



**2015/2254(INL)**

24.6.2016

# **AMENDMENTS**

## **404 - 654**

**Draft report**  
**Sophia in 't Veld**  
(PE576.988v01-00)

with recommendations to the Commission on the establishment of an EU  
mechanism on democracy, the rule of law and fundamental rights  
(2015/2254(INL))



**Amendment 404**

**Kinga Gál, Andrea Bocskor, Marijana Petir**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Annex**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

*Annex as a whole*

*deleted*

Or. en

**Amendment 405**

**Kostas Chrysogonos, Helmut Scholz, Barbara Spinelli**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Annex – Citation 1**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

– having regard to the preamble to the Treaty on European Union (TEU), in particular the second, fourth and seventh recitals thereof,

– having regard to the preamble to the Treaty on European Union (TEU), in particular the second, fourth, ***fifth*** and seventh recitals thereof,

Or. en

**Amendment 406**

**Sylvie Guillaume, Christine Revault D'Allonnes Bonnefoy**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Annex – Citation 2**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

– Having regard in particular to Article 2, Article 3(1), the second subparagraph of Article 3(3) and Articles 6 and 7 ***TEU***, and to the articles of the ***TFEU*** relating to respect for, and promotion and protection of democracy, rule of law and fundamental rights (DRF) in the Union, including Articles 70, 258,

– Having regard in particular to Article 2, Article 3(1), the second subparagraph of Article 3(3) and Articles 6, 7 ***and 11 of the Treaty on European Union***, and to the articles of the ***Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union*** relating to respect for, and promotion and protection of democracy, rule of law and

259, 260, 263 and 265 *TFEU*,

fundamental rights (DRF) in the Union, including Articles 70, 258, 259, 260, 263 and 265,

Or. fr

**Amendment 407**  
**Sophia in 't Veld**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Annex – Citation 2**

*Motion for a resolution*

– having regard in particular to Article 2, Article 3(1), the second subparagraph of Article 3(3) and Articles 6 *and* 7 TEU, and to the articles of the TFEU relating to respect for, and promotion and protection of democracy, rule of law and fundamental rights (DRF) in the Union, including Articles 70, 258, 259, 260, 263 and 265 TFEU,

*Amendment*

– having regard in particular to Article 2, Article 3(1), the second subparagraph of Article 3(3) and Articles 6, 7 *and* 11 TEU, and to the articles of the TFEU relating to respect for, and promotion and protection of democracy, rule of law and fundamental rights (DRF) in the Union, including Articles 70, 258, 259, 260, 263 and 265 TFEU,

Or. en

**Amendment 408**  
**Monika Hohlmeier, Pál Csáky, Barbara Matera, Tomáš Zdechovský, Brice Hortefeux, Emil Radev, Csaba Sógor, Mariya Gabriel, Elisabetta Gardini**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Annex – Citation 3**

*Motion for a resolution*

– having regard to Article 4(3) TEU, Article 295 TFEU and Protocol No 1 on the role of national parliaments in the European Union,

*Amendment*

– having regard to Article 4(3) *and Article 5* TEU, Article 295 TFEU and Protocol No 1 on the role of national parliaments in the European Union *and Protocol No 2 on the application of the principles of subsidiarity and proportionality*,

Or. en

**Amendment 409**  
**Csaba Sógor**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Annex – Citation 4 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

- ***having regard to the European Social Charter, in particular Article E on non-discrimination;***

Or. en

**Amendment 410**  
**Csaba Sógor**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Annex – Citation 5**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

- having regard to the Copenhagen criteria, in particular Chapters 23 and 24,

- having regard to the Copenhagen criteria ***and other Union law with which a candidate country must comply if it wishes to join the Union (the acquis)***, in particular Chapters 23 and 24,

Or. en

**Amendment 411**  
**József Nagy**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Annex – Citation 5**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

- having regard to the Copenhagen criteria, in particular Chapters 23 and 24,

- having regard to the Copenhagen criteria, in particular Chapters 23 and 24 ***and the existing double standards concerning accession countries and Member States,***

**Amendment 412**  
**Sophia in 't Veld**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Annex – Citation 7 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

- *having regard to the 'Memorandum of Understanding between the Council of Europe and the European Union', 23 May 2007,*

Or. en

**Amendment 413**  
**Csaba Sógor**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Annex – Citation 7 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

- *having regard to the Framework Convention for the Protection of National Minorities of the Council of Europe,*

Or. en

**Amendment 414**  
**Csaba Sógor**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Annex – Citation 7 b (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

- *having regard to the European Charter for Regional or Minority Languages of the Council of Europe,*

**Amendment 415**

**Timothy Kirkhope, Arne Gericke, Branislav Škripek**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Annex – Citation 10**

*Motion for a resolution*

– having regard to the publications of the European Union Agency for Fundamental Rights (FRA), ***including the proposed European Fundamental Rights Information System (EFRIS) in the FRA paper 'Fundamental rights in the future of the European Union's Justice and Home Affairs', 31 December 2013<sup>1</sup>***,

---

<sup>1</sup>[http://fra.europa.eu/sites/default/files/fra\\_submission\\_on\\_the\\_future\\_of\\_eu\\_justice.pdf](http://fra.europa.eu/sites/default/files/fra_submission_on_the_future_of_eu_justice.pdf)

*Amendment*

– having regard to the publications of the European Union Agency for Fundamental Rights (FRA),

**Amendment 416**

**Sophia in 't Veld**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Annex – Citation 10 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

– ***having regard to the UN Approach to Rule of Law Assistance of April 2008,***

**Amendment 417**

**Sophia in 't Veld**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Annex – Citation 10 b (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

- *having regard to the UN Sustainable Development Goals, in particular No Goal*

Or. en

**Amendment 418**  
**Sophia in 't Veld**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Annex – Citation 10 c (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

- *having regard to the COSAC Twenty-fifth Bi-annual Report: Developments in European Union Procedures and Practices Relevant to Parliamentary Scrutiny of 18th May 2016,*

Or. en

**Amendment 419**  
**Sophia in 't Veld**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Annex – Citation 11 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

- *having regard to the Opinion of the European Union Agency for Fundamental Rights on the development of an integrated tool of objective fundamental rights indicators able to measure compliance with the shared values listed in Article 2 TEU based on existing sources of information of 8 April 2016,*

Or. en



**Amendment 420**  
**Sophia in 't Veld**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Annex – Citation 14 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

- *having regard to the first and second rule of law dialogue during the Luxembourg and Dutch Presidency of the Council of the EU, 17 November 2015 and 24 May 2016,*

Or. en

**Amendment 421**  
**Sophia in 't Veld**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Annex – Citation 15 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

- *having regard to the 'Strategy for the effective implementation of the Charter of Fundamental Rights by the European Union' of 19 October 2010,*

Or. en

**Amendment 422**  
**Sophia in 't Veld**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Annex – Citation 15 b (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

- *having regard to the Commission's Staff Working Paper 6 May 2011, Operational Guidance on taking account of Fundamental rights in Commission*

**Amendment 423**

**Sophia in 't Veld**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Annex – Citation 16 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

– *having regard to the Commission's  
Annual Colloquium on Fundamental  
Rights,*

**Amendment 424**

**József Nagy**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Annex – Citation 18**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

– *having regard to the statement by  
First Vice President Timmermans on the  
situation in Hungary of 19 May 2015,  
SPEECH/15/5010,* *deleted*

**Amendment 425**

**Timothy Kirkhope, Arne Gericke, Branislav Škripek**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Annex – Citation 18**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

– *having regard to the statement by  
First Vice President Timmermans on the* *deleted*

*situation in Hungary of 19 May 2015,  
SPEECH/15/5010,*

Or. en

**Amendment 426**

**Frank Engel, Michal Boni, Therese Comodini Cachia, Carlos Coelho, Monika Hohlmeier, Jeroen Lenaers**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Annex – Citation 18**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

– *having regard to the statement by  
First Vice-President Timmermans on the  
situation in Hungary of 19 May 2015,  
SPEECH/15/5010,* *deleted*

Or. en

**Amendment 427**

**József Nagy**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Annex – Citation 19**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

– *having regard to the statement by  
First Vice President Timmermans and  
Commissioner Oettinger on the situation  
in Poland of 19 January 2016,  
SPEECH/16/114,* *deleted*

Or. en

**Amendment 428**

**Timothy Kirkhope, Arne Gericke, Branislav Škripek**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Annex – Citation 19**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

– *having regard to the statement by  
First Vice President Timmermans and  
Commissioner Oettinger on the situation  
in Poland of 19 January 2016,  
SPEECH/16/114,* *deleted*

Or. en

**Amendment 429**

**Frank Engel, Michał Boni, Therese Comodini Cachia, Carlos Coelho, Monika  
Hohlmeier, Jeroen Lenaers**

**Motion for a resolution  
Annex – Citation 19**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

– *having regard to the statement by  
First Vice-President Timmermans and  
Commissioner Oettinger on the situation  
in Poland of 19 January 2016,  
SPEECH/16/114,* *deleted*

Or. en

**Amendment 430**

**József Nagy**

**Motion for a resolution  
Annex – Citation 20**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

– *having regard to the Commission's  
launch of a dialogue with the Polish  
government under the Rule of Law  
Framework, announced 13 January 2016,* *deleted*

Or. en

**Amendment 431**  
**Timothy Kirkhope, Arne Gericke, Branislav Škripek**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Annex – Citation 20**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

– *having regard to the Commission's launch of a dialogue with the Polish government under the Rule of Law Framework, announced 13 January 2016,* *deleted*

Or. en

**Amendment 432**  
**Ulrike Lunacek**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Annex – Citation 20**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

– having regard to the Commission's launch of a dialogue with the Polish government under the Rule of Law Framework, announced 13 January 2016,

– having regard to the Commission's launch of a dialogue with the Polish government under the Rule of Law Framework, announced 13 January 2016, *and the Rule of Law Opinion adopted on 1 June 2016,*

Or. en

**Amendment 433**  
**Monika Hohlmeier, Pál Csáky, Barbara Matera, Tomáš Zdechovský, Brice Hortefeux, Csaba Sógor, Elisabetta Gardini**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Annex – Citation 20**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

– *having regard to the Commission's launch of a dialogue with the Polish government under the Rule of Law Framework, announced 13 January 2016,* *deleted*

**Amendment 434**

**Timothy Kirkhope, Arne Gericke, Branislav Škripek**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Annex – Citation 21**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

– *having regard to the Parliament resolution of 7 July 2013 on the situation of fundamental rights: standards and practices in Hungary, (2012/2130(INI)), in particular paragraph 79,* *deleted*

Or. en

**Amendment 435**

**Monika Hohlmeier, Pál Csáky, Barbara Matera, Tomáš Zdechovský, Brice Hortefeux, Csaba Sógor, Michal Boni, Elisabetta Gardini**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Annex – Citation 21**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

– *having regard to the Parliament resolution of 7 July 2013 on the situation of fundamental rights: standards and practices in Hungary, (2012/2130(INI)), in particular paragraph 79,* *deleted*

Or. en

**Amendment 436**

**Timothy Kirkhope, Arne Gericke, Branislav Škripek**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Annex – Citation 24**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

– *having regard to its resolution of 10 June 2015 on the situation in Hungary (2015/2700(RSP)), in particular paragraph 12,* *deleted*

Or. en

**Amendment 437**

**Monika Hohlmeier, Pál Csáky, Barbara Matera, Tomáš Zdechovský, Brice Hortefeux, Csaba Sógor, Michał Boni, Elisabetta Gardini**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Annex – Citation 24**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

– *having regard to its resolution of 10 June 2015 on the situation in Hungary (2015/2700(RSP)), in particular paragraph 12,* *deleted*

Or. en

**Amendment 438**

**Sophia in 't Veld**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Annex – Citation 24 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

– *having regard to the Parliament resolution of 16 December 2015 on the situation in Hungary: follow-up to the European Parliament Resolution of 10 June 2015,*

Or. en

**Amendment 439**  
**Sophia in 't Veld**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Annex – Citation 24 b (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

– *having regard to the Parliament resolution of 13 April 2016 on the situation in Poland,*

Or. en

**Amendment 440**  
**Monika Hohlmeier, Pál Csáky, Barbara Matera, Tomáš Zdechovský, Emil Radev, Mariya Gabriel, Elisabetta Gardini**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Annex – Recital 1**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

(1) Whereas there is a need for a democracy, rule of law and fundamental rights (DRF) mechanism that is objective, evidence-based and applied equally and fairly to all Member States and that includes both the preventative and the corrective dimension;

(1) Whereas there is a need for a democracy, rule of law and fundamental rights (DRF) mechanism that is objective, evidence-based and applied equally and fairly to all Member States, *while respecting the principle of subsidiarity, necessity and proportionality* and that includes both the preventative and the corrective dimension;

Or. en

**Amendment 441**  
**Barbara Spinelli, Kostas Chrysogonos, Helmut Scholz**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Annex – Recital 1**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

(1) Whereas there is a need for a democracy, rule of law and fundamental

(1) Whereas there is a need for a democracy, rule of law and fundamental



rights (DRF) mechanism that is objective, evidence-based and applied equally and fairly to all Member States and that includes both the preventative and the corrective dimension;

rights (DRF) mechanism that is objective, evidence-based and applied equally and fairly to all Member States *as well as to the institutions of the Union* and that includes both the preventative and the corrective dimension;

Or. en

#### **Amendment 442**

**Christine Revault D'Allonnes Bonnefoy, Sylvie Guillaume**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

##### **Annex – Recital 1**

###### *Motion for a resolution*

(1) Whereas there is a need for a democracy, rule of law and fundamental rights (DRF) mechanism that is objective, evidence-based and applied equally and fairly to all Member States and that includes both the preventative and the corrective dimension;

###### *Amendment*

(1) Whereas there is a need for a democracy, rule of law and fundamental rights (DRF) mechanism that is objective, *impartial*, evidence-based and applied equally and fairly to all Member States and that includes both the preventative and the corrective dimension;

Or. fr

#### **Amendment 443**

**Barbara Spinelli, Kostas Chrysogonos, Helmut Scholz**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

##### **Annex – Recital 2**

###### *Motion for a resolution*

(2) Whereas the primary objective of such a mechanism should be to prevent violations and non-respect of DRF, while at the same time providing the tools needed to render both the preventative and corrective arms of Article 7 TEU operational in practice;

###### *Amendment*

(2) Whereas the primary objective of such a mechanism should be to prevent violations and non-respect of DRF, while at the same time providing the tools needed to render both the preventative and corrective arms of Article 7 TEU, *as well as the other instruments provided for in the Treaties*, operational in practice;

Or. en

**Amendment 444**

**Timothy Kirkhope, Arne Gericke, Branislav Škripek**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Annex – Recital 4**

*Motion for a resolution*

(4) Whereas elaborating definitions, standards and benchmarks regarding DRF is not a one-off decision but, rather, *a permanent and interactive process based on broad public debate and consultation, regular review and the sharing of best practices;*

*Amendment*

(4) Whereas elaborating definitions, standards and benchmarks regarding DRF is not a one-off decision but, rather, *should be monitored by the Commission and the Member States;*

Or. en

**Amendment 445**

**Timothy Kirkhope, Arne Gericke, Branislav Škripek**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Annex – Recital 5**

*Motion for a resolution*

(5) Whereas *only* a mechanism that has broad support of Union citizens *and allows them to take ownership of the process can* be effective;

*Amendment*

(5) Whereas a mechanism that has broad support of Union citizens *may* be effective;

Or. en

**Amendment 446**

**József Nagy**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Annex – Recital 6**

*Motion for a resolution*

(6) Whereas Member States are primarily responsible for upholding

*Amendment*

(6) Whereas Member States are primarily responsible for upholding

common standards but, when they fail to do so, the Union has a duty to intervene to protect its constitutional core and ensure that the values laid down in Article 2 TEU are guaranteed for all Union citizens throughout the territory of the Union;

common standards **and the principle of subsidiarity should be respected within and by the Union**, but, when they fail to do so, the Union has a duty to intervene to protect its constitutional core and ensure that the values laid down in Article 2 TEU are guaranteed for all Union citizens throughout the territory of the Union;

Or. en

#### **Amendment 447**

**Christine Revault D'Allonnes Bonnefoy, Sylvie Guillaume**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

##### **Annex – Recital 6**

###### *Motion for a resolution*

(6) Whereas Member States are primarily responsible for upholding common standards but, when they fail to do so, the Union has a duty to intervene to protect its constitutional core and ensure that the values laid down in Article 2 **TEU** are guaranteed for all Union citizens throughout the territory of the Union;

###### *Amendment*

(6) Whereas Member States are primarily responsible for upholding common standards but, when they fail to do so, the Union has a duty to intervene to protect its constitutional core and ensure that the values laid down in Article 2 **of the Treaty on European Union and in the Charter of Fundamental Rights** are guaranteed for all Union citizens **and residents** throughout the territory of the Union;

Or. fr

#### **Amendment 448**

**Sophia in 't Veld**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

##### **Annex – Recital 6 a (new)**

###### *Motion for a resolution*

###### *Amendment*

**(6a) Whereas it is important that all levels of government work closely together on the basis of their competences and responsibilities in order to identify**

*possible systemic threats to the rule of law at an early stage, and to improve the protection of the rule of law;*

Or. en

**Amendment 449**

**József Nagy**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Annex – Recital 6 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

*(6a) Whereas existing double standards should be eliminated within the Union, compliance with the Copenhagen criteria that constitutes a basis of a common minimum standard for minority protection should be implemented by all Member States, and as such their fulfilment should also be monitored;*

Or. en

**Amendment 450**

**Frank Engel, Michał Boni, Therese Comodini Cachia, Carlos Coelho, Jeroen Lenaers**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Annex – Recital 7**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

*(7) Whereas there are several instruments for addressing the risk of a serious breach of Union values but they lack clear and objective benchmarks;*

*deleted*

Or. en

**Amendment 451**

**Christine Revault D'Allonnes Bonnefoy, Sylvie Guillaume**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Annex – Recital 7**

*Motion for a resolution*

(7) Whereas there are several instruments for addressing the risk of a serious breach of Union values but they lack clear and objective benchmarks;

*Amendment*

(7) Whereas there are several instruments for addressing the risk of a serious breach of Union values but they lack clear and objective benchmarks **and are insufficiently strong and dissuasive to prevent infringements of the rule of law and fundamental rights**;

Or. fr

**Amendment 452**

**Monika Hohlmeier, Pál Csáky, Barbara Matera, Tomáš Zdechovský, Brice Hortefeux, Elisabetta Gardini**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Annex – Recital 7**

*Motion for a resolution*

(7) Whereas there are several instruments for addressing the risk of a serious breach of Union values **but they lack clear and objective benchmarks**;

*Amendment*

(7) Whereas there are several instruments for addressing the risk of a serious breach of Union values;

Or. en

**Amendment 453**

**Timothy Kirkhope, Arne Gericke, Branislav Škripek**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Annex – Recital 7**

*Motion for a resolution*

(7) Whereas there are several instruments for addressing the risk of a serious breach of Union values **but they lack clear and objective benchmarks**;

*Amendment*

(7) Whereas there are several instruments for addressing the risk of a serious breach of Union values;

Or. en

**Amendment 454**

**Christine Revault D'Allonnes Bonnefoy, Sylvie Guillaume**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Annex – Recital 7 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**(7a) Whereas financial penalties, including the freezing of European funds, should be imposed on Member States that refuse to comply with the principles and values of the EU;**

Or. fr

**Amendment 455**

**Barbara Spinelli, Kostas Chrysogonos, Helmut Scholz**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Annex – Article 1**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

The core values and foundational principles of the Union, namely democracy, the rule of law and fundamental rights (DRF), shall be ***upheld*** throughout the Union in a Union Pact on DRF, which shall consist of the definition, elaboration, monitoring and enforcement of those values and principles, and apply to both the Member States and the institutions of the Union;

The core values and foundational principles of the Union, namely democracy, the rule of law and fundamental rights (DRF), shall be ***respected, protected and promoted*** throughout the Union in a Union Pact on DRF, which shall consist of the definition, elaboration, monitoring and enforcement of those values and principles, and apply to both the Member States and the institutions of the Union;

Or. en

**Amendment 456**

**Timothy Kirkhope, Arne Gericke, Branislav Škripek**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Annex – Article 1**

*Motion for a resolution*

The core values and foundational principles of the Union, namely democracy, the rule of law and fundamental rights (DRF), shall be upheld throughout the Union in a Union Pact on DRF, which shall consist of the definition, elaboration, monitoring and enforcement of those values and principles, ***and apply to both the Member States and the institutions of the Union;***

*Amendment*

The core values and foundational principles of the Union, namely democracy, the rule of law and fundamental rights (DRF), shall be upheld throughout the Union in a Union Pact on DRF, which shall consist of the definition, elaboration, monitoring and enforcement of those values and principles, ***which are overseen by the Commission in conjunction with Member States;***

Or. en

**Amendment 457**

**Christine Revault D'Allonnes Bonnefoy, Sylvie Guillaume**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Annex – Article 1**

*Motion for a resolution*

The core values and foundational principles of the Union, namely democracy, the rule of law and fundamental rights (DRF), shall be upheld throughout the Union in a Union Pact on DRF, which shall consist of the definition, elaboration, monitoring and enforcement of those values and principles, and apply to both the Member States and the institutions of the Union;

*Amendment*

The core values and foundational principles of the Union, namely democracy, the rule of law and fundamental rights (DRF), shall be upheld throughout the Union in a Union Pact on DRF, which shall consist of the definition, elaboration, monitoring and enforcement of those values and principles, and apply to both the Member States and the institutions, ***organs and organisms*** of the Union;

*(This amendment applies throughout the legislative text under review. Adopting it will necessitate corresponding changes throughout.)*

Or. fr

**Amendment 458**

**Timothy Kirkhope, Arne Gericke, Branislav Škripek**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Annex – Article 2**

*Motion for a resolution*

The core elements of the Union Pact on DRF shall consist of the DRF Scoreboard, the DRF Semester, ***including an annual inter-parliamentary debate on the basis of that Scoreboard***, and arrangements for remedying possible risks and breaches ***and for the activation of the preventative or corrective arms of Article 7 of the Treaty on European Union (TEU), and a DRF policy cycle within the institutions of the Union;***

*Amendment*

The core elements of the Union Pact on DRF shall consist of the DRF Scoreboard, the DRF Semester and ***consultative*** arrangements for remedying possible risks and breaches;

Or. en

**Amendment 459**

**Frank Engel, Michal Boni, Therese Comodini Cachia, Carlos Coelho, Jeroen Lenaers**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Annex – Article 2**

*Motion for a resolution*

The ***core elements of the*** Union Pact on DRF shall consist of ***the DRF Scoreboard, the DRF Semester, including*** an annual inter-parliamentary debate on the basis of ***that Scoreboard***, and arrangements for remedying possible risks and breaches and for the activation of the preventative or corrective arms of Article 7 of the Treaty on European Union (TEU), and a DRF policy cycle within the institutions of the Union;

*Amendment*

The Union Pact on DRF shall consist of ***a European Report with country-specific recommendations and incorporating, where possible, the reporting of the FRA, the Council of Europe, and other relevant authorities in the field.*** An annual inter-parliamentary debate, on the basis of ***that European Report***; and arrangements for remedying possible risks and breaches and for the activation of the preventive or corrective arms of Article 7 of the Treaty on European Union (TEU), and a DRF policy cycle within the institutions of the Union;

Or. en



## Amendment 460

Monika Hohlmeier, Pál Csáky, Barbara Matera, Tomáš Zdechovský, Emil Radev, Mariya Gabriel, Elisabetta Gardini

### Motion for a resolution

#### Annex – Article 2

##### *Motion for a resolution*

The *core elements of the* Union Pact on DRF shall consist of *the DRF Scoreboard, the DRF Semester, including an* annual inter-parliamentary debate on the basis of that *Scoreboard*, and arrangements for remedying possible risks and breaches and for the activation of the preventative or corrective arms of Article 7 of the Treaty on European Union (TEU), and a DRF policy cycle within the institutions of the Union;

##### *Amendment*

The Union Pact on DRF shall consist of *a European Report with country-specific recommendations (the Report) and incorporating, where possible, the reporting of the FRA, the Council of Europe, and other relevant authorities in the field, a bi-annual* inter-parliamentary debate on the basis of that *Report*, and arrangements for remedying possible risks and breaches, *as provided for by the Treaties* and for the activation of the preventative or corrective arms of Article 7 of the Treaty on European Union (TEU), and a DRF policy cycle within the institutions of the Union;

Or. en

## Amendment 461

József Nagy

### Motion for a resolution

#### Annex – Article 2

##### *Motion for a resolution*

The core elements of the Union Pact on DRF shall consist of the DRF *Scoreboard*, the DRF Semester, including an annual inter-parliamentary debate on the basis of that *Scoreboard*, and arrangements for remedying possible risks and breaches and for the activation of the preventative or corrective arms of Article 7 of the Treaty on European Union (TEU), and a DRF policy cycle within the institutions of the Union;

##### *Amendment*

The core elements of the Union Pact on DRF shall consist of the DRF *monitoring reports*, the DRF Semester, including an annual inter-parliamentary debate on the basis of that *monitoring reports* and arrangements for remedying possible risks and breaches and for the activation of the preventative or corrective arms of Article 7 of the Treaty on European Union (TEU), and a DRF policy cycle within the institutions of the Union;

**Amendment 462**

**Sylvie Guillaume, Christine Revault D'Allonnes Bonnefoy**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Annex – Article 2**

*Motion for a resolution*

The core elements of the Union Pact on DRF *shall* consist of the DRF Scoreboard, the DRF Semester, including an annual inter-parliamentary debate on the basis of that Scoreboard, and arrangements for remedying possible risks and breaches and for the activation of the preventative or corrective arms of Article 7 of the Treaty on European Union (TEU), and a DRF policy cycle within the institutions of the Union.

*Amendment*

The core elements of the Union Pact on DRF consist of the DRF Scoreboard, the DRF Semester, including an annual inter-parliamentary debate on the basis of that Scoreboard *and a hearing of civil society representatives*, and arrangements for remedying possible risks and breaches and for the activation of the preventative or corrective arms of Article 7 of the Treaty on European Union (TEU), and a DRF policy cycle within the institutions of the Union.

Or. fr

**Amendment 463**

**Frank Engel, Michał Boni, Therese Comodini Cachia, Carlos Coelho, Monika Hohlmeier, Jeroen Lenaers**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Annex – Article 3**

*Motion for a resolution*

The DRF *Semester shall be expanded to* incorporate the Commission's Rule of Law Framework and the Council's Rule of Law Dialogue into a single Union instrument;

*Amendment*

The *Union Pact on* DRF shall incorporate the Commission's Rule of Law Framework and the Council's Rule of Law Dialogue into a single Union instrument;

Or. en

**Amendment 464**

**Timothy Kirkhope, Arne Gericke, Branislav Škripek**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Annex – Article 3**

*Motion for a resolution*

The DRF Semester shall ***be expanded to*** incorporate the Commission's Rule of Law Framework and the Council's Rule of Law Dialogue ***into a single Union instrument***;

*Amendment*

The DRF Semester shall incorporate the Commission's Rule of Law Framework and the Council's Rule of Law Dialogue;

Or. en

**Amendment 465**

**Frank Engel, Michal Boni, Therese Comodini Cachia, Carlos Coelho, Monika Hohlmeier, Jeroen Lenaers**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Annex – Section I**

*Motion for a resolution*

**DRF Scoreboard**

*Amendment*

**DRF European Report**

Or. en

**Amendment 466**  
**József Nagy**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Annex – Section 1**

*Motion for a resolution*

**DRF scoreboard**

*Amendment*

**DRF monitoring reports**

Or. en

**Amendment 467**  
**Timothy Kirkhope, Arne Gericke, Branislav Škripek**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Annex – Article 4**

*Motion for a resolution*

An annual scoreboard on the state of DRF in the Member States shall be ***elaborated by an independent panel of experts and adopted by the Commission***;

*Amendment*

An annual scoreboard on the state of DRF in the Member States shall be ***created by the Commission in consultation with an independent panel of experts***;

Or. en

**Amendment 468**

**Barbara Spinelli, Kostas Chrysogonos, Helmut Scholz**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Annex – Article 4**

*Motion for a resolution*

An annual scoreboard on the state of DRF in the Member States shall be elaborated by an independent panel of experts and adopted by the Commission;

*Amendment*

An annual scoreboard on the state of DRF in the Member States ***as well as on their degree of implementation at Union level by the institutions of the Union*** shall be elaborated by an independent panel of experts and ***automatically*** adopted by the Commission;

Or. en

**Amendment 469**

**Frank Engel, Michał Boni, Therese Comodini Cachia, Carlos Coelho, Jeroen Lenaers**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Annex – Article 4**

*Motion for a resolution*

An annual ***scoreboard*** on the state of DRF in the Member States shall be elaborated by an independent panel of experts and ***adopted by*** the Commission;

*Amendment*

An annual ***European Report*** on the state of DRF in the Member States shall be elaborated ***and adopted with a two-thirds majority*** by an independent panel of experts and presented to the Commission ***for transmission to the European Parliament, the Council and national parliaments. The Commission may include its own assessment and***

*recommendations in that transmission;*

Or. en

**Amendment 470**

**Monika Hohlmeier, Pál Csáky, Barbara Matera, Tomáš Zdechovský, Emil Radev, Mariya Gabriel, Elisabetta Gardini**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Annex – Article 4**

*Motion for a resolution*

*An annual scoreboard* on the state of DRF in the Member States shall be elaborated by an independent panel of experts and *adopted by* the Commission;

*Amendment*

*A bi-annual Report* on the state of DRF in the Member States shall be elaborated by an independent panel of experts and *shall be forwarded to* the Commission, *which shall transmit it to the European Parliament, the Council, and the national parliaments while at the same time assessing the recommendations of the panel. Those reports shall be made available to the public;*

Or. en

**Amendment 471**

**József Nagy**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Annex – Article 4**

*Motion for a resolution*

An annual *scoreboard* on the state of DRF in the Member States shall be elaborated by an independent panel of experts and adopted by the Commission;

*Amendment*

An annual *monitoring report* on the state of DRF in the Member States shall be elaborated by an independent panel of experts and adopted by the Commission;

Or. fr

**Amendment 472**

**Christine Revault D'Allonnes Bonnefoy, Sylvie Guillaume**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Annex – Article 4**

*Motion for a resolution*

An annual scoreboard on the state of DRF in the Member States shall be elaborated by an independent *panel of experts* and adopted by the Commission.

*Amendment*

An annual scoreboard on the state of DRF in the Member States shall be elaborated by an independent *committee* and adopted by the Commission.

Or. fr

**Amendment 473**

**Frank Engel, Michal Boni, Therese Comodini Cachia, Carlos Coelho, Jeroen Lenaers**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Annex – Article 5**

*Motion for a resolution*

*The DRF Scoreboard shall incorporate, replace and complete existing instruments, in particular the Justice Scoreboard, the Cooperation and Verification Mechanism (CVM) for Bulgaria and Romania, the Media Pluralism Monitor, the anti-corruption report and peer evaluation procedures based on Article 70 of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union (TFEU);*

*Amendment*

*deleted*

Or. en

**Amendment 474**  
**Sophia in 't Veld**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Annex – Article 5**

*Motion for a resolution*

The DRF Scoreboard shall incorporate, replace and *complete* existing instruments,

*Amendment*

The DRF Scoreboard shall incorporate, replace and *complement* existing

in particular the Justice Scoreboard, the Cooperation and Verification Mechanism (CVM) for Bulgaria and Romania, the Media Pluralism Monitor, the anti-corruption report and peer evaluation procedures based on Article 70 of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union (TFEU);

instruments, in particular the Justice Scoreboard, the Cooperation and Verification Mechanism (CVM) for Bulgaria and Romania, the Media Pluralism Monitor, the anti-corruption report and peer evaluation procedures based on Article 70 of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union (TFEU);

Or. en

#### **Amendment 475**

**Monika Hohlmeier, Pál Csáky, Barbara Matera, Tomáš Zdechovský, Emil Radev, Mariya Gabriel, Elisabetta Gardini**

#### **Motion for a resolution Annex – Article 5**

##### *Motion for a resolution*

The **DRF Scoreboard** shall incorporate, **replace and complete** existing instruments, in particular the Justice Scoreboard, **the Cooperation and Verification Mechanism (CVM) for Bulgaria and Romania**, the Media Pluralism Monitor, the anti-corruption report and peer evaluation procedures based on Article 70 of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union (TFEU);

##### *Amendment*

The **Report** shall incorporate existing instruments, in particular the Justice Scoreboard, the Media Pluralism Monitor, the anti-corruption report and peer evaluation procedures based on Article 70 of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union (TFEU) **and replace the Cooperation and Verification Mechanism (CVM) for Bulgaria and Romania;**

Or. en

#### **Amendment 476**

**Barbara Spinelli, Kostas Chrysogonos, Helmut Scholz**

#### **Motion for a resolution Annex – Article 5**

##### *Motion for a resolution*

The DRF Scoreboard shall incorporate, replace and complete existing instruments, **in particular** the Justice Scoreboard, the

##### *Amendment*

The DRF Scoreboard shall incorporate, replace and complete existing instruments, **among which** the Justice Scoreboard, the

Cooperation and Verification Mechanism (CVM) for Bulgaria and Romania, the Media Pluralism Monitor, the anti-corruption report and peer evaluation procedures based on Article 70 of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union (TFEU);

Cooperation and Verification Mechanism (CVM) for Bulgaria and Romania, the Media Pluralism Monitor, the anti-corruption report and peer evaluation procedures based on Article 70 of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union (TFEU);

Or. en

#### **Amendment 477**

**Frank Engel, Michał Boni, Therese Comodini Cachia, Carlos Coelho, Jeroen Lenaers**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

##### **Annex – Article 6 – introductory part**

###### *Motion for a resolution*

The DRF *Scoreboard* shall be drawn up using a variety of sources, including:

###### *Amendment*

The DRF *European Report* shall be drawn up using a variety of sources, including:

Or. en

#### **Amendment 478**

**József Nagy**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

##### **Annex – Article 6 – introductory part**

###### *Motion for a resolution*

The DRF *Scoreboard* shall be drawn up using a variety of sources, including:

###### *Amendment*

The DRF *monitoring reports* shall be drawn up using a variety of sources, including:

Or. en

#### **Amendment 479**

**Monika Hohlmeier, Pál Csáky, Barbara Matera, Tomáš Zdechovský, Brice Hortefeux, Emil Radev, Csaba Sógor, Mariya Gabriel, Elisabetta Gardini**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

##### **Annex – Article 6 – introductory part**



*Motion for a resolution*

The **DRF Scoreboard** shall be drawn up using a variety of sources, including:

*Amendment*

The **Report** shall be drawn up using a variety of sources **and the existing tools for assessment, reporting and monitoring of Member States' activities** including:

Or. en

**Amendment 480**

**Christine Revault D'Allonnes Bonnefoy, Sylvie Guillaume**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Annex – Article 6 – indent 1**

*Motion for a resolution*

– contributions from the Member States;

*Amendment*

– contributions from the Member State authorities regarding respect for democracy, the rule of law and fundamental rights;

Or. fr

**Amendment 481**

**Maite Pagazaurtundúa Ruiz**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Annex – Article 6 – indent 4**

*Motion for a resolution*

– experts, academics, non-governmental organisations (NGOs), professional and sectoral associations of, for example, judges, lawyers, and journalists;

*Amendment*

– experts, academics, non-governmental organisations (NGOs), **civil society organisations**, professional and sectoral associations of, for example, judges, lawyers, and journalists;

Or. en

**Amendment 482**

**Timothy Kirkhope, Arne Gericke, Branislav Škripek**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Annex – Article 6 – indent 5**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

- *existing indexes and benchmarks developed by international organisations and NGOs;* *deleted*

Or. en

**Amendment 483**

**Sophia in 't Veld**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Annex – Article 6 – indent 6**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

- the Council of Europe, notably the Venice Commission and the European Commission for the Efficiency of Justice (CEPEJ);
- the Council of Europe, notably the Venice Commission, *the Group of States against Corruption (GRECO) and the Congress of Local and Regional Authorities of the Council of Europe*, and the European Commission for the Efficiency of Justice (CEPEJ);

Or. en

**Amendment 484**

**Timothy Kirkhope, Arne Gericke, Branislav Škripek**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Annex – Article 6 – indent 7**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

- *non-EU international organisations such as the UN and the OECD;* *deleted*

Or. en

**Amendment 485**  
**Sophia in 't Veld**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Annex – Article 6 – indent 7**

*Motion for a resolution*

– **non-EU** international organisations such as the UN **and** the OECD;

*Amendment*

– international organisations such as the UN, **OSCE** and the OECD;

Or. en

**Amendment 486**  
**Ulrike Lunacek**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Annex – Article 6 – indent 8**

*Motion for a resolution*

– case law of the Court of Justice of the European Union (CJEU) **and** the European Court of Human Rights (ECtHR).

*Amendment*

– case law of the Court of Justice of the European Union (CJEU), the European Court of Human Rights (ECtHR) **and other international courts, tribunals and treaty bodies.**

Or. en

**Amendment 487**  
**József Nagy**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Annex – Article 6 – indent 8 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

– **an evaluation of the compliance of the country with the Copenhagen criteria.**

Or. en

**Amendment 488**

**Gérard Deprez, Louis Michel, Maite Pagazaurtundúa Ruiz**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Annex – Article 6 – indent 8 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

- *all resolutions or other relevant contributions by the European Parliament, including its annual report on the human rights situation in the European Union.*

Or. fr

**Amendment 489**

**Barbara Spinelli, Kostas Chrysogonos, Helmut Scholz**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Annex – Article 6 – indent 8 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

- *contributions from the Union institutions;*

Or. en

**Amendment 490**

**Monika Hohlmeier, Frank Engel, Pál Csáky, Barbara Matera, Tomáš Zdechovský, Emil Radev, Csaba Sógor, Mariya Gabriel, Michał Boni, Elisabetta Gardini**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Annex – Article 6 – paragraph 1 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

- All the contributions from the above-mentioned sources shall be made available to the public on the websites of the panel or the Commission.*

Or. en

**Amendment 491**  
**József Nagy**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Annex – Article 7**

*Motion for a resolution*

The DRF *Scoreboard* shall contain a general part and country-specific reports, including recommendations.

*Amendment*

The DRF *monitoring reports* shall contain a general part and country-specific reports, including recommendations.

Or. en

**Amendment 492**  
**Timothy Kirkhope, Arne Gericke, Branislav Škripek**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Annex – Article 7**

*Motion for a resolution*

The DRF Scoreboard shall contain a general part and *country-specific* reports, including recommendations.

*Amendment*

The DRF Scoreboard shall contain a general part and *specific* reports, including recommendations.

Or. en

**Amendment 493**  
**Frank Engel, Michał Boni, Therese Comodini Cachia, Monika Hohlmeier, Jeroen Lenaers**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Annex – Article 7**

*Motion for a resolution*

*The DRF Scoreboard shall contain a general part and country-specific reports, including recommendations.*

*Amendment*

*deleted*

Or. en

**Amendment 494**

**Timothy Kirkhope, Arne Gericke, Branislav Škripek**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Annex – Article 8 – introductory part**

*Motion for a resolution*

The Scoreboard shall be based on a set of indicators in *three* categories, *presented in a harmonised format and accompanied by country-specific reports*.

*Amendment*

The Scoreboard shall be based on a set of indicators in categories *set by the Commission in consultation with agencies and stakeholders*.

Or. en

**Amendment 495**

**József Nagy**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Annex – Article 8 – introductory part**

*Motion for a resolution*

The *Scoreboard* shall be based on a set of indicators in three categories, presented in a harmonised format and accompanied by country-specific reports.

*Amendment*

The *monitoring reports* shall be based on a set of indicators in three categories, presented in a harmonised format and accompanied by country-specific reports.

Or. en

**Amendment 496**

**Hohlmeier, Frank Engel, Pál Csáky, Barbara Matera, Tomáš Zdechovský, Emil Radev, Elisabetta Gardini**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Annex – Article 8 – introductory part**

*Motion for a resolution*

The *Scoreboard* shall be *based on a set of indicators in three categories*, presented in a harmonised format and accompanied by country-specific reports.

*Amendment*

The *Report* shall be presented in a harmonised format and accompanied by country-specific *recommendations and shall be elaborated with a specific focus*

*on:*

Or. en

**Amendment 497**

**Frank Engel, Michał Boni, Therese Comodini Cachia, Carlos Coelho, Jeroen Lenaers**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Annex – Article 8 – introductory part**

*Motion for a resolution*

The *Scoreboard* shall be *based on a set of indicators in three categories*, presented in a harmonised format and accompanied by country-specific reports.

*Amendment*

The *European Report* shall be presented in a harmonised format and accompanied by country-specific reports *and shall be elaborated with specific focus on:*

Or. en

**Amendment 498**

**Barbara Spinelli, Kostas Chrysogonos, Helmut Scholz**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Annex – Article 8 – introductory part**

*Motion for a resolution*

The Scoreboard shall be based on a set of indicators in three categories, presented in a harmonised format and accompanied by country-specific reports.

*Amendment*

The Scoreboard shall be based on a set of indicators in three categories, presented in a harmonised format and accompanied by country-specific *and Union institution-specific* reports.

Or. en

**Amendment 499**

**Frank Engel, Michał Boni, Therese Comodini Cachia, Carlos Coelho, Jeroen Lenaers**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Annex – Article 8 – point 1**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**Indicators of democracy:**

*deleted*

Or. en

**Amendment 500**

**Timothy Kirkhope, Arne Gericke, Branislav Škripek**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Annex – Article 8 – point 8.1**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**8.1. Indicators for Democracy:**

– Indicators for Democracy ***shall include the impartial nature of the state, the reversibility of political decisions after elections, freedom and pluralism of the media, integrity and absence of corruption, transparency and accountability.***

- *Separation of powers*
- *The impartial nature of the state*
- *The reversibility of political decisions after elections*
- *The existence of institutional checks and balances which ensure that the impartial state is not called into question*
- *The permanence of the state and institutions, based on the immutability of the constitution*
- *Freedom and pluralism of the media*
- *Integrity and absence of corruption*
- *Transparency and accountability*
- *Title V of the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union ('the Charter')*

Or. en



## **Amendment 501**

**Monika Hohlmeier, Frank Engel, Pál Csáky, Barbara Matera, Tomáš Zdechovský, Emil Radev, Elisabetta Gardini**

### **Motion for a resolution**

#### **Annex – Article 8 – point 8.1**

##### *Motion for a resolution*

#### **8.1. Indicators for Democracy:**

- Separation of powers
- The impartial nature of the state
- The reversibility of political decisions after elections
- The existence of institutional checks and balances which ensure that the impartial state is not called into question
- The permanence of the state and institutions, based on the immutability of the constitution
- Freedom and pluralism of the media
- Integrity and absence of corruption
- Transparency and accountability
- Title V of the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union ('the Charter')

##### *Amendment*

- Separation of powers
- The impartial nature of the state
- The reversibility of political decisions after elections
- The existence of institutional checks and balances which ensure that the impartial state is not called into question
- The permanence of the state and institutions, based on the immutability of the constitution
- Freedom and pluralism of the media
- Integrity and absence of corruption
- Transparency and accountability
- Title V of the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union ('the Charter')

Or. en

## **Amendment 502**

**Sylvia-Yvonne Kaufmann, Péter Niedermüller**

### **Motion for a resolution**

#### **Annex – Article 8 – point 8.1**

##### *Motion for a resolution*

#### **8.1. Indicators for Democracy**

##### *Amendment*

#### **8.2. Indicators for Democracy**

- ***Free elections at reasonable***

- |   |  |
|---|--|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>– Separation of powers</li> <li>– The impartial nature of the state</li> <br/> <li>– <i>The reversibility of political decisions after elections</i></li> <li>– <i>The existence of institutional checks and balances which ensure that the impartial state is not called into question</i></li> <li>– <i>The permanence of the state and institutions, based on the immutability of the constitution</i></li> <li>– Freedom and pluralism of the media</li> <li>– <i>Integrity and absence of corruption</i></li> <li>– <i>Transparency and accountability</i></li> <li>– Title V of the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union ('the Charter')</li> </ul> | <p><i>intervals by secret ballot</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>– Separation of powers</li> <li>– The impartial nature of the state, <i>including conflict of interest, corruption, transparency and accountability</i></li> <br/> <li>– Freedom and pluralism of the media</li> <br/> <li>– Title V of the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union ('the Charter')</li> </ul> |
|---|--|

Or. en

**Amendment 503**

**Ulrike Lunacek**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Annex – Article 8 – point 8.1 – indent 6 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

- *Freedom of expression and association for civil society organisations*

Or. en

**Amendment 504**

**Barbara Spinelli, Kostas Chrysogonos, Helmut Scholz**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Annex – Article 8 – point 8.1 – indent 6 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

– *Freedom of expression and  
freedom of assembly*

Or. en

**Amendment 505**  
**Sylvie Guillaume, Christine Revault D'Allonnes Bonnefoy**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Annex – Article 8 – point 8.1 – indent 6 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

– *Civil dialogue and participatory  
democracy*

Or. fr

**Amendment 506**  
**Sophia in 't Veld**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Annex – Article 8 – point 8.1 – indent 8 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

– *Promotion of civic space and  
effective mechanisms for civil dialogue*

Or. en

**Amendment 507**  
**Maite Pagazaurtundúa Ruiz**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Annex – Article 8 – point 8.1 – indent 9 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

- ***Right to active and passive democratic participation of citizens and freedom to create political parties***

Or. en

**Amendment 508**

**József Nagy**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Annex – Article 8 – point 8.1 – indent 9 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

- ***The status and acceptance of minorities within and by the state, as required by the Copenhagen criteria***

Or. en

**Amendment 509**

**Timothy Kirkhope, Arne Gericke, Branislav Škripek**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Annex – Article 8 – point 8.2**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

8.2 Indicators for the Rule of Law:

***Indicators for the rule of law shall include legality and legal certainty, prevention of abuse or misuse of powers, equality and non-discrimination, access to justice, corruption, surveillance and conflict of interests***

- ***Legality***
- ***Legal certainty***
- ***Prevention of abuse or misuse of powers***
- ***Equality before the law and non-discrimination***

- *Access to justice: independence and impartiality, fair trial, constitutional justice (where applicable)*
- *Particular challenges to the rule of law: corruption, conflict of interest, collection of personal data and surveillance*
- *Title V of the Charter*

Or. en

#### **Amendment 510**

**Frank Engel, Michał Boni, Therese Comodini Cachia, Carlos Coelho, Jeroen Lenaers**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

**Annex – Article 8 – point 8.2 – introductory part**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**8.2 Indicators for the Rule of Law:** *deleted*

Or. en

#### **Amendment 511**

**Monika Hohlmeier, Frank Engel, Pál Csáky, Barbara Matera, Tomáš Zdechovský, Emil Radev, Elisabetta Gardini**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

**Annex – Article 8 – point 8.2 – introductory part**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**8.2 Indicators for the Rule of Law:** *deleted*

Or. en

#### **Amendment 512**

**Sylvia-Yvonne Kaufmann, Péter Niedermüller**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

**Annex – Article 8 – point 8.2 – introductory part**

*Motion for a resolution*

**8.2.** Indicators for the Rule of Law

*Amendment*

**8.1.** Indicators for the Rule of Law:

Or. en

**Amendment 513**

**Csaba Sógor**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Annex – Article 8 – point 2 – indent 4**

*Motion for a resolution*

– Equality before the law and non-discrimination

*Amendment*

– Equality before the law and non-discrimination, ***including with regard to national minorities***

Or. en

**Amendment 514**

**József Nagy**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Annex – Article 8 – point 8.2 – indent 5**

*Motion for a resolution*

– Access to justice: independence and impartiality, fair trial, constitutional justice (where applicable)

*Amendment*

– Access to justice: independence and impartiality, fair trial, constitutional justice (where applicable), ***an independent legal profession***

Or. en

**Amendment 515**

**Sylvia-Yvonne Kaufmann, Péter Niedermüller**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Annex – Article 8 – point 8.2 – indent 6**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

– ***Particular challenges to the rule of law: corruption, conflict of interest, collection of personal data and surveillance*** ***deleted***

Or. en

**Amendment 516**

**Monika Hohlmeier, Frank Engel, Pál Csáky, Barbara Matera, Tomáš Zdechovský, Emil Radev, Elisabetta Gardini**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Annex – Article 8 – point 8.2 – indent 7**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

– Title V of the Charter

– Title **I** -V of the Charter

Or. en

**Amendment 517**

**Sophia in 't Veld**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Annex – Article 8 – point 8.2 – indent 7**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

– Title **V** of the Charter

– Title **VI** of the Charter

Or. en

**Amendment 518**

**Frank Engel, Michał Boni, Therese Comodini Cachia, Carlos Coelho, Jeroen Lenaers**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Annex – Article 8 – point 8.3 – introductory part**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**8.3 Fundamental Rights**

***deleted***

Or. en

**Amendment 519**

**Monika Hohlmeier, Frank Engel, Pál Csáky, Barbara Matera, Tomáš Zdechovský, Emil Radev, Elisabetta Gardini**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Annex – Article 8 – point 8.3 – indent 1**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

– ***Titles I to IV of the Charter***

***deleted***

Or. en

**Amendment 520**

**Barbara Spinelli, Kostas Chrysogonos, Helmut Scholz**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Annex – Article 8 – point 8.3 – indent 1 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

– ***The European Convention on human rights and related Protocols***

Or. en

**Amendment 521**

**Barbara Spinelli, Kostas Chrysogonos, Helmut Scholz**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Annex – Article 9 – introductory part**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

The assessment of the state of DRF in the Member States, as well as the development

The assessment of the state of DRF in the Member States ***and of their degree of***



of country-specific draft recommendations, shall be carried out by a broad and representative panel of independent experts ('DRF expert panel'), on the basis of a quantitative and qualitative review of the data and information available.

*implementation at Union level by the institutions of the Union*, as well as the development of country-specific *and Union institution-specific* draft recommendations, shall be carried out by a broad and representative panel of independent experts ('DRF expert panel'), on the basis of a quantitative and qualitative review of the data and information available.

Or. en

### **Amendment 522**

**Timothy Kirkhope, Arne Gericke, Branislav Škripek**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

#### **Annex – Article 9 – introductory part**

##### *Motion for a resolution*

The assessment of the state of DRF in the Member States, as well as the development of *country-specific* draft recommendations, shall be carried out by *a broad and representative panel of independent experts ('DRF expert panel')*, on the basis of a quantitative and qualitative review of the data and information available.

##### *Amendment*

The assessment of the state of DRF in the Member States, as well as the development of draft recommendations, shall be carried out by *relevant and appropriate representatives*, on the basis of a quantitative and qualitative review of the data and information available.

Or. en

### **Amendment 523**

**Monika Hohlmeier, Frank Engel, Pál Csáky, Barbara Matera, Tomáš Zdechovský, Csaba Sógor, Mariya Gabriel, Elisabetta Gardini**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

#### **Annex – Article 9 – introductory part**

##### *Motion for a resolution*

The assessment of the state of DRF in the Member States, as well as the development of country-specific draft recommendations, shall be carried out by *a broad and*

##### *Amendment*

The assessment of the state of DRF in the Member States, as well as the development of country-specific draft recommendations, shall be carried out by a panel of

*representative* panel of independent experts ('DRF expert panel'), on the basis of a quantitative and qualitative review of the data and information available.

independent experts ('DRF expert panel'), on the basis of a quantitative and qualitative review of the data and information available.

Or. en

#### **Amendment 524**

**Christine Revault D'Allonnes Bonnefoy, Sylvie Guillaume**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

#### **Annex – Article 9 – introductory part**

##### *Motion for a resolution*

The assessment of the state of DRF in the Member States, as well as the development of country-specific draft recommendations, shall be carried out by a broad and *representative panel* of independent experts ('DRF *expert panel*'), on the basis of a quantitative and qualitative review of the data and information available.

##### *Amendment*

The assessment of the state of DRF in the Member States, as well as the development of country-specific draft recommendations, shall be carried out by a broad and representative *committee* of independent experts ('DRF *independent committee*'), on the basis of a quantitative and qualitative review of the data and information available.

*('DRF expert panel' to be replaced by 'DRF independent committee' throughout the annex to the legislative text under review; adopting it will necessitate corresponding changes throughout.)*

Or. fr

#### **Amendment 525**

**József Nagy**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

#### **Annex – Article 9 – introductory part**

##### *Motion for a resolution*

The assessment of the state of DRF in the Member States, as well as the development of country-specific draft recommendations, shall be carried out by a *broad and representative* panel of independent

##### *Amendment*

The assessment of the state of DRF in the Member States, as well as the development of country-specific draft recommendations, shall be carried out by a panel of independent experts ('DRF expert panel'),

experts ('DRF expert panel'), on the basis of a quantitative and qualitative review of the data and information available.

on the basis of a quantitative and qualitative review of the data and information available

Or. en

**Amendment 526**  
**József Nagy**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Annex – Article 9 – point 9.1**

*Motion for a resolution*

- one independent expert designated by each Member State;
- *ten academic experts designated by the federation of All European Academies (ALLEA);*
- *ten experts designated by the European Network of National Human Rights Institutions (ENNHRI);*
- *two experts each designated by the Venice Commission and the Council of Europe Human Rights Commissioner;*
- *ten former judges designated by CEPEJ;*
- *two experts each designated by the United Nations (UN) and the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD).*

*Amendment*

- one independent expert designated by each Member State, *preferably a constitutional judge, academic expert or human rights expert;*
- *one Member of the European Parliament, preferably the rapporteur of report on fundamental rights in the Union.*
- *a designated Commission official .*

Or. en

**Amendment 527**  
**Frank Engel, Michał Boni, Therese Comodini Cachia, Carlos Coelho, Jeroen Lenaers**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Annex – Article 9 – point 9.1**

*Motion for a resolution*

The DRF expert panel shall be composed of the following members:

- *one independent expert designated by each Member State;*
- *ten academic experts designated by the federation of All European Academies (ALLEA);*
- *ten experts designated by the European Network of National Human Rights Institutions (ENNHRI);*
- *two experts each designated by the Venice Commission and the Council of Europe Human Rights Commissioner;*
- *ten former judges designated by CEPEJ;*
- *two experts each designated by the United Nations (UN) and the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD).*

*Amendment*

The DRF expert panel shall be composed of *one member designated by the parliament of each Member State.*

*Members of the expert panel shall be individuals qualified for assuming the office of member of the constitutional Court, where applicable, or member of the respective supreme national courts.*

Or. en

**Amendment 528**

**Timothy Kirkhope, Arne Gericke, Branislav Škripek**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Annex – Article 9 – point 9.1**

*Motion for a resolution*

The DRF expert panel shall be composed of the following members:

- one independent expert designated by each Member State;

*Amendment*

The DRF expert panel shall be composed of the following members:

- one independent expert designated by each Member State;

- **ten** experts designated by the European Network of National Human Rights Institutions (ENNHRI)
- **two** experts each designated by the Venice Commission and the Council of Europe Human Rights Commissioner;
- **ten** former judges designated by CEPEJ
- **two** experts each designated by the United Nations (UN) and the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD).

- experts designated by the European Network of National Human Rights Institutions (ENNHRI);
- experts each designated by the Venice Commission and the Council of Europe Human Rights Commissioner;
- former judges designated by CEPEJ;
- experts each designated by the United Nations (UN) and the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD).

Or. en

### **Amendment 529**

**Monika Hohlmeier, Pál Csáky, Barbara Matera, Tomáš Zdechovský, Emil Radev, Elisabetta Gardini**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

#### **Annex – Article 9 – point 9.1**

##### *Motion for a resolution*

The DRF expert panel shall be composed of ***the following members:***

- ***one independent expert designated by each Member State;***
- ***ten academic experts designated by the federation of All European Academies (ALLEA);***
- ***ten experts designated by the European Network of National Human Rights Institutions (ENNHRI);***
- ***two experts each designated by the Venice Commission and the Council of***

##### *Amendment*

The DRF expert panel shall be composed of ***one member designated by the parliament of each Member State. Members of the expert panel shall be representatives of the constitutional courts, where applicable, or members of the respective supreme national courts with appropriate expertise to participate in such a panel.***

**Europe Human Rights Commissioner;**

– *ten former judges designated by CEPEJ;*

– *two experts each designated by the United Nations (UN) and the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD).*

Or. en

### **Amendment 530**

**Louis Michel, Gérard Deprez, Maite Pagazaurtundúa Ruiz**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

**Annex – Article 9 – point 9.1 – indent 1**

##### *Motion for a resolution*

– one independent expert designated by each Member State;

##### *Amendment*

– one independent expert, *acknowledged for his experience and commitment*, designated by each Member State;

Or. fr

### **Amendment 531**

**Juan Fernando López Aguilar**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

**Annex – Article 9 – point 9.1 – indent 2 a (new)**

##### *Motion for a resolution*

##### *Amendment*

– *ten lawyers designated by the Council of Bars and Law Societies of Europe (CCBE);*

Or. en

### **Amendment 532**

**Sophia in 't Veld**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Annex – Article 9 – point 9.1 – indent 4**

*Motion for a resolution*

– *two* experts each designated by the Venice Commission and the Council of Europe Human Rights Commissioner;

*Amendment*

– *three* experts each designated by the Venice Commission, **GRECO** and the Council of Europe Human Rights Commissioner;

Or. en

**Amendment 533**  
**Csaba Sógor**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Annex – Article 9 – point 9.1 – indent 4 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

– *one expert designated by the Council of Europe Advisory Committee on the Implementation of the Framework Convention for the framework Convention on the Protection of National Minorities;*

*Amendment*

Or. en

**Amendment 534**  
**Sophia in 't Veld**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Annex – Article 9 – point 9.1 – indent 6**

*Motion for a resolution*

– *two* experts each designated by the United Nations (UN) and the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD).

*Amendment*

– *three* experts each designated by the United Nations (UN), *the Organization for Security and Co-operation in Europe (OSCE)* and the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD).

Or. en

**Amendment 535**

**Louis Michel, Gérard Deprez, Maite Pagazaurtundúa Ruiz**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Annex – Article 9 – point 9.1 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**9.1a** *When appointing experts for the panel, account shall be taken of the need to ensure a proportional representation of different nationalities. In this regard, experts from the same Member State should not represent more than one-tenth of all members of the panel.*

Or. fr

**Amendment 536**

**Frank Engel, Michał Boni, Therese Comodini Cachia, Carlos Coelho, Jeroen Lenaers**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Annex – Article 9 – point 9.2**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**9.2** *The DRF expert panel shall be chaired by the President of the FRA Scientific Committee.* **deleted**

Or. en

**Amendment 537**

**Timothy Kirkhope, Arne Gericke, Branislav Škripek**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Annex – Article 9 – point 9.2**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**9.2** *The DRF expert panel shall be chaired by the **President of the FRA Scientific Committee.***

**9.2** *The DRF expert panel shall be chaired by the **Commission.***



**Amendment 538**

**Monika Hohlmeier, Pál Csáky, Barbara Matera, Tomáš Zdechovský, Brice Hortefeux, Elisabetta Gardini**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Annex – Article 9 – point 9.2**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**9.2 The DRF expert panel shall be chaired by the President of the FRA Scientific Committee.**

**deleted**

Or. en

**Amendment 539**

**Sophia in 't Veld**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Annex – Article 9 – point 9.2**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**9.2 The DRF expert panel shall *be chaired by the President of the FRA Scientific Committee.***

**9.2 The DRF expert panel *shall elect a chair from within its members.***

Or. en

**Amendment 540**

**Monika Hohlmeier, Pál Csáky, Barbara Matera, Tomáš Zdechovský, Csaba Sógor, Mariya Gabriel, Michal Boni, Elisabetta Gardini**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Annex – Article 9 – point 9.2 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**9.2a *The expert panel shall adopt the Report by a majority of two thirds of its members.***

**Amendment 541**

**Louis Michel, Gérard Deprez, Maite Pagazaurtundúa Ruiz**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Annex – Article 9 – point 9.2 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**9.2a Prior to their appointment, the Commission shall verify the independence of each expert making up the panel and shall submit a report to the European Parliament. The relevant European Parliament committee may decide to interview any prospective panel experts should there be any doubt as to their independence.**

Or. fr

**Amendment 542**

**Monika Hohlmeier, Pál Csáky, Barbara Matera, Tomáš Zdechovský, Emil Radev, Elisabetta Gardini**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Annex – Article 9 – point 9.3**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

9.3 In order to facilitate the development of the draft **DRF Scoreboard** and **draft** country recommendations, the Commission shall provide a secretariat to the DRF expert panel, enabling it to function efficiently, in particular by gathering data and information sources to be reviewed and assessed, and by providing administrative support during the drafting process.

9.3 In order to facilitate the development of the draft **Report** and country recommendations, the Commission shall provide a secretariat to the DRF expert panel, enabling it to function efficiently, in particular by gathering data and information sources to be reviewed and assessed, and by providing administrative support during the drafting process.

Or. en

## Amendment 543

Barbara Spinelli, Marina Albiol Guzmán, Kostas Chrysogonos

### Motion for a resolution

#### Annex – Article 9 – point 9.3

##### *Motion for a resolution*

9.3 In order to facilitate the development of the draft DRF Scoreboard and draft country recommendations, the Commission shall provide *a* secretariat to the DRF expert panel, enabling it to function efficiently, in particular by gathering data and information sources to be reviewed and assessed, and by providing administrative support during the drafting process.

##### *Amendment*

9.3 In order to facilitate the development of the draft DRF Scoreboard, draft country recommendations and ***draft Union institution recommendations***, the Commission shall provide ***an independent*** secretariat to the DRF expert panel, enabling it to function efficiently, in particular by gathering data and information sources to be reviewed and assessed, and by providing administrative support during the drafting process.

Or. en

## Amendment 544

József Nagy

### Motion for a resolution

#### Annex – Article 9 – point 9.3

##### *Motion for a resolution*

9.3 In order to facilitate the development of the draft DRF Scoreboard and draft country recommendations, the ***Commission shall provide a secretariat to the DRF expert panel***, enabling it to function efficiently, in particular by gathering data and information sources to be reviewed and assessed, and by providing administrative support during the drafting process.

##### *Amendment*

9.3 In order to facilitate the development of the draft DRF Scoreboard and draft country recommendations, the ***FRA should provide technical assistance and coordination for the work***, enabling it to function efficiently, in particular by gathering ***and sharing available*** data and information sources to be reviewed and assessed, and by providing administrative support during the drafting process

Or. en

## **Amendment 545**

**Frank Engel, Michał Boni, Therese Comodini Cachia, Carlos Coelho, Jeroen Lenaers**

### **Motion for a resolution**

#### **Annex – Article 9 – point 9.3**

##### *Motion for a resolution*

9.3 In order to facilitate the development of the draft DRF **Scoreboard** and **draft** country recommendations, the Commission shall provide a secretariat to the DRF expert panel, enabling it to function efficiently, in particular by gathering data and information sources to be reviewed and assessed, and by providing administrative support during the drafting process.

##### *Amendment*

9.3 In order to facilitate the development of the draft DRF **European Report** and country recommendations, the Commission shall provide a secretariat to the DRF expert panel, enabling it to function efficiently, in particular by gathering data and information sources to be reviewed and assessed, and by providing administrative support during the drafting process.

Or. en

## **Amendment 546**

**Ulrike Lunacek**

### **Motion for a resolution**

#### **Annex – Article 9 – point 9.3 a (new)**

##### *Motion for a resolution*

##### *Amendment*

**9.3a The DRF expert panel shall adopt its rules of procedure.**

Or. en

## **Amendment 547**

**Timothy Kirkhope, Arne Gericke, Branislav Škripek**

### **Motion for a resolution**

#### **Annex – Article 10 – introductory part**

##### *Motion for a resolution*

***For each of the indicators, a score shall be given by each of the panellists to each of the Member States: satisfactory***

##### *Amendment*

The members of the DRF expert panel may, however, consult with one another with a view to discussing methods and

*(green), risk (yellow), breach or violation (red). The final score shall be the average of the DRF expert panel. This scoring exercise shall be carried out on an anonymous and independent basis by each of the panellists in order to safeguard the independence of the DRF expert panel and the objectivity of the DRF Scoreboard.* The members of the DRF expert panel may, however, consult with one another with a view to discussing methods and agreed standards.

agreed standards.

Or. en

#### **Amendment 548**

**Barbara Spinelli, Marina Albiol Guzmán, Kostas Chrysogonos, Helmut Scholz**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

#### **Annex – Article 10 – introductory part**

##### *Motion for a resolution*

For each of the indicators, a score shall be given by each of the panellists to each of the Member States: satisfactory (green), risk (yellow), breach or violation (red). The final score shall be the average of the DRF expert panel. This scoring exercise shall be carried out on an anonymous and independent basis by each of the panellists in order to safeguard the independence of the DRF expert panel and the objectivity of the DRF Scoreboard. The members of the DRF expert panel may, however, consult with one another with a view to discussing methods and agreed standards.

##### *Amendment*

For each of the indicators, a score shall be given by each of the panellists to each of the Member States and *of the Union institutions under scrutiny*: satisfactory (green), risk (yellow), breach or violation (red). The final score shall be the average of the DRF expert panel. This scoring exercise shall be carried out on an anonymous and independent basis by each of the panellists in order to safeguard the independence of the DRF expert panel and the objectivity of the DRF Scoreboard. The members of the DRF expert panel may, however, consult with one another with a view to discussing methods and agreed standards.

Or. en

#### **Amendment 549**

**József Nagy**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Annex – Article 10 – introductory part**

*Motion for a resolution*

***For each of the indicators, a score shall be given by each of the panellists to each of the Member States: satisfactory (green), risk (yellow), breach or violation (red). The final score shall be the average of the DRF expert panel. This scoring exercise shall be carried out on an anonymous and independent basis by each of the panellists in order to safeguard the independence of the DRF expert panel and the objectivity of the DRF Scoreboard. The members of the DRF expert panel may, however, consult with one another with a view to discussing methods and agreed standards.***

*Amendment*

***The analysis shall be carried out on an anonymous and independent basis by each of the panellists in order to safeguard the independence of the DRF expert panel and the objectivity of the DRF **monitoring reports**. The members of the DRF expert panel may, however, consult with one another with a view to discussing methods and agreed standards.***

Or. en

**Amendment 550**

**Frank Engel, Michał Boni, Therese Comodini Cachia, Carlos Coelho, Monika Hohlmeier, Jeroen Lenaers**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Annex – Article 10 – introductory part**

*Motion for a resolution*

***For each of the indicators, a score shall be given by each of the panellists to each of the Member States: satisfactory (green), risk (yellow), breach or violation (red). The final score shall be the average of the DRF expert panel. This scoring exercise shall be carried out on an anonymous and independent basis by each of the panellists in order to safeguard the independence of the DRF expert panel and the objectivity of the DRF Scoreboard. The members of the DRF expert panel may, however, consult with one another with a view to discussing methods and agreed standards.***

*Amendment*

***deleted***

**Amendment 551**

**Frank Engel, Michał Boni, Therese Comodini Cachia, Carlos Coelho, Monika Hohlmeier, Jeroen Lenaers**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Annex – Article 10 – point 10.1**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**10.1** *The indicators shall be reviewed annually and further elaborated, refined, enriched and modified, where necessary, by common accord between the Commission, the Council and the European Parliament, following consultation with the national parliaments, experts and civil society.*

*deleted*

**Amendment 552**

**Louis Michel, Gérard Deprez, Maite Pagazaurtundúa Ruiz**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Annex – Article 10 – point 10.1**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

10.1 The indicators shall be reviewed annually and further elaborated, refined, enriched and modified, **where necessary**, by common accord between the Commission, the Council and the European Parliament, following consultation with the national parliaments, **experts** and civil society.

10.1 The indicators shall be reviewed annually **by the expert panel** and, **where necessary**, further elaborated, refined, enriched and modified, where necessary, by common accord between the Commission, the Council and the European Parliament, following consultation with the national parliaments and civil society.

**Amendment 553**

**Frank Engel, Michał Boni, Therese Comodini Cachia, Carlos Coelho, Jeroen Lenaers**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Annex – Article 11 – introductory part**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

*The DRF Scoreboard shall be adopted as follows:*

*deleted*

Or. en

**Amendment 554**  
**József Nagy**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Annex – Article 11 – introductory part**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

The DRF *Scoreboard* shall be adopted as follows:

The DRF *monitoring reports* shall be adopted as follows:

Or. en

**Amendment 555**  
**Monika Hohlmeier, Pál Csáky, Barbara Matera, Tomáš Zdechovský, Emil Radev, Elisabetta Gardini**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Annex – Article 11 – introductory part**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

*The DRF Scoreboard shall be adopted as follows:*

*deleted*

Or. en

**Amendment 556**  
**József Nagy**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Annex – Article 11 – indent 1**



*Motion for a resolution*

- The draft DRF **Scoreboard**, including draft country-specific recommendations, shall be developed annually by the DRF expert panel;

*Amendment*

- The draft DRF **monitoring report**, including draft country-specific recommendations, shall be developed annually by the DRF expert panel;

Or. en

**Amendment 557**

**Monika Hohlmeier, Pál Csáky, Barbara Matera, Tomáš Zdechovský, Emil Radev, Elisabetta Gardini**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Annex – Article 11 – indent 1**

*Motion for a resolution*

- The draft **DRF Scoreboard**, including draft country-specific recommendations, shall be developed annually by the DRF expert panel;

*Amendment*

- The draft **Report**, including draft country-specific recommendations, shall be developed **bi**-annually by the DRF expert panel;

Or. en

**Amendment 558**

**Frank Engel, Michał Boni, Therese Comodini Cachia, Carlos Coelho, Jeroen Lenaers**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Annex – Article 11 – indent 1**

*Motion for a resolution*

- The draft DRF **Scoreboard**, including draft country-specific recommendations, shall be developed annually by the DRF expert panel;

*Amendment*

- The draft DRF **European Report**, including draft country-specific recommendations, shall be developed annually by the DRF expert panel

Or. en

**Amendment 559**

**Timothy Kirkhope, Arne Gericke, Branislav Škripek**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Annex – Article 11 – indent 1**

*Motion for a resolution*

– The draft DRF Scoreboard, including draft *country-specific* recommendations, shall be developed annually by the DRF expert panel;

*Amendment*

– The draft DRF Scoreboard, including draft recommendations, shall be developed annually by the DRF expert panel;

Or. en

**Amendment 560**  
**Barbara Spinelli, Kostas Chrysogonos, Helmut Scholz**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Annex – Article 11 – indent 1**

*Motion for a resolution*

– The draft DRF Scoreboard, including draft country-specific recommendations, shall be developed annually by the DRF expert panel;

*Amendment*

– The draft DRF Scoreboard, including draft country-specific recommendations *and draft Union institution-specific recommendations*, shall be developed annually by the DRF expert panel;

Or. en

**Amendment 561**  
**Timothy Kirkhope, Arne Gericke, Branislav Škripek**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Annex – Article 11 – indent 2**

*Motion for a resolution*

– The DRF expert panel shall assess the data and information available and allocate *green, yellow and red* scores to each of the DRF indicators for each of the Member States or institutions of the Union *under scrutiny*.

*Amendment*

– The DRF expert panel shall assess the data and information available and allocate scores to each of the DRF indicators for each of the Member States or institutions of the Union;

**Amendment 562**

**Monika Hohlmeier, Pál Csáky, Barbara Matera, Tomáš Zdechovský, Emil Radev, Elisabetta Gardini**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Annex – Article 11 – indent 2**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

– *The DRF expert panel shall assess the data and information available and allocate green, yellow and red scores to each of the DRF indicators for each of the Member States or institutions of the Union under scrutiny;* *deleted*

Or. en

**Amendment 563**

**Frank Engel, Michal Boni, Therese Comodini Cachia, Carlos Coelho, Jeroen Lenaers**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Annex – Article 11 – indent 2**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

– The DRF expert panel shall assess the data and information available *and allocate green, yellow and red scores to each of the DRF indicators* for each of the Member States or institutions of the Union under scrutiny;

– The DRF expert panel shall assess the data and information available for each of the Member States or institutions of the Union under scrutiny;

Or. en

**Amendment 564**

**Louis Michel, Gérard Deprez, Maite Pagazaurtundúa Ruiz**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Annex – Article 11 – indent 2**

*Motion for a resolution*

– The DRF expert panel shall assess the data and information available and allocate green, yellow and red scores to each of the DRF indicators for each of the Member States *or* institutions of the Union under scrutiny;

*Amendment*

– The DRF expert panel shall assess the data and information available and allocate green, yellow and red scores to each of the DRF indicators for each of the Member States *and* institutions of the Union under scrutiny;

Or. fr

**Amendment 565**  
**József Nagy**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Annex – Article 11 – indent 3**

*Motion for a resolution*

– The draft DRF *Scoreboard* and draft country-specific recommendations shall be made publically available;

*Amendment*

– The draft DRF *monitoring report* and draft country-specific recommendations shall be made publically available;

Or. en

**Amendment 566**  
**Frank Engel, Michał Boni, Therese Comodini Cachia, Carlos Coelho, Jeroen Lenaers**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Annex – Article 11 – indent 3**

*Motion for a resolution*

– The draft DRF *Scoreboard* and draft country-specific recommendations shall be made *publically available*;

*Amendment*

– The draft DRF *European Report* and draft country-specific recommendations shall be made public;

Or. en

**Amendment 567**  
**Timothy Kirkhope, Arne Gericke, Branislav Škripek**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Annex – Article 11 – indent 3**

*Motion for a resolution*

– The draft DRF Scoreboard and draft *country-specific* recommendations shall be made publically available;

*Amendment*

– The draft DRF Scoreboard and draft recommendations shall *in principle* be made publically available;

Or. en

**Amendment 568**  
**Monika Hohlmeier, Pál Csáky, Barbara Matera, Tomáš Zdechovský, Emil Radev, Elisabetta Gardini**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Annex – Article 11 – indent 3**

*Motion for a resolution*

– *The draft DRF Scoreboard and draft country-specific recommendations shall be made publically available;*

*Amendment*

*deleted*

Or. en

**Amendment 569**  
**Barbara Spinelli, Kostas Chrysogonos, Helmut Scholz**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Annex – Article 11 – indent 3**

*Motion for a resolution*

– The draft DRF Scoreboard *and* draft country-specific recommendations shall be made publically available;

*Amendment*

– The draft DRF Scoreboard, draft country-specific recommendations *and draft Union institution-specific recommendations* shall be made publicly available;

Or. en

**Amendment 570**

**Monika Hohlmeier, Pál Csáky, Barbara Matera, Tomáš Zdechovský, Emil Radev, Elisabetta Gardini**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Annex – Article 11 – indent 4**

*Motion for a resolution*

*The Commission shall formally adopt the DRF Scoreboard and country-specific reports, including recommendations, and refer them to the Member States, the European Parliament and the Council as a basis for the DRF Semester.*

*Amendment*

*deleted*

Or. en

**Amendment 571**

**Barbara Spinelli, Marina Albiol Guzmán, Kostas Chrysogonos, Helmut Scholz**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Annex – Article 11 – indent 4**

*Motion for a resolution*

– The Commission shall formally adopt the DRF Scoreboard **and** country-specific reports, including recommendations, and refer them to the Member States, the European Parliament and the Council as a basis for the DRF Semester.

*Amendment*

– The Commission shall formally adopt the DRF Scoreboard, country-specific reports **and Union institution-specific reports**, including recommendations, and refer them to the Member States, **including national parliaments**, the European Parliament and the Council as a basis for the DRF Semester **and for the DRF policy cycle in the institutions of the Union**.

Or. en

**Amendment 572**

**József Nagy**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Annex – Article 11 – indent 4**

*Motion for a resolution*

– The Commission shall formally adopt the DRF **Scoreboard** and country-specific reports, including recommendations, and refer them to the Member States, the European Parliament and the Council as a basis for the DRF Semester.

*Amendment*

– The Commission shall formally adopt the DRF **monitoring report** and country-specific reports, including recommendations, and refer them to the Member States, the European Parliament and the Council as a basis for the DRF Semester.

Or. en

**Amendment 573**

**Frank Engel, Michal Boni, Therese Comodini Cachia, Carlos Coelho, Jeroen Lenaers**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Annex – Article 11 – indent 4**

*Motion for a resolution*

– The Commission shall formally adopt the DRF Scoreboard and country-specific reports, including recommendations, and refer them to the Member States, the European Parliament and the Council as a basis for **the DRF Semester**.

*Amendment*

– The Commission shall formally adopt the DRF **European Report** and country-specific reports, including recommendations, and refer them to the Member States, the European Parliament and the Council as a basis for **an interparliamentary debate**.

Or. en

**Amendment 574**

**Timothy Kirkhope, Arne Gericke, Branislav Škripek**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Annex – Article 11 – indent 4**

*Motion for a resolution*

– The Commission shall formally adopt the DRF Scoreboard and **country-specific** reports, including recommendations, and refer them to the Member States, **the European Parliament** and the Council as a basis for the DRF

*Amendment*

– The Commission shall formally adopt the DRF Scoreboard and reports, including recommendations, and refer them to the Member States and the Council as a basis for the DRF Semester.

Semester.

Or. en

**Amendment 575**

**Frank Engel, Michał Boni, Therese Comodini Cachia, Carlos Coelho, Jeroen Lenaers**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Annex – Article 11 – indent 4 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

- *If the country-specific report on a Member State includes the statement by the expert panel that there is a clear risk of a serious breach of the values referred to in Article 2 TEU and that there are sufficient grounds for the invocation of Article 7(1) TEU, the Commission, the Council and the European Parliament shall each discuss the matter and take a reasoned decision, which shall be made public. The Commission shall start a dialogue with that Member State, taking into account the country specific report.*

Or. en

**Amendment 576**

**Louis Michel, Gérard Deprez, Maite Pagazaurtundúa Ruiz**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Annex – Article 11 – indent 4 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

- *Should the Commission fail to adopt the scoreboard and country reports on time, the relevant European Parliament committee may formally call upon it to provide explanations for the delay and to adopt them forthwith so as to avoid further holdups.*

Or. fr



**Amendment 577**

**Frank Engel, Michał Boni, Therese Comodini Cachia, Carlos Coelho, Jeroen Lenaers**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Annex – Article 11 – indent 5 b (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

– *If the country-specific report on a Member State includes the statement by the expert panel that there is a serious and persistent breach of the values referred to in Article 2 TEU and that there are sufficient grounds for the invocation of Article 7(2) TEU. The Commission, the Council and the European Parliament shall each discuss the matter and take a reasoned decision, which shall be made public.*

Or. en

**Amendment 578**

**Timothy Kirkhope, Arne Gericke, Branislav Škripek**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Annex – Article 12 – introductory part**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

The adoption of the DRF Scoreboard by the Commission shall initiate the DRF Semester, which shall aim at addressing the results of the DRF Scoreboard and recommendations included in *country-specific* reports, through the following steps:

The adoption of the DRF Scoreboard by the Commission shall initiate the DRF Semester, which shall aim at addressing the results of the DRF Scoreboard and recommendations included in reports, through the following steps:

Or. en

**Amendment 579**

**Frank Engel, Michał Boni, Therese Comodini Cachia, Carlos Coelho, Jeroen Lenaers**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Annex – Article 12 – introductory part**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

*The adoption of the DRF Scoreboard by the Commission shall initiate the DRF Semester, which shall aim at addressing the results of the DRF Scoreboard and recommendations included in country-specific reports, through the following steps:*

*deleted*

Or. en

**Amendment 580**  
**Monika Hohlmeier, Pál Csáky, Barbara Matera, Tomáš Zdechovský, Emil Radev, Elisabetta Gardini**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Annex – Article 12 – introductory part**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

The *adoption* of the *DRF Scoreboard* by the Commission shall *initiate the DRF Semester, which shall aim at addressing the results of the DRF Scoreboard and recommendations included in country-specific reports, through the following steps:*

The *transmission* of the *Report* by the Commission *to the European Parliament, Council and national Parliaments* shall *initiate the inter-parliamentary debate.*

Or. en

**Amendment 581**  
**József Nagy**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Annex – Article 12 – introductory part**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

The adoption of the *DRF Scoreboard* by the Commission shall initiate the *DRF Semester, which shall aim at addressing the*

The adoption of the *DRF monitoring report* by the Commission shall initiate the *DRF Semester, which shall aim at*

results of the DRF *Scoreboard* and recommendations included in country-specific reports, through the following steps:

addressing the results of the DRF *reports* and recommendations included in country-specific reports, through the following steps:

Or. en

**Amendment 582**  
**József Nagy**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Annex – Article 12 – indent 1**

*Motion for a resolution*

– the European Parliament shall hold an inter-parliamentary debate on the basis of the DRF *Scoreboard*, and adopt a resolution;

*Amendment*

– the European Parliament shall hold an inter-parliamentary debate on the basis of the DRF *reports*, and adopt a resolution;

Or. en

**Amendment 583**  
**Monika Hohlmeier, Pál Csáky, Barbara Matera, Tomáš Zdechovský, Emil Radev, Elisabetta Gardini**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Annex – Article 12 – indent 1**

*Motion for a resolution*

– the European Parliament shall hold an *inter-parliamentary* debate on the basis of the *DRF Scoreboard*, and adopt a resolution;

*Amendment*

– the European Parliament shall hold a *bi-annual* debate on the basis of the *Report* and adopt a resolution;

Or. en

**Amendment 584**  
**Frank Engel, Michał Boni, Therese Comodini Cachia, Carlos Coelho, Jeroen Lenaers**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Annex – Article 12 – indent 1**

*Motion for a resolution*

– the European Parliament shall **hold** an inter-parliamentary debate on the basis of the DRF Scoreboard, and adopt a resolution;

*Amendment*

– the European Parliament shall **organise** an inter-parliamentary debate on the basis of the DRF **European Report**, and adopt a resolution;

Or. en

**Amendment 585**

**Timothy Kirkhope, Arne Gericke, Branislav Škripek**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Annex – Article 12 – indent 1**

*Motion for a resolution*

– the European Parliament shall hold an inter-parliamentary debate on the basis of the DRF Scoreboard, **and adopt a resolution;**

*Amendment*

– the European Parliament shall hold an inter-parliamentary debate on the basis of the DRF Scoreboard;

Or. en

**Amendment 586**

**Louis Michel, Gérard Deprez, Maite Pagazaurtundúa Ruiz**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Annex – Article 12 – indent 1**

*Motion for a resolution*

– the European Parliament shall hold an inter-parliamentary debate on the basis of the DRF Scoreboard, and adopt a resolution;

*Amendment*

– the European Parliament shall hold an inter-parliamentary debate on the basis of the DRF Scoreboard, and adopt a resolution **with a view to formulating specific recommendations;**

Or. fr

**Amendment 587**

**Barbara Spinelli, Marina Albiol Guzmán, Kostas Chrysogonos, Helmut Scholz**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Annex – Article 12 – indent 2**

*Motion for a resolution*

– the Council shall hold an annual debate, building upon its Rule of Law Dialogue, on the basis of the DRF Scoreboard and adopt Council conclusions, inviting national parliaments to provide a response to the DRF Scoreboard, proposals or reforms;

*Amendment*

– the Council shall hold an annual debate, building upon its Rule of Law Dialogue, on the basis of the DRF Scoreboard and adopt Council conclusions, ***taking into account the outcomes of the resolution adopted by the European Parliament***, inviting national parliaments to provide a response to the DRF Scoreboard, proposals or reforms;

Or. en

**Amendment 588**  
**Frank Engel, Michał Boni, Therese Comodini Cachia, Carlos Coelho, Jeroen Lenaers**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Annex – Article 12 – indent 2**

*Motion for a resolution*

– the Council shall hold an annual debate, building upon its Rule of Law Dialogue, on the basis of the DRF ***Scoreboard*** and adopt Council conclusions, inviting national parliaments to provide a response to the DRF ***Scoreboard***, proposals or reforms;

*Amendment*

– the Council shall hold an annual debate, building upon its Rule of Law Dialogue, on the basis of the DRF ***European Report*** and adopt Council conclusions, inviting national parliaments to provide a response to the DRF ***European Report***, proposals or reforms;

Or. en

**Amendment 589**  
**József Nagy**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Annex – Article 12 – indent 2**

*Motion for a resolution*

– the Council shall hold an annual

*Amendment*

– the Council shall hold an annual

debate, building upon its Rule of Law Dialogue, on the basis of the DRF **Scoreboard** and adopt Council conclusions, inviting national parliaments to provide a response to the DRF **Scoreboard**, proposals or reforms;

debate, building upon its Rule of Law Dialogue, on the basis of the DRF **reports** and adopt Council conclusions, inviting national parliaments to provide a response to the DRF **reports**, proposals or reforms;

Or. en

#### **Amendment 590**

**Monika Hohlmeier, Pál Csáky, Barbara Matera, Tomáš Zdechovský, Emil Radev, Elisabetta Gardini**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

##### **Annex – Article 12 – indent 2**

###### *Motion for a resolution*

– the Council shall hold **an annual** debate, building upon its Rule of Law Dialogue, on the basis of the **DRF Scoreboard** and adopt Council conclusions, inviting national parliaments to provide a response to the **DRF Scoreboard**, proposals or reforms;

###### *Amendment*

– the Council shall hold **a bi-annual** debate, building upon its Rule of Law Dialogue, on the basis of the **Report** and adopt Council conclusions, inviting national parliaments to provide a response to the **Report**, proposals or reforms;

Or. en

#### **Amendment 591**

**Barbara Spinelli, Kostas Chrysogonos**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

##### **Annex – Article 12 – indent 2 a (new)**

###### *Motion for a resolution*

###### *Amendment*

– **both the European Parliament's resolution and the Council conclusions as well as the decision-making process shall be made public;**

Or. en

## Amendment 592

Barbara Spinelli, Kostas Chrysogonos, Helmut Scholz

### Motion for a resolution

#### Annex – Article 12 – indent 3

##### *Motion for a resolution*

– on the basis of the DRF Scoreboard, the recommendations of the European Parliament and the Council conclusions, the Commission may decide to launch a "systemic infringement" action under Article 2 TEU and Article 258 TFEU, bundling several infringement cases together;

##### *Amendment*

– on the basis of the DRF Scoreboard, the recommendations of the European Parliament and the Council conclusions, the Commission may decide to launch ***one or more infringement procedures for violations of the Member States' obligations deriving from Union law, including where applicable the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union, or, alternatively,*** a "systemic infringement" action under Article 2 TEU and Article 258 TFEU, bundling several infringement cases together; ***if the Commission decides not to start any procedure, it shall explain the reasons for this choice, which shall be made publicly available;***

Or. en

## Amendment 593

Timothy Kirkhope, Arne Gericke, Branislav Škripek

### Motion for a resolution

#### Annex – Article 12 – indent 3

##### *Motion for a resolution*

– on the basis of the DRF Scoreboard, ***the recommendations of the European Parliament and the Council conclusions***, the Commission may decide to launch a "systemic infringement" action under Article 2 TEU and Article 258 TFEU, bundling several infringement cases together;

##### *Amendment*

– on the basis of the DRF Scoreboard the Commission may decide to launch a "systemic infringement" action under Article 2 TEU and Article 258 TFEU, bundling several infringement cases together;

Or. en

**Amendment 594**

**Frank Engel, Michal Boni, Therese Comodini Cachia, Carlos Coelho, Jeroen Lenaers**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Annex – Article 12 – indent 3**

*Motion for a resolution*

– on the basis of the DRF **Scoreboard**, the recommendations of the European Parliament and the Council conclusions, the Commission may decide to launch a "systemic infringement" action under Article 2 TEU and Article 258 TFEU, bundling several infringement cases together;

*Amendment*

– on the basis of the DRF **European Report**, the recommendations of the European Parliament and the Council conclusions, the Commission may decide to launch a "systemic infringement" action under Article 2 TEU and Article 258 TFEU, bundling several infringement cases together;

Or. en

**Amendment 595**

**Monika Hohlmeier, Pál Csáky, Barbara Matera, Tomáš Zdechovský, Emil Radev, Elisabetta Gardini**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Annex – Article 12 – indent 3**

*Motion for a resolution*

– *on the basis of the DRF Scoreboard, the recommendations of the European Parliament and the Council conclusions, the Commission may decide to launch a "systemic infringement" action under Article 2 TEU and Article 258 TFEU, bundling several infringement cases together;*

*Amendment*

*deleted*

Or. en

**Amendment 596**

**József Nagy**

**Motion for a resolution**



### Annex – Article 12 – indent 3

#### *Motion for a resolution*

– on the basis of the DRF **Scoreboard**, the recommendations of the European Parliament and the Council conclusions, the Commission may decide to launch a "systemic infringement" action under Article 2 TEU and Article 258 TFEU, bundling several infringement cases together;

#### *Amendment*

– on the basis of the DRF **monitoring reports**, the recommendations of the European Parliament and the Council conclusions, the Commission may decide to launch a "systemic infringement" action under Article 2 TEU and Article 258 TFEU, bundling several infringement cases together;

Or. en

### Amendment 597

Christine Revault D'Allonnes Bonnefoy, Sylvie Guillaume

#### **Motion for a resolution**

### Annex – Article 12 – indent 3

#### *Motion for a resolution*

– on the basis of the DRF Scoreboard, the recommendations of the European Parliament and the Council conclusions, the Commission may decide to launch a "systemic infringement" action under Article 2 TEU and Article 258 TFEU, bundling several infringement cases together;

#### *Amendment*

– on the basis of the DRF Scoreboard, the recommendations of the European Parliament and the Council conclusions, the Commission may **need** to launch a "systemic infringement" action under Article 2 **of the Treaty on European Union** and Article 258 **of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union**, bundling several infringement cases together. **In case of non-referral by the Commission to the Court of Justice of the European Union for systemic infringement, the European Parliament may adopt a resolution by a 3/5 majority calling for systemic infringement proceedings to be launched automatically by the Commission;**

Or. fr

### Amendment 598

Timothy Kirkhope, Arne Gericke, Branislav Škripek

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Annex – Article 12 – indent 4**

*Motion for a resolution*

– on the basis of the DRF Scoreboard, *the recommendations of* the European Parliament and the Council conclusions, the Commission may decide to submit a proposal for an evaluation of the implementation by Member States of Union policies in the area of freedom, security and justice under Article 70 TFEU.

*Amendment*

– on the basis of the DRF Scoreboard, *after consulting* the European Parliament and the Council conclusions, the Commission may decide to submit a proposal for an evaluation of the implementation by Member States of Union policies in the area of freedom, security and justice under Article 70 TFEU.

Or. en

**Amendment 599**  
**József Nagy**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Annex – Article 12 – indent 4**

*Motion for a resolution*

– on the basis of the DRF *Scoreboard*, the recommendations of the European Parliament and the Council conclusions, the Commission may decide to submit a proposal for an evaluation of the implementation by Member States of Union policies in the area of freedom, security and justice under Article 70 TFEU.

*Amendment*

– on the basis of the DRF *monitoring report*, the recommendations of the European Parliament and the Council conclusions, the Commission may decide to submit a proposal for an evaluation of the implementation by Member States of Union policies in the area of freedom, security and justice under Article 70 TFEU.

Or. en

**Amendment 600**  
**Monika Hohlmeier, Pál Csáky, Barbara Matera, Tomáš Zdechovský, Emil Radev, Elisabetta Gardini**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Annex – Article 12 – indent 4**

*Motion for a resolution*

– on the basis of the **DRF Scoreboard, the recommendations of the European Parliament and the Council conclusions**, the Commission *may* decide to submit a proposal for an evaluation of the implementation by Member States of Union policies in the area of freedom, security and justice under Article 70 TFEU.

*Amendment*

– on the basis of the **Report** the Commission *shall* decide on further actions, in compliance with Article 17(3) TEU.

Or. en

**Amendment 601**

**Frank Engel, Michał Boni, Therese Comodini Cachia, Carlos Coelho, Jeroen Lenaers**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Annex – Article 12 – indent 4**

*Motion for a resolution*

– on the basis of the DRF **Scoreboard**, the recommendations of the European Parliament and the Council conclusions, the Commission may decide to submit a proposal for an evaluation of the implementation by Member States of Union policies in the area of freedom, security and justice under Article 70 TFEU.

*Amendment*

– on the basis of the DRF **European Report**, the recommendations of the European Parliament and the Council conclusions, the Commission may decide to submit a proposal for an evaluation of the implementation by Member States of Union policies in the area of freedom, security and justice under Article 70 TFEU.

Or. en

**Amendment 602**

**Christine Revault D'Allonnes Bonnefoy, Sylvie Guillaume**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Annex – Article 12 – indent 4**

*Motion for a resolution*

– on the basis of the DRF Scoreboard, the recommendations of the

*Amendment*

– on the basis of the DRF Scoreboard, the recommendations of the

European Parliament and the Council conclusions, the Commission may *decide* to submit a proposal for an evaluation of the implementation by Member States of Union policies in the area of freedom, security and justice under Article 70 *TFEU*.

European Parliament and the Council conclusions, the Commission may *need* to submit a proposal for an evaluation of the implementation by Member States of Union policies in the area of freedom, security and justice under Article 70 *of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union*. *In case of failure to submit a proposal for such an evaluation, the European Parliament may adopt a resolution by a 3/5 majority calling for a proposal for an evaluation of the implementation by Member States of Union policies in the area of freedom, security and justice to be submitted automatically by the Commission.*

Or. fr

#### **Amendment 603**

**Monika Hohlmeier, Pál Csáky, Barbara Matera, Tomáš Zdechovský, Emil Radev, Elisabetta Gardini**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

**Annex – Article 12 – indent 4 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

– *The Commission shall apply and enforce existing tools and mechanism for the monitoring and enforcement of member states compliance with rule of law and fundamental rights as provided by the Treaties while ensuring that the criteria of non-discrimination, equal handling of MS, objectivity, fairness as well as diversity of constitutional and cultural traditions of MS and principle of subsidiarity are duly taken into account.*

Or. en

#### **Amendment 604**

**Monika Hohlmeier, Pál Csáky, Barbara Matera, Tomáš Zdechovský, Emil Radev, Elisabetta Gardini**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Annex – Article 12 – point 12.1**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**12.1** *On the basis of the DRF Scoreboard, if a Member State has a green score on all indicators, no further action shall be necessary.*

*deleted*

Or. en

**Amendment 605**  
**József Nagy**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Annex – Article 12 – point 12.1**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

12.1 On the basis of the DRF **Scoreboard**, if a Member State has a green score on all indicators, no further action shall be necessary.

12.1 On the basis of the DRF **monitoring report**, if a Member State has a green score on all indicators, no further action shall be necessary.

Or. en

**Amendment 606**  
**Frank Engel, Michał Boni, Therese Comodini Cachia, Carlos Coelho, Jeroen Lenaers**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Annex – Article 12 – point 12.1**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**12.1** *On the basis of the DRF Scoreboard, if a Member State has a green score on all indicators, no further action shall be necessary.*

*deleted*

Or. en

**Amendment 607**

**Timothy Kirkhope, Arne Gericke, Branislav Škripek**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Annex – Article 12 – point 12.1**

*Motion for a resolution*

12.1 On the basis of the DRF Scoreboard, if a Member State ***has a green score on*** all indicators, no further action ***shall be necessary***.

*Amendment*

12.1 On the basis of the DRF Scoreboard, if a Member State ***complies with*** all indicators, no further action ***will be taken***.

Or. en

**Amendment 608**

**Monika Hohlmeier, Pál Csáky, Barbara Matera, Tomáš Zdechovský, Emil Radev, Elisabetta Gardini**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Annex – Article 12 – point 12.2**

*Motion for a resolution*

***12.2 On the basis of the DRF Scoreboard, if a Member State receives one or more yellow scores, the Commission shall commence a dialogue with that Member State, building upon its Rule of Law Framework, taking into account the country-specific report.***

*Amendment*

***deleted***

Or. en

**Amendment 609**

**Monika Hohlmeier, Pál Csáky, Barbara Matera, Tomáš Zdechovský, Emil Radev, Elisabetta Gardini**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Annex – Article 12 – point 12.2**

*Motion for a resolution*

12.2 On the basis of the DRF ***Scoreboard***, if a Member State receives

*Amendment*

12.2 On the basis of the DRF ***monitoring report*** if a Member State

one or more yellow scores, the Commission shall commence a dialogue with that Member State, building upon its Rule of Law Framework, taking into account the country-specific report.

receives one or more yellow scores, the Commission shall commence a dialogue with that Member State, building upon its Rule of Law Framework, taking into account the country-specific report.

Or. en

#### **Amendment 610**

**Frank Engel, Michal Boni, Therese Comodini Cachia, Carlos Coelho, Jeroen Lenaers**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

#### **Annex – Article 12 – point 12.2**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**12.2 On the basis of the DRF Scoreboard, if a Member State receives one or more yellow scores, the Commission shall commence a dialogue with that Member State, building upon its Rule of Law Framework, taking into account the country-specific report.**

*deleted*

Or. en

#### **Amendment 611**

**Timothy Kirkhope, Arne Gericke, Branislav Škripek**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

#### **Annex – Article 12 – point 12.2**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**12.2 On the basis of the DRF Scoreboard, if a Member State receives one or more *yellow* scores, the Commission shall commence a dialogue with that Member State, *building upon its Rule of Law Framework, taking into account the country-specific report.***

**12.2 On the basis of the DRF Scoreboard, if a Member State *falls short on* one or more scores, the Commission shall commence a dialogue with that Member State.**

Or. en

## **Amendment 612**

**Sylvia-Yvonne Kaufmann, Péter Niedermüller, Birgit Sippel**

### **Motion for a resolution**

#### **Annex – Article 12 – point 12.2**

##### *Motion for a resolution*

12.2 On the basis of the DRF Scoreboard, if a Member State receives **one** or more yellow scores, the Commission shall commence a dialogue with that Member State, building upon its Rule of Law Framework, taking into account the country-specific report.

##### *Amendment*

12.2 On the basis of the DRF Scoreboard, if a Member State receives **three** or more yellow scores, the Commission shall commence a dialogue with that Member State, building upon its Rule of Law Framework, taking into account the country-specific report.

Or. en

## **Amendment 613**

**Louis Michel, Gérard Deprez, Maite Pagazaurtundúa Ruiz**

### **Motion for a resolution**

#### **Annex – Article 12 – point 12.2**

##### *Motion for a resolution*

12.2 On the basis of the DRF Scoreboard, if a Member State receives one or more yellow scores, the Commission shall commence a dialogue with that Member State, building upon its Rule of Law Framework, taking into account the country-specific report.

##### *Amendment*

12.2 On the basis of the DRF Scoreboard, if a Member State receives one or more yellow scores, the Commission shall, **without delay**, commence a dialogue with that Member State, building upon its Rule of Law Framework, taking into account the country-specific report.

Or. fr

## **Amendment 614**

**Frank Engel, Michał Boni, Therese Comodini Cachia, Carlos Coelho, Jeroen Lenaers**

### **Motion for a resolution**

#### **Annex – Article 12 – Point 12.2.1**

##### *Motion for a resolution*

**12.2.1 Where a Member State has yellow**

##### *Amendment*

**deleted**



*scores on one third of the indicators, it shall be considered that there is a clear risk of a serious breach of the values referred to in Article 2 TEU and that there are sufficient grounds for the invocation of Article 7(1) TEU. The Commission, the Council and the European Parliament shall each discuss the matter and take a reasoned decision, which shall be made public.*

Or. en

#### **Amendment 615**

**Monika Hohlmeier, Pál Csáky, Barbara Matera, Tomáš Zdechovský, Emil Radev, Elisabetta Gardini**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

**Annex – Article 12 – point 12.2.1**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

*12.2.1 Where a Member State has yellow scores on one third of the indicators, it shall be considered that there is a clear risk of a serious breach of the values referred to in Article 2 TEU and that there are sufficient grounds for the invocation of Article 7(1) TEU. The Commission, the Council and the European Parliament shall each discuss the matter and take a reasoned decision, which shall be made public.*      *deleted*

Or. en

#### **Amendment 616**

**Timothy Kirkhope, Arne Gericke, Branislav Škripek**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

**Annex – Article 12 – point 12.2.1**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

12.2.1 Where a Member State has **yellow**

12.2.1 Where a Member State has fails on

*scores* on one third of the indicators, it shall be considered that there is a clear risk of a serious breach of the values referred to in Article 2 TEU and that there are sufficient grounds for the invocation of Article 7(1) TEU. The Commission, the Council *and the European Parliament* shall *each* discuss the matter and take a reasoned decision, which shall be made public.

one third of the indicators, it shall be considered that there is a clear risk of a serious breach of the values referred to in Article 2 TEU and that there are sufficient grounds for the invocation of Article 7(1) TEU. The Commission *and* the Council shall discuss the matter and take a reasoned decision, which *in principle* shall be made public.

Or. en

### **Amendment 617**

**Timothy Kirkhope, Arne Gericke, Branislav Škripek**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

##### **Annex – Article 12 – point 12.3**

###### *Motion for a resolution*

12.3 On the basis of the DRF Scoreboard, where a Member State receives one or more *red* scores, the Commission will start a dialogue with that Member State, *taking into account the country specific report*.

###### *Amendment*

12.3 On the basis of the DRF Scoreboard, where a Member State receives one or more *serious failure* scores, the Commission will start a dialogue with that Member State.

Or. en

### **Amendment 618**

**József Nagy**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

##### **Annex – Article 12 – point 12.3**

###### *Motion for a resolution*

12.3 On the basis of the DRF *Scoreboard*, where a Member State receives one or more red scores, the Commission will start a dialogue with that Member State, taking into account the country specific report.

###### *Amendment*

12.3 On the basis of the DRF *monitoring reports*, where a Member State receives one or more red scores, the Commission will start a dialogue with that Member State, taking into account the country specific report.

**Amendment 619**

**Louis Michel, Gérard Deprez, Maite Pagazaurtundúa Ruiz**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Annex – Article 12 – point 12.3**

*Motion for a resolution*

12.3 On the basis of the DRF Scoreboard, where a Member State receives one or more red scores, the Commission will start a dialogue with that Member State, taking into account the country specific report.

*Amendment*

12.3 On the basis of the DRF Scoreboard, where a Member State receives one or more red scores, the Commission will, ***without delay***, start a dialogue with that Member State, taking into account the country specific report.

Or. fr

**Amendment 620**

**Monika Hohlmeier, Pál Csáky, Barbara Matera, Tomáš Zdechovský, Emil Radev, Elisabetta Gardini**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Annex – Article 12 – point 12.3**

*Motion for a resolution*

***12.3 On the basis of the DRF Scoreboard, where a Member State receives one or more red scores, the Commission will start a dialogue with that Member State, taking into account the country specific report.***

*Amendment*

***deleted***

Or. en

**Amendment 621**

**Frank Engel, Michał Boni, Therese Comodini Cachia, Carlos Coelho, Jeroen Lenaers**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Annex – Article 12 – point 12.3**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**12.3** *On the basis of the DRF Scoreboard, where a Member State receives one or more red scores, the Commission will start a dialogue with that Member State, taking into account the country specific report.*

*deleted*

Or. en

**Amendment 622**

**Barbara Spinelli, Kostas Chrysogonos, Helmut Scholz**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Annex – Article 12 – point 12.3.1**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

12.3.1 In case a Member State has red scores on more than one quarter of the indicators, or if it has red scores on one or more indicators over a period of at least two years, or if despite a dialogue with the Commission or an Article 7(1) procedure the number of red scores increases, it will be considered that there is a serious and persistent breach of the values referred to in Article 2 TEU and that there are sufficient grounds for the invocation of Article 7(2) TEU. The Commission, the Council and the European Parliament shall each discuss the matter and take a reasoned decision, which shall be made publically available.

12.3.1 In case a Member State has red scores on more than one quarter of the indicators, or if it has red scores on one or more indicators over a period of at least two years, or if despite a dialogue with the Commission or an Article 7(1) procedure the number of **yellow or** red scores increases, it will be considered that there is a serious and persistent breach of the values referred to in Article 2 TEU and that there are sufficient grounds for the invocation of Article 7(2) TEU. The Commission, the Council and the European Parliament shall each discuss the matter and take a reasoned decision, which shall be made publically available.

Or. en

**Amendment 623**

**Timothy Kirkhope, Arne Gericke, Branislav Škripek**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Annex – Article 12 – point 12.3.1**

*Motion for a resolution*

12.3.1 In case a Member State has **red scores** on more than one quarter of the indicators, or if it has **red scores** on one or more indicators over a period of at least two years, or if despite a dialogue with the Commission or an Article 7(1) procedure the number of **red scores** increases, it will be considered that there is a serious and persistent breach of the values referred to in Article 2 TEU and that there are sufficient grounds for the invocation of Article 7(2) TEU. The Commission, the Council **and the European Parliament** shall each discuss the matter and take a reasoned decision, which shall be made publically available.

*Amendment*

12.3.1 In case a Member State has **serious breaches** on more than one quarter of the indicators, or if it has **serious breaches** on one or more indicators over a period of at least two years, or if despite a dialogue with the Commission or an Article 7(1) procedure the number of **breaches** increases, it will be considered that there is a serious and persistent breach of the values referred to in Article 2 TEU and that there are sufficient grounds for the invocation of Article 7(2) TEU. The Commission, **and** the Council shall each discuss the matter and take a reasoned decision, which shall **in principle** be made publically available

Or. en

**Amendment 624**

**Louis Michel, Gérard Deprez, Maite Pagazaurtundúa Ruiz**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Annex – Article 12 – point 12.3.1**

*Motion for a resolution*

12.3.1 In case a Member State has red scores on more than one quarter of the indicators, or if it has red scores on one or more indicators over a period of at least two years, or if despite a dialogue with the Commission or an Article 7(1) procedure the number of red scores increases, it will be considered that there is a serious and persistent breach of the values referred to in Article 2 **TEU** and that there are sufficient grounds for the invocation of Article 7(2) **TEU**. The Commission, the Council and the European Parliament shall each discuss the matter and take a reasoned decision, which shall be made publically available.

*Amendment*

12.3.1 In case a Member State has red scores on more than one quarter of the indicators, or if it has red scores on one or more indicators over a period of at least two years, or if despite a dialogue with the Commission or an Article 7(1) procedure the number of red scores increases **or remains constant**, it will be considered that there is a serious and persistent breach of the values referred to in Article 2 **of the Treaty on European Union** and that there are sufficient grounds for the invocation of Article 7(2) **of the Treaty on European Union**. The Commission, the Council and the European Parliament shall each discuss the matter and take a reasoned decision, which shall be made publically available.

**Amendment 625**

**Monika Hohlmeier, Pál Csáky, Barbara Matera, Tomáš Zdechovský, Emil Radev, Elisabetta Gardini**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Annex – Article 12 – point 12.3.1**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**12.3.1 In case a Member State has red scores on more than one quarter of the indicators, or if it has red scores on one or more indicators over a period of at least two years, or if despite a dialogue with the Commission or an Article 7(1) procedure the number of red scores increases, it will be considered that there is a serious and persistent breach of the values referred to in Article 2 TEU and that there are sufficient grounds for the invocation of Article 7(2) TEU. The Commission, the Council and the European Parliament shall each discuss the matter and take a reasoned decision, which shall be made publically available.** *deleted*

Or. en

**Amendment 626**

**Frank Engel, Michal Boni, Therese Comodini Cachia, Carlos Coelho, Jeroen Lenaers**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Annex – Article 12 – point 12.3.1**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**12.3.1 In case a Member State has red scores on more than one quarter of the indicators, or if it has red scores on one or more indicators over a period of at least two years, or if despite a dialogue with the Commission or an Article 7(1) procedure the number of red scores increases, it will** *deleted*

*be considered that there is a serious and persistent breach of the values referred to in Article 2 TEU and that there are sufficient grounds for the invocation of Article 7(2) TEU. The Commission, the Council and the European Parliament shall each discuss the matter and take a reasoned decision, which shall be made publically available.*

Or. en

#### **Amendment 627**

**Timothy Kirkhope, Arne Gericke, Branislav Škripek**

#### **Motion for a resolution Annex – Article 13**

##### *Motion for a resolution*

The DRF Scoreboard shall *expire five years after its entry into force, unless the signatories decide to renew it for a period to be determined by them.*

##### *Amendment*

The DRF Scoreboard shall *be reviewed annually to assess its effectiveness.*

Or. en

#### **Amendment 628**

**József Nagy**

#### **Motion for a resolution Annex – Article 13**

##### *Motion for a resolution*

The DRF *Scoreboard* shall expire five years after its entry into force, unless the signatories decide to renew it for a period to be determined by them.

##### *Amendment*

The DRF *monitoring reports* shall expire five years after its entry into force, unless the signatories decide to renew it for a period to be determined by them.

Or. en

**Amendment 629**

**Christine Revault D'Allonnes Bonnefoy, Sylvie Guillaume**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Annex – Article 13**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

*The DRF Scoreboard shall expire five years after its entry into force, unless the signatories decide to renew it for a period to be determined by them.* **deleted**

Or. fr

**Amendment 630**

**Frank Engel, Michał Boni, Therese Comodini Cachia, Carlos Coelho, Monika Hohlmeier, Jeroen Lenaers**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Annex – Article 13**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

*The DRF Scoreboard shall expire five years after its entry into force, unless the signatories decide to renew it for a period to be determined by them.* **deleted**

Or. en

**Amendment 631**

**Barbara Spinelli, Kostas Chrysogonos, Helmut Scholz**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Annex – Article 13 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**Article 13a**

*The policy cycle in the Union institutions aims to address the outcomes resulting from the Scoreboard, including Union institution-specific reports and recommendations, and to implement an impact assessment procedure introducing*



*effectively DRF into the legislative and decisional processes.*

*On the basis of the Scoreboard, Union institution-specific reports and recommendations, each institution under scrutiny shall draft their own assessment, represented by a resolution of the European Parliament, conclusions by the European Council and by the Council, and a communication from the Commission, analysing the shortcomings and proposing possible solutions and follow-up. Those documents shall be made publicly available and transmitted to the DRF expert panel.*

*In the event of one or more yellow or red scores concerning one or more EU institutions, a public interinstitutional debate shall be held between the Commission, the European Parliament and the Council, involving also national parliaments, in order to identify common Union solutions.*

*The conclusions of the interinstitutional debate shall be made publicly available and transmitted to the DRF expert panel.*

*The Resolution of the European Parliament, the conclusions of the European Council and of the Council and the communication of the Commission, together with the conclusions of the interinstitutional debate where applicable, will represent the precondition for the next annual evaluation carried out by the DRF expert panel.*

*In case an institution has red scores in one or more indicators or it keeps or increases its yellow or red scores in the next annual assessment, it will be considered that there are sufficient grounds for invoking Articles 263 and 265 TFEU, including an action under Article 263 for infringement of the Charter of fundamental rights. If the Commission, the European Parliament, the Council or the European Council on behalf of the Member States decides not to bring any*

*action against that institution, they shall explain the reasons for this choice, which shall be made publicly available.*

Or. en

**Amendment 632**

**Frank Engel, Michal Boni, Therese Comodini Cachia, Carlos Coelho, Monika Hohlmeier, Jeroen Lenaers**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Annex – Article 14 – introductory part**

*Motion for a resolution*

*A systematic fundamental rights* impact assessment shall be *carried out for all* legislative proposals by the Commission, in accordance with Article 20 of the Interinstitutional Agreement on Better Regulation;

*Amendment*

*An impact assessment* on fundamental rights shall be *included as an element of the impact assessment carried out for* legislative proposals by the Commission, in accordance with Article 20 of the Interinstitutional Agreement on Better Regulation

Or. en

**Amendment 633**

**József Nagy**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Annex – Article 14 – introductory part**

*Motion for a resolution*

A systematic fundamental rights impact assessment shall be carried out for all legislative proposals by the Commission, in accordance with Article 20 of the Interinstitutional Agreement on Better Regulation;

*Amendment*

A systematic *ex-ante and ex-post* fundamental rights impact assessment shall be carried out for all legislative proposals by the Commission, in accordance with Article 20 of the Interinstitutional Agreement on Better Regulation;

Or. en

**Amendment 634**  
**Barbara Spinelli, Kostas Chrysogonos, Helmut Scholz**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Annex – Article 14 – introductory part**

*Motion for a resolution*

A systematic fundamental rights impact assessment shall be carried out for all legislative proposals by the Commission, ***in accordance with Article 20 of the Interinstitutional Agreement on Better Regulation;***

*Amendment*

A systematic fundamental rights impact assessment shall be carried out for all legislative proposals by the Commission;

Or. en

**Amendment 635**  
**Timothy Kirkhope, Arne Gericke, Branislav Škripek**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Annex – Article 14 – introductory part**

*Motion for a resolution*

A ***systematic*** fundamental rights impact assessment ***shall be carried out*** for all legislative proposals by the Commission, in accordance with Article 20 of the Interinstitutional Agreement on Better Regulation;

*Amendment*

Fundamental rights ***shall be included as part of the*** impact assessment for all legislative proposals by the Commission, in accordance with Article 20 of the Interinstitutional Agreement on Better Regulation;

Or. en

**Amendment 636**  
**Monika Hohlmeier, Frank Engel, Pál Csáky, Barbara Matera, Elisabetta Gardini, Tomáš Zdechovský**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Annex – Article 14 – point 14.1**

*Motion for a resolution*

14.1 ***An independent*** expert panel, which shall be set up by the Commission,

*Amendment*

14.1 ***The*** expert panel, which shall be set up by the Commission, the Council and the

the Council and the European Parliament, shall assess DRF compliance *of legislative proposals after the conclusion of interinstitutional negotiations and prior to their formal adoption in accordance with Article 12 of the Interinstitutional Agreement on Better Regulation. The panel shall provide advice during the interinstitutional negotiations.*

European Parliament, shall assess DRF compliance *by the institutions.*

Or. en

### **Amendment 637**

**Barbara Spinelli, Kostas Chrysogonos, Helmut Scholz**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

##### **Annex – Article 14 – point 14.1**

###### *Motion for a resolution*

14.1 An independent expert panel, which shall be set up by the Commission, the Council and the European Parliament, shall assess DRF compliance of legislative proposals after the conclusion of interinstitutional negotiations and prior to their formal adoption *in accordance with Article 12 of the Interinstitutional Agreement on Better Regulation.* The panel shall provide advice during the interinstitutional negotiations.

###### *Amendment*

14.1 An independent expert panel, which shall be set up by the Commission, the Council and the European Parliament, shall assess DRF compliance of legislative proposals after the conclusion of interinstitutional negotiations and prior to their formal adoption. The panel shall provide advice during the interinstitutional negotiations.

Or. en

### **Amendment 638**

**Ulrike Lunacek**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

##### **Annex – Article 14 – point 14.1**

###### *Motion for a resolution*

14.1 *An independent* expert panel, which shall be set up by the Commission, the Council and the European Parliament,

###### *Amendment*

14.1 *The* expert panel, which shall be set up by the Commission, the Council and the European Parliament, shall assess DRF

shall assess DRF compliance *of legislative proposals after the conclusion of interinstitutional negotiations and prior to their formal adoption in accordance with Article 12 of the Interinstitutional Agreement on Better Regulation. The panel shall provide advice during the interinstitutional negotiations.*

compliance *by the institutions.*

Or. en

#### **Amendment 639**

**Timothy Kirkhope, Arne Gericke, Branislav Škripek**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

**Annex – Article 14 – point 14.1**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**14.1** *An independent expert panel, which shall be set up by the Commission, the Council and the European Parliament, shall assess DRF compliance of legislative proposals after the conclusion of interinstitutional negotiations and prior to their formal adoption in accordance with Article 12 of the Interinstitutional Agreement on Better Regulation. The panel shall provide advice during the interinstitutional negotiations.*

*deleted*

Or. en

#### **Amendment 640**

**Sophia in 't Veld**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

**Annex – Article 15**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

An interinstitutional impact assessment working group ('Working Group') shall be set up with a view to improving

An interinstitutional impact assessment working group ('Working Group') shall be set up with a view to improving

interinstitutional cooperation on impact assessments, and creating a fundamental rights and rule of law compliance culture. The panel shall consult with national experts at an early stage so as to better foresee the implementation challenges in Member States, as well as to help overcome different interpretations and understandings by the different institutions of the Union as regards the impact of fundamental rights and rule of law on legal acts of the Union. The Working Group shall build upon the "Guidelines on methodological steps to be taken to check fundamental rights compatibility at the Council preparatory bodies", 5377/15, Tool #24 from the Better Regulation Toolbox and Rule 38 of the Rules of Procedure of the European Parliament to ensure DRF compliance and promotion.

interinstitutional cooperation on impact assessments, and creating a fundamental rights and rule of law compliance culture. The panel shall consult with national experts at an early stage so as to better foresee the implementation challenges in Member States, as well as to help overcome different interpretations and understandings by the different institutions of the Union as regards the impact of fundamental rights and rule of law on legal acts of the Union. The Working Group shall build upon the "Guidelines on methodological steps to be taken to check fundamental rights compatibility at the Council preparatory bodies", 5377/15, *the 'Strategy for the effective implementation of the Charter of Fundamental Rights by the European Union', the 'Operational Guidance on taking account of Fundamental rights in Commission Impact Assessments'*, Tool #24 from the Better Regulation Toolbox and Rule 38 of the Rules of Procedure of the European Parliament to ensure DRF compliance and promotion.

Or. en

#### **Amendment 641**

**Frank Engel, Michal Boni, Therese Comodini Cachia, Carlos Coelho, Jeroen Lenaers**

#### **Motion for a resolution Annex – Article 15**

##### *Motion for a resolution*

An interinstitutional impact assessment working group ('Working Group') shall be set up with a view to improving interinstitutional cooperation on impact assessments, and creating a fundamental rights and rule of law compliance culture. The panel shall consult with national experts at an early stage so as to better foresee the implementation challenges in Member States, as well as to help

##### *Amendment*

An interinstitutional impact assessment working group ('Working Group') shall be set up with a view to improving interinstitutional cooperation on impact assessments, and creating a fundamental rights and rule of law compliance culture. The panel shall consult with national experts at an early stage so as to better foresee the implementation challenges in Member States, as well as to help

overcome different interpretations and understandings by the different institutions of the Union as regards the impact of fundamental rights and rule of law on legal acts of the Union. ***The Working Group shall build upon the "Guidelines on methodological steps to be taken to check fundamental rights compatibility at the Council preparatory bodies", 5377/15, Tool #24 from the Better Regulation Toolbox and Rule 38 of the Rules of Procedure of the European Parliament to ensure DRF compliance and promotion.***

overcome different interpretations and understandings by the different institutions of the Union as regards the impact of fundamental rights and rule of law on legal acts of the Union.

Or. en

## **Amendment 642**

**Timothy Kirkhope, Arne Gericke, Branislav Škripek**

### **Motion for a resolution**

#### **Annex – Article 15**

##### *Motion for a resolution*

An interinstitutional impact assessment working group ('Working Group') shall be set up with a view to improving interinstitutional cooperation on impact assessments, ***and creating a fundamental rights and rule of law compliance culture. The panel shall consult with national experts at an early stage so as to better foresee the implementation challenges in Member States, as well as to help overcome different interpretations and understandings by the different institutions of the Union as regards the impact of fundamental rights and rule of law on legal acts of the Union. The Working Group shall build upon the "Guidelines on methodological steps to be taken to check fundamental rights compatibility at the Council preparatory bodies", 5377/15, Tool #24 from the Better Regulation Toolbox and Rule 38 of the Rules of Procedure of the European Parliament to ensure DRF compliance***

##### *Amendment*

An interinstitutional impact assessment working group ('Working Group') shall be set up with a view to improving interinstitutional cooperation on impact assessments.

*and promotion.*

Or. en

### **Amendment 643**

**Monika Hohlmeier, Pál Csáky, Barbara Matera, Tomáš Zdechovský, Csaba Sógor, Elisabetta Gardini**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

#### **Annex – Article 15**

##### *Motion for a resolution*

An interinstitutional impact assessment working group ('Working Group') *shall* be set up with a view to improving interinstitutional cooperation on impact assessments, and creating a fundamental rights and rule of law compliance culture. The panel shall consult with national experts at an early stage so as to better foresee the implementation challenges in Member States, as well as to help overcome different interpretations and understandings by the different institutions of the Union as regards the impact of fundamental rights and rule of law on legal acts of the Union. The Working Group shall build upon the "Guidelines on methodological steps to be taken to check fundamental rights compatibility at the Council preparatory bodies", 5377/15, Tool #24 from the Better Regulation Toolbox and Rule 38 of the Rules of Procedure of the European Parliament to ensure DRF compliance and promotion.

##### *Amendment*

An interinstitutional impact assessment working group ('Working Group') *can* be set up *out of existing structures in the institutions* with a view to improving interinstitutional cooperation on impact assessments, and creating a fundamental rights and rule of law compliance culture. The panel shall consult with national experts at an early stage so as to better foresee the implementation challenges in Member States, as well as to help overcome different interpretations and understandings by the different institutions of the Union as regards the impact of fundamental rights and rule of law on legal acts of the Union. The Working Group shall build upon the "Guidelines on methodological steps to be taken to check fundamental rights compatibility at the Council preparatory bodies", 5377/15, Tool #24 from the Better Regulation Toolbox and Rule 38 of the Rules of Procedure of the European Parliament to ensure DRF compliance and promotion. *These existing tools should be promoted and properly applied.*

Or. en

### **Amendment 644**

**Monika Hohlmeier, Frank Engel, Pál Csáky, Barbara Matera, Tomáš Zdechovský, Emil Radev, Mariya Gabriel, Michal Boni, Elisabetta Gardini**



**Motion for a resolution**  
**Annex – Article 16 – introductory part**

*Motion for a resolution*

The annual reports of the Commission, the Council and the European Parliament relating to enforcement and compliance with the rule of law and fundamental rights by the institutions of the Union ***shall be included in the annual DRF policy cycle of the DRF Scoreboard:***

*Amendment*

The ***Report with country specific recommendations shall replace the*** annual reports of the Commission, the Council and the European Parliament relating to enforcement and compliance with the rule of law and fundamental rights by the institutions of the Union, ***such as:***

Or. en

**Amendment 645**  
**Frank Engel, Michał Boni, Therese Comodini Cachia, Carlos Coelho, Jeroen Lenaers**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Annex – Article 16 – introductory part**

*Motion for a resolution*

The annual reports of the Commission, the Council and the European Parliament relating to enforcement and compliance with the rule of law and fundamental rights by the institutions of the Union shall be included in the annual DRF policy cycle of the DRF ***scoreboard:***

*Amendment*

The annual reports of the Commission, the Council and the European Parliament relating to enforcement and compliance with the rule of law and fundamental rights by the institutions of the Union shall be included in the annual DRF policy cycle of the DRF ***European Report:***

Or. en

**Amendment 646**  
**Timothy Kirkhope, Arne Gericke, Branislav Škripek**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Annex – Article 16 – introductory part**

*Motion for a resolution*

The annual reports of the Commission, the Council and the European Parliament relating to enforcement and compliance

*Amendment*

The annual reports of the Commission, the Council and the European Parliament relating to enforcement and compliance

with the rule of law and fundamental rights by the institutions of the Union shall be included in the annual DRF policy cycle of the DRF Scoreboard:

with the rule of law and fundamental rights by the institutions of the Union shall be included in the annual DRF policy cycle of the DRF Scoreboard; *namely application of Charter of Fundamental Rights, EU law and Regulation (EC) No 1049/2001 of the European Parliament and of the Council*<sup>1a</sup>:

---

<sup>1a</sup> *Regulation (EC) No 1049/2001 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 30 May 2001 regarding public access to European Parliament, Council and Commission documents (OJ L 145, 31.5.2001, p. 43).*

Or. en

#### **Amendment 647**

**Timothy Kirkhope, Arne Gericke, Branislav Škripek**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

**Annex – Article 16 –indent 1**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

– *Annual report on the application of the Charter of Fundamental Rights;* *deleted*

Or. en

#### **Amendment 648**

**Timothy Kirkhope, Arne Gericke, Branislav Škripek**

#### **Motion for a resolution**

**Annex – Article 16 –indent 2**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

– *Annual report on the application of EU law;* *deleted*

Or. en

**Amendment 649**

**Timothy Kirkhope, Arne Gericke, Branislav Škripek**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Annex – Article 16 –indent 3**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

– *Annual report on the application of Regulation (EC) No 1049/2001 of the European Parliament and of the Council.*<sup>1</sup> *deleted*

---

<sup>1</sup> *Regulation (EC) No 1049/2001 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 30 May 2001 regarding public access to European Parliament, Council and Commission documents (OJ L 145, 31.5.2001, p. 43).*

Or. en

**Amendment 650**

**Sophia in 't Veld**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Annex – Article 16 –indent 3 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

– *Annual report of the European Ombudsman;*

Or. en

**Amendment 651**

**Monika Hohlmeier, Frank Engel, Pál Csáky, Barbara Matera, Tomáš Zdechovský, Emil Radev, Mariya Gabriel, Michał Boni, Elisabetta Gardini**

**Motion for a resolution**

**Annex – Article 16 –indent 3 a (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

- *Annual report on the situation of Fundamental rights in the EU.*

Or. en

**Amendment 652**  
**Sophia in 't Veld**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Annex – Article 16 –indent 3 b (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

- *FRA annual report on fundamental rights;*

Or. en

**Amendment 653**  
**Sophia in 't Veld**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Annex – Article 16 –indent 3 c (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

- *EIGE's Gender Equality Index;*

Or. en

**Amendment 654**  
**Sophia in 't Veld**

**Motion for a resolution**  
**Annex – Article 16 –indent 3 d (new)**

*Motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

- *Annual Eurojust report.*

