



2017/2028(INI)

10.5.2017

AMENDMENTS

1 - 48

Draft opinion
Karoline Graswander-Hainz
(PE602.958v01-00)

on corruption and human rights in third countries
(2017/2028(INI))

Amendment 1

Lola Sánchez Caldentey, Eleonora Forenza, Anne-Marie Mineur

Draft opinion

Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. Recalls that corruption is inextricably linked to harm to human rights, equality, social justice and the environment;

Amendment

1. Recalls that corruption is inextricably linked to harm to human rights, equality, social justice and the environment ***and urges the Commission to take into consideration this link in all the anti-corruption provisions in trade agreements; consequently calls for the inclusion in trade agreements of binding human rights clauses ensuring that private companies, including transnational corporations, respect human rights and the highest social and environmental standards;***

Or. en

Amendment 2

Dita Charanzová, Marietje Schaake

Draft opinion

Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. Recalls that corruption *is inextricably linked to harm to* human rights, equality, social justice and the environment;

Amendment

1. Recalls that corruption ***undermines*** human rights, equality, ***economic growth***, social justice and the environment; ***stresses in particular the profound impact that corruption has on trade, negatively affecting many areas such as investment, customs, licensing, regulation, procurement processes;***

Or. en

Amendment 3
Tokia Saïfi

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. Recalls that corruption is inextricably linked to harm to human rights, equality, social justice and the environment;

Amendment

1. Recalls that corruption is inextricably linked to harm to human rights, equality, social justice, *development* and the environment; *emphasises how corruption, with the severe disruption and obstruction it engenders, blights economies, societies and trade;*

Or. fr

Amendment 4
Christofer Fjellner

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. Recalls that corruption is inextricably linked to harm to human rights, equality, social justice *and* the environment;

Amendment

1. Recalls that corruption is inextricably linked to harm to human rights, equality, social justice, the environment, *combating poverty and economic development; points out that the benefits of international trade are threatened and hampered by corruption;*

Or. sv

Amendment 5
Daniel Caspary

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. Recalls that corruption is

Amendment

1. Recalls that corruption is

inextricably linked to harm to human rights, equality, social justice **and the environment**;

inextricably linked to harm to human rights, equality **and** social justice;

Or. de

Amendment 6
David Campbell Bannerman

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. Recalls **that** corruption is **inextricably linked to harm to human rights**, equality, social justice and the environment;

Amendment

1. Recalls **the link between** corruption **and human rights, and the harm it causes to** equality, social justice and the environment;

Or. en

Amendment 7
Tokia Saïfi

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

1a. Points out that certain EU trade policy measures, such as the inclusion in trade agreements of sustainable development chapters and the promotion of EU values, offer means of combating corruption; urges greater transparency in commercial transactions and public procurement procedures; stresses that one of the requirements of the GSP+ scheme is that international agreements on good governance, including the UN Convention against Corruption, be ratified and implemented;

Or. fr

Amendment 8
Heidi Hautala
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

1a. Underlines that public procurement, construction and raw materials are sectors with major risk of corruption; and that companies often use corruption of civil servants at all institutional levels as means to get access to cheap or protected production sites, public contracts or unlawful employment;

Or. en

Amendment 9
David Campbell Bannerman

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

1a. Recognises that corruption also impacts trade, discouraging investment promoting unfair competition and distorting public procurement processes; notes that this might happen more frequently in countries where human rights are not fully respected;

Or. en

Amendment 10
Tokia Saïfi

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

1b. Points out that trade policy contributes to the protection and promotion of the values for which the EU stands, as set out in Article 2 of the Treaty on European Union, including democracy, the rule of law, respect for human rights, fundamental rights and freedoms, and equality; stresses that consistency between the Union's external and internal policies is vital, particularly in relation to combating corruption, given the existing body of relevant EU law;

Or. fr

Amendment 11

Heidi Hautala

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion

Paragraph 1 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

1b. Underlines that in this regard European legislators have a particular role to play when facilitating trade relations, as they have to avoid that these serve as gateway for corruption practices;

Or. en

Amendment 12

Tokia Saïfi

Draft opinion

Paragraph 1 c (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

1c. Points out that corruption threatens countries' economic

development and their ability to open up to trade; emphasises that corruption impedes access to fair and equitable trade and to investment;

Or. fr

Amendment 13
Dita Charanzová, Marietje Schaake

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. *Emphasises that* trade agreements *should be seen as a key mechanism for promoting* anti-corruption measures and good governance; *calls for such* agreements *to* include commitments to multilateral anti-corruption conventions *in all trade deals;*

Amendment

2. *Views* trade agreements *as a* mechanism *to promote* anti-corruption measures and good governance; *Welcomes in this regard the fact that many trade agreements concluded and being negotiated with the EU* include commitments to *good governance and* multilateral anti-corruption conventions, *some through specific anti-corruption chapters in the agreement; Reaffirms the aim stated in the Trade for All Strategy to include ambitious provisions on anti-corruption in all future trade agreements; Welcomes the measures that the EU has already taken to combat corruption in its trade policy, for instance through GSP+, and the inclusion of commitments to ratify international anti-corruption conventions with trading partners;*

Or. en

Amendment 14
Tokia Saïfi

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Emphasises that trade agreements should be seen as a key mechanism for promoting anti-corruption measures and good governance; calls for such agreements to include commitments to multilateral anti-corruption conventions in all trade deals;

Amendment

2. Emphasises that trade agreements should be seen as a key mechanism for promoting anti-corruption measures and good governance, ***through commitments to international standards and benchmarks, better cooperation in this regard and a strengthening of anti-corruption resources***; calls for such agreements to include commitments to multilateral anti-corruption conventions in all trade deals, ***especially to the UN Convention against Corruption, and for them to contain complementary cross-cutting provisions making for a comprehensive approach, in keeping with the multifaceted nature of the fight against corruption***;

Or. fr

Amendment 15

Karoline Graswander-Hainz, Emmanuel Maurel, Eric Andrieu, Jude Kirton-Darling, Maria Arena, Costas Mavrides, Sorin Moisă, Inmaculada Rodríguez-Piñero Fernández, David Martin, Alessia Maria Mosca

**Draft opinion
Paragraph 2**

Draft opinion

2. Emphasises that trade agreements should be seen as a key mechanism for promoting anti-corruption measures and good governance; calls for such agreements to include commitments to multilateral anti-corruption conventions ***in all trade deals***;

Amendment

2. Emphasises that trade agreements should be seen as a key mechanism for promoting anti-corruption measures and good governance; calls for such agreements to include commitments to multilateral anti-corruption conventions, ***such as the UNCAC and the OECD Anti-bribery Convention***; ***calls on the Commission to consider developing assistance projects to help states overcome constraints complying with these international conventions***;

Or. en

Amendment 16

Lola Sánchez Caldentey, Eleonora Forenza, Anne-Marie Mineur

Draft opinion

Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Emphasises that trade agreements should be seen as a key mechanism for promoting anti-corruption measures and good governance; calls for such agreements to include commitments to multilateral anti-corruption conventions in all trade deals;

Amendment

2. Emphasises that trade agreements should be seen as a key mechanism for promoting anti-corruption measures and good governance; calls for such agreements to include commitments to multilateral anti-corruption conventions in all trade deals; ***regrets that trade agreements, when increasing inequality, can consequently provoke corruption;***

Or. en

Amendment 17

David Campbell Bannerman

Draft opinion

Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Emphasises that trade agreements should be seen as a key mechanism for promoting anti-corruption measures and good governance; calls for such agreements to include commitments to multilateral anti-corruption conventions in all trade deals;

Amendment

2. Emphasises that trade agreements should be seen as a key mechanism for promoting anti-corruption measures and good governance; calls for such agreements to include commitments to multilateral anti-corruption conventions in all trade deals ***in line with the Trade for All strategy;***

Or. en

Amendment 18

Daniel Caspary

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Emphasises that trade agreements *should be seen as a key mechanism* for promoting anti-corruption measures and good governance; calls for such agreements to include commitments to multilateral anti-corruption conventions in all trade deals;

Amendment

2. Emphasises that trade agreements *can have positive effects in terms of* promoting anti-corruption measures and good governance; calls for such agreements to include commitments to multilateral anti-corruption conventions in all trade deals;

Or. de

Amendment 19

Heidi Hautala

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

2a. Is of the opinion that the trade chapters in trade agreements, and in particular those on financial services, investment and establishment must also be reformed in order to introduce automatic data exchange, ultimate beneficial ownership and ceilings for currency transfer, so that both ends of the corrupting act, the corrupter and the corrupted person or entity can be detected;

Or. en

Amendment 20

Karoline Graswander-Hainz, Emmanuel Maurel, Eric Andrieu, Jude Kirton-Darling, Maria Arena, Costas Mavrides, Inmaculada Rodríguez-Piñero Fernández, David Martin, Alessia Maria Mosca, Nicola Danti, Sorin Moisă

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

2a. Recognises the major role of the Trade Facilitation Agreement in fighting corruption at ports of entry which was adopted in Bali in 2013 and entered into force in February 2017;

Or. en

Amendment 21

Heidi Hautala

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion

Paragraph 2 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

2b. Suggests to enshrine in all future trade agreements provisions in line with the “Publish what you pay” initiatives as well as the Extractive Industries Transparency Initiative (EITI), and these will be integrated into existing trade agreements on revision;

Or. en

Amendment 22

Heidi Hautala

on behalf of the Committee on International Trade

Draft opinion

Paragraph 2 c (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

2c. In order to eradicate high level corruption, calls on the Commission, in the context of budget support, to pay attention to the transparency in operations involving privatization and deals of public assets, notably land, and to

participate in OECD support programmes for developing countries in corporate governance of state owned enterprises;

Or. en

Amendment 23
David Campbell Bannerman

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

3. Calls *for whistleblower protection to be addressed in EU trade deals*; stresses *that* signatory parties of trade agreements *should* take measures to promote the active participation of the private sector, civil society organisations and domestic advisory groups in the implementation of anti-corruption programmes and clauses in international trade and investment deals;

Amendment

3. Calls *on the Commission to encourage the* signatory parties of trade agreements *to* take measures to promote the active participation of the private sector, civil society organisations and domestic advisory groups in the implementation of anti-corruption programmes and clauses in international trade and investment deals;

Or. en

Amendment 24
Daniel Caspary

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

3. *Calls for whistleblower protection to be addressed in EU trade deals*; stresses that signatory parties *of* trade agreements should take measures to promote the active participation of the private sector, civil society organisations and domestic advisory groups in the implementation of anti-corruption programmes and clauses in international trade and investment deals;

Amendment

3. Stresses that signatory parties *to* trade agreements should take measures to promote the active participation of the private sector, civil society organisations and domestic advisory groups in the implementation of anti-corruption programmes and clauses in international trade and investment deals;

Or. de

Amendment 25
Christofer Fjellner

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

3. ***Calls for whistleblower protection to be addressed in EU trade deals***; stresses that signatory parties of trade agreements should take measures to promote the active participation of the private sector, civil society organisations and domestic advisory groups in the implementation of anti-corruption programmes and clauses in international trade and investment deals;

Amendment

3. Stresses that signatory parties of trade agreements should take measures to promote the active participation of the private sector, civil society organisations and domestic advisory groups in the implementation of anti-corruption programmes and clauses in international trade and investment deals;

Or. sv

Amendment 26
Dita Charanzová, Marietje Schaake

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

3. ***Calls*** for whistleblower protection to be addressed in EU trade deals; stresses that signatory parties of trade agreements should take measures to promote the active participation of the private sector, civil society organisations and domestic advisory groups in the implementation of anti-corruption programmes and clauses in international trade and investment deals;

Amendment

3. ***While having regard to the current internal EU discussion on whistleblower protections, acknowledges the possibility*** for whistleblower protection to be addressed in ***the future in*** EU trade deals ***once an EU wide system is in place***; stresses that signatory parties of trade agreements should take measures to promote the active participation of the private sector, civil society organisations and domestic advisory groups in the implementation of anti-corruption programmes and clauses in international trade and investment deals;

Or. en

Amendment 27

Karoline Graswander-Hainz, Emmanuel Maurel, Eric Andrieu, Jude Kirton-Darling, Maria Arena, Costas Mavrides, Sorin Moisă, Inmaculada Rodríguez-Piñero Fernández, David Martin, Alessia Maria Mosca

Draft opinion Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

3. Calls for **whistleblower** protection to be addressed in EU trade deals; stresses that signatory parties of trade agreements should take measures to promote the active participation of the private sector, civil society organisations and domestic advisory groups in the implementation of anti-corruption programmes and clauses in international trade and investment deals;

Amendment

3. Calls for **whistle-blower** protection to be addressed in EU trade deals; **therefore recalls that proper European legislation is needed and urges the Commission to propose legislative instruments ensuring effective measures to protect whistle-blowers acting in the public interest when disclosing confidential information of companies and public bodies**; stresses that signatory parties of trade agreements should take measures to promote the active participation of the private sector, civil society organisations and domestic advisory groups in the implementation of anti-corruption programmes and clauses in international trade and investment deals;

Or. en

Amendment 28

David Campbell Bannerman

Draft opinion Paragraph 3 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

3a. Recognises the importance of providing clear guidance and support for businesses who wish to create effective anti-corruption compliance procedures within their operations; stresses that there is no one-size-fits-all approach for compliance as it needs to take into

account particular elements of businesses such as size, volume of operations, business risk, etc.; notes, however, that certain provisions should always be in place, such as risk assessment, training and monitoring;

Or. en

Amendment 29

Dita Charanzová, Marietje Schaake

Draft opinion

Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Believes that passing legislation is in itself insufficient and that implementation is the key; points out that legislative reform needs to be accompanied by training of the judiciary, public access to information and transparency measures;

Amendment

4. ***Welcomes the entering into force of the WTO Trade Facilitation Agreement on 22 February 2017 which provides measures to combat corruption in global trade;*** Believes, ***however,*** that passing ***or reforming*** legislation is in itself insufficient and that implementation is the key; points out that legislative reform needs to be accompanied by training of the judiciary, public access to information and transparency measures; ***points out that trade agreements could help in monitoring domestic reform in relation to anti-corruption policies;***

Or. en

Amendment 30

Tokia Saïfi

Draft opinion

Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Believes that passing legislation is in itself insufficient and that implementation is the key; points out that

Amendment

4. Believes that passing legislation is in itself insufficient and that implementation is the key; points out that

legislative reform needs to be accompanied by training of the judiciary, public access to information and transparency measures;

legislative reform needs to be accompanied by training of the judiciary, public access to information and transparency measures;
calls for Member States to cooperate more in combating corruption;

Or. fr

Amendment 31

Karoline Graswander-Hainz, Emmanuel Maurel, Eric Andrieu, Jude Kirton-Darling, Maria Arena, Costas Mavrides, Sorin Moisă, Alessia Maria Mosca

Draft opinion

Paragraph 4 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

4a. Is of the opinion that special provisions on SMEs should be foreseen in trade agreements in order to enable them to tackle corruption, as they often do not have the means;

Or. en

Amendment 32

Karoline Graswander-Hainz, Emmanuel Maurel, Eric Andrieu, Jude Kirton-Darling, Maria Arena, Costas Mavrides, Sorin Moisă

Draft opinion

Paragraph 4 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

4b. Recognises that some chapters of trade agreements are more exposed to corruption than others and therefore require tailored solutions; recalls that ambitious transparency provisions in trade agreements can help fighting corruption;

Or. en

Amendment 33
David Campbell Bannerman

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

5. Regrets the lack of effective enforcement and monitoring of the implementation of anti-corruption provisions in current EU trade agreements; calls on the Commission to *negotiate enforceable anti-corruption provisions in all future trade agreements*;

Amendment

5. Regrets the lack of effective enforcement and monitoring of the implementation of anti-corruption provisions in current EU trade agreements; calls on the Commission to *continue the efforts to combat corruption through enhanced transparency in trade agreements negotiations and the inclusion of provisions aimed at greater regulatory cooperation, integrity of customs procedures and GVCs; believes that cooperation clauses must be in place to tackle corruption, such as exchange of information, and administrative and technical assistance with the purpose of sharing and promoting best practices that will contribute to strengthen the rule of law and respect for human rights*;

Or. en

Amendment 34
Dita Charanzová, Marietje Schaake

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

5. *Regrets the lack of effective enforcement and* monitoring of the implementation of anti-corruption provisions in current EU trade agreements; *calls on* the Commission to *negotiate enforceable anti-corruption provisions in all future trade agreements*;

Amendment

5. *Calls for better* monitoring of the implementation of anti-corruption provisions in current EU trade agreements; *Encourages* the Commission to *set clear and relevant conditions and performance indicators allowing better assessment and demonstration of results*;

Or. en

Amendment 35
Daniel Caspary

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

5. ***Regrets the lack of effective enforcement and monitoring of the implementation of anti-corruption provisions in current EU trade agreements; calls on the Commission to negotiate enforceable anti-corruption provisions in all future trade agreements;***

Amendment

5. ***Points out that the anti-corruption provisions in EU trade agreements must be comprehensively implemented and monitored; calls on the Commission to negotiate effective anti-corruption provisions, which fall within the Union's remit, in future trade agreements;***

Or. de

Amendment 36
Tokia Saïfi

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

5. ***Regrets the lack of effective enforcement and monitoring of the implementation of anti-corruption provisions in current EU trade agreements; calls on the Commission to negotiate enforceable anti-corruption provisions in all future trade agreements;***

Amendment

5. ***Calls on the Commission to negotiate enforceable anti-corruption provisions in all future trade agreements and to ensure that they are monitored, given the absence of such provisions in trade agreements currently in force, and in line with its declared intentions in the Trade for All strategy; calls on the Member States, to that end, to support the inclusion of anti-corruption provisions in negotiating mandates, in line with the Commission's proposals in draft mandates submitted to them; welcomes the presence of anti-corruption provisions in the negotiating mandate for the updating of the EU-Mexico agreement;***

Or. fr

Amendment 37

Karoline Graswander-Hainz, Emmanuel Maurel, Eric Andrieu, Jude Kirton-Darling, Maria Arena, Costas Mavrides, Inmaculada Rodríguez-Piñero Fernández, Sorin Moisă

Draft opinion

Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

5. Regrets the lack of effective enforcement and monitoring of the implementation of anti-corruption provisions in current EU trade agreements; calls on the Commission to negotiate enforceable anti-corruption provisions in all future trade agreements;

Amendment

5. Regrets the lack of effective enforcement and monitoring of the implementation of anti-corruption provisions in current EU trade agreements; calls on the Commission to negotiate enforceable anti-corruption ***and anti-money-laundering*** provisions in all future trade agreements ***and to explore possibilities on the establishment of an independent monitoring body for an effective implementation of the provisions;***

Or. en

Amendment 38

Christofer Fjellner

Draft opinion

Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

5. Regrets the lack of effective enforcement and monitoring of the implementation of anti-corruption provisions in current EU trade agreements; calls on the Commission to negotiate ***enforceable*** anti-corruption provisions in all future trade agreements;

Amendment

5. Regrets the lack of effective enforcement and monitoring of the implementation of anti-corruption provisions in current EU trade agreements; calls on the Commission to negotiate anti-corruption provisions in all future trade agreements;

Or. sv

Amendment 39

Lola Sánchez Caldentey, Eleonora Forenza, Anne-Marie Mineur

Draft opinion

Paragraph 5 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

5a. *Calls for enhanced transparency obligations for companies as a way to address corruption; underlines the importance of tackling money laundering, tax fraud and tax avoidance in trade agreements, by way of effective measures such as compulsory country-by-country reporting and disclosure of beneficial ownership of companies, trusts or holdings in order to prevent tax base erosion, loss of revenue, and strengthen state building and democratic governance;*

Or. en

Amendment 40

Dita Charanzová, Marietje Schaake

Draft opinion

Paragraph 6

Draft opinion

Amendment

6. *Insists that EU trade partners lose benefits granted by trade agreements where they fail to comply with anti-corruption commitments or with international standards in the field of anti-corruption; calls on the Commission to set clear and relevant conditions and performance indicators allowing better assessment and demonstration of results; calls, furthermore, on the Commission to respond firmly, proportionally and quickly where the beneficiary government shows insufficient commitment to comply with what has been agreed.*

Deleted

Amendment 41
Daniel Caspary

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6

Draft opinion

6. ***Insists that EU trade partners lose benefits granted by trade agreements where they fail to comply with anti-corruption commitments or with international standards in the field of anti-corruption;*** calls on the Commission to set clear and relevant conditions ***and performance indicators*** allowing better assessment and demonstration of results; calls, furthermore, on the Commission to respond firmly, proportionally and quickly where the beneficiary government shows insufficient commitment to comply with what has been agreed.

Amendment

6. Calls on the Commission to set clear and relevant conditions allowing better assessment and demonstration of results; calls, furthermore, on the Commission to respond firmly, proportionally and quickly where the beneficiary government ***fails, or*** shows insufficient commitment, to comply with what has been agreed.

Or. de

Amendment 42
Lola Sánchez Caldentey, Eleonora Forenza, Anne-Marie Mineur

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6

Draft opinion

6. ***Insists that EU trade partners lose benefits granted by trade agreements where they fail to comply with anti-corruption commitments or with international standards in the field of anti-corruption;*** calls on the Commission to set clear and relevant conditions and performance indicators allowing better assessment and demonstration of results; calls, furthermore, on the Commission to respond firmly, proportionally and quickly

Amendment

6. Calls on the Commission to set clear and relevant conditions and performance indicators allowing better assessment and demonstration of results; calls, furthermore, on the Commission to respond firmly, proportionally and quickly where the beneficiary government shows insufficient commitment to comply with what has been agreed.

where the beneficiary government shows insufficient commitment to comply with what has been agreed.

Or. en

Amendment 43
David Campbell Bannerman

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6

Draft opinion

6. Insists that EU trade partners lose benefits granted by trade agreements *where they fail to comply with anti-corruption commitments or with international standards in the field of anti-corruption; calls on the Commission to set clear and relevant conditions and performance indicators allowing better assessment and demonstration of results*; calls, furthermore, on the Commission to respond firmly, proportionally and quickly where the beneficiary government shows insufficient commitment to comply with what has been agreed.

Amendment

6. Insists that EU trade partners lose benefits granted by trade agreements *when there is widespread failure to comply with international human rights commitments*; calls, furthermore, on the Commission to respond firmly, proportionally and quickly where the beneficiary government shows insufficient commitment to comply with what has been agreed.

Or. en

Amendment 44
Tokia Saïfi

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6

Draft opinion

6. *Insists that* EU trade partners lose benefits granted by trade agreements *where they fail to comply with anti-corruption commitments or with international standards in the field of anti-corruption*; calls on the Commission

Amendment

6. *Points out the importance of maintaining ongoing and regular dialogue with* EU trade partners *throughout the implementation of agreements in order to ensure that the agreements generally as well as the anti-*

to set clear and relevant conditions and performance indicators allowing better assessment and demonstration of results; calls, furthermore, on the Commission to respond firmly, proportionally and quickly **where the beneficiary government shows insufficient commitment to comply with what has been agreed.**

corruption provisions are monitored and implemented properly; notes the Commission's proposal in its Trade for All strategy, to introduce mechanisms for consultation in cases of systemic corruption and failures of governance, and calls on the Commission to envisage suspending the benefits of an agreement in such cases of systemic corruption; calls on the Commission to set clear and relevant conditions and performance indicators allowing better assessment and demonstration of results; calls, furthermore, on the Commission to respond firmly, proportionally and quickly **where it is proven and obvious that anti-corruption provisions and international commitments are not being observed;**

Or. fr

Amendment 45

Karoline Graswander-Hainz, Emmanuel Maurel, Eric Andrieu, Jude Kirton-Darling, Maria Arena, Costas Mavrides, Inmaculada Rodríguez-Piñero Fernández, David Martin, Alessia Maria Mosca

Draft opinion Paragraph 6

Draft opinion

6. Insists that EU trade partners lose benefits granted by trade agreements where they fail to comply with anti-corruption commitments or with international standards in the field of anti-corruption; calls on the Commission to set clear and relevant conditions and performance indicators allowing better assessment and demonstration of results; calls, furthermore, on the Commission to respond firmly, proportionally and quickly where the beneficiary government shows insufficient commitment to comply with what has been agreed.

Amendment

6. Insists that EU trade partners lose benefits granted by trade agreements where they fail to comply with anti-corruption commitments or with international standards in the field of anti-corruption **such as the Common Reporting Standard of the OECD, the Action Plan on Base Erosion and Profit Shifting of the OECD, the central register of beneficial ownership and FATF recommendations;** calls on the Commission to set clear and relevant conditions and performance indicators allowing better assessment and demonstration of results; calls, furthermore, on the Commission to respond firmly, proportionally and quickly

where the beneficiary government shows insufficient commitment to comply with what has been agreed.

Or. en

Amendment 46
Christofer Fjellner

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6

Draft opinion

6. Insists that EU trade partners lose benefits granted by trade agreements where they fail to comply with anti-corruption commitments or with international standards in the field of anti-corruption; calls on the Commission to set clear and relevant conditions and performance indicators allowing better assessment and demonstration of results; calls, furthermore, on the Commission to respond firmly, proportionally and quickly where the beneficiary government *shows insufficient commitment* to comply with what has been agreed.

Amendment

6. Insists that EU trade partners lose benefits granted by trade agreements where they fail to comply with anti-corruption commitments or with international standards in the field of anti-corruption; calls on the Commission to set clear and relevant conditions and performance indicators allowing better assessment and demonstration of results; calls, furthermore, on the Commission to respond firmly, proportionally and quickly where the beneficiary government *fails* to comply with what has been agreed.

Or. sv

Amendment 47
Dita Charanzová, Marietje Schaake

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

6a. *Calls on the Commission to set up consultation mechanisms in cases of system corruption with trading partners and to provide exchanges of expertise to assist countries implementing anti-corruption measures;*

Amendment 48
Tokia Saïfi

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

6a. Calls on the European Union to continue and intensify its international cooperation with third countries, particularly within international organisations such as the United Nations, the OECD and the WTO, in combating corruption.

Or. fr