



EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT

2014 - 2019

Committee on Civil Liberties, Justice and Home Affairs

2014/0217(COD)

13.1.2015

AMENDMENTS

17 - 72

Draft report

Kinga Gál

(PE539.817v01-00)

on the proposal for a regulation of the European Parliament and of the Council establishing a European Union agency for law enforcement training (Cepol), repealing and replacing Council Decision 2005/681/JHA

Proposal for a regulation

(COM(2014)0465 – C8-0110/2014 – 2014/0217(COD))

AM\1045458EN.doc

PE546.597v01-00

AM_Com_LegReport

Amendment 17
Eleftherios Synadinos

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 4

Text proposed by the Commission

(4) The development of Union level training for law enforcement personnel to support *practical* cooperation and full implementation of the LETS represents a key priority for the future years in that context.

Amendment

(4) The development of Union level training for law enforcement personnel to support *cross-border* cooperation, *closely linked to the internal security strategy*, and full implementation of the LETS represents a key priority for the future years in that context.

Or. el

Amendment 18
Dennis de Jong
on behalf of the GUE/NGL Group

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 6

Text proposed by the Commission

(6) To ensure that Union level training for law enforcement personnel is of high quality, coherent and consistent, CEPOL should strive to structure it in line with the principles of the Law Enforcement Training Scheme. Union-level training should be available to law enforcement officers of all ranks. CEPOL should ensure that training is evaluated and that conclusions from training needs assessments are part of planning to enhance effectiveness of future actions. CEPOL should promote the recognition in Member States of training provided at Union level.

Amendment

(6) To ensure that Union level training for law enforcement personnel is of high quality, coherent and consistent, CEPOL should strive to structure it in line with the principles of the Law Enforcement Training Scheme, *whilst putting particular emphasis on the jurisprudence of the European Court of Human Rights with regard to the protection of human rights and fundamental freedoms in the context of law enforcement*. Union-level training should be available to law enforcement officers of all ranks. CEPOL should ensure that training is evaluated and that conclusions from training needs assessments are part of planning to

enhance effectiveness of future actions.
CEPOL should promote the recognition in
Member States of training provided at
Union level.

Or. en

Amendment 19
Eleftherios Synadinos

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 6 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(6a) Training staff in countries which are the first stop for migrants and receive the bulk of these migratory flows, due to their geographical location, should be a priority.

Or. el

Amendment 20
Eleftherios Synadinos

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 7

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(7) To avoid duplication in the training activities for the competent law enforcement personnel, which are carried out by existing European Union Agencies and other relevant bodies, CEPOL should assess strategic training needs and address Union priorities in the area of internal security and its external aspects, in line with the relevant policy cycles.

(7) To avoid duplication in the training activities for the competent law enforcement personnel, which are carried out by existing European Union Agencies and other relevant bodies, CEPOL should assess strategic training needs and address Union priorities in the area of internal security and its external aspects, in line with ***the Treaties and the secondary legislation of the Union*** and the relevant policy cycles.

Or. el

Amendment 21

Dennis de Jong

on behalf of the GUE/NGL Group

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 8

Text proposed by the Commission

(8) To achieve its objectives, CEPOL, as a centre of Union learning activities, should maintain and encourage cooperation with the network of the training institutes of the Member States. It should further be supported by a 'National Unit' in each Member State. The activities of the National Units should be ***coordinated at the Union level*** by CEPOL.

Amendment

(8) To achieve its objectives, CEPOL, as a centre of Union learning activities, should maintain and encourage cooperation with the network of the training institutes of the Member States. It should further be supported by a 'National Unit' in each Member State. The activities of the National Units should be ***supported*** by CEPOL.

Or. en

Amendment 22

Traian Ungureanu

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 9

Text proposed by the Commission

(9) The Commission and the Member States should be represented within the Management Board of CEPOL in order to supervise effectively the exercise of its functions. The ***Board should consist of members*** appointed on the basis of their experience in the management of public or private sector organisations and of their knowledge in the national policy on training for law enforcement officers. The Board should be entrusted with the necessary powers to establish the budget, verify its execution, adopt appropriate financial rules and CEPOL's strategy, establish transparent working procedures

Amendment

(9) The Commission and the Member States should be represented within the Management Board of CEPOL in order to supervise effectively the exercise of its functions. The ***members of the Management Board and their alternates should be*** appointed on the basis of their experience in the management of public or private sector organisations and of their knowledge in the national policy on training for law enforcement officers. The Board should be entrusted with the necessary powers to establish the budget, verify its execution, adopt appropriate financial rules and CEPOL's strategy,

for decision making by CEPOL, appoint the Director, establish performance indicators and exercise appointing authority powers, in accordance with the Staff Regulations and the Conditions of Employment of Other Servants.

establish transparent working procedures for decision making by CEPOL, appoint the **Executive** Director, establish performance indicators and exercise appointing authority powers, in accordance with the Staff Regulations and the Conditions of Employment of Other Servants.

Or. en

Amendment 23
Traian Ungureanu

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 11

Text proposed by the Commission

(11) To ensure the scientific quality of CEPOL's work, a Scientific Committee, composed of independent persons of the highest academic or professional standing in the subjects covered by this Regulation, should be set up as an independent advisory body.

Amendment

(11) To ensure the scientific quality of CEPOL's work, a Scientific Committee, composed of independent persons of the highest academic or professional standing in the subjects covered by this Regulation, should be set up as an independent advisory body. ***The Members of the Scientific Committee should be appointed by the Management Board following a transparent call for applications and selection procedure to be published in the Official Journal of the European Union.***

Or. en

Amendment 24
Dennis de Jong
on behalf of the GUE/NGL Group

Proposal for a regulation
Recital 11

Text proposed by the Commission

(11) To ensure the scientific quality of

Amendment

(11) To ensure the scientific quality of

CEPOL's work, a Scientific Committee, composed of independent persons of the highest academic or professional standing in the subjects covered by this Regulation, should be set up as an independent advisory body.

CEPOL's work, a Scientific Committee, composed of independent persons of the highest academic or professional standing in the subjects covered by this Regulation, ***in particular with regard to the protection of human rights and fundamental freedoms in the context of law enforcement***, should be set up as an independent advisory body.

Or. en

Amendment 25

Dennis de Jong

on behalf of the GUE/NGL Group

Proposal for a regulation

Recital 15 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(15a) It is important to seek the most efficient solutions for the location of agencies, and, whilst fully respecting the fundamental need to maintain CEPOL's complete independence, it is logical to locate CEPOL in the Hague, the Netherlands, being the seat of EUROPOL, EU's main agency for police co-operation.

Or. en

Amendment 26

Sylvie Guillaume, Monika Flašíková Beňová, Christine Revault D'Allonnes Bonnefoy

Proposal for a regulation

Article 1 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

1. A European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Training (CEPOL) is hereby established ***in order to foster a coherent***

1. A European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Training (CEPOL), ***based on the network of National Training Institute***

European law enforcement *training policy*.

for Law Enforcement Officials in Member States, is hereby established to improve cooperation among law enforcement authorities in the European Union by supporting the training of their law enforcement officials in relation to prevention, detection and investigation of criminal offences, maintenance of law and order and EU police missions.

Or. en

Amendment 27

Sophia in 't Veld, Cecilia Wikström, Gérard Deprez

Proposal for a regulation

Article 1 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. A European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Training (CEPOL) is hereby established in order to foster a coherent European law enforcement training policy.

Amendment

1. A European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Training (CEPOL) is hereby established in order to foster a coherent European law enforcement training policy *and to strengthen the fundamental rights dimension of European law enforcement training.*

Or. en

Amendment 28

Gérard Deprez, Cecilia Wikström, Nathalie Griesbeck, Louis Michel

Proposal for a regulation

Article 2 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

(a) ‘Law enforcement *officers*’ means officers of police, customs and of other relevant services, *including Union bodies*, responsible for preventing and combating serious crime affecting two or more Member States, terrorism and forms of

Amendment

(a) ‘Law enforcement *professionals or aspiring professionals*’ means officers of police, customs and of other relevant services, responsible for preventing and combating serious crime affecting two or more Member States, terrorism and forms

crime that affect a common interest covered by a Union policy and for crisis management and international policing of major events.

of crime that affect a common interest covered by a Union policy, **public order**, crisis management and international policing of major events, **including employees or experts of Union institutions, bodies, offices and departments whose competences are related to those tasks;**

Or. fr

Justification

These training courses should not be exclusively for active professionals but should also be accessible to aspiring professionals as part of their training, so that they can, already at this stage, take a European approach to the issues dealt with.

Amendment 29

Tomáš Zdechovský

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – title

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Objectives

Objectives **of CEPOL**

Or. en

Amendment 30

Sylvie Guillaume, Monika Flašíková Beňová, Christine Revault D’Allonnes Bonnefoy

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

1. CEPOL shall support, develop and coordinate training for law enforcement **officers, in line with the Law Enforcement Training Scheme**, in particular in the areas of **the fight against** serious crime affecting two or more Member States and terrorism,

1. CEPOL shall support, develop and coordinate training for law enforcement **officials**, in particular in the areas of **preventing and combating** serious **and organized** crime affecting two or more Member states and terrorism, management

management of **high-risk** public order and **sports** events, planning and command of Union missions, as well as law enforcement leadership and language skills, **in order to**:

of public order and **major** events, planning and command of Union missions, as well as law enforcement leadership **at the European level** and language skills. **More specifically, CEPOL shall**:

Or. en

Amendment 31

Dennis de Jong

on behalf of the GUE/NGL Group

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

1. CEPOL shall support, develop **and coordinate** training for law enforcement officers, in line with the Law Enforcement Training Scheme, in particular in the areas of the fight against serious crime affecting two or more Member States and terrorism, management of high-risk public order and sports events, planning and command of Union missions, as well as law enforcement leadership and language skills, in order to:

Amendment

1. CEPOL shall support, develop **and implement** training for law enforcement officers, in line with the Law Enforcement Training Scheme, in particular in the areas of the fight against serious crime affecting two or more Member States and terrorism, management of high-risk public order and sports events, planning and command of Union missions, as well as law enforcement leadership and language skills, in order to:

Or. en

Amendment 32

Tomáš Zdechovský

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

1. CEPOL shall support, develop and coordinate training for law enforcement officers, in **line with the Law Enforcement Training Scheme, in** particular in the areas of the fight against serious crime affecting

Amendment

1. CEPOL shall support, develop and coordinate training for law enforcement officers, in particular in the areas of the fight against serious crime affecting two or more Member States and terrorism,

two or more Member States and terrorism, management of high-risk public order and *sports* events, planning and command of Union *missions, as well as* law enforcement *leadership and language skills, in order to:*

management of high-risk public order and *sport* events, planning and command of Union *missions. Activities of CEPOL shall raise awareness and knowledge of international and Union instruments on* law enforcement *cooperation, Union bodies, in particular Europol, Eurojust and Frontex, their functioning and role and judicial aspects of law enforcement cooperation.*

Or. en

Amendment 33
Tomáš Zdechovský

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point a

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(a) raise awareness and knowledge of:
(i) international and Union instruments on law enforcement cooperation;
(ii) Union bodies, in particular Europol, Eurojust and Frontex, their functioning and role;
(iii) judicial aspects of law enforcement cooperation and practical knowledge about access to information channels;

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 34
Monica Macovei

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point a – point i

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(i) international and Union instruments on

(i) international and Union instruments *and*

law enforcement cooperation;

activities on law enforcement cooperation;

Or. en

Amendment 35

Monica Macovei

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point a – point ii

Text proposed by the Commission

(ii) Union bodies, in particular Europol, Eurojust *and Frontex*, their functioning and role;

Amendment

(ii) Union bodies, in particular Europol, Eurojust, *Frontex and the future European Public Prosecutor's Office*, their functioning and role;

Or. en

Amendment 36

Sophia in 't Veld, Cecilia Wikström, Gérard Deprez

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point a – point iii a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(iiia) fundamental rights aspects of law enforcement cooperation, including privacy, data protection and the rights, support and protection of victims; including safeguarding the rights of victims of gender based violence (GBV);

Or. en

Amendment 37

Sylvia-Yvonne Kaufmann, Birgit Sippel

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point a a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(aa) promote a common respect for and understanding of fundamental and human rights, as crucial elements of common police ethics, especially regarding the rights of crime victims, witnesses and suspects or accused persons;

Or. en

Amendment 38

Tomáš Zdechovský

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(b) encourage the development of regional and bilateral cooperation among Member States and between Member States, Union bodies and third countries;.

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 39

Tomáš Zdechovský

Proposal for a regulation

Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point c

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(c) address specific criminal or policing thematic areas where training at Union level can add value;

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 40
Tomáš Zdechovský

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point d

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(d) devise specific common curricula for law enforcement officers to train them for participation in Union missions; ***deleted***

Or. en

Amendment 41
Tomáš Zdechovský

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point e

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(e) support Member States and Union bodies in law enforcement capacity-building activities in third countries; ***deleted***

Or. en

Amendment 42
Tomáš Zdechovský

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point f

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

(f) train trainers and assist in improving and exchanging good learning practices. ***deleted***

Or. en

Amendment 43
Eleftherios Synadinos

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 1 – point a a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

***(aa) strengthening cooperation networks
of law enforcement agencies in managing
flows of illegal immigration;***

Or. el

Amendment 44
Tomáš Zdechovský

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

***2. CEPOL shall develop and regularly
update learning tools and methodologies
and apply these in a lifelong learning
perspective to strengthen the skills of law
enforcement officers. CEPOL shall
evaluate the results of these actions with a
view to enhancing the quality, coherence
and effectiveness of future actions.***

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 45
Tomáš Zdechovský

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

***3. CEPOL shall bring together the
network of training institutes of the***

deleted

Member States for law enforcement officers and shall liaise with a single National unit in each Member State functioning within the network, and all other competent authorities in the Member States whose tasks include the training of law enforcement officers

Or. en

Amendment 46
Tomáš Zdechovský

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

4. The learning activities referred to in paragraph 1 shall be implemented by CEPOL in cooperation with the network of training Institutes of the Member States in accordance with the financial rules applicable to CEPOL.

deleted

Or. en

Amendment 47
Tomáš Zdechovský

Proposal for a regulation
Article 3 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 3a

Activities of CEPOL shall raise awareness and knowledge of international and Union instruments on law enforcement cooperation, Union bodies, in particular Europol, Eurojust and Frontex, their functioning and role and judicial aspects of law enforcement cooperation.

Justification

Justification: Article 3 was made clearer and limited only to objectives. Tasks were moved to Article 4 (1). Article was also shortened in line with Guideline 4, point 4, 5 and 5.2 of Joint Practical Guide for drafting of EU legislation.

Amendment 48
Tomáš Zdechovský

Proposal for a regulation
Article 4 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. CEPOL shall *prepare multi-annual strategic training needs analyses and multi-annual learning programmes.*

Amendment

1. CEPOL shall *in particular:*

(a) encourage the development of regional and bilateral cooperation among Member States and between Member States, Union bodies and third countries;

(b) address specific criminal or policing thematic areas where training at Union level can add value;

(c) devise specific common curricula for law enforcement officers to train them for participation in Union missions;

(d) support Member States and Union bodies in law enforcement capacity-building activities in third countries;

(e) train trainers and assist in improving and exchanging good learning practices;

(f) promote law enforcement leadership and language skills.

Justification

Moved from previous Art. 3 (1) since it enumerates tasks not objectives.

Amendment 49

Gérard Deprez, Nathalie Griesbeck, Cecilia Wikström, Louis Michel

Proposal for a regulation

Article 4 – paragraph 2 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) common curricula to raise awareness, address gaps and/or facilitate a common approach in relation to cross-border criminal phenomena;

Amendment

(b) common curricula to raise awareness ***and knowledge***, address gaps and/or facilitate a common approach in relation to cross-border criminal phenomena, ***in particular with regard to terrorism, cybercrime, financial investigation, and participation in Union missions***;

Or. fr

Amendment 50

Tomáš Zdechovský

Proposal for a regulation

Article 4 – paragraph 5

Text proposed by the Commission

5. CEPOL may engage in communication activities on its own initiative in the fields within its mandate. ***Communication activities shall not be detrimental to the tasks referred to in paragraph 1 and shall be carried out in accordance with relevant communication and dissemination plans adopted by the Management Board.***

Amendment

5. CEPOL may engage in communication activities on its own initiative in the fields within its mandate.

Or. en

Justification

It is not possible to make obligations in a Regulation subject to external documents which were not published (see Guideline 16 of the Joint Practical Guide for drafting of EU legislation).

Amendment 51
Tomáš Zdechovský

Proposal for a regulation
Article 4 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 4a

CEPOL shall prepare multi-annual strategic training needs analyses and multi-annual learning programmes.

Or. en

Amendment 52
Tomáš Zdechovský

Proposal for a regulation
Article 4 b (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 4b

CEPOL shall develop and regularly update learning tools and methodologies and apply these in a lifelong learning perspective to strengthen the skills of law enforcement officers. CEPOL shall evaluate the results of these actions with a view to enhancing the quality, coherence and effectiveness of future actions.

Or. en

Justification

Previous Article 3 (2) since it is a task not an objective.

Amendment 53
Tomáš Zdechovský

Proposal for a regulation
Article 4 c (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 4c

CEPOL shall bring together Member States' training institutes for law enforcement officers in order to create a network. It shall liaise with a single National unit in each Member State functioning within the network, and all other competent authorities in the Member States whose tasks include the training of law enforcement officers.

Or. en

Justification

Previous Article 3 (3) since it is a task not an objective.

Amendment 54
Tomáš Zdechovský

Proposal for a regulation
Article 4 d (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

Article 4d

The learning activities referred to in Article 3 (1) shall be implemented by CEPOL in cooperation with the network of training Institutes of the Member States in accordance with the financial rules applicable to CEPOL.

Or. en

Justification

Previous Article 3 (4) since it is a task not an objective.

Amendment 55
Cecilia Wikström

Proposal for a regulation
Article 5 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. CEPOL shall contribute to the development of research relevant for training activities covered by this Regulation particularly with regard to the fight against serious crime and more general cross border criminal matters in training activities.

Amendment

1. CEPOL shall contribute to the development of research relevant for training activities covered by this Regulation particularly with regard to ***fundamental rights***, the fight against serious crime and more general cross border criminal matters in training activities.

Or. en

Amendment 56
Sylvie Guillaume, Monika Flašíková Beňová, Christine Revault D’Allonnes Bonnefoy

Proposal for a regulation
Article 6 – paragraph 3 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

3. The Heads of the national ***units*** shall meet on a regular basis, at the request of the Management Board or the Executive Director, ***to assist CEPOL with operational matters, and in particular to:***

Amendment

3. The Heads of the National ***Single Point of Contact*** shall meet on a regular basis, at the request of the Management Board or the Executive Director ***and can collaborate with CEPOL.***

Or. en

Amendment 57
Angel Dzhambazki

Proposal for a regulation
Article 6 – paragraph 3 – point b

Text proposed by the Commission

(b) organise and coordinate appropriate nominations of participants for activities at the national level in a **timely** manner;

Amendment

(b) organise and coordinate appropriate nominations of participants for activities at the national level in a **transparent** manner;

Or. bg

Justification

The nomination of participants for activities at the national level should be transparent, all the published positions should be universally accessible and the selection should be clearly justified.

Amendment 58

Eleftherios Synadinos

Proposal for a regulation

Article 6 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

4. Each Member State shall structure the organisation and the staff of the national unit according to its national legislation.

Amendment

4. Each Member State shall structure the organisation and the staff of the national unit according to its national legislation, **taking into account this Regulation and the Treaties applicable in each case.**

Or. el

Amendment 59

Monika Flašíková Beňová

Proposal for a regulation

Article 8 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

3. Members of the Management Board and their alternates shall be appointed in the light of their knowledge in the field of training for law enforcement officers, taking into account relevant managerial,

Amendment

3. Members of the Management Board and their alternates shall be appointed in the light of their knowledge in the field of training for law enforcement officers, taking into account relevant managerial,

administrative and budgetary skills. All parties represented in the Management Board shall make efforts to limit turnover of their representatives, in order to ensure continuity of the Management Board's work. All parties shall aim to achieve a balanced representation between men and women on the Management Board.

administrative, **educational** and budgetary skills. All parties represented in the Management Board shall make efforts to limit turnover of their representatives, in order to ensure continuity of the Management Board's work. All parties shall aim to achieve a balanced representation between men and women on the Management Board.

Or. en

Amendment 60
Eleftherios Synadinos

Proposal for a regulation
Article 8 – paragraph 4

Text proposed by the Commission

4. The term of office for members and alternate members shall be four years. That term shall be extendable.

Amendment

4. The term of office for members and alternate members shall be four years. That term shall be extendable **twice only**.

Or. el

Amendment 61
Monika Flašíková Beňová

Proposal for a regulation
Article 13 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. Each member with voting rights shall have one vote. In the absence of a member with the right to vote, his/her alternate shall be entitled to exercise his/her right to vote.

Amendment

2. Each member with voting rights shall have one vote. In the absence of a member with the right to vote, his/her alternate shall be entitled to exercise his/her right to vote, **only if having a mandate from the member with the right to vote.**

Or. en

Amendment 62
Tomáš Zdechovský

Proposal for a regulation
Article 14 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. ***Without prejudice to the powers of the Commission and the Management Board,*** the Executive Director shall be independent in the performance of the duties and shall neither seek nor take instructions from any government nor from any other body.

Amendment

2. The Executive Director shall be independent in the performance of the duties and shall neither seek nor take instructions from any government nor from any other body.

Or. en

Justification

Clearer drafting. The accountability to the Management Board and the Commission is self-evident from the logic of the text even if not mentioned. The use of the expression “without prejudice” makes this paragraph unclear (see Guideline 16, point 9 of the Joint Practical Guide for drafting of EU legislation).

Amendment 63
Tomáš Zdechovský

Proposal for a regulation
Article 14 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

3. ***The Executive Director shall report to the European Parliament on the performance of the duties when invited to do so.*** The Council may *invite* the Executive Director to report on the performance of his/**her** duties.

Amendment

3. The European Parliament **or** the Council may **request** the Executive Director to report on the performance of his duties.

Or. en

Amendment 64
Tomáš Zdechovský

Proposal for a regulation
Article 14 – paragraph 5 – introductory part

Text proposed by the Commission

5. The Executive Director shall be responsible for the implementation of the tasks assigned to CEPOL by this Regulation. In particular, ***the Executive Director shall be responsible for:***

Amendment

5. The Executive Director shall be responsible for the implementation of the tasks assigned to CEPOL by this Regulation, in particular:

Or. en

Amendment 65
Dennis de Jong
on behalf of the GUE/NGL Group

Proposal for a regulation
Article 15 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. The Scientific Committee for Training shall be composed of 11 persons of the highest academic or professional standing in the subjects covered by ***Article*** 4 of this Regulation. The Management Board shall appoint the members following a transparent call for applications and selection procedure to be published in the Official Journal of the European Union. The members of the Management Board shall not be members of the Scientific Committee for Training. The members of the Scientific Committee for Training shall be independent. They shall neither seek nor take instructions from any government, nor from any other body.

Amendment

2. The Scientific Committee for Training shall be composed of 11 persons of the highest academic or professional standing in the subjects covered by ***Articles 3 and 4*** of this Regulation, ***in particular with regard to the protection of human rights and fundamental freedoms in the context of law enforcement.*** The Management Board shall appoint the members following a transparent call for applications and selection procedure to be published in the Official Journal of the European Union. The members of the Management Board shall not be members of the Scientific Committee for Training. The members of the Scientific Committee for Training shall be independent. They shall neither seek nor take instructions from any government, nor from any other body.

Or. en

Amendment 66
Eleftherios Synadinos

Proposal for a regulation
Article 18 – paragraph 2

Text proposed by the Commission

2. Each year the Executive Director shall send to the budgetary authority all information relevant to the findings of any evaluation procedures.

Amendment

2. Each year the Executive Director shall send to the budgetary authority all information relevant to the findings of any evaluation procedures ***and also notify the Court of Auditors.***

Or. el

Amendment 67
Monika Flašíková Beňová

Proposal for a regulation
Article 24 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. CEPOL shall be a body of the Union. It shall have legal personality.

Amendment

1. CEPOL shall be a body of the ***European*** Union. It shall have legal personality.

Or. en

Amendment 68
Gérard Deprez, Nathalie Griesbeck, Cecilia Wikström, Louis Michel

Proposal for a regulation
Article 24 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

3. The seat of CEPOL shall be in Budapest, Hungary.

Amendment

3. The ***provisional*** seat of CEPOL shall be in Budapest, Hungary.

Or. fr

Justification

From an efficiency point of view, it would be highly desirable for the seat of CEPOL to be located in the same city as that of Europol, in order to maximise interaction and rationalise costs.

Amendment 69

Dennis de Jong

on behalf of the GUE/NGL Group

Proposal for a regulation

Article 24 – paragraph 3

Text proposed by the Commission

3. The seat of CEPOL shall be in
Budapest, Hungary.

Amendment

3. The seat of CEPOL shall be in ***the
Hague, the Netherlands.***

Or. en

Amendment 70

Gérard Deprez, Nathalie Griesbeck, Cecilia Wikström, Louis Michel

Proposal for a regulation

Article 24 – paragraph 3 a (new)

Text proposed by the Commission

Amendment

3a. The Commission shall present, no later than two years after the date of application of this Regulation, a cost-benefit analysis and an impact assessment on the provisional seat as compared to The Hague as an alternative scenario and, if appropriate, a legislative proposal to amend paragraph 3 accordingly.

Or. fr

Justification

From an efficiency point of view, it would be highly desirable for the seat of CEPOL to be located in the same city as that of Europol, in order to maximise interaction and rationalise costs. That is why a detailed analysis of costs and an impact assessment to compare the

provisional seat to an alternative seat in The Hague would be helpful and necessary.

Amendment 71

Gérard Deprez, Nathalie Griesbeck, Cecilia Wikström, Louis Michel

Proposal for a regulation

Article 31 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. No later than **5** years after the date ***referred to in Article 43*** and every 5 years thereafter, the Commission shall commission an evaluation to assess particularly the impact, effectiveness and efficiency of CEPOL and its working practices. The evaluation shall, in particular, address the possible need to modify the mandate of CEPOL, and the financial implications of any such modification.

Amendment

1. No later than **3** years after the date ***of application of this Regulation*** and every 5 years thereafter, the Commission shall commission an evaluation to assess particularly the impact, effectiveness and efficiency of CEPOL and its working practices. The evaluation shall, in particular, address the possible need to modify the mandate of CEPOL, and the financial implications of any such modification.

Or. fr

Justification

It would be preferable to have an initial evaluation after three years rather than waiting for five years if certain adjustments in the programmes or the organisation appear to be necessary.

Amendment 72

Dennis de Jong

on behalf of the GUE/NGL Group

Proposal for a regulation

Article 34 – paragraph 1

Text proposed by the Commission

1. The necessary arrangements concerning the accommodation to be provided for CEPOL in ***Hungary*** and the facilities to be made available by that Member State together with the specific rules applicable in the host Member State to the Executive

Amendment

1. The necessary arrangements concerning the accommodation to be provided for CEPOL in ***the Netherlands*** and the facilities to be made available by that Member State together with the specific rules applicable in the host Member State

Director, members of the Management Board, CEPOL staff and members of their families shall be laid down in a Headquarters Agreement between CEPOL and *Hungary*, concluded after obtaining the approval of the Management Board and no later than 2 years after the entry into force of this Regulation.

to the Executive Director, members of the Management Board, CEPOL staff and members of their families shall be laid down in a Headquarters Agreement between CEPOL and *the Netherlands*, concluded after obtaining the approval of the Management Board and no later than 2 years after the entry into force of this Regulation.

Or. en