



2016/2114(REG)

28.9.2016

AMENDMENTS

78 - 88

Draft opinion
Pavel Svoboda
(PE589.103v01-00)

General Revision of Parliament's Rules of Procedure
(2016/2114(REG))

Amendment 78
Luke Ming Flanagan

Parliament's Rules of Procedure
Rule 2 – paragraph 1

Present text

Members of the European Parliament shall exercise their mandate independently. They shall not be bound by any instructions and shall not receive a binding mandate.

Amendment

Members of the European Parliament shall exercise their mandate independently. They shall not be bound by any instructions *from political parties in their respective Member States or in the Union*, and shall not receive a binding mandate.

Or. en

Amendment 79
Gilles Lebreton, Marie-Christine Boutonnet

Parliament's Rules of Procedure
Rule 3 – paragraph 4 – subparagraph 2

Present text

The validity of the mandate of a Member may not be confirmed unless the written declarations required under this Rule and Annex I to these Rules have been made.

Amendment

deleted

Or. fr

Amendment 80
Kostas Chrysogonos

Parliament's Rules of Procedure
Rule 6 – paragraph 1

Present text

1. In the exercise of its powers in respect of privileges and immunities,

Amendment

1. In the exercise of its powers in respect of privileges and immunities,

Parliament acts to uphold its integrity as a democratic legislative assembly and to secure the independence of its Members in the performance of their duties. Any request for waiver of immunity shall be evaluated in accordance with Articles 7, 8 and 9 of the Protocol on the Privileges and Immunities of the European Union and with the principles referred to in this Rule.

Parliament acts to uphold its integrity as a democratic legislative assembly and to secure the independence of its Members in the performance of their duties, *in compliance with the principle of transparency*. Any request for waiver of immunity shall be evaluated in accordance with Articles 7, 8 and 9 of the Protocol on the Privileges and Immunities of the European Union and with the principles referred to in this Rule.

Or. en

Amendment 81
Kostas Chrysogonos

Parliament's Rules of Procedure
Rule 7 – paragraph 2

Present text

2. In particular, such a request for the defence of privileges and immunities may be made if it is considered that the circumstances constitute an administrative or other restriction on the free movement of Members travelling to or from the place of meeting of Parliament or on an opinion expressed or a vote cast in the performance of their duties, or that they fall within the scope of Article 9 of the Protocol on the Privileges and Immunities of the European Union.

Amendment

2. In particular, such a request for the defence of privileges and immunities may be made if it is considered that the circumstances constitute an administrative or other restriction on *individual rights, such as* the free movement of Members travelling to or from the place of meeting of Parliament or on an opinion expressed or a vote cast in the performance of their duties, or that they fall within the scope of Article 9 of the Protocol on the Privileges and Immunities of the European Union.

Or. en

Amendment 82
Gilles Lebreton, Marie-Christine Boutonnet

Parliament's Rules of Procedure
Rule 7 – paragraph 5

Present text

5. In cases where a decision has been taken not to defend the privileges and immunities of a Member, the Member *may* make a request for reconsideration of the decision, *submitting* new evidence. The request for reconsideration shall be inadmissible if proceedings have been instituted against the decision under Article 263 of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union, or if the President considers that the new evidence submitted is not sufficiently substantiated to warrant reconsideration.

Amendment

5. In cases where a decision has been taken not to defend the privileges and immunities of a Member, the Member *shall be fully within its rights to* make a request for reconsideration of the decision, *provided that it submits* new evidence. The request for reconsideration shall be inadmissible if proceedings have been instituted against the decision under Article 263 of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union, or if the President considers that the new evidence submitted is not sufficiently substantiated to warrant reconsideration.

Or. fr

Amendment 83

Sylvia-Yvonne Kaufmann, Evelyn Regner, Mady Delvaux

Parliament's Rules of Procedure

Rule 42 – paragraph 2

Present text

2. The committee responsible for respect of the principle of subsidiarity may decide to make recommendations for the attention of the committee responsible for the subject-matter in respect of *any* proposal for a legislative act.

Amendment

2. *Only* the committee responsible for respect of the principle of subsidiarity may decide to make recommendations for the attention of the committee responsible for the subject-matter in respect of *a* proposal for a legislative act.

Or. en

Amendment 84

Gilles Lebreton, Marie-Christine Boutonnet

Parliament's Rules of Procedure

Rule 42 – paragraph 2 – subparagraph 1 a (new)

Present text

Amendment

If the committee responsible for the relevant subject matter is of the opinion that a proposal for a legislative act or parts of it do not comply with the principle of subsidiarity or the principle of proportionality, it shall request the opinion of the committee responsible for respect of those principles. The request shall be made no later than four weeks after the announcement in Parliament of referral to the committee responsible for the subject matter.

Or. fr

Amendment 85
Soraya Post

Parliament's Rules of Procedure
Rule 165 – paragraph 6 a (new)

Present text

Amendment

6a. Where the sitting during which the offence happened was webstreamed or otherwise recorded, the relevant Parliament's services shall remove the video recording of the offence from the website of the European Parliament. A reference to the offence and the removal shall be included in the minutes of the sitting.

Or. en

Amendment 86
Soraya Post

Parliament's Rules of Procedure
Rule 165 – paragraph 6 b (new)

Present text

Amendment

6b. *A system shall be created within the Parliament's Secretariat for the reporting and monitoring of hate speech.*

Or. en

Amendment 87
Pavel Svoboda

Parliament's Rules of Procedure
Rule 170 – paragraph 1 – point c a (new)

Present text

Amendment

(ca) *it seeks to amend a proposal for codification of Union legislation. however, the second subparagraph of Rule 103(3) shall apply mutatis mutandis;*

Or. en

Justification

Rule 103(3) already provides that, except for the cases mentioned therein, amendments to proposals for codification are not admissible at committee stage. This amendment seeks to clarify that the same principle applies at plenary stage.

Amendment 88
Pavel Svoboda

Parliament's Rules of Procedure
Rule 170 – paragraph 1 – point c b (new)

Present text

Amendment

(cb) *it seeks to amend those parts of a proposal recasting Union legislation which remain unchanged in such proposal; however, the second subparagraph of Rule 104(2) and the third subparagraph of Rule 104(3) shall*

apply mutatis mutandis;

Or. en

Justification

Rule 104(2) and (3) already provides that, except for the cases mentioned therein, amendments to the provisions which remain unchanged in a proposal recasting Union legislation are not admissible at committee stage. This amendment seeks to clarify that the same principle applies at plenary stage.