approaches in the areas of, for example, hybrid threats, terrorism, external border security, *illegal* immigration, common intelligence, cybersecurity and customs controls;

Amendment

7. Highlights the importance of intensifying the synergies between security and defence and the synergies with other Union policies, and of building on integrated capabilities in order to develop common approaches in the areas of, for example, hybrid threats, terrorism, external border security, *sustainable management of* immigration, common intelligence, cybersecurity and customs controls;

Or. en

Amendment 72
Lara Comi

Draft opinion
Paragraph 7

Draft opinion

7. Highlights the importance of intensifying the synergies between security and defence and the synergies with other Union policies, and of building on integrated capabilities in order to develop common approaches in the areas of, for example, hybrid threats, terrorism, external border security, illegal immigration, common intelligence, cybersecurity and customs controls;

Amendment

7. Highlights the importance of intensifying the synergies between security and defence and the synergies with other Union policies, and of building on integrated capabilities in order to develop common approaches in the areas of, for example, hybrid threats, terrorism, external border security, illegal immigration, common intelligence, cybersecurity and *harmonisation of* customs controls;

Or. en

Amendment 73
Eleftherios Synadinos

Draft opinion
Paragraph 7

Draft opinion

7. Highlights the importance of intensifying the synergies between security and defence and the synergies with other Union policies, and of building on integrated capabilities in order to develop common approaches in the areas of, for example, hybrid threats, terrorism, external border security, illegal immigration, common intelligence, cybersecurity and customs controls;

Amendment

7. Highlights the importance of intensifying the synergies between security and defence and the synergies with other Union policies, and of building on integrated capabilities in order to develop common approaches in the areas of, for example, hybrid **and asymmetrical** threats, terrorism, external border security, illegal immigration, common intelligence, cybersecurity and customs controls;

Or. el

Amendment 74
Liisa Jaakonsaari, Maria Grapini

Draft opinion
Paragraph 7

Draft opinion

7. Highlights the importance of intensifying the synergies between security and defence and the synergies with other Union policies, and of building on integrated capabilities in order to develop common approaches in the areas of, for example, hybrid threats, terrorism, external border security, **illegal immigration**, common intelligence, cybersecurity and customs controls;

Amendment

7. Highlights the importance of intensifying the synergies between security and defence and the synergies with other Union policies, and of building on integrated capabilities in order to develop common approaches in the areas of, for example, hybrid threats, terrorism, external border security, **human trafficking**, common intelligence, cybersecurity and customs controls;

Or. en

Amendment 75
Sergio Gaetano Cofferati

Draft opinion
Paragraph 7

Draft opinion

7. Highlights the importance of intensifying the synergies between security and defence and the synergies with other Union policies, and of building on integrated capabilities in order to develop common approaches in the areas of, for example, hybrid threats, terrorism, external border security, *illegal immigration*, common intelligence, cybersecurity and customs controls;

Amendment

7. Highlights the importance of intensifying the synergies between security and defence and the synergies with other Union policies, and of building on integrated capabilities in order to develop common approaches in the areas of, for example, hybrid threats, terrorism, external border security, common intelligence, cybersecurity and customs controls;

Or. it

Amendment 76
Igor Šoltes

Draft opinion
Paragraph 7

Draft opinion

7. Highlights the importance of intensifying the synergies between security and defence and the synergies with other Union policies, and of building on integrated capabilities in order to develop common approaches in the areas of, for example, hybrid threats, terrorism, external border security, *illegal immigration*, common intelligence, cybersecurity and customs controls;

Amendment

7. Highlights the importance of intensifying the synergies between security and defence and the synergies with other Union policies, and of building on integrated capabilities in order to develop common approaches in the areas of, for example, hybrid threats, terrorism, external border security, common intelligence, cybersecurity and customs controls;

Or. en

Amendment 77
Andreas Schwab, Lara Comi

Draft opinion
Paragraph 7 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

7a. *Notes that with regard to cybersecurity, the protection against cyberattacks is essential, but should not impair an open, free and secure cyberspace; stresses the importance of the expansion of technological capabilities to make the European cyberspace more resilient, especially as regards critical infrastructures; considers Directive EU 2016/1148 on security of network and information systems an important step towards this goal;*

Or. en

Amendment 78
Emma McClarkin

Draft opinion
Paragraph 7 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

7a. *Recognises that, in pursuing a defence policy, the EU regularly duplicates efforts to improve defence capabilities and resources that are already established under NATO, therefore welcomes the Joint declaration from the NATO Warsaw Summit of 2016 on the NATO-EU strategic partnership, which recognises the role of NATO and the support the EU can play in achieving common goals;*

Or. en

Amendment 79
Maria Grapini, Marc Tarabella, Marlene Mizzi

Draft opinion
Paragraph 7 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

7a. *Considers that certification and common European standards in defence sector should be established, where possible, to increase competitiveness, to make access to defence procurement easier for all market operators, and to ensure similar high standards;*

Or. en

**Amendment 80
Emma McClarkin**

**Draft opinion
Paragraph 7 b (new)**

Draft opinion

Amendment

7b. *Notes steps taken by the EDA and the EU Commission to improve mutual recognition of Defence standards and requirements in industry, but warns against doing this in isolation of international allies and partners, specifically NATO;*

Or. en

**Amendment 81
Emma McClarkin**

**Draft opinion
Paragraph 7 c (new)**

Draft opinion

Amendment

7c. *Recalls the need to improve SME access to defence supply chains recognising that SMEs are a vital part of achieving a prosperous European defence industrial base, as such suggests that in combination with universal and*

comprehensive implementation of 2009/81/EC, that greater transparency in supply chains, contracts and notifications of opportunities to tender should be encouraged;

Or. en

Amendment 82
Notis Marias

Draft opinion
Paragraph 8

Draft opinion

Amendment

8. Notes that sovereignty concerns and divergent threat perceptions in the different Member States and differing national industries and operational capabilities hamper integration of the defence sector and contribute to market fragmentation, and believes that a European Defence Union may create more trust, align the different plans for development of national capabilities and ultimately lead to more common projects and the opening of the markets.

deleted

Or. el

Amendment 83
Margot Parker

Draft opinion
Paragraph 8

Draft opinion

Amendment

8. Notes that sovereignty concerns and divergent threat perceptions in the different Member States and differing national industries and operational capabilities hamper integration of the defence sector and contribute to market

deleted

fragmentation, and believes that a European Defence Union may create more trust, align the different plans for development of national capabilities and ultimately lead to more common projects and the opening of the markets.

Or. en

Amendment 84
Mylène Troszczynski

Draft opinion
Paragraph 8

Draft opinion

Amendment

8. *Notes that sovereignty concerns and divergent threat perceptions in the different Member States and differing national industries and operational capabilities hamper integration of the defence sector and contribute to market fragmentation, and believes that a European Defence Union may create more trust, align the different plans for development of national capabilities and ultimately lead to more common projects and the opening of the markets.*

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 85
Anna Maria Corazza Bildt

Draft opinion
Paragraph 8

Draft opinion

Amendment

8. *Notes that sovereignty concerns and divergent threat perceptions in the different Member States and differing national industries and operational capabilities hamper integration of the*

8. Believes that a European Defence Union may create more trust, align the different plans for development of national capabilities and ultimately lead to more common projects and the opening of the

defence sector and contribute to market fragmentation, and believes that a European Defence Union may create more trust, align the different plans for development of national capabilities and ultimately lead to more common projects and the opening of the markets.

markets.

Or. en

Amendment 86

Jiří Maštálka

Draft opinion

Paragraph 8

Draft opinion

8. Notes that sovereignty concerns and divergent threat perceptions in the different Member States and differing national industries and operational capabilities hamper integration of the defence sector and contribute to market fragmentation, *and believes that a European Defence Union may create more trust, align the different plans for development of national capabilities and ultimately lead to more common projects and the opening of the markets.*

Amendment

8. Notes that sovereignty concerns and divergent threat perceptions in the different Member States and differing national industries and operational capabilities hamper integration of the defence sector and contribute to market fragmentation;

Or. cs

Amendment 87

Morten Løkkegaard, Fredrick Federley

Draft opinion

Paragraph 8

Draft opinion

8. Notes that sovereignty concerns and divergent threat perceptions in the different Member States and differing national industries and operational capabilities hamper integration of the

Amendment

8. Notes that sovereignty concerns and divergent threat perceptions in the different Member States and differing national industries and operational capabilities hamper integration of the

defence sector and contribute to market fragmentation, *and believes that a European Defence Union may create more trust, align the different plans for development of national capabilities and ultimately lead to more common projects and the opening of the markets.*

defence sector and contribute to market fragmentation.

Or. en

Amendment 88

Liisa Jaakonsaari, Maria Grapini

Draft opinion Paragraph 8

Draft opinion

8. Notes that sovereignty concerns and divergent threat perceptions in the *different* Member States and differing national industries and operational capabilities hamper integration of the defence sector and contribute to market fragmentation, *and* believes that *a European Defence Union may create more trust, align the different plans for development of national capabilities and ultimately lead to more common projects and the opening of the markets.*

Amendment

8. Notes that sovereignty concerns, *sensitivities* and divergent threat perceptions in the Member States and differing national industries and operational capabilities hamper integration of the defence sector and contribute to market fragmentation; believes that *supply chain transparency, tackling market distortion, providing the necessary support for SMEs and ensuring the full application of both Directives 2009/81/EC and 2009/43/EC are necessary steps to removing barriers for a fair, accessible and innovative European defence and security market.*

Or. en

Amendment 89

Emma McClarkin

Draft opinion Paragraph 8

Draft opinion

8. Notes that sovereignty concerns

Amendment

8. Notes that *valid* sovereignty

and divergent threat perceptions in the different Member States and differing national industries and operational capabilities *hamper integration of the defence sector and contribute to market fragmentation, and believes that a European Defence Union may create more trust, align the different plans for development of national capabilities and ultimately lead to more common projects and the opening of the markets.*

concerns and divergent threat perceptions in the different Member States and differing national industries and operational capabilities *must be balanced against efforts to integrate the defence sector and contribute to market strengthening to the benefit of all national defence capabilities and the security of the EU.*

Or. en

Amendment 90
Enrico Gasbarra

Draft opinion
Paragraph 8

Draft opinion

8. Notes that sovereignty concerns and divergent threat perceptions in the different Member States and differing national industries and operational capabilities hamper integration of the defence sector and contribute to market fragmentation, and believes that a European Defence Union may create more trust, align the different plans for development of national capabilities and ultimately lead to more common projects and the opening of the markets.

Amendment

8. Notes that sovereignty concerns and divergent threat perceptions in the different Member States and differing national industries and operational capabilities hamper integration of the defence sector and contribute to market fragmentation, and believes that a European Defence Union may create more trust, *strengthen common strategies in the fight against terrorism and the sharing of information relevant to security*, align the different plans for development of national capabilities and ultimately lead to more common projects and the opening of the markets.

Or. it

Amendment 91
Anna Hedh

Draft opinion
Paragraph 8

PE589.143v01-00

48/51

AM\1103705EN.docx

Draft opinion

8. Notes that sovereignty concerns and divergent threat perceptions in the different Member States and differing national industries and operational capabilities hamper integration of the defence sector and contribute to market fragmentation, and believes that *a* European **Defence Union** may create more trust, align the different plans for development of national capabilities and ultimately lead to more common projects and the opening of the markets.

Amendment

8. Notes that sovereignty concerns and divergent threat perceptions in the different Member States and differing national industries and operational capabilities hamper integration of the defence sector and contribute to market fragmentation, and believes that **enhanced** European **cooperation** may create more trust, align the different plans for development of national capabilities and ultimately lead to more common projects **for both civilian and military crisis management capabilities** and the opening of the markets.

Or. sv

Amendment 92
Eleftherios Synadinos

Draft opinion
Paragraph 8

Draft opinion

8. Notes that **sovereignty** concerns and divergent threat perceptions in the different Member States and differing national industries and operational capabilities hamper integration of the defence sector and contribute to market fragmentation, and believes that a European Defence Union may create more trust, align the different plans for development of national capabilities and ultimately lead to more common projects and the opening of the markets.

Amendment

8. Notes that **justified** concerns **about the surrender of sovereignty** and divergent threat perceptions in the different Member States and differing national industries, **defence doctrines** and operational capabilities hamper integration of the defence sector and contribute to market fragmentation, and believes that a European Defence Union may create more trust, align the different plans for development of national capabilities and ultimately lead to more common projects and the opening of the markets.

Or. el

Amendment 93
Lara Comi

Draft opinion
Paragraph 8 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

8a. *Believes that the repeated use of Article 25 of the Schengen Regulation as an instrument to tackle terrorism and contain secondary movements of migrants would risk jeopardizing the proper functioning of the internal market; in this regard, desires the rapid adoption of the new counter-terrorism Directive, and an equally rapid reform of the Dublin system.*

Or. it

Amendment 94
Enrico Gasbarra

Draft opinion
Paragraph 8 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

8a. *Encourages the Commission, and in particular the High Representative and the Commissioner for the Internal Market, to come forward with a consistent and coordinated approach to defence that includes policy objectives that further that strategy;*

Or. it

Amendment 95
Maria Grapini

Draft opinion
Paragraph 8 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

8a. *Reminds the Commission the importance of strengthening European*

defence industry and technology in the internal market and that it should allow all Member States to improve their military capabilities.

Or. en

Amendment 96
Enrico Gasbarra

Draft opinion
Paragraph 8 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

8a. Points to the recent publication by the High Representative of the Global Strategy, which constitutes a cohesive framework for priorities for action in the field of foreign policy and for defining future developments in European defence policy;

Or. it