



2017/2003(INI)

30.1.2017

AMENDMENTS

1 - 113

Draft opinion
Dario Tamburrano
(PE595.661v01-00)

European Agenda for the collaborative economy
(COM(2016)0356 – 2017/2003(INI))

AM_Com_NonLegOpinion

Amendment 1

Hans-Olaf Henkel, Anneleen Van Bossuyt

Draft opinion

Paragraph -1 (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

-1. In general welcomes and supports the views of the European Commission as outlined in the Communication COM(2016) 356.

Or. en

Amendment 2

Paloma López Bermejo

Draft opinion

Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

Amendment

1. Recognises the fact that all collaborative economies, covering the full spectrum from market-oriented to gift-based, are rooted in human cooperative behaviour and that no matter how diverse they are, or will become, they are all identified by resource sharing, the active empowerment of citizens, community-accepted innovation, and the intensive use of information and communication technologies (ICTs) as a key enabler;

1. Notes that the collaborative economy is an ambiguous concept, and points to the need of a clear distinction between for-profit and non-profit activities associated to it, as well as between cooperative and non-cooperative models, since only cooperative and non-profit activity may be genuinely considered to be "collaborative"; underlines that technological transformation of a particular sector through the intensive use of information and communication technologies (ICTs) as a key enabler should not be used to undermine the relevant regulation applied to it, particularly as regards taxation as well as consumer and labour rights;

Or. en

Amendment 3
Reinhard Bütikofer
on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. Recognises the fact that all collaborative economies, covering the full spectrum from market-oriented to gift-based, are rooted in human cooperative behaviour and that no matter how diverse they are, or will become, they are all identified by resource sharing, the active empowerment of citizens, community-accepted innovation, and the intensive use of information and communication technologies (ICTs) as a key enabler;

Amendment

1. Recognises the fact that all collaborative economies, covering the full spectrum from market-oriented to gift-based, are rooted in human cooperative behaviour and that no matter how diverse they are, or will become, they are all identified by resource sharing, the active empowerment of citizens, community-accepted innovation, and the intensive use of information and communication technologies (ICTs) as a key enabler;
Underlines in this respect that solidarity, equality, community and trust are fundamentals of this economy and that collaboration rules, including on safety, service quality and data protection are essential for a fair and safe collaborative economy;

Or. en

Amendment 4
Aldo Patriciello

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. Recognises the fact that all collaborative economies, covering the full spectrum from market-oriented to gift-based, are rooted in human cooperative behaviour and that no matter how diverse they are, or will become, they are all identified by resource sharing, the active empowerment of ***citizens***, community-accepted innovation, and the intensive use

Amendment

1. Recognises the fact that all collaborative economies, covering the full spectrum from market-oriented to gift-based, are rooted in human cooperative behaviour and that no matter how diverse they are, or will become, they are all identified by resource sharing, the active empowerment of ***citizen operators***, community-accepted innovation, and the

of information and communication technologies (ICTs) as a key enabler;

intensive use of information and communication technologies (ICTs) as a key enabler; *notes, however, the need to distinguish among the various forms of collaborative economies, between gift and market, between sharing and commercial operation, between collaboration among equals and the provision of services by professional providers;*

Or. it

Amendment 5

Dominique Riquet

Draft opinion

Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. Recognises the fact that *all* collaborative *economies, covering the full spectrum from market-oriented* to gift-based, *are* rooted in *human* cooperative behaviour and that no matter how diverse *they are*, or will become, *they are all* identified by *resource sharing*, the active empowerment of citizens, community-accepted innovation, and the intensive use of information and communication technologies (ICTs) *as a key enabler*;

Amendment

1. Recognises the fact that *the* collaborative *economy, from profit making* to gift-based *models, is* rooted in *user* cooperative behaviour and that no matter how diverse *it may be*, or will become, *it is* identified by the active empowerment of citizens, community-accepted innovation, and the intensive use of information and communication technologies (ICTs);

Or. fr

Amendment 6

Angelo Ciocca, Lorenzo Fontana, Barbara Kappel

Draft opinion

Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. Recognises the fact that all collaborative economies, covering the full spectrum from market-oriented to gift-based, ***are rooted in human cooperative behaviour and that no matter how diverse they are, or will become, they are all identified by resource sharing, the active empowerment of citizens, community-accepted innovation, and*** the intensive use of information and communication technologies (ICTs) as a key enabler;

Amendment

1. Recognises the fact that all collaborative economies, covering the full spectrum from market-oriented to gift-based, ***presuppose a collaborative model of consumption (renting, lending, exchanging, sharing, bartering and giving), which is applied in ways and on scales which were not possible in the past, thanks to*** the intensive use of information and communication technologies (ICTs) as a key enabler;

Or. it

Amendment 7

Hans-Olaf Henkel, Anneleen Van Bossuyt

Draft opinion

Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. Recognises the fact that all collaborative economies, covering the full spectrum from market-oriented to gift-based, ***are rooted in human cooperative behaviour and that no matter how diverse they are, or will become, they are all identified by resource sharing, the active empowerment of citizens, community-accepted innovation, and the intensive use of information and communication technologies (ICTs) as a key enabler;***

Amendment

1. Recognises the fact that all collaborative economies, covering the full spectrum from market-oriented to gift-based, ***benefit from socio-economic developments shifting from the need to own to the need to use assets and resources. Collaborative economies*** are all identified by resource sharing, the active empowerment of citizens, community-accepted innovation, and the intensive use of information and communication technologies (ICTs) as a key enabler;

Or. en

Amendment 8

Notis Marias

Draft opinion

Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. Recognises the fact that all collaborative economies, covering the full spectrum from market-oriented to gift-based, are rooted in human cooperative behaviour and that no matter how diverse they are, or will become, they **are** all identified by resource sharing, the active empowerment of citizens, community-accepted innovation, and the intensive use of information and communication technologies (ICTs) as a key enabler;

Amendment

1. Recognises the fact that all collaborative economies, covering the full spectrum from market-oriented to gift-based, are rooted in human cooperative behaviour and that no matter how diverse they are, or will become, they **should** all **be** identified by **equal** resource sharing, the active empowerment of citizens, community-accepted innovation, and the intensive use of information and communication technologies (ICTs) as a key enabler;

Or. el

Amendment 9
Simona Bonafè

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. Recognises the fact that all collaborative economies, covering the full spectrum from market-oriented to gift-based, are rooted in human cooperative behaviour and that no matter how diverse they are, or will become, they are **all** identified by resource sharing, **the** active **empowerment of** citizens, community-accepted innovation, and the intensive use of information and communication technologies (ICTs) as a key enabler;

Amendment

1. Recognises the fact that all collaborative economies, covering the full spectrum from market-oriented to gift-based, are rooted in human cooperative behaviour and that no matter how diverse they are, or will become, they are identified by resource sharing, active **participation by** citizens, community-accepted innovation, and the intensive use of information and communication technologies (ICTs) as a key enabler;

Or. it

Amendment 10
Dominique Riquet, Carolina Punset, Kaja Kallas

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1 – point 1 (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

(1) considers it necessary to distinguish between profit-based and cost-sharing collaborative economies; notes that their respective users should not be subject the same legal requirements, specific rights and obligations being applicable in each case;

Or. fr

**Amendment 11
Gunnar Hökmark**

**Draft opinion
Paragraph 1 – subparagraph 1 (new)**

Draft opinion

Amendment

Recognises that technological development and changes in consumer behaviour is already making economies increasingly collaborative, and that in order to stimulate growth and innovation, EU legislation must focus on supporting this development rather than imposing harmful regulation;

Or. en

**Amendment 12
Anne Sander, Krišjānis Kariņš, Esther de Lange, Marian-Jean Marinescu, Françoise Grossetête**

**Draft opinion
Paragraph 1 a (new)**

Draft opinion

Amendment

1a. Welcomes the communication on a European Agenda for the collaborative economy and calls on the Commission to further study the impacts of these new

business models on employment, consumer protection, social protection and relevant public policies like spatial planning and tourism; suggests a more comprehensive and detailed European approach to embrace increasing grey areas arising from this model;

Or. en

Amendment 13

Anne Sander, Krišjānis Kariņš, Henna Virkkunen, Esther de Lange, Françoise Grossetête

Draft opinion

Paragraph 1 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

1b. Emphasises that the collaborative economy offers many opportunities for growth and jobs, especially for people who are distant from the labour market, such as young people, students, women and senior, and is an innovative way to provide new services for citizens and consumers ;

Or. en

Amendment 14

Anne Sander, Krišjānis Kariņš, Henna Virkkunen, Esther de Lange, Françoise Grossetête

Draft opinion

Paragraph 1 c (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

1c. Takes note of the multiplication of national and local regulatory initiatives affecting the collaborative economy; Stresses the importance of rapid clarification at European level of the applicable rules in order to limit the

fragmentation of the internal market;

Or. en

Amendment 15

Anne Sander, Krišjānis Kariņš, Marian-Jean Marinescu, Henna Virkkunen, Esther de Lange, Françoise Grossetête

Draft opinion

Paragraph 1 d (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

1d. Considers that these new economic models should not create distortions of competition with traditional economic sectors, especially SME's; Calls on the Commission and the Member States to ensure a level playing field between on-line and off-line providers, in particular in the field of consumer protection, taxation and working conditions;

Or. en

Amendment 16

Anne Sander, Esther de Lange, Françoise Grossetête

Draft opinion

Paragraph 1 e (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

1e. Underlines that collaborative economy fosters entrepreneurship; stresses the need to adapt training policies to this new kind of businesses;

Or. en

Amendment 17

Anne Sander, Marian-Jean Marinescu, Henna Virkkunen, Esther de Lange, Françoise Grossetête

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1 f (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

1f. Underlines that collaborative economy is an ecosystem which needs specific financial support to scale-up rapidly; calls on the Commission and Members State to make full use of existing financing instruments like structural funds, EFSI or COSME to invest in collaborative businesses;

Or. en

Amendment 18

Anne Sander, Krišjānis Kariņš, Marian-Jean Marinescu, Esther de Lange, Françoise Grossetête

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1 g (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

1g. Recognises that the collaborative economy has flourished mainly in urban areas; stresses the importance of deploying broadband in rural areas in order to enable all EU territories to benefit from the potential of the collaborative economy;

Or. en

Amendment 19

Anne Sander, Krišjānis Kariņš, Marian-Jean Marinescu, Esther de Lange, Françoise Grossetête

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1 h (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

1h. Emphasises that the economic model of collaborative economies is based on users' trust, particularly in online comments; calls on the European Commission to propose tools for certification of online consumer opinions in order to allow users to have reliable and fair information on the quality of the services offered on collaborative platforms;

Or. en

Amendment 20

Anne Sander, Krišjānis Kariņš, Marian-Jean Marinescu, Esther de Lange, Françoise Grossetête

Draft opinion

Paragraph 1 i (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

1i. Calls on the European Commission to reflect on the appropriate mean to ensure that digital platforms provides a comprehensive information on the regulatory framework that users should comply with when offering services; considers that digital platforms should play a more proactive role in checking whether the service provider fulfils its legal requirements;

Or. en

Amendment 21

Paloma López Bermejo

Draft opinion

Paragraph 2

2. *Emphasises that ICTs allow innovative ideas within the collaborative economies to evolve quickly and efficiently, while connecting and empowering participants, reducing the need for intermediation, decreasing direct costs and overheads, channelling rich information flows and reinforcing trust between peers;*

2. *Notes that ICTs are evolving quickly and transforming the internal structure of production in many sectors, particularly services, by reducing chains of hierarchical intermediation and in service provision; highlights with concern, however, that a significant part of the cost reduction for consumers is achieved at the expense of workers, who often find themselves in "bogus" self-employment relations in an on-line platform or other forms of crowd-sourced dependent employment; stresses the need to involve the social partners in the regulation of these new forms of employment and to ensure that existing labour and taxation standards continue to apply; stresses that in order to reinforce trust between peers, there is a need to improve current data collection systems so as to ensure the privacy of workers and the secrecy of consumer data;*

Or. en

Amendment 22

Angelo Ciocca, Lorenzo Fontana, Barbara Kappel

Draft opinion

Paragraph 2

2. *Emphasises that ICTs allow innovative ideas within the collaborative economies to evolve quickly and efficiently, while connecting and empowering participants, reducing the need for intermediation, decreasing direct costs and overheads, channelling rich information flows and reinforcing trust between peers;*

2. *Emphasises that the phenomenon does not involve a technological breakthrough or the triumph of an idea which, by acting on the structure of a traditional activity, enables it to become more efficient, 'achieving more with less'; observes that the only real innovation in collaborative economies, and in the sharing economy in general, lies in the elimination of all forms of intermediation: whether commercial or public (in terms of*

regulation and taxation);

Or. it

Amendment 23

Aldo Patriciello

Draft opinion

Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. ***Emphasises*** that ICTs allow innovative ideas within the collaborative economies to evolve quickly and efficiently, while connecting and empowering participants, reducing the need for intermediation, decreasing direct costs and overheads, channelling rich information flows and reinforcing trust between peers;

Amendment

2. ***While emphasising*** that ICTs allow innovative ideas within the collaborative economies to evolve quickly and efficiently, while connecting and empowering participants, reducing the need for intermediation, decreasing direct costs and overheads, channelling rich information flows and reinforcing trust between peers, ***observes that the major international platforms of the so-called ‘sharing economy’, which gather and redistribute an enormous flow of information, set themselves up as new intermediaries and, in certain circumstances, may constitute a barrier to the creation and development of local collaborative economy initiatives;***

Or. it

Amendment 24

Reinhard Bütikofer

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion

Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Emphasises that ICTs allow innovative ideas within the collaborative economies to evolve quickly and efficiently, while connecting and

Amendment

2. Emphasises that ICTs allow innovative ideas within the collaborative economies to evolve quickly and efficiently, while connecting and

empowering participants, reducing the need for intermediation, decreasing direct costs and overheads, channelling rich information flows and reinforcing trust between peers;

empowering participants, reducing the need for intermediation, decreasing direct costs and overheads, channelling rich information flows and reinforcing trust between peers; ***Points at the issue of data protection and calls all actors to comply with and enforce the general data protection regulation in the collaborative economy;***

Or. en

Amendment 25
Simona Bonafè

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Emphasises that ICTs allow innovative ideas within the collaborative economies to evolve quickly and efficiently, while connecting and ***empowering*** participants, reducing the need for intermediation, decreasing direct costs and overheads, channelling rich information flows and reinforcing trust between peers;

Amendment

2. Emphasises that ICTs allow innovative ideas within the collaborative economies to evolve quickly and efficiently, while connecting participants, ***facilitating their access to and participation in the market***, reducing the need for intermediation, decreasing direct costs and overheads, channelling rich information flows and reinforcing trust between peers;

Or. it

Amendment 26
Isabella De Monte, José Blanco López, Patrizia Toia, Flavio Zanonato

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Emphasises that ICTs allow innovative ideas within the collaborative economies to evolve quickly and efficiently, while connecting ***and***

Amendment

2. Emphasises that ICTs allow innovative ideas within the collaborative economies to evolve quickly and efficiently, while connecting participants,

empowering participants, reducing the need for intermediation, decreasing direct costs and overheads, channelling rich information flows and reinforcing trust between peers;

reducing the need for intermediation, ***making remote areas more accessible***, decreasing direct costs and overheads, channelling rich information flows and reinforcing trust between peers;

Or. en

Amendment 27
Dominique Riquet, Kaja Kallas

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Emphasises that ICTs allow innovative ideas within the collaborative ***economies*** to evolve quickly and efficiently, while connecting and empowering ***participants***, reducing the need for intermediation, decreasing direct costs and overheads, channelling rich information flows and reinforcing trust between peers;

Amendment

2. Emphasises that ICTs allow innovative ideas within the collaborative ***economy*** to evolve quickly and efficiently, while connecting and empowering ***users***, reducing the need for intermediation, decreasing direct costs and overheads, channelling rich information flows and reinforcing trust between peers;

Or. fr

Amendment 28
Notis Marias

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Emphasises that ICTs allow innovative ideas within the collaborative economies to evolve quickly and efficiently, while connecting and empowering participants, reducing the need for intermediation, decreasing direct costs and overheads, channelling rich information flows and reinforcing trust

Amendment

2. Emphasises that ICTs allow innovative ideas within the collaborative economies to evolve quickly and efficiently, while connecting and empowering participants, ***thereby*** reducing the need for intermediation, decreasing direct costs and overheads, channelling rich information flows and reinforcing trust

between peers;

between peers;

Or. el

Amendment 29
Gunnar Hökmark

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1 (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

Underlines that in order to attract investments and start-ups and to boost European competitiveness, our economies must remain open towards innovations and new technologies; welcomes that such innovations and technologies contribute to productivity and a more dynamic economy;

Or. en

Amendment 30
Angelo Ciocca, Lorenzo Fontana, Barbara Kappel

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

2a. Observes that the major transnational platforms of the sharing economy, which gather and redistribute an enormous flow of information, are setting themselves up as new intermediaries; notes that, despite a heavier burden of costs (costs of intermediation even exceed 20%), they can set lower prices thanks to the fact that the technology of innovation requires minimal fixed investment and because of the asymmetry by virtue of which the cost of such prices falls not on the platform itself but on workers or their customers;

observes that it follows that, in certain circumstances, such platforms may even constitute a barrier to the creation and development of local collaborative economy initiatives;

Or. it

Amendment 31
José Blanco López

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

2a. Believes that, for a platform to be considered collaborative, it is vital that exchanges of goods and services take place primarily between equal partners; warns in this regard against the proliferation on the ‘collaborative economy’ ticket of platforms acting as actual service providers rather than just intermediaries;

Or. es

Amendment 32
Paloma López Bermejo

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

2a. Notes with concern that the new wave of digitalisation has resulted in the concentration of services and creative content in the hands of a few private oligopolies; calls on the Commission to fight against market distortions by large private operators and to facilitate the operation of public companies in the field as tools against these oligopolistic

tendencies;

Or. en

Amendment 33

Patrizia Toia

Draft opinion

Paragraph 2 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

2a. Reiterates the need to increase investment in the creation of broadband and ultra-broadband infrastructure which will give all users widespread and inclusive access to the sharing economy;

Or. it

Amendment 34

José Blanco López

Draft opinion

Paragraph 2 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

2b. Endorses the findings of the EESC opinion on the ‘Sharing economy and self-regulation’ regarding the need for new collaborative platform business models to comply with applicable EU and national law, especially with regard to workers’ rights, appropriate taxation, data protection and privacy, social rights, fair competition, the prevention of monopolies and anti-competitive practices, accountability of platforms regarding transactions between partners, ensuring the legality thereof, and, above all, protection of the rights of all stakeholders in the sharing economy, including ‘prosumers’, by adapting all existing EU consumer rights provisions accordingly;

Amendment 35

Patrizia Toia

Draft opinion

Paragraph 2 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

2b. *Calls on the Commission to encourage new business models arising from the use of digital technologies and processes, aimed at creating new jobs and fostering a more inclusive and sustainable model of development through the reuse of resources; calls on the Commission furthermore to assess the desirability of distinguishing among the various forms of collaborative economies, between gift and market, between sharing and commercial operation, between collaboration among equals and the provision of services by professional providers;*

Or. it

Amendment 36

Paloma López Bermejo, Tania González Peñas

Draft opinion

Paragraph 2 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

2b. *Stresses that the concentration of user-generated data in the hands of few private intermediation platforms has adverse effects on user privacy and market competition; calls on the Commission and the Member States to take appropriate measures to avoid the creation of dominant market positions in the digital market and to ensure an*

appropriate protection of privacy and personal information, so that the collection of user-generated data is only done by informed and explicit consent and never by default;

Or. en

Amendment 37
Patrizia Toia

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2 c (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

2c. Calls on the Commission and Member States to ensure fairness and transparency, especially in terms of regulations and taxation, between those working in the collaborative economy and traditional economic operators, and to ensure a high level of consumer protection, particularly as regards aspects related to safety, health, protection of privacy and accurate information;

Or. it

Amendment 38
Patrizia Toia

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2 d (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

2d. Calls on the Commission and Member States to support the innovation and research capacity of small and medium-sized enterprises and social economy enterprises that operate by bringing about sharing of goods and services;

Amendment 39**Angelo Ciocca, Lorenzo Fontana, Barbara Kappel****Draft opinion****Paragraph 3***Draft opinion*

3. Underlines that the sharing of underused assets ***releases a wealth of positive environmental and other externalities, thus making industries and services more resource-efficient, lowers the up-front costs of market entry and creates opportunities;***

Amendment

3. Underlines that the sharing ***and monetisation*** of underused assets ***belonging to an operator himself receives particular impetus in an economy where internal demand is exhausted, where it becomes essential to economise on expenditure and therefore the decisive criterion becomes price rather than quality and safety; notes that, consequently, the ‘added value’ of the sharing economy lies in the systematisation of the subsistence economy, in the absence of an added-value economy; it is a ‘fend-for-yourself economy’;***

Amendment 40**José Blanco López****Draft opinion****Paragraph 3***Draft opinion*

3. Underlines that the sharing of underused assets releases a wealth of positive environmental and other externalities, thus making industries and services more resource-efficient, lowers the up-front costs of market entry and creates opportunities;

Amendment

3. Underlines that the sharing of underused assets releases a wealth of positive environmental and other externalities, thus making industries and services more resource-efficient, lowers the up-front costs of market entry and creates opportunities; ***warns nonetheless of a tendency for online platforms to generate monopoly markets and urges the***

Commission and the Member States accordingly to be vigilant in order to avert the harmful effects of these anticompetitive practices;

Or. es

Amendment 41

Reinhard Bütikofer

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion

Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

3. Underlines that the sharing of underused assets releases a wealth of positive environmental and other externalities, thus making industries and services more resource-efficient, lowers the up-front costs of market entry and creates opportunities;

Amendment

3. Underlines that the sharing of underused assets releases a wealth of positive environmental and other externalities, thus making industries and services more resource-efficient, lowers the up-front costs of market entry and creates *community-based opportunities empowering individual citizen participating in the collaborative economy*;

Or. en

Amendment 42

Simona Bonafè

Draft opinion

Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

3. Underlines that the sharing of underused assets releases a wealth of positive environmental and *other* externalities, thus making industries and services more resource-efficient, lowers the up-front costs of market entry and creates opportunities;

Amendment

3. Underlines that the sharing of underused assets releases a wealth of positive environmental and *socioeconomic* externalities, thus making industries and services more resource-efficient, lowers the up-front costs of market entry and creates opportunities *for innovation and development, both for industries and for*

citizens;

Or. it

Amendment 43

Dominique Riquet, Kaja Kallas, Carolina Punset

Draft opinion

Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

3. Underlines that the sharing of *underused* assets ***releases a wealth of positive environmental and other externalities***, thus making industries and services more resource-efficient, lowers the up-front costs of market entry and creates opportunities;

Amendment

3. Underlines that the sharing of assets ***makes it possible for all parties to make optimum use of them***, thus making industries and services more resource-efficient, lowers the up-front costs of market entry and creates opportunities, ***while conforming to EU growth and environment objectives***;

Or. fr

Amendment 44

Paloma López Bermejo

Draft opinion

Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

3. Underlines that the sharing of underused assets ***releases*** a wealth of positive environmental and other externalities, ***thus*** making industries and services more resource-efficient, ***lowers the up-front costs of market entry and creates opportunities***;

Amendment

3. Underlines that the sharing of underused assets ***should be encouraged to promote*** a wealth of positive environmental and other externalities, making industries and services more resource-efficient;

Or. en

Amendment 45

Aldo Patriciello

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

3. Underlines that the sharing of underused assets releases a wealth of positive environmental and other externalities, thus making industries and services more resource-efficient, lowers the up-front costs of market entry and creates opportunities;

Amendment

3. Underlines that the sharing of underused assets ***in local communities*** releases a wealth of positive environmental and other externalities, thus making industries and services more resource-efficient, lowers the up-front costs of market entry and creates opportunities;

Or. it

Amendment 46
Simona Bonafè

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3 – point a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

(a) Recognises that the collaborative economy represents an excellent opportunity for the European market; at a time of slow growth, these new forms of management and supply of goods and services in many cases represent specific opportunities for European businesses and citizens, encouraged to participate actively and directly in the market thanks to the intermediation of platforms and technologies which are within the reach of all, ensuring fairer and facilitated access to the market;

Or. it

Amendment 47
Gunnar Hökmark

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3 – subparagraph 1 (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

Points to how the introduction of 5G will fundamentally transform the logic of our economies, making services more diverse and accessible; in this regards, stresses the importance of creating a competitive market for innovative businesses, the success of which will ultimately define the strength of our economies;

Or. en

Amendment 48

Dominique Riquet, Kaja Kallas, Carolina Punset

Draft opinion

Paragraph 3 – point 1 (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

(1) stresses the importance of ensuring easier access to funding for small and medium-sized enterprises in the collaborative economy through various channels, such as banking, capital markets, public funds and crowdfunding;

Or. fr

Amendment 49

Reinhard Bütikofer

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion

Paragraph 3 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

3a. Highlights the potential collaborative economy contribution to EU economic sustainability in achieving overarching objectives in sustainable agriculture, resource efficiency,

combatting climate change or building a circular economy;

Or. en

Amendment 50

Angelo Ciocca, Lorenzo Fontana, Barbara Kappel

Draft opinion

Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Points out that *collaborative economies thrive in communities in which knowledge- and education-sharing models are strong, thereby consolidating a culture of open innovation, supporting open-sourced hardware and software, and expanding our heritage of common goods and creative commons;*

Amendment

4. Points out that *the profits generated in this way for the most part fall to owners of hardware and software, to the oligopolists of the digital world, and to those who manage the payments and transactions, who incidentally can legally evade taxation thanks to the free movement of capital;*

Or. it

Amendment 51

Simona Bonafè

Draft opinion

Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Points out that collaborative economies thrive in communities in which knowledge- and education-sharing models are strong, thereby consolidating a culture of open innovation, supporting open-sourced hardware and software, and expanding our heritage of common goods and creative commons;

Amendment

4. Points out that collaborative economies thrive in communities in which knowledge- and education-sharing models are strong, thereby consolidating a culture of open innovation, supporting open-sourced hardware and software, and expanding our heritage of common goods and creative commons; *recognises furthermore the potential of the collaborative economy to create incentives for the creative and innovative powers at the root of the European economy, serving to share not only physical but also*

human resources, placing citizens' innovation at the centre of these transactions;

Or. it

Amendment 52

Reinhard Bütikofer

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion

Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Points out that collaborative economies thrive in communities in which knowledge- and education-sharing models are strong, thereby consolidating a culture of open innovation, supporting open-sourced hardware and software, and expanding our heritage of common goods and creative commons;

Amendment

4. Points out that collaborative economies thrive in communities in which knowledge- and education-sharing models are strong, thereby consolidating a culture of open innovation, supporting open-sourced hardware and software, and expanding our heritage of common goods and creative commons; ***Highlights that the collaborative economy should remain open and accessible to all individuals;***

Or. en

Amendment 53

Dominique Riquet, Kaja Kallas

Draft opinion

Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Points out that collaborative ***economies thrive in communities in which knowledge- and education-sharing models are strong, thereby consolidating*** a culture of open innovation, supporting open-sourced hardware and software, and expanding our heritage of common goods and creative commons;

Amendment

4. Points out that ***the collaborative economy could be a catalyst for*** a culture of open innovation, supporting open-sourced hardware and software, and expanding our heritage of common goods and creative commons;

Amendment 54
Paloma López Bermejo

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Points out that ***collaborative economies thrive in communities in which*** knowledge- and education-sharing models ***are strong, thereby consolidating*** a culture of open innovation, ***supporting*** open-sourced hardware and software, and ***expanding*** our heritage of common goods and creative commons;

Amendment

4. Points out that ***a genuine collaborative economy must promote*** knowledge- and education-sharing models, ***consolidate*** a culture of open innovation, ***support*** open-sourced hardware and software, and ***expand*** our heritage of common goods and creative commons, ***while ensuring a fair remuneration for cultural and creative workers and researchers***;

Or. en

Amendment 55
Hans-Olaf Henkel, Anneleen Van Bossuyt

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Points out that collaborative economies thrive in communities in which knowledge- and education-sharing models are strong, thereby consolidating a culture of open innovation, supporting open-sourced hardware and software, ***and expanding our heritage of common goods and creative commons***;

Amendment

4. Points out that collaborative economies thrive in communities in which knowledge- and education-sharing models are strong, thereby consolidating a culture of open innovation, supporting open-sourced hardware and software;

Or. en

Amendment 56
Isabella De Monte, José Blanco López

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Points out that collaborative economies thrive in communities in which knowledge- and education-sharing models are strong, thereby consolidating a culture of open innovation, supporting open-sourced hardware and software, and expanding our heritage of common goods and creative commons;

Amendment

4. Points out that collaborative economies thrive **particularly** in communities in which knowledge- and education-sharing models are strong, thereby consolidating a culture of open innovation, supporting open-sourced hardware and software, and expanding our heritage of common goods and creative commons;

Or. en

Amendment 57
José Blanco López

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

4a. Considers that collaborative platform reputation management mechanisms based on user assessment provide a great deal of verifiable information regarding the goods or services exchanged; warns, however, of the need to ensure that these mechanisms do not obstruct users seeking to leave the platforms;

Or. es

Amendment 58
Gunnar Hökmark

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4 – subparagraph 1 (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

Emphasises that collaborative services must not be hindered by regulations which unfairly target such services vis-à-vis traditional business models and thus restrict competition; stresses that legislation must aim at creating a level playing field for all entrepreneurs and businesses;

Or. en

Amendment 59
José Blanco López

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

4b. Urges the Commission and Member States to consider mechanisms for users' reputations within platforms to be transferred, accompanying users to other competing or complementary platforms, so as to avoid the creation in this connection of barriers to exit or transfer between platforms;

Or. es

Amendment 60
Angelo Ciocca, Lorenzo Fontana, Barbara Kappel

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

Amendment

5. Urges the *Commission* to ensure *that the EU develops the highest international standards regarding (a) social protection for 'workpreneurs' in*

5. Urges the *Member States* to ensure *a degree of legal certainty, which means that the same rules should apply to all, regardless of whether they are operating*

collaborative economies, (b) safety guarantees for the customers of collaborative economies, and (c) cohabitation synergies with traditional business models;

through traditional channels or through the channels of the collaborative economy and the sharing economy in general: certificates, permits, licences, professional qualifications, health protocols, suitability of premises, taxes, insurance, regulation of the labour market, etc .; underlines, in this regard, that it is essential first of all to avoid unfair competition to the detriment of traditional activities, as well as to ensure equal social protection for workers and equal guarantees of safety for customers;

Or. it

Amendment 61

Hans-Olaf Henkel, Anneleen Van Bossuyt

Draft opinion

Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

5. Urges the *Commission to ensure that the EU develops the highest international standards regarding (a) social protection for ‘workpreneurs’ in collaborative economies, (b) safety guarantees for the customers of collaborative economies, and (c) cohabitation synergies with traditional business models;*

Amendment

5. Urges the *Member States to apply functionally similar tax and consumer protection obligations to businesses providing comparable services. Such as mentioned by the Commission, rating and reputational systems or other mechanisms to discourage harmful behaviour by market participants may in some cases reduce risks for consumers stemming from information asymmetries. This can contribute to higher quality services and potentially reduce the need for certain elements of regulation, provided adequate trust can be placed in the quality of the reviews and ratings;*

Or. en

Amendment 62

José Blanco López

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

5. ***Urges*** the Commission to ***ensure that*** the EU ***develops*** the highest international standards regarding (a) social protection for ***‘workpreneurs’*** in collaborative economies, (b) safety guarantees for the customers of collaborative economies, and (c) cohabitation synergies with traditional business models;

Amendment

5. ***Points out that recent studies and judgments have revealed the subordinate relationship between ‘collaborative platforms’ and the service providers making use of them, together with the existence of contractual relations that are not accepted by such platforms;***
calls on the Commission ***therefore*** to ***encourage*** the EU ***to develop*** the highest international standards regarding (a) ***workers’ rights and their*** social protection in collaborative economies ***by identifying clear indicators for recognition of a contractual relationship between platforms and their service providers,*** (b) safety guarantees for the customers of collaborative economies, and (c) cohabitation synergies with traditional business models;

Or. es

Amendment 63
Paloma López Bermejo

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

5. Urges the Commission to ensure that the EU develops the highest international standards regarding (a) social protection for ***‘workpreneurs’*** in collaborative economies, (b) safety guarantees for the customers of collaborative economies, and (c) cohabitation synergies with traditional business models;

Amendment

5. Urges the Commission to ensure that the EU develops the highest international standards regarding (a) social protection for ***workers*** in collaborative economies, ***that ensure the latter do not act as a new tool for social dumping,*** (b) safety guarantees for the customers of collaborative economies, and (c) cohabitation synergies with traditional business models; ***notes that the national right to regulate "collaborative" business models by analogy to their traditional counterparts should not be undermined by***

European regulations, in order to maintain a level-playing field;

Or. en

Amendment 64

Dominique Riquet, Carolina Punset

Draft opinion

Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

5. ***Urges*** the Commission to ensure that ***the EU develops the highest international standards regarding*** (a) social ***protection for ‘workpreneurs’ in collaborative economies***, (b) safety guarantees for the ***customers of collaborative economies***, and (c) cohabitation synergies with traditional business models;

Amendment

5. ***Calls*** on the Commission to ensure that ***collaborative economy platforms are subject to the relevant laws in the Member States so as to safeguard and ensure*** (a) ***the social and fiscal rights of service providers*** (b) safety guarantees for ***users, the protection of their data, and their consumer rights*** and (c) ***a level playing field and*** cohabitation synergies with traditional business models;

Or. fr

Amendment 65

Reinhard Bütikofer

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion

Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

5. Urges the Commission to ensure that the EU develops the highest international standards regarding (a) social protection for ‘workpreneurs’ in collaborative economies, (b) safety guarantees for the customers of collaborative economies, and (c) cohabitation synergies with traditional business models;

Amendment

5. Urges the Commission to ensure that the EU develops the highest international standards regarding (a) social protection for ‘workpreneurs’ in collaborative economies, (b) safety guarantees for the customers of collaborative economies, and (c) cohabitation synergies with traditional business models; ***points to the positive experiences in regulation made on local***

level guided by the principles of information transparency, participation, inclusion and good governance in general;

Or. en

Amendment 66
Patrizia Toia

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

5. Urges the Commission to ensure that the EU develops the highest international standards regarding (a) social protection for ‘workpreneurs’ in collaborative economies, (b) safety guarantees for the customers of collaborative economies, and (c) cohabitation synergies with traditional business models;

Amendment

5. Urges the Commission to ensure that the EU develops the highest international standards regarding (a) social protection for ‘workpreneurs’ in collaborative economies, (b) safety guarantees for the customers of collaborative economies *similar to those already in force for the markets where they operate*, and (c) cohabitation synergies with traditional business models *thanks to similar rules applying to all operators in a particular sector*;

Or. it

Amendment 67
Aldo Patriciello

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

5. Urges the Commission to ensure that the EU develops the highest international standards regarding (a) social protection for ‘workpreneurs’ in collaborative economies, (b) safety guarantees for the customers of collaborative economies, and (c)

Amendment

5. Urges the Commission to ensure that the EU develops the highest international standards regarding (a) social protection for ‘workpreneurs’ in collaborative economies, (b) safety guarantees for the customers of collaborative economies *similar to those*

cohabitation synergies with traditional business models;

already in force for the markets where they operate, and (c) cohabitation synergies with traditional business models *on a level playing field in terms of similar rules applying to all operators in a particular sector*;

Or. it

Amendment 68

Kaja Kallas

Draft opinion

Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

5. Urges the Commission to ensure that the EU develops the highest international standards regarding (a) social protection for ‘workpreneurs’ in collaborative economies, (b) safety guarantees for the customers of collaborative economies, *and (c) cohabitation synergies with traditional business models*;

Amendment

5. Urges the Commission to ensure that the EU develops the highest international standards, *in cooperation with entrepreneurs*, regarding (a) social protection for ‘workpreneurs’ in collaborative economies *based on modernisation where necessary of welfare systems and employment legislation*, (b) safety guarantees for the customers of collaborative economies *through private sector-driven safety features such as reviews, digital identity, or insurance* ;

Or. en

Amendment 69

Isabella De Monte, José Blanco López, Flavio Zanonato

Draft opinion

Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

5. Urges the Commission to ensure that the EU develops the highest international standards regarding (a) social protection for ‘*workpreneurs*’ in collaborative economies, (b) safety

Amendment

5. Urges the Commission to ensure that the EU develops the highest international standards regarding (a) *workers' rights and* social protection for *all workers* in collaborative economies, (b)

guarantees for the customers of collaborative economies, and (c) ***cohabitation*** synergies with traditional business models;

safety guarantees for the customers of collaborative economies, and (c) ***development of the collaborative economy and synergies with traditional business models (d) respect of privacy and protection of personal data;***

Or. en

Amendment 70
Simona Bonafè

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

5. Urges the Commission to ensure that the EU develops the highest international standards regarding (a) social protection for ‘workpreneurs’ in collaborative economies, (b) safety guarantees for ***the customers*** of collaborative ***economies***, and (c) cohabitation synergies with traditional business models;

Amendment

5. Urges the Commission to ensure that the EU develops the highest international standards regarding (a) social protection for ‘workpreneurs’ in collaborative economies ***active in the various sectors of the collaborative economy***, (b) safety guarantees for ***citizens participating, who request and receive services from collaborative platforms (citizens who are users and consumers)***, and (c) cohabitation synergies with traditional business models;

Or. it

Amendment 71
Olle Ludvigsson

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

5. Urges the Commission to ensure that the EU develops the highest international standards regarding ***(a) social protection for ‘workpreneurs’ in collaborative economies, (b) safety***

Amendment

5. Urges the Commission to ensure that the EU develops the highest international standards regarding safety guarantees for the customers of collaborative economies, and cohabitation

guarantees for the customers of collaborative economies, and (c) cohabitation synergies with traditional business models;

synergies with traditional business models;

Or. sv

Amendment 72

Anne Sander, Esther de Lange, Henna Virkkunen, Françoise Grossetête

Draft opinion

Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

5. Urges the Commission ***to ensure that the EU develops the highest international standards*** regarding (a) social protection for ‘workpreneurs’ in collaborative economies, (b) safety guarantees for the customers of collaborative economies, and (c) cohabitation synergies with traditional business models;

Amendment

5. Urges the Commission ***and Members states to ensure a better coordination on issues*** regarding (a) social protection for 'workpreneurs' in collaborative economies, (b) safety guarantees for the customers of collaborative economies, and (c) cohabitation synergies with traditional business models;

Or. en

Amendment 73

Notis Marias

Draft opinion

Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

5. ***Urges*** the Commission to ensure that the EU develops the highest international standards regarding (a) social protection for ‘workpreneurs’ in collaborative economies, (b) safety guarantees for the customers of collaborative economies, and (c) cohabitation synergies with traditional business models;

Amendment

5. ***Calls on*** the Commission to ensure that the EU develops the highest international standards regarding (a) social protection for ‘workpreneurs’ in collaborative economies, (b) safety guarantees for the customers of collaborative economies, and (c) cohabitation synergies with traditional business models;

Amendment 74
Olle Ludvigsson

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

5a. Calls upon the Commission to make it easier for Member States to ensure adequate social protection for both employees and the self-employed in sharing economies, while the Commission must respect the social partners' autonomy at the national level, national collective agreements and national labour market traditions and models, and refrain from taking initiatives that may affect the right to negotiate, conclude and enforce collective agreements and to take collective action in accordance with national law and practice.

Or. sv

Amendment 75
Simona Bonafè

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5 – point a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

(a) Stresses the need, at this stage, when the phenomenon is already widespread, to seek to limit undesirable developments in relation to problems encountered in sharing economy practices, such as avoidance of licensing and taxation, as well as unfair competition issues with conventional economic operators.

Amendment 76

Patrizia Toia

Draft opinion

Paragraph 5 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

5a. *Calls on the Commission and Member States to distinguish between collaborative platforms which are under diffused and shared ownership compared with others, favouring the former because of their greater capacity to make our societies more inclusive, more aware, less unequal and more democratic;*

Or. it

Amendment 77

Gunnar Hökmark

Draft opinion

Paragraph 5 – subparagraph 1 (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

Considers new collaborative services to be vital to tradition markets, as it adds diversity, innovation and competition and therefore contributes to growth, job creation and investments;

Or. en

Amendment 78

Angelo Ciocca, Lorenzo Fontana, Barbara Kappel

Draft opinion

Paragraph 6

6. Calls on the Commission and on Member States to open non-exclusive, experimentation-oriented spaces for collaborative economies and to promote guidelines on this matter in European, national and local legislation, while fostering digital connectivity and literacy, supporting European entrepreneurs and incentivising Industry 4.0 hubs;

deleted

Or. it

Amendment 79

Hans-Olaf Henkel, Anneleen Van Bossuyt

Draft opinion

Paragraph 6

6. Calls on the Commission and on Member States to open non-exclusive, experimentation-oriented spaces for collaborative economies and to promote guidelines on this matter in European, national and local legislation, while fostering digital connectivity and literacy, supporting European entrepreneurs and incentivising Industry 4.0 hubs;

6. Considers the functionally similar tax and consumer protection obligations applied in one Member States to be transferable to other Member States. In order to support the exchange of best practices, calls on the Committee on the Internal Market and Consumer Protection to commission a comparative study focusing on agreements with platforms for the collection of taxes and insurance payments;

Or. en

Amendment 80

Kaja Kallas

Draft opinion

Paragraph 6

Draft opinion

6. Calls on the Commission and on Member States to *open non-exclusive, experimentation-oriented spaces for collaborative economies and to promote guidelines on this matter in European, national and local legislation, while fostering digital connectivity and literacy, supporting* European entrepreneurs and *incentivising Industry 4.0* hubs;

Amendment

6. Calls on the Commission and on Member States to *ensure digital connectivity and literacy, in order to foster an environment that supports* European entrepreneurs and *the development of innovation* hubs;

Or. en

Amendment 81

Isabella De Monte, José Blanco López

Draft opinion

Paragraph 6

Draft opinion

6. Calls on the Commission *and on Member States to open non-exclusive, experimentation-oriented spaces* for collaborative *economies and to promote guidelines on this matter in European, national and local legislation*, while fostering digital connectivity and literacy, supporting European entrepreneurs and incentivising Industry 4.0 hubs;

Amendment

6. Calls on the Commission *to create a common and harmonized EU regulatory framework* for collaborative *economy that promotes a coherent approach at all levels of government according to the principles of subsidiarity*, while fostering digital connectivity and literacy, supporting European entrepreneurs and incentivising Industry 4.0 hubs;

Or. en

Amendment 82

Paloma López Bermejo

Draft opinion

Paragraph 6

Draft opinion

6. Calls on the Commission and on Member States to open non-exclusive,

Amendment

6. Calls on the Commission and on Member States to open non-exclusive,

experimentation-oriented spaces for collaborative economies and to promote guidelines on this matter in European, national and local legislation, while fostering digital connectivity and literacy, ***supporting European entrepreneurs and incentivising Industry 4.0 hubs***;

experimentation-oriented spaces for ***non-profit and cooperative*** collaborative economies and to promote guidelines on this matter in European, national and local legislation, while fostering digital connectivity and literacy ***and in ensuring the participation of the social partners in the development of Industry 4.0 and the digitalization of services***;

Or. en

Amendment 83

Anne Sander, Krišjānis Kariņš, Henna Virkkunen, Esther de Lange, Françoise Grossetête

Draft opinion Paragraph 6

Draft opinion

6. Calls on the Commission and on Member States to ***open non-exclusive, experimentation-oriented*** spaces for collaborative economies and to promote ***guidelines on this matter in*** European, national and local ***legislation***, while fostering digital connectivity and literacy, supporting European entrepreneurs and incentivising Industry 4.0 hubs;

Amendment

6. Calls on the Commission and on Member States to ***develop clusters and incubators*** spaces for collaborative economies and to promote ***them at the*** European, national and local ***level***, while fostering digital connectivity and literacy, supporting European entrepreneurs and incentivising Industry 4.0 hubs;

Or. en

Amendment 84

Simona Bonafè

Draft opinion Paragraph 6

Draft opinion

6. Calls on the Commission and on Member States to open non-exclusive, experimentation-oriented spaces for collaborative economies and to promote

Amendment

6. Calls on the Commission and on Member States to open non-exclusive, experimentation-oriented spaces for collaborative economies, ***particularly by***

guidelines on this matter in European, national and local legislation, while fostering digital connectivity and literacy, supporting European entrepreneurs and incentivising Industry 4.0 hubs;

promoting and supporting start-ups, which are the engine of the collaborative economy, and to promote guidelines on this matter in European, national and local legislation, while fostering digital connectivity and literacy, supporting European entrepreneurs and incentivising Industry 4.0 hubs;

Or. it

Amendment 85
Gunnar Hökmark

Draft opinion
Paragraph 6 – subparagraph 1 (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

Underlines that collaborative services are a natural part of the development of a digital and creative economy capable of making full use of new technologies and innovations;

Or. en

Amendment 86
Angelo Ciocca, Lorenzo Fontana, Barbara Kappel

Draft opinion
Paragraph 7

Draft opinion

Amendment

7. Calls on the Commission to ensure that EU legislation and policies are future-friendly and provide legal certainty in order to unleash the full potential of collaborative economies for EU businesses and citizens, while redefining and modernising – where necessary – the concepts of ‘work/service’, ‘worker’ and ‘service provider’;

deleted

Amendment 87

Reinhard Bütikofer

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion

Paragraph 7

Draft opinion

7. Calls on the Commission to ensure that EU legislation and policies are future-friendly and provide legal certainty in order to unleash the full potential of collaborative economies for EU businesses and citizens, while **redefining and modernising – where necessary** – the concepts of ‘work/service’, ‘worker’ and ‘service provider’;

Amendment

7. Calls on the Commission to ensure that EU legislation and policies are future-friendly and provide legal certainty **about the application of existing EU legislation to different collaborative economy activities, to avoid different legal standards for similar economic actors, which could lead to reduced consumer protection, reduced workers' rights or low tax compliance and transparency**, in order to unleash the full potential of collaborative economies for EU businesses and citizens, while **respecting Member States prerogative on the matter, including respecting the autonomy of the social partners and national practices, as well as the guidance given by the European Court of Justice on the concepts of 'work/service', 'worker' and 'service provider' recalling that the concept of worker is a national competence**;

Or. en

Amendment 88

Anne Sander, Esther de Lange, Françoise Grossetête

Draft opinion

Paragraph 7

Draft opinion

7. Calls on the Commission to ensure

Amendment

7. Calls on the Commission **and**

that EU legislation and policies are *future-friendly* and provide legal certainty in order to unleash the full potential of collaborative economies for EU businesses and citizens, while *redefining and modernising* – where necessary – *the concepts of ‘work/service’, ‘worker’ and ‘service provider’*;

Member States to ensure that EU legislation and policies are *future-oriented* and provide legal certainty in order to unleash the full potential of collaborative economies *and ensure a level playing field* for EU businesses and citizens, while *helping to define sector specific criteria and thresholds* – where necessary – *under which distinction can be made between "worker" and "independent"; "non-professional peer-to-peer activity" and "service provider"; "profits" and "sharing costs"*

Or. en

Amendment 89
Paloma López Bermejo

Draft opinion
Paragraph 7

Draft opinion

7. Calls on the Commission to ensure that EU legislation and policies *are future-friendly and provide legal certainty* in order to *unleash the full potential of collaborative economies for EU businesses and citizens, while redefining and modernising* – where necessary – *the concepts of ‘work/service’, ‘worker’ and ‘service provider’*;

Amendment

7. Calls on the Commission to ensure that EU legislation and policies *to broaden the concepts of ‘work/worker’* in order to *fight the increase in atypical and flexible employment relationships, as well as "bogus" self-employment relationships; calls on the involvement of social partners to ensure current protection standards in the workplace are not undermined by the digitalization of the economy;*

Or. en

Amendment 90
Olle Ludvigsson

Draft opinion
Paragraph 7

Draft opinion

7. Calls on the Commission to ensure that EU legislation and policies are future-friendly and provide legal certainty in order to unleash the full potential of collaborative economies for EU businesses and citizens, ***while redefining and modernising – where necessary – the concepts of ‘work/service’, ‘worker’ and ‘service provider’;***

Amendment

7. Calls on the Commission to ensure that EU legislation and policies are future-friendly and provide legal certainty in order to unleash the full potential of collaborative economies for EU ***employees***, businesses and citizens;

Or. sv

Amendment 91

Isabella De Monte, José Blanco López, Flavio Zanonato

Draft opinion

Paragraph 7

Draft opinion

7. Calls on the Commission to ensure that EU legislation and policies ***are future-friendly and*** provide legal certainty in order to ***unleash*** the full potential of collaborative economies for EU businesses and citizens, ***while redefining and modernising – where necessary – the concepts of ‘work/service’, ‘worker’ and ‘service provider’;***

Amendment

7. Calls on the Commission to ensure that EU legislation and policies provide legal certainty in order to ***ensure tax compliance, while unleashing*** the full potential of collaborative economies for EU businesses and citizens;

Or. en

Amendment 92

Hans-Olaf Henkel, Anneleen Van Bossuyt

Draft opinion

Paragraph 7

Draft opinion

7. Calls on the Commission to ensure that EU legislation and policies are future-friendly and provide legal certainty in

Amendment

7. Calls on the Commission to ensure that EU legislation and policies are future-friendly and provide legal certainty in

order to unleash the full potential of collaborative economies for EU businesses and citizens, *while redefining and modernising – where necessary – the concepts of ‘work/service’, ‘worker’ and ‘service provider’*;

order to unleash the full potential of collaborative economies for EU businesses and citizens;

Or. en

Amendment 93
Dominique Riquet, Kaja Kallas

Draft opinion
Paragraph 7

Draft opinion

7. Calls on the Commission to ensure that EU legislation and policies *are future-friendly and* provide legal certainty in order to unleash the full potential of collaborative *economies* for EU businesses and citizens, while redefining and modernising – where necessary – the concepts of ‘work/service’, *‘worker’* and ‘service provider’;

Amendment

7. Calls on the Commission to ensure that EU legislation and policies provide legal certainty *and stability* in order to unleash the full potential of *the* collaborative *economy* for EU businesses and citizens, while redefining and modernising – where necessary – the concepts of ‘work/service’, *‘wage-earner’* and ‘service provider’;

Or. fr

Amendment 94
Simona Bonafè

Draft opinion
Paragraph 7

Draft opinion

7. Calls on the Commission to ensure that EU legislation and policies are future-friendly and provide legal certainty in order to unleash the full potential of collaborative economies for EU businesses and citizens, while redefining and modernising – where necessary – the concepts of ‘work/service’, ‘worker’ and

Amendment

7. Calls on the Commission to ensure that EU legislation and policies are future-friendly and provide legal certainty in order to unleash the full potential of collaborative economies for EU businesses and citizens, while redefining and modernising – where necessary – the concepts of ‘work/service’, ‘worker’ and

‘service provider’;

‘service provider’ *and introducing a clear distinction between occasional and professional services*;

Or. it

Amendment 95
Aldo Patriciello

Draft opinion
Paragraph 7

Draft opinion

7. Calls on the Commission to ensure that EU legislation and policies are future-friendly and provide legal certainty in order to unleash the full potential of collaborative economies for EU businesses and citizens, while redefining and modernising – where necessary – the concepts of ‘work/service’, ‘worker’ and ‘service provider’;

Amendment

7. Calls on the Commission to ensure that EU legislation and policies are future-friendly and provide legal certainty in order to unleash the full potential of collaborative economies *and, more generally, of ‘doing business’* for EU businesses and citizens, while redefining and modernising – where necessary – the concepts of ‘work/service’, ‘worker’ and ‘service provider’;

Or. it

Amendment 96
Notis Marias

Draft opinion
Paragraph 7

Draft opinion

7. Calls on the Commission to ensure that EU legislation and policies are future-friendly and provide legal certainty in order to unleash the full potential of collaborative economies for EU businesses and citizens, while redefining and modernising – where necessary – the concepts of ‘work/service’, ‘worker’ and ‘service provider’;

Amendment

7. Calls on the Commission to ensure *directly* that EU legislation and policies are future-friendly and provide legal certainty in order to unleash the full potential of collaborative economies for EU businesses and citizens, while redefining and modernising – where necessary – the concepts of ‘work/service’, ‘worker’ and ‘service provider’;

Amendment 97

Simona Bonafè

Draft opinion

Paragraph 7 – point a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

(a) Underlines in this regard that the collaborative economy system has altered traditional wage relationships; it is therefore necessary to recognise a certain degree of responsibility on the part of sharing platforms not only for the direct or indirect management of service provision, but also for ensuring compliance with social protection and taxation requirements in proportion to the control and influence that they exercise over market indicators;

Or. it

Amendment 98

Dominique Riquet, Kaja Kallas

Draft opinion

Paragraph 7 – subparagraph 1 (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

Calls on the Commission, in order to facilitate the emergence of players at not only European but also international level, to address national market fragmentation by means of a harmonised regulatory framework;

Or. fr

Amendment 99
Simona Bonafè

Draft opinion
Paragraph 7 – point b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

(b) Stresses furthermore that services derived from collaborative platforms are often advantageous to users, particularly in terms of price and accessibility; however, such services are often not provided by mediation platforms in a manner which is continuous and homogeneous in terms of supply and quality. It is therefore necessary to carefully consider this aspect of the so-called ‘gig economy’, in order to ensure fair competition with traditional economic operators who continue to operate in the various sectors of the market by providing the appropriate service guarantees;

Or. it

Amendment 100
Kaja Kallas

Draft opinion
Paragraph 7 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

7a. Stresses that national and local rules that are not justified and proportionate not only constitute barriers to the single market contrary to EU legislation but also hinder the creation and scale up of start-ups; Calls therefore on the European Commission to enforce in a proactive manner single market legislation ;

Or. en

Amendment 101

Isabella De Monte, José Blanco López, Patrizia Toia, Flavio Zanonato

Draft opinion

Paragraph 7 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

7a. Urges the Commission and Member States to provide more clarity on rules applicable to collaborative economy, especially in field such as provision of services, consumer's protection and labour market;

Or. en

Amendment 102

Reinhard Bütikofer

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion

Paragraph 7 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

7a. Considers self-regulation quality labels as inappropriate to achieve safety and service quality standards;

Or. en

Amendment 103

Angelo Ciocca, Lorenzo Fontana, Barbara Kappel

Draft opinion

Paragraph 8

Draft opinion

Amendment

8. Notes that collaborative economies are increasingly important in the energy sector, allowing consumers, individuals and communities to engage efficiently in several decentralised phases of the **deleted**

renewable energy cycle, including self-production and self-consumption, storage and distribution.

Or. it

Amendment 104
Paloma López Bermejo

Draft opinion
Paragraph 8

Draft opinion

8. Notes that *collaborative economies are increasingly important* in the energy sector, allowing consumers, individuals and communities to engage efficiently in several decentralised phases of the renewable energy cycle, including self-production and self-consumption, storage and distribution.

Amendment

8. Notes that *decentralization of production and consumption* in the energy sector, allowing consumers, individuals and communities to engage efficiently in several decentralised phases of the renewable energy cycle, including self-production and self-consumption, storage and distribution, *may become an important avenue for genuine cooperative and non-profit economic activity; deplores that the current drive towards privatization and liberalization of energy markets is severely curtailing the ability of said "prosumers" to participate in the energy sector;*

Or. en

Amendment 105
Simona Bonafè

Draft opinion
Paragraph 8

Draft opinion

8. Notes that collaborative economies are increasingly important in the energy sector, allowing consumers, individuals and communities to engage efficiently in several decentralised phases of the

Amendment

8. Notes that collaborative economies are increasingly important in the energy sector, allowing consumers, individuals and communities to engage efficiently in several decentralised phases of the

renewable energy cycle, including self-production and self-consumption, storage and distribution.

renewable energy cycle, including self-production and self-consumption, storage and distribution. ***This change in the structure of the energy market, with the requisite investment and incentives, could therefore help to realise the potential of renewables by enhancing the role of European citizens in the energy market.***

Or. it

Amendment 106
Dominique Riquet

Draft opinion
Paragraph 8

Draft opinion

8. Notes that collaborative ***economies are*** increasingly important in the energy sector, allowing consumers, individuals and communities to engage efficiently in several decentralised phases of the renewable energy cycle, including self-production and self-consumption, storage and distribution.

Amendment

8. Notes that ***the*** collaborative ***economy is*** increasingly important in the energy sector, allowing consumers, ***producers*** individuals and communities to engage efficiently in several decentralised phases of the renewable energy cycle, including self-production and self-consumption, storage and distribution ***in line with EU sustainable development objectives.***

Or. fr

Amendment 107
Notis Marias

Draft opinion
Paragraph 8

Draft opinion

8. ***Notes*** that collaborative economies are increasingly important in the energy sector, allowing consumers, individuals and communities to engage efficiently in several decentralised phases of the renewable energy cycle, including self-production and self-consumption, storage

Amendment

8. ***Stresses*** that collaborative economies are increasingly important in the energy sector, allowing consumers, individuals and communities to engage efficiently in several decentralised phases of the renewable energy cycle, including self-production and self-consumption,

and distribution.

storage and distribution.

Or. el

Amendment 108

Isabella De Monte, José Blanco López, Patrizia Toia, Flavio Zanonato

Draft opinion

Paragraph 8 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

8a. Underlines the importance to prevent the creation of monopolies in collaborative economy, which are non-transparent and resulting in an asymmetrical relationship between collaborative platforms, service providers and consumers;

Or. en

Amendment 109

Reinhard Bütikofer

on behalf of the Verts/ALE Group

Draft opinion

Paragraph 8 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

8a. Advocates spectrum as commons and encourages to include in its regulation innovative user driven initiatives that would boost the collaborative and sharing economy.

Or. en

Amendment 110

Isabella De Monte, José Blanco López

Draft opinion

Paragraph 8 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

8b. *Encourages the Commission to create a level playing field among collaborative platforms, which highlights the importance of identifying and mitigating market barriers. In particular, stresses the importance to guarantee the free flow of data, data portability and interoperability between the market platforms. These measures would ensure that market participants, whether service providers or consumers, could subject the market platform itself into competition;*

Or. en

Amendment 111

Isabella De Monte, José Blanco López, Patrizia Toia, Flavio Zanonato

Draft opinion

Paragraph 8 c (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

8c. *Calls on the Commission to support the economic growth of the collaborative economy by measures aiming to reduce the administrative burden on individuals and businesses without discriminating between business models;*

Or. en

Amendment 112

Isabella De Monte, José Blanco López, Patrizia Toia, Flavio Zanonato

Draft opinion

Paragraph 8 d (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

8d. *Underlines the importance, for the*

fast-growing of this sector, of access to risk capital and calls on the Commission to promote initiatives on this direction;

Or. en

Amendment 113

Isabella De Monte, José Blanco López, Patrizia Toia, Flavio Zanonato

Draft opinion

Paragraph 8 e (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

8e. Notes that collaborative economy has been developing more in areas, where urban conditions such as population density and physical proximity favours the adoption of collaborative economic models. However, collaborative economy could offer also opportunities to reinvigorate the economy in less populated remote areas in sectors such as tourism. Therefore, it would be vital to develop an effective regulatory framework and policies that enhances collaborative economy models in remote areas which could also be beneficial for SMEs;

Or. en