



2016/2273(INI)

19.1.2017

AMENDMENTS

1 - 39

Draft opinion
Pavel Svoboda
(PE595.605v01-00)

EU eGovernment action plan 2016-2020
(2016/2273(INI))

Amendment 1
Max Andersson, Victor Negrescu

Draft opinion
Recital A

Draft opinion

A. whereas the EU e-Justice Portal is an essential tool for access to information and to justice;

Amendment

A. whereas the EU e-Justice Portal is an essential tool for access to information and to justice, ***and constitutes an important step in achieving the modernisation of EU public administration;***

Or. en

Amendment 2
Max Andersson

Draft opinion
Recital B

Draft opinion

B. whereas better access to information and the increased use of improved digital tools for company-law-related formalities throughout the lifecycle of companies would ***reduce*** the administrative burden for companies, particularly where the relevant electronic public services are available across borders;

Amendment

B. whereas better access to information and the increased use of improved digital tools for company-law-related formalities throughout the lifecycle of companies would ***increase transparency and legal certainty, while supporting Member States in the fight against tax evasion and reducing*** the administrative burden for companies, particularly where the relevant electronic public services are available across borders;

Or. en

Amendment 3
Victor Negrescu, Sergio Gaetano Cofferati, Jytte Guteland, Evelyn Regner

Draft opinion

Recital B

Draft opinion

B. whereas better access to information and the increased use of improved digital tools for company-law-related formalities throughout the lifecycle of companies **would reduce the administrative burden for companies, particularly where the relevant electronic public services are available across borders;**

Amendment

B. whereas better access to information and the increased use of improved digital tools for company-law-related formalities throughout the lifecycle of companies **should serve legal certainty;**

Or. en

Amendment 4 Jean-Marie Cavada

Draft opinion Recital B

Draft opinion

B. whereas better access to information and the increased use of improved digital tools for company-law-related formalities throughout the lifecycle of companies would reduce the administrative burden for companies, particularly where the relevant electronic public services are available across borders;

Amendment

B. whereas better access to information and the increased use of improved digital tools for company-law-related formalities throughout the lifecycle of companies would reduce the administrative burden for companies **and thus expenses**, particularly where the relevant electronic public services are available across borders;

Or. en

Amendment 5 Max Andersson, Victor Negrescu

Draft opinion Recital D

Draft opinion

D. whereas single access to these

PE597.531v01-00

Amendment

D. whereas single access to these

4/20

AM\1114637EN.docx

registers through the e-Justice Portal is not yet possible because of differences in the technical standards used by Member States;

registers through the e-Justice Portal is not yet possible because of differences in the technical standards used by Member States; ***considers that further efforts are needed to achieve accessible, interoperable and user-friendly eGovernment tools available to the public in the EU;***

Or. en

Amendment 6
Jiří Maštálka

Draft opinion
Recital D

Draft opinion

D. whereas single access to these registers through the e-Justice Portal is not yet possible because of differences in the technical standards used by Member States;

Amendment

D. whereas single access to these registers through the e-Justice Portal is not yet possible because of differences in the technical standards used by Member States; ***notes that a degree of data security and protection in the processing is a basic prerequisite for using e-Justice, given the nature of data involved in judicial work;***

Or. cs

Amendment 7
Daniel Buda

Draft opinion
Recital D a (new)

Draft opinion

Da. whereas key digital enabling technologies should underpin all efforts to modernize and streamline public services, so as to provide fast and high quality services to enhance citizens' mobility and create cross-border public administrative

Amendment

structures for businesses, thereby helping to increase competitiveness and thus make the EU a more attractive place to invest and live;

Or. ro

Amendment 8
Max Andersson, Victor Negrescu

Draft opinion
Recital D a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

D a. whereas the use of open standards is fundamental in order to allow EU citizens to participate in governmental platforms, and in order not to force citizens to use vendor-specific programs in order to communicate with their government;

Or. en

Amendment 9
Angel Dzhambazki

Draft opinion
Recital D a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

D a. whereas continuing efforts are being made towards improving cooperation between Member States' administrations through the simplification and digitalisation of certain administrative services;

Or. en

Amendment 10
Daniel Buda

Draft opinion
Recital D b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

Db. whereas efforts are under way to support transition by the Member States towards full e-procurement, use of contract registers and interoperable e-signatures in their public sectors;

Or. ro

Amendment 11
Jiří Maštálka

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

Amendment

1. Calls on the Commission to raise awareness of the e-Justice Portal and its uses, and to make the e-Justice Portal a one-stop shop for relevant legal information and for access to justice in the Member States;

1. Calls on the Commission to raise awareness of the e-Justice Portal and its uses, and to make the e-Justice Portal a one-stop shop for relevant legal information and for access to justice in the Member States; ***notes, however, that not all parties to proceedings have equal access and the necessary skills to use information and communications technology, which could mean that their access to justice is limited;***

Or. cs

Amendment 12
Victor Negrescu, Sergio Gaetano Cofferati, Jytte Guteland

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. Calls on the Commission to raise awareness of the e-Justice Portal and its uses, and to make the e-Justice Portal a one-stop shop for relevant legal information and for access to justice in the Member States;

Amendment

1. Calls on the Commission to raise awareness of the e-Justice Portal and its uses, and to make the e-Justice Portal a one-stop shop for relevant legal information and for access to justice in the Member States; ***particular attention should be paid to giving people with disabilities access to the e-Justice Portal;***

Or. en

Amendment 13
Jean-Marie Cavada

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1

Draft opinion

1. Calls on the Commission to raise awareness of the e-Justice Portal and its uses, and to make the e-Justice Portal a one-stop shop for relevant legal information and for access to justice in the Member States;

Amendment

1. Calls on the Commission to raise awareness of the ***importance of the*** e-Justice Portal and its uses, and to make the e-Justice Portal a one-stop shop for ***all the*** relevant legal information and for access to justice in the Member States;

Or. en

Amendment 14
Daniel Buda

Draft opinion
Paragraph 1 a (new)

Draft opinion

1a. Calls on the Commission to promote and further develop the European e-Justice Portal and the use of digital technology for business purposes and the public sector as a whole, so as to accelerate the cross-border and cross-

Amendment

sector use of electronic identification (eID), including mobile identification and trust services for electronic transactions in the internal market (e-Signature and other IDAS^{1a} services, website authentication and electronic registered delivery services).

^{1a} See Regulation (EU) No 910/2014 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 23 July 2014 on electronic identification and trust services for electronic transactions in the internal market and repealing Directive 1999/93/EC

Or. ro

Amendment 15

Victor Negrescu, Sergio Gaetano Cofferati, Jytte Guteland

Draft opinion

Paragraph 1 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

1 a. Stresses the need to maintain a human dimension where services are upgraded to electronic format, in order to enable citizens to benefit from personalised guidance and solutions;

Or. en

Amendment 16

Max Andersson

Draft opinion

Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

Amendment

2. Welcomes the introduction of e-

2. Welcomes the introduction of e-

CODEX, allowing direct communications between citizens and courts in all Member States, as a major step to facilitate cross-border access to public services;

CODEX, allowing direct communications between citizens and courts in all Member States, as a major step to facilitate cross-border access to public services; ***recalls the importance of respecting the protection of private data and the principles enshrined in the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union, such as the right to privacy, when implementing such digital services;***

Or. en

Amendment 17

Victor Negrescu, Sergio Gaetano Cofferati, Jytte Guteland

Draft opinion Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Welcomes the introduction of e-CODEX, allowing direct communications between citizens and courts in all Member States, as a major step to facilitate cross-border access to public services;

Amendment

2. Welcomes the introduction of e-CODEX, allowing direct communications between citizens and courts in all Member States, as a major step to facilitate cross-border access to public services; ***calls also for interconnected databases at European level to further facilitate interoperability between legal authorities within the EU;***

Or. en

Amendment 18

Jiří Maštálka

Draft opinion Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Welcomes the introduction of e-CODEX, allowing direct communications between citizens and courts in all Member States, as a major step to facilitate cross-

Amendment

2. Welcomes the introduction of e-CODEX, allowing direct communications between citizens and courts in all Member States, as a major step to facilitate cross-

border access to public services;

border access to public services; ***recalls, however, that security of documents and security of identity, as well as network security, remain a major concern;***

Or. en

Amendment 19
Angel Dzhambazki

Draft opinion
Paragraph 2

Draft opinion

2. Welcomes the introduction of e-CODEX, allowing direct ***communications between citizens and courts in all*** Member States, as a major step to facilitate cross-border access to public services;

Amendment

2. Welcomes the introduction of e-CODEX, allowing direct ***access by citizens throughout the European Union's Member States to legal services across borders***, as a major step to facilitate cross-border access to public services ***and improve cooperation between different national judicial systems;***

Or. en

Amendment 20
Angel Dzhambazki

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

3. Congratulates the Council and the Commission on their work in introducing the European Case Law Identifier (ECLI), which is very useful for legal research and judicial dialogue, and welcomes the creation of the ECLI search engine, which should facilitate access to legal information across the Union;

Amendment

3. Congratulates the Council and the Commission on their work in introducing the European Case Law Identifier (ECLI), which is very useful for legal research and judicial dialogue, and welcomes the creation of the ECLI search engine, which should facilitate access to legal information across the Union ***in a transparent manner, through the use of clear identifiers;***

Amendment 21
Jean-Marie Cavada

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3

Draft opinion

3. Congratulates the Council and the Commission on their work in introducing the European Case Law Identifier (ECLI), which is very *useful* for legal research and judicial dialogue, and welcomes the creation of the ECLI search engine, which should facilitate access to legal information across the Union;

Amendment

3. Congratulates the Council and the Commission on their work in introducing the European Case Law Identifier (ECLI), which is very *effective* for legal research and judicial dialogue, and welcomes the creation of the ECLI search engine, which should facilitate access to legal information across the Union;

Amendment 22
Victor Negrescu, Sergio Gaetano Cofferati, Jytte Guteland

Draft opinion
Paragraph 3 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

3 a. *Stresses the importance of cyber-security and calls for EU-wide e-Government solutions capable of securing the personal data of EU citizens;*

Amendment 23
Max Andersson, Victor Negrescu

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Calls on the Commission to consider further ways to promote digital solutions for formalities throughout a company's lifecycle, in particular for online registration processes, the electronic filing of company documents **and** the provision of information for business registers; notes that in this field legislation may be the only way to create an appropriate legal framework for EU-wide digital solutions;

Amendment

4. Calls on the Commission to consider further ways to promote digital solutions for formalities throughout a company's lifecycle, in particular for online registration processes, the electronic filing of company documents, the provision of information for business registers **and the reporting of corporate income tax**; notes that in this field legislation may be the only way to create an appropriate legal framework for EU-wide digital solutions, **while ensuring that the public interest principles, such as tax liability, are complied with**;

Or. en

Amendment 24
Angel Dzhambazki

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Calls on the Commission to consider further ways to promote digital solutions for formalities throughout a company's lifecycle, in particular for online registration processes, the electronic filing of company documents and the provision of information for business registers; **notes that in this field legislation may be the only way to create an appropriate legal framework for EU-wide digital solutions**;

Amendment

4. Calls on the Commission to consider further ways to promote digital solutions for formalities throughout a company's lifecycle, in particular for online registration processes, the electronic filing of company documents and the provision of information for business registers;

Or. en

Amendment 25
Daniel Buda

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Calls on the Commission to consider further ways to promote digital solutions for formalities throughout a company's lifecycle, in particular for online registration processes, the electronic filing of company documents and the provision of information for business registers; notes that in this field legislation may be the only way to create an appropriate legal framework for EU-wide digital solutions;

Amendment

4. Calls on the Commission to consider further ways to promote digital solutions for formalities throughout a company's lifecycle, in particular for online registration processes, the electronic filing of company documents and the provision of ***cross-border and other*** information for business registers; notes that in this field legislation may be the only way to create an appropriate legal framework for EU-wide digital solutions;

Or. ro

Amendment 26
Jean-Marie Cavada

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Calls on the Commission to consider further ways to promote digital solutions for formalities throughout a company's lifecycle, in particular for online registration processes, the electronic filing of company documents and the provision of information for business registers; notes that in this field legislation may be the only way to create an appropriate legal framework for EU-wide digital solutions;

Amendment

4. Calls on the Commission to consider further ways to promote digital solutions for ***simplifying the*** formalities throughout a company's lifecycle, in particular for online registration processes, the electronic filing of company documents and the provision of information for business registers; notes that in this field legislation may be the only way to create an appropriate legal framework for EU-wide digital solutions;

Or. en

Amendment 27
Victor Negrescu, Sergio Gaetano Cofferati, Jytte Guteland, Evelyn Regner

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4

Draft opinion

4. Calls on the Commission to consider further ways to promote digital solutions for formalities throughout a company's lifecycle, ***in particular for online registration processes***, the electronic filing of company documents and the provision of information for business registers; notes that in this field legislation may be the only way to create an appropriate legal framework for EU-wide digital solutions;

Amendment

4. Calls on the Commission to consider further ways to promote digital solutions for formalities throughout a company's lifecycle, the electronic filing of company documents and the provision of information for business registers; notes that in this field legislation may be the only way to create an appropriate legal framework for EU-wide digital solutions;

Or. en

Amendment 28
Max Andersson, Victor Negrescu

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4 a (new)

Draft opinion

4 a. Calls on the Commission to support Member States when putting in place automated centralised mechanisms which allow the identification, in a timely manner, of any natural or legal persons holding or controlling land and buildings within their territory, in order to prevent the use of the financial system for the purposes of money laundering or terrorist financing; considers that this information should be directly accessible, at national level, to Financial Intelligence Units (FIUs) and competent authorities, and should be accessible and searchable through the centralised mechanisms by the FIUs of other Member States;

Amendment

Or. en

Amendment 29

Victor Negrescu, Sergio Gaetano Cofferati, Jytte Guteland

Draft opinion

Paragraph 4 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

4 a. Calls for coordination at EU level of the purchasing and implementation of e-Government solutions in order further facilitate data exchanges;

Or. en

Amendment 30

Jean-Marie Cavada

Draft opinion

Paragraph 4 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

4 a. Stresses that e-governance is particularly important for small and medium-sized companies, which are limited in terms of work force and available funds;

Or. en

Amendment 31

Victor Negrescu, Sergio Gaetano Cofferati, Jytte Guteland

Draft opinion

Paragraph 4 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

4 b. Considers that, for the smooth implementation of the Action Plan, clear deadlines are needed, along with clear

measures destined to reduce bureaucracy;

Or. en

Amendment 32
Victor Negrescu

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4 c (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

4 c. Considers that, in order to enable the timely pan-European implementation of the Action Plan, Member States should introduce specialized training courses on e-Government services for civil servants and decision makers;

Or. en

Amendment 33
Victor Negrescu

Draft opinion
Paragraph 4 d (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

4 d. Considers that the Member States should facilitate communication and educational campaigns, in order to give European citizens full use of the capacities offered by the new e-Government portals and services;

Or. en

Amendment 34
Victor Negrescu, Sergio Gaetano Cofferati, Jytte Guteland, Evelyn Regner

Draft opinion

Paragraph 5

Draft opinion

5. Considers that work on the electronic interconnection of Member States' business and insolvency registers should be stepped up, and stresses the importance of this interconnection for the internal market.

Amendment

5. Considers that work on the electronic interconnection of Member States' business and insolvency registers should be stepped up, and stresses the importance of this interconnection for the internal market; ***information to be provided should follow a common European template or framework.***

Or. en

Amendment 35

Daniel Buda

Draft opinion

Paragraph 5 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

5a. Calls on the Commission to initiate and implement a set of measures that will give businesses the opportunity to bid for public procurement contracts electronically throughout the European Union, with the emphasis on the development of national electronic public procurement systems, the European single procurement document, e-Certis and e-Invoicing;

Or. ro

Amendment 36

Angel Dzhambazki

Draft opinion

Paragraph 5 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

5 a. Encourages the opening up of public sector data and services with a view to fostering new opportunities for knowledge, growth and jobs;

Or. en

Amendment 37
Max Andersson, Victor Negrescu

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5 a (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

5 a. Calls on the Commission and the Member states to promote open standards when developing public digital solutions.

Or. en

Amendment 38
Daniel Buda

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5 b (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

5b. Considers it essential in this connection for the Commission and Member States to cooperate in the development of a prototype European catalogue of ICT procurement standards to facilitate interoperability in the acquisition of digital solutions by encouraging reference to common sets of ICT public procurement standards and profiles .

Or. ro

Amendment 39
Daniel Buda

Draft opinion
Paragraph 5 c (new)

Draft opinion

Amendment

5c. Calls on the Commission, when conducting its regulatory fitness (REFIT) programme, to give greater attention to interoperability and the potential benefits of effective digital technology utilisation when it comes to reviewing the existing internal market acquis and drafting fresh proposals;

Or. ro